Topologically controlled circuits of human iPSC-derived neurons for electrophysiology recordings†
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Bottom-up neuroscience, which consists of building and studying controlled networks of neurons in vitro, is a promising method to investigate information processing at the neuronal level. However, in vitro studies tend to use cells of animal origin rather than human neurons, leading to conclusions that might not be generalizable to humans and limiting the possibilities for relevant studies on neurological disorders. Here we present a method to build arrays of topologically controlled circuits of human induced pluripotent stem cell (iPSC)-derived neurons. The circuits consist of 4 to 50 neurons with well-defined connections, confined by microfabricated polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) membranes. Such circuits were characterized using optical imaging and microelectrode arrays (MEAs), suggesting the formation of functional connections between the neurons of a circuit. Electrophysiology recordings were performed on circuits of human iPSC-derived neurons for at least 4.5 months. We believe that the capacity to build small and controlled circuits of human iPSC-derived neurons holds great promise to better understand the fundamental principles of information processing and storing in the brain.

1 Introduction

A major unanswered question in neuroscience is how the human brain processes and stores information. Unraveling the basic principles of neural computation would not only advance the fundamental understanding of the brain but could also help to elucidate the mechanisms behind and treatment of neurological diseases. Further, such findings can provide guidance for studies of neural regeneration and improve brain-machine interfaces for neuroprosthetics.1 It has been established that the primary information processing cells in mammals are neurons, which transmit information through electrical and chemical signals.2 However, electrical signalling at the neuronal level is difficult to investigate in vivo due to the complex and densely packed architecture of the brain and the limited resolution of the experimental tools available.3 An alternative and promising approach to gain knowledge about neural information processing is “bottom-up” neuroscience, which consists of engineering and studying elementary in vitro networks of neurons to understand gradually more complex systems.1,4,5 This approach could provide the technological tools needed to analyze how the structure and geometry of a controlled assembly of neurons affect its functional electrical activity.

In vitro networks of neurons can be engineered through two main approaches: surface patterning and physical confinement of the neurons. Surface patterning consists of depositing specific molecules on a substrate to define cell-attractive and cell-repelling areas. Patterning is commonly achieved using techniques such as microcontact printing6–9 or photolithography.10–12 However, neurons that connect together exert forces on each other leading to clustering and gradual changes in the network architecture.13 In addition, coatings are degraded by the cells over time making it challenging to keep consistently patterned cultures over the long term. Since neurons typically take a week or more to become electrically active and functionally mature in vitro,14,15 it is desirable to build networks that are stable over several weeks to be able to investigate their functional electrical activity. Therefore, an alternative and more adopted method to engineer biological neuronal networks is the use of three-dimensional microfabricated structures to spatially confine cell bodies.16 The most widely used material to build
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such microstructures is polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS), which is biocompatible, transparent, and easy to process. PDMS microstructures can be aligned on top of microelectrode arrays (MEAs), allowing to measure action potential transmission.\textsuperscript{17–19} An additional advantage of microstructures compared to surface patterning techniques is that they can be designed to directionally guide axons between groups of neurons.\textsuperscript{20–23} As axons transmit action potentials from one neuron to the next, controlling the direction of growth of axons influences the main direction of information flow in a network.\textsuperscript{23}

An important, yet seldom discussed, consideration for bottom-up neuroscience is the source of cells chosen to build \textit{in vitro} neuronal networks. There are three possible sources of neurons: immortalized neuronal cell lines, primary neurons, and stem cell-derived neurons. Each can originate from either model animals (mostly rodent) or humans. The first source of cells, immortalized neuronal cell lines, is derived from tumours. These cells are easy to access, to culture and to expand, but are ill-suited for building \textit{in vitro} neuronal networks because they usually present altered physiology and abundant genetic aberrations.\textsuperscript{24} The second cell source, primary cells, presents more physiologically relevant characteristics.\textsuperscript{25} Rodent primary neurons, especially from rats, have been extensively used in bottom-up neuroscience investigations.\textsuperscript{26–28} However, as rat primary neurons are dissociated from brain cells of embryos or pups, they result in a heterogeneous cell population\textsuperscript{29} and might lead to variations across experiments. In addition, new animals must be sacrificed for each culture, which is incompatible with concerted efforts to reduce the number of animals used in scientific experiments, in particular the “3R initiative”.\textsuperscript{30} Finally, due to inter-species differences the conclusions made with rodent primary neurons might not be generalizable to humans, especially when investigating neurological disorders.\textsuperscript{31–33} This is well illustrated by the fact that in dissociated cultures, maturation time and network activity differ significantly between cultures of rat and of human primary neurons.\textsuperscript{34} Investigations using adult brain slices from several mammalian species have revealed that human cortical pyramidal neurons have a unique biophysical composition compared to all other species, presenting a different dendritic physiology and lower conductances than expected for their size.\textsuperscript{35} Considering all these elements, neurons of human origin should be used for \textit{in vitro} investigations to generate more conclusive data. However, access to human primary brain tissue that can be dissociated for \textit{in vitro} cell culture is limited\textsuperscript{36} and access to embryonic human brain tissue raises ethical questions, since such tissues originate from aborted human fetuses.\textsuperscript{37}

The third possible cell source consists in using human stem cell-derived cells to generate differentiated cell types. The two main types of stem cells are embryonic stem cells (ESCs) and induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs), both of which are self-renewing and pluripotent. On the one hand, access to human ESCs is restricted and legally regulated because they mostly originate from discarded \textit{in vitro} fertilized human embryos.\textsuperscript{38} On the other hand, iPSCs are widely available because they are obtained by reprogramming adult somatic cells through the addition of small molecules or the forced expression of genes coding for specific transcription factors.\textsuperscript{39} Adult somatic cells are easy to obtain, for example through a skin biopsy or blood sample.\textsuperscript{40}

Several methods now exist to reprogram iPSCs into neurons, many of which allow differentiated neurons to be cryopreserved. This presents two major advantages: first, neurons coming from the same source can be used across numerous experiments, which should decrease the inter-experiment variance; second, laboratories that do not have the required biological facilities and expertise to produce iPSCs themselves now have access to iPSC-derived neurons, either commercially or through collaborations. However, a significant challenge when working with iPSC-derived cells is that survival of dissociated cells is poor, especially when adding a cryopreservation step.\textsuperscript{41} Overall, iPSC-derived neurons have the potential to lead to more human-relevant conclusions, to provide homogeneous and tailorable differentiated cell types, to reduce the use of animals in experiments and to be easily accessible across laboratories. For all of these reasons, we consider iPSC-derived neurons to be the most suitable cell source for many bottom-up neuroscience investigations, provided that the challenge of their low survival rates can be overcome.

