

Cite this: *Mater. Adv.*, 2022, 3, 2850

# Optimization of the synthesis conditions of gold nanoparticle–polydimethylsiloxane composites for ultrasound generation†

Qing Wang,  Jia Zhou, \* Xiaohan Wu  and Antoine Riaud \*

Gold nanoparticle (AuNP)–polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) composites are promising materials for photoacoustic (PA) generation owing to their high thermal dilatation coefficient, small thermal capacity and acoustic impedance close to that of water. Solvent choice during AuNP synthesis can dramatically enhance the optical absorption of the composite, but there is no unified picture on this parameter. Here we test the optical absorbance and photoacoustic amplitude of AuNP–PDMS composites synthesized in five common solvents (acetone, methanol, ethanol, isopropanol, and water). We find that photoacoustic signals from water and acetone yield small and sharp acoustic displacement peaks whereas those from alcohols yield larger step-like downward displacements (away from the conversion layer), which indicates a different Grüneisen parameter between the two groups. Furthermore, our experiments show that composites synthesized in isopropanol instead of ethanol showed a homogeneous surface and a nearly total optical absorption on a narrow bandwidth, and boosted the photoacoustic amplitude by up to 20%.

Received 1st August 2021,  
Accepted 3rd February 2022

DOI: 10.1039/d1ma00674f

rsc.li/materials-advances

## 1 Introduction


Polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS)<sup>1–3</sup> is a promising material for photoacoustic (PA) generation owing to its high thermal dilatation coefficient, small thermal capacity and acoustic impedance close to that of water. However, PDMS is a transparent material, which limits its performance as a photoacoustic conversion layer. The optical absorption of PDMS is often increased using either opaque materials (carbon nanotubes,<sup>4,5</sup> graphene,<sup>6</sup> carbon soot,<sup>7,8</sup> carbon black,<sup>9,10</sup> and carbon fiber<sup>11</sup>) or bandwidth specific materials (such as gold<sup>12,13</sup> and silver nanoparticles<sup>14</sup>). These narrowband absorbers enable emission and reception of ultrasonic signals in an all-optical fashion,<sup>1</sup> but are limited by a lower conversion coefficient than that of black materials, which in turn requires thicker absorbing layers that restrict the ultrasonic bandwidth.

In 2007, Zhang *et al.*<sup>15</sup> pioneered a top-down synthesis approach where a slab of cured PDMS is immersed in an aqueous solution of tetrachloroauric acid (TCA). The PDMS contains left-over silicon hydride Si–H groups that reduce TCA into nanoparticles. This yields a thin layer (~2 μm) of nanoparticles embedded in a PDMS matrix. Switching the solvent

from water to ethanol further improves the absorbance from 27%<sup>15</sup> to 91%<sup>1</sup> with a 30 MHz bandwidth, yielding a multimodal generation layer competitive with black materials.

The dramatic improvement obtained after switching solvents suggests that, beyond TCA<sup>16</sup> and PDMS curing agent concentrations,<sup>15</sup> the solvent plays a key role in composite absorbance. This is due to several factors:

First, the solvent alters the physical structure of PDMS by irreversible swelling.<sup>16–20</sup> Second, from mass transfer considerations, (i) the solvent brings the AuCl<sub>4</sub><sup>−</sup> anions inside the PDMS<sup>15</sup> where they react with the Si–H groups, (ii) it extracts the curing agent from the PDMS<sup>17</sup> and (iii) some solvents can swell PDMS which can increase the depth of the diffusion layer of AuNPs in the PDMS.<sup>21</sup> Finally, from a reaction perspective, the right solvent choice can displace the formation reaction equilibrium by eliminating the reaction byproducts Cl<sup>−</sup> and H<sup>+</sup>:



In summary, the choice of solvent has a multidimensional effect on the synthesis of AuNP–PDMS composites, and it is unclear whether further adjusting the solvent would result in a better photoacoustic generation performance. In this study, we test seven common solvents (acetone, methanol, ethanol, isopropanol, water, dimethylformamide, and dimethyl sulfoxide) with a range of TCA concentrations (from 0.003 mol L<sup>−1</sup> up to 0.018 mol L<sup>−1</sup>, that is 0.1% (m/v) up to 0.7% (m/v)). The composites are evaluated in terms of uniformity, absorbance

