

RESEARCH ARTICLE

[View Article Online](#)
[View Journal](#) | [View Issue](#)

 Cite this: *Inorg. Chem. Front.*, 2022,
 9, 4151

Tuning the electronic communication of the Ru–O bond in ultrafine Ru nanoparticles to boost the alkaline electrocatalytic hydrogen production activity at large current density†

 Weihang Feng, Yongqiang Feng,  * Yingrui He, Junsheng Chen, Hai Wang, Tianmi Luo, Yuzhu Hu, Chengke Yuan, Liyun Cao, Liangliang Feng  and Jianfeng Huang 

The rational design and synthesis of efficient electrocatalysts for the hydrogen evolution reaction (HER) are of great importance for alkaline hydrogen production. This study describes a nanocomposite material design, in which ultrafine and small (2.0–3.5 nm) Ru nanoparticles coordinated with O atom sites are supported on a carbon matrix formed by $C_{60}(OH)_n$ (Ru–O/C-600). The Ru–O bonds create a channel of electron communication to facilitate charge transfer and improve the conductivity of electrocatalysts. The ultrafine and evenly-distributed Ru nanoparticles provide a high density of active sites to extend electrochemical surface areas. Ru–O/C-600 achieved a low overpotential of 32 mV at a current density of 10 mA cm^{-2} with a small Tafel slope of 51.8 mV dec^{-1} and long-term stability of 50 h. Moreover, Ru–O/C-600 also gave an output of 500 and 1000 mA cm^{-2} with an overpotential of 242 and 383 mV for practical use. These findings open up new avenues for developing Ru-based hybridization materials with enhanced electron transfer and abundant active sites for HER performance.

 Received 20th April 2022,
 Accepted 19th June 2022

DOI: 10.1039/d2qi00847e

rsc.li/frontiers-inorganic

Introduction

Hydrogen (H_2) is a form of clean energy, and hydrogen production by water splitting¹ is an important green hydrogen production method.² Platinum (Pt) is currently the best choice for electrode catalysts for hydrogen production by water splitting,³ but it is scarce and expensive.⁴ Researchers are pursuing the development of inexpensive and convenient catalysts for hydrogen evolution (HER) to replace Pt.⁵ Non-noble metal catalysts, such as carbides,⁶ nitrides,⁷ oxides,⁸ phosphates,⁹ sulfides¹⁰ and transition metal borides,¹¹ have been extensively explored as alternatives to Pt. In recent years, cost-effective precious metals (Ir,¹² Ru,¹³ Pd,¹⁴ etc.), with electronic configurations similar to Pt, have been investigated as reliable substitutes with efficient HER ability.

Ruthenium (Ru) in the form of nanoparticles,¹⁵ alloys^{16,17} and single atoms^{18,19} showed HER activity in alkaline solution comparable to that of commercial platinum catalysts. Ru nano-

particles have promoted catalytic performance *via* suitable hetero-atom doping, adjusting the morphology and reconstituting the nanostructure.²⁰ According to a previous study, Youn and Choi successfully synthesized Ru/C nanoparticles and probed HER activity during the phase-transition process ($Ru_{\Delta ccp}/C \cdots Ru_{\Delta c \rightarrow h}/C \cdots Ru_{\Delta hcp}/C$),²¹ suggesting that atomic interactions on nanoparticle surfaces were activated by phase-transitions, which could optimize the HER performance in alkaline media. Moreover, the introduction of nonmetallic elements (N,^{22,23} P,²⁴ S,²⁵ O,²⁶ etc.) and anions can change the metal coordination environment at the interface by adjusting the charge distribution, thus affecting its catalytic performance. Zhou and his group prepared Ru/ Co_3O_4 by cation replacement and controlled reduction, which further proved that the introduction of O improved the intrinsic HER activity.²⁷ Although several viable improvements have been made, there is a scarcity of research on the synergistic effects between oxygen coordination and the distribution of metal nanoparticles.²⁸

Herein, we first synthesized Ru–O/C-600 electrocatalysts by liquid-phase precipitation and pyrolysis methods. Ru–O/C-600 possesses enhanced HER catalytic activity with an overpotential of 32 mV and a current density of 10 mA cm^{-2} , which is more favorable than that of 20 wt% Pt/C (46 mV). For large current density, Ru–O/C-600 delivered 500 and 1000 mA cm^{-2} with the overpotential of 242 and 383 mV, respectively.

School of Materials Science and Engineering, Shaanxi Key Laboratory of Green Preparation and Functionalization for Inorganic Materials, Shaanxi University of Science and Technology, Xi'an, 710021, People's Republic of China.

E-mail: fengyq@sust.edu.cn

 † Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1039/d2qi00847e>

Researched *via* structural characterization and chemical state analysis, Ru nanoparticles were anchored on carbon substrates with Ru–O bonds through the strong electron absorption of the surface hydroxyl group on $C_{60}(OH)_n$, and the ultrafine and small particle size of Ru nanoparticles has a significant positive effect on enhancing the HER activity of the material. Our study provides a possible way to explore the catalytic activity of Ru by regulating surrounding bonds between nanoparticles and substrates and producing efficient Ru-based HER catalysts.

Experimental

Chemicals and reagents

All reagents were used as received without any further purification. Ruthenium trichloride ($RuCl_3$), Pt/C (20 wt%), Nafion solution (5%) and potassium hydroxide (KOH, $\geq 95\%$) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Fullerene (C_{60}) was purchased from Xiamen Funano New Material Technology Co. Ltd. Toluene (C_7H_8 , $\geq 99.5\%$), isopropyl alcohol (IPA, $(CH_3)_2CHOH$, $\geq 99.7\%$), ethanol (EtOH, CH_3CH_2OH , 99.7%), methanol (MeOH, CH_3OH , 99%), tetrabutylammonium hydroxide (TBAH, 50% in water) and hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2 , 40%) were received from Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co. Ltd. Deionized water (DW, $18.25 M\Omega cm^{-1}$) was obtained from the ultra-pure purification system (ULUPURE, UPDR-I-10T).