A reliable method to differentiate human iPSC into neurons is through the overexpression of the gene neurogenin 2 (Ngn2), as first reported by Zhang et al.\textsuperscript{42} and later refined by several groups.\textsuperscript{15,43–47} Compared to previous methods, this protocol is fast, has a high conversion efficiency, and produces neurons with properties independent of the starting iPSC line.\textsuperscript{45} Neurons obtained through the overexpression of Ngn2 are termed “induced” neurons, or iNeurons, and present properties similar to those of cortical glutamatergic excitatory neurons.\textsuperscript{42} Such iNeurons have recently been used in microfluidic multi-compartment chambers (“Taylor” chambers) for drug screening applications\textsuperscript{46} and together with dopaminergic and inhibitory neurons to study neuronal subtype connections.\textsuperscript{47} iPSC-derived cortical neurons obtained through other methods than Ngn2 overexpression have also been used in combination with Taylor chambers to study axonal damage,\textsuperscript{48} α-synuclein propagation,\textsuperscript{49} long-term development,\textsuperscript{50} and connections between neurons of the peripheral and central nervous systems.\textsuperscript{51} However, in all of these studies, the number of neurons inspected was on the order of $10^4$ to $10^5$ neurons per compartment. We believe that to reduce the variability that arise from the complexity of such networks and to get a more reproducible network behavior, it is necessary to be able to build networks with a lower number of neurons, in the range of single to tens of cells per compartment. Neuronal cultures at such low density are challenging to maintain and require protocol optimization.
Here we report the use of human iNeurons to build biological neuronal circuits, each composed of less than 50 cells and with well-defined connections. The topology of the neuronal circuits is controlled using thin microfabricated PDMS membranes, which can be placed on top of MEAs to record electrophysiology data from the circuits. The design of these PDMS membranes is based on Forró et al., who demonstrated successful guidance of axons and consequent directionality of axon potential propagation. The engineered neuronal circuits were characterized using fluorescent stains. We optimized the culture protocol to obtain reasonable cell survival despite the low seeding density and recorded spontaneous electrical activity of some of the circuits for up to 133 days in vitro (DIV). The presence of synapses was demonstrated through immunostaining and by inspecting the response of circuits to electrical stimuli. All in all, the technology presented here provides a modular platform to build and deconstruct circuits of human neurons over several months, with the potential to investigate the fundamental biophysics of information processing, plasticity mechanisms, and neurophysiological disorders.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 PDMS microstructures
Polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) microstructures were designed in Python using the GDScad package, based on a template from Forró et al. shown on Fig. 1a. A typical microstructure contained a set of 15 circuits (see Fig. 1a). They were fabricated on a 4-inch wafer by Wunderlichips (Switzerland) using a standard soft lithography process. The resulting PDMS membrane is a two-layer structure with a first layer with a height of about 200 μm, with cylindrical nodes with a diameter of either 100 or 170 μm; and a second layer with a height of about 4 μm, connecting the holes through narrow microchannels (Fig. 1b). Before use, the microstructures were

Fig. 1 Overview of the PDMS microstructures used to build circuits of iNeurons with controlled axon guidance. (a) Top view of the layout of a typical PDMS microstructure, consisting of 15 circuits, with a zoom-in on one of the circuits. A circuit consists of four nodes (blue) connected by narrow microchannels (orange). The "stomach" shape of the channels allows for axon guidance, resulting in mostly unidirectional, clockwise physical connections between the nodes (see Fig. 5). (b) Schematic side view of two nodes (blue) connected by a microchannel (orange) where an axon is growing [not to scale]. The microchannels are too low for the soma to migrate into, resulting in the physical confinement of the soma in the nodes. (c) Micrograph of a PDMS microstructure with 15 circuits aligned to the 60 electrodes of a MEA. One electrode is positioned under each of the four narrow microchannels of a 4-node circuit, allowing to record from the axon bundle passing on top. (d) Example of a circuit of iNeurons cultured in a PDMS microstructure: phase-contrast (left) and fluorescently labelled iNeurons (right, stained with calcein AM). The soma can be identified as the brighter spots visible in the center of each node.
cut out of the PDMS wafer with a scalpel, cleaned of any dust using Scotch tape and left on a clean glass slide until use. In rare cases, due to the microfabrication process, a thin layer of PDMS remained on top of one or more of the nodes of a microstructure, later preventing iNeurons from falling inside. Such nodes were identified during image post-processing and excluded from the analysis.

2.2 Substrate preparation

All imaging experiments were performed using glass bottom 35 mm diameter dishes (KIT-3522 T, WillCo Wells) as a substrate, unless mentioned otherwise. A typical phase contrast and a fluorescent image of a formed iNeuron network is shown in Fig. 1d. All electrophysiological experiments were performed on 60-electrode microelectrode arrays (60MEA500/30iR-Ti-gr, Multi Channel Systems).

2.2.1 Glass bottom dish preparation. 30 mm diameter coverslips (Menzel glass, selected #1.5, ThermoFisher) were cleaned with acetone, isopropanol, and ultrapure water (Milli-Q, Merck-MilliPore) before being blow dried with nitrogen. The glass bottom dishes were then mounted according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

The dishes were plasma cleaned for 2 min (18 W PDC-32G, Harrick Plasma) and coated with 300 μL per dish of 0.1 mg mL\(^{-1}\) PDL (P6407, Sigma Aldrich) in PBS (10010-023, ThermoFisher). After 45 min, dishes were rinsed three times with PBS, left in ultrapure water. The water left in the glass bottom dish was then aspirated and two PDMS microstructures were placed in the dish using tweezers. After inspecting the dishes under a stereo microscope to check if the structures were lying flat against the bottom of the dish, they were blow dried and placed in a desiccator for 10 min to ensure proper adhesion of the PDMS membrane to the glass. 2 mL of warm PBS was added to the desiccator for at least one hour to remove air trapped in the narrow channels of the microstructures. Dishes were then stored in PBS at 4 °C overnight before cell seeding.

2.2.2 MEA preparation. Microelectrode arrays (MEAs) can be reused across several experiments. When reusing a MEA, it was first immersed in a solution of 4% Tergzyme (1304-1, Alconox) for 24 h to remove cell culture and proteins, then kept in ultrapure water until reuse. On the day of substrate preparation, it was cleaned three times with 0.2% w/v sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS, L3771, Sigma Aldrich), ultrapure water, ethanol and ultrapure water again, before being blow dried with nitrogen. No cleaning steps were performed for new MEAs.

