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Currently, there is an unmet need for possessing a deeper understanding between the chemical structure and the exhibited properties of more polymers. Moreover, thanks to the development of technologies allowing an unprecedented level of personalization, researchers are aiming towards the generation of polymers tailored for specific applications. In the present work, a series of soft, transparent, and UV-photopolymerizable polyurethane-acrylate (PUA) hybrids were synthesized via a two-step polymerization route, followed by a UV-curing process and maintaining two constant ratios of a soft/hard segment in the polyurethane (PU) structure as well as using three different acrylate segment types at three concentrations in the overall polymeric structure (2.5, 5 and 7.5 wt%). The success of the synthesis procedure, the molecular weight, the transparency, the thermal behaviour, the viscoelastic response and the softness of the synthesized PUA were studied by analytical methods. Using these techniques, it was possible to quantify gradual values between the different generated materials showing up to a 50% difference in the molecular weight, variations reaching 15 °C in the thermal transition or modifications of a thermal stability of 50 °C, changes of even a 90% in the viscoelastic response and, additionally, a range of hardness going from extra-soft to medium-hard. Through this work, it is possible to predict how the different variables affect some of the most relevant properties of the synthesized materials and therefore select the most suitable variables for each specific application. These results are expected to guide further developments in materials in applications ranging from UV-curable coatings to in situ 3D-printing for biomedical applications.

Introduction

The transition from solvent-containing, toxic and slow reactive 2k formulations to 1k UV-curing systems made a significant impact in improving the fields of protective coatings, automotive, the dental industry, and photolithography among others. Moreover, the addition of polyurethanes (PUs) to the typically acrylic single-component formulations to synthesize polyurethane-acrylate (PUA) hybrids allowed a gain in mechanical properties, adhesion and weather resistance while keeping the good properties of these light-curable materials.

Most of the interesting properties of these PUA polymers come from PU’s molecular backbone composed of different blocks of soft polyether or polyester bonded by polycondensation reactions to hard segments of diisocyanates. While soft segments account for the elastic behaviour, urethane groups are mainly responsible for increasing the rigidity due to their high hydrogen bond content. The almost endless possibilities of modifying the structure and final properties of polymers provide a unique level of versatility, thus being possible to adapt them into a wide range of applications.

Taking a close look at the general composition of the most UV-curable systems, the oligomer, which is a macromolecule or a prepolymer, is the provider of the major properties of the end-product. Concretely, for PUA hybrid polymers, the main oligomers are based on a PU structure but ended in both extremes of the chain by acrylic photo-responsive moieties. Then, a light sensitive molecule called the photoinitiator triggers polymerization when it is exposed to certain wavelengths of UV light, and finally, a reactive diluent is added, which lowers the system’s viscosity. There are, however, concerns regarding this last component in the system. Even though the reactive diluent reduces the system’s intrinsic viscosity and thus eases its use into certain applications, issues of volatility and toxicity during its manipulation as well as during transport and storage must be managed. For all these reasons, the use of reactive diluents must be carefully studied in further developments of photocurable polymer systems.

One of the fields of application with higher potential for photocurable resins lies within additive manufacturing (AM)
technologies. AM, also known as 3D printing, has caused a revolution in designing and producing objects with complex geometries by building them layer by layer. Among the different AM techniques, photocuring 3D technologies, known as vat photopolymerization techniques (VAT), are still the most relevant in terms of speed, cost, and printing resolution. Within VAT AM, a photocurable direct-ink-writing (DIW) method, thanks to extruding the materials to the photocuring area, has been proposed to introduce novel materials that are unable to be achieved by conventional techniques (i.e. limited by high viscosities). Moreover, the current possibility of generating data from imaging technologies (i.e., from nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) or tomography) allows the fabrication of complex personalized models of great value for a great range of medical applications. However, to achieve successful results working with these techniques, materials with specific characteristics and sensitive to light stimulus must be designed. To date, most uses of photocuring 3D printing techniques are focused on temporary replacement materials due to the limited performance and brittleness of the currently used UV-curing resins. Additionally, the current commercially available elastomeric resins, apart from being mostly opaque and therefore not applicable in fields where high transparency is required, do not provide the users the possibility of modifying the resin composition and thus its properties. A challenging but potentially high-rewarding area lies within the manufacture of customizable elastomeric materials capable of complementing the currently available ones for rapid prototyping AM, widening the material’s portfolio and thus accelerating the inclusion of this technology into more fields. However, to achieve such a goal, it is imperative to obtain first a deep enough understanding of the structure–property relationship of the materials as a way of creating specifically and optimally synthesized polymers tailored for each desired application.

