





Cite this: *Mater. Adv.*, 2022,  
3, 399

# Acenaphthene-triphenylamine (acceptor–donor) based luminophores for organic light emitting diodes: combined experimental and theoretical study†

Jairam Tagare, Aravind Babu Kajjam,  Kasturi Singh,  Sabita Patel  and Sivakumar Vaidyanathan \*

In this work, a series of acenaphthene derivatives (AC-derivatives) were designed and synthesized by incorporating imidazole as an electron deficient moiety and triphenylamine (TPA) as an electron rich moiety with different functional groups at the N1 position of imidazole. All the dyes were structurally characterized by spectroscopic methods ( $^1\text{H}$  NMR,  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR, IR, and Mass) and some of the dyes were characterized by single crystal X-ray diffraction. The detailed thermal, photophysical and electrochemical properties were systematically investigated. All the dyes showed good thermal stability with high thermal decomposition ( $T_d$ ) temperatures ranging from 400 to 430 °C. All the dyes had similar absorption behaviour, with peak wavelengths at 240 nm and 350 nm assigned to the  $\pi \rightarrow \pi^*$  transitions of the aromatic segments. All the dyes exhibited a broad bluish-white to yellowish-orange emission with the emission maximum in the range of 520–600 nm. Electrochemical analysis was performed to discover the HOMO and LUMO energy levels of the dyes, which were verified using DFT calculations. Theoretical investigations, such as optimization, singlet–triplet and HOMO and LUMO energy levels calculations, were calculated using DFT and TD-DFT analysis. The excited singlet–triplet energy gaps of the dyes were estimated and correlated to the structural and energetic characteristics of the known host materials. In addition, examinations were carried out to find the optical, electronic, charge transport and stability properties of dyes for use as emissive and charge transport materials in organic light emitting devices (OLEDs). All the dyes are good emissive materials with their hole transporting nature more favourable than the electron transporting nature. The theoretical investigations clearly indicate that AC-mCF3 has more potential as an emitter for OLED applications.

Received 6th July 2021,  
Accepted 20th October 2021

DOI: 10.1039/d1ma00583a

rsc.li/materials-advances

## Introduction

Organic light emitting diodes (OLEDs) are a strong competitor for the next generation of solid-state lightening and display technology.<sup>1–5</sup> OLEDs have the advantages of low power consumption, fast response, high flexibility and full color (brighter and high resolution). In the development of OLED technology, the emitter of the emissive layer (EML) plays a very important role in OLED devices.<sup>6–13</sup> Generally, to produce white OLEDs (WOLEDs), one must simultaneously involve the three primary colors, red, green and blue (RGB), or two complementary

colors, such as blue with yellow or orange (B,Y/O), in the emissive layer.<sup>14–20</sup> Specifically, to qualify as WOLEDs, a color rendering index (CRI) of over 80 is required for suitably bright sources. To boost CRI, several research groups have developed WOLEDs by applying a blue or yellow fluorophore along with green, red, yellow and orange emitters/phosphors.<sup>21–24</sup> Wu *et al.* fabricated a multi-layer device with blue emissive fluorophores (2-methyl-9,10-di(2-naphthyl) anthracene (MADN)), a *p*-bis(*p*-*N,N*-di-phenyl-aminostyryl)benzene (DSA-Ph)) layer and a complementary yellow emissive fluorophore (2,8-di(*t*-butyl)-5,11-di[4-(*t*-butyl)phenyl]-6,12-diphenylnaphthacene (TBRb)) layer to get WOLED.<sup>21</sup> The device achieved efficiencies of 9.75 cd A<sup>−1</sup> (luminance yield), 3.90 lm W<sup>−1</sup> (power efficiency) and 4.36% (EQE), with Commission Internationale del'Eclairage (CIE) color coordinates of (0.31, 0.40). Schwartz *et al.* fabricated a WOLED using *N,N'*-di-1-naphthalenyl-*N,N'*-diphenyl-[1,1':4',1''':4''''-quaterphenyl]-4,4'''-diamine (4P-NPD) as a blue fluorophore and *fac*-tris(2-phenylpyridine) iridium (Ir(ppy)<sub>3</sub>) as a green phosphor,

Department of Chemistry, National Institute of Technology Rourkela, India.

E-mail: vsiva@nitrkl.ac.in; Tel: +91-661-2462654

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Supporting Information consist of substrate synthesis (TPA-CHO), NMR spectra ( $^1\text{H}$ ,  $^{13}\text{C}$ ) and mass spectra of all the dyes. FT-IR spectra and atom coordinates of the dyes (DFT). CCDC 1587456, 1568852 and 1568853. For ESI and crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: 10.1039/d1ma00583a