MEAs were oxygen plasma cleaned for 2 min and coated with 250 μL of 0.1 mg mL\(^{-1}\) PDL in PBS for 45 min. This was followed by three subsequent rinses with PBS, before leaving the MEAs in ultrapure water. The water left in the MEA was aspirated away, leaving a thin layer of liquid, and a microstructure was placed in the dish using tweezers. The tweezers were used to carefully align the microchannels to the electrodes of the MEA (see Fig. 1c). The MEA was then blow dried and placed in a desiccator for 10 min to ensure proper adhesion of the PDMS to the glass. 2 mL of warm PBS was then added to the MEA and it was placed in the desiccator for at least one hour to remove air trapped in the channels of the microstructures. MEAs were then stored in PBS at 4 °C overnight before cell seeding.

2.3 iNeuron culture

2.3.1 iPSC differentiation. Human iPSCs were generated following a previously published protocol}\(^5\) and transfected with a doxycycline-inducible neurogenin-2 (Ngn2) gene. Differentiation into neurons was induced by a 3-day exposure to doxycycline as reported in Russell et al.\(^5\) Differentiated iNeurons were then cryogenized as aliquots of 1 × 10\(^6\) to 8 × 10\(^6\) cells in heat inactivated FBS containing 5% DMSO. Cryogenized aliquots of iNeurons were kindly provided by Novartis and stored in liquid nitrogen until use.

2.3.2 NBD medium. The culture medium used with iNeurons was Neurobasal differentiation medium (NBD). NBD was prepared freshly by adding 1 mL of B27 supplement (17504-044, ThermoFisher), 0.5 mL of N2 supplement (17502-048, ThermoFisher), 50 μL of brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF, 10 μg mL\(^{-1}\), 450-10, PeproTech) and 50 μL of glial-derived neurotrophic factor (GDNF, 10 μg mL\(^{-1}\), 450-02, PeproTech) to 50 mL of Neurobasal medium (NeuroBasal medium [21203-049] with an added 1% GlutaMAX [35050-061] and 1% Pen Strep [15070-063, all from ThermoFisher]).

2.3.3 iNeuron seeding and culture. About 2 h prior to cell seeding, the PBS contained in the substrates (glass bottom dish, MEA or well plate) was replaced with 1 mL of NBD. The substrates were placed in an incubator (37 °C, 5% CO\(_2\), Steri-Cycle 371 CO\(_2\) Incubator, ThermoFisher Scientific) until seeding.

An iNeuron aliquot was taken out of the liquid nitrogen and put at 37 °C to thaw rapidly. The 1 mL thawed cell solution was transferred dropwise into 4 mL of warm NBD and centrifuged for 5 min at 1000 rpm. The supernatant was aspirated and cells were resuspended at a concentration of 1 × 10\(^6\) cells per mL. The cell solution was passed through a 40 μm strainer (CSS013040, BioFilJet) and counted using a cell counter (Cell Countess, Invitrogen).

A volume containing the target cell number was pipetted onto the substrate (30 to 65k cells per cm\(^2\)). After 10 min, the solution was mixed by pipetting to increase the number of iNeurons in the PDMS nodes. A complete medium exchange was done 1 h after seeding to remove dead cells. For the laminin-supplemented experiments, laminin was added to the medium at this stage, to a final concentration of 1 to 10 μg mL\(^{-1}\). A half medium change was performed two to three
times a week, with optional addition of laminin to the medium during the first week of medium change. In all experiments, the day of iNeuron thawing and seeding was considered as DIV 0.

2.4 Staining and imaging

2.4.1 CMFDA staining. CMFDA (1 mM in DMSO, CellTracker Green CMFDA Dye, C7025, ThermoFisher) and ethidium homodimer-1 (2 mM in DMSO, L3224, ThermoFisher) were added directly to the cell medium to a final concentration of 1 μM each. The sample was incubated for 30 min before replacing the medium with fresh, warm NBD.

2.4.2 Live-dead and Hoechst staining. A solution of 2 μM of calcein AM and 8 μM of ethidium homodimer-1 (both from L3224, ThermoFisher) in DPBS (14190-144, ThermoFisher) was incubated with the sample for 12 min. The same volume of a solution of 2 μM of Hoechst 33342 (H3570, ThermoFisher) was added to the sample and incubated for another 8 min. The sample was then carefully washed once with DPBS and left in warm DPBS for imaging.

2.4.3 Immunofluorescence staining. Samples were immunostained based on a protocol by Taylor et al. The samples were fixed using 4% paraformaldehyde (1.00496, Sigma Aldrich) for 30 min, before incubating them with the secondary antibody and the primary antibodies: rat anti-MAP2 (1:1000, AB5622, Merck Millipore) and mouse anti-PSD-95 (1:1000, MA1-045, Thermo Fisher). We then rinsed the samples three times with PBS for 5 min, before incubating them with the secondary antibody solution in PBS for 1 h at room temperature. The secondary antibody solution consisted in 2 μM of anti-rabbit Alexa Fluor 594 (1:800, A11037, Thermo Fisher) and goat anti-mouse Alex Fluor Plus 647 (1:800, A327728, Thermo Fisher). The samples were rinsed three times with PBS and left in PBS for imaging.

2.4.4 Image acquisition. A confocal laser scanning microscope (FluoView 3000, Olympus) was used to image the stained cultures. Three to five channels were typically acquired: 405 nm (Hoechst), 488 nm (calcein AM or CMFDA), 561 nm (ethidium homodimer-1), 594 nm (Alexa Fluor 594 antibody), 647 nm (Alexa Fluor 640 antibody) and phase contrast brightfield images.

2.4.5 Image analysis. Microscope images were processed using Fiji. Importantly, due to their size, stained soma are brighter and thus more visible than axons on microscopy images. To enhance the intensity of the axons compared to the soma, a pixel logarithm operator was applied to all the representative fluorescent images shown in the figures of this paper, except for the immunofluorescent stainings shown in Fig. 8. The brightness and contrast were manually adjusted to suppress background fluorescence.

2.4.6 Statistical tests. Boxplots were used to represent the data. The interquartile range (IQR) was calculated as the difference between the 3rd quartile (Q3) and the 1st quartile (Q1). On the boxplot: the bottom whisker is the closest data above Q1 − (1.5 × IQR); the coloured part of the box is bounded by Q1 and Q3; the middle horizontal black bar indicates the median; the top whisker is the closest data below Q3 + (1.5 × IQR). Outliers are indicated as single points.

The two-sided Mann Whitney U test was used to investigate whether there is statistical significance between populations of iNeurons grown in the presence vs. absence of laminin. When running the statistical tests, the images of the different nodes and voltage traces of the different electrodes of a sample were assumed to be independent.

2.5 Protocol optimization to enhance survival

2.5.1 Survival rate after 11 DIV. To estimate the survival rate, iNeurons were seeded in PDL-coated glass bottom dishes containing two PDMS microstructures each. Two conditions were tested: culturing samples with regular medium (2 samples) and with medium containing 1 μg mL⁻¹ of laminin (2 samples). 1 h after seeding, the samples were stained with CMFDA and ethidium homodimer-1. The samples were imaged 2 to 4 h after seeding. At DIV 11, the same samples were stained with calcein AM and ethidium homodimer-1 and imaged. Images of circuits were then cropped into four individual images of nodes (N = 240 nodes per condition).