Beyond the attempts to replicate some organ-like structures, to generate artificial replacements, there has been recently an interest in obtaining relevant models for testing and practicing medical procedures aiming to increase the ratio of success and decrease unexpected complications. Thanks to non-invasive techniques, doctors are already capable of looking through the human body to find alterations in the homeostasis and analysing the general tissue behaviour before an intervention. The potential generation of those images as 3D models would gear up the preoperational readiness and greatly diminish potential associated risks. Nonetheless, to truly generate a positive impact, these models should mimic as precisely as possible the behaviour of the targeted organs. As an outline, the generation of highly tuneable materials can become a huge step forward in the successful production of these truly realistic surgical models.

In the past years, there have been studies directed specifically towards enlightening the potential relationships between the molecular structures of the synthesized PUA systems and the properties of these materials being presented. Early studies aimed to understand, among others, the thermal, mechanical or viscoelastic15 behavioural changes generated in basic PU systems using different kinds of isocyanates, chain extenders or polyol types and the proportion between them. For PUA hybrids, similar but more recent studies regarding thermal stability, mechanical properties, microphase separation, or biocompatibility have been performed. However, they are mostly focused on the effect of polyol and diisocyanate due to the relative broad spectrum of possibilities they provide. With all, there are very few literature reports on the influence of the acrylic component on the final polymer properties.

In this work, we have been able to synthesize soft, photocurable, transparent, diluent and filler-free PUA hybrid polymers aiming to provide a thorough structure–property relationship study on the effect of different UV-reactive biocompatible acrylate monomers such as 1,2-hydroxyethyl methacrylate (HEMA), 2-hydroxymethyl methacrylate (HPMA) and poly(ethylene-glycol) methacrylate (PEGMA) as well as the different soft/hard microstructure ratios. Simultaneously, a methodology has been provided to finely tune the photocurable polymer’s properties thus broadening the library of elastomeric materials potentially suitable for UV-curing technologies and potentially easing the adoption of soft polymers for tissue-replicating models. Additionally, the effectiveness of their sterilization was also studied to demonstrate their applicability for preoperative strategic planning as surgical model materials.

### Experimental

#### Materials

Isophorone diisocyanate (IPDI), 1,4-butanediol (BDO), tetrahydrofuran (THF) (all materials were reagent grade with a purity of ≥99.0% and contained 250 ppm BHT as an inhibitor), dibutyltin dilaurate (DBTDL) and phenylbis(2,4,6-trimethylbenzoyl)phosphine oxide (PBO) were purchased from Sigma and used without further purification. Poly(propylene glycol) (PPG, \( M_n = 1000 \text{ g mol}^{-1} \)), 2-hydroxymethyl methacrylate (HEMA), 2-hydroxypropyl methacrylate (HPMA), poly(ethylene glycol) methacrylate (PEGMA, \( M_n = 360 \text{ g mol}^{-1} \), containing 500–800 ppm MEHQ as an inhibitor) were purchased from Sigma and placed under vacuum at 60 °C for 2 h prior to use to ensure the removal of unwanted moisture.

#### Characterization methods

**Fourier transform infrared-attenuated total reflectance (FTIR-ATR).** Infrared spectra were recorded using an IR Affinity-1S CE FTIR spectrophotometer (Shimadzu, Japan) in the 4000–500 cm\(^{-1}\) range and with a 1 cm\(^{-1}\) resolution (32 scans collected).

**Nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR).** \(^1\text{H-NMR}\) and \(^{13}\text{C-NMR}\) spectra were acquired using a Bruker Avance-II+ 400 MHz spectrometer. NMR spectra of the different polymer samples prior to being UV-cured were recorded in deuterated chloroform (CDCl\(_3\)) at room temperature. Chemical shifts were assigned using the residual undeuterated solvent signal as an internal reference.

**Gel permeation chromatography (GPC).** The molecular weight of the polymer samples was studied using an Ultra High Performing Liquid Chromatograph model 1260 (Agilent, US), containing 500–800 ppm MEHQ as an inhibitor) were purchased from Sigma and placed under vacuum at 60 °C for 2 h prior to use to ensure the removal of unwanted moisture.
using a PLgel 10 μm MIXED-B 300 × 7.5 column and using THF as the mobile phase at 40 °C. The equipment calibration was done using a series of polymethyl methacrylate (PMMA) patrons with molecular weights ranging from 880 to 1,577,000 Da.

**Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA).** TGA was carried out using a Q500 thermobalance (TA Instruments, US). 5 to 10 mg of PUA samples were heated from 25 °C to 700 °C at a 10 °C min⁻¹ heating rate under an inert atmosphere of nitrogen (40.0 mL min⁻¹). The weight loss was recorded as a function of temperature. The values of T₅wtₚ were taken at the temperature that the sample’s weight loss represented a 5 wt%. Tₘax was obtained from the peak of the first derivative of the weight loss/time curve.

**Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC).** The DSC analysis of each UV-cured polymer specimen was performed using a Q20 DSC instrument connected to a cooling system (TA Instruments, US) using between 3 and 5 mg of the sample in an aluminium pan. A heat–cool–heat analysis was performed from 25 °C to 200 °C at 10 °C min⁻¹ followed by a cooling ramp to −80 °C at 5 °C min⁻¹ and, finally, heating again to 200 °C at 10 °C min⁻¹ under an inert atmosphere of nitrogen (40.0 mL min⁻¹). Glass transition temperature (Tg) values and the width of the transition were obtained from the slope change in the second heating run cycle.

**UV-vis transmittance.** The optical transmittance was studied in thick specimens of 4 mm using an UV-2450 UV-visible spectrophotometer (Shimadzu, JP) at a wavelength range of 400 to 750 nm. As mentioned in previous studies, it is important to acknowledge that higher transmittance levels could be achieved as, due to the measurement procedure in solid samples, there are two interfaces where the light is reflected: on entering the sample and on leaving. This effect is related to the differences between refractive indices between the samples and air.

**Dynamic mechanical assay (DMA).** The DMA was carried out using SDTA861 dynamomechanic equipment (Mettler Toledo). Rectangular specimens of 20 × 5 × 2 mm³ were cut from UV cured films of 10 × 80 × 2 mm³ and the viscoelastic response and glass transition temperature (Tg) were evaluated by assessing each sample’s storage modulus (E’), and tangent delta (tan δ) as a function of temperature (from −90 °C to 50 °C in a 3 °C min⁻¹ ramp) under oscillating stress at a defined frequency (1 Hz). While E’ is proportional to the material’s stiffness and is related to the stored energy level, the tan δ value is indicative of the material’s capacity for energy dissipation when changes in the physical properties are applied and plainly translates into quantifying how good the material will be at absorbing energy.

**Shore hardness.** The hardness of each UV-cured specimen was quantified following ASTM D2240 while using a manual 53505/00 shore durometer (Baxlo, Barcelona, SP). For each measurement, 5 replicates were performed in different locations of each specimen. The obtained results are expressed in Shore units (SU) ranked from 0 to 100, being 0 the softest and 100 the hardest.

**Synthesis of PUA hybrids**

Polymerization reactions were conducted in a five-neck, 250 mL, round-bottom glass reactor equipped with an overhead anchor-type mechanical stirrer, a water-cooled condenser, a temperature probe and an Argon inlet. All the PUA hybrids were synthesized through an adapted two step polymerization procedure as follows: first, PU prepolymers were prepared by adding together 50 mL of THF with the calculated molar amount of PPG and heating them at 60 °C while stirring at 260 rpm for 1 h under an argon atmosphere. Once the temperature was reached, the required quantity of IPDI and three drops of the catalyst (DBTDL) were introduced and the mix was left to react for 2 h at 60 °C. Secondly, half of the stoichiometric quantity of the chain extender (BDO) was added slowly and allowed to react for 1 h. In parallel, 1 wt% of photoinitiator (PBO) was dissolved by magnetic stirring in the specified methacrylate (MA) monomer content in the dark. The acrylic mixture was then incorporated into the reactor while stirring at 200 rpm and kept at 60 °C until no further decrease in the NCO peak at 2272 cm⁻¹ was observed by FTIR-ATR spectroscopy. Finally, the remaining half of the stoichiometric molar quantity of BDO was added until the complete disappearance of the NCO peak. A final drying process is carried out to remove the solvent from the synthesized product prior to storing it. As an example for the “H_HEMA5” sample (Table 1), 82 mmol PPG1000 was heated and stirred with 50 mL of THF before adding 180 mmol IPDI for the reaction, thus producing the prepolymer. After the completion of the prepolymer, 40 mmol BDO was added to the prepolymer mixture and allowed to react. Then, 50 mmol HEMA (or 50 mmol HPMA or 30 mmol PEGMA) was added. Finally, another 40 mmol BDO was used to complete the polymerization. The crude product was collected and the solvent was removed at 30 °C under high vacuum for 12 h to obtain the corresponding PUA hybrid as a transparent fluid.

