


 Cite this: *RSC Adv.*, 2022, 12, 1550

Design of an efficient, tunable and scalable freestanding flexible membrane for filter application†

 Sumit Kumar Pandey and Anchal Srivastava *

To address the global challenge of water pollution, membrane-based technologies are being used as a dignified separation technology. However, designing low-cost, reusable, freestanding and flexible membranes for wastewater treatment with tunable pore size, good mechanical strength, and high separation efficiency is still a major challenge. Herein, we report the development of a scalable, reusable, freestanding, flexible and functionalized multiwalled carbon nanotube (FMWCNT) membrane filter with tunable pore size for wastewater treatment, which has attractive attributes such as high separation efficiency (>99% for organic dyes and ~80% for salts), permeance ($\sim 225 \text{ L h}^{-1} \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ bar}^{-1}$), tensile strength ($\sim 6 \text{ MPa}$), and reusability of both the membrane as well as contaminants separately. This FMWCNTs membrane filter has been developed by a simple vacuum-assisted filtration technique followed by the synthesis of MWCNTs using a cost-effective spray pyrolysis assisted chemical vapor deposition (CVD) technique and chemical functionalization. This study deals with understanding the rejection, retrieval, and reusability of both the membranes as well as waterborne contaminants separately. The developed membrane filter has potential utility in many applications such as wastewater treatment, food industry, and life sciences due to its robust mechanical and separation performance characteristics.

 Received 6th October 2021
 Accepted 10th December 2021

DOI: 10.1039/d1ra07423g

rsc.li/rsc-advances

1. Introduction

Clean water is of utmost importance for every living organism, but due to the rapidly increasing population and industrialization, there is a continuous shortage of clean, safe, and potable water. Moreover, more than 70% of the earth's land is covered by water, but only 2.5% of fresh water is available for use in our daily life.¹ According to a recent study by WHO, globally, at least 2 billion people use contaminated water that infects and transmits life-threatening diseases like diarrhea, cholera, dysentery, typhoid, and polio, which causes around 485 000 deaths every year. Additionally, by 2025, half of the world's population will start living in water-stressed areas.² The main source of water contamination is wastewater run-off by different industries that contains various waterborne pollutants, such as metal ions, salts, dyes, and bacteria, which must be treated or removed before being discharged into the environment.^{3,4} Organic pollutants (mostly dyes), which represent an important group of water pollutants, are used in various fields such as plastics, textiles, leather, rubber, food, pharmaceutical, paper,

and cosmetics industries, with highly toxic constituents and severe environmental impact.⁵ Moreover, around 10–15% of commercial dyes produced from these industries are discharged into the environment, rivers, and drains every year without any treatment, most of which are toxic and carcinogenic.⁶ Thus, the increase in water contamination by these organic pollutants needs to be addressed urgently, as this will lead to severe impacts on the environment, human health and water scarcity. In this respect, various techniques such as coagulation, chemical precipitation, adsorption, oxidation, membrane filtration, photocatalytic degradation, electrochemical treatment, reverse osmosis, liquid–liquid extraction, ion exchange, and gravity have already been considered to remove these pollutants from industrial wastewater.⁷ Of these, membrane filtration has been considered the most effective technique as it provides good safety, low energy consumption, short operating time, and environmental compatibility, as compared to other purification processes.^{8,9} In recent years, membrane technology for wastewater treatment has also progressively been advanced to address the global challenges of increasing water pollution by these organic contaminants. In this regard, a wide range of new nanostructured materials such as carbon nanomaterials (*e.g.*, carbon nanotubes,¹⁰ graphene,¹¹ *etc.*), biomaterials (*e.g.*, cellulose acetate,¹² proteins,¹³ silk nanofibrils,¹⁴ *etc.*), inorganic nanomaterials (*e.g.*, graphene oxide,¹⁵ molybdenum disulfide,¹⁶ tungsten disulfide,¹⁷ *etc.*), and

Department of Physics, Institute of Science, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi, 221005, India. E-mail: anchal@bhu.ac.in; anchalbhu@gmail.com; Tel: +91-9453203122

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: 10.1039/d1ra07423g



polymers^{18–20} along with novel fabrication techniques like chemical vapor deposition,^{21,22} track etching,^{23,24} template synthesis,^{25,26} and layer-by-layer assembly²⁷ have been widely considered to design membrane filters. However, these materials and methods hold many disadvantages such as complex manufacturing processes, brittleness, high cost, risk of swelling when exposed to water, low mechanical resilience, less durability, and difficulty in efficient and accurate production of multilayer porous structures to achieve optimized separation performance.^{28–32} Therefore, designing cost-effective, reusable, freestanding, flexible water purification membranes with good mechanical strength and high filtration efficiency in large-scale for rapid removal of noxious contaminants from wastewater and retrieving both membranes as well as contaminants separately is still a major challenge.