iridium(III) bis(2-methyl-dibenzo-*[f,h]*quinoxaline)(acetylacetonate) ( $\text{Ir}(\text{MDQ})_2(\text{acac})$ ) as an orange phosphor.<sup>23</sup> The fabricated WOLED showed a power efficiency of  $37.5 \text{ lm W}^{-1}$  at  $1000 \text{ cd m}^{-2}$ , an external quantum efficiency ( $\text{EQE}_{1000}$ ) of 16.1% and a CRI of 86. Kondakova *et al.* reported WOLEDs with a maximum power efficiency ( $\eta_{\text{p},1000}$ ) of  $30 \text{ lm W}^{-1}$  and a 13.6% EQE by employing *fac*-bis(2-phenylpyridine)(2-pyridylcoumarin)iridium(III) [ $\text{Ir}(\text{ppy})_2\text{pc}$ ] as a yellow phosphor and difluoro[6-mesityl-*N*-(2-(1*H*)-quinolinylidene- $\kappa\text{N}$ )-(6-mesityl-2-quinolinaminato- $\kappa\text{N1}$ )]boron (MQAB) as a blue fluorophore.<sup>25</sup> WOLEDs using blue fluorescent and orange-red thermally-activated delayed fluorescent dendritic luminogens achieved a maximum EQE of 8.32% and CIE coordinates of (0.32, 0.30).<sup>26</sup> Recently, our group reported solution-processed white OLED devices fabricated by optimizing the orange-red emitter  $\text{Ir}(2\text{-phq})_3$  with a deep blue emitter that achieved an EQE of 7% and CIE coordinates of (0.33, 0.32).<sup>27</sup> In 2018, the high performance deep blue emitter TPE-TAPBI with high maximum current, power, and external quantum efficiencies of  $7.21 \text{ cd A}^{-1}$ ,  $6.78 \text{ lm W}^{-1}$ , and 5.73%, respectively, was developed. Moreover, efficient two-color hybrid warm white OLEDs (CIE<sub>x,y</sub> = 0.457, 0.470) were achieved using TPE-TAPBI neat film as the blue-emitting component and provide total current, power, and external quantum efficiencies of up to  $70.5 \text{ lm W}^{-1}$ ,  $76.0 \text{ cd A}^{-1}$ , and 28% at  $1000 \text{ cd m}^{-2}$ , respectively.<sup>28</sup> C-C Huang *et al.* developed the deep-blue emitter BCz-BFPz and a device based on the emitter exhibited deep-blue emission with a maximum EQE of 4.34% and CIE coordinates of (0.15, 0.05). Cool-white OLEDs were also fabricated and the device shows an EQE of 9% and CIE coordinates of (0.34, 0.33).<sup>29</sup> Wand *et al.* fabricated a multi-layer white OLED device with an orange TADF emitter 2,3,5,6-tetrakis(3,6-diphenylcarbazol-9-yl)-1,4-dicyanobenzene (4CzTPN-Ph) layer, bis-[2-(4,6-difluorophenyl)pyridinato-*C2,N'*][picolinato]iridium(III) (FIRpic) as a blue phosphorescence emitter and bipolar bis-4-(*N*-carbazolyl)phenyl)phenylphosphine oxide (BCPO) as host and exciton blocker materials. White device shows a brightness of  $36460 \text{ cd m}^{-2}$  and a maximum EQE (external quantum efficiency) of 18.52%, CE (current efficiency) of  $43.67 \text{ cd A}^{-1}$ , and PE (power efficiency) of  $45.73 \text{ lm W}^{-1}$ , respectively.<sup>30</sup> Recently, The non-doped OLED based on the benzimidazole derivative DPAC-TAN-BI with stable deep-blue light (CIE<sub>x,y</sub> = 0.15, 0.15) and excellent EL efficiencies ( $6.48 \text{ cd A}^{-1}$ ,  $6.78 \text{ lm W}^{-1}$ , and 5.81%). A device DPAC-TAN-BI based high performance two-color hybrid WOLEDs are fabricated based on and  $\text{Ir}(\text{tptpy})_2\text{acac}$ . The devices radiate physiologically friendly warm white light (CIE<sub>x,y</sub> = 0.46, 0.48) with outstanding EL efficiencies ( $87.8 \text{ lm W}^{-1}$  and 27.6%) and a small efficiency roll-off (2.9% at  $1000 \text{ cd m}^{-2}$ ).<sup>31</sup> However, the multi-layer devices have some disadvantages, such as high driving voltage, self-absorption, light scattering across layer junction, *etc.*<sup>32</sup> In most of the WOLED, researchers have used transition metal complexes ( $\text{Ir}(\text{ppy})_3$ ,  $\text{Ir}(\text{MDQ})_2(\text{acac})$ , and  $\text{Ir}(\text{ppy})_2\text{pc}$ , *etc.*), these materials are costly, hence the manufacturing cost of the WOLED is also more.<sup>33</sup> Small molecule WOLED devices with stable chromaticity and high efficiency are still in demand. A large number of efficient red,<sup>34–41</sup> green<sup>42–48</sup> and blue<sup>49–55</sup> materials have been reported in recent years, however, orange<sup>56–58</sup> and yellow<sup>59,60</sup> emitters are less explored

even though these materials have a role to produce WOLEDs. In the present work, we have designed and synthesized a series of AC-derivatives by incorporating acenaphthene-imidazole as an electron-transporting moiety and TPA as hole-transporting moiety with different luminophores at N1 position of imidazole (AC-Ph, AC-PT, AC-Fl, AC-mCF3 and AC-pCF3). All the dyes were structurally characterized by spectroscopic methods (<sup>1</sup>H, <sup>13</sup>C NMR, IR, and Mass) and some of the dyes are characterized by single crystal X-ray diffraction. A detailed thermal, photophysical, electrochemical and electroluminescence properties were systematically investigated. All the dyes were showed a broad bluish-white to yellowish-orange emission with the emission maximum in the range of 520–600 nm. Theoretical calculations (DFT and TD-DFT) were also performed to get a better understanding of the electronic structures and the exact positions of the excited singlet and triplet energy levels of the dyes. The energy gap ( $E_g$ ) between the HOMO and LUMO levels were also calculated from both theoretical data and experimental values. These acenaphthene derivatives shown good thermal stability as well as a balanced charge transporting properties.

## Experimental section

### General information for synthesis

All the reactions were performed under nitrogen atmosphere. Solvents were carefully dried and distilled from appropriate drying agents prior to use. Commercially available reagents (Sigma Aldrich and Alfa Aesar) were used without further purification unless otherwise stated. All the reactions were monitored by thin-layer chromatography (TLC) with silica gel 60 F<sub>254</sub> Aluminium plates (Merck). Column chromatography was carried out using silica gel (Sigma-Aldrich).

### Measurements

<sup>1</sup>H, <sup>13</sup>C and <sup>19</sup>F NMR spectra were recorded using an AV 400 Avance-III 400 MHz FT-NMR Spectrometer (Bruker Biospin International, Switzerland) with tetramethylsilane (TMS) as a standard reference. The mass spectra were recorded by LC-MS (PerkinElmer, USA/Flexer SQ 300 M). The FTIR spectra were recorded on a PerkinElmer RX-I FTIR spectrophotometer. The thermal properties of the dyes were collected on a thermogravimetric analyser (TGA) under a nitrogen atmosphere at a heating rate of  $10 \text{ }^\circ\text{C min}^{-1}$ . The absorption spectrum of the target dyes in solution phase and solid (DRS) were measured by using UV-visible spectrometer (Shimadzu Corporation, Japan/UV-2450 PerkinElmer, USA/Lambda 25). The photoluminescence excitation and emission spectra were recorded by Horiba Jobin Yvon, USA/Fluoromax 4P spectrophotometer. The absolute quantum yields were determined by using Edinburgh Instruments, spectrofluorometer, FS5, Integrating Sphere SC-30. The CIE color coordinates were calculated by using PL emission data (MATLAB software). The electrochemical properties of the dyes were measured by using cyclic voltammetry (CV), AUTO-LAB 302N Modular potentiostat, at RT in dimethylformamide (DMF). The working (glass-carbon rod), auxiliary (counter, Pt wire),



and reference (Ag/AgCl wire) electrodes were used for CV analysis. The DMF which contains 0.1 M Bu<sub>4</sub>NClO<sub>4</sub> was used as the supporting electrolyte, and the scan rate was maintained as 100 mV s<sup>-1</sup>. The optimized structures and HOMO–LUMO energy levels of the dyes were calculated by using DFT calculation with B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) basis set (Gaussian Software).<sup>61</sup> After conforming the ground state (S<sub>0</sub>) geometry of the dyes, we were vertically excited the dyes to get the first excited state (S<sub>1</sub>) using time-dependent density functional theory (TD-DFT).<sup>62,63</sup>

### Synthesis and characterization

The TPA-CHO and Flu-NH<sub>2</sub> intermediates were synthesized according to the literature.<sup>64,65</sup> The targeted dyes were synthesized by condensation between acenaphthenequinone, amine derivatives and TPA-CHO intermediate in the presence of ammonium acetate and acetic acid.<sup>66–68</sup> The synthetic strategy to obtain the targeted dyes is illustrated in Scheme 1. The synthesized dyes are characterized by nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy (<sup>1</sup>H, <sup>13</sup>C and <sup>19</sup>F NMR), Mass spectrometry and Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR).