State Key Laboratory of ASIC and System, School of Microelectronics, Fudan University, Shanghai 200433, China. E-mail: antoine\_riaud@fudan.edu.cn, jia.zhou@fudan.edu.cn

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Schematic diagram of the PA generation experimental set-up, linear regression results of amplitude and solvent properties, and size distribution diagrams for 25 solvent samples. See DOI: 10.1039/d1ma00674f



and photoacoustic generation amplitude with a 520 nm pulsed laser diode. We then combine our data in a linear model to predict the absorbance depending on the TCA concentration, solvent polarity, solvent  $pK_a$ , Hildebrand solubility parameter between the solvent and PDMS, and swelling coefficient:

$$S = \frac{W_s - W_b}{W_b}, \quad (1)$$

where  $W_s$  is the weight of the Au-free PDMS sample after swelling by each solvent and  $W_b$  is the weight of each Au-free PDMS sample before the swelling process. We find that photoacoustic signals from water and acetone yield small and sharp acoustic displacement peaks whereas those from alcohols yield larger step-like downward displacements (away from the conversion layer), which indicates to a different Grüneisen parameter between the two groups. We also note that using isopropanol instead of ethanol yields a more uniform photoacoustic conversion layer with twice the absorbance and a 20% larger photoacoustic amplitude at equal laser power.

## 2 Materials and methods

### 2.1 Materials

A Sylgard<sup>®</sup> 184 elastomer kit including the base polymer and curing agent for PDMS fabrication was purchased from Dow Corning Inc., USA. TCA trihydrate ( $\text{HAuCl}_4 \cdot 3\text{H}_2\text{O}$ , 99.9%) was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich, Shanghai, China. Methanol, ethanol, isopropanol acetone, dimethylformamide and dimethylsulfoxide were provided by Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd. All other chemicals were obtained commercially and used without purification.

### 2.2 Fabrication of PDMS and PDMS modification by AuNPs

AuNPs were prepared based on the method reported by Sadabadi *et al.*,<sup>22</sup> where ethanol was replaced by other solvents. Briefly, PDMS substrates were firstly prepared by mixing the base and the curing agent at a weight ratio of 10:1 with mechanical stirring. The mixture was homogenized in an ice-cooled ultrasonic bath for 30 min. To test various compositions and solvents, the mixture was split into several 800  $\mu\text{L}$  samples that were poured into glass test tubes with 15 mm diameter and 5 mm height. The mixture was degassed in a vacuum chamber for 30 min. Finally, the samples were cured at 70  $^\circ\text{C}$  in an oven overnight. For future reference, the composites prepared in methanol will be referred as “methanol samples”, those in acetone as “acetone samples” and so on.

Methanol, ethanol, acetone, and water samples were prepared using the same synthesis method. For each of the five solvents, we prepared a 0.018 mol  $\text{L}^{-1}$  stock solution of TCA. The solutions were then filtered with a 0.22  $\mu\text{m}$  filter and diluted to 0.003, 0.008, 0.013, and 0.015 mol  $\text{L}^{-1}$ , that is 0.1% (m/v) up to 0.7% (m/v). To prepare the diluted solutions, 300  $\mu\text{L}$  of TCA solutions were poured into test tubes containing cured PDMS. To prevent evaporation, the bottles were then sealed by wrapping them with parafilm and capped using an oak stopper.

After incubating for 48 h, the synthesized nanocomposites were taken out and rinsed with water and dried under nitrogen.

### 2.3 Characterization

The optical absorption of the samples was measured with a UV-vis spectrometer (TU-1810). The morphology and geometric structure of the nanocomposites were observed using an optical microscope (OM, BX53M, Olympus). A digital camera was used to take unmagnified images, compared with images obtained using OM with a 100 $\times$  magnification. The optical absorption of the samples inserted into a solid sample holder was measured with a UV-vis spectrometer (TU-1810) as shown in the ESI,<sup>†</sup> Fig. S1. Au-free PDMS was used as a reference sample to calibrate the baseline.

