

Showcasing research from Prof. Marko Huttula's group at Nano and Molecular Systems Research Unit, University of Oulu, Finland; Prof. Xinying Shi at Jiangsu Normal University, China; Prof. Meng Zhang's group at East China University of Science and Technology, China.

Nickel nanoparticle-activated MoS₂ for efficient visible light photocatalytic hydrogen evolution

Efficient and durable photocatalytic hydrogen evolution is realized on Ag buffered Ni-MoS₂ composite under visible light without any additive. High quantum efficiency at yellow light and universality using natural water enable ultimate clean fuel supply and environmental sustainability. The catalytic mechanism is attributed to the activation of $MoS₂$ basal planes, providing intrinsic dangling bonds for irreversible charge separation.

Andrey A. Kistanov *et al.*, *Nanoscale*, 2022, 14, 8601.

ROYAL SOCIETY
OF CHEMISTRY

rsc.li/nanoscale Registered charity number: 207890

Nanoscale

PAPER

Cite this: Nanoscale, 2022, 14, 8601

Received 17th March 2022, Accepted 28th April 2022 DOI: 10.1039/d2nr01489k

rsc.li/nanoscale

Introduction

Since the inception of water photolysis, $¹$ the dream has been</sup> to convert solar energy into hydrogen using only sunlight, water, and cheap catalytic media, serving as an ultimate solution for energy and environmental sustainability. $2,3$ This still remains unachieved due to limited H_2 production efficiency,4–⁶ high reagent costs, and complicated material synthesis.6 Although capable of water splitting, present semiconductor photocatalysts are only active with additives or high energy photons for photochemical hydrogen evolution.⁷⁻¹¹ The sunlight hydrogen evolution reaction (HER) requires a suitable bandgap from the semiconducting catalyst to facilitate the absorption of visible light and provide proper activation energy for water oxidization. Undesirable electron–hole recombination should be delayed or suppressed so that the photogenerated electron–hole pairs can actively participate in the redox reaction of water. 12 These stringent conditions may be simultaneously satisfied on a heterojunction composite where a

^dCollege of Chemistry, Key Lab of Environment Friendly Chemistry and Application in Ministry of Education, Xiangtan University, Xiangtan 411105, China

Nickel nanoparticle-activated MoS₂ for efficient visible light photocatalytic hydrogen evolution†

Xinyi[ng](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-4970-598X) Shi, $\mathbf{D}^{a,b}$ Meng Zhang, \mathbf{D}^{*c} Xiao Wang, Andrey A. Kistanov, \mathbf{D}^{*a} Taohai Li,^{a,d} Wei Cao a^a and Marko Huttula a^a

Direct sunlight-induced water splitting for photocatalytic hydrogen evolution is the dream for an ultimate clean energy source. So far, typical photocatalysts require complicated synthetic processes and barely work without additives or electrolytes. Here, we report the realization of a hydrogen evolution strategy with a novel Ni-Ag-MoS₂ ternary nanocatalyst under visible/sun light. Synthesized through an ultrasound-assisted wet method, the composite exhibits stable catalytic activity for long-term hydrogen production from both pure and natural water. A high efficiency of 73 µmol q^{-1} W⁻¹ h⁻¹ is achieved with only a visible light source and the $(MoS₂)₈₄Ag₁₀Ni₆$ catalyst, matching the values of present additive-enriched photocatalysts. Verified by experimental characterizations and first-principles calculations, the enhanced photocatalytic ability is attributed to effective charge migration through the dangling bonds at the Ni– Ag–MoS₂ alloy interface and the activation of the MoS₂ basal planes. PAPER
 (A) Check for updates
 (A) Check for updates
 (A) Check for updates
 (A) Check for updates

2022 14 6661

2016 IIghth photocoatalytic hydrogen evolution †

2016 IIghth photocoatalytic hydrogen evolution †

semiconductor matrix and an electron reservoir are interconnected through a tunnel for irreversible charge transfer.

Among the various host candidates, layered $MoS₂$ is endowed with unique optical and electronic properties, moderate bandgaps as well as immense possibilities for designing structures and functionalities. $13,14$ The edge sites of monolayer $MoS₂$ have been found to be active for HER and can be engineered by various routes to increase the catalytic efficiency.¹⁵⁻¹⁸ Enhancements of the overall HER performance have been reported on defective monolayers with sulfur vacancies or grain boundaries on the basal planes. $19-21$ In practice, experimental^{22,23} and theoretical²⁴⁻²⁶ efforts have been dedicated to activating the inert basal planes of monolayer $MoS₂$ by introducing S vacancies. However, mass production of these catalysts is limited by the required lab conditions and prerequisite preparation of the monolayers. In fact, multilayer $MoS₂$ is also capable of HER after appropriate treatments. 27 As a cheap naturally occurring mineral, molybdenite exhibits competitive electron mobility with its monolayer counterpart.²⁸ The electronic and chemical properties of $MoS₂$ flakes can be tuned *via* metal nanoparticle doping,²⁹ for example, along with possibilities to host rather large-sized dopants via edge contact.^{30,31} Effectively joined multilayer $MoS₂$ and nickel nanoparticles (NiNPs) exhibit promoted electrical and photocatalytic performance.³¹ The relatively large size of the metal nanoparticles is also beneficial to further applications, e.g., constructing transistors by depositing conductive lines.

Here, we report an efficient H_2 production strategy under visible light with the help of metal nanoparticle-decorated $MoS₂$ multilayers. Nickel nanoparticles are attached by the Ag

ROYAL SOCIETY
OF CHEMISTRY

^aNano and Molecular Systems Research Unit, University of Oulu, P.O. Box 3000, FI-90014 Oulu, Finland. E-mail: andrey.kistanov@oulu.fi

^bSchool of Physics and Electronic Engineering, Jiangsu Normal University, Xuzhou 221116, China

^cDepartment of Physics, East China University of Science and Technology, Shanghai 200237, China. E-mail: mzhang@ecust.edu.cn

[†]Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1039/d2nr01489k) [10.1039/d2nr01489k](https://doi.org/10.1039/d2nr01489k)

nanobuffer to the semiconducting $MoS₂$, serving as reservoirs for photoinduced electrons and reduction sites for protons. With a sustainable H₂ production rate of 73 µmol g^{-1} W⁻¹ h⁻¹ with the reported mass-producible photocatalysts, the present work provides an eco-friendly and industrially scalable path for future clean and sustainable fuel production.