**Table 1.** Soft/hard ratios (related to the NCO/OH feed) and the composition of the PUA hybrids, including the acrylate type and its concentration in each sample. Moreover, the average molecular weight obtained by gel permeation chromatography (GPC) and the polydispersity of each sample are shown.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Soft/hard ratio</th>
<th>Acrylate type</th>
<th>Acrylate wt%</th>
<th>Average molecular weight (Mₐ), (g mol⁻¹)</th>
<th>Polydispersity index (PDI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H_HEMA2.5</td>
<td>65:35</td>
<td>HEMA</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>26190</td>
<td>2.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H_HEMA5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>15600</td>
<td>1.92</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H_HEMA7.5</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>8972</td>
<td>2.44</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H_HPMA2.5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>HPMA</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>24667</td>
<td>2.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H_HPMA5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>17192</td>
<td>2.02</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H_HPMA7.5</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>13552</td>
<td>1.89</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H_PEGMA2.5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>PEGMA 360</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>28400</td>
<td>2.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H_PEGMA5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>16545</td>
<td>2.11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H_PEGMA7.5</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>10964</td>
<td>1.77</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S_HEMA2.5</td>
<td>80:20</td>
<td>HEMA</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>20761</td>
<td>2.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S_HEMA5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>17488</td>
<td>2.01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S_HEMA7.5</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>10225</td>
<td>1.81</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S_HPMA2.5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>HPMA</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>33260</td>
<td>2.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S_HPMA5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>38210</td>
<td>2.04</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S_HPMA7.5</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>24322</td>
<td>1.95</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S_PEGMA2.5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>PEGMA 360</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>41125</td>
<td>2.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S_PEGMA5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>38210</td>
<td>2.04</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S_PEGMA7.5</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>24322</td>
<td>1.95</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Not determined due to the gelation of the polymerization mixtures.
The changes in the feeding procedure aimed to lower the pre-polymer’s intrinsic viscosity, thus allowing a homogeneous distribution of the acrylate monomer in the overall polymer attempting to improve the polymer’s fluidity prior to being UV-cured and generate a narrower molecular weight distribution. The molecule responsible for activating the UV-curing process (PBO) was dispersed in the last BDO feeding prior to completing the synthesis to obtain a homogeneous solution without compromising its stability and avoiding any unintentional photopolymerization.

Even though the acrylate composition–property relationship is pivotal in this study, the selection of two different base PU pre-polymers with varying softness (65:35; 80:20) was introduced aiming to investigate the potential changes in the polymer’s characteristics with the same crosslinking densities from the acrylate moieties, thus researching about which variable holds a higher impact in the properties within the polymer.

Progress of reactions was monitored by FTIR-ATR spectroscopy to observe the changes in the molecular structure of the PUA hybrids during their polymerization and to confirm the successful synthesis of the prepared hybrids. The decrease of the characteristic NCO stretching peak at 2272 cm⁻¹ could be clearly seen as more components with hydroxyl moieties were added to the growing polymer. The complete absence of isocyanate groups was assured by the disappearance of this peak in the spectra after UV-curing and the appearance of a peak at around 1644 cm⁻¹ could be attributed to the stretching of the C=N bond and the C–O–C vibrations, respectively, indicating the presence of the ester linkage thus confirming the polyurethane formation.

Moreover, the appearance of a peak at around 1644 cm⁻¹ in the spectra before UV-curing, which corresponds to the stretching of the C–C bonds, indicates that methacrylate groups have been successfully incorporated into the polyurethane chains. The further disappearance of this peak in the spectra after UV-curing and the appearance of a peak at 815 cm⁻¹ related to the methylene group in the methacrylate molecule confirms the UV-crosslinking procedure.