The discovery of carbon nanotubes (CNTs) sheds light on achieving the ideal membrane filters because CNTs not only have a high aspect ratio and large surface area but also ceramic-like stability, polymer-like flexibility, biomaterials-like unique open tubular nanochannels, and possible processabilities.³³ Moreover, several studies have also described that water permeation through CNTs is very fast.^{34–39} For example, Yang *et al.*³⁰ have reported the fabrication of carbon nanomembranes using terphenylthiol monolayers in which water permeance has been found to be around $\sim 1.1 \times 10^{-4} \text{ mol m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ Pa}^{-1}$. In another report, Yang *et al.*⁴⁰ have reported the removal of various organic dyes and salts such as methylene blue, rhodamine B, KCl, NaCl, *etc.*, using a hybrid membrane of graphene nanomesh and carbon nanotube with a maximum permeance of $\sim 37.2 \text{ L m}^{-2} \text{ h}^{-1} \text{ bar}^{-1}$. In another report, Vecitis *et al.*⁴¹ have reported the adsorptive removal and electrochemical oxidation of aqueous dyes and anions by electrochemically active carbon nanotube filter using electrochemical filtration technique. In another report, Byeongho Lee *et al.*⁴² have reported the development of a millimetre thick vertically aligned carbon nanotube wall membrane for water treatment having very high water permeability $\sim 30,000 \text{ L m}^{-2} \text{ h}^{-1} \text{ bar}^{-1}$. In all the above reports, the authors have attempted relatively complex and tedious processes that are not even cost-effective. Moreover, the practical applications of such CNTs based membrane filters are still challenging because the methods of synthesis and designing them often face a variety of problems, such as, sophisticated equipment, time consuming and complex procedures for obtaining high density vertically aligned CNTs, relatively high cost, and difficulties in large-scale production.³² Furthermore, most of the research articles reported on membranes have focused on membrane processing, surface modification, filtration efficiency, and water permeability. However, experimental investigations of mechanical behaviour under complex loading modes for such membranes are generally not given much importance and are rarely reported. Therefore, to overcome the above deficiencies, we have used FMWCNTs to design a membrane filter with tunable pore size, which offers better mechanical robustness, more flexibility, permeability, and chemically active sites that assist in designing a more efficient, scalable, and economical membrane filter for wastewater treatment.^{43,44} Furthermore, we can easily reuse both membrane and contaminants separately by a simple ultrasonication technique.

Herein, we report the development of a scalable, reusable, freestanding, flexible, and binder-free FMWCNTs membrane filter with tunable pore size for wastewater treatment, which has attractive attributes of high separation efficiency (>99% for organic dyes and $\sim 80\%$ for salts), permeance $\sim 225 \text{ L h}^{-1} \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ bar}^{-1}$ (around 10 times greater than most commercial membrane filters), tensile strength $\sim 6 \text{ MPa}$, and reusability of both membranes as well as contaminants separately. This FMWCNTs membrane filter has been developed by a simple vacuum-assisted filtration technique followed by the synthesis of MWCNTs using cost-effective spray pyrolysis assisted CVD technique and chemical functionalization. In this study, we have also provided a detailed understanding of the rejection, recovery, and reusability behavior of both membranes and waterborne contaminants.

2. Experimental

2.1. Materials

Ferrocene ($\text{C}_{10}\text{H}_{10}\text{Fe}$) has been purchased from Alfa Aesar, India. Toluene (C_7H_8), acetone ($\text{C}_3\text{H}_6\text{O}$), nitric acid (HNO_3), sulfuric acid (H_2SO_4), rhodamine B ($\text{C}_{28}\text{H}_{31}\text{ClN}_3\text{O}_3$), methylene blue ($\text{C}_{16}\text{H}_{18}\text{ClN}_3\text{S}$), methyl violet ($\text{C}_{24}\text{H}_{28}\text{N}_3\text{Cl}$), sodium chloride (NaCl), magnesium sulfate (MgSO_4), heptahydrate ($\text{MgSO}_4 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$), sodium sulfate (Na_2SO_4), and magnesium chloride hexahydrate ($\text{MgCl}_2 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$) have been procured from Molychem, India. All the chemicals were of analytical grade and used as received.

2.2. Synthesis of MWCNTs

MWCNTs were synthesized using the spray pyrolysis assisted CVD technique, adopting the procedure described in our earlier reported work.^{45,46} Briefly, the homogeneous solution of ferrocene and toluene was sprayed with the aid of argon gas inside a quartz tube furnace maintained at $900 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$, as shown in Fig. S1.† After the completion of spray pyrolysis, the quartz tubing furnace was cooled to ambient temperature under an argon gas flow. Subsequently, a dense black film made of MWCNTs inside the quartz tube was scraped off and collected for further investigations.

2.3. Functionalization of MWCNTs

Due to the high chemical inactivity and hydrophobic character, chemistry on CNTs came later into the play. Among the various functionalization techniques, acidic oxidation methods have been extensively studied as an effective technique to make the surfaces of CNTs pure, hydrophilic, and chemically functional. Thus, to functionalize the as-synthesized MWCNTs, 0.5 g of as-synthesized MWCNTs was dispersed in a solution mixture of $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4/\text{HNO}_3$ ($3:1$, v/v). The mixture was sonicated in an ultrasonic water bath maintained at room temperature and then stirred at $50 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ for 4 h, 8 h, 12 h, and 16 h, to know the effect of stirring. Thereafter, the mixtures were filtered and thoroughly washed by DI water several times up to pH 7 and dried at $80 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ overnight prior to further investigations. The schematic of the overall process is shown in Fig. 1.



2.4. Preparation of FMWCNTs membrane

FMWCNTs membrane was prepared by a simple vacuum-assisted filtration technique, adopting a similar procedure described in our earlier reported work.⁴⁷ In brief, 0.5 g of FMWCNTs was well dispersed in 100 mL of acetone using ultrasonicator and high-speed homogenizer. After that, the well-dispersed suspension of FMWCNTs was filtered by a vacuum filtration unit, and consequently, a uniform black film was deposited over the Whatman filter paper. After that, the binder-free freestanding flexible film made up of FMWCNTs was dried and peeled off from the Whatman filter paper prior to use as a membrane filter for wastewater treatment, as shown in Fig. 2.

Herein, we found that it was very difficult to design freestanding and flexible membranes for samples stirred for 8 h or more, which is caused by the increase in I_D/I_G value as well as the decrease in the aspect ratio of as synthesized MWCNTs after chemical functionalization, as shown in Fig. S2.† The MWCNTs stirred for up to 4 h possess a good aspect ratio with chemically active sites, which assist in designing uniform, continuous, lightweight, freestanding, flexible membranes having the smooth passage of water through it. Moreover, the prepared self-supporting flexible membrane can also be scaled to the desired dimensions (length × width) simply by selecting the dimensions of the vacuum filtration unit and the concentration of FMWCNTs. Thus, the as-prepared membrane can be freely cut into the desired shape and size prior to use.

