Green Chemistry



PAPER View Article Online



Cite this: *Green Chem.*, 2022, **24**, 7220

Short electrochemical asymmetric synthesis of (+)-N-acetylcolchinol†

Yi Du, a Adelaide Lunga, Aleksandr E. Rubtsov and Andrei V. Malkov ** *a Adelaide Lunga**

A short synthesis of N-acetylcolchinol using a greener and step-economical pathway is reported where all the redox reactions, except for the asymmetric reduction, were carried out electrochemically, replacing protocols that employ transition metals or stoichiometric hazardous reagents. In a 4-step racemic sequence, chemoselective reduction of chalcone and intramolecular oxidative arene—arene coupling were performed in an electrochemical cell giving the target N-acetylcolchinol with an overall 41% yield. In a 7-step asymmetric variant, electrochemistry was also employed for the deprotection of p-methoxyphenyl amine. The target compound was obtained with a 33% overall yield and 99.5: 0.5 er.

Received 20th June 2022, Accepted 25th August 2022 DOI: 10.1039/d2qc02321k

rsc.li/greenchem

Introduction

Colchicine 1 (Fig. 1) is a well-known pseudo-alkaloid that has been widely used to treat gout, immune-mediated diseases, and psoriatic arthritis.1 It was shown to inhibit leukocyteendothelial cells and T-cells by binding to intracellular tubulin monomers, which prevents their polymerization.² Thus, colchicine has the potential to impair the process of antigen recognition and may inhibit cancer cell growth, but it proved to be toxic to normal cells. Instead, a less toxic to mammalian cells demecolcine 2, where the acetyl on the amino group is replaced with methyl, is used in chemotherapy. More recently, based on its anti-inflammatory properties, colchicine was investigated as a potential treatment for COVID-19 with some positive effects reported.3 Investigation of colchicine analogues revealed that allocolchicinoids derivatives where the 7-membered tropolone ring was replaced with a benzene ring (Fig. 1, 3-6) showed good biological activity and less toxicity compared to the parent colchicine.4 N-Acetylcolchinol 3 is a known tubulin polymerisation inhibitor. Its water-soluble phosphate ZD6126 (4) was developed as the prodrug.⁵ The phosphate is converted in vivo into the active N-acetylcolchinol 3, which binds to the tubulin cytoskeleton of the endothelial cells in tumour blood vessels. ZD6126 selectively induced tumour vascular damage and tumour necrosis at well-tolerated doses in animal models, but it was found to be toxic, therefore, was discontinued. However, the pronounced biological activity of colchicine alkaloids continues to attract the attention of research-

In the middle of the 20th century, Rapoport^{6,7} and Cook⁸ reported the first syntheses of *N*-acetylcolchinol methyl ether 5 where the 7-membered ring of the colchinol framework was constructed by the sequence of transformations involving oxidative scission of the respective phenanthrene derivatives. Wulff and co-workers⁹ in their synthesis of allocolchicine 6 designed a strategy that centred on a Diels-Alder cycloaddition

Fig. 1 Colchicine and its analogues.

ers to this class of compounds, thus maintaining the need for robust methodology to access their synthetic analogues. Herein, we present a simple, short and efficient sequence for the synthesis of N-acetylcolchinol 3 taking advantage of electrochemical methods.

^aDepartment of Chemistry, Loughborough University, Loughborough, LE11 3TU, UK. E-mail: A.Malkov@lboro.ac.uk

^bDepartment of Chemistry, Perm State University, Bukireva 15, Perm 614990, Russia † Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: https://doi.org/10.1039/d2gc02321k

Green Chemistry Paper

Scheme 1 Cyclisation step in the synthesis of allocolchinoids.

