A wafer-scale two-dimensional platinum monosulfide ultrathin film via metal sulfurization for high performance photoelectronics
2D nonlayered materials have attracted enormous research interests due to their novel physical and chemical properties with confined dimensions. Platinum monosulfide as one of the most common platinum-group minerals has been less studied due to either the low purity in the natural product or the extremely high-pressure conditions for synthesis. Recently, platinum monosulfide (PtS) 2D membranes have emerged as rising-star materials for fundamental Raman and X-ray photoelectron spectral analysis as well as device exploration. However, a large-area homogeneous synthesis route has not yet been proposed and released. In this communication, we report a facile metal sulfurization strategy for the synthesis of a 4-inch wafer-scale PtS film. Enhanced characterization tools have been employed for thorough analysis of the crystal structure, chemical environment, vibrational modes, and atomic configuration. Furthermore, through theoretical calculations the phase diagram of the Pt–S compound has been plotted for showing the successful formation of PtS in our synthesis conditions. Eventually, a high-quality PtS film has been reflected in device demonstration by a photodetector. Our approach may shed light on the mass production of PtS films with precise control of their thickness and homogeneity as well as van der Waals heterostructures and related electronic devices.

Introduction

Two-dimensional (2D) layered materials have drawn a research vortex since the discovery of graphene, transition metal dichalcogenides, and h-BN. Indeed, they can assemble into van der Waals heterostructures as the building blocks of semiconductors, superconductors, semimetals, dielectrics and topological insulators. However, a majority of inorganic materials do not possess layered structures. Indeed, their counterpart 2D...
Nonlayered materials, e.g., perovskites, metals, metal oxides, and metal chalcogenides, have brought up interesting physical properties and device performances.

Besides, noble metal dichalcogenides (nMDCs) or noble metal chalcogenides (nMCs) have emerged as a hot topic with the current progress in their controlled synthesis and photovoltaic devices. Platinum sulfide has shown extraordinary mechanical, optical, electronic, magnetic and phase transition properties. A few reports on 2D PtS exist including electronic structure calculations, spectral analysis and nonlinear optical device applications. Besides, 2D PtS has been recently integrated into van der Waals heterostructures for enhancing the device performances. As a nonlayered material, PtS cannot be thinned by mechanical exfoliation of its 3D parent. Therefore, PtS has been less studied as a platform for physical phenomena compared with other 2D materials.

Indeed, a homogeneous PtS film over a large area is required for compatibility with the Si based technology. The currently-available techniques for PtS synthesis are categorized into three types, i.e., sealed ampoule annealing of mixed Pt and S powders, anodic sulfurization of Pt electrodes in sulfide solution, and thermal treatment of Pt films with sulfur vapor. Sulfurization in the presence of S vapor led to the formation of mixed PtS and PtS2 phases due to the growth competition of these two compounds. The formation mechanism and large area synthesis of PtS are still not fully understood thus far. Thus, there are plenty of rooms for the precise control of PtS synthesis, i.e., thickness and homogeneity.

In this work, we report a facile strategy for wafer-scale homogeneous PtS film formation. Indeed, we employ e-beam evaporation for Pt film deposition, and subsequently, upon thermal treatment in the presence of hydrogen sulfide, PtS has been successfully synthesized.

With the e-beam evaporation technique, we have fabricated a 4-inch wafer scale Pt film over a quartz substrate. The following sulfurization has transformed the Pt film into a sulfide film. The thickness of the synthetic film was 10 nm as indicated by the AFM height profile. The Raman spectrum shows a peak at around 325 cm⁻¹, which corresponds to the B1g mode of PtS. Further scanning transmission electron microscope (STEM) images show the structure of PtS with [001] and [021] orientations.

The perspective view of the PtS crystal is presented in Fig. 1e. Indeed, the PtS crystal structure has been confirmed with atomic resolution STEM graphs. The PtS film was transferred onto the TEM grid for structural observation. We first look at the simulated electron diffraction patterns of PtS at two typical orientations. Then, we observed the sample with the Cs corrected STEM approach and captured the atomic resolution STEM graphs in Fig. 1g and i, which agree well with the PtS [001] and [021] orientations.