After irradiation by UV, it can be observed that the peak frequency bands assigned to disordered hydrogen-bonded
carbonyl groups are narrower and weaker than before irradiation, while the frequency bands assigned to ordered hydrogen-bonded carbonyl groups are broadened, thus indicating a higher degree of hydrogen bonding.\textsuperscript{36}

The chemical structure of the synthesized PUA hybrids was also analyzed by $^1$H NMR and $^{13}$C NMR spectroscopy in CDCl$_3$. As an example, Fig. 3 shows the $^1$H NMR spectrum corresponding to the sample H\_HPMA5. The assignment of peaks is also remarked and fully confirms the chemical structure of the PUA hybrid. The peaks in the range of 4.88–4.07 ppm are –CH$_2$–CH– protons of HPMA and appear at a lower chemical shift than the free monomer. These peaks shifting to a lower field confirms that the acrylic monomer is attached to the OCO group of the urethane nitrogen atom of IPDI. Moreover, the existence of acrylic groups (–H$_2$C=–C–) is clearly observed by the characteristic peaks at 6.10 and 5.57 ppm, which are crucial to carry out the subsequent UV-curing of the polymer.

The GPC analysis of the synthesized polymers showed a single peak with a Gaussian symmetry around the highest point and a medium width distribution. Table 1 summarizes the GPC results of the PUA hybrids with varying contents of methacrylate monomers.

All the obtained values were found between 10 000 and 40 000 g mol$^{-1}$. It can be observed in Table 1, that for any of the three methacrylate monomers under study, $M_w$ decreases with the concentration of the methacrylate monomer.

This effect can be attributed to the monofunctional methacrylate monomer acting as a chain stopper of the linear PU.
As expected, by increasing the content of the methacrylate monomer, the PU yields chain ends lacking functional groups and therefore the polycondensation process terminates earlier, leading thus to lower $M_w$ polymers.\(^{37}\)

The increased content of the PPG monomer in the initial steps of the synthesis for softer PUA samples led to a higher prepolymer molecular weight and therefore an increment in the final polymer's molecular weight.

Comparing harder polymers with equal acrylic wt%, those containing HEMA exhibited a lower $M_w$ than those with HPMA or PEGMA. Additionally, focusing on the differences between the softer and harder synthesized polymers, HPMA and PEGMA soft samples exhibit an overall higher average $M_w$ over their harder counterparts. These results can be translated into HPMA and PEGMA monomers having a lower reactivity compared with HEMA, thus allowing the step-growth polymerization process for longer times.

The authors hypothesize that the decreased reactivity of PEGMA and HPMA monomers and the overall higher $M_w$ of the synthesized polymers are related to steric hindrances generated by the increased volume of the monomer particles compared to HEMA. The increase for the HEMA to successfully find the free isocyanate group could produce a general decrease in the molecular weight of the prepolymer, thus explaining the obtained results.

### Transparency

The optical transparency is a desirable property for most materials and utmost necessary in some applications.\(^{14,38}\) The transparency degree was measured by determining the light transmittance in 4 mm thick samples obtained by photocuring the UV-susceptible methacrylic end-groups from the synthesized flowable polymer. This procedure was performed for all the synthesized specimens. Fig. 4 (top) depicts three representative transmittance results in samples exhibiting the higher and lower limits obtained after irradiation from 400 to 750 nm.

As observed, the measured samples exhibit good transparency levels and can be easily used as see-through materials (Fig. 4, bottom). Among the different PUA, HPMA-based formulations appear to exhibit higher transparency levels than their counterparts achieving transmittance values up to 85% throughout the overall wavelength range.

### Thermal properties

Aiming to assess the differences in the thermal behaviour, all the photocured samples were subjected to a cycle of heating to erase the material’s thermal history, followed by cooling and reheating ramps. The glass transition temperature ($T_g$) and the width of the transition ($\Delta T$) are shown in Table 2. The single $T_g$ observed in all samples indicates a lack of separation between the soft and hard domains within the PU structure.

Moreover, the absence of any crystallization indicates that the synthesised polymers are completely amorphous. Fig. 5 shows the absence of melting peaks for hard segments, as demonstrated by previous studies when the hard segment content is lower than 23–35%.\(^{39}\)
Fig. 4  Top: optical transparency from 750 to 400 nm with three different acrylic types, concentration, and soft/hard ratio. Bottom: representative picture of the transparency of different 4 mm thick HEMA, HPMA and PEGMA specimens.

Accounting only for the acrylate wt% change, it is possible to observe that there is a trend pointing towards the increment of the $T_g$ when there is a higher presence of acrylic moieties in the polymer structure, increasing up to 10 °C when the acrylic wt% is increased. The more cross-linked specimens (2.5 to 7.5 wt%) (Fig. 6).