The number of live cells at DIV 0 was estimated by processing the green channel of the image of each node. A mean filter with a radius of one pixel was applied to the green channel, followed by a minimum filter with a radius of 2 pixels to separate neighboring cells. Local maxima were then detected using the built-in Fiji function “Find Maxima”, setting the prominence (maximum height difference between points that are not counted as separate maxima) at 100. The number of maxima detected was used as the live cell count (see Fig. S2b†).

At DIV 11, the number of live cells per node was manually counted. The survival rate of node $i$ at DIV 11 ($r_{11,i}$) was calculated for each node as:

$$r_{11,i} = \frac{n_{\text{live},11,i}}{n_{\text{live},0,i}}$$

with $n_{\text{live},x,i}$ the number of live cells at DIV X for node $i$.

2.5.2 Area measurement of green- and red-stained structures

Staining in PDMS microstructures. To study their survival over time, iNeurons were seeded in PDL-coated glass
bottom dishes containing two PDMS microstructures each. Two different conditions were tested: culturing samples with medium supplemented with 1 μg mL⁻¹ of laminin (3 samples) and 10 μg mL⁻¹ of laminin (3 samples). At DIV 1, 4, and 7, one sample of each condition (each containing two microstructures) was stained with CMFDA and ethidium homodimer-1 and imaged. At DIV 23, the samples imaged at DIV 4 were re-stained with the live-dead and Hoechst stains and imaged. The acquired images were cropped into individual nodes (N = 114 to 120).

**Area measurement.** Due to the difficulty of counting cells in images containing overlapping and degrading cells, the area occupied by green- and red-stained structures was used as an indicator of the evolution of live and dead cells over time. A Gaussian blur filter with a standard deviation of one was applied to each image (red and green channels). For green channel images, Otsu thresholding⁵⁵ was used and for red channel images, Default thresholding (a Fiji variation of the IsoData algorithm)⁵⁶ was used to create a mask from which the area of the objects bigger than 10 pixels was measured. To calculate the percentage of the area occupied by green- or red-stained structures, the measured area (in μm²) was divided by the area of a node (22,700 μm²). Images where the resulting percentage was higher than 50% were visually checked and excluded if the mask obtained did not correspond to the red- or green-stained areas visible on the images.

**2.5.3 Protocol optimization.** To optimize the survival of iNeurons in PDMS microstructures, several parameters of the protocol were varied: the starting cell density (30k or 65k cells per cm²), the diameter of the nodes of the microstructure (100 or 170 μm), and the concentration of laminin in the NBD medium (0, 1, or 10 μg mL⁻¹). PDL-coated glass bottom dishes with two microstructures each were used as substrates. The samples were stained with live-dead and Hoechst stains at DIV 18 to 23 and imaged. The acquired images were split into individual circuits (N = 30 to 61 circuits per condition). To compare the effect of changing these protocol parameters, the images of individual circuits were visually inspected and the number of nodes within a circuit that had at least one live iNeuron was counted. The number of cells per node was also manually counted.

**2.5.4 Axon guidance in microstructures.** During the protocol optimization process, many images of circuits with only one node containing live iNeurons were acquired (N = 325 circuits). These were inspected to count the number of nodes with axons growing in the intended, i.e. clockwise, direction and the number of nodes where they did not.

**2.6 Electrophysiology**

**2.6.1 Data acquisition.** During recording and stimulation sessions, each MEA was taken out of the incubator and placed in the MEA headstage (MEA2100-Systems, Multi Channel Systems), heated to 37 °C with a temperature controller (TCO2, Multi Channel Systems), and kept at 5% CO₂ (0506.00, Pecon). The MEA was left in the headstage for 5–10 min to settle before starting the recording session. Data were acquired from the 60 electrodes at 20 kHz.

**2.6.1.1 Spontaneous electrical activity recording.** The spontaneous electrical activity of 3 MEAs without laminin and 3 MEAs where laminin was added at 1 μg mL⁻¹ in the cell medium for the first week was recorded for 19 weeks. The seeding density was 65k cells per cm². 5 min recordings were performed weekly from DIV 14 to DIV 50, and then every other week until DIV 133.

**2.6.1.2 Electrical stimulation.** To investigate functional connectivity, we recorded the response of all four electrodes of a circuits of iNeurons upon stimulation of one of its electrodes. 2 MEAs were seeded with iNeurons at a density of 65k cells per cm² and laminin was supplemented at 1 μg mL⁻¹ for the first week. At DIV 21, the 15 circuits of a MEA were electrically stimulated by sequentially applying a potential to each electrode of a circuit (top left, top right, bottom right, bottom left) at 2 Hz for 5 min. The stimulus used was a 400 μs biphasic square pulse from 500 mV to ~500 mV (positive then negative). An idle time of 30 s was left between each set of stimuli.

**2.6.2 Electrical activity processing**

**2.6.2.1 Spontaneous electrical activity.** Raw data of the spontaneous electrical activity were band-passed filtered (4th order acausal Butterworth filter, 200–3500 Hz). The baseline noise of the signal was characterized for each electrode using the median absolute deviation (MAD).⁵⁷ Spikes were detected by identifying negative signal peaks below a threshold of 6 times the baseline noise. Successive events within 1.5 ms were discarded to avoid multiple detection of the same spike. Spike amplitude was defined as the absolute value of the negative amplitude of the detected peak. Spike waveforms were extracted from the filtered voltage trace using the data within a ~1 ms to 1 ms window around the timestamp of the detected spike and used to measure the spike amplitude. Electrophysiological activity was assessed over time by calculating the mean amplitude per electrode, and electrode firing rate, calculated as spike count per electrode divided by the recording time. Mean firing rate (MFR) per circuit and mean amplitude were both calculated over the active electrodes only. An electrode was considered active if its featured firing rate was above 0.1 Hz.