Fig. 1. The synthesis of a 4-inch wafer-scale PtS film with a metal film plus sulfurization approach. (a) Scheme of the synthesis route with metal sulfurization. (b) Photograph of a 4-inch wafer scale PtS film. (c) AFM image of the PtS film. (d) Raman spectrum of a representative PtS sample. (e) Perspective view of the atomic configuration of the PtS crystal. (f) Simulated electron diffraction and (g) atomic-resolution imaging of the PtS film along the [001] direction. (h) Simulated electron diffraction and (i) atomic-resolution imaging of the PtS film along the [021] direction. The large magenta dots represent the Pt atoms and small blue dots stand for S atoms.
Now we come to discuss the PtS imaging in detail. Fig. 2 shows the chemically sensitive high-angle annular dark field scanning TEM (HAADF-STEM) characterization of the PtS thin film. A periodic oscillation of intensity is observed from Fig. 2a. The intensity contrast between Pt and S is caused by the Z-contrast of these two elements (Pt, 78 and S, 16).

The corresponding Fast Fourier transform (FFT) pattern indicates that this image was projected along the PtS [001] direction. The diffraction spots of 3.4 and 2.5 Å measured from Fig. 2b match well with lattice spacings of (100) and (110) PtS (ICSD-654379, space group: P4_2/mmc, a = b = 3.47 Å, c = 6.11 Å, \( \alpha = \beta = \gamma = 90^\circ \)), respectively. The atomic model of PtS along the [001] zone axis and the corresponding simulated HAADF-STEM image match well with the synthetic PtS (Fig. 2d and e). Besides, the intensity profiles (Fig. 2f) were plotted from the vertical lines connecting the bright dots in Fig. 2d. The vertical lines are labelled in Fig. S4 (ESI†). Indeed, the average distance between two adjacent Pt atoms is 0.25 nm (Fig. S4, ESI†), which agrees with the lattice parameter of PtS. Besides, the two neighboring S atoms possess a distance of 0.5 nm (Fig. S5, ESI†), which also matches the PtS lattice. In addition, the Pt–Pt distance along the diagonal direction (Fig. S6, ESI†) is measured as 0.35 nm, which is identical to the S–S distance (Fig. S7, ESI†). This shows a significant difference compared with pure Pt crystals.²⁷

More STEM images showing different orientations, e.g., PtS [021], are shown in Fig. S3 (ESI†). Along the [021] zone axis, the Pt–Pt distance is determined as 0.23 nm (Fig. S8, ESI†). Similarly, the S–S distance remains 0.23 nm (Fig. S9, ESI†), which agrees well with the structural model.²⁶ All these atomic-scale STEM characterization studies clearly evidenced that pure phase PtS formed in the sample.

The grain size was determined by averaging the length and width for each grain by color mapping. This idea was inspired by the relative rotation angle between two adjacent graphene facets with mapping by the dark-field TEM and SAED approach.²⁸–³⁰ Indeed, we have developed this SAED approach to determine the average grain size of 2D materials, as reported in our previous work.³¹
Besides, the grain size matches well with the aperture size from SAED data. Indeed, under electron-beam irradiation by the aperture size (ca. 220 nm in Fig. S10, ESI†), two sets of diffraction patterns emerge (Fig. S11, ESI†). For a larger aperture size (ca. 860 nm in Fig. S10a, ESI†), twelve sets of diffraction patterns were observed in SAED data (Fig. S12, ESI†). Therefore, the grain size was determined in the range from 220 nm to 290 nm. The number of grains inside the aperture was estimated from SAED patterns (Fig. S10c and d, ESI†). This information confirms the assignment of grains with different image contrasts in STEM micrographs for two neighboring domains (Fig. S13a–c, ESI†). Eventually, the histogram of the average grain size (width) is presented (Fig. S13d, ESI†), ranging from 100 to 500 nm. Therefore, the PtS film was produced with nanocrystalline grains.

X-Ray photoelectron spectroscopy has been employed to obtain the chemical environment of the PtS sample. Indeed, the Pt element (Fig. 2g) shows a doublet peak at 72.1 and 75.5 eV, which corresponds to the featural peaks of Pt 4f7/2 and Pt 4f5/2. Besides, the S element (Fig. 2h) demonstrates a doublet peak at 162.6 and 163.6 eV, respectively. These XPS peaks agree well with the reference powder samples of PtS synthesized from the high vacuum annealing of stoichiometrically mixed Pt and S powders.22 The elemental analysis further confirms the successful PtS formation. The PtS primary cell was provided in atomic configuration in the (001) orientation (Fig. 2i).