Additionally, the transition temperature width (Table 2) appears to broaden when the acrylic wt% is increased. The observed direct relationship between the simultaneous increase of cross-linking points and the $T_g$ value due to mobility restrictions is already well known and has been described extensively.17

Comparing samples with the same acrylate wt% but varying its type, HPMA-based samples appear to have a higher $T_g$, followed by those containing HEMA and, finally, PEGMA polymers exhibit generally the transition at the lowest temperature (Fig. 6).

It can also be extracted from the results that the soft/hard ratio shows a lower $T_g$ when containing higher softer components (80:20) compared to its harder counterparts (65:35). This phenomenon is due to limitations in the freedom degree mobility of the more rigid segments.

The TGA thermograms of all the samples presented a very similar degradation profile where only a single weight decrease was measured when the temperature was increased. Table 2 also presents the onset degradation temperature determined at 5% weight loss ($T_{5\%}$) and the maximum degradation rate temperature ($T_{max}$) determined from the first derivative's peak maximum were obtained for each polymer specimen by TGA.

In all samples, the maximum weight loss rate was produced in the temperature range between 328 and 360 °C. This decomposition was attributed to the cleavage of both urethane and ether bonds.40
The degradation process takes place around the same temperature values independently from the acrylate concentration and type, as well as its soft/hard ratio. However, when comparing $T_{\text{50\%}}$, a higher thermal stability can be stated in the formulated specimens with a higher acrylate wt%. Additionally, when comparing samples with an equal acrylate wt% but different types, HPMA-based samples appear to have a slightly higher thermal stability than HEMA or PEGMA samples.

The lack of relevant differences in the main degradation temperature is derived from the presence of the identical type of chemical bonds through the polymer. Nonetheless, the findings regarding the polymer’s thermal stability are in agreement with previous research already exhibiting a small better thermal stability of poly(HPMA) over poly(HEMA).41

The thermal stability of the synthesized materials, in the same range of typical TPU elastomers and coatings, 42,43 points towards a good thermal compatibility with current conventional sterilization processes (reaching up to 140 °C) and therefore a potential use in biologically sensitive applications.

**Dynamic mechanical assay**

After the samples were photocured, the effects of the different methacrylate cross-linkers and their concentrations on the viscoelastic properties of the PUA hybrid were assessed by dynamic mechanical analysis (DMA).

The obtained results were grouped and compared depending on the type of the acrylic monomer (HEMA, HPMA and PEGMA) and its weight percentages (2.5, 5, and 7.5 wt%) in the final polymer formulation and the soft/hard ratio.

In Fig. 7, it can be seen that PEGMA samples present two thermal transitions ($T_s$ and $T_B$ at around 20–30 °C and −20 °C, respectively), while in HEMA and HPMA only $T_B$, related to the glass transition, can be identified.

The influence of the acrylate content while maintaining the same acrylate type (HPMA) and the soft/hard ratio (80 : 20) is illustrated in Fig. 8. Samples exhibit peak broadening and an intensity diminution as the acrylate wt% is increased. Simultaneously, the tan δ peak (or $T_B$) is shifted to higher temperatures.

This behaviour is more intense in HEMA and HPMA-based polymers than that in PEGMA samples and it is observed in both hard and soft samples (Table 3). Using the peak intensity and full width at half maximum (FWHM) values of samples containing 2.5 wt% of the acrylic monomer as the reference and comparing it to the obtained values for the 5 and 7.5 wt%, it is possible to observe the previously mentioned tendencies.

In detail, peak intensity reductions of 28 and 41% and broadening of 22 and 59% are determined for the hard HEMA samples. In HPMA, the intensities also decrease to 28 and 39% while the peak widths are increased to 14 and 57%. For PUA samples with an 80 : 20 soft/hard ratio, the peak reductions are about 19 and 43% for HEMA while 21 and 37% when increasing the HPMA wt% content.