to assemble the methyl benzoate ring of 6, followed by aromatisation. Since then, a selection of diverse strategies toward colchicine alkaloids were disclosed. Among the plethora of synthetic approaches toward the structural core of the colchicine alkaloids, the routes involving coupling of the two aromatic fragments look most advantageous (Scheme 1), especially considering that the immediate precursors 7–9 can be prepared by trivial synthetic methods from the readily available materials

Macdonald16 reported a non-phenolic intramolecular oxidative coupling of the protected acetamide 8 using stoichiometric quantities of toxic Tl(O₂CCF₃)₃. The same protocol was later employed by Chong.¹⁷ Fagnou¹⁸ proposed synthesis of allocolchicine 6 from the intermediate 9 by a Pd(0)-catalysed biaryl coupling, though high catalyst loading (10 mol%) was required. The toxicity of Tl(III) salts prompted a search for safer alternatives. Kocienski¹⁹ synthesised the N-acetylcolchinol 3 by intramolecular oxidative coupling of precursor 8 (R = OTBS) using hypervalent iodine(III) reagent PhI(O2CCF3)2 (PIFA). More recently, Yang²⁰ employed PhI(OAc)₂ (PIDA) in the oxidative cyclisation step in their gram scale asymmetric synthesis of colchicine 1. While our manuscript was the preparation, Waldvodgel²¹ reported on the electrochemical dehydrogenative coupling of 8 (R = OMe), although in modest yield (33%), which was improved to 62% through a reagent-mediated coupling employing MoCl₃(HFIP)₂.

However, the coupling of a simple precursor 7 to 3 remains challenging with the yields generally staying at a modest level. In this report, we present a green and atom-economical synthesis of *N*-acetylcolchinol 3 both in racemic and enantioselective variants, where the redox processes are accomplished electrochemically.

Results and discussion

Racemic synthesis

The reinvigorated interest in electrochemical methodology for organic synthesis, ^{22–26} and our own recent experience in this field, ^{27–29} prompted us to design a retrosynthetic sequence for *N*-acetylcolchinol 3, where two out of four steps are carried out electrochemically (Scheme 2). The first disconnection relies on the C–H/C–H coupling of electron-reach aromatic compound 7. The acetamide 7 can be obtained either from ketone 10 or from alcohol 11 through the Ritter reaction following the method reported by Doyle. ³⁰ Ketone 10 is included in this ret-

Scheme 2 Proposed retrosynthetic scheme for N-acetylcolchinol.

rosynthetic scheme because it enables an enantioselective variant of the synthesis. Based on the previous reports^{31,32} and the work from our laboratories,^{27–29} we envisaged that both ketone **10** and alcohol **11** can be obtained chemoselectivity by electrochemical reduction of chalcone **12**. The retrosynthetic sequence is completed by the retro aldol disconnection of **12** to the commercially available 3,4,5-trimethoxybenzaldehyde **13** and 3-hydroxyacetophone **14** (Scheme 2).

The synthesis commenced with the aldol condensation of the inexpensive, commercially available ketone **14** and aldehyde **13** using ethanolic alkoxide. The resulting chalcone **12** was isolated in 92% yield on a 10 mmol scale; it contains all the carbons of the target molecule skeleton.

Next, attention turned to the reduction of α,β -unsaturated ketone 12 to either ketone 10 or alcohol 11. Electrochemical reduction of the C–C double bond in unsaturated ketones has been reported recently by us²⁹ and others,^{31,32} though there were no examples of the complete reduction to the saturated alcohol. Mechanistic probes by Xia³¹ into the electrochemical hydrogenation of the C–C double bond in chalcones carried out in a 4:1 mixture of DMSO/MeOH using Pt plate electrodes and NH₄Cl as an electrolyte revealed that both ammonium cation and methanol can act as proton sources at the cathode whereas DMSO and MeOH²⁷ are likely to serve as sacrificial reductants at the anode. Therefore, it is reasonable to assume that the double bond is reduced first followed by the reduction of the carbonyl. Taking this into account, we aimed to delineate conditions influencing the relative rates of the two processes.