The photoacoustic vibrations of the solvent samples with 0.015 mol  $\text{L}^{-1}$  TCA concentration irradiated with a 129 ns pulse with 1.5 W peak power (attenuated to 0.3 W after the 10 $\times$  objective) are measured with a home-made heterodyne Mach-Zehnder laser vibrometer<sup>23,24</sup> as shown in Fig. S2 in the ESI.<sup>†</sup> At the low laser power used here, the PA generation is due to sudden thermal dilatation of the PA generation layer when irradiated by a pulsed laser beam, which generates a sudden pressure increase (thermoelastic regime) that propagates as an acoustic wave.


## 3 Results

### 3.1 Optical microscopy imaging of the PDMS composite surface

OM photographs of the solvent samples with various TCA concentrations are shown in Fig. 1, with unmagnified images being presented in the inset. For a given solvent, the purple-red color of the samples becomes darker with increasing TCA concentration. For a given TCA concentration, the color depth of the samples gradually increases in the order of water, acetone, methanol, ethanol, and isopropanol samples. Previous studies<sup>12</sup> suggest that darker samples indicate that more TCA successfully form AuNPs.

OM images show large yellow agglomerates in most samples, except the water sample. SEM energy dispersion spectra shown in Fig. S3 in the ESI,<sup>†</sup> and previous studies<sup>25,26</sup> indicate that they are micrometric gold clusters. These large yellow particles are unlikely to yield an absorption peak at 522 nm and PA signals with a 520 nm laser and are therefore regarded as a side-product. To quantify the size and amount of Au microparticles on the surface of PDMS, we use Photoshop<sup>®</sup> to count the percentage of yellow in each sample photograph. The results are shown in Fig. 2. Interestingly, the amount of yellow particles depending on the TCA concentration follows a non-monotonic N-shaped curve (divided into 3 segments denoted I, II, and III) regardless of the solvent. Scarano *et al.*, who reported a similar trend for water samples,<sup>16</sup> offered a kinetic interpretation for this phenomenon. When TCA is scarce (segment I), gold particles are formed slowly which favors large microscopic aggregates whereas at higher TCA concentration (segment II) the gold reduction would





**Fig. 1** OM images of nanocomposites with a 100 $\times$  magnification. Vertical direction including acetone, methanol, ethanol, isopropanol, and water samples, horizontal direction where the TCA solution ranges from 0.003 to 0.018 mol L<sup>-1</sup>. Inset: Images obtained using a digital camera of 25 samples. Scale bar: 20  $\mu$ m.

proceed faster and yield nanoparticles, thus a dip in the percentage of yellow particles. Scarano *et al.* did not investigate larger concentrations, but indicated that using ethanol to deplete PDMS from silicon hydride groups and then adding more TCA yield large particles again. Therefore, when TCA concentration is further increased (in segment III), the increase in the percentage of yellow particles may be due to the exhaustion of the Si-H groups in PDMS (consumed to make AuNPs or dispersed in the solvent).

We then evaluated the size distribution of the microparticles on the sample surface. The particle size distributions of the existing composites (ethanol: 0.013 mol L<sup>-1</sup> and water:


0.013 mol L<sup>-1</sup><sup>15</sup>) are compared with that of the best sample of this study (isopropanol: 0.015 mol L<sup>-1</sup>) in Fig. 3 (the other samples are available in Fig. S6 in the ESI<sup>†</sup>). While the ethanol samples have dozens of microparticles, the isopropanol and water samples have only submicrometric particles. Therefore, the isopropanol sample combines the high absorbance of ethanol samples with the excellent homogeneity of water samples, which is essential for micro-photoacoustic applications such as fiber-optic photoacoustic transducers or integration in microfluidic chips.

### 3.2 Optical absorbance

The relative absorption spectra of 0.015 mol L<sup>-1</sup> TCA concentration samples are shown in Fig. 4. Maximum absorption peaks are at 540  $\pm$  10 nm. Red-shifts are observed in the methanol and water samples at 2 nm and 10 nm, respectively. The absorbance increases from 20% (water) up to 95% (isopropanol). Half width at half maximum (HWHM) is measured and the isopropanol sample exhibits the narrowest absorbance peak. The absorbance of the samples using dimethyl formamide (DMF), dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) and a mixture of isopropanol and water (ESI<sup>†</sup> Fig. S4) indicates that these solvent types may not be beneficial for optimizing synthesis.