2.5. Filtration performance measurement

The filtration experiments were performed through a syringe filtration setup (Tarsons syringe filter) with constant pressure at 1 bar, adopting similar procedure as described in the previously

reported works.^{8,48} The FMWCNTS membrane was inserted and sealed in a syringe holder with two O-rings at the top and bottom to protect against leakage. Moreover, for the flux assessments, we followed the method used for other membranes.^{8,14,49} Typically, by measuring the volume of water or model compound solution passing through the as-prepared membrane under constant pressure as a function of time, the flux J (liter hour⁻¹ m⁻² bar⁻¹) was calculated using the following equation:

$$J = \frac{V}{A \times t \times p} \quad (1)$$

where V is the volume of the water filtered (in liters), A is the effective membrane area (in square meters), t is the filtration time (in h), and p is the suction pressure across the membrane (in bars). The concentrations of various solutions were determined using a UV-vis absorption spectrometer (Shimadzu, Japan). The rejection R (%) was calculated using the following equation:

$$R (\%) = \left(1 - \frac{C_p}{C_f}\right) \times 100 \quad (2)$$

where C_p and C_f are the concentrations of the permeate and feed solutions, respectively.

3. Result and discussion

X-ray diffractometer (PANalytical, UK), scanning electron microscope (SEM) (ZEISS, Germany), atomic force microscope (AFM) (Park XE7, South Korea), and transmission electron microscope (TEM) (TECNAI 20 G²) operating at accelerating voltage of 200 kV were used for the structural and




Fig. 1 Schematic diagram of chemical functionalization of the as-synthesized MWCNTs.






Fig. 2 Schematic diagram of the preparation of the reusable, freestanding, and flexible FMWCNTs membrane.

morphological characterization of the synthesized samples and designed membrane. Raman microscope (WITec alpha300 R|A|S, Germany), Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectrometer (Frontier, PerkinElmer, USA), and UV-vis spectrometer (Shimadzu, Japan) were used for the spectroscopic characterizations of the samples. Gemini VII 2390 surface area analyzer (Micromeritics, US), 851e thermal analysis (Mettler Toledo), and Instron universal testing machine were used for the determination of specific surface area, thermogravimetric analysis (TGA), and the tensile strength of the designed membranes, respectively.

3.1. Characterization of FMWCNTs

The crystal structure of as synthesized MWCNTs and FMWCNTs has been investigated by powder X-ray diffraction method using Cu-K α radiation. Fig. 3a shows the typical XRD patterns of as synthesized MWCNTs and FMWCNTs. The pattern exhibits an intense diffraction peak around $2\theta = 26^\circ$ and low intense diffraction peaks around 44° , 53° , and 78° for both MWCNTs and FMWCNTs, which correspond to the planes (002), (101), (004), and (100) respectively, which have been successfully indexed *via* JCPDS card no. 751621. Furthermore, a low intense diffraction peak around $2\theta = 43^\circ$ corresponds to Fe (JCPDS card no. 654150) used as a catalyst during synthesis. Interestingly, it has been observed that the intensity of the (002) diffraction plane in FMWCNTs is higher than the as synthesized MWCNTs

due to the different functional groups introduced over its surfaces after acid treatment. This leads to the conclusion that FMWCNTs have preserved the pure and well graphitized hexagonal structure of MWCNTs even after the functionalization process.

Raman spectroscopy has been performed to evaluate the purity, defects, tube alignment, and the presence of nanotubes relative to other carbon allotropes. Fig. 3b depicts the recorded Raman spectra of as synthesized MWCNTs and FMWCNTs using 532 nm laser excitation. As shown in the figure, the recorded spectra have characteristic peaks around 1348 cm^{-1} , 1577 cm^{-1} , and 2696 cm^{-1} known as D-band, G-band, and 2D-band, which are due to the scattering from local defects or disorders, tangential vibration of C-atoms, and the two-phonon second-order scattering process present in the synthesized MWCNTs, respectively. The intensity ratio of the D band to G band (I_D/I_G) has been used for the evaluation of the graphitic structure of CNTs. Relatively higher $I_D/I_G = 0.86$ values of FMWCNTs than $I_D/I_G = 0.48$ values of MWCNTs suggest the presence of more defect sites in the graphitic lattice of MWCNTs after acid treatment. This also confirms the high quality and graphitic nature of synthesized samples.

Transmission electron microscopy was used to investigate possible MWCNTs fragmentation that occurred during treatment. Fig. 3c and d shows typical TEM images of the as-synthesized nanotubes as well as those treated with a solution mixture of $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4/\text{HNO}_3$, respectively. As shown in Fig. 3c, the as






Fig. 3 (a) Typical XRD patterns of the as-synthesized and acid treated MWCNTs. (b) Raman spectra of the as-synthesized and acid treated MWCNTs. (c) and (d) TEM images of the as-synthesized and acid treated MWCNTs, respectively.

synthesized MWCNTs have smooth surface structures and high aspect ratio nanotubes. In comparison, MWCNTs, after acid treatment, have rough surface structures and shorter length nanotubes, as shown in Fig. 3d. The slight roughness on the surface of FMWCNTs might be due to the defect sites formed due to the attachment of chemical functional groups on the surface after acid treatment and shorter length due to ultrasonication.