Results and discussion

Morphology

Despite the unique hosting ability of multilayer $MoS₂$, the design and selection of the joining counterparts and the synthetic route require arduous efforts. Here, we connect Ni nanoparticles to $MoS₂ via the Ag nanobuffer. Compared to that of$ $MoS₂$, the lower work functions of Ni and Ag reduce the energy barrier at the metal–semiconductor (M/S) interface. Nickel and its oxide/hydroxide are widely used for H_2 storage and water splitting due to their intrinsic affinity to protons and switchable oxidization states. 32 This may help the overall HER efficiency. The synthesis was carried out through a one-step wet chemical method. This facile route employed ultrasound to disperse and mix reagent powders and supply reaction energy through local cavity formation.³³ The reaction was performed in a AgNO₃ aqueous solution where $Ag⁺$ could react as a common reagent with both Ni and $MoS₂$.

The typical morphology of the Ni–Ag–MoS₂ composite is presented in Fig. 1. The general survey in Fig. 1a shows that a large amount of NiNPs are firmly attached to the $MoS₂$ substrate. The elemental abundance of the as-prepared sample agrees well with the stoichiometric ratio given by energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS), shown in Fig. S1.† In addition, Ni and $MoS₂$ do not tend to approach each other without silver's participation (Fig. S2†). The detailed morphological arrangement within the Ni-Ag-MoS₂ composite was investigated via transmission electron microscopy (TEM) and depicted in Fig. 1b–h. Several NiNPs can be anchored to one $MoS₂$ flake, as illustrated in the front view (Fig. 1b) and side view after tilting the sample holder by 62° (Fig. 1c). The flake affords two possible contact modes to the NiNPs: edge contact at region 1 and basal connections at regions 2 and 3. Highresolution TEM (HRTEM) reveals the lattice orientations at the Ni and $MoS₂$ interface. The lattices shown in Fig. 1d are indexed to the matrix MOS_2 (100), buffer Ag (111), and Ni (111) planes. Non-crystallized features are observed in the figure along with the lattice fringes. Thus, the foreign Ni nanoparticles are connected to the layered host through edge contact via either non-crystallized content or crystalized Ag (111) nanoparticles. As shown in Fig. 1e, the outermost site of a NiNP is attached to the MOS_2 (002) basal plane by the Ag buffer. This demonstrates successful metal decoration onto the inert basal surface. The EDS mappings in Fig. 1f–h clarify the Ag distributions on both the edge sites and basal planes of $MoS₂$. The mixtures of Ni, Ag and Mo in Fig. 1f demonstrate the formation of a ternary alloy composed of Ni, Ag and $MoS₂$, which was previously denoted as amorphous content. The Ag

Fig. 1 Morphological and elemental characterizations of the prepared composites. (a) SEM image of the catalyst. (b) TEM image presenting the size and distribution of Ag and Ni on MoS₂ matrices. Three NiNP regions are assigned with numbers. The green line denotes the axis of the TEM specimen holder. (c) The same region as panel (b) with a tilt angle of 62°. (d–e) HRTEM images of interfacial regions marked with green and red squares, respectively, in (c). (f–h) TEM-EDS mappings of regions 1, 2, and 3, respectively.

buffers are intercalated between the Ni and $MoS₂$ planes, as shown in Fig. 1g and h. Unlike the nanocrystallized form at the matrix edges, rather thin Ag buffers reside on the plane and seem to form discontinuous grains, as seen in Fig. 1h. No element mixture is visible at the $Ni-MoS₂$ interface. Thus, Ag behaves as an intercalated layer between the semiconductive and metallic partners.

The general microstructure and porosity of the semiconductor and the metal particles are retained after synthesis, Nanoscale Paper

as proved by their X-ray diffraction patterns (Fig. S3†) and the Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) test (Fig. S4†). The specific surface area of the synthesized composite is around 13.62 m^2 $\rm g^{-1}$, agreeing with the value from multilayer MoS $_2$ samples. 34

Electrical performance

Charge migration in semiconductors is critical for photocatalytic performance. However, the heterojunction normally suffers from large electrical resistivity at the interface, lowering the water splitting efficiency.¹² Here, we evaluate the contact mode and junction resistivity by performing current–voltage $(I-V)$ measurements on the nano-scale Ni-Ag-MoS₂ composite. Morphological and elemental information is collected and employed as a reference for precise control of the top positions in conductive atomic force microscopy (AFM). As demonstrated in Fig. S5,† a closed circuit is formed among the AFM tip, $Ni-Ag-MoS₂$ composite, the gold-plated substrate, and the AFM machine. The I–V measurements are carried out on an isolated sample, as shown in Fig. 2a, whose elemental distribution and quantification were pre-examined using SEM and

Fig. 2 Electronic properties. (a) AFM profile of a typical Ni-Ag-MoS₂ sample. The inset curve shows the height profile along the denoted line (scale bar in nm). (b) $I-V$ curves obtained from $Ni-Ag-MoS₂$ and $Ni-$ MoS2 samples. The shadings represent standard deviations. (c) Electrical resistivity calculated from the I–V curves.

EDS (Fig. S6†). The height profile curve demonstrates that the Ni particle is not connected to the metallic substrates.