**2.6.2.2 Response upon electrical stimulation.** To ensure a reliable spike detection despite the voltage drift caused by the electrode stimulation, more stringent parameters were applied to analyse the data obtained using the stimulation paradigm described above. Raw data were band-passed filtered with a 300 Hz high pass 2nd order Butterworth filter and the threshold used was 8 times the noise level as estimated through the MAD, with a minimal interspike distance of 2 ms.
3 Results and discussion

We report the use of thawed cryopreserved human iNeurons to build biological neuronal circuits composed of less than 50 cells with well-defined connections. Circuits are formed using PDMS microstructures consisting of two layers: a first layer with a height of about 200 \(\mu\)m, with through-holes of either 100 or 170 \(\mu\)m of diameter (“nodes”); and a second layer with a height of about 4 \(\mu\)m, connecting the holes through narrow apertures (“microchannels”) (Fig. 1a and b). The PDMS microstructure is placed on a poly-D-lysine (PDL)-coated glass coverslip or microelectrode array (MEA) with channels facing down. PDL is a widely used coating for neuronal cultures due to its good neural adhesion capability, which comes from its positive charge.\(^{58}\) After adding cell medium, thawed iNeurons can be seeded by pipetting them on top of the microstructure. iNeurons tend not to adhere to the top of the PDMS but sediment either inside of the nodes or around the PDMS membrane. This is likely due to the hydrophobicity of the PDMS and the flow created when pipetting the medium or moving the sample. Seeded neurons slowly adhere to the PDL-coated surface of the bottom of the nodes. The low height of the microchannels ensures the soma stay in the nodes, preventing cells from migrating out of the nodes into the channels. After a few hours, neurites start extending from the soma and one maturates into an axon, which can grow into the microchannels to connect the nodes together. The channels are designed for axon guidance, leading to mostly clockwise physical connections between the nodes (see Fig. 5 and Forró et al.\(^{23}\)). The PDMS microstructures are designed to be placed on top of a 60-electrode MEA, aligning one electrode under each connecting microchannel of a 4-node circuit (Fig. 1c). This allows for recording from the bundle of axons connecting one node to the next.

3.1 iNeuron survival over time

**Survival rate in PDMS microstructures.** To check if human iNeurons could form circuits in PDMS microstructures, iNeurons were seeded in PDMS microstructures on PDL-functionalized glass. From their initial spherical shape upon seeding (Fig. 2a) iNeurons spread over the PDL coating and their growing axons formed connections between the nodes of a circuit (Fig. 2b). However, most of the iNeurons died within 2 weeks. The survival rate was quantified by staining iNeurons circuits at DIV 0 and staining these same circuits at DIV 11. With a starting cell number of roughly 70 to 80 neurons per node, the survival rate of iNeurons 4 h after seeding was around 70% (Fig. S3†). Cell death in the first hours after thawing is likely a consequence of the freezing and thawing process, which puts stress on the cells. Eleven days later, the survival rate in the same circuits dropped to around 0.58% +/- 1.07 of the number of live cells from DIV 0 (Fig. 2c).

To improve the survival of iNeurons in PDMS microstructures, laminin was used. Laminin is one of the main components of the basement membrane in the brain and was reported to improve survival and neurite growth in several studies using iNeurons.\(^{14,59,60}\) Laminin was tested as a secondary coating on top of the PDL. It resulted in a poorer adhesion of the PDMS membrane to the glass and frequent detachment. Adding laminin as a secondary coating after the adhesion of the PDMS membrane to the PDL-coated glass was also unsuccessful. This led to a change of the hydrophobicity of the PDMS, likely due to laminin binding to it. It also caused the iNeurons to stick and grow on top of the PDMS membrane instead of sedimenting to the bottom of the nodes. Finally, we decided to add laminin to the cell medium after seeding the iNeurons into the PDMS microstructure, at a concentration of 1 \(\mu\)g mL\(^{-1}\) for the first week of culture. The survival rate at DIV 11 in the laminin-supplemented samples was 3.8 \pm 2.75% (see Fig. 2c), a significant increase compared to the survival in samples without laminin. The addition of laminin thus led to a several-fold increase in the survival rate of neurons. However, staining of iNeurons in the early days of culture has a negative impact on the overall cell survival (see Fig. S10†) and should be avoided.

**Change in the area occupied by live and dead iNeurons over time.** In order to better understand the evolution of the cell death in circuits over time, iNeurons were cultured in
microstructures over three weeks. Since laminin was observed to have a beneficial effect on survival in PDMS microstructure cultures, it was added to the medium in the first week of cell culture, at a concentration of either 1 or 10 μg mL⁻¹. To avoid restaining the same samples, live and dead cells were stained in different samples at different timepoints. We observed that the decrease in the number of live iNeurons per node seemed to take place over the first week of culture, so timepoints for live and dead stains were chosen at DIV 1, 4, 7 and 23 (Fig. 3). At all timepoints, live and dead iNeurons tended to cluster and overlap making it difficult to reliably count the number of cells per node (Fig. S5†). For that reason, the area of the node occupied by green- and red-stained structures was used as a proxy for investigating the evolution of the number of live and dead neurons over time. The area occupied by live and dead cells was hypothesized to correlate with the number of live and dead cells.

Based on the area measurement of live structures, the number of live iNeurons in circuits steadily decreases during the first week in culture (Fig. 3c). This is consistent with a qualitative inspection of the images (Fig. 3a and b and S5†).

The area occupied by live cells varied little between DIV 7 and DIV 23, suggesting a stabilization of cell death past a week in culture. The higher (10 μg mL⁻¹) laminin concentration lead to significantly higher areas occupied by live cells in the first week of culture. The area occupied by red-stained structures followed an inverse trend to that of the green-stained structures, steadily increasing during the first week in culture before stabilizing. An exception to this is the 10 μg mL⁻¹ laminin-supplemented samples at DIV 23 where the area occupied by dead structures dropped back to slightly lower levels than on DIV 0. Examining the images, dead cells appear to have clustered under the live cells at DIV 23 (Fig. 3b, right), which could explain the decrease in the area occupied by dead cells. Live cells also seem to cluster together in the center of the nodes more often at the higher laminin concentration. In nodes where no iNeurons survived, dead cells did not cluster to the center of the node.