Some representative optimisation experiments are collected in Table 1. For the full set of the data, see ESI.† First, we focused on the complete reduction of chalcone 12 to alcohol 11. After some experimentation, it was found that electrolysis of chalcone 12 for 5 hours at RT in a 4:1 mixture of DMSO and MeOH containing 5 equiv. of ammonium thiocyanate under the constant current of 10 mA with two carbon plate

Table 1 Optimisation of the reduction of chalcone 12 a

Entry	Variation from the standard condition	Yield of 10 ^b (%)	Yield of 11 (%)
1	None	Traces ^c	(92)
2	nBu₄NI instead of NH₄SCN	52	42
3	<i>n</i> Bu₄NF instead of NH₄SCN	54	40
4	Et ₄ NBF ₄ instead of NH ₄ SCN	80	12
5	NH ₄ OAc instead of NH ₄ SCN	56	41
6	NH ₄ Cl instead of NH ₄ SCN	60	38
7	DMSO as solvent	60	30
8	MeOH as solvent	NR^d	NR^d
9	CH ₃ CN as solvent	95 (92)	Traces ^c
10	DMF as solvent	98	Traces ^c
11	Ni(+)/Ni(-) instead of $C(+)/C(-)$	89 (82)	Traces ^c
12	C(+)/Ni(-) instead of $C(+)/C(-)$	50	Traces ^c
13	C(+)/Fe(-) instead of $C(+)/C(-)$	68	Traces^c

 ^a Reaction conditions: Carbon plate anode, carbon plate cathode, undivided cell; substrate 0.2 mmol, electrolyte 5 equiv. in 10 mL of solvent DMSO/MeOH (4:1), constant current (10 mA), reaction time 5 hours.
 ^b Conversions by LCMS (isolated yields given in parentheses).
 ^c Detected by LCMS but not isolated.
 ^d NR = no reaction.

electrodes led selectively to the desired alcohol **11**, which was isolated in a 92% yield; only minor quantities of **10** were detected by LCMS (Table 1, entry 1).

A brief screening of commercially available ammonium salts identified ammonium thiocyanate as optimal for the complete reduction of 12 to 11. Reduction of ketone 10 to alcohol 11 was distinctly slower when other common electrolytes were employed (Table 1, entries 2-6). Solvent composition proved to be an important factor in achieving high chemoselectivity in the reaction. In pure DMSO, a 2:1 mixture of ketone 10 and alcohol 11 was formed, whereas in methanol the reduction was completely suppressed (entries 7 and 8, respectively). The reduction also failed to proceed in DCM. Interestingly, in acetonitrile and DMF, only ketone 10 was obtained, with only traces of the overreduction observed (entries 9 and 10). The same level of selectivity can be attained by employing Ni electrodes instead of carbon (entry 11). A similar effect was achieved by swapping only cathode for Ni or Fe, though at the expense of the overall conversion (entries 11 and 12). In the absence of DMSO and MeOH, only thiocyanate was left to perform the role of the sacrificial reductant,³³ which likely affected the rate of the reduction of 10 to 11.

Next, the conversion of alcohol **11** to acetamide 7 was investigated. Doyle and co-workers³⁰ reported a reductive Ritter reaction where a ketone was treated with triethylsilane in aqueous acetonitrile in the presence of concentrated sulfuric acid to give the respective *N*-acetamide. In this process, the

ketone is reduced to the alcohol first, which then undergoes Ritter substitution with acetonitrile. Following this protocol, both ketone **10**, and its mixtures with alcohol **11** (see Table 1), can be converted to acetamide 7 with yields ranging from 56 to 64%. Naturally, pure alcohol **11** does not require the use of triethylsilane. Under the otherwise identical conditions, it was converted to acetamide 7 in a 73% yield.