The preparation of $C_{60}(OH)_n$

In the preparation process of $C_{60}(OH)_n$,²⁹ first, 200 mg of C_{60} was dissolved in a 250 mL flask containing 150 mL toluene, then 5 mL of 50% TBAH and 10 mL of H_2O_2 were added to the toluene solution. After stirring at 80 °C for 5 days, the upper toluene layer turned into a colourless transparent solution and the lower water layer turned into a bright yellow solution. The yellow and turbid aqueous solution at the bottom of the flask was separated using a separation funnel and condensed by evaporation. The obtained yellow-brown solid was freeze-dried and dehydrated under vacuum to give a bright yellow sample of $C_{60}(OH)_n$ powder.³⁰

The preparation of Ru–O/C-600, Ru–O/C-500 and Ru–O/C-700

Ru–O/C-600 HER electrocatalyst was prepared by condensation reflux and solid-phase sintering methods. Firstly, 400 mg of $C_{60}(OH)_n$ and 40 mg $RuCl_3$ were completely dissolved in 200 mL of deionized water, and then the mixture was placed in a round-bottom flask at 120 °C for condensation and reflux for 6 h. After cooling to room temperature, the precursor of Ru–O/C-600 was obtained by centrifugal extraction and filtration. The grey-black powder obtained was placed in a corundum porcelain boat under the protection of Ar/H_2 (5%) mixture in a tubular furnace, heated from room temperature to 600 °C at a heating rate of 5 °C min^{-1} , and kept for 3 h. After cooling to room temperature, the black powder was collected and ground to obtain the target product Ru–O/C-600 electrocatalyst.

In contrast, Ru–O/C-500 and Ru–O/C-700 electrocatalysts were synthesized by changing the temperature of the tube furnace to 500 °C and 700 °C under the same experimental conditions; Ru/C-600 without the Ru–O bond was synthesized by using C_{60} instead of $C_{60}(OH)_n$ to clarify the effect of oxygen on the Ru species under the same experimental conditions.

Structural characterization

X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns of the electrocatalysts were obtained on a Rigaku D/max-2200PC diffractometer (Japan) using Cu $K\alpha$ radiation ($\lambda = 1.5406 \text{ \AA}$). High-resolution Transmission Electron Microscope (HRTEM) images and EDS mapping images were recorded using a JEOL JEM-2010 field-emission transmission electron microscope with an accelerating voltage of 200 kV. The chemical bonding states and compositions of the samples were processed by Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FT-IR) in the range of 4000 to 400 cm^{-1} on a Bruker vector-80 installation. Raman spectra were collected on a Renishaw-inVia Microscopic confocal laser Raman spectrometer with 532 nm as the excitation laser. The pyrolysis process of the precursors was characterized by thermogravimetry and differential thermal analysis (TG/DTA) using Universal V4.5A TA Instruments from room temperature to 800 °C in a N_2 atmosphere with a heating rate of 5 °C min^{-1} . Deionized water (DW, $18.25 M\Omega cm^{-1}$) was obtained from the ultra-pure purification system (ULUPURE, UPDR-I-10T).

Electrochemical measurements

Electrode preparation. Here, 10 mg samples were dissolved in 398 μL of IPA, to which was added 2 μL of 5% Nafion, forming a homogenous liquid. Then, 2 μL of testing ink, from the 400 μL solution above, was dropped onto the surface of the GCE (glassy carbon electrode, 0.0706 cm^2) to form a uniform and even sample layer.

The HER tests were performed on the electrochemical workstation (CHI660E, Chenhua, Shanghai) using a three-electrode system in N_2 -saturated 1 M KOH. A glassy carbon electrode (GCE, 0.0706 cm^2) was used as the working electrode. The mass loading of each sample on the electrode was calculated to be about 0.7 $mg cm^{-2}$. For the large-scale current measurement, the catalyst ink was drop-casted on the surface of carbon fiber paper ($0.3 \times 0.3 \text{ mm}$, 0.09 mm^2) with a loading amount of 0.56 $mg cm^{-2}$. The graphite rod was used as a counter electrode and a saturated Hg/HgO electrode as a reference electrode. All potentials collected in this work were calibrated against the reversible hydrogen electrode (RHE), with Pt foil as the working electrode and Pt wire as the counter electrode. The potentials were obtained *via* the equation $E(RHE) = E(Hg/HgO) + 0.932$ (in 1 M KOH).

The electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) measurement was performed within the frequency range from 100 kHz to 0.1 Hz at a potential corresponding to the current density of 10 $mA cm^{-2}$. The cyclic voltammogram (CV) curves were obtained in 1 M KOH for the HER (0.2 to 0.3 V *vs.* RHE) and OER (0.95 to 1.05 V *vs.* RHE) in the non-faradaic region with scanning rates of 2, 4, 6, 8, 10 and 12 $mV s^{-1}$. Double

layer capacity (C_{dl}) was obtained by plotting the current difference of the CV curves. The electrochemical active surface area (ECSA) was determined using the following equation:

$$ECSA = \frac{C_{dl}}{C_s} \times S \quad (1)$$

where C_s is the specific capacitance of the nanoparticles (herein 0.04 mF cm^{-2}), and S is the surface area of the electrode.

Results and discussion

Structure characterizations and chemical properties of Ru-O/C-600

The Ru-O/C-600 catalyst was well prepared *via* a simple reflux condensation treatment followed by pyrolysis under an Ar/H₂ atmosphere as schematically illustrated in Fig. 1. During the reflux condensation step, the Ru³⁺ tended to coordinate with




Fig. 1 Schematic of the synthetic procedure of the Ru-O/C-600 sample.