### 3.3 PA signal generation

The PA signal of various solvent samples was measured using a confocal setup to guide the pulsed laser beam along the optical path of the LDV system. A 520 nm nanosecond pulse laser was used as the PA excitation source to maximize the optical absorption of the composite (540 nm) and the PA wave amplitude. The vibrometer works by measuring the Doppler shift of a red laser (633 nm) as it is reflected by the vibrating surface. The LDV red laser can travel with little attenuation through the composite (Fig. 4) and measures the vibrations of a reflective mylar foil placed afterwards.



**Fig. 2** Percentage of the visual yellow section to the surface area of Au-free PDMS solvent samples and the swelling ratio of each solvent: (a) acetone, (b) methanol, (c) ethanol, (d) isopropanol, and (e) water. (f) The swelling ratio of the solvent to the PDMS matrix.






Fig. 3 The amount of visible yellow particles. (a) Ethanol samples with  $0.013 \text{ mol L}^{-1}$ . (b) Isopropanol sample with  $0.015 \text{ mol L}^{-1}$ . (c) Water sample with  $0.013 \text{ mol L}^{-1}$ . Inset: Images obtained using a digital camera of  $0.013 \text{ mol L}^{-1}$  ethanol,  $0.015 \text{ mol L}^{-1}$  isopropanol, and  $0.013 \text{ mol L}^{-1}$  water samples.




Fig. 4 UV-Vis absorption spectra of the five solvent samples at  $0.015 \text{ mol L}^{-1}$  of TCA concentration.

The photoacoustic generation from the mylar is negligible because it is placed behind the generation layer and therefore receives the laser pulse after it has been strongly attenuated by the generation layer. Furthermore, its reflection coefficient exceeds 90%,<sup>27</sup> so that most of the energy is sent back towards the laser without contributing to heating and the thermoelastic effect. Finally, the manufacturer's data indicate that the thermal dilatation coefficient of mylar (a polyethylene terephthalate film) is  $1.7 \times 10^{-5} \text{ }^\circ\text{C}^{-1}$ , 20 times lower than that of PDMS ( $3.0 \times 10^{-4} \text{ }^\circ\text{C}^{-1}$ ). A detailed schematic diagram is shown in Fig. S2 in the ESI.† Fig. 5(a) shows the sandwich structure consisting of the AuNP-PDMS composite at the top, a thin reflective mylar foil in the middle and a transparent Au-free PDMS at the bottom.

Although an acoustic wave is generated in the composite, it is measured on the mylar foil. The propagation between the composite and the foil is ensured by adding a drop of water as the coupling fluid to ensure a tight contact between them. The Au-free PDMS slab at the bottom is placed to avoid acoustic reflections. Fig. 5(b–e) display the surface displacement measured by our interferometer, which is positive when the mylar is moved away from the vibrometer by the thermal dilatation of the generation layer. We found that acetone and methanol samples were noisier than isopropanol, water and

ethanol samples. The 6.4 nm jump height (PA amplitude) of the isopropanol sample is 3 times higher than that of the water sample (2.0 nm) and 20% higher than that of the ethanol sample (5.1 nm). The peak to peak PA amplitude increases in the order of acetone, water, methanol, ethanol, and isopropanol. Water and acetone, on the one hand, and alcohols (methanol, ethanol, isopropanol) on the other exhibit markedly different waveforms. While the former jump promptly back in place, the displacement of the alcohol samples remains large even long after the pulse. Careful examination of the methanol samples reveals the coexistence of two characteristic relaxation times, a short one (similar to water) and a long one, as for ethanol and isopropanol. At the time of writing this paper, we were still investigating the reasons for this difference. We also note that we saw no evidence of damage on any of the generation layers.

## 4 Discussion

Our experiments show that using isopropanol as the solvent yields homogeneous samples with enhanced light absorption and 20% superior photoacoustic amplitude at an equal laser power. In the following discussion, we first clarify whether the enhanced performance comes from the modification of the PDMS bulk (such as swelling) or from superior light absorption. We then interpret this optimal solvent choice using a linear model and propose a simple reaction mechanism to explain the enhancement.