Fig. 3 Change over time of the area occupied by live and dead cells for iNeurons cultured in PDMS microstructures. (a) Representative example of fluorescently labelled iNeurons grown on a PDL-coated surface at DIV 1, in medium supplemented with 1 μg mL⁻¹ (left) and 10 μg mL⁻¹ of laminin (right). (b) Representative example of fluorescently labelled iNeurons grown on a PDL-coated surface at DIV 23 for the same two conditions. For both (a) and (b), live cells are labelled with the green stain calcein AM and dead cells are labelled with the red stain ethidium homodimer-1. (c) Quantification of the change of the average area of a node occupied by green-stained structures (live cells) over time. For each point, $N = 117$ to 120 nodes. (d) Quantification of the change of the average area of a node occupied by red-stained structures (dead cells) over time. For each point, $N = 114$ to 120 nodes. *: $p < 0.01$ (Mann Whitney U test).
In addition to staining, the cause of high mortality in iNeuron circuits might be multi-fold: it could be inherent to thawed iNeurons; to missing factors in the medium; or it could be specifically due to the iNeurons being constrained in small nodes surrounded by PDMS and with comparatively few neighboring cells compared to in vivo conditions. To investigate survival in the absence of PDMS microstructures, iNeurons were plated on bare PDL-coated glass at a high density (300k cells per cm²) and the change in area occupied by live and dead structures over night was investigated (see Fig. S8†). In both cultures of iNeurons on open surfaces and inside of PDMS microstructures the number of live cells drastically reduces over time. Two main differences could be observed between open and PDMS cultures: the time scale in the decrease of live cells and the evolution of the number of dead cells. First, on open surfaces, most of the live area coverage decrease takes place over the first two days in culture, whereas in the PDMS microstructures, the decrease is more gradual and takes place over the first week. Second, on open surfaces, the area occupied by dead cells only slightly increases over time, whereas in PDMS microstructures, it increases inversely to the area occupied by live cells (except for the high laminin sample at DIV 23, as discussed above). When iNeurons are cultured on an open surface, dead cells loosely adhere to the surface likely get detached upon medium change and during the staining steps. Only dead cells that are strongly adhered to the surface will appear on the stained images. In contrast, in the PDMS microstructures, the flow is not strong enough to wash away the dead cells present at the bottom of the cylindrical nodes. As cells die they accumulate inside of the nodes explaining the increase in the number of dead cells that can be observed in Fig. 3d. The accumulation of dead cells in the confined space of a PDMS node might also explain the difference in the time scale of cell death between PDMS microstructures and open cultures: in PDMS microstructures dead neurons diffuse necrotic factors, which can in turn lead to poor survival of the surrounding cells.

In open cultures, we also observed that from around DIV 7–10, iNeurons formed a sheet that tended to easily detach from the surface upon medium changes and staining, requiring extreme care upon handling. This unwanted cell washout was already reported elsewhere 44 and limits the possibilities for cell staining past DIV 10. Because iNeurons are not mature at that stage, this can be quite a limitation when performing staining assays on iNeurons. The presence of the PDMS microstructures overcomes this problem, as circuits of iNeurons are protected from turbulent flow by the presence of the PDMS structure.

3.2 Optimizing the culture protocol for circuits of iNeurons in microstructures

To be able to record electrophysiological activity from circuits, it was necessary to develop a protocol to reliably obtain circuits with at least one live iNeuron per node despite the fragility of the thawed iNeurons. We thus screened the effect of varying several conditions on the iNeuron survival, with the goal to obtain a high percentage of fully closed circuits. Two metrics were used to compare the effect of variations in the culturing protocol: first, the number of live iNeurons per circuit; second, the number of nodes with at least one live iNeuron. Both of these were counted in samples cultured for three weeks (DIV 18 to 23). Examples of circuits with 1, 2, 3, or 4 nodes with at least one live iNeuron can be seen in Fig. 4e. The advantage of this metric compared to simply counting the number of live iNeurons per node is that it is less dependent on the starting seeding number of cells. Across a single sample, the initial number of iNeurons per node is expected to follow a Poisson distribution and across different samples, the number of seeded iNeurons depends on the amount of iNeurons present in the volume pipetted during the initial cell seeding, which slightly varies from one sample to the next.

Many parameters can contribute to iNeuron death inside of PDMS microstructures: missing factors in the medium; poorly treated PDMS; too low density of neurons; bad nutrient diffusion or too high concentration of necrotic factors. These different parameters were tested to investigate how to positively influence the iNeuron survival in PDMS microstructures.

Despite undeniable advantages such as simple microfabrication and low cost, PDMS can have a deleterious effect on the survival of a neuronal culture, either by slowly releasing uncrosslinked oligomers into the cell culture over time, or by restricting the available nutrients and the removal of waste. 61,62 Results obtained with open cultures indicated that cell death was high even in the absence of PDMS microstructures (Fig. S8e†), but we still tested the effect of cleaning the PDMS microstructures prior to making the substrates. iNeuron survival was compared across non-treated PDMS, ethanol-rinsed PDMS, autoclaved PDMS and extracted PDMS, as described by Millet et al. 63 These different PDMS treatments did not improve the number of circuits with full nodes (see Fig. S11†), confirming that the release of cytotoxic molecules from the PDMS is not one of the mechanisms behind the low iNeuron survival inside of PDMS microstructures. Another possible cause was the accumulation of dead iNeurons inside of the PDMS node and the poor waste removal possibilities. To test for this, macrophages were added to the iNeuron cultures at DIV 4. While adding macrophages resulted in interesting circuit morphology, it did not seem to affect the percentage of full circuits (see Fig. S12 and S13†). Addition of macrophages also complicates the protocol, so this direction was not further explored.

To test if improved nutrient diffusion could help with survival, the diameter of the nodes of the PDMS circuit was increased from the original 100 μm (as designed by Forró et al. 21) to 170 μm (Fig. 4c). The 170 μm diameter design was already used to obtain the results shown in Fig. 2 and 3. As neurons are known to be difficult to culture at low densities
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and as seeding more iNeurons should equate to more nodes with at least one neuron surviving, the initial cell density was varied from 30k cells per cm\(^2\) to 65k cells per cm\(^2\). Finally, the effect of adding 1 to 10 μg mL\(^{-1}\) of laminin to the NBD medium during the first week of culture was tested. Other variations of medium were tested, such as switching from NBD to Neurobasal Plus or BrainPhys, but this did not affect the number of surviving neurons per node (data not shown).

A summary of the different conditions tested can be found in Fig. 4a.

By varying the amount of laminin in the medium, the node diameter and the starting cell density, it was possible to improve the median number of iNeurons per circuit after three weeks in culture from 2 to 22 (Fig. 4b) and to increase the percentage of circuits with at least one live iNeuron per node from 13% to 94% (Fig. 4d). To test for the significance

---

**Fig. 4** Protocol optimization for the culture of iNeurons in PDMS microstructures. (a) List of conditions tested to improve the cell survival in the PDMS microstructures. Parameters varied were: supplementing the cell medium with laminin (1–10 μg mL\(^{-1}\)); increasing the node size (as illustrated in c); increasing the initial cell seeding density from 30k cells per cm\(^2\) to 65k cells per cm\(^2\). (b) Number of live neurons per circuit after three weeks in culture, for all the tested conditions. Mann Whitney U tests were ran on each pair of conditions and the resulting p-values can be found in Table S1.† (c) Two designs were tested: nodes of 100 μm diameter and nodes of 170 μm diameter. (d) Effect of the different conditions on the percentage of circuits with 0–4 nodes containing at least one live iNeuron at DIV 18 to 23. (e) Examples of circuits with 1, 2, 3, and 4 nodes that contain at least one live iNeuron. This metric was used to assess the effect of a parameter change in the cell culture protocol.
of the differences in the number of live iNeurons per circuit, pairwise two-sided Mann Whitney U tests were ran between each pairs of conditions. Detailed p-values for each pair of conditions can be found in Table S1†. Generally, survival in small (100 μm) diameter nodes at a low seeding density (30k cells per cm²) was poor (condition 1). Increasing the node diameter to 170 μm (condition 2) or adding 1 μg mL⁻¹ of laminin in the cell medium (condition 3) only slightly increased the percentage of full circuits and did not have any significant effect on the average number of live cells per circuit. Combining both (condition 4) resulted in a higher percentage of full circuits and higher average cell number, as did increasing the initial cell seeding density (conditions 5 and 6). Finally, increasing the laminin concentration in the medium could increase the percentage of full circuits to 94% (condition 7). However, there was no significant difference in the average number of live iNeurons between samples containing laminin concentration of 1 and 10 μg mL⁻¹ (condition 6 and 7). Comparing the worst (condition 1) and the best (condition 6) protocols, we could improve the average number of cells per circuit by a factor of ~9.