### General procedure for the synthesis of the AC-derivatives

Amine (0.40 g, 1.2 eq) was added to a stirred solution of TPA-CHO (1g, 1 eq) in glacial acetic acid (30 mL) at room temperature (RT). To this reaction mixture, subsequently ammonium


acetate (2.82 g, 10 eq) and acenaphthenequinone (0.80 g, 1.2 eq) were added. The resulting mixture was stirred for 12 h at 110 °C. The reaction was monitored by thin layer chromatography for the completion of the reaction. After cooling, the reaction mixture was poured into minimum amount of cold distilled water and then ammonium hydroxide solution was added. The formed solid was filtered and dissolved in dichloromethane (DCM). This was followed by drying with anhydrous sodium sulphate and the solvent was evaporated to get crude compound. The resultant crude compound was purified with column chromatography by using silica gel (100–200 mesh) and eluent a mixture of ethyl acetate: petroleum ether (3 : 7; v/v) to give a yellow or yellowish-orange solid.

**N-Phenyl-N-(4-(7-phenyl-7H-acenaphtho[1,2-d]imidazol-8-yl)phenyl)benzenamine (AC-Ph).** Yield: (41%) a bright yellowish-orange solid. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, TMS, δ ppm) 7.95 (d, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.72–7.67 (m, 2H), 7.58–7.51 (m, 6H), 7.38–7.34 (m, 3H), 7.29–7.25 (m, 4H), 7.20–7.17 (m, 1H), 7.11 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 4H), 7.06 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 6.96 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 2H). <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, TMS, δ ppm) 147.82, 147.66, 147.13, 138.03, 131.49, 131.21, 130.52, 129.74, 129.62, 129.44, 129.30, 129.21, 129.12, 128.57, 127.73, 126.98, 126.81, 126.73, 126.37, 126.21, 126.06, 125.01, 125.84, 123.71, 123.29, 121.96, 120.58, 119.22, 118.46. IR (KBr, ν/cm<sup>-1</sup>): 1595, 1496, 1416, 1336, 1266, 816, 766, 696. EI-MS: *m/z* = 512.32 [M + H]<sup>+</sup>.

**N-Phenyl-N-(4-(7-*p*-tolyl-7H-acenaphtho[1,2-d]imidazol-8-yl)phenyl)benzenamine (AC-PT).** Yield: (53%) a bright yellowish-orange solid. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, TMS, δ ppm) 7.94 (d, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.65 (t, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.57 (t, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 1H), 7.43–7.33 (m, 6H), 7.29–7.25 (m, 5H), 7.18 (d, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 1H), 7.11 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 4H), 7.06 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 6.97 (d, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 2.49 (s, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, TMS, δ ppm) 150.32, 147.73, 147.49, 147.17, 138.60, 135.41, 131.51, 130.58, 130.34, 129.36, 129.28, 129.20, 129.05, 127.71, 127.05, 126.79, 126.65, 126.30, 125.79, 125.25, 124.82, 123.86, 123.23, 122.01, 120.52, 118.45, 21.20. IR (KBr, ν/cm<sup>-1</sup>): 1595, 1488, 1420, 1324, 1274, 1180, 825, 755, 695. EI-MS: *m/z* = 526.33 [M + H]<sup>+</sup>.

**N-(4-(7-(9,9-Diethyl-9H-fluoren-2-yl)-7H-acenaphtho[1,2-d]imidazol-8-yl)phenyl)-N-phenylbenzenamine (AC-FI).** Yield: (60%) a bright yellow solid. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, TMS, δ ppm) 7.97 (d, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 1H), 7.90 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.80 (d, *J* = 2.8 Hz, 1H), 7.72 (t, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.65 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.59 (t, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 1H), 7.46–7.34 (m, 7H), 7.28–7.22 (m, 6H), 7.08 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 3H), 7.03 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 6.95 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 2.09–1.95 (m, 4H), 0.34 (t, 6H). <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, TMS, δ ppm) 151.67, 150.44, 149.95, 147.78, 147.19, 141.91, 140.16, 138.15, 136.66, 131.57, 130.65, 129.35, 129.24, 129.17, 127.74, 127.21, 127.10, 126.82, 126.67, 126.33, 124.63, 124.10, 123.17, 122.90, 122.39, 121.00, 120.55, 119.96, 118.36, 56.43, 32.54, 8.39. IR (KBr, ν/cm<sup>-1</sup>): 2894, 1595, 1488, 1418, 1318, 1278, 838, 749, 699. EI-MS: *m/z* = 656.55 [M + H]<sup>+</sup>.

**N-(4-(7-(3-(Trifluoromethyl)phenyl)-7H-acenaphtho[1,2-d]imidazol-8-yl)phenyl)-N-phenylbenzenamine (AC-mCF<sub>3</sub>).** Yield: (59%) a bright yellowish-orange solid. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, TMS, δ ppm) 7.96 (d, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.8–7.71 (m, 6H), 7.6–7.5 (m, 1H), 7.41 (t, 1H), 7.32–7.26 (m, 6H), 7.22 (d, 2H), 7.11



Scheme 1 Synthetic routes for the AC-derivatives.



(d,  $J = 7.6$  Hz, 4H), 7.06 (t,  $J = 7.6$  Hz, 2H). 6.96 (d,  $J = 8.8$  Hz, 2H).  $^{13}\text{C-NMR}$  (100 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ , TMS,  $\delta$  ppm) 148.29, 148.15, 147.06, 138.57, 137.29, 134.52, 131.72, 131.32, 130.38, 130.20, 129.44, 129.37, 129.25, 129.08, 127.81, 127.06, 126.86, 126.67, 126.63, 125.04, 124.82, 123.40, 123.04, 122.97, 122.18, 120.87, 118.42, 30.38.  $^{19}\text{F-NMR}$  (400 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ , TMS,  $\delta$  ppm)  $-62.51$  (s, 3F). IR (KBr,  $\nu/\text{cm}^{-1}$ ): 1592, 1492, 1333, 1273, 1163, 1130, 1073, 813, 773, 703. EI-MS:  $m/z = 580.39$   $[\text{M} + \text{H}]^+$ .