The surface roughness is an important factor that strongly influences the filtration behavior of the designed membrane in terms of surface adhesion, permeation properties, *etc.* Since the designed membrane comprises chemically functionalized MWCNTs, we have performed AFM measurements in order to examine the surface roughness of carbon nanotubes before and after acid treatment, as shown in Fig. 4. From Fig. 4a and b, it can be clearly observed that the average roughness in nanotubes before and after acid treatment is ~ 78 nm and ~ 112 nm, respectively. This average roughness has been measured across the three different regions for each of the recorded AFM images, as highlighted by the square boxes in the figures. This suggests that there are not many changes in the surface roughness of carbon nanotubes after acid treatment, which reflects a good characteristic of the membrane for filter applications.

3.2. Characterization of FMWCNTs membrane

To investigate the functionalization validity and identify the functional groups present over the designed membrane, the FTIR spectra of MWCNTs and functionalized MWCNTs membranes have been recorded, and their corresponding spectra have been shown in Fig. 5a. As shown in the figure, the FTIR spectra recorded for MWCNTs membrane have not exhibited any absorption band. However, some absorption bands around 603 cm^{-1} , 1348 cm^{-1} , 1418 cm^{-1} , 1636 cm^{-1} , and 3430 cm^{-1} have been observed in the FTIR spectrum of functionalized MWCNTs membrane, which correspond to C–H bending, C–O stretching, C–C stretching, stretching vibrations of carbonyl groups (C=O) present in carboxylic acids (RCOOH), and O–H stretching, respectively.^{50,51} This is in good agreement with the results shown in XRD and Raman characterizations. The high intensity of the (002) plane and relatively high I_D/I_G ratio prove the addition of functional groups over nanotube surfaces, which has also validated the success of the functionalization process. This modification further improves the dispersion or wetting behaviour of MWCNTs.

In addition to high flux and high contaminant rejection, water treatment membranes are also required to have good mechanical stability and durability. Thus, it is very important to






Fig. 4 (a) and (b) depict the AFM topography of the MWCNTs and FMWCNTs, respectively. (c) and (d) represent the average roughness on the surface of the MWCNTs and FMWCNTs, respectively.

test the membranes for their mechanical properties under conditions similar to the actual loading conditions, as most of the membranes are subjected to stress and the accompanying deformation during processing and use. Therefore, to examine the potential suitability, the mechanical properties of the designed FMWCNTs membrane have been characterized by stress-strain tests, as shown in Fig. 5b. Typically, the measured tensile strength of designed MWCNTs and FMWCNTs membranes has been found to be around ~ 18.3 MPa and ~ 6 MPa, respectively, indicating that the designed FMWCNTs membrane still retains the good mechanical strength for the purpose of wastewater treatment.

Further, to understand the thermal stability of the designed MWCNTs and FMWCNTs membranes, the TGA measurements were performed. Fig. 5c shows the typical comparative TGA curves of the designed membranes, clearly showing that the designed MWCNTs and FMWCNTs membranes possess good thermal stability up to ~ 450 °C and ~ 250 °C, respectively, which is quite good for wastewater treatment. In the typical TGA curve obtained for FMWCNTs, the weight loss starting around ~ 250 °C is mainly due to the existence of oxygen containing functional groups and the little moisture present over the designed FMWCNTs membrane. Moreover, weight loss starting around ~ 450 °C is due to the decomposition of nanotubes and a small amount of amorphous carbon. However, due to the absence of functional groups and moisture, the weight loss in MWCNTs membranes begins at ~ 450 °C.

The contact angle is an extremely important and widely used analysis for the characterization of the hydrophobic/hydrophilic behaviour of membranes as it plays an important role in separation technology. Fig. 5d clearly explains this behavior of the designed membrane. As shown in the inset of Fig. 5d, the water droplets over the surface of pristine MWCNTs membrane exhibit a contact angle of $\sim 156.9^\circ$, indicating the super hydrophobic behavior. Whereas the water droplets over the surface of the FMWCNTs membrane exhibit a contact angle of $\sim 41.3^\circ$, indicating the hydrophilic behavior of the designed membrane after acid treatment. This hydrophilic behavior of the designed FMWCNTs membrane assists the smooth and rapid passage of water through the membrane.

The earlier reports show that the characteristics like surface area, pore structures, pore size, and pore size distribution of a membrane are the backbone of the membrane separation technology as they govern the filtration behaviour of the membranes. Although the designed membrane comprises chemically functionalized MWCNTs, which are randomly oriented and entangled to each other, as a result, the pores are crooked rather than being straight. In this regard, BET is a widely explored technique to analyze the surface area and pore size distribution of the designed membrane. Fig. 6a–c shows the N_2 adsorption/desorption isotherms of the designed membrane with different concentrations of FMWCNTs in fixed filtration volume. This suggests that the pore size of the designed membrane has been tuned from 1.7 nm to 27.4 nm,






Fig. 5 Comparative (a) FTIR spectra, (b) stress–strain curves, (c) TGA curves and (d) the behaviour of water droplets and contact angle over the designed MWCNTs and FMWCNTs membrane surfaces, respectively.

simply by varying the concentration of FMWCNTs from 0.5 g to 0.3 g, respectively (summarized in Table S1†), well supported by the earlier reported work on a membrane with tunable pore size.¹⁴

3.3. Filtration performance of the designed membrane

Further, the filtration performance of the designed membrane has been examined for various waterborne contaminants, such as rhodamine B, methylene blue, methyl violet, sodium chloride, magnesium sulfate, sodium sulfate, magnesium chloride, *etc.* using a dead-end syringe filtration setup, followed by UV-vis analysis of feed and permeate solutions. Fig. 7a–c shows the optical image of nano-filtration setup, flexibility of the designed membrane used for filtration, and the extrusion of waterborne contaminant from the designed membrane indicating the reusability of both designed membrane and contaminant, respectively.