The same analysis was performed for PEGMA-based samples, and the peak intensity comparison from 2.5 to 5 and 7.5 wt% of the acrylic monomer shows reductions of only 9 and 8%, respectively, in hard samples. With softer samples, the decrease follows up to 19 and 22%. In general, the peak widening effect in these PEGMA samples is minor, being 17% the maximum obtained for all of them. The temperature increase in $T_B$ can be explained by the reduction in the polymer’s chain mobility due to an increase of crosslinking points as the acrylate wt% is increased. These samples with lower acrylate wt% inherently possess a higher number of freely moving polymer chains that cause more internal frictions or entanglement constrains among chains44 and subsequently, these polymers have a higher energy dissipation capability (higher tan δ peak).45

In parallel, the increase in the crosslinking density associated with the higher acrylate wt% produces a widening of the tan δ peak due to a greater heterogeneity in the average length between crosslinking points.40,46,47

Comparing HPMA or PEGMA samples with HEMA with the same acrylate wt%, an increase in the peak intensity is noticeable (Fig. 7). While only 3 to 15% differences in the peak intensity are seen between HEMA and HPMA, the peak intensity of PEGMA can be up to 90% higher (Table 3). Studying the FWHM, an inversely proportional relationship between the peak intensity and width can be detected again. HEMA-based samples appeared to exhibit the widest graphs when compared with the other acrylic moieties at the same wt%. This effect is most notorious for hard PEGMA samples with 7.5 wt%, where a
maximum of a 45% decrease can be seen. Internal frictions caused by the long polyethylene chain from PEGMA are hypothesized to be mainly responsible for the increase in the peak intensity and thus, its higher capability to dissipate energy visibly. The differences between acrylate types are more significant in 7.5 wt% samples. This effect is related to the greater freedom of movement that chains with higher $M_w$ have inherently and, therefore, a higher number of interactions. In other words, HEMA is the shortest chain; thus, the internal molecular packaging of highly crosslinked HEMA-based PUA is more restricted (and elastic) than PEGMA-based PUA. While having a similar crosslinking density with the same acrylate wt%, in PEGMA PUA, the longer polymer chains allow for more freedom of movements and an increased length for entanglement interactions. This increased interaction among the molecules is translated directly into an increased viscous behaviour.

Similarly, the widening effect of the tan δ peak is hypothesized to be related to the non-homogeneous dispersion of the chain length between crosslinking points. Observing the width tendencies, it can be stated that, at low concentration values, the random bonding of the acryl groups during photopolymerization is similar in all samples and, therefore, samples with HPMA and PEGMA moieties show stronger FWHM reductions when increasing the acrylate content compared to HEMA. So, as the acrylate concentration increases, the molecular weight between crosslinking points is more homogeneous compared to HEMA PUA.

For HPMA and PEGMA samples, as the wt% is enhanced, so do their intermolecular steric hindrances, leading them to be relatively more evenly distributed compared to the HEMA references, therefore obtaining sharper peaks. The effect is enhanced with PEGMA, which has a much longer polymeric chain than the reference, than for HPMA, which only has the asymmetric methylene differing from the HEMA molecular structure.

Fig. 9 shows the tan δ curves of samples maintaining the acrylate type and wt% but changing the soft/hard ratio. Comparing these results, it is possible to notice that softer specimens (80 : 20) show a higher peak intensity and a much lower peak temperature ($T_b$) in all samples. This effect can be also attributed to the higher presence of the hard and more rigid PU domains that limit the chain movement in the polymer segments in harder samples (65 : 35).

Storage modulus ($E'$) curves of the different samples are shown in Fig. 10. All materials exhibited a similar storage modulus evolution during heating. First, at low temperatures, the materials present a plateau at values around 1 GPa followed by a decrease during the transition from a glassy state to a rubbery state generated by the chain mobility and, finally, ending in a rubbery plateau at $E'$ values comprehended between 0.4 and 5.0 MPa.

There are, however, differences in the obtained $E'$ graphs between the acrylate wt% used, the type of acrylate, and the soft/hard ratio of their PU backbone.

Comparing first acrylate wt% modifications whilst maintaining the rest of the variables constant, samples with an acrylate content of 2.5 wt% exhibited the steepest slope during the transition process and reach a lower rubbery plateau value than their 5 or 7.5 wt% counterparts. These differences are clearer in HPMA-based polymers than those in PEGMA (Fig. 10a and c). Using the acrylate type as the only variable function, HEMA and HPMA perform very similarly while PEGMA-based formulations show a more intense decrease and reach lower $E'$ values in the rubbery plateau (Fig. 10d). This could be attributed to the increased molecular segment length between crosslinking points in the PEGMA-based samples in contrast with HEMA and HPMA samples that would present an identical length. This segment length increment could facilitate the chain movement resulting in a lower $E'$ when the temperature...
is increased, as shown in Fig. 10c. The HPMA thermograms were selected to illustrate the effect on the soft/hard ratio. While, in 65:35 soft/hard samples, there are little differences when comparing 5 to 7.5 wt% graphs, in softer specimens, a proportional trend between the wt% of acrylate and the $E^0$ values in the rubbery plateau appears (Fig. 10a and b). This can be linked to a relative increase of the chain movement restriction produced by the acrylate content in softer samples in contrast with those with the higher harder polyurethane segment composition, where the PU backbone seems to play a stronger role in the generation of its properties.