***N*-(4-(7-(4-(Trifluoromethyl)phenyl)-7*H*-acenaphtho[1,2-*d*]-imidazol-8-yl)phenyl)-*N*-phenylbenzenamine (AC-pCF3).** Yield: (46%) a bright yellowish-orange solid.  $^1\text{H-NMR}$  (400 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ , TMS,  $\delta$  ppm) 7.95 (d,  $J = 7.2$  Hz, 1H), 7.72–7.68 (m, 2H), 7.59–7.55 (m, 5H), 7.39–7.34 (m, 3H), 7.29–7.25 (m, 4H), 7.19–7.17 (m, 1H), 7.11 (d,  $J = 7.6$  Hz, 4H), 7.06 (t,  $J = 7.6$  Hz, 2H). 6.96 (d,  $J = 8.8$  Hz, 2H).  $^{13}\text{C-NMR}$  (100 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ , TMS,  $\delta$  ppm) 147.83, 147.16, 138.10, 129.71, 129.19, 129.13, 128.54, 127.71, 127.03, 126.78, 126.69, 126.34, 126.09, 124.81, 123.83, 123.26, 122.01, 120.54, 118.41, 29.19.  $^{19}\text{F-NMR}$  (400 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ , TMS,  $\delta$  ppm)  $-62.39$  (s, 3F). IR (KBr,  $\nu/\text{cm}^{-1}$ ): 1595, 1486, 1416, 1326, 1276, 1166, 1132, 1066, 836, 766, 696. EI-MS:  $m/z = 580.39$   $[\text{M} + \text{H}]^+$ .

## Results and discussion

### Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy

The FTIR spectrum of all the dyes were measured from 500–4000  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  and the Fourier transform infrared spectra of all the dyes shown Fig. S18 (ESI $^\dagger$ ). The peak approximately 1595  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  in all the dyes corresponds to the C=N functional group of imidazole and frequency  $\sim 1130$   $\text{cm}^{-1}$  corresponds to the C–CF $_3$  group in AC-mCF3 and AC-pCF3 dyes<sup>69</sup> The peak at  $\sim 700$   $\text{cm}^{-1}$  is likely due to the aromatic C–H bending frequency. The vibrational frequencies of all the dyes are provided in Table S1 in ESI. $^\dagger$

### Structural characterization

The ORTEP diagram of the AC-Ph, AC-PT and AC-mCF3 is shown in Fig. 1. The planes of the imidazole ring and the benzene rings were twisted significantly relative to each other with a dihedral by introducing CH $_3$  (113.07 $^\circ$ ) and CF $_3$  (130.86 $^\circ$ ) groups on the phenyl ring (60.44 $^\circ$ ). The central Acenaphthene-imidazole ring was notably twisted relative to AC-Ph, which can be attributed to spatial torsion disrupting the p-conjugation between the triphenylamine and substituted phenyl groups. The bond angles between the Acenaphthene-imidazole ring and phenyl rings for AC-Ph (129.39 $^\circ$ ), AC-PT (130.31 $^\circ$ ), AC-pCF3 (128.75 $^\circ$ ). The bond distance between the N1 atom and Phenyl carbons are almost same in the range of 1.40 Å. The bond angles between two phenyl rings of triphenylamine are changed (AC-Ph (107.88 $^\circ$ ), AC-PT (125.35 $^\circ$ ) and AC-pCF3(120.59 $^\circ$ )) by introducing substituents on the phenyl groups. The crystal data and corresponding bond lengths and bond angles from the single-crystal XRD data are listed in supplementary information (Tables S2–S8, ESI $^\dagger$ ). Rest of the dyes crystal studies could not be carried out. Since, the obtained crystals are very poor and not suitable for X-ray diffraction.




Fig. 1 ORTEP Diagrams of AC-Ph, AC-PT and AC-mCF3 dyes (50% probability ellipsoids; H atoms and co-crystallized solvent molecules are omitted). N atoms blue in color. (CCDC 1568852 (AC-Ph), CCDC 1587456 (AC-PT) and CCDC 1568853 (AC-mCF3)). $^\dagger$

### Photophysical properties

The absorption spectra of the AC-derivatives were recorded in chloroform ( $\text{CHCl}_3$ ) solution are shown in Fig. 2A and corresponding spectral data were tabulated in Table 1, all the dyes exhibited two major absorption peaks. The high energy peaks observed below 275 nm are attributed to the  $\pi$ – $\pi^*$  transition of benzene ring.<sup>70</sup> This peak position is red-shifted (20 nm) in the AC-Fl dye, it is due to increasing conjugation by fluorene moiety. The longer wavelength absorption band occurred at a wavelength of approximately 350 nm might have originated from the  $\pi$ – $\pi^*$  transition of TPA and imidazole moieties. The optical bandgap of the AC-derivatives was calculated from the diffuse reflectance spectra (DRS) with the help of Kubelka–Munk function (Fig. 2C).<sup>71</sup> The obtained band gap are listed in Table 5.

The emission spectra of the dyes recorded in  $\text{CHCl}_3$  solution are displayed in Fig. 3A. and the related data tabulated in Table 1. The dyes showed broad emission spectra with bluish-white to yellowies-orange emission ranging from 520 nm to 600 nm in solution. In particular, the AC-PT dye shown white emission with the commission International de l'Eclairage (CIE) color coordinates of (0.305, 0.324) in  $\text{CHCl}_3$  solution. The N1 functionalization with the different functional group (p-CH $_3$ (AC-PT), Fl (AC-Fl), m-CF $_3$  (AC-mCF3) and p-CF $_3$  (AC-pCF3)) with the reference compound AC-Ph, the red shift PL emission was observed. In the case of AC-PT and AC-Fl, because of electron-donating nature of CH $_3$  and Fl groups red shift was observed. Introduction of EDG leads to higher HOMO levels and lower HOMO–LUMO energy gap, thus resulting red shift in the emission spectrum (Table 3). It is predicted that, electron withdrawing CF $_3$  groups leads to blue shift in the emission. However, the prediction is not suitable for AC-mCF3 and AC-pCF3. By introducing CF $_3$  group on the core molecules, the LUMO energy levels are more stabilized (Table 3), resulting in narrower band gaps than core dye (AC-Ph), which leads to red shift in emission. The emission spectra of the solid of the dyes are shown in Fig. 2B, all the dyes shown broad emission spectra and red shifted emission with compared to the solution. Particularly, the PL spectra of AC-Ph dye in solid state is significantly red-shifted (65 nm) to the observed in solution. This indicates that AC-Ph dye is involved in strong






Fig. 2 (A) UV absorption and PL spectra of dyes in CHCl<sub>3</sub> solution, (B) PL spectra of dyes in solid and (C) optical bandgap of the dyes calculated from the solid-state diffuse reflectance spectra.