Fig. 2b plots the I–V curves obtained from this sample and from a direct $Ni-MoS₂$ contact without any noble metal buffer. 31 Under the same voltage, the current is much larger through $Ni-Ag-MoS₂$ compared to its physically contacted counterpart. The calculated resistivity shown in Fig. 2c demonstrates a drastic reduction of the electric resistivity in the lowvoltage region. In the high-voltage region, the resistivity of Ni– $Ag-MoS₂$ declines slower than that of the sample without the Ag buffer. This is expected since metallic contact between a M/S interface leads to more stable resistivity than a loosely conductive M/S Schottky barrier under higher voltage. 35 The $Ni-Ag-MoS₂$ composite is electrically conductive with easier charge flows.

Photocatalytic water splitting

The photocatalytic activity for hydrogen evolution was evaluated under visible light irradiation without any additive. The incident visible light irradiation ($\lambda > 420$ nm, Fig. S7†) was emitted from LED lamps of 0.495 W. First, the composition impacts on HER rates were studied. As shown in Fig. 3a, different ternary compounds were employed as photocatalyst along with control groups of pure $MoS₂$ layers and binary complexes of Ag-decorated Ni and Ag-decorated M_0S_2 . The pristine multilayer $MoS₂$ can barely split water,³⁶ while slightly enhanced HER abilities are found in the cases of $Ag_{40}Ni_{60}$ and $(MoS₂)₉₀Ag₁₀$ as a result of plasmon-resonance absorption.³⁷⁻³⁹ Unlike the inert pristine $MoS₂$ and binary compounds, all the ternary composites possess good photocatalytic abilities. A maximum value of production efficiency is reached with the sample loaded with 10 wt% Ag and 6 wt% Ni. A time course of hydrogen evolution with the optimal catalyst $(MoS₂)₈₄Ag₁₀Ni₆$ is shown in Fig. 3b. It delivered an average HER rate of 73 µmol g^{-1} W⁻¹ h⁻¹ during the first 6 reaction cycles, with each cycle experiencing 10 hours of visible light irradiation. After 86 days of storage in water in ambient conditions, the photocatalyst preserved its activity without functionality decay, indicating that the catalyst is stable and resistant to photocorrosion. The present ternary composites also have better HER efficiency than their auric peer $MoS_{2}-Au-Ni$, in which the joint interfaces are established through the edge contact mode, 31 as shown in Fig. S8.† The enhanced efficiency is mostly attributed to the activation of the $MOS₂$ basal plane.²² Nanoscale

The general microstructure and provisity of the semi-

Engine SP areas are considered to the semi-metricle. National consideration the semi-metricle is speed by the

as proved by the x-ray diffurction partemis (

We also investigated the quantum efficiency (QE) of HER for the $MoS₂-Ag-NiNPs$ systems. The UV-visible absorbance spectra are studied and plotted in Fig. 3c for the $(MoS₂)₈₄Ag₁₀Ni₆ photocatalyst. Inherited from the multilayer$ $MoS₂$, the synthesized Ni–Ag–MoS₂ photocatalyst keeps the semiconducting band feature (Fig. S9†). Compared to the host, the catalyst shows quenched excitonic peaks of A and B due to the introduction of transition metals. $40,41$ However, the absorbance over 475 nm is significantly enhanced, indicating a stronger photoexcitation of electrons from the lower energy bands to the lower sites of the conduction bands. The QE is evaluated in a uniformly dispersed aqueous suspension under

Fig. 3 Photocatalytic water splitting ability of the catalysts. (a) Water splitting ability of photocatalysts with different compositions. The ternary composites shown in red are comprised of 10% silver, 4–15% nickel and the remaining amount of MoS₂. (b) Time course of hydrogen evolution under visible light irradiation $(\lambda > 400 \text{ nm})$. (c) Wavelength dependent absorbance and QE of the synthesized photocatalyst and pristine MoS₂. Horizontal error bars represent the full width at half maximum (FWHM) of the LED light spectra and those in vertical directions come from discrepancies among repeated experiments. (d) Long-term continuous hydrogen production from pure water and natural water. Error bars in panels (a) and (b) were obtained from repeating measurements three times, those in panel (d) from two repeats, and in (c) from the error propagation of the QE formula.

magnetic stirring (Fig. S10†). In general, the QE follows the absorbance trend of the synthesized photocatalyst. It increases from 350 nm, reaches 7% at 595 nm and then drops. The photocatalyst stays reactive in nearly the whole visible wavelength range and shows potential to overcome the drawback of single photocatalysts (e.g., $g - C_3 N_4$ ⁴²⁻⁴⁴) in comparison with their Z-scheme counterparts.⁴⁵

The photocatalysis is durable in time and versatile in ambient conditions. A 10-day continuous water splitting experiment resulted in a hydrogen production rate of 44 µmol g^{-1} W⁻¹ h⁻¹ from pure water (red line in Fig. 3d). It reaches as much as 63% of the short-term performance shown in Fig. 3b and the decrease of reactivity is attributed to the accumulated H \cdot radicals in the liquid, which hinder the reduction of H $^+$. The catalyst can also split natural water, e.g., river water (Oulujoki River, Oulu, Finland) and lake water (Kuivasjärvi Lake, Oulu, Finland). It thus provides a promising prospect for direct sunlight HER in natural water bodies. There is an obvious decrease in natural water splitting compared to that of ultrapure water, e.g., a 26% decrease for river water. Such a decrease is ascribed to the colored organic contents. These organic dyes could be simultaneously decolorized during

water splitting, suggesting possible water purification capability. The total organic carbon (TOC) measurement of lake water shows that the TOC level drops from 14.1 ppm to 9.65 ppm and the original color was fully removed (Fig. S11†). Although the degradation process usually consumes photoexcited holes, reactions in natural water may be complicated due to the existence of multiple unknown organic species. This may lead to multi-step redox reactions, in which both electrons and holes may be consumed, making the degradation a competing process with water splitting.^{46,47} Besides, it can be seen from Fig. S12† that the densely colored water absorbs more photons, such that less of them can be utilized by the photocatalysts. It can be also seen that much less hydrogen is produced from river and lake water during the first day than on average, caused by the competing decoloration process. In addition to lab tests, solar water splitting was also achieved under sunlight irradiation. As shown in Fig. S13,† the HER can be triggered by indoor sunlight and H_2 was continuously produced for months.