### 4.1 Cause of the enhancement

Theoretically, the PA displacement amplitude  $u$  is given by:<sup>1</sup>

$$u = \Gamma \mu_a \varphi_i, \quad (2)$$

where  $\Gamma$  is the Grüneisen parameter,  $\mu_a$  is the optical absorption coefficient and  $\varphi_i$  is the incident light fluence. The absorbed power flux  $\varphi_{\text{abs}} = \mu_a \varphi_i$  can be deduced from the absorbance  $\text{Abs} = \log_{10} \left( \frac{\varphi_i}{\varphi_r} \right)$  by using the power conservation  $\varphi_i = \varphi_t + \varphi_{\text{abs}} + \varphi_r$  where the reflected power  $\varphi_r$  is negligible for the





**Fig. 5** (a) A schematic diagram of the PA generation experimental set-up. (b) PA waveform of the acetone sample. (c) PA waveform of the methanol sample. (d) PA waveform of the ethanol sample. (e) PA waveform of the isopropanol sample. (f) PA waveform of the water sample. Conditions: 129 ns pulse with a 0.3 W peak power near samples, TCA concentration was  $0.015 \text{ mol L}^{-1}$ . The laser strikes the sample at  $t = 2.4 \mu\text{s}$ , causing thermal dilatation that results in a sudden sample displacement.


AuNP-PDMS composites.<sup>28</sup> These yield  $\mu_a \approx 1 - 10^{-Abs}$  and the theoretical relation:

$$u \approx \Gamma \varphi_i (1 - 10^{-Abs}), \quad (3)$$

This theoretical relation is tested in Fig. 6. We find that even though all the samples follow a common trend, there is a slope break between water/ketone and alcohol samples. This discontinuity combined with eqn (3) suggests that the higher PA of alcohol samples is at least in part due to an increase of  $\Gamma$ .

#### 4.2 Effect of solvent characteristics on light absorption

Since absorbance and PA amplitude are closely related, we now study the effect of solvent parameters on the absorbance, bearing in mind that a similar law can be obtained for the PA amplitude. The absorption responses to the various solvent parameters at a maximum wavelength of 540 nm are shown in Fig. 7(a–e). The studied parameters include TCA concentration, solvent dipole moment,  $pK_a$  of each solvent, Hildebrand solubility parameter (reflects the molecular coherence of the solvent), and swelling value  $S$ , collated in Table 1. Fig. 7(a) shows the increase of optical absorption with increasing TCA concentration, indicating



**Fig. 6** (a) Amplitude change with absorbance for 5 TCA concentrations in 5 different solvents (25 samples). Note: colored circles mark two types of PA waveform: water/ketone samples (green) and alcohol samples (pink). (b) PA waveform of the water sample. (c) PA waveform of the isopropanol sample.






Fig. 7 Relationship between solvent properties and absorption, and (a) concentration of TCA solution in different solvents. (b) Solvent dipole moment. (c)  $pK_a$ . (d) Solubility parameter. (e) Swelling ratio. (f) Comparison of the linear model of absorption coefficient and experimental result.

that more TCA molecules are reduced to form AuNPs. At higher concentrations, a slight drop of the optical absorption appears except in methanol and water samples. This result may be due to larger Au particles visible in the OM photographs which are not beneficial in improving absorbance at 540 nm. Fig. 7(b–e) show that no single factor in the solvent can explain the variations in absorber performance.

However, a multilinear fit of these five factors shows a good fit with experimental results, as shown in Fig. 7(f). For this regression, the parameters are made dimensionless in comparison with water. The linear regression between PA amplitude and solvent properties is shown in Fig. S5 in the ESI,<sup>†</sup> with a good linear relationship.

The obtained linear regression coefficients are shown in Table 2 and Fig. 8(b), which suggest that more acidic solvents that have solubility similar to PDMS favor the formation of AuNPs, while swelling and solvent dipole moment are not related to the final absorption. The linear regression coefficients between PA amplitude and solvent properties are shown in Table S1 in the ESI.<sup>†</sup>

Furthermore, we can reproduce the absorption ranking using only two dominant factors, as shown in Fig. 8(a). The best material is in the lower-left corner. Each line represents theoretically equivalent materials. We get the ranking water < acetone < methanol < ethanol < isopropanol.