Overall, it was possible to optimize the culturing protocol to obtain full circuits with at least one live iNeuron per node in most of the cases, making the protocol suitable to perform electrophysiology recordings on controlled, small circuits of 4 to 50 iNeurons. It is possible to use a higher initial seeding density, but because partial cell death is inevitable, it is not desirable to use too high of a density to avoid clogging the nodes with dead cells.

3.3 Axon guidance in microstructures

The initial stomach design with 100 μm diameter nodes was reported by Forró et al. to lead to 92% axon guidance success when seeding rat primary hippocampal neurons. This high percentage of success can be explained by the shape of the chamber: an axon growing towards the counter-clockwise node should get redirected by the curved side channel (see Fig. S16 and S17†). To test if axon guidance was also successful with human iNeurons using 170 μm diameter nodes, images of circuits which had only one node containing live iNeurons were inspected. More than 300 such images were obtained during the protocol optimization phase. For 100 μm diameter nodes, the guidance success rate was 90.1% (N = 223) and for 170 μm diameter nodes, the success rate was 91.2% (N = 102). Examples of successful axon guidance in 170 μm and 100 μm diameter nodes can be seen in Fig. 5a and b. Examples of unsuccessful axon guidance can be seen in Fig. 5c. These results confirm that the stomach design can be used to get mostly unidirectional physical connections between the nodes of a circuit containing iNeurons.

3.4 Electrophysiological recordings

Using a protocol optimized for survival of iNeurons in PDMS microstructures, we built arrays of 15 four-node circuits of iNeurons on MEAs and recorded their spontaneous electrical activity across 133 DIV. Fig. 6a and b show an example of a circuit of iNeurons at DIV 35 and DIV 138. This circuit was part of a sample seeded at an initial density of 65k cells per cm² and supplemented with 1 μg mL⁻¹ of laminin during the first week of culture (condition 6 in Fig. 4a). Examples of raw voltage traces recorded from this circuit can be seen on Fig. 6d. These were recorded from the top left electrode of the circuit (red electrode on Fig. 6c) at different time points (DIV 21, 62, 90, and 133). The action potentials detected from
a 5 min recording of spontaneous electrical activity were extracted from the filtered voltage traces and overlaid on Fig. 6e. Overlay of the action potentials detected on the other three electrodes can be seen on Fig. S18.† Raster plots of 40 s of spontaneous electrical activity for this circuit can be seen on Fig. 6f. A raster plot of the overlay of 60 s of spike detection for all four electrodes can be found on Fig. S19.†

The use of PDMS microstructures on top of MEAs allows for two important features: high signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) from axons and long-term recording. As the microelectrodes are placed below the microchannels where the axons connecting two nodes are growing, the recorded voltage trace consists of spikes coming from the axons rather than from the soma. In open cultures, axonal spikes are small, usually below the noise level, and thus cannot be recorded using MEAs.63 The measured SNR is known to be higher in confined PDMS microchannels.64,65 Another advantage compared to open cultures is that the PDMS channels prevent the cells from detaching from the surface, which usually results in a loss of signal. This can be seen in Fig. 6a and b, where even though the iNeuron somas clustered together, their axons had grown through the channels. This ensured a permanent close contact to the microelectrodes and excellent signal quality throughout the 133 days of experiment.

To confirm that optimizing the protocol had a positive impact on the number of circuits from which electrical activity could be recorded, the percentage of active electrodes was calculated from the data recorded from three different 60-electrode MEAs (180 electrodes) with and without the addition of 1 μg mL⁻¹ of laminin in the medium in the first week of culture (Fig. 7a). The average number of active electrodes by circuit for both conditions can be found in Fig. S20.a† An electrode was considered “active” if its mean firing rate was greater than 0.1 Hz during the weekly 5 min recording of spontaneous activity. At DIV 119, one of the
Past DIV 90, a drop in the percentage of active electrodes is visible in the samples with laminin. This is because around that time, we observed that axons had grown on the upper surface of the PDMS microstructure and performed a live cell staining to further investigate that (Fig. S21†). There were no cell bodies on top of the PDMS, but axons seemed to have grown from the nodes onto the top of the PDMS. The staining likely had an adverse effect on some of the surviving cells.

The mean firing rate (MFR) and mean amplitude of the active electrodes were also calculated for both conditions over the duration of the recordings. Even though the “no laminin” samples had fewer nodes with live neurons and therefore fewer active electrodes, both samples had a small number of neurons per nodes (below 10). We thus did not expect that the surviving neurons in the “no laminin” condition would have a significant difference in neural activity (MFR and mean amplitude) than these on the “laminin” samples. For both conditions, the MFR of active electrodes followed a similar trend, increasing over the first two months, up to DIV 62, before decreasing (Fig. 7b). For the vast majority of measurements, there was indeed no statistically significant difference in the MFR rate between both sets of samples ($p < 0.01$, two-sided Mann Whitney U test). A plot showing the MFR of both conditions over time for all electrodes, including inactive ones, can be found in Fig. S20b.† During the same time frame, the mean amplitude regularly increased in the samples with laminin before plateauing (Fig. 7c). The mean amplitude of samples without laminin also increased, but in a slightly less regular manner. There was no statistically significant difference between the two conditions at any of the time points.

Overall, using our optimized protocol, we were able to record electrophysiology data from more than 75% of the active electrode of a MEA for 77 DIV. About 45% of the electrodes then still stayed active and could be recorded from for more than four and a half months, a longer experimental period than what is typically reported in studies using iNeurons. 66, 67 This makes our platform suitable for long-term experiments, leaving enough time for iNeurons to become fully functionally mature. However, axons start growing on top of the PDMS microstructures after a few weeks, leading to connections between circuits, which is not desirable in regards to keeping independent circuits. This can be overcome by coating the top of the PDMS with an antifouling molecule, such as PacrAm-g-(PMOXA, amine, silane). 68

3.5 Network connectivity

Functional connectivity mainly relies on the unidirectional transfer of excitatory chemical signal from pre- to postsynaptic membranes. Spontaneous electrical activity could be recorded from the networks of iNeurons, but may be due to endogenous activity and does not demonstrate functional connectivity. To check if our system is consistent with a
presence of functional synapses, we performed an immunofluorescent staining of the synapses and measured the response of the different nodes of a circuit upon electrical stimulation of one of its nodes.