These visuals have been further confirmed *via* recording the UV-vis absorption spectra of feed and permeate solutions. As shown in Fig. 8a, the feed solution of rhodamine B (10 mg L^{-1}) has an intense absorption peak around 555 nm, while this absorption peak has almost disappeared in the permeate solution after filtering with the designed membrane, indicating that the rejection efficiency is $>99\%$. Subsequently, the contaminant enmeshed into the membrane and membrane itself has been re-differentiated by a simple ultrasonication technique. In brief,

the membrane after filtration has been re-dispersed in acetone (the same solvent used for membrane preparation) by ultrasonication. Thereafter, the well dispersed suspension of the used membrane has been filtered using a vacuum-assisted filtration unit to re-differentiate the contaminants and membrane, as shown in Fig. S3† Fig. 8b shows the UV-vis absorption spectra of the recovered contaminant (rhodamine B), indicating that more than 95% of enmeshed rhodamine B has been successfully detracted from the membrane. In addition, we have also studied the influence of other waterborne contaminants such as methylene blue, methyl violet, and various salts like sodium chloride, magnesium sulfate, sodium sulfate, magnesium chloride, *etc.*, on the separation performance of the designed membrane. Fig. 8c–e shows the separation performance of the designed membrane for these contaminants, indicating that the designed membrane holds high separation efficiency ($>99\%$ for organic dyes and $\sim 80\%$ for salts as shown in Fig. S4†), having a permeance of $\sim 225 \text{ L h}^{-1} \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ bar}^{-1}$ (around 10 times greater than most commercial membrane filters) in all cases. Furthermore, the membranes as well as contaminants have also been re-differentiated in some cases, as summarized in Fig. 8f. Mostly, it has been observed that a low concentration of contaminants usually limits the separation performance of membranes. Therefore, we have investigated the separation performance of the designed membrane even at low concentrations (1 mg L^{-1} to 10 mg L^{-1})






Fig. 6 The pore size distribution obtained for the designed membrane with different concentrations of FMWCNTs in fixed filtration volume. Insets show the corresponding N_2 adsorption/desorption isotherms. (a) 0.3 g, (b) 0.4 g, and (c) 0.5 g, showing that the pore size of the designed FMWCNTs membrane has been tuned from 27.4 nm to 1.7 nm by choosing different concentrations of FMWCNTs.

of contaminants (rhodamine B has been chosen as a model in view of their acute noxious risk, stability, and complex chemical structure). As a result, we did not observe any change in its performance. In all cases, both visual and absorbance of the permeate solution become transparent and lighter with almost

constant flux, as shown in Fig. 8g and h. The UV-vis spectra shown in Fig. S5† also confirm these visual results, adjudicating no absorbance corresponding to these contaminants. Furthermore, the calculations showed that the rejection exceeds >99% in all cases, as well as the extrusion of contaminants from the




Fig. 7 (a) Digital image of the nano-filtration setup. (b) Digital image of the designed membrane reflecting its flexibility, scalability, and free-standing nature. (c) Digital image showing the contaminant extrusion from the membrane, and subsequently, the reuse of membrane as well as the contaminant separately.






Fig. 8 Separation performance of the designed FMWCNTs membrane. (a–d) UV-vis absorption spectrum of feed, permeate, and recovered solution of the contaminants rhodamine B, methylene blue, and methyl violet, respectively. (e) Time-dependent changes in the flux of feed solutions. (f) The rejection and recovery percentage of several dyes and salts versus their molecular weights (colour coded axes). (g and h) The concentration-dependent rejection rate, recovered percentage, and time-dependent changes in the flux of the arbitrarily chosen waterborne contaminant rhodamine B.

designed membrane is more than 95%. Also, as shown in Fig. S6 and S7† the permeance and normalized permeance have been made with reference to its filtration efficiency, indicating that the permeance is almost the same in all cases. This can also be seen clearly in the movies S1 and S2.† This suggests that the designed membrane exhibits a comparable or better balance of flux, separation efficiency, and retrieval performance than the other filtration materials used in the literature.^{11,15–17,34,40,41,49,52–54} Thus, the membrane designed in this work expands the possibilities for potential utility in wastewater treatment technologies.

3.4. Understanding the filtration and reusability behavior of the designed membrane

It is well known that the membrane characteristics like pore size, pore size distribution, pore structures, pore density,

surface area, surface roughness, and surface functionalities are the backbone of the membrane separation technology, as they govern the filtration properties of the membrane filters. Therefore, to understand the origin of high separation performance, re-differentiation, and reusability of both designed membrane and contaminants, we have studied these characteristics in detail. The high separation efficiency of the designed membrane can be very well understood by two main mechanisms: (1) physical sieving by membrane pores and (2) the interactions between the negatively charged surface of membrane and contaminants. Remarkably, it has been found that the typical pore size of the designed membrane is comparable to the molecular dimension of these waterborne contaminants, which raises the prospects of size-based exclusion and separation, *i.e.*, physical sieving of these waterborne contaminants. In addition, the pore size of the designed membrane can also be controlled by choosing the appropriate



concentration of FMWCNTs in a fixed filtration volume. Therefore, an additional experiment was performed to probe the dominating separation mechanism for dye molecules by measuring the concentration change in the upper stream, *i.e.*, feed solutions. If the physical sieving *i.e.*, size-based separation, is dominated over the absorption/adsorption process, the concentration of dye molecules in the upper stream would change obviously with increasing permeation volume. As shown in Fig. 9a and b, the concentration of the dye molecule in the upper stream increases gradually as the permeation volume increases with an increase in time. Therefore, the physical sieving by the pores of the designed membrane is believed as the dominating factor for the separation of organic dyes, which is well supported by the earlier reported work.³⁴ However, the interactions of functional groups present over the membrane surface with charged dye molecules also could not be completely ignored, as they aid in enhancing the filtration performance.