However, another phase, PtS2, has garnered recent attention due to its excellent electronic properties32–34 and promising applications35 and has been synthesized with the CVD method and observed frequently in recent studies.36

In order to interpret our experimental results and understand the competition between PtS and PtS2 during the CVD growth, we calculated the Gibbs free energy difference (Fig. S14, ESI†) of PtS and PtS2 by tuning the chemical potential of S under certain conditions. Here, G is abbreviated for Gibbs free energy, and ∆G means the change in Gibbs free energy. The transformation of PtS into PtS2 can be interpreted as the addition or incorporation of one S atom into the PtS structure. In this reaction, the change in free energy can be expressed as follows: ∆G = G_{PtS2} − G_{PtS} − N_Sμ_S. The calculation approach is presented in the Experimental section (and more details are presented in the ESI†). In view of thermodynamics, the chemical potential of S species, i.e., the change in the energy of the system upon the incorporation of one S atom, is shown in Fig. 3a at different growth temperatures and sulfur partial pressures. The chemical potential of S (μ_S) increases as the partial pressure of S vapor increases; however, it decreases when the temperature increases. Indeed, the trends obey the laws of thermodynamics.

Then, the phase diagram for the Pt–S compound (Fig. 3b) can be drawn according to the change in Gibbs free energy in the reaction PtS + S → PtS2. Here, PtS seems to be dominating in the top left region (Fig. 3b), i.e., under the condition of high temperature and low sulfur partial pressure, while PtS2 preferably forms in the bottom region (low temperature and high sulfur partial pressure).

Based on the calculated phase diagram (Fig. 3b), PtS tends to form at or below the sulfur partial pressure of 1 atm when the growth temperature is set as 700 °C. During thermal sulfurization, the sulfur partial pressure was much lower than 1 atm (i.e., 0.21 atm). Indeed, the total pressure of the Ar/H2/H2S mixture was maintained at 1 atm. Under the experimental conditions, PtS dominates in the synthetic product after sulfurization of Pt films.

Indeed, our calculation shows validity in interpreting the formation of either PtS or PtS2 under different growth conditions. First, the chemical vapor transport technique leads to formation of bulk crystals of PtS235,37–39 in which the confined space of the sealed ampoule facilitates a high partial pressure of S vapor. In contrast, the open-space sintering of mixed Pt/S

Fig. 3 Calculated phase diagram of platinum sulfide. (a) The chemical potential of sulfur in the incorporation into PtS for the formation of PtS2 at different growth temperatures T and partial pressures P of sulfur vapor. (b) Phase diagrams of PtS and PtS2 at different growth temperatures T and sulfur partial pressures P. In thermodynamics, ∆G < 0 occurs in the PtS2 region, while ∆G > 0 is the case in the PtS region. At the boundary, ∆G = 0 for the reaction PtS + S → PtS2.
powders results in the synthesis of PtS crystals in the early stage or the sulfurization of Pt metal, which provided PtS powders for the XRD investigation to obtain the standard diffraction pattern data. By the tuning of S partial pressure during the annealing, one can convert PtS into PtS₂. Our calculation results may provide a general guide for selecting proper parameter windows to synthesize stoichiometric or defective transition metal dichalcogenides, e.g., PtS₂, MoS₂ or PdS₂. For example, one can obtain a stoichiometric MS₂-rich film (here M denotes the metal) by the regulation of pressure and temperature, increasing the partial pressure of sulfur and decreasing the reaction temperature. Similarly, one can selectively grow sub-stoichiometric MSₓ (1 ≤ x < 2), i.e., containing S vacancies, by regulating the growth parameters of low sulfur pressure and high temperature. In addition, the S-deficient defects can also be induced through the application of external forces, e.g., sonication, laser irradiation, plasma treatment or thermal annealing in vacuum.