### 4.3 Postulated mechanism

The use of high Hilderbrand solubility was previously discussed (in terms of permeation rates in PDMS) by SadAbadi *et al.*, who argued that higher solvent permeation rates in PDMS accelerate the synthesis of thin AuNP films. Since the film is formed essentially at the surface of PDMS, it is likely that more volatile

Table 1 Swelling degree, dipole moment, solubility parameter and  $pK_a$  of five solvents

Solvents	$S$	dipole moment (D)	Solubility parameter ( $\text{cal}^{1/2} \text{cm}^{-3/2}$ )	$pK_a$
Acetone	0.26	2.69	9.90	19.30
Methanol	0.02	2.87	14.50	15.50
Ethanol	0.04	1.69	12.90	15.90
Isopropanol	0.16	1.66	11.50	16.50
Water	0.01	1.85	23.4	14.00

Table 2 Parameters and regressed coefficients of the five solvents

Parameter	Normalized parameter	Regressed coefficient
Swelling ( $S$ )	$S' = \frac{S}{S_w} - 1$	$\theta_s = -0.001$
Dipole moment ( $q$ )	$q' = \frac{q}{q_w} - 1$	$\theta_q = -0.08$
Hildebrand solubility parameter ( $h$ )	$h' = \frac{h - h_{pdms}}{h_w} - 1$	$\theta_h = -2.13$
Acidity constant ( $pK_a$ )	$pK_a' = \frac{pK_a}{pK_{aw}} - 1$	$\theta_{pK_a} = -2.64$
TCA concentration ( $C^a$ )	$C' = \frac{C}{C_{Zhang}} - 1$	$\theta_C = 0.26$
Intercept ( $b$ )		$b = -0.4$

<sup>a</sup>  $C_{Zhang} = 0.013 \text{ mol L}^{-1}$  is set as the standard concentration based on previous studies.

$$\mu_a = b + \theta_C C' + \theta_S S' + \theta_q q' + \theta_h h' + \theta_{pK_a}$$

solvents allow a faster extraction of the silicon hydride groups.<sup>17,22</sup> Notwithstanding these solubility effects, we find







Fig. 8 (a) Ranking of compounds based on two major coefficients. (b) Comparison of linear coefficients of five solvent properties.

that the  $pK_a$  is the most important factor when comparing multiple solvents. While good bases would be able to accept  $H^+$  from the reaction, they do not eliminate  $Cl^-$ . However, alcohols are well known to undergo nucleophilic substitution in acidic media:



If such a reaction occurs, it would eliminate both products from the reaction, thereby displacing the reaction equilibrium and favoring the formation of AuNPs. This could explain why alcohols synthesize darker samples than water and acetone. However, the difference in the Grüneisen parameter is difficult to infer using chemistry and may require additional physical characterization studies.

## 5 Conclusions

The synthesis of AuNP-PDMS composites for ultrasound generation is a complex multiphase reaction where the solvent has been shown to play a prominent role. The solvent effect on AuNP-PDMS synthesis was experimentally studied by measuring the morphology, optical absorption and photoacoustic generation. In the case of water, ethanol and isopropanol, AuNPs were better dispersed on the surface of PDMS than in the case of methanol and acetone. Comparing the PA amplitude and optical absorption, we found that the enhanced performance of AuNP-PDMS composites prepared in isopropanol was at least in part due to a different Grüneisen parameter between alcohol samples *versus* the water and ketone ones. A simple linear model of optical absorption depending on the solvent dipole moment, swelling of PDMS, Hilderbrand solubility and  $pK_a$  then revealed that solubility and  $pK_a$  are the dominant factors that determine the final optical absorption. Furthermore, these two parameters alone reproduce the ranking water < acetone < methanol < ethanol < isopropanol. Finally, we postulate that alcoholic solvents promote the formation of AuNPs by consuming the  $H^+$  and  $Cl^-$  side products

through a nucleophilic substitution reaction. Our research demonstrates that the solvent choice is a key factor in the synthesis of nanoparticles on PDMS, and unveils that using isopropanol as a solvent instead of ethanol offers a 20% boost in photoacoustic amplitude.