Further, to confirm the physical sieving, we have performed the large-area Raman imaging and true component analysis of the used membrane *via* Raman spectrophotometer (WITec alpha 300 R|A|S). From Fig. 10a, it can be clearly seen that the large area Raman spectrum of the used membrane consists of two components. The first component possesses sharp peaks around 426 cm^{-1} , 626 cm^{-1} , 896 cm^{-1} , 1197 cm^{-1} , 1286 cm^{-1} , 1357 cm^{-1} , 1435 cm^{-1} , 1511 cm^{-1} , 1575 cm^{-1} , 1651 cm^{-1} , and 1916 cm^{-1} , which well matches with the characteristic peaks of rhodamine B. The second component has peaks around 1357 cm^{-1} , 1590 cm^{-1} , and 2715 cm^{-1} , corresponding to the characteristic peaks of FMWCNTs used to design the membrane. Further, Fig. 10b shows the large-area confocal Raman image of the membrane surface after filtration assessment, revealing the presence of enmeshed fluorescent rhodamine B as bright spots. Fig. 10c–e shows the extracted color-coded Raman image of component 1, *i.e.*, enmeshed contaminant rhodamine B, component 2, *i.e.*, FMWCNTs, and the combined image of components 1 and 2, respectively, showing the presence of rhodamine B in the membrane. In the color-coded combined image of components 1 and 2, it can be

clearly seen that the rhodamine B molecules (red and light-red spots) are spread over the membrane, suggesting that the dye molecules are trapped in the membrane pores. This confirms that physical sieving is the dominating factor in separating organic dyes.

Next, the designed membranes not only exhibit excellent filtration efficiency for organic contaminants, but also offer a new and faster way to re-differentiate both membranes as well as organic contaminants separately. Herein, we can easily extract the organic contaminants from the membrane by re-dispersing the used membrane in an organic solvent that was used to design the membrane, as described in Section 2.4. Thereafter, the membrane can be brought back into use for the same purpose. However, organic dyes dissolved in acetone can be further used for dyeing as acetone is highly volatile in nature. The separation of organic dyes from the membrane is merely due to better dispersion of FMWCNTs in such organic solvents and greater solubility coefficient of organic dyes in acetone.^{55,56} Briefly, the re-dispersion of FMWCNTs membrane in acetone using ultrasonication leads to the rupture of membrane mesh, and as a result, the dye molecules enmeshed into the membrane pores as well as on the surfaces easily dissolve in acetone, which is further separated with the help of vacuum filtration. In this way, we have reclaimed both membrane and contaminants separately.

Despite having moderate retention compared to reverse osmosis, membrane technology is one of the most commonly used techniques for separating the ions from contaminated ionized water, as they have lower pumping and membrane cost than reverse osmosis, which bring forward them as an economically attractive option. In addition to the characteristics discussed above, the separation performance of salts also strongly depends on the charge characteristics of the membrane. Thus, as an exploratory study, we have investigated the separation performance of our designed membrane for four different salts MgSO_4 , MgCl_2 , NaCl , and Na_2SO_4 at a concentration of 1 M at a constant pressure of 1 bar. Remarkably, high rejections of $\sim 81\%$, $\sim 79\%$, $\sim 78\%$, and $\sim 77\%$ have been observed for Na_2SO_4 , NaCl , MgSO_4 , and MgCl_2 , respectively.




Fig. 9 (a and b) UV-vis absorbance changes of upper stream of rhodamine B when the permeation time was 30, 60, 120, 150, and 180 min under a constant pressure of 1 bar.






Fig. 10 (a) True component analysis of membrane after filtration, depicting the presence of two components: component 1, *i.e.*, enmeshed contaminant rhodamine B, and component 2 *i.e.*, FMWCNTs, across the color-coded symbols. (b) Large area confocal Raman image, revealing the presence of enmeshed fluorescent rhodamine B (bright spots). (c) Extracted color-coded Raman image of component 1. (d) Extracted color-coded Raman image of component 2. (e) Combined image of components 1 and 2.

This rejection can be understood by the Donnan exclusion principle commonly used to explain the separation mechanism for charged membranes. As mentioned above, the designed membrane is negatively charged due to the presence of carboxylic and hydroxyl functional groups over the membrane surface because of chemically functionalized multiwalled carbon nanotubes. In brief, according to the Donnan exclusion

theory, at the interphase of the membrane and solution, the Donnan potential set out to separate the co-ions (the ions holding a similar charge as the membrane) from the membrane. Further, in order to maintain the electroneutrality of the solution, the counter ions (the ions holding an opposite charge as to the membrane) were also rejected as well. Consequently, at the interface of solution/membrane, there is local





Table 1 Comparison of the proposed work with other reported works to remove various contaminants from wastewater

Materials	Method adopted to design membrane	Mechanical strength (MPa)	Average pore size (nm)	Permeability ($\text{L h}^{-1} \text{m}^{-2} \text{bar}^{-1}$)	Filtration efficiency (%)	Reusability	Ref.
Ultrathin graphene	Vacuum filtration	—	1–2	21.8	>99	No	34
Graphene oxide nanosheets	Layer-by-layer deposition	0.3	10–30	276	93–95	No	15
Functionalized graphene oxide	Pressurized self-assembled technique	0.25–1.5	—	2.8–9.1	48.2–91.3	No	52
PVP-modified MWCNTs	Phase inversion precipitation method	1.5	—	270	48.2–91.3	No	53
Graphene–nanomesh/carbon–nanotube hybrid	CVD	2–4	0.55–1.41	37.2	96.4–98.7	No	40
MoS_2	Vacuum filtration	1.0	45	245	88.1–92.3	No	16
WS_2	Vacuum filtration	0.3–0.4	2–4	331–747	41–99	No	17
Metal (Cr)–organic (MeOH) frameworks	Hand-cast on cross-linked PI P84 supports through interfacial polymerization	0.6	3.4	1.5–11.1	90	No	58
FMWCNTs	Vacuum filtration	6	1.7–27.4	225	>99	Yes	This work

charge separation with a slight excess charge just outside the membrane, compensated by an equally large, but opposite, excess charge located just within the membrane. As a result, with the passage of time, an electrical double layer (EDL) is formed, and the permeance becomes slightly low.⁵⁷ Thus, it can be seen that the rejection efficiency of the membrane designed in this work is better than or comparable to other reported research works as tabulated in Table 1. This suggests that the designed membrane filter is highly efficient and economical for wastewater treatment.