Table 1 Key photophysical properties of AC-derivatives

Compounds	$T_d^a$ °C	Solution		Solid	Absolute quantum yield	
		Abs (nm)	PL (nm)	PL (nm)	Solution (%)	Solid (%)
AC-Ph	425	240, 360	520	595	17.57	14.98
AC-PT	410	240, 340	465, 579	612	13.34	13.11
AC-FI	430	270, 365	577	585	14.69	12.99
AC-mCF3	390	240, 340	590	599	13.46	13.33
AC-pCF3	400	240, 335	588	610	11.26	10.8

<sup>a</sup> Thermal decomposition temperature.

intramolecular  $\pi$ - $\pi^*$  interaction in solid state.<sup>72,73</sup> From the Table 1, it is concluded that, each luminophore exhibited a difference between their emission maxima in solid and solution, because the planarity of the AC-Ph unit caused aggregate in the solid state through strong intermolecular interactions. The CIE color coordinates diagram of the dyes, solution as well as in solid phase is shown in Fig. 3 and corresponding x and y coordinates values are tabulated in Table 2.

The absolute quantum yield ( $\Phi$ ) of the dyes was calculated using an integrating sphere for both solution and solid samples. The absolute quantum yields ( $\Phi_Y$ ) of the dyes calculated by using Integrated Sphere.<sup>74</sup> The measured absolute quantum yields of the dyes are listed in Table 1. Compared to the AC-Ph, all the




Fig. 3 The CIE chromaticity coordinates for all the dyes in solutions and solid phase.

substituted dyes showed slight decrease in the quantum yield. The substitute effect is attributed to the presence of intramolecular photoinduced electron transfer (PET). In which, PET may occur, within the molecule that consist of covalently linked Donor-Acceptor dyes (if the free energy change ( $\Delta G$ ) of the dye is a negative value). Similar results were observed in xanthene dyes.<sup>75</sup>

#### DFT analysis

**Frontier molecular orbitals.** The optimized geometry of AC-derivatives were shown in Fig. 3 (AC-Ph to AC-PT).



Table 2 The CIE chromaticity coordinates data of AC-derivatives

Compounds	CIE color coordinates			
	Solution		Solid	
	x	y	x	y
AC-Ph	0.297	0.457	0.551	0.435
AC-PT	0.305	0.324	0.573	0.423
AC-Fl	0.392	0.445	0.531	0.465
AC-mCF3	0.466	0.415	0.547	0.449
AC-pCF3	0.426	0.427	0.567	0.409




Fig. 4 Optimized geometry of AC-derivatives.

Table 3 Calculated absorption (gas phase), HOMO, LUMO, singlet and triplet energy levels of AC-derivatives in gas phase at TD-DFT/B3LYP level

AC-derivatives	AC-Ph	AC-PT	AC-Fl	AC-mCF3	AC-pCF3
HOMO (eV)	-4.720	-4.700	-4.702	-4.846	-4.846
LUMO (eV)	-1.664	-1.644	-1.665	-1.790	-1.831
Energy gap ( $\Delta E$ , eV)	3.056	3.056	3.037	3.056	3.015
$\lambda_{\text{abs}}$ (nm) (DCM phase)	419.6	491.5	494.1	491.5	493.5
$\lambda_{\text{abs}}$ (nm) (Gas phase)	424.0	491.4	493.7	488.2	494.1
Singlet energy ( $S_1$ ) (eV)	2.924	2.523	2.511	2.539	2.509
Triplet energy ( $T_1$ ) (eV)	2.239	1.983	1.974	1.982	1.969
$\Delta E_{ST}$ (eV)	0.685	0.540	0.537	0.55	0.540

The HOMO–LUMO energies and their electron contour plots of substituted acenaphthene based dyes were displayed in Fig. 4. The Fig. 4 indicates that the introduction of subunits (phenyl, flurene, CH<sub>3</sub>, mCF<sub>3</sub>, pCF<sub>3</sub>) into the N1 position of the dyes, changes the energies of the FMO. When the subunits were incorporated at N1 position of the dyes, small variation in the energies from the unsubstituted (AC-Ph) to substituted luminophores (AC-PT to AC-pCF<sub>3</sub>) were observed. However, the HOMO and LUMO energy levels of the synthesized dyes were mentioned in Table 3 and Fig. 5 and 6. Based on the results, it is clearly indicating that the introduction of CF<sub>3</sub> group on imidazole moiety will destabilizes HOMO energy levels and stabilizes LUMO levels. From the Fig. 6. It can be noted that the HOMO is located on the electron donor triphenylamine moiety and LUMO is located on the electron accepting acenaphthene-imidazole moiety. This feature indicates spatial separation between the HOMO and LUMO and the concomitant hole and electron transport properties. In addition, atom coordinates of AC-derivatives were given in SI10 section in ESI.†

### Singlet and triplet energy levels

To have a deep vision into the absorption and emission properties of the AC-derivatives, we have executed the spectral analysis




Fig. 5 Energy level diagram of AC-derivatives.




Fig. 6 HOMO–LUMO energy levels of the AC-derivatives.

of dyes in gas phase as well as in solution phase (DCM) using different exchange correlation functional. To carry out the absorption spectra, we have performed TD-DFT calculations by using ground state optimised geometries of dyes. The simulated gas-phase as well as DCM phase absorption spectra of the dyes were shown in Fig. 7 and the corresponding wavelength ( $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ ) were tabulated in the Table 4. And the corresponding excitation energy and orbital characteristics of each excitation are summarized in ST9. The Table ST9 (ESI†) indicates that, for all the dyes the  $S_1$  transitions are significant one except AC-Ph dye. In the case of AC-Ph the significant state is  $S_2$ . In all cases the absorption has major contribution from HOMO to LUMO.






Fig. 7 UV-Visible spectra of AC-derivatives in gas (a) and DCM (b) phase.

### Charge-injection barriers

For a good bipolar material, it should have balanced charge transport and a low injection barrier from the neighbouring layers (ETL, and HTL). So, it is necessary to find out the reorganization energies and transport rates of bipolar material. Reorganization energies and transport rates were calculated by using their corresponding ionization potentials (IPs), electron affinities (EAs).<sup>76–80</sup> All the IP and EA values of the synthesized AC-derivatives were tabulated in Table 4. The calculated IP values of AC-derivatives (AC-Ph to AC-pCF<sub>3</sub>) (5.63–5.94 eV) are in-between the HTL (TAPC, IP = 5.80 eV) and Firpic emitter (IP = 6.96 eV). Hence, these synthesized AC-derivatives can mediate holes from HTL to the emissive layer. As far as electron infusion, the LUMO of the host materials ought to be in arrangement with that of the ETL. Based on the DFT analysis, the LUMO levels of the synthesized AC-derivatives were vary from  $-1.644$  to  $-1.831$  eV. These LUMO levels of the AC-derivatives were fluctuated with reference ETL ( $-1.52$  eV). The calculated EA values of the AC-derivatives were found in the range of  $0.56$ – $0.80$  eV (Table 4), which are almost near to the reference ETL (TmPyPB, EA =  $0.68$  eV). According to these results, compare with the reference HTL, these AC-derivatives are low barrier for electron injection from ETL to emissive layer.