After knowing the good material quality of the resultant PtS film, we began to investigate its device performance. PtS films of three different thicknesses were characterized in the first place with optical imaging and Raman spectroscopy. The photodetector was fabricated with direct deposition of the electrode pad over the PtS film on the dielectric substrate, e.g., Si/SiO₂.

The optical micrograph and Raman mapping of the B₁g mode confirmed the successful device fabrication. The PtS based photodetector has shown an excellent photocurrent response upon light irradiation of increasing laser power. Indeed, the magnified line curves clearly demonstrated the increase of photocurrent intensity upon the increase of laser power.

Besides, it shows a significant photocurrent response with the illumination of 2% laser power (ca. 4 mW). Eventually, we investigated the effect of PtS thickness on the device performance, i.e., photocurrent curves upon the illumination. Dark currents of 0.50, 0.70, and 1.01 µA were provided corresponding to the PtS based devices with film thicknesses of 2, 4, and 10 nm, respectively, for comparison. The 10 nm PtS based photodetector shows superior photocurrent compared with the 2 nm and 4 nm counterparts. The thickness of PtS films was determined by AFM height profiles. Here, the surface roughness is presented. Therefore, the optimal PtS thickness for the photodetector was determined as 10 nm in this study.

We have evaluated the device performances with the approach of determining the rise time and decay time of the photodetectors. The rise time and decay time were extracted as 0.29 s and 0.82 s from Fig. S17 (ESI†), respectively. With the regulation of laser power, one can collect the power-dependent photocurrent curves and obtain the responsivity and detectivity of a photodetector. We have obtained a responsivity of 201 mA W⁻¹ and a detectivity of 5.3 x 10⁹ Jones from Fig. S17a (ESI†). The PtS film shows good absorbance in Fig. 4.
the UV-vis and near-infrared range (Fig. S18, ESI†). Indeed, the PtS based photodetector exhibited analogous photocurrent performances under illumination of three typical wavelengths (Fig. S19, ESI†). Therefore, the PtS film shows excellent potential for a full spectral photoelectronic response, which is highly desired in image sensors.

Our PtS based device showed a comparable performance, with some parameters exceeding those of devices based on Pt chalcogenides (Table 1). Moreover, the 2D PtS thin film can be synthesized over a wafer scale, which has great potential for large-area image sensors based on arrayed photodetectors.

Our facile metal-sulfurization strategy has led to the synthesis of a 4-inch wafer-scale homogeneous PtS film. Besides, the atomic resolution STEM imaging and diffraction simulation confirm the formation of pure phase PtS. Furthermore, the thermodynamically calculated phase diagram of the Pt–S compound has shown dominant PtS formation other than PtS2 under our experimental conditions. Eventually, the PtS-based photodetector has demonstrated a superior photoresponse and large photocurrent generation. Our approach may shed light on the large area integration of PtS into 2D material-based van der Waals heterostructures57–71 as well as their electronic and photoelectronic applications.

### Experimental section

#### Materials synthesis

PtS thin films were synthesized through metal film plus post-sulfurization treatment. The Pt films were deposited on the substrate using an electron beam evaporation furnace (model: HHV ATSS00). The film thickness was controlled by a controller with a crystal oscillator. Different substrates were employed to host Pt film deposition such as quartz and Si/SiO2 wafers. The Pt films were mounted in the horizontal tube furnace. Then the furnace was evacuated to remove oxygen, flushed with Ar gas and then filled with an Ar/H2 mixture (225 sccm/75 sccm). After heating the substrate to a typical temperature, i.e., 700 °C, a flow of 80 sccm H2S was introduced for sulfurization. The Pt films were deposited on the substrate using an electron beam evaporation furnace (model: HHV ATS500). The film thickness was controlled by a controller with a crystal oscillator. Different substrates were employed to host Pt film deposition such as quartz and Si/SiO2 wafers. The Pt films were mounted in the horizontal tube furnace. Then the furnace was evacuated to remove oxygen, flushed with Ar gas and then filled with an Ar/H2 mixture (225 sccm/75 sccm). After heating the substrate to a typical temperature, i.e., 700 °C, a flow of 80 sccm H2S was introduced for sulfurization. The Pt films were deposited on the substrate using an electron beam evaporation furnace (model: HHV ATS500). The film thickness was controlled by a controller with a crystal oscillator. Different substrates were employed to host Pt film deposition such as quartz and Si/SiO2 wafers.