4. Conclusion

In summary, we report a novel, scalable, reusable, free-standing, flexible, binder free FMWCNTs membrane for the elimination of waterborne contaminants from wastewater, designed *via* a simple vacuum-assisted filtration technique followed by cost-effective spray pyrolysis assisted CVD synthesis and chemical functionalization, respectively. Subsequently, the designed membrane has been well characterized by various characterization techniques such as FTIR, BET, TGA, and tensile tests, suggesting that the resulting membrane is highly flexible, porous, mechanically robust, and thermally stable. Moreover, the designed membrane has also attractive attributes of high separation efficiency (>99% for organic dyes and ~80% for salts), permeance $\sim 225 \text{ L h}^{-1} \text{m}^{-2} \text{bar}^{-1}$, tensile strength $\sim 6 \text{ MPa}$, and the reusability of both membrane as well as contaminants separately in some cases. Thus, we anticipate that the integration of various sturdy characteristics such as flexibility, self-supporting nature, pore tunability, high separation performance, good permeability, reusability, economical, and simple vacuum fabrication process promises a great potential for the designed membrane in a wide range of applications like wastewater treatment, nanotechnology, food industry, and life sciences.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

S. K. P. acknowledges CSIR, New Delhi, India, for providing SRF fellowship (File No: 09/0013(11481)/2021-EMR-I). A. S. acknowledges DST, India (DST-Purse Scheme 5050) and research grant for faculty (IoE scheme) under Dev. Scheme No. 6031 for providing financial assistance.

Notes and references

- 1 T. Hu, C. Pang and X. Zhou, *IOP Conf. Ser.: Earth Environ. Sci.*, 2018, **170**, 022116.
- 2 W. H. Organization, *Water, sanitation, hygiene and health: a primer for health professionals*, World Health Organization, 2019.