With acetamide 7 in hand, we embarked on optimising electrochemical conditions for the intramolecular oxidative coupling to furnish the desired colchinol 3 (Table 2). The electrochemical aryl-aryl coupling has been the subject of several investigations in the last two decades, 21,34-38 though the conditions were found to be highly dependent on the nature of the substrates. In the set of electrochemical experiments using racemic 7 to trigger the formation of the 7-membered ring of the target (±)-3, the highest yield of 68% has been achieved in a non-divided cell equipped with two carbon electrodes using 10 mol% of nBu₄NBF₄, in MeCN as the solvent, with 1 equiv. each of TFFA and TFA (Table 2, entry 1). A CV curve for 7 showed one irreversible anodic oxidation peak at 0.45 V vs. Ag/AgNO₃, whereas product 3 did not contain any redox peaks in the range -0.4 to 0.8 V (see ESI† for details). Other electrolytes showed inferior results (entries 2-5).

According to the previous reports, ^{34–39} the solvent plays a significant role in the process by stabilising reactive intermediates and tuning nucleophilicity to favour the cross-coupling as opposed to the homo-coupling of two phenols. In our screening experiments, apart from MeCN, only dichloromethane showed some cyclisation, whereas THF and alcohols, including HFIP, appeared to shut down the reaction (entry 6).

At the same time, the addition of a 1:1 mixture of TFAA/ TFA played an important role as in their absence the yield

Table 2 Optimisation of the oxidative coupling of 7^a

Entry	Variation from the standard condition	Yield ^b of 3 (%)
1	None	68
2	nBu ₄ NI instead of nBu ₄ NBF ₄	Trace
3	nBu ₄ NBr instead of nBu ₄ NBF ₄	Trace
4	nBu ₄ NHSO ₄ instead of nBu ₄ NBF ₄	NR
5	<i>n</i> Bu ₄ NF instead of <i>n</i> Bu ₄ NBF ₄	28
6	DCM as solvent ^c	32
7	Without TFAA/TFA	46
8	Without TFAA	22
9	Without TFA	59
10	H ₂ O instead of TFAA/TFA	NR
11	Glassy carbon plate anode and cathode	54

^a Reaction conditions: Carbon plate anode, carbon plate cathode, undivided cell; substrate 0.1 mmol, 20 mol% electrolyte in 7 mL of solvent, constant current (15 mA), additives 1 equiv. each, reaction time 4 hours. ^b Isolated yield. ^c In other solvents, such as MeOH, iPrOH, THF and HFIP, only product traces were detected by LCMS. HFIP = $(CF_3)_2$ CHOH.

decreased (entries 7–9). No cyclisation took place in the presence of water (entry 10). Glassy carbon electrodes exhibited a slightly lower yield compared to the standard carbon plate electrodes (entry 11).

With the optimised conditions for each step, the overall 4-step synthesis of racemic colchinol 3 is presented in Scheme 3. The target compound (\pm)-3 was obtained in the overall yield of 41% as a single diastereoisomer confirming that the stereoselectivity of the aromatic coupling is controlled by the benzylic stereogenic centre, similar to the oxidative coupling instigated by stoichiometric oxidants. ^{19,20,40} Next, the attention turned to the enantioselective variant of the synthesis.

Asymmetric variant

Green Chemistry

We envisaged that ketone 10 can be converted to imine 15 by condensation with 4-methoxyaniline followed by organocatalytic asymmetric reduction to amine 16 using the method developed in our laboratories. 41-43 Reduction of a TBS-protected imine 15b with trichlorosilane in the presence of catalyst 17b was reported by us previously; 40,44 catalyst 17a synthesised from unnatural p-valine performed equally well (Scheme 4). To avoid the extra silylation step of converting 15a to 15b, we investigated the reduction of the unprotected 15a. At room temperature, the enantioselectivity was modest, but it improved dramatically by lowering the reaction temperature to -30 °C. Amine **16a** was obtained in a 91% yield and 99.5:0.5 er. We also attempted this reaction as a one-pot reductive amination, 45,46 but combining prolonged heating required to convert ketone 10 to amine 15a with a low-temperature reduction proved challenging, therefore we settled for a two-