We also studied the chemical stability of the catalysts. First, elemental quantification was carried out to assess photocatalyst decomposition subjected to HER. Through X-ray

photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS), a formula of $(MoS₂)_{82.99}Ag_{10.70}Ni_{6.32}$ was determined after HER, closely matching the as-prepared sample of $(MoS₂)_{82.29}Ag_{10.81}Ni_{6.91}$ (details in Table S1†). Both agree well with the nominal stoichiometric compositions within fitting errors. This suggests that neither Ni nor Ag are dissociated from the $MoS₂$ matrix after photo irradiation.

The chemical state variations of the catalysts were further investigated. The S $2p$ and Mo $3d$ peaks are unchanged (Fig. 4a) and comparable to those of pristine $MoS₂$ (Fig. S14[†]). This indicates that the $MoS₂$ host is chemically stable during the synthetic and photocatalytic processes, in line with other noble metal-decorated $MoS₂$ composites.³⁰ As the buffer between $MoS₂$ and nickel NPs, the silver 3d states keep the same spectroscopic signature. As shown in Fig. 4b, the peaks at 368.63 eV and 374.70 eV are assigned to Ag $3d_{7/2}$ and $3d_{5/2}$. The energies denote that the Ag chemical state is between

those of the Ag₂S and Ag⁰ species.⁴⁸ In conjunction with the HRTEM determination from Fig. 1h, it indicates that the partially reduced silver acts as a stable path for charge carriers during photocatalysis. Such stability is beneficial to the durability of the catalyst. Fig. 4c illustrates that the Ni metal and NiO were partially oxidized during the HER. Compared to the fresh sample, more $Ni(OH)_2$ and NiOOH are found as products of oxidization.49,50 The increase in –OH content is also visible in the O 1s spectra in Fig. 4d.

Possible photocatalytic mechanisms were investigated and are discussed for the HER occurring on the present composites. Based on the microstructural and spectroscopic results, we first propose the charge transfer mechanism within the designed heterojunctions. The band alignment is depicted in Fig. 5a, where the Ni nanoparticles, Ag buffer, and $MoS₂$ are arranged according to the TEM determinations from Fig. 1. The work function values of Ni (111) and Ag (111) are 5.35 eV

Fig. 4 XPS spectra of the photocatalyst before and after HER: (a) Mo 3d and S 2p, (b) Ag 3d, (c) Ni 2p, (d) O 1s. The scatter plots are the experimental results and the grey and magenta lines are the Shirley backgrounds and fitting envelopes, respectively. Each pair of doublet peaks in panel (c) is illustrated with identical colors.

Fig. 5 Structural and electronic computation results. (a) Band alignment of the ternary interface. E₀, E_F, E_C, E_V, Φ_{Ni} , Φ_{Aq} and χ _s represent the vacuum level, Fermi level, the conduction and valence bands of MoS₂, the work functions of Ni and Ag and the electron affinity of MoS₂, respectively. (b) Optimized structure in side view. (c) PDOS of the Ni (111) surface and the interface with Ag. (d) PDOS of the Ag (111) interfaces with MoS₂ and Ni. (e) PDOS of the MoS₂ inner layer and interface. The upper and lower graphs in each panel have the same PDOS scale. (f) Illustration of the photocatalytic hydrogen evolution process.

and 4.74 eV, respectively.⁵¹ As for $MoS₂$, its electron affinity is 4.23 eV and its work function is 5.20 eV, as obtained from 12-layer samples after annealing.52,53 Before contact, the metals and $MoS₂$ have equivalent vacuum levels but different Fermi energy values. When they are joined together, electrons tend to move from Ag towards Ni and $MoS₂$ due to the work function differences and an equilibrium state is then achieved, leading to a band-bending feature with a narrow anti-barrier layer. Once the ternary material is under illumination, photoexcited electrons migrate from $MoS₂$ to the metal side and finally reach the Ni surface. The electrons participate in photocatalytic water splitting or photodegradation and are immediately consumed rather than forming a stable built-in electric field. Replacing $Ag⁺$ with the more oxidative Au³⁺ ion during the reaction fails to create surface contact due to both the fast redox reaction of Au^{3+} with MoS₂ and Ni and the mismatch between the Au (111) lattice and the $MOS₂$ basal plane.³¹

The proposed band alignment is further explicated by firstprinciples calculations. Here, Ag (111) is employed as a model buffer layer following the morphological determination of the basal attachment. The optimized structure of the $Ni_{75}Ag_{32}(MoS_2)_{64}$ supercell in Fig. 5b shows that Ag (111) can be stably intercalated between the $MoS₂$ basal plane and the Ni particles. After adsorbing Ag and Ni atoms, the relaxation is negligible at the $MoS₂$ layers, despite a slight deformation of the surface exposed to the Ni (111) site (Fig. S15†). The Ni–Ag– $MoS₂$ hybrids are interatomically bonded, with Ag–S and Ag– Ni bond lengths of \sim 2.77 Å and \sim 2.63 Å, respectively. Charge transfer happens through Ag–S and Ni–Ag, as denoted by the increased values of the partial density of states (PDOS) at the Ni surface in Fig. 5c and by the electron density difference results (Fig. S16†). This leads to an overall Ag chemical state between Ag_2S and Ag^0 that agrees with the XPS results in Fig. 4b. The delocalized electrons tend to accumulate throughout the Ni surface, as shown by the PDOS plot.