$C_{60}(\text{OH})_n$. After that, $C_{60}(\text{OH})_n$ was then decomposed into bowl-like fragments according to the thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) (Fig. S1†) under pyrolysis, and the coordinated Ru³⁺ were simultaneously reduced to Ru nanoparticles. Synchronously, the decomposed bowl-like fragments from $C_{60}(\text{OH})_n$ were transferred to the O-doped carbon matrix.

Fig. 2a shows the XRD patterns of Ru-O/C-600, Ru-O/C-500 and Ru-O/C-700 electrocatalysts. The XRD patterns of the three samples were very similar, with three strong peaks at 38.4°, 42.2° and 44.0°, corresponding to (100), (002) and (101) lattices of Ru (JCPDS No: 06-0663), and two weak peaks located at 58.3° and 69.4° belonging to the (102) and (110) facets of Ru. It is worth noting that at low diffraction angles (15°–30°), the XRD curves of Ru-O/C-600 and Ru-O/C-700 samples exhibited broadening peaks with weak intensity, which could be identified as the graphitized carbon substrate transformed from $C_{60}(\text{OH})_n$ after pyrolysis. However, the XRD curve of Ru-O/C-500 samples did not have such a wide peak, which can be attributed to incomplete graphitization at low temperature, 500 °C. In Fig. S2,† the XRD pattern of the Ru/C-600 sample displayed characteristic peaks of C₆₀ and Ru species, proving that C₆₀ existed in Ru/C-600 rather than decomposition at 600 °C. In the Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FT-IR) of the three samples (Fig. 2b), the –C–O–C–C, –C=C, –C=O and –C–H signals, located at around 1200–1350, 1579, 1695–1843 and 3400 cm^{–1}, proved that the carbon substrate, which was transformed by $C_{60}(\text{OH})_n$, existed in all three samples. Additionally, in Fig. 2c, for Ru-O/C-600, Ru-O/C-500 and Ru-O/C-700 electrocatalysts, it was obvious that the D and G peaks of carbon were located at 1350 and 1597 cm^{–1}, respectively. The I_D/I_G intensity ratios of Ru-O/C-600, Ru-O/C-500 and Ru-O/C-700 samples were 0.82, 0.98 and 0.78 (Table S1†), respectively. According to previous studies, the lower I_D/I_G value revealed a higher graphitic degree and outstanding conductivity,³¹ and the higher I_D/I_G value indicated more defects in the carbon matrix,³² which demonstrated that plentiful O atoms or metal atoms (Ru) were doped into the carbon framework. Therefore, the Ru-O/C-600 electrocatalyst, with a suitable I_D/I_G value, provided more active sites and




Fig. 2 Structure characterization of Ru-O/C-600, Ru-O/C-700 and Ru-O/C-500. (a) XRD patterns, (b) FT-IR spectra and (c) Raman spectra of Ru-O/C-600 (red), Ru-O/C-700 (green) and Ru-O/C-500 (blue).




Fig. 3 (a) HRTEM, (b) SAED, (c) SEM and (d) HAADF-TEM images and the corresponding elemental mapping of Ru, C and O for Ru-O/C-600. HRTEM images of (e) Ru-O/C-500, (f) Ru-O/C-600 and (g) Ru-O/C-700. Scale bar: (a–g) 5 nm, 21/nm, 1 μ m, 20 nm, 20 nm, 20 nm, and 20 nm, respectively.

accelerated electron transportation to promote its electrocatalytic activity.

Fig. 3a shows the HRTEM images of Ru-O/C-600, in which bright yellow areas are marked as Ru nanoparticles with uniform particle sizes. By measuring the lattice fringe, it was confirmed that the characteristic lattice fringe is the (101) crystal plane of the hexagonal crystal phase Ru, and the corresponding crystal plane spacing was 0.214 nm. It was believed that Ru nanoparticles were evenly distributed on the carbon matrix. In addition, the corresponding selected electron diffraction pattern (Fig. 3b) depicted Ru (002) crystal plane diffraction spots, which further confirmed the crystal structure of Ru nanoparticles. Moreover, scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images of Ru-O/C-600 (Fig. 3c) illustrated that Ru nanoparticles were modified on the carbon matrix. HAADF-TEM images and element mapping (Fig. 3d) clearly showed that Ru in the Ru-O/C-600 particles was uniformly distributed on the O-doped carbon substrate, consistent with the previous XRD, FT-IR and Raman results. Fig. 3e, f and g revealed the particle size of Ru nanoparticles in three different samples. When the pyrolysis temperature was 500 $^{\circ}$ C, the particle size of Ru nanoparticles in Ru-O/C-500 samples was small and densely distributed on the carbon substrate in Fig. 3e, and most Ru nanoparticles ranged in size from 2.0 nm to 3.5 nm. Fig. 3e and S3a[†] also show that the crystallinity of Ru nanoparticles in the Ru-O/C-500 sample was very poor, and the lattice fringe could not be observed. When the pyrolysis temperature rose to 600 $^{\circ}$ C, the sizes of most Ru nanocrystalline particles were still

between 2.0 nm and 3.5 nm in Fig. 3f. It was confirmed that Ru nanoparticles in the Ru-O/C-600 sample maintained the characteristics of small particle size and dense distribution on the carbon substrate while improving the crystallinity and exposing the crystal planes. Lattice stripes were visible. When the pyrolysis temperature rose to 700 $^{\circ}$ C, Ru nanoparticles in Ru-O/C-700 samples showed an aggravated agglomeration phenomenon, and the particle size growth became large and uneven in Fig. 3g. Fig. S3b[†] depicted that Ru nanoparticles in the Ru-O/C-700 sample had good crystallinity, and Ru (101) crystal planes were exposed with a spacing of 0.205 nm. Therefore, it could be inferred that the optimal temperature for the growth of Ru nanoparticles in the three samples was 600 $^{\circ}$ C. At this temperature, the crystallinity of Ru nanoparticles was improved, while the particle size was small and dense on the carbon layer. In Fig. S4,[†] the TEM image showed the lattices of Ru (100) and C₆₀ (420) in the Ru/C-600 sample. The Ru and C₆₀ nanoparticles were in different sizes. The ICP-AES analysis of Ru-O/C-600, Ru-O/C-500 and Ru-O/C-700 showed that the content of Ru in Ru-O/C-600 (30.81%) was higher than that in Ru-O/C-500 and Ru-O/C-700 (25.33% and 28.79%), and the high metal content was conducive to the enhancement of the HER activity.