#### Materials characterization tools

The following instruments were employed, i.e., a Raman spectrometer (Horiba LabRAM HR Evolution with an excitation wavelength of 532 nm), an optical microscope (Olympus BX53MRF-S), an atomic force microscope (Bruker Dimension Icon) and an X-ray photoelectron spectrometer (model: AXIS Supra) for chemical environment analysis.

#### TEM characterization of the PtS film

The PtS film was transferred from the Si/SiO2 substrate onto the TEM grid with a polymer-assisted transfer approach.31,72–75 STEM experiments were performed on a Double Cs-corrected FEI Titan Cube transmission electron microscope (300 kV). The simulated STEM images of PtS [001] were obtained using a quantitative STEM simulation package (see the ESI† for more details).

#### DFT computations

Density functional theory was employed for the computations of the chemical potential of sulfur and the Gibbs free energies of PtS and PtS2. More details on the simulation package, approximation78 and algorithm79,80 are provided in the ESI† (including other calculation parameters).81

The thermodynamic phase diagram was explored by comparing the difference of the Gibbs free energy ΔG between PtS and PtS2 as follows:

$$\Delta G = G_{\text{PtS}_2} - G_{\text{PtS}} - N_S \mu_S$$  (1)

#### Temperature-pressure dependent Gibbs free energy

The Gibbs free energy G at pressure P and temperature T can be calculated as

$$G(P, T) = F_{\text{phonon}} + PV$$  (2)

in which $F_{\text{phonon}}$ is the phonon contribution to the Helmholtz free energy, and P and V are the volume and pressure, respectively. Under quasi-harmonic approximation, the Helmholtz energy $F_{\text{phonon}}$ is given by

$$F_{\text{phonon}} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{q, v} \hbar \omega_q v + k_B T \sum_{q, v} \ln \left[ 1 - \exp \left( -\frac{\hbar \omega_q v}{k_B T} \right) \right]$$  (3)

#### The chemical potential of sulfur

The chemical potential of sulfur as a function of T and P can be written as

$$\mu_S(T, P) = \frac{1}{2} E_{S_2} + \frac{1}{2} E^{\text{ vib}}_{S_2} (0 K) + \Delta H(T, P^0) - T \Delta S(T, P^0)$$

$$+ k_B T \ln \left( \frac{P}{P^0} \right)$$  (4)

Here $E_S$ is the calculated energy of an isolated S dimer (denoted as $S_2$) molecule and $E^{\text{ vib}}_{S_2} (0 K)$ corresponds to the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material types</th>
<th>$\tau$ (s)</th>
<th>$R$ (mA W$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$D^*$ (Jones)</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PtSe$_2$/perovskite</td>
<td>$6 \times 10^{-8}$</td>
<td>117.7</td>
<td>$2.91 \times 10^{12}$</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PtSe$_2$/GaN</td>
<td>$1.72 \times 10^{-7}$</td>
<td>193</td>
<td>$3.8 \times 10^{14}$</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PtS</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PtS$_2$</td>
<td>0.066</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PtS</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>201</td>
<td>$5.3 \times 10^9$</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 1** The device performances for photodetectors based on Pt based chalcogenides.
zero-point vibrational energy, also obtained from the calculations, \( p \) is the partial pressure of sulfur and \( p^0 \) is the standard pressure which is \( 10^5 \) Pa. Changes in both the enthalpy and entropy of S dimer \( S_2 \) are extracted from the thermochemical tables\(^3\) at \( p^0 = 10^5 \) Pa.

**Device fabrication and performance examination**

The photodetector devices were directly fabricated on Si/SiO\(_2\) substrates by depositing electrode pads over PtS films. Electron beam evaporation (with HVH ATS 500 evaporator equipment) was employed to deposit 10 nm Ti and 50 nm Au patterns over PtS films through a hard stainless-steel mask. The channel length between two electrodes was 50 \( \mu m \). The measurements of photocurrent responses were made using a Keithley 2400. The laser light source for illumination has a wavelength of 650 nm and a full power of 200 mW. Neutral density filters were used for regulating the laser power during light irradiation.
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