Immunostaining was done at DIV 42 on iNeuron circuits supplemented with 1 μg mL⁻¹ of laminin for the first week of culture and seeded at an initial density of 65k cells per cm² (condition 6 in Fig. 4a). Antibodies against PSD-95 and MAP2 were used. PSD-95 is a scaffold protein of the postsynaptic density of excitatory neurons and MAP2 is a neuron-specific protein that stains microtubule-containing neurites. Hoechst was also used to stain for the DNA-containing nuclei. Fig. 8 shows a representative image of the results of the immunofluorescent staining. Because dead iNeurons also contained DNA, the nuclei of dead cells are stained with Hoechst, resulting in overexposed stains on the Hoechst image (Fig. 8a). The live iNeurons stain positively for both MAP2 (Fig. 8b) and PSD-95 (Fig. 8c), confirming the neuronal identity of the cells and indicating that synapses are present.

In addition to verifying the presence of synapses, we also measured the electrical response of circuits of iNeurons to a stimulus. As functional synapses were reported to form in two weeks in Ngn2 iNeurons,⁴² stimulation was performed at DIV 21 on 2 MEAs with 15 circuits cultured with 1 μg mL⁻¹ of laminin for the first week (condition 6 in Fig. 4a). The stimulation paradigm was based on Ihle et al.⁶⁹ The 15 circuits of each MEA were electrically stimulated by sequentially applying a biphasic square pulse stimulus to each electrode of a circuit (top left, top right, bottom right, bottom left) at 2 Hz for 5 min (Fig. 9a). An idle time of 30 s was left between each set of stimulation. Spike detection was performed on the recorded data. To visualize the evolution of the electrical response upon stimulation over time, an overlaid raster plot of the responses of all four electrodes of a circuit were plotted on top of each other (Fig. 9b and c). Spikes occurring at a consistent delay after the stimulus appear as vertical “bands”. Such bands are usually visible in the first 20 ms after the stimulus.⁶⁹ A full 500 ms response following a stimulus can be seen in Fig. S22.† An example stimulation response for a circuit of iNeurons is depicted in Fig. 9e. It was recorded from the circuit shown in Fig. 9d.

The presence of bands of different colors in Fig. 9e indicates that stimulating one of the electrodes of a circuit consistently results in a temporally defined sequence of spikes at the other three electrodes. This suggests that the stimulated electrode elicits an action potential on the axon(s) passing on top of it and that this action potential gets propagated along the circuit onto its other electrodes. The two main ways in which a sequence of spikes visible on two electrodes could have propagated are: (1) through an axon or a group of axons covering several electrodes, or (2) through chemical synapses between the axon or group of axons initially stimulated, leading to the depolarization of another neuron or group of neurons. The path that an axon covers between two neighboring electrodes of a circuit is approximately 600 to 1000 μm. Assuming an action potential propagation speed of about 0.5 to 1 m s⁻¹, such a distance would be covered in roughly 0.3 to 1 ms. In contrast, chemical transmission of an action potential through synapses is a slower process, inducing a delay of at least 0.5 ms⁷⁰ and leading to an expected delay between two electrodes of at least 0.8 ms. We can thus hypothesize that
two neighboring electrodes spiking with a delay of more than 1 ms is due to the presence of functional synapses. Looking at the bands visible on Fig. 9e, several of them are spaced by more than 1 ms, which is consistent with the presence of functional connections between the different neurons of a circuit. As is visible on Fig. 9d, the network is formed by 10 neurons (2 to 4 per node). Despite this low number, the response to the electrical stimuli is complex. Stimulating a different electrode of the network leads to different response motifs, indicating a non-trivial relationship between the microstructure-constrained network topology and its spiking sequence. This is consistent with what was observed in circuits of rat primary networks. Out of the 30 circuits from the two stimulated MEAs, 25 (83%) had a consistent electrical response upon stimulation of at least one of their electrodes, suggesting that functional connectivity takes place in most of the circuits. Overall, these stimulation results together with the synapses visualized by immunostaining are consistent with the presence of functional synapses.

4 Conclusions

We demonstrated the successful building of circuits of less than 50 thawed cryopreserved human iPSC-derived neurons in PDMS microstructures, some of which form connections and can survive over several months. Such a platform can be used for both imaging and long-term electrophysiological recordings and stimulation. To our knowledge, this is the first report of building in vitro circuits using human-derived cortical neurons with control over the topology and so few neurons per circuit. Survival of thawed iNeurons is low, but we optimized the protocol to obtain full circuits in most cases.

This technology holds the potential to study fundamental signal processing in neurons, as recently reported by Ihle et al. It allows a control over the topology of the neuronal networks that cannot be achieved with standard, open cultures of neurons on MEAs. Additionally, because one MEA provides a functional readout of several circuits in parallel, the platform could also potentially be adapted for...
translational research applications such as testing the effect of neuromodulatory molecules on the electrical activity of a circuit. This might be especially interesting in combination with patient-derived cells with neurological disorders and in particular to study circuit or neurodevelopmental disorders such as Alzheimer’s disease, autism or fragile X syndrome. We demonstrated that iNeurons can be cultured and electrically probed for several months, leaving them sufficient time to reach maturity levels that could biologically replicate diseases.

Several improvements can be implemented in this system. First, the layout presented here consists in four-node circuits, but could be adapted to answer scientific questions of interest, as needed by the experimenter. Second, the current layout of the PDMS circuit is constrained by the need to interface it with a 60-electrode MEA layout and only allows recording and stimulating from specific positions in the circuit. By using a high-density CMOS MEA, which was recently shown to be compatible with PDMS microstructures, such design constraints could be eliminated and any part of the circuits could be recorded from and stimulated. This would however complicate imaging assays, as high-density CMOS MEAs are not transparent. Thirdly, only one cell type was used in this work, but more complex circuits could be built by seeding different types of cells in different nodes of a circuit, for example excitatory and inhibitory neurons to test for spike-timing-dependent plasticity. Such cell types are now commercially available as cryopreserved cells, thanks to recently developed protocols to differentiate iPSCs into brain cell types such as dopaminergic neurons, GABAergic neurons and astrocytes. Seeding different nodes of a circuit with different cell types would require a fine control over cell placement, which can for example be achieved using technologies such as pick-and-place with a modified atomic force microscope (FluidFM). Overall, the possibility to build small circuits of human-derived cells that survive over several months holds great promise for advancing fundamental neuroscience research and may also find translational applications.
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