The XPS results further evaluated the elemental valence states and electronic structures of Ru-O/C-500, Ru-O/C-600 and Ru-O/C-700 samples. As shown in Fig. S5,[†] Ru-O/C-500, Ru-O/C-600 and Ru-O/C-700 samples contained Ru, C and O elements, which were consistent with TEM mapping results.

According to Fig. S6,† the peaks, appearing in the C 1s spectrum of Ru–O/C-600 samples, could be attributed to C–C/C=C (284.6 eV), C–O (285.7 eV) and C=O (287.5 eV) species,^{33,34} respectively, which demonstrated that O atoms were anchored to the carbon layer. The three C species could also be found in Ru–O/C-500 and Ru–O/C-700 samples in corresponding locations. Moreover, in the Ru 3d spectrum, the peaks of Ru⁰ were located at 280.4 eV and 284.5 eV,³⁵ and the peaks of Ru⁴⁺ were located at 281.1 eV and 285.5 eV. In the Ru 3p spectrum, due to the different orientations of electrons in the electron spin-orbital interactions, the Ru 3p orbital was split into two orbitals Ru 3p_{3/2} and Ru 3p_{1/2}. The peaks at 462.7 eV and 484.9 eV of Ru–O/C-500 could be attributed to Ru⁰ species (Fig. 4a), and peaks at 466.7 eV and 487.1 eV could be attributed to Ru⁴⁺ species with surface oxidation.³⁶

Compared with the Ru–O/C-500 sample, the peak of the Ru⁰ species in Ru–O/C-600 and Ru–O/C-700 samples appeared at 462.2 eV and 484.4 eV, and shifted by 0.5 eV and 0.2 eV to the direction of low binding energy, respectively. It demonstrated that Ru⁰ in Ru–O/C-600 and Ru–O/C-700 samples were in the state of gaining electrons, becoming electron acceptors. Similarly, surface oxidized Ru⁴⁺ species also appeared in the Ru–O/C-600 and Ru–O/C-700 samples. Fig. 4b shows the valence analysis of element O in the three samples. In the O 1s spectrum of Ru–O/C-500, peaks at 529.9 eV, 531.7 eV and 532.6 eV could be attributed to the metal–oxygen bond (Ru–O), O vacancy and absorbed hydroxyl or H₂O, respectively.^{4,37} It was supposed that the entry of O into the Ru lattice during the pyrolysis of C₆₀(OH)_n caused the formation of the oxygen coordination vacancy. In contrast, these three O elements were also presented in Ru–O/C-600 and Ru–O/C-700 samples. Among them, the Ru–O bond migrated with 0.5 eV and 0.2 eV in the direction of high binding energy, which gave solid evidence that O in Ru–O/C-600 and Ru–O/C-700, as the electron donor, lost part of the charges. Notably, the larger shift of the Ru–O binding energy in Ru–O/C-600 as compared with Ru–O/C-700 could be attributed to the facile charge communication between Ru and O in the former, while for the latter the destruction of the Ru–O bond at 700 °C led to the decrease in such electronic communication. In this case, Ru–O bonds

could create the electron pathway to accelerate electron transfer between Ru and O, which facilitated the electrocatalytic HER performance. Thus, the strong electron communication between Ru and O in the Ru–O/C-600 sample probably represented more outstanding HER performance.

HER performance of Ru–O/C-600

To prove the electrocatalytic performance of the synthesized Ru–O/C-600 catalyst, a standard three-electrode system was used to test the HER in a 1 M KOH aqueous solution. A Hg/HgO electrode was used as the reference electrode, a carbon rod was used as the counter electrode, and a glassy carbon electrode was used as the working electrode. For accuracy, calibration with the RHE reference to the Hg/HgO reference electrode in 1 M KOH media was done prior to all tests³⁸ (Fig. S7†).

For comparison, Ru–O/C-500, Ru–O/C-700 and commercial 20% Pt/C were tested as references. All test data were collected after the electrode cycle until a stable performance was obtained. Fig. 5a was the linear sweep voltammetry curve (LSV) at the scanning rate of 1 mV s⁻¹. It could be seen that the overpotential (η_{10}) of Ru–O/C-600 was only 32 mV at the current density of 10 mA cm⁻² (the corresponding efficiency of solar energy to hydrogen was 12.3%), which was far lower than the other comparison samples Ru–O/C-500 (190 mV), Ru–O/C-700 (75 mV) and commercial 20% Pt/C (46 mV). Besides, the LSV curves normalized by Ru content (Fig. S8†) demonstrated that Ru–O/C-600 outperformed Ru–O/C-500 and Ru–O/C-700. Furthermore, compared with Ru–O/C-600, the η_{10} for the sample of Ru/C-600 without a Ru–O bond increased to 124 mV (Fig. S9†), much higher than that of Ru–O/C-600 (32 mV), demonstrating the positive regulatory effect of the O atom on Ru species for improving the HER performance. As shown in Fig. 5b, the Tafel slopes of Ru–O/C-600, Ru–O/C-500, Ru–O/C-700 and commercial 20% Pt/C were 51.8, 236.9, 99.8 and 61.2 mV dec⁻¹, respectively. Among them, the Tafel slope of Ru–O/C-600 was the smallest, according to the Volmer–Heyrovsky process, which indicated the strongest inherent activity and the fastest reaction kinetics of the electrocatalytic HER.³⁹ The bar chart in Fig. 5c shows that the Ru–O/C-600 sample had the lowest overpotential Tafel slope compared with other samples, which advocated the remarkable electrocatalytic hydrogen evolution activity. To further examine the electrochemical performance of the Ru–O/C-600 sample, the specific surface area of its electrochemical activity was measured by the double-layer capacitance method (C_{dl}) in Fig. 5d and Fig. S10.† CV testing is an effective method for the determination of the C_{dl} of the catalyst.⁴⁰ The C_{dl} value of Ru–O/C-600 was 117.5 mF cm⁻². Compared with Ru–O/C-500 (1.5 mF cm⁻²), Ru–O/C-700 (10.8 mF cm⁻²) and commercial 20% Pt/C (26.1 mF cm⁻²), Ru–O/C-600 had the largest double capacitance value, which probably benefited from the ultrafine size, homogeneous distribution and advantageous crystallinity of Ru nanoparticles. The HER dynamics and charge transfer between the electrode and electrolyte interface were analysed by the EIS method.^{41,42} The charge transfer resistance (R_{ct}) is