With the enantiopure **16a** in hand, we next turned to electrochemical oxidative removal of the 4-methoxyphenyl group (PMP) in **16a**. Mioskowski and Royer⁴⁷ reported electrochemical deprotection of the PMP employing Pt electrodes in a divided cell. However, under their conditions, a low conversion in the formation of **18** was observed (Table 3, entry 5). Additional experiments were carried out to identify the

Scheme 4 Asymmetric synthesis of chiral amines 16 and 17. (PMP = $4-MeOC_6H_4$, TBS = $tBuMe_2Si$).

Table 3 Optimisation of the oxidative deprotection of 16a

Entry	Variation from the standard condition	Yield ^{b} of 18 (%)
1	None	76 (62°)
2	TFA instead of triflic acid	Trace
3	H ₂ SO ₄ instead of triflic acid	Trace
4	Without triflic acid or H ₂ O	Trace
5	Pt(+)/Pt(-) instead of $C(+)/C(-)$	30

^a Reaction conditions: Carbon plate anode, carbon plate cathode, divided cell; substrate 0.1 mmol, 10 equiv. of electrolyte in 20 mL of solvent, constant voltage (0.85 V), additive 0.5 equiv., reaction time 20 hours. ^b Conversion by LC-MS. ^c Isolated yield of (+)-7 after the treatment of 18 with acetic anhydride in DCM.

Scheme 3 Synthesis of (\pm) -N-acetylcolchinol.

optimal conditions as follows: amine **16a** (0.1 mmol) was electrolysed under the constant potential of 0.85 V for 20 h at RT in a divided cell equipped with carbon plate electrodes, in a 9:1 mixture of MeCN and H_2O (20 mL) containing 10 equiv. of NaClO₄ and 3 equiv. of triflic acid. This led to a free amine **18**, which after a standard workup (for details, see ESI†) was acylated to furnish pure (+)-7 in a 62% yield (Table 3, entry 1).

Triflic acid proved to be an important component of the mixture, as attempts to remove it or replace it with other acids failed to give any product (entries 2–4).

It was noted that among the competing side reactions of the removal of the PMP group in **16a** was its oxidative cyclisation into **19**, which represents an attractive alternative route to the target colchinol (+)-3. Therefore, this reaction was investigated next (Table 4).

Table 4 Optimisation of the oxidative coupling of 16a a

Entry	Variation from the standard condition	Yield ^b of 19 (%)
1	None	82 (74 ^c)
2	CuSO ₄ instead of Cu ₂ O	50 `
3	CuCl instead of Cu ₂ O	56
4	CuBr instead of Cu ₂ O	60
5	Cu(MeCN) ₄ BF ₄ instead of Cu ₂ O	53
6	With triflic acid	70 (56 ^c)
7	Without H ₂ O	20
8	K ₂ SO ₄ instead of Na ₂ SO ₄	76 (63 ^c)
9	Pt(+)/Pt(-) instead of $C(+)/C(-)$	48
10	Cu(+)/Cu(-) instead of $C(+)/C(-)$	Trace

^a Reaction conditions: Carbon plate anode, carbon plate cathode, undivided cell; substrate 0.1 mmol, 20 mol% electrolyte in 7 mL of solvent, constant current (7 mA), additives 1 equiv. each, reaction time 4 hours.
^b Conversion by LC-MS. ^c Isolated yield.