## Abbreviations

Au	gold
AuNPs	gold nanoparticles
PDMS	polydimethylsiloxane
TCA	tetrachloroauric acid
PA	photoacoustic
S	swelling
LDV	heterodyne Mach-Zehnder Laser Doppler Vibrometer.

## Author contributions

Antoine Riaud and Jia Zhou proposed the study. Qing Wang carried out experiments and characterization studies, and prepared the figures for the manuscript. Antoine Riaud and Qing Wang analyzed the data together. Xiaohan Wu provided instruments and guidance for the spectroscopy and absorbance measurements. All the authors wrote the manuscript together.

## Conflicts of interest

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

## Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 12004078 and 61874033) and the State Key Lab of ASIC and System, Fudan University with Grant No. 2021MS001 and 2021MS002. The LDV DATA analysis interface was provided by Miss Ting Xu from Fudan University.



## References

- S. Noimark, R. J. Colchester, R. K. Poduval, E. Maneas, E. J. Alles, T. R. Zhao, E. Z. Zhang, M. Ashworth, E. Tsolaki, A. H. Chester, N. Latif, S. Bertazzo, A. L. David, S. Ourselin, P. C. Beard, I. P. Parkin, I. Papakonstantinou and A. E. Desjardins, Polydimethylsiloxane Composites for Optical Ultrasound Generation and Multimodality Imaging, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2018, **28**, 9.
- T. Lee, H. W. Baac, Q. Li and L. J. Guo, Efficient Photoacoustic Conversion in Optical Nanomaterials and Composites, *Adv. Opt. Mater.*, 2018, **6**(24), 1800491.1.
- H. W. Baac, J. G. Ok, J. P. Hui, T. Ling, S. L. Chen, A. J. Hart and L. J. Guo, Carbon nanotube composite optoacoustic transmitters for strong and high frequency ultrasound generation, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 2010, **97**(23), 234104.
- H. W. Baac, J. Ok and T. Lee, Nano-structural characteristics of carbon nanotube-polymer composite films for high-amplitude optoacoustic generation, *Nanoscale*, 2015, **7**(34), 14460–14468.
- H. W. Baac, J. G. Ok, A. Maxwell, K. T. Lee, Y. C. Chen, A. J. Hart, Z. Xu, E. Yoon and L. J. Guo, Carbon-Nanotube Optoacoustic Lens for Focused Ultrasound Generation and High-Precision Targeted Therapy, *Sci. Rep.*, 2012, **2**, 989.
- S. Hwan Lee, M. A. Park, J. J. Yoh, H. Song, E. Y. Jang, Y. Hyup Kim, S. Kang and Y. J. Seop Yoon, Reduced graphene oxide coated thin aluminum film as an optoacoustic transmitter for high pressure and high frequency ultrasound generation, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 2012, **101**(24), 1–4.
- X. Deng, L. Mammen, H. J. Butt and D. J. Vollmer, Candle Soot as a Template for a Transparent Robust Superamphiphobic Coating, *Science*, 2012, **335**(6064), 67–70.
- W. Y. Chang, W. Huang, J. Kim, S. Li and X. J. Jiang, Candle soot nanoparticles-polydimethylsiloxane composites for laser ultrasound transducers, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 2015, **107**(16), 1713.
- T. Buma, M. Spisar and M. J. O'Donnell, High-frequency ultrasound array element using thermoelastic expansion in an elastomeric film, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 2001, **79**(4), 548–550.
- H. Nomada, K. Morita, H. Higuchi, H. Yoshioka and Y. J. Oki, Carbon-polydimethylsiloxane-based integratable optical technology for spectroscopic analysis, *Talanta*, 2017, 428–432.
- B. Y. Hsieh, J. Kim, J. Zhu, S. Li, X. Zhang and X. J. Jiang, A laser ultrasound transducer using carbon nanofibers-polydimethylsiloxane composite thin film, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 2015, **106**(2), 8.