Fig. 4 High-resolution XPS spectra for (a) Ru 3p and (b) O 1s of Ru–O/C-500, Ru–O/C-600 and Ru–O/C-700.




Fig. 5 HER performance tests in 1 M KOH: (a) LSV curves; (b) Tafel slopes; (c) comparison of η_{10} (red column) and Tafel slope (green column); (d) the corresponding plots of current density difference against scan rates; (e) Nyquist plots during the HER test for (a) 20% Pt/C, (b) Ru–O/C-500, (c) Ru–O/C-700 and (d) Ru–O/C-600. (f) Stability test of Ru–O/C-600 (inset: LSV curves before and after 2000 CV cycles). (g) Comparison of the overpotential at 10 mA cm⁻² (η_{10}) in 1 M KOH for Ru–O/C-600 with recently-reported Ru-based HER electrocatalysts.

related to the interface charge transfer process of the electrode. In general, the lower the R_{ct} , the faster the hydrogen production. The Nyquist diagram of the Ru–O/C-600 sample (Fig. 5e) showed a smaller semicircle diameter. Compared with Ru–O/C-500 (81.5 Ω), Ru–O/C-700 (40.5 Ω) and 20% Pt/C (29.8 Ω), the sample had an excellent R_{ct} of 12.8 Ω (Table S2[†]). A dominant index of the electrocatalyst was its catalytic stability, which meant long catalytic times. The Ru–O/C-600 electrocatalyst showed satisfactory durability as measured in long-term chronograph amperes (Fig. 5f).^{43,44} It could be seen that the activity of the Ru–O/C-600 electrocatalyst remained stable for at least 50 h with almost no decay. On the other hand, after 2000 cycles of CV, the HER polarization curve only showed slight attenuation. Finally, the overpotential of Ru–O/C-600 at 10 mA cm⁻² current density was compared with other recently reported Ru-based HER electrocatalysts in alkaline media (Fig. 5g). The results illustrated that the Ru–O/C-600 electrocatalyst was superior to most Ru-based HER electrocatalysts recently reported (Table S3[†]). In conclusion, compared with the single-phase Ru–O/C-500, Ru–O/C-700 and Ru/C-600, Ru–O/C-600 with small particle size, uniform distribution, obvious crystallinity and electron communication between Ru and O of Ru nanoparticles had distinguished HER performance.

The XPS results showed the chemical states in the Ru–O/C-600 catalyst after long-time cyclic (2000 cycles CV) stability

testing (Fig. S11[†]), illustrating that the electron transfer environment between Ru and O was not damaged, which further confirmed the excellent stability of Ru–O/C-600 (Fig. 6a and b). The TEM images and elemental mapping of the samples confirmed that the morphology of the Ru–O/C-600 electrocatalyst remained intact and the particle size was uniform after 50 h, almost the same as before (Fig. 6c and Fig. S12[†]).

For practical purposes, the HER performance under industrial-level current output at 1000 mA cm⁻² was investigated by coating the catalyst powder onto the surface of carbon fiber paper (CFP). As shown in Fig. 7a, Ru–O/C-600 displayed excellent HER activity in the range of 1000 mA cm⁻² in alkaline conditions. Specifically, it could reach current densities of 500 and 1000 mA cm⁻² with overpotentials of 242 and 383 mV, respectively, much lower than those (358 and 599 mV) of 20% Pt/C (Fig. 7b). Furthermore, compared with Ru–O/C-600, the samples of Ru–O/C-500, Ru–O/C-700 and Ru/C-600 reached 891, 902 and 443 mA cm⁻² with an overpotential of 600 mV, which showed much worse HER performance under a relatively large-scale current output. Moreover, after continuous *i-t* operating for 40 h, the LSV curves of Ru–O/C-600 in alkaline conditions showed 257 and 395 mV, respectively, at the current densities of 500 and 1000 mA cm⁻² with negligible decay (dashed lines in Fig. 7a). Chronoamperometric measurement




Fig. 6 The Ru–O/C-600 results after long-time stability testing. High-resolution XPS spectra of (a) Ru 3p and (b) O 1s. (c) TEM image. Scale bar: 10 nm.




Fig. 7 HER performance under large-current conditions. (a) LSV curves of Ru–O/C-600, 20% Pt/C and carbon fiber paper (CFP) in 1 M KOH; the dashed lines in (a) refer to the LSV curves of Ru–O/C-600 CFP after the 40 h *i*–*t* test. (b) Comparison of the overpotentials at the current densities of 500 and 1000 mA cm^{−2} for Ru–O/C-600 and 20% Pt/C, both in 1 M KOH. (c) Long-term chronoamperometric testing of Ru–O/C-600 in 1 M KOH at a current density of 1000 mA cm^{−2}.

proved that the electrocatalytic activity of Ru–O/C-600 could be maintained for at least for 40 h under the current density of 1000 mA cm^{−2} in 1 M KOH (Fig. 7c). Overall, considering the high activity and favorable durability, Ru–O/C-600 would be a convincing alternative HER catalyst to Pt/C for practical hydrogen production.