The conditions employed for the cyclisation of acetamide 7 (Table 2) have undergone some modifications. First, it was found that the addition of Cu₂O played a crucial role in promoting oxidative aromatic coupling (Table 4, entry 1). Other Cu(I) and Cu(II) salts also gave the desired product but were slightly less efficient (entries 2-5). The beneficial effect of Cu is not surprising as for a long time Cu salts have been used, both stoichiometrically and catalytically, to effect biaryl crosscoupling.48,49 Switching to an aqueous solvent was another important change to the original protocol as a poor conversion was observed in anhydrous acetonitrile (entry 7). At the same time, the addition of acid was found no longer necessary (entry 6). In the aqueous reaction medium, simple inorganic salt can be used as supporting electrolytes (entries 1 and 8). The reaction is best carried out in an undivided cell equipped with carbon plate electrodes. Using other electrode materials gave inferior results (entries 9 and 10). It is worth noting that when the optimal conditions for the oxidative coupling of 16a were applied to acetamide 7, low conversion was observed (see ESI, Table S2†), suggesting that the substituents on the nitrogen play a significant role.

For the overall enantioselective synthesis of (+)-N-acetylcolchinol 3 we opted for the route involving cyclisation of (+)-16a into (+)-19. The synthetic sequence that commences with the unsaturated ketone 12 is presented in Scheme 5. Chemoselective electrochemical hydrogenation of 12 (Table 1, entries 9 and 10) furnished ketone 10 in 92% yield, which was converted to imine 15a (82%) by heating in toluene with 4-methoxyaniline in the presence of molecular sieves 5Å. Catalytic asymmetric reduction of 15a with trichlorosilane in the presence of 17a gave rise to a highly enantioenriched amine (+)-16a (91%, er 99.5:0.5), which was subjected to electrochemical cyclisation (Table 4, entry 1) to afford tricyclic (+)-19 (76%). Finally, electrochemical deprotection of the N-PMP group (a slightly lower voltage and a shorter time were used compared to those shown in Table 3) followed by acylation furnished the target (+)-N-acetylcolchinol 3 in a 70% yield over the two steps. The complete synthetic route from the com-

Scheme 5 Synthesis of (+)-*N*-acetylcolchinol **3**.

mercial starting reagents 13 and 14 was accomplished in 7 steps with a 33% overall yield.

Conclusions

In summary, we have developed two variants of stereoselective synthesis of N-acetylcolchinol where the reagent-based redox steps were replaced with mild electrochemical protocols. In a 4-step racemic variant, we first developed an efficient electrochemical procedure for the reduction of α,β -unsaturated ketone chemoselectively either to saturated alcohol or ketone. Then, the electrochemical conditions were optimised to achieve phenolic oxidative cyclisation of N-acetamide into N-acetylcolchinol. In the entire sequence, all the reactions were completed at room temperature with an overall yield of 41%.

In a 7-step enantioselective version, the saturated ketone was converted to the imine by the reaction with 4-methoxyaniline. The imine was reduced to the respective enantioenriched amine by organocatalytic reduction with trichlorosilane with er 99.5:0.5. The next two redox steps, oxidative phenolic coupling and removal of the *N*-PMP group, were carried out electrochemically followed by acylation of the free amine to furnish the dextrorotatory enantiomer of *N*-acetylcolchinol in a 33% overall yield. Overall, this work showcases the enabling power of electrochemical redox methods in application to the stereoselective synthesis of complex molecules.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

YD and AVM thank H2020 BBI JU for the PHENOLEXA Grant 101023225. RAE thanks the Russian Science Foundation for Grant 18-73-10156. YD and AL acknowledge additional support from Loughborough University.