These results indicate that the number of acrylic moieties in the polymer are directly related to the material's stiffness.
There is little to no difference between the different materials' stiffness at temperatures below the glass transition, mostly due to the predominance of the PU structure. Whereas, at a temperature above the glass transition, the acrylic segments appear to have a greater impact on storage moduli. These differences are increased with the acrylate wt% and are seen in specimens with a higher polyol content (80:20) due to the increased impact of the crosslinking effect in softer samples as explained previously.

**Shore 00 hardness**

The measurement of the PUA hardness according to a Shore 00 methodology is shown in Fig. 11 for each specimen. As observed, the obtained levels of hardness are well distributed from extra soft (around 40 SU) for the S_PEGMA2.5 specimens to medium-hard values (around 95 SU) for the HHEMA7.5 sample. Several tendencies can be spotted within the hardness quantification. First, the obtained results are aligned with the data presented so far, where PEGMA-based samples, due to their longer polymeric chains, present a more viscous behaviour that can be translated into softer materials compared to HEMA or HPMA polymers. Interestingly, the increment from 2.5 to 5 wt% in HEMA PUA appears to have a higher impact on the material hardness than in HPMA samples. This effect could be generated by the same inner frictions that induced a more viscous behaviour exhibited by DMA and caused by steric hindrances from HPMA. At 2.5 wt%, HEMA PUA exhibited slightly lower hardness values than their HPMA counterparts.

However, from 5 and 7.5 wt%, samples containing HEMA were quantified as harder than the samples containing the same acrylate wt% of HPMA in both 80:20 and 65:35 compositions. Differences between 80:20 and 65:35 formulations are also noticeable. At all times, 80:20 specimens showed a softer behaviour than 65:35 samples, with differences up to a 28% between H_PEGMA2.5 and S_PEGMA2.5. Beyond the already interesting capability of obtaining the desired degree of softness with a well-known and specific formulation, the quantified values are in the same range of certain biological tissues such as heart, kidneys, muscles, fetal membranes, tendons, skin or cartilage. Moreover, it has been demonstrated by previous studies that although certain healthy tissues exhibit lower hardness values, changes in their inner structure (fibrotic or cancerous processes, among others) can lead them towards having a hardness behaviour with higher values similar to those presented herein.

**Conclusions**

A series of novel, soft photopolymerizable and transparent PUA hybrids were successfully synthesized by a modified two-step polymerization procedure. The synthesis was confirmed by FTIR-ATR and NMR analyses. GPC measurements corroborated the $M_w$ variation on the synthesized PUA hybrids by the addition of different end-capping methacrylic molecules.

The polymer composition of the resulting PUA varied by changing the soft/hard ratio and the acrylate monomer in the feed, which influenced the thermal, optical and mechanical properties of the final materials. DSC and TG analyses revealed the effect of the polymer composition on $T_g$ and on the degradation temperature, confirming the possibility of adjusting thermal properties by modifying either the acrylate wt% or the soft/hard segment composition. TGA measurements showed that the decomposition takes place in one stage and PUA hybrids are stable up to high temperatures (around 340°C), which is compatible with current conventional sterilization processes.

DMA analysis was used to investigate the viscoelastic properties of the photocured PUA. The results suggested that the network density grows with the increasing acrylic monomer content, thus decreasing the viscous response of the material. This behaviour is also affected by the acrylate type, showing a more intense decrease of the viscous response and lower $E'$ values in the rubbery plateau for PEGMA-based PUA, which possess a longer molecular segment length and a higher chain movement among physical crosslinks than those for HEMA or HPMA-based PUA. The photocured PUA hardness was classified between extra soft and medium-hard. PEGMA allowed the widest range of hardness customization while the incorporation of HPMA had less influence on this property.

The characteristics of the synthesized materials allow them to be used in photocurable 3D printing fields where materials with tuneable properties are needed while not being limited by viscosity requirements (as DIW). Moreover, the softness of these photocurable PUA fits within the one exhibited by certain biological tissues, so they can be considered promising candidates for the fabrication of surgical phantoms or models for biomedical applications.
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