Conclusions

In summary, we first synthesized C₆₀(OH)_n yellow powder by a liquid–liquid interface precipitation method, and prepared the Ru³⁺ and C₆₀(OH)_n precursor by a condensation reflux method. After high-temperature pyrolysis, the Ru–O/C-600 electrocatalyst was obtained. Ru–O/C-600 exhibited outstanding HER activity in alkaline medium. Ru–O/C-600 required only 32 mV overpotential in 1 M KOH to achieve a current density of 10 mA cm^{−2}, which exceeded the widely used commercial 20% Pt/C. Furthermore, the Ru–O/C-600 catalyst can provide 500 and 1000 mA cm^{−2} with an overpotential of 242 and 383 mV. After characterization of the internal structure and chemical composition, the Ru–O/C-600 electrocatalyst had a high HER activity due to the following reasons: (1) in Ru–O/C-600, evenly

distributed Ru nanoparticles have a small particle size (2.0–3.5 nm) with good crystallinity, exposing the active catalytic crystal surface to increase in the specific surface area of the electrochemical activity. The reaction kinetics between the electrocatalyst and electrolyte were accelerated. (2) The charge transfer between Ru and O regulated the electronic structure of the electrocatalyst, promoted the electron transfer rate in Ru–O/C-600, reduced the charge transfer resistance, and enhanced the intrinsic catalytic activity of the material. Therefore, the feasible work of Ru–O/C-600 provided a reliable and novel direction for designing and controlling the electronic structure of nanoparticle electrocatalysts.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

This work was financially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (52072226, 52073166), Scientific

Research Program Funded by Shaanxi Provincial Education Department (No. 20JY001), the Xi'an Key Laboratory of Green Manufacture of Ceramic Materials Foundation (No. 2019220214SYS017CG039), the Key Program for International S&T Cooperation Projects of Shaanxi Province (2020KW-0382020GHJD-04), Science and Technology Program of Xi'an, China (2020KJRC0009) and Science and Technology Resource Sharing Platform of Shaanxi Province (2020PT-022). Dr Y. Q. Feng was grateful for the support from the Science and Technology Youth Stars Project of Shaanxi Province (2021KJXX-35).