References

- 1 P. G. Shekelle, S. J. Newberry, J. D. FitzGerald, A. Motala, C. E. O'Hanlon, A. Tariq, A. Okunogbe, D. Han and R. Shanman, *Ann. Intern. Med.*, 2017, **166**, 37–51.
- 2 G. Taraboletti, G. Micheletti, R. Dossi, P. Borsotti, M. Martinelli, F. Fiordaliso, A. J. Ryan and R. Giavazzi, *Clin. Cancer Res.*, 2005, 11, 2720–2726.
- 3 A. Z. Reyes, K. A. Hu, J. Teperman, T. L. Wampler Muskardin, J.-C. Tardif, B. Shah and M. H. Pillinger, *Ann. Rheum. Dis.*, 2021, **80**, 550–557.
- 4 A. Brossi, J. Med. Chem., 1990, 33, 2311-2319.
- 5 P. M. LoRusso, S. M. Gadgeel, A. Wozniak, A. J. Barge, H. K. Jones, Z. S. DelProposto, P. A. DeLuca, J. L. Evelhoch,

- S. A. Boerner and C. Wheeler, *Invest. New Drugs*, 2008, **26**, 159–167.
- 6 H. Rapoport, A. R. Williams and M. E. Cisney, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1950, 72, 3324–3325.
- 7 H. Rapoport, A. R. Williams and M. E. Cisney, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1951, 73, 1414–1421.
- 8 J. Cook, J. Jack, J. Loudon, G. Buchanan and J. MacMillan, *J. Chem. Soc.*, 1951, 1397–1403.
- 9 A. V. Vorogushin, A. V. Predeus, W. D. Wulff and H.-J. Hansen, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2003, **68**, 5826–5831.
- 10 T. Graening and H. G. Schmalz, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2004, 43, 3230–3256.
- 11 M. G. Banwell, J. N. Lambert, M. F. Mackay and R. J. Greenwood, *J. Chem. Soc.*, *Chem. Commun.*, 1992, 974–975
- 12 M. G. Banwell, M.-A. Fam, R. W. Gable and E. Hamel, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1994, 2647–2649.
- 13 S. Djurdjevic and J. R. Green, Org. Lett., 2007, 9, 5505-5508.
- 14 S. D. Broady, M. D. Golden, J. Leonard, J. C. Muir and M. Maudet, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2007, 48, 4627–4630.
- 15 G. Besong, D. Billen, I. Dager, P. Kocienski, E. Sliwinski, L. R. Tai and F. T. Boyle, *Tetrahedron*, 2008, **64**, 4700–4710.
- 16 J. S. Sawyer and T. L. Macdonald, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1988, 29, 4839–4842.
- 17 T. R. Wu and J. M. Chong, Org. Lett., 2006, 8, 15-18.
- 18 M. Leblanc and K. Fagnou, *Org. Lett.*, 2005, 7, 2849–2852.
- 19 G. Besong, K. Jarowicki, P. J. Kocienski, E. Sliwinski and F. T. Boyle, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2006, 4, 2193–2207.
- 20 X. Liang, L. Li, K. Wei and Y.-R. Yang, *Org. Lett.*, 2021, 23, 2731–2735.
- 21 D. Pollok, F. U. Rausch, S. B. Beil, P. Franzmann and S. R. Waldvogel, *Org. Lett.*, 2022, **24**, 3760–3765.
- 22 B. A. Frontana-Uribe, R. D. Little, J. G. Ibanez, A. Palma and R. Vasquez-Medrano, *Green Chem.*, 2010, **12**, 2099–2119.
- 23 M. Yan, Y. Kawamata and P. S. Baran, *Chem. Rev.*, 2017, 117, 13230–13319.
- 24 A. Wiebe, T. Gieshoff, S. Möhle, E. Rodrigo, M. Zirbes and S. R. Waldvogel, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2018, 57, 5594– 5619.
- 25 S. Möhle, M. Zirbes, E. Rodrigo, T. Gieshoff, A. Wiebe and S. R. Waldvogel, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2018, 57, 6018– 6041.
- 26 M. C. Leech, A. D. Garcia, A. Petti, A. P. Dobbs and K. Lam, React. Chem. Eng., 2020, 5, 977–990.
- 27 A. Alkayal, V. Tabas, S. Montanaro, I. A. Wright, A. V. Malkov and B. R. Buckley, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2020, 142, 1780–1785.
- 28 A. M. Sheta, M. A. Mashaly, S. B. Said, S. S. Elmorsy, A. V. Malkov and B. R. Buckley, *Chem. Sci.*, 2020, 11, 9109– 9114.
- 29 A. M. Sheta, A. Alkayal, M. A. Mashaly, S. B. Said, S. S. Elmorsy, A. V. Malkov and B. R. Buckley, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2021, **60**, 21832–21837.