- 3 N. Saffaj, H. Loukili, S. A. Younssi, A. Albizane, M. Bouhria, M. Persin and A. Larbot, *Desalination*, 2004, **168**, 301–306.
- 4 A. Bouazizi, M. Breida, B. Achiou, M. Ouammou, J. I. Calvo, A. Aaddane and S. A. Younssi, *Appl. Clay Sci.*, 2017, **149**, 127–135.
- 5 S. Adhikari, S. Mandal, D. Sarkar, D.-H. Kim and G. Madras, *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 2017, **420**, 472–482.
- 6 M. Ghaedi, A. Hassanzadeh and S. N. Kokhdan, *J. Chem. Eng. Data*, 2011, **56**, 2511–2520.
- 7 V. K. Gupta, I. Ali, T. A. Saleh, A. Nayak and S. Agarwal, *RSC Adv.*, 2012, **2**, 6380–6388.
- 8 S. Ling, Z. Qin, W. Huang, S. Cao, D. L. Kaplan and M. J. Buehler, *Sci. Adv.*, 2017, **3**, e1601939.
- 9 P. Marchetti, M. F. Jimenez Solomon, G. Szekely and A. G. Livingston, *Chem. Rev.*, 2014, **114**, 10735–10806.
- 10 S. J. Gao, H. Qin, P. Liu and J. Jin, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2015, **3**, 6649–6654.
- 11 A. Akbari, P. Sheath, S. T. Martin, D. B. Shinde, M. Shaibani, P. C. Banerjee, R. Tkacz, D. Bhattacharyya and M. Majumder, *Nat. Commun.*, 2016, **7**, 1–12.
- 12 Q. G. Zhang, C. Deng, F. Soyekwo, Q. L. Liu and A. M. Zhu, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2016, **26**, 792–800.
- 13 X. Peng, J. Jin, Y. Nakamura, T. Ohno and I. Ichinose, *Nat. Nanotechnol.*, 2009, **4**, 353–357.
- 14 S. Ling, K. Jin, D. L. Kaplan and M. J. Buehler, *Nano Lett.*, 2016, **16**, 3795–3800.
- 15 M. Hu and B. Mi, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 2013, **47**, 3715–3723.
- 16 L. Sun, H. Huang and X. Peng, *Chem. Commun.*, 2013, **49**, 10718–10720.
- 17 L. Sun, Y. Ying, H. Huang, Z. Song, Y. Mao, Z. Xu and X. Peng, *ACS Nano*, 2014, **8**, 6304–6311.
- 18 Q. Zhang, S. Ghosh, S. Samitsu, X. Peng and I. Ichinose, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2011, **21**, 1684–1688.
- 19 Q. GenáZhang, G. LuáHan, A. MeiáZhu and Q. LináLiu, *Nanoscale*, 2013, **5**, 11028–11034.
- 20 Q. Wang, S. Samitsu and I. Ichinose, *Adv. Mater.*, 2011, **23**, 2004–2008.
- 21 R. Mourhatch, T. T. Tsotsis and M. Sahimi, *J. Membr. Sci.*, 2010, **356**, 138–146.
- 22 S. Karan, S. Samitsu, X. Peng, K. Kurashima and I. Ichinose, *Science*, 2012, **335**, 444–447.
- 23 A. Eguizábal, M. Sgroi, D. Pullini, E. Ferain and M. Pina, *J. Membr. Sci.*, 2014, **454**, 243–252.
- 24 C. C. Striemer, T. R. Gaborski, J. L. McGrath and P. M. Fauchet, *Nature*, 2007, **445**, 749–753.
- 25 X. Peng, J. Jin and I. Ichinose, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2007, **17**, 1849–1855.
- 26 H. W. Liang, L. Wang, P. Y. Chen, H. T. Lin, L. F. Chen, D. He and S. H. Yu, *Adv. Mater.*, 2010, **22**, 4691–4695.
- 27 N. Joseph, P. Ahmadiannamini, R. Hoogenboom and I. F. Vankelecom, *Polym. Chem.*, 2014, **5**, 1817–1831.
- 28 C.-N. Yeh, K. Raidongia, J. Shao, Q.-H. Yang and J. Huang, *Nat. Chem.*, 2015, **7**, 166–170.
- 29 W. Hirunpinyopas, E. Prestat, S. D. Worrall, S. J. Haigh, R. A. Dryfe and M. A. Bissett, *ACS Nano*, 2017, **11**, 11082–11090.
- 30 Y. Yang, P. Dementyev, N. Biere, D. Emmrich, P. Stohmann, R. Korzetz, X. Zhang, A. Beyrer, S. Koch and D. Anselmetti, *ACS Nano*, 2018, **12**, 4695–4701.
- 31 S. Zheng, Q. Tu, J. J. Urban, S. Li and B. Mi, *ACS Nano*, 2017, **11**, 6440–6450.
- 32 B. Van der Bruggen, M. Mänttári and M. Nyström, *Sep. Purif. Technol.*, 2008, **63**, 251–263.
- 33 L. F. Dumée, K. Sears, J. Schütz, N. Finn, C. Huynh, S. Hawkins, M. Duke and S. Gray, *J. Membr. Sci.*, 2010, **351**, 36–43.
- 34 Y. Han, Z. Xu and C. Gao, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2013, **23**, 3693–3700.
- 35 M. Majumder, N. Chopra, R. Andrews and B. J. Hinds, *Nature*, 2005, **438**, 44.
- 36 A. Kalra, S. Garde and G. Hummer, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2003, **100**, 10175–10180.
- 37 K. Falk, F. Sedlmeier, L. Joly, R. R. Netz and L. Bocquet, *Nano Lett.*, 2010, **10**, 4067–4073.
- 38 G. Hummer, J. C. Rasaiah and J. P. Noworyta, *Nature*, 2001, **414**, 188–190.
- 39 R. Wan, H. Lu, J. Li, J. Bao, J. Hu and H. Fang, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2009, **11**, 9898–9902.
- 40 Y. Yang, X. Yang, L. Liang, Y. Gao, H. Cheng, X. Li, M. Zou, R. Ma, Q. Yuan and X. Duan, *Science*, 2019, **364**, 1057–1062.
- 41 C. D. Vecitis, G. Gao and H. Liu, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2011, **115**, 3621–3629.
- 42 B. Lee, Y. Baek, M. Lee, D. H. Jeong, H. H. Lee, J. Yoon and Y. H. Kim, *Nat. Commun.*, 2015, **6**, 1–7.
- 43 M. Yu, H. H. Funke, J. L. Falconer and R. D. Noble, *Nano Lett.*, 2009, **9**, 225–229.
- 44 S. Kim, J. R. Jinschek, H. Chen, D. S. Sholl and E. Marand, *Nano Lett.*, 2007, **7**, 2806–2811.
- 45 A. Srivastava, O. Srivastava, S. Talapatra, R. Vajtai and P. Ajayan, *Nat. Mater.*, 2004, **3**, 610–614.
- 46 S. K. Pandey, S. Teotia and A. Srivastava, *Int. J. Mater. Sci.*, 2017, **12**, 2017.
- 47 S. K. Pandey, P. K. Vishwakarma, S. K. Yadav, P. Shukla and A. Srivastava, *ACS Appl. Nano Mater.*, 2019, **3**, 760–771.
- 48 S. Bolisetty and R. Mezzenga, *Nat. Nanotechnol.*, 2016, **11**, 365–371.
- 49 B. Liang, H. Wang, X. Shi, B. Shen, X. He, Z. A. Ghazi, N. A. Khan, H. Sin, A. M. Khattak and L. Li, *Nat. Chem.*, 2018, **10**, 961–967.
- 50 F. Avilés, J. Cauich-Rodríguez, L. Moo-Tah, A. May-Pat and R. Vargas-Coronado, *Carbon*, 2009, **47**, 2970–2975.
- 51 S. Sahebian, S. Zebarjad, J. Vahdati Khaki and A. Lazzeri, *J. Nanostruct. Chem.*, 2015, **5**, 287–293.
- 52 Y. Yuan, X. Gao, Y. Wei, X. Wang, J. Wang, Y. Zhang and C. Gao, *Desalination*, 2017, **405**, 29–39.
- 53 K. Zhu, G. Wang, S. Zhang, Y. Du, Y. Lu, R. Na, Y. Mu and Y. Zhang, *RSC Adv.*, 2017, **7**, 30564–30572.
- 54 S. Sorribas, P. Gorgojo, C. Téllez, J. Coronas and A. G. Livingston, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, **135**, 15201–15208.
- 55 G. Sun, Z. Liu and G. Chen, *Nano*, 2010, **5**, 103–109.
- 56 G. Baughman, S. Banerjee and T. Perenich, in *Physico-Chemical Principles of Color Chemistry*, Springer, 1996, pp. 145–195.
- 57 A. Galama, J. Post, M. C. Stuart and P. Biesheuvel, *J. Membr. Sci.*, 2013, **442**, 131–139.
- 58 S. Sorribas, P. Gorgojo, C. Téllez, J. Coronas and A. G. Livingston, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, **135**, 15201–15208.