- N. Wu, Y. Tian, X. Zou, V. Silva, A. Chery and X. J. Wang, High-efficiency optical ultrasound generation using one-pot synthesized polydimethylsiloxane-gold nanoparticle nanocomposite, *J. Opt. Soc. Am. B*, 2012, **29**(8), 2016–2020.
- X. Zou, N. Wu, Y. Tian and X. J. Wang, Broadband miniature fiber optic ultrasound generator, *Opt. Express*, 2014, **22**(15), 18119–18127.
- H. Zhao, W. Hasi, L. Bao, Y. Liu, S. Han and D. J. Lin, A silver self-assembled monolayer-decorated polydimethylsiloxane flexible substrate for in situ SERS detection of low-abundance molecules, *J. Raman Spectrosc.*, 2018, **49**(9), 1469–1477.
- Q. Zhang, J. J. Xu, Y. Liu and H. Y. Chen, In-situ synthesis of poly(dimethylsiloxane)-gold nanoparticles composite films and its application in microfluidic systems, *Lab Chip*, 2008, **8**(2), 352–357.
- S. Scarano, C. Berlangieri, E. Carretti, L. Dei and M. Minunni, Tunable growth of gold nanostructures at a PDMS surface to obtain plasmon rulers with enhanced optical features, *Microchim. Acta*, 2017, **184**(19), 3093–3102.
- J. N. Lee, C. Park and G. M. Whitesides, Solvent Compatibility of Poly(Dimethylsiloxane)-Based Microfluidic Devices, *Anal. Chem.*, 2004, **75**(23), 6544–6554.
- C. V. Rumens, M. A. Ziai, K. E. Belsey, J. C. Batchelor and S. J. Holder, Swelling of PDMS networks in solvent vapours; applications for passive RFID wireless sensors, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2015, **3**(39), 10091–10098.
- M. M. Kim, Y. Huang, K. Choi and C. H. Hidrovo, The improved resistance of PDMS to pressure-induced deformation and chemical solvent swelling for microfluidic devices, *Microelectron. Eng.*, 2014, **124**, 66–75.
- B. Y. Kim, L. Y. Hong, Y. M. Chung, D. P. Kim and C. S. Lee, Solvent-Resistant PDMS Microfluidic Devices with Hybrid Inorganic/Organic Polymer Coatings, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2010, **19**(23), 3796–3803.
- J. R. Dunklin, G. T. Forcherio and K. R. Berry, Asymmetric Reduction of Gold Nanoparticles into Thermoplasmonic Polydimethylsiloxane Thin Films, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2013, **5**(17), 8457–8466.
- H. SadAbadi, S. Badilescu, M. Packirisamy and R. Wüthrich, PDMS-Gold Nanocomposite Platforms with Enhanced Sensing Properties, *J. Biomed. Nanotechnol.*, 2012, **8**(4), 539–549.
- D. Royer, E. Dieulesaint and Y. Martin, In Improved Version of a Polarized Beam Heterodyne Interferometer, *IEEE 1985 Ultrasonics Symposium*, 1985.
- D. Royer and E. Dieulesaint, In Optical Detection of Sub-Angstrom Transient Mechanical Displacements, *IEEE 1986 Ultrasonics Symposium*, 1986.
- J. Song, D. Kim and D. Lee, Colloids, Size control in the synthesis of 1–6 nm gold nanoparticles via solvent-controlled nucleation, *Langmuir*, 2011, **27**(22), 13854–13860.
- M. H. Hussain, N. Bakar, A. N. Mustapa, K. F. Low and F. Adam, Synthesis of Various Size Gold Nanoparticles by Chemical Reduction Method with Different Solvent Polarity, *Nanoscale Res. Lett.*, 2020, **15**, 1.
- R. Levinson, S. Chen, J. Slack, H. Goudey, T. Harima and P. Berdahl, Design, characterization, and fabrication of solar-retroreflective cool-wall materials, *Sol. Energy Mater. Sol. Cells*, 2020, **206**, 110117.
- J. R. Dunklin, G. T. Forcherio, K. R. Berry and D. K. J. A. M. Roper, Interfaces, Asymmetric Reduction of Gold Nanoparticles into Thermoplasmonic Polydimethylsiloxane Thin Films, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2013, **5**(17), 8457–8466.