Despite the electronegativity value of Ag (1.93) being slightly higher than that of the transition metal Ni (1.91) ,⁵¹ electron migration from the Ag buffer to Ni is favored due to the significant number of dangling bonds on the Ni surface able to host migrated electrons (Fig. S16†). In addition, the large fraction of Ni in the synthesized system further amplifies the quantity of the dangling bonds as hosts for migrated electrons. The whole system is also stabilized due to the perfect lattice matching. The $MoS₂$ host switches from semiconducting to metallic at the interfacial region according to the PDOS results in Fig. 5c–e. Unlike the subtly changed $MoS₂ DOS$, the d-DOS from Ni disperses across the bandgap of the host. It acts as a dangling bond that enables free electron migration from the semiconductor to the metal part, leading to a sub-

Nanoscale Paper

Based on the experimental and first-principles results, the photocatalytic mechanism is proposed and depicted in Fig. 5f. The photoexcited electrons from the valence bands (VBs) of the layered $MoS₂$ swiftly migrate to the metal parts due to the intrinsic dangling bonds in the d-orbit of the nickel, leaving positive holes $(h⁺)$ in the VBs of the matrix. The created electrons accumulate in the Ni part. Such an efficient separation is not feasible using only the Ag buffer due to the rather low DOS overlap, which is proved in the comparison experiment. Recombination between the electrons and holes is delayed in the multilayer $MoS₂$ host due to the indirect bandgap and an energy dip at the M/S interface (Fig. 5a). The energy of the h^+ is slightly larger than the water oxidization energy and thus it is able to oxidize H_2O to H⁺ and OH. The H⁺ ions are reduced to H· by the migrated electrons at the Ni surface (electron reservoir). Later, H_2 molecules are formed and released from the suspension. This process is specifically favored on the Ni atoms, which have a large amount of charge accumulation (Fig. S16†) for water splitting, as reported previously.^{31,54,55} As the other products from water oxidization, OH radicals can react with the photocatalysts and combine with each other to produce peroxide water, which can further decompose to water and O₂ molecules. The clear signatures of the NiOOH and Ni $(OH)_2$ contents on the NiNP surfaces (Fig. 4) show that part of the NiNP surface is (hydro)oxidized, as a typical product following the annexation of OH onto the nickel metal. The oxygen evolution reaction (OER) catalysts of nickel hydroxides $32,56$ further interact with the subsequent OH radicals. In addition, the multi-step OER process is shortened because the hydroxyls can directly interact with the OER catalyst without needing the overpotential of water oxidization (e.g., by electrochemical processes) to create them.^{56,57} As a result, an increase in oxygen content is observed along with the appearance of hydrogen gas in the catalytic reactor after visible light irradiation for a long time (Fig. S17†). In summary, the high H_2 production is attributed to efficient electron migration via Ni d-state tunneling across the silver structural stabilizer after the photoexcitation of the electrons from the activated $MoS₂$ basal planes with the indirect bandgap. Nanoscale Workstoade Workstoade Some entired on 28 April 2022. Careful to the Basic Vigorous vibration was performed to every alternation of the properties (the model of the model of the model of the model of the model on

Experimental

Materials and synthesis

MoS2 flake powders (>99.5%, Nanjing Emperor Nano Material Co. Ltd) and nickel nanopowder (Ningxia Orient Tantalum Industry, Co. Ltd) with an average diameter of 200 nm were weighed and mixed in a DURAN Erlenmeyer flask (125 mL). Ultrapure water (75 mL, 18.2 M Ω cm at 25 °C) was then added

to the flask. Vigorous vibration was performed to evenly distribute the powder. Silver nitrite $(0.01 \text{ mol L}^{-1}, \text{Sigma-Aldrich})$ was then added, and the flask was sealed with ambient air inside. The mixed suspension underwent wet chemical synthesis in an ultrasonic cleaner (35 kHz, 70 °C) for 4 hours. A typical weight percentage is $MoS₂$: Ni : Ag = 84 : 6 : 10. After synthesis, samples were kept in the ultrasonic cleaner and cooled to room temperature. The remaining water was removed and the catalyst samples were washed with ultrapure water before the characterizations or HER tests.

Characterizations

The catalyst in aqueous solution was deposited onto either silicon substrates for SEM (ZEISS Sigma FESEM) or lacey carbon film (Agar Scientific Ltd) for TEM (JEOL JEM-2200FS EFTEM). SEM-EDS and TEM-EDS analyses were also carried out to verify the elemental distribution. During the TEM tests, a JEOL EM-21010/21311HTR high tilt holder was used to allow high tilt angles up to $\pm 80^\circ$. This is helpful to verify whether the NiNPs located on the basal planes of $MoS₂$.

I–V measurements were recorded through a combined SEM-AFM technique. The substrates were prepared by depositing 30 nm Au coating on a silicon surface. Samples were deposited on the Au surface. After drying, the Au surface was bridged to a conductive steel plate using silver paint. Tape exfoliation was not applicable here due to the weak adhesion between the Au coating and the Si surface. A sample was first verified under SEM, with its position marked. After that, the sample was transferred to the AFM (Veeco Dimension 3100). The morphologies were obtained under the tapping mode with a NSC14/Al tip (MikroMasch). I–V measurements were then taken under the force mode with a CSC17/Pt tip (MikroMasch) with conductive Pt coating on both sides. The voltage applied ranged from −8 V to 8 V.

The optical absorbance property was measured by a Shimadzu UV-2600 spectrophotometer. Both the photocatalyst and pure $MoS₂$ samples were in aqueous suspension in quartz cuvettes at the same concentration (0.4 g L^{-1}). A Thermo Fisher Scientific ESCALAB 250Xi XPS system with an Al K_α X-ray source was used to analyze the chemical state variations. The XPS spectra were calibrated with the reference value of adventitious C 1s at 284.8 eV and then deconvoluted with the Thermo Scientific Avantage Software. The specific surface area of the photocatalyst was measured by a Micromeritics ASAP 2020 analyzer. The catalyst in suspension was sand-bathed overnight to remove most of the water and then heated to 300 °C (10 °C min⁻¹) for 4 hours in a N₂ atmosphere (100 mL min^{-1}).