### Reorganization energies

The reorganization energies of the dyes can be calculated by using charge-transport rate. According to Koopmans' theorem, the lower the  $\lambda$  value, the higher the charge-transport rate.<sup>81</sup> The hole and electron reorganization energies were tabulated in Table 4. The Table 4 shows that, all the dyes were showing lower  $\lambda_h$  ( $0.36$ – $0.38$ ) compare to  $\lambda_e$  ( $0.40$ – $1.26$ ). It is concludes that, hole transporting nature of these dyes was more favourable than electron transporting nature. In addition, the  $\Delta\lambda$  value of AC-mCF<sub>3</sub> is the lowest among all the other AC-derivatives, revealing that AC-mCF<sub>3</sub> has more potential as an emitter for OLED applications.




Fig. 8 Cyclic voltammograms of the AC-derivatives.

**Table 4** Calculated ionization potentials (IP in eV), electronic affinities (EAs in eV), extraction potentials (eV), and reorganization energies (eV) of AC-derivatives at B3LYP/6-31G(d, p) level

Compounds	AC-Ph	AC-PT	AC-FI	AC-mCF <sub>3</sub>	AC-pCF <sub>3</sub>	mcp	Firpic
IP <sub>a</sub>	5.63	5.60	5.57	5.75	5.75	6.80	6.56
IP <sub>v</sub>	5.82	5.79	5.76	5.94	5.94		
HEP	5.44	5.42	5.20	5.55	5.57		
SPE(h)	0.185	0.18	0.56	0.19	0.17		
−EA <sub>a</sub>	0.56	0.55	0.69	0.73	0.80	0.15	1.06
−EA <sub>v</sub>	0.35	0.33	0.50	0.52	0.57		
SPE(e)	0.63	0.63	1.18	0.61	0.65		
EEP	−0.06	−0.08	−0.67	0.12	0.14		
$\lambda_{\text{hole}}$	0.37	0.37	0.37	0.38	0.36	0.06	0.27
$\lambda_{\text{electron}}$	0.42	0.41	1.36	0.40	0.43	0.12	0.26
$\Delta_{\text{SPE}}$	0.44	0.44	0.61	0.41	0.47		
$\Delta\lambda$	0.04	0.04	0.99	0.019	0.06	0.06	



Table 5 Electrochemical properties of the AC-derivatives

Molecules	$E_{\text{ox}}^a$ (V)	$E_{\text{red}}^a$ (V)	HOMO (eV)	LUMO (eV)	$E_g^b$ (eV)	$E_g^c$ (eV)
AC-Ph	1.289	-1.009	-5.689	-3.301	2.388	2.25
AC-PT	1.279	-1.067	-5.629	-3.333	2.296	2.19
AC-FI	1.258	-1.067	-5.658	-3.333	2.325	2.24
AC-mCF3	1.329	-1.067	-5.729	-3.333	2.396	2.37
AC-pCF3	1.389	-1.198	-5.789	-3.302	2.487	2.28

<sup>a</sup> The onset potential. <sup>b</sup> Electrochemical bandgap determined from cyclic voltammetry. <sup>c</sup> Optical energy bandgap estimated from DRS spectra.

### Electrochemical properties

The electrochemical properties of the dyes were studied in dimethylformamide (DMF) solution through cyclic voltammetry (CV) measurement by using Ag/AgCl as the reference electrode and 0.1 M Tetrabutylammonium perchlorate ( $\text{Bu}_4\text{NClO}_4$ ) as a supporting electrolyte at a scan rate of  $100 \text{ mV s}^{-1}$ . The cyclic voltammograms are shown in Fig. 8 and relevant electrochemical data is tabulated in Table 5. All the dyes exhibited oxidation and reduction behaviours. According to the onset (oxidation and reduction) potentials, we have calculated the highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO) and the lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO) energy levels by using the eqn (1) and (2).<sup>82</sup>

$$E_{\text{HOMO}} = -(E_{\text{ox}}^{\text{onset}} + 4.4) \text{ eV} \quad (1)$$

$$E_{\text{LUMO}} = -(E_{\text{red}}^{\text{onset}} + 4.4) \text{ eV} \quad (2)$$

The HOMO level of the dyes vary in the range of  $-5.629$  to  $-5.789$  eV and the LUMO level range from  $-3.301$  to  $-3.333$  eV (Table 5) depending on the deferent functional group at N1 position of imidazole group. By using HOMO/LUMO value of the dyes we have calculated band gap of the dyes, which are close to the value estimated from defused reflectance spectra (DRS). When we are N1 functionalizing with electron realising group (p-CH<sub>3</sub> and fluorene) the HOMO level of the dyes is increasing, whereas with electron withdrawing group (m-CF<sub>3</sub> and p-CF<sub>3</sub>) the HOMO level of the dyes are decreasing with the respect of reference AC-Ph dye, but does not impose a noticeable effect on the band gap ( $E_g$ ) of the dyes (Table 5).




Fig. 9 HOMO–LUMO energy gap diagram of the AC-derivatives.

The comparison of HOMO/LUMO energy level and band gap of the dyes is shown Fig. 9 it is clearly indicating that band gap calculated using both electrochemical analysis and optical analysis almost match.

## Conclusion

In summery we have successfully design and synthesised AC-derivatives by incorporating Acenaphthene-imidazole as electron-transporting moiety and TPA as hole-transporting moiety with different functional groups at N1 position of imidazole. All the dyes shown good thermal stability with high thermal decomposition ( $T_d$ ) temperature ranging from 400 to 430 °C. All the dyes showed a broad PL emission (bluish-white to yellowish-orange emission) with the emission maximum in the range of 520–600 nm. Theoretical investigation like optimization, singlet–triplet and HOMO and LUMO energy levels calculations of dyes were calculated by using DFT and TD-DFT analysis. The excited singlet–triplet energy gap of dyes were estimated and correlated to structural and energetic characteristics of the known host materials. In addition, examinations carried out to know the optical, electronic, charge transport and stability properties of dyes as emissive and charge transport materials for organic light emitting devices (OLEDs). Moreover, all the dyes are good emissive materials and its hole transporting nature of these dyes was more favourable than electron transporting nature. According to theoretical investigation, it is clearly indicating that AC-mCF<sub>3</sub> has more potential as an emitter for OLED applications.

## Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts of interest.

## Acknowledgements

VS acknowledges SERB, Department of Science and Technology (DST), India (EMR/2016/002462) for financial support.

## References

- 1 C. W. Tang and S. A. VanSlyke, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 1987, **51**, 913.
- 2 Y. G. Ma, H. Zhang, J. C. Shen and C. Che, *Synth. Met.*, 1998, **94**, 245.
- 3 M. Zhang, S. F. Xue, W. Y. Dong, Q. Wang, T. Fei, C. Gu and Y. G. Ma, *Chem. Commun.*, 2010, **46**, 3923.
- 4 K. T. Kamtekar, A. P. Monkman and M. R. Bryce, *Adv. Mater.*, 2010, **22**, 572–582.
- 5 G. M. Farinola and R. Ragni, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2011, **40**, 3467–3482.
- 6 J. Ding, J. Gao, Y. Cheng, Z. Xie, L. Wang, D. Ma, X. Jing and F. Wang, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2006, **16**, 575.
- 7 J. H. Lee, S. H. Cheng, S. J. Yoo, H. Shin, J. H. Chang, C. I. Wu, K. T. Wong and J. J. Kim, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2015, **25**, 361.