Notes and references

- 1 Y. Feng, R. Wang, P. Dong, X. Wang, W. Feng, J. Chen, L. Cao, L. Feng, C. He and J. Huang, Enhanced electrocatalytic activity of nickel cobalt phosphide nanoparticles anchored on porous N-doped fullerene nanorod for efficient overall water splitting, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2021, **13**, 48949–48961.
- 2 J. Mahmood, F. Li, S. M. Jung, M. S. Okyay, I. Ahmad, S. J. Kim, N. Park, H. Y. Jeong and J. B. Baek, An efficient and pH-universal ruthenium-based catalyst for the hydrogen evolution reaction, *Nat. Nanotechnol.*, 2017, **12**, 441–446.
- 3 S. Anantharaj, P. E. Karthik, B. Subramanian and S. Kundu, Pt Nanoparticle anchored molecular self-assemblies of DNA: An extremely stable and efficient HER electrocatalyst with ultralow Pt content, *ACS Catal.*, 2016, **6**, 4660–4672.
- 4 P. Zhai, M. Xia, Y. Wu, G. Zhang, J. Gao, B. Zhang, S. Cao, Y. Zhang, Z. Li, Z. Fan, C. Wang, X. Zhang, J. T. Miller, L. Sun and J. Hou, Engineering single-atomic ruthenium catalytic sites on defective nickel-iron layered double hydroxide for overall water splitting, *Nat. Commun.*, 2021, **12**, 4587.
- 5 Y. Feng, P. Dong, L. Cao, X. Wang, J. Wang, H. Wang, W. Feng, J. Chen, L. Feng, C. He and J. Huang, Defect-rich bimetallic yolk-shell metal-cyanide frameworks as efficient electrocatalysts for oxygen evolution reactions, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2021, **9**, 2135–2144.
- 6 X. Wu, Z. Wang, D. Zhang, Y. Qin, M. Wang, Y. Han, T. Zhan, B. Yang, S. Li, J. Lai and L. Wang, Solvent-free microwave synthesis of ultra-small Ru-Mo₂C@CNT with strong metal-support interaction for industrial hydrogen evolution, *Nat. Commun.*, 2021, **12**, 4018.
- 7 Y. Chen, J. Yu, J. Jia, F. Liu, Y. Zhang, G. Xiong, R. Zhang, R. Yang, D. Sun, H. Liu and W. Zhou, Metallic Ni₃Mo₃N porous microrods with abundant catalytic sites as efficient electrocatalyst for large current density and superstability of hydrogen evolution reaction and water splitting, *Appl. Catal., B*, 2020, **272**, 118956.
- 8 J. Zhang, X. Shang, H. Ren, J. Chi, H. Fu, B. Dong, C. Liu and Y. Chai, Modulation of inverse spinel Fe₃O₄ by phosphorus doping as an industrially promising electrocatalyst for hydrogen evolution, *Adv. Mater.*, 2019, **31**, 1905107.
- 9 D. Zhao, K. Sun, W. C. Cheong, L. Zheng, C. Zhang, S. Liu, X. Cao, K. Wu, Y. Pan, Z. Zhuang, B. Hu, D. Wang, Q. Peng, C. Chen and Y. Li, Synergistically interactive pyridinic-N-MoP sites: identified active centers for enhanced hydrogen evolution in alkaline solution, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2020, **59**, 8982–8990.
- 10 S. H. Yu, P. K. Gogoi, A. Rath, H. Dai, Z. Q. Cavin Ng, K. Suenaga, S. J. Pennycook and D. H. C. Chua, *In situ* derived highly active NiS₂ and MoS₂ nanosheets on NiMoO₄ microcuboids via controlled surface sulfidation for high-current-density hydrogen evolution reaction, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2021, **389**, 138733.
- 11 H. Sun, X. Xu, Z. Yan, X. Chen, L. Jiao, F. Cheng and J. Chen, Superhydrophilic amorphous Co-B-P nanosheet electrocatalysts with Pt-like activity and durability for the hydrogen evolution reaction, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2018, **6**, 22062–22069.
- 12 F. Shen, Y. Wang, G. Qian, W. Chen, W. Jiang, L. Luo and S. Yin, Bimetallic iron-iridium alloy nanoparticles supported on nickel foam as highly efficient and stable catalyst for overall water splitting at large current density, *Appl. Catal., B*, 2020, **278**, 119327.
- 13 B. Lu, L. Guo, F. Wu, Y. Peng, J. E. Lu, T. J. Smart, N. Wang, Y. Z. Finfrock, D. Morris, P. Zhang, N. Li, P. Gao, Y. Ping and S. Chen, Ruthenium atomically dispersed in carbon outperforms platinum toward hydrogen evolution in alkaline media, *Nat. Commun.*, 2019, **10**, 631.
- 14 Y. Jia, T. H. Huang, S. Lin, L. Guo, Y. M. Yu, J. H. Wang, K. W. Wang and S. Dai, Stable Pd-Cu Hydride catalyst for efficient hydrogen evolution, *Nano Lett.*, 2022, **22**, 1391–1397.
- 15 L. Deng, F. Hu, M. Ma, S. C. Huang, Y. Xiong, H. Y. Chen, L. Li and S. Peng, Electronic modulation caused by interfacial Ni-O-M (M=Ru, Ir, Pd) bonding for accelerating hydrogen evolution kinetics, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2021, **60**, 22276–22282.
- 16 Q. Wu, M. Luo, J. Han, W. Peng, Y. Zhao, D. Chen, M. Peng, J. Liu, F. M. F. de Groot and Y. Tan, Identifying electrocatalytic sites of the nanoporous copper-ruthenium alloy for hydrogen evolution reaction in alkaline electrolyte, *ACS Energy Lett.*, 2019, **5**, 192–199.
- 17 W. Feng, Y. Feng, J. Chen, H. Wang, Y. Hu, T. Luo, C. Yuan, L. Cao, L. Feng and J. Huang, Interfacial electronic engineering of Ru/FeRu nanoparticles as efficient trifunctional electrocatalyst for overall water splitting and Zn-air battery, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2022, **437**, 135456.
- 18 X. Chen, J. Wan, J. Wang, Q. Zhang, L. Gu, L. Zheng, N. Wang and R. Yu, Atomically Dispersed Ruthenium on Nickel Hydroxide Ultrathin Nanoribbons for Highly Efficient Hydrogen Evolution Reaction in Alkaline Media, *Adv. Mater.*, 2021, **33**, e2104764.
- 19 Y. Feng, W. Feng, J. Wan, J. Chen, H. Wang, S. Li, T. Luo, Y. Hu, C. Yuan, L. Cao, L. Feng, J. Li, R. Wen and J. Huang, Spherical vs. planar: Steering the electronic communication between Ru nanoparticle and single atom to boost the electrocatalytic hydrogen evolution activity both in acid and alkaline, *Appl. Catal., B*, 2022, **307**, 121193.