HER measurements

The catalyst (5 mg) was dissolved in ultrapure water (30 mL) in a quartz bottle. HER tests were carried out with a PCX50B multi-channel system (Beijing PerfectLight Technology, Co., Ltd). The reaction proceeded under top illumination from LED lamps (0.495 W, white light). The spectral irradiance of the lamps was measured by a THORLABS PM100D optical power

meter with a S310C thermal power sensor. A cutoff design ensured the elimination of wavelengths under 420 nm. The HER proceeded at room temperature. During the tests, magnetic stirring (120 rpm) was employed to ensure uniform light illumination. The gas was analyzed on an Agilent 490 Micro GC that was carefully calibrated for hydrogen quantity. Ar was used as a carrier gas. The H_2 evolution reaction as well as the gas chromatography measurements were performed without specific removal of the residual air in the photocatalytic system. Thus, precise monitoring of the variation of O_2 concentration was not applicable. A preliminary HER test carried out under the air/Ar mixture (Fig. S17†) shows simultaneous increase in O_2 and H_2 , indicating overall water splitting. The quantum efficiency was determined by the number of absorbed photons and photoexcited electrons per unit time. LED lamps with an approximately monochromatic wavelength were used as light sources. Paper

Nanoteled on 28310C thermal power sensor. A cutoff design unpuired electrons of the N-Ag-Mois, system was set as 100 in

reason the division of workers are noting that are not more intersperse are not interesting r

Computational details

The composite structure consists of layered $MoS₂$ as well as Ag and Ni nanoparticles. It was observed that the catalytic ability of the ternary compounds was only active under radiation of incident photon energy larger than the layered $MoS₂$ bandgap (Fig. 3c). This is due to the band structure properties provided by edge contact after metallization.³¹ Here, we emphasize on computations using the basal contact where Ag (111) sits between the $MoS₂$ surface and the NiNPs (Fig. 1d–g). To fit the experimentally determined microstructure, the simplified supercell contains four layers of $MoS₂$, two layers of Ag and three layers of Ni. Ag (111) serves as an interatomic interlayer that bonds with both $MoS₂$ and the Ni nanoparticles. The optimized lattice parameters of the corresponding heterojunction structure with a unit cell of reconstructed layers were $a = b =$ 12.63 Å and $\alpha = \beta = 90^{\circ}$, $\gamma = 120^{\circ}$. The full model of this slab has a supercell of $Ni_{75}Ag_{32}(MoS_2)_{64}$. During simulations, the top two $MoS₂$ layers were allowed to be optimized and the two lowest layers were fixed at bulk positions. A vacuum region of 15 Å was selected in the z-direction to exclude mirror interactions between neighboring images.

All calculations were performed under the framework of unrestricted spin-polarized density functional theory implemented in the CASTEP package.⁵⁸ The exchange–correlation functional was treated as a Perdew–Burke–Ernzerhof (PBE) functional within a generalized gradient approximation (GGA) ⁵⁹ which leads to an underestimation of the bandgap values compared with the experimental results.^{60,61} The planewave cutoff energy was set to 300 eV. LDA+U formalism was used for the Ni, Ag, and Mo elements for electronic structure calculations. The effect of van der Waals interactions was introduced explicitly through the empirical correction scheme proposed by Grimme.⁶² The Brillouin zone integrations were carried out on a $2 \times 2 \times 1$ Monkhorst–Pack k-point grid in the geometry optimizations and a $5 \times 5 \times 1$ k-point grid in the band structure and DOS calculations. Considering the lowest spin state of the system, the initial value for the number of

unpaired electrons of the Ni–Ag–MoS₂ system was set as 100 in the spin-polarized calculation.

Conclusions

In summary, we successfully realized a sustainable, efficient, and universal water splitting strategy using only visible light, water, and novel ternary photocatalysts. A high rate of 73 µmol g^{-1} W⁻¹ h⁻¹ of hydrogen production was achieved, which is promising for practical hydrogen production. The Ni-Ag-MoS₂ composite uniquely facilitates photocatalytic hydrogen evolution due to the lattice matching between Ag and the $MoS₂$ basal plane and the dangling bonds in the d-orbitals of Ni. The dream of ultimate clean fuel production is closer. Besides providing the synthetic routes and physical mechanisms, this work serves as a debut of a modern photocatalyst aiming for simultaneous decontamination and fuel production.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

This work is supported by the European Regional Development Funding and the Council of Oulu Region. X. S. acknowledges the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 61904069) and the Natural Science Foundation of Jiangsu Higher Education Institutions of China (No. 19KJB140008). A. A. K. and W. C. acknowledge the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 Research and Innovation Programme (grant agreement no. 101002219). M. H. acknowledges research funding from the Academy of Finland. The theoretical work is financially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11774093) and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (No. 222201714050, 222201714018). The technical supports from the Center for Materials Analysis of University of Oulu and Beijing PerfectLight Technology, Co., Ltd are also acknowledged. The CSC-IT Center for Science, Finland, is acknowledged for their computational resources.