- 8 H. Sasabe, J. Takamatsu, T. Motoyama, S. Watanabe, G. Wagenblast, N. Langer, O. Molt, E. Fuchs, C. Lennartz and J. Kido, *Adv. Mater.*, 2010, **22**, 5003.
- 9 Q. Wang, I. W. H. Oswald, X. Yang, G. Zhou, H. Jia, Q. Qiao, Y. Chen, H. J. Hoshikawa and B. E. Gnade, *Adv. Mater.*, 2014, **26**, 8107.
- 10 M. Zhu and C. Yang, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2013, **42**, 4963.
- 11 T. Fleetham, G. J. Li, L. L. Wen and J. Li, *Adv. Mater.*, 2014, **26**, 7116.
- 12 C. Tang, R. Bi, Y. Tao, F. Wang, X. Cao, S. Wang, T. Jiang, C. Zhong, H. Zhang and W. Huang, *Chem. Commun.*, 2015, **51**, 1650.
- 13 L. Meng, H. Wang, X. Wei, J. Liu, Y. Chen, X. Kong, X. Lv, P. Wang and Y. Wang, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2016, **8**, 20955.4.
- 14 S. K. Lee, D. H. Hwang, B. J. Jung, N. S. Cho, J. Lee, J. D. Lee and H. K. Shim, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2005, **15**, 1647.
- 15 L. Ying, C. L. Ho, H. Wu, Y. Cao and W. Y. Wong, *Adv. Mater.*, 2014, **26**, 2459.
- 16 X. Ouyang, X. L. Li, L. Ai, D. Mi, Z. Ge and S. J. Su, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2015, **7**, 7869–7877.
- 17 Y. Zhang, Y. Miao, X. Song, Y. Gao, Z. Zhang, K. Ye and Y. Wang, *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.*, 2017, **8**, 4808–4813.
- 18 B. Xu, H. Wu, J. Chen, Z. Yang, Z. Yang, Y. C. Wu, Y. Zhang, C. Jin, P. Y. Lu, Z. Chi, S. Liu, J. Xu and M. Aldred, *Chem. Sci.*, 2017, **8**, 1909.
- 19 A. B. Kajjam, S. Giri and V. Sivakumar, *Mater. Chem. Front.*, 2017, **1**, 512–520.
- 20 J. Luo, X. Li, Q. Hou, J. Peng, W. Yang and Y. Cao, *Adv. Mater.*, 2007, **19**, 1113–1117.
- 21 Y. S. Wu, S. W. Hwang, H. H. Chen, M. T. Lee, W. J. Shen and C. H. Chen, *Thin Solid Films*, 2005, **488**, 265.
- 22 Y. R. Sun, N. C. Giebink, H. Kanno, B. W. Ma, M. E. Thompson and S. R. Forrest, *Nature*, 2006, **440**, 908.
- 23 G. Schwartz, M. Pfeiffer, S. Reineke, K. Walzer and K. Leo, *Adv. Mater.*, 2007, **19**, 3672.
- 24 S. M. Chen, G. P. Tan, W. Y. Wong and H. S. Kwok, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2011, **21**, 3785.
- 25 M. E. Kondakova, J. C. Deaton, T. D. Pawlik, D. J. Giesen, D. Y. Kondakov, R. H. Young, T. L. Royster, D. L. Comfort and J. D. Shore, *J. Appl. Phys.*, 2010, **107**, 014515.
- 26 J. Yoon, S. Choi, C. H. Jeong, S. K. Kim, H. Lee, Y. Kim, J. H. Kwon, S. Park, M. J. Cho and D. H. Cho, *Dyes Pigm.*, 2019, **170**, 107650.
- 27 J. Tagare, R. A. Kumar Yadav, S. S. Swayamprabha, D. K. Dubey, J.-H. Jou and S. Vaidyanathan, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2021, **9**, 4935.
- 28 B. Chen, B. Liu, J. Zeng, H. Nie, Y. Xiong, J. Zou, H. Ning, Z. Wang, Z. Zhao and B. Z. Tang, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2018, **28**, 1803369.
- 29 C.-C. Huang, M.-M. Xue, F.-P. Wu, Y. Yuan, L.-S. Liao and M.-K. Fung, *Molecules*, 2019, **24**, 353.
- 30 J. Wang, Y. Li, L. Chen, Y. Deng, Y. Peng, F. Lu and W. Zhu, *Opt. Mater.*, 2020, **100**, 109673.
- 31 Z. Wu, X. Zhu, Y. Li, H. Chen, Z. Zhuang, P. Shen, J. Zeng, J. Chi, D. Ma, Z. Zhao and B. Z. Tang, *Adv. Opt. Mater.*, 2021, **9**, 2100298.
- 32 J. H. Jou, Y. S. Chiu, C. P. Wang, R. Y. Wang and H. C. Hu, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 2006, **88**, 193501.
- 33 A. B. Kajjam and S. Vaidyanathan, *Chem. Rec.*, 2018, **18**, 293–349.
- 34 C. Adachi, M. A. Baldo and S. R. Forrest, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 2001, **78**, 11.
- 35 J. Lia, Y. Duan and Q. Li, *Dyes Pigm.*, 2013, **96**, 391–396.
- 36 D. H. Kim, N. S. Cho, H.-Y. Oh, J. H. Yang, W. S. Jeon, J. S. Park, M. C. Suh and J. H. Kwon, *Adv. Mater.*, 2011, **23**, 2721.
- 37 W. C. Wu, H. C. Yeh, L. H. Chan and C. T. Chen, *Adv. Mater.*, 2002, **14**, 1072–1075.
- 38 B. Liang, L. Wang, Y. H. Xu, H. H. Shi and Y. Cao, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2007, **17**, 3580–3589.
- 39 H. H. Chou and C. H. Cheng, *Adv. Mater.*, 2010, **22**, 2468–2471.
- 40 C. H. Chen, L. C. Hsu, P. Rajamalli, Y. W. Chang, F. L. Wu, C. Y. Liao, M. J. Chiu, P. Y. Chou, M. J. Huang, L. K. Chu and C. H. Cheng, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2014, **2**, 6183.
- 41 B. Wang, X. Lv, J. Tan, Q. Zhang, Z. Huang, W. Yi and L. Wang, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2016, **4**, 8473.
- 42 C. Li, J. Wei, X. Song, K. Ye, H. Zhang, J. Zhang and Y. Wang, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2016, **4**, 7013–7019.
- 43 H. Sasabe, T. Chiba, S. J. Su, Y. J. Pu, K. I. Nakayama and J. Kido, *Chem. Commun.*, 2008, 5821.
- 44 L. C. Chi, W. Y. Hung, H. C. Chiu and K. T. Wong, *Chem. Commun.*, 2009, 3892.
- 45 H. Huang, Y. Wang, B. Wang, S. Zhuang, B. Pan, X. Yang, L. Wang and C. Yang, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2013, **1**, 5899.
- 46 D. Liu, M. Du, D. Chen, K. Ye, Z. Zhang, Y. Liu and Y. Wang, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2015, **3**, 4394.
- 47 K. Wang, S. Wang, J. Wei, S. Chen, D. Liu, Y. Liu and Y. Wang, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2014, **2**, 6817.
- 48 H. Shi, D. Xin, X. Dong, J. Dai, X. Wu, Y. Miao, L. Fang, H. Wang and M. Choi, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2014, **2**, 2160.
- 49 Y. Zhang, S. L. Lai, Q. X. Tong, M. F. Lo, T. W. Ng, M. Y. Chan, Z. C. Wen, J. He, K. S. Jeff, X. L. Tang, W. M. Liu, C. C. Ko, P. F. Wang and C. S. Lee, *Chem. Mater.*, 2012, **24**, 61–70.
- 50 X. Tang, Q. Bai, Q. Peng, Y. Gao, J. Li, Y. Liu, L. Yao, P. Lu, B. Yang and Y. Ma, *Chem. Mater.*, 2015, **27**, 7050.
- 51 M. Chen, Y. Yuan, J. Zheng, W. C. Chen, L. J. Shi, Z. L. Zhu, F. Lu, Q. X. Tong, Q. D. Yang, J. Ye, M. Y. Chan and C. S. Lee, *Adv. Opt. Mater.*, 2015, **3**, 1215–1219.
- 52 T. Shan, Y. Liu, X. Tang, Q. Bai, Y. Gao, Z. Gao, J. Li, J. Deng, B. Yang, P. Lu and Y. Ma, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2016, **8**, 28771–28779.
- 53 J. K. Bin, N. S. Cho and J. I. Hong, *Adv. Mater.*, 2012, **24**, 2911–2915.
- 54 M. F. Wu, S. J. Yeh, C. T. Chen, H. Murayama, T. Tsuboi, W. S. Li and J. K. Wang, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2007, **17**, 1887–1895.
- 55 K. S. Yook and J. Y. Lee, *Adv. Mater.*, 2012, **24**, 3169–3190.
- 56 Y. M. Jeon, I. H. Lee, H. S. Lee and M. S. Gong, *Dyes Pigm.*, 2011, **89**, 29–36.