- 30 M. P. Doyle, D. J. DeBruyn, S. J. Donnelly, D. A. Kooistra, A. A. Odubela, C. T. West and S. M. Zonnebelt, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1974, 39, 2740–2747.
- 31 B. Huang, Y. Li, C. Yang and W. Xia, *Chem. Commun.*, 2019, 55, 6731–6734.
- 32 Y. Qin, J. Lu, Z. Zou, H. Hong, Y. Li, Y. Li, L. Chen, J. Hu and Y. Huang, *Org. Chem. Front.*, 2020, 7, 1817–1822.
- 33 V. A. Kokorekin, V. L. Sigacheva and V. A. Petrosyan, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2014, 55, 4306–4309.
- 34 J. L. Röckl, D. Pollok, R. Franke and S. R. Waldvogel, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2020, 53, 45–61.
- 35 S. R. Waldvogel, S. Lips, M. Selt, B. Riehl and C. J. Kampf, *Chem. Rev.*, 2018, **118**, 6706–6765.
- 36 K. Okamoto and K. Chiba, Org. Lett., 2020, 22, 3613-3617.
- 37 A. Lipp, M. Selt, D. Ferenc, D. Schollmeyer, S. R. Waldvogel and T. Opatz, *Org. Lett.*, 2019, **21**, 1828–1831.
- 38 A. Lipp, D. Ferenc, C. Gütz, M. Geffe, N. Vierengel, D. Schollmeyer, H. J. Schäfer, S. R. Waldvogel and T. Opatz, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2018, 57, 11055–11059.
- 39 A. Kirste, M. Nieger, I. M. Malkowsky, F. Stecker, A. Fischer and S. R. Waldvogel, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2009, 15, 2273–2277.
- 40 A. V. Malkov and P. Kočovský, in *Lewis Base Catalysis in Organic Synthesis*, ed. E. Vedejs and S. E. Denmark, Wiley-

- VCH Verlag GmbH & Co, Weinheim, Germany, 2016, vol. 3, ch. 20, pp. 1011–1038.
- 41 A. V. Malkov, A. Mariani, K. N. MacDougall and P. Kočovský, *Org. Lett.*, 2004, **6**, 2253–2256.
- 42 A. V. Malkov, S. Stončius, K. N. MacDougall, A. Mariani, G. D. McGeoch and P. Kočovský, *Tetrahedron*, 2006, 62, 264–284.
- 43 A. V. Malkov, K. Vrankova, R. C. Sigerson, S. Stončius and P. Kočovský, *Tetrahedron*, 2009, 65, 9481– 9486.
- 44 A. V. Malkov, K. Vrankova, S. Stoncius and P. Kocovsky, J. Org. Chem., 2009, 74, 5839–5849.
- 45 A. V. Malkov, S. Stončius and P. Kočovský, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2007, **46**, 3722–3724.
- 46 K. K. Popov, J. L. P. Campbell, O. Kysilka, J. Hošek, C. D. Davies, M. Pour and P. Kočovský, J. Org. Chem., 2022, 87, 920–943.
- 47 S. De Lamo Marin, T. Martens, C. Mioskowski and J. Royer, J. Org. Chem., 2005, 70, 10592–10595.
- 48 P. Kočovský, Š. Vyskočil and M. Smrčina, *Chem. Rev.*, 2003, 103, 3213–3246.
- 49 Y. E. Lee, T. Cao, C. Torruellas and M. C. Kozlowski, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2014, **136**, 6782–6785.