- 20 J. A. Trindell, Z. Duan, G. Henkelman and R. M. Crooks, Well-Defined Nanoparticle Electrocatalysts for the Refinement of Theory, *Chem. Rev.*, 2020, **120**, 814–850.
- 21 J. Kim, H. J. Kim, B. Ruqia, M. J. Kim, Y. J. Jang, T. H. Jo, H. Baik, H. S. Oh, H. S. Chung, K. Baek, S. Noh, M. Jung, K. J. Kim, H. K. Lim, Y. S. Youn and S. I. Choi, Crystal phase transition creates a highly active and stable RuC_x nanosurface for hydrogen evolution reaction in alkaline media, *Adv. Mater.*, 2021, **33**, 2105248.
- 22 S. W. Sun, G. F. Wang, Y. Zhou, F. B. Wang and X. H. Xia, High-performance Ru@C₄N electrocatalyst for hydrogen evolution reaction in both acidic and alkaline solutions, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2019, **11**, 19176–19182.
- 23 J. Chen, J. Huang, R. Wang, W. Feng, H. Wang, T. Luo, Y. Hu, C. Yuan, L. Feng, L. Cao, K. Kajiyoshi, C. He, Y. Liu, Z. Li and Y. Feng, Atomic ruthenium coordinated with chlorine and nitrogen as efficient and multifunctional electrocatalyst for overall water splitting and rechargeable zinc-air battery, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2022, **441**, 136078.
- 24 F. Yu, H. Zhou, Y. Huang, J. Sun, F. Qin, J. Bao, W. A. Goddard 3rd, S. Chen and Z. Ren, High-performance bifunctional porous non-noble metal phosphide catalyst for overall water splitting, *Nat. Commun.*, 2018, **9**, 2551.
- 25 C.-F. Li, J.-W. Zhao, L.-J. Xie, Y. Wang, H.-B. Tang, L.-R. Zheng and G.-R. Li, N coupling with S-coordinated Ru nanoclusters for highly efficient hydrogen evolution in alkaline media, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2021, **9**, 12659–12669.
- 26 D. Cao, J. Wang, H. Xu and D. Cheng, Construction of dual-site atomically dispersed electrocatalysts with Ru-C₅ single atoms and Ru-O₄ nanoclusters for accelerated alkali hydrogen evolution, *Small*, 2021, **17**, 2101163.
- 27 Z. Liu, L. Zeng, J. Yu, L. Yang, J. Zhang, X. Zhang, F. Han, L. Zhao, X. Li, H. Liu and W. Zhou, Charge redistribution of Ru nanoclusters on Co₃O₄ porous nanowire via the oxygen regulation for enhanced hydrogen evolution reaction, *Nano Energy*, 2021, **85**, 105940.
- 28 L. Zhang, R. Si, H. Liu, N. Chen, Q. Wang, K. Adair, Z. Wang, J. Chen, Z. Song, J. Li, M. N. Banis, R. Li, T. K. Sham, M. Gu, L. M. Liu, G. A. Botton and X. Sun, Atomic layer deposited Pt-Ru dual-metal dimers and identifying their active sites for hydrogen evolution reaction, *Nat. Commun.*, 2019, **10**, 4936.
- 29 K. Kokubo, S. Shirakawa, N. Kobayashi, H. Aoshima and T. Oshima, Facile and scalable synthesis of a highly hydroxylated water-soluble fullerene as a single nanoparticle, *Nano Res.*, 2010, **4**, 204–215.
- 30 A. Dawid, K. Górny and Z. Gburski, Water solvent effect on infrared and raman spectra of C₆₀(OH)₂₄ fullerene isomers: DFT Study, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2017, **121**, 2303–2315.
- 31 Q. Cheng, C. Hu, G. Wang, Z. Zou, H. Yang and L. Dai, Carbon-defect-driven electroless deposition of Pt atomic clusters for highly efficient hydrogen evolution, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2020, **142**, 5594–5601.
- 32 Y. Xie, C. Feng, Y. Guo, A. Hassan, S. Li, Y. Zhang and J. Wang, Dimethylimidazole and dicyandiamide assisted synthesized rich-defect and highly dispersed CuCo-N_x anchored hollow graphite carbon nanocages as efficient trifunctional electrocatalyst in the same electrolyte, *J. Power Sources*, 2022, **517**, 230721.
- 33 G. Chen, P. Liu, Z. Liao, F. Sun, Y. He, H. Zhong, T. Zhang, E. Zschech, M. Chen, G. Wu, J. Zhang and X. Feng, Zinc-mediated template synthesis of Fe-N-C electrocatalysts with densely accessible Fe-N_x active sites for efficient oxygen reduction, *Adv. Mater.*, 2020, **32**, 1907399.
- 34 S. Zhang, M. Jin, T. Shi, M. Han, Q. Sun, Y. Lin, Z. Ding, L. R. Zheng, G. Wang, Y. Zhang, H. Zhang and H. Zhao, Electrocatalytically active Fe-(O-C₂)₄ single-atom sites for efficient reduction of nitrogen to ammonia, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2020, **59**, 13423–13429.
- 35 L. Zhang, H. Jang, Y. Wang, Z. Li, W. Zhang, M. G. Kim, D. Yang, S. Liu, X. Liu and J. Cho, Exploring the dominant role of atomic- and nano-ruthenium as active sites for hydrogen evolution reaction in both acidic and alkaline media, *Adv. Sci.*, 2021, **8**, 2004516.
- 36 H. Song, M. Wu, Z. Tang, J. S. Tse, B. Yang and S. Lu, Single atom ruthenium-doped CoP/CDs nanosheets via splicing of carbon-dots for robust hydrogen production, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2021, **60**, 7234–7244.
- 37 B. H. R. Suryanto, Y. Wang, R. K. Hocking, W. Adamson and C. Zhao, Overall electrochemical splitting of water at the heterogeneous interface of nickel and iron oxide, *Nat. Commun.*, 2019, **10**, 5599.
- 38 C. Wei, R. R. Rao, J. Peng, B. Huang, I. E. L. Stephens, M. Risch, Z. J. Xu and Y. Shao-Horn, Recommended practices and benchmark activity for hydrogen and oxygen electrocatalysis in water splitting and fuel cells, *Adv. Mater.*, 2019, **31**, 1806296.
- 39 J. Wang, F. Xu, H. Jin, Y. Chen and Y. Wang, Non-noble metal-based carbon composites in hydrogen evolution reaction: fundamentals to applications, *Adv. Mater.*, 2017, **29**, 1605838.
- 40 D. Voiry, M. Chhowalla, Y. Gogotsi, N. A. Kotov, Y. Li, R. M. Penner, R. E. Schaak and P. S. Weiss, Best practices for reporting electrocatalytic performance of nanomaterials, *ACS Nano*, 2018, **12**, 9635–9638.
- 41 J. Ge, J. Zheng, J. Zhang, S. Jiang, L. Zhang, H. Wan, L. Wang, W. Ma, Z. Zhou and R. Ma, Controllable atomic defect engineering in layered Ni_xFe_{1-x}(OH)₂ nanosheets for electrochemical overall water splitting, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2021, **9**, 14432–14443.
- 42 L. Wang, L. Zhang, W. Ma, H. Wan, X. Zhang, X. Zhang, S. Jiang, J. Zheng and Z. Zhou, In situ anchoring massive isolated Pt atoms at cationic vacancies of α-Ni_xFe_{1-x}(OH)₂ to regulate the electronic structure for overall water splitting, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2022, 2203342.
- 43 H. Li, X. Han, S. Jiang, L. Zhang, W. Ma, R. Ma and Z. Zhou, Controllable fabrication and structure evolution of hierarchical 1T-MoS₂ nanospheres for efficient hydrogen evolution, *Green Energy Environ.*, 2022, **7**, 314–323.
- 44 W. Ma, H. Wan, Li Zhang, J. Zheng and Z. Zhou, Single-atom catalysts for electrochemical energy storage and conversion, *J. Energy Chem.*, 2021, **63**, 170–194.