- 57 Y. Zhang, Z. Chen, J. Song, J. He, X. Wang, J. Wu, S. Chen, J. Qu and W.-Y. Wong, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2019, **7**, 1880–1887.
- 58 D. Zhang, T. Yang, H. Xu, Y. Miao, R. Chen, R. Shinar, J. Shinar, H. Wang, B. Xua and J. Sheng, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2021, **9**, 4921–4926.
- 59 C. Zhou, X. Zhang, G. Pan, X. Tian, S. Xiao, H. Liu, S. Zhang and B. Yang, *Org. Electron.*, 2019, **75**, 105414.
- 60 J. H. Jou, S. C. Fu, C. C. An, J. J. Shyue, C. L. Chin and Z. K. He, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2017, **5**, 5478.
- 61 M. J. Frisch, *et al.*, *Gaussian*, Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford CT, 2009.
- 62 R. Bauernschmitt and R. Ahlrichs, *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, 1996, **256**, 454–464.
- 63 G. Scalmani, M. J. Frisch, B. Mennucci, J. Tomasi, R. Cammi and V. Barone, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 2006, **124**, 094107.
- 64 C. H. Yang, H. L. Chen, Y. Y. Chuang, C. G. Wu, C. P. Chen, S. H. Liao and T. L. Wang, *J. Power Sources*, 2009, **188**, 627–634.
- 65 A. K. Shil, D. Sharma, N. R. Guha and P. Das, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2012, **53**, 4858.
- 66 K. A. Babu, D. K. Dubey, R. A. K. Yadav, J.-H. Jou and V. Sivakumar, *Mater. Today Chem.*, 2019, **14**, 100201.
- 67 A. B. Kajjam and V. Sivakumar, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 2021, **519**, 120268.
- 68 A. B. Kajjam, K. Singh, R. V. Varun Tej and V. Sivakumar, *Mater. Adv.*, 2021, **2**, 5236.
- 69 J. Tagare, H. Ulla, M. N. Satyanarayan and S. Vaidyanathana, *J. Photochem. Photobiol., A*, 2018, **353**, 53–64.
- 70 W. C. Chen, Y. Yuan, G. F. Wu, H. X. Wei, J. Ye, M. Chen, F. Lu, Q. X. Tong, F. L. Wong and C. S. Lee, *Org. Electron.*, 2015, **17**, 159–166.
- 71 S. Ebraheem and A. E. Saied, *Mater. Sci. Appl.*, 2013, **4**, 324–329.
- 72 Y. S. Park, S. Lee, K. H. Kim, S. Y. Kim, J. H. Lee and J. J. Kim, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2013, **23**, 4914–4920.
- 73 J. Kalinowski, *Mater. Sci.*, 2009, **27**, 735–756.
- 74 J. C. Mello, H. F. Wittmann and R. H. Friend, *Adv. Mater.*, 1997, **9**, 230–232.
- 75 X.-F. Zhang, *Photochem. Photobiol. Sci.*, 2010, **9**, 1261–1268.
- 76 X. N. Li, Z. J. Wu, Z. J. Si, H. J. Zhang, L. Zhou and X. J. Liu, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2009, **48**, 7740–7749.
- 77 Y. Q. Liu, G. Gahungu, X. B. Sun, X. C. Qu and Z. J. Wu, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2012, **116**, 26496–26506.
- 78 S. Hwang, J. H. Lee, C. Park, H. Lee, C. Kim, C. Park, M.-H. Lee, W. Lee, J. Park and K. Kim, *Chem. Commun.*, 2007, 4887–4889.
- 79 A. Fitri, A. T. Benjelloun, M. Benzakour, M. Mcharfi, M. Hamidi and M. Bouachrine, *Spectrochim. Acta, Part A*, 2014, **132**, 232–238.
- 80 A. B. Kajjam, P. S. V. Kumar, V. Subramanian and S. Vaidyanathan, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2018, **20**, 4490–4501.
- 81 Z. Shuai, L. Wang and C. Song, *Theory of Charge Transport in Carbon Electronic Materials*, Springer, 2012, T. Koopmans, *Physica* **1**, 1934, 104.
- 82 J. L. Bredas, R. Silbey, D. S. Boudreux and R. R. Chance, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1983, **105**, 6555.