References

- 1 A. Fujishima and K. Honda, Nature, 1972, 238, 37–38.
- 2 H. Nishiyama, T. Yamada, M. Nakabayashi, Y. Maehara, M. Yamaguchi, Y. Kuromiya, Y. Nagatsuma, H. Tokudome, S. Akiyama, T. Watanabe, R. Narushima, S. Okunaka, N. Shibata, T. Takata, T. Hisatomi and K. Domen, Nature, 2021, 598, 304–307.
- 3 S. Tembhurne, F. Nandjou and S. Haussener, Nat. Energy, 2019, 4, 399–407.
- 4 S. Guo, X. Li, J. Li and B. Wei, Nat. Commun., 2021, 12, 1343.
- 5 Q. Huang, Q. Li and X. Xiao, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2014, 118, 2306–2311.
- 6 T. Hisatomi and K. Domen, Nat. Catal., 2019, 2, 387–399.
- 7 Z. Jiang, J. Liu, M. Gao, X. Fan, L. Zhang and J. Zhang, Adv. Mater., 2017, 29, 1603369.
- 8 Y.-J. Yuan, Z.-T. Yu, D.-Q. Chen and Z.-G. Zou, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2017, 46, 603–631.
- 9 J. Li, G. Zhan, Y. Yu and L. Zhang, Nat. Commun., 2016, 7, 11480.
- 10 H. Zhang, P. Zhang, M. Qiu, J. Dong, Y. Zhang and X. W. Lou, Adv. Mater., 2019, 31, 1804883.
- 11 K. Maeda and K. Domen, J. Phys. Chem. Lett., 2010, 1, 2655–2661.
- 12 E. Rani, P. Talebi, W. Cao, M. Huttula and H. Singh, Nanoscale, 2020, 12, 23461–23479.
- 13 D. Voiry, J. Yang and M. Chhowalla, Adv. Mater., 2016, 28, 6197–6206.
- 14 Y. Cai, H. Zhou, G. Zhang and Y.-W. Zhang, Chem. Mater., 2016, 28, 8611–8621.
- 15 J. Kibsgaard, Z. Chen, B. N. Reinecke and T. F. Jaramillo, Nat. Mater., 2012, 11, 963–969.
- 16 G. Ye, Y. Gong, J. Lin, B. Li, Y. He, S. T. Pantelides, W. Zhou, R. Vajtai and P. M. Ajayan, Nano Lett., 2016, 16, 1097–1103.
- 17 L. Yuan, Y. Zhang, J. Chen, Y. Li, X. Ren, P. Zhang, L. Liu, J. Zhang and L. Sun, Nanoscale, 2022, 14, 2490–2501.
- 18 J. Luxa, L. Spejchalová, I. Jakubec and Z. Sofer, Nanoscale, 2021, 13, 19391–19398.
- 19 Y. Yin, J. Han, Y. Zhang, X. Zhang, P. Xu, Q. Yuan, L. Samad, X. Wang, Y. Wang, Z. Zhang, P. Zhang, X. Cao, B. Song and S. Jin, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2016, 138, 7965–7972.
- 20 G. Li, D. Zhang, Q. Qiao, Y. Yu, D. Peterson, A. Zafar, R. Kumar, S. Curtarolo, F. Hunte, S. Shannon, Y. Zhu, W. Yang and L. Cao, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2016, 138, 16632– 16638.
- 21 S. Manzeli, D. Ovchinnikov, D. Pasquier, O. V. Yazyev and A. Kis, Nat. Rev. Mater., 2017, 2, 17033.
- 22 H. Li, C. Tsai, A. L. Koh, L. Cai, A. W. Contryman, A. H. Fragapane, J. Zhao, H. S. Han, H. C. Manoharan, F. Abild-Pedersen, J. K. Nørskov and X. Zheng, Nat. Mater., 2016, 15, 48–53.
- 23 C.-C. Cheng, A.-Y. Lu, C.-C. Tseng, X. Yang, M. N. Hedhili, M.-C. Chen, K.-H. Wei and L.-J. Li, Nano Energy, 2016, 30, 846–852.
- 24 Y. Ouyang, C. Ling, Q. Chen, Z. Wang, L. Shi and J. Wang, Chem. Mater., 2016, 28, 4390–4396.
- 25 J. Vähäkangas, P. Lantto, J. Vaara, M. Huttula and W. Cao, Chem. Commun., 2017, 53, 5428–5431.
- 26 L. Li, R. Long, Th. Bertolini and O. V. Prezhdo, Nano Lett., 2017, 17, 7962–7967.
- 27 U. Gupta and C. N. R. Rao, Nano Energy, 2017, 41, 49–65.
- 28 S. Kim, A. Konar, W.-S. Hwang, J. H. Lee, J. Lee, J. Yang, C. Jung, H. Kim, J.-B. Yoo, J.-Y. Choi, Y. W. Jin, S. Y. Lee, D. Jena, W. Choi and K. Kim, Nat. Commun., 2012, 3, 1011.
- 29 C. S. Merida, D. Le, E. M. Echeverria, A. E. Nguyen, T. B. Rawal, S. N. Alvillar, V. Kandyba, A. Al-Mahboob, Y. Losovyj, K. Katsiev, M. D. Valentin, C.-Y. Huang, M. J. Gomez, I.-H. Lu, A. Guan, A. Barinov, T. S. Rahman, P. A. Dowben and L. Bartels, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2018, 122, 267–273. Nanoscale Weekland at Article 2022. Distriction (2021, 12, 2021, 13, 2021, 13, 2021, 13, 2021, 13, 2021, 14, 2021, 2021, 2021, 2021, 2021, 2022. Distriction (2022. Distriction (2022. Distriction 2022. Distriction 2022. Di
	- 30 W. Cao, V. Pankratov, M. Huttula, X. Shi, S. Saukko, Z. Huang and M. Zhang, Mater. Chem. Phys., 2015, 158, 89-95.
	- 31 X. Shi, S. Posysaev, M. Huttula, V. Pankratov, J. Hoszowska, J.-Cl. Dousse, F. Zeeshan, Y. Niu, A. Zakharov, T. Li, O. Miroshnichenko, M. Zhang, X. Wang, Z. Huang, S. Saukko, D. L. González, S. van Dijken, M. Alatalo and W. Cao, Small, 2018, 14, 1704526.
	- 32 M. Gao, W. Sheng, Z. Zhuang, Q. Fang, S. Gu, J. Jiang and Y. Yan, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2014, 136, 7077–7084.
	- 33 J. H. Bang and K. S. Suslick, Adv. Mater., 2010, 22, 1039– 1059.
	- 34 M. A. Worsley, S. J. Shin, M. D. Merrill, J. Lenhardt, A. J. Nelson, L. Y. Woo, A. E. Gash, T. F. Baumann and C. A. Orme, ACS Nano, 2015, 9, 4698–4705.
	- 35 N. Kaushik, A. Nipane, F. Basheer, S. Dubey, S. Grover, M. M. Deshmukh and S. Lodha, Appl. Phys. Lett., 2014, 105, 113505.
	- 36 Y. Liu, J. Wu, K. P. Hackenberg, J. Zhang, Y. M. Wang, Y. Yang, K. Keyshar, J. Gu, T. Ogitsu, R. Vajtai, J. Lou, P. M. Ajayan, B. C. Wood and B. I. Yakobson, Nat. Energy, 2017, 2, 17127.
	- 37 P. Talebi, H. Singh, E. Rani, M. Huttula and W. Cao, RSC Adv., 2021, 11, 2733–2743.
	- 38 S. Linic, P. Christopher and D. B. Ingram, Nat. Mater., 2011, 10, 911–921.
	- 39 L. Liu, X. Zhang, L. Yang, L. Ren, D. Wang and J. Ye, Natl. Sci. Rev., 2017, 4, 761–780.
	- 40 K. P. Dhakal, D. L. Duong, J. Lee, H. Nam, M. Kim, M. Kan, Y. H. Lee and J. Kim, Nanoscale, 2014, 6, 13028.
	- 41 J. N. Coleman, M. Lotya, A. O'Neill, S. D. Bergin, P. J. King, U. Khan, K. Young, A. Gaucher, S. De, R. J. Smith, I. V. Shvets, S. K. Arora, G. Stanton, H.-Y. Kim, K. Lee, G. T. Kim, G. S. Duesberg, T. Hallam, J. J. Boland, J. J. Wang, J. F. Donegan, J. C. Grunlan, G. Moriarty, A. Shmeliov, R. J. Nicholls, J. M. Perkins, E. M. Grieveson, K. Theuwissen, D. W. McComb, P. D. Nellist and V. Nicolosi, Science, 2011, 331, 568–571.
	- 42 J. Liu, Y. Liu, N. Liu, Y. Han, X. Zhang, H. Huang, Y. Lifshitz, S.-T. Lee, J. Zhong and Z. Kang, Science, 2015, 347, 970–974.
	- 43 X. Wang, K. Maeda, A. Thomas, K. Takanabe, G. Xin, J. M. Carlsson, K. Domen and M. Antonietti, Nat. Mater., 2009, 8, 76–80.
	- 44 A. A. Kistanov, S. A. Shcherbinin, S. V. Ustiuzhanina, M. Huttula, W. Cao, V. R. Nikitenko and O. V. Prezhdo, J. Phys. Chem. Lett., 2021, 12, 3436–3442.
	- 45 Z. Qiang, X. Liu, F. Li, T. Li, M. Zhang, H. Singh, M. Huttula and W. Cao, Chem. Eng. J., 2021, 403, 126327.
- 46 C. Chen, W. Ma and J. Zhao, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2010, 39, 4206–4219.
- 47 S. Song, L. Xu, Z. He, J. Chen, X. Xiao and B. Yan, Environ. Sci. Technol., 2007, 41, 5846–5853.
- 48 W. Fan, S. Jewell, Y. She and M. K. H. Leung, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 2014, 16, 676–680.
- 49 S. Chen, F. Li, T. Li and W. Cao, J. Colloid Interface Sci., 2019, 547, 50–59.
- 50 O. Diaz-Morales, D. Ferrus-Suspedra and M. T. M. Koper, Chem. Sci., 2016, 7, 2639–2645.
- 51 CRC Handbook of Chemistry and Physics, 96th ed, ed. W. M. Haynes, CRC Press, 2016.
- 52 Z. Deng, Z. Li and W. Wang, Chem. Phys. Lett., 2015, 637, 26–31.
- 53 J. H. Kim, J. Lee, J. H. Kim, C. C. Hwang, C. Lee and J. Y. Park, Appl. Phys. Lett., 2015, 106, 251606.
- 54 K. Zhang, J. K. Kim, B. Park, S. Qian, B. Jin, X. Sheng, H. Zeng, H. Shin, S. H. Oh, C.-L. Lee and J. H. Park, Nano Lett., 2017, 17, 6676–6683.
- 55 C. Ling, L. Shi, Y. Ouyang, X. C. Zeng and J. Wang, Nano Lett., 2017, 17, 5133–5139.
- 56 N. Yu, W. Cao, M. Huttula, Y. Kayser, P. Hoenicke, B. Beckhoff, F. Lai, R. Dong, H. Sun and B. Geng, Appl. Catal., B, 2020, 261, 118193.
- 57 Y. Sun, Y. Xia, L. Kuai, H. Sun, W. Cao, M. Huttula, A.-P. Honkanen, M. Viljanen, S. Huotari and B. Geng, ChemSusChem, 2019, 12, 2564–2569.
- 58 S. J. Clark, M. D. Segall, C. J. Pickard, P. J. Hasnip, M. I. J. Probert, K. Refson and M. C. Payne, Z. Kristallogr., 2005, 220, 567–570.
- 59 J. P. Perdew, K. Burke and M. Ernzerhof, Phys. Rev. Lett., 1996, 77, 3865.
- 60 V. Pankratov, J. Hoszowska, J.-Cl. Dousse, M. Huttula, A. Kis, D. Krasnozhon, M. Zhang and W. Cao, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter, 2016, 28, 015301. Paper

16 C. Chen, W. Ma and J. Zhao, *Chem.* Soc. Rev., 2010, 39, 55 C. Ling, L. Shi, Y. Organg, X. C. Zeng and J. Varticle.

16 April 2022. Downloaded on 28 April 2022. Downloaded Unported Unported Unported Unported Unpo
	- 61 A. A. Kistanov, S. A. Shcherbinin, R. Botella, A. Davletshin and W. Cao, J. Phys. Chem. Lett., 2022, 13, 2165–2172.
	- 62 S. Grimme, J. Comput. Chem., 2006, 27, 1787–1799.