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Novel economically-sustainable and environmentally-friendly technologies for per- and poly-fluoroalkyl substance (PFAS) destruction are becoming increasingly important as PFAS contamination has increased in drinking water throughout the globe. UV-Fenton chemistry catalyzed by nanosize magnetite (Fe₃O₄) particles in aqueous environments is a promising method for production of reactive oxygen species (ROS), capable of disrupting PFAS carbon-fluorine bonds. Here we demonstrate greater than 90% degradation efficiency for a wide variety of PFAS compounds by ROS generated in a UV-Fenton reaction, involving naturally-occurring Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles. In order to demonstrate that ROS are indeed formed under our experimental conditions, we utilized a fluorescent probe to confirm the presence of ROS in the reaction solution. PFAS destruction efficiency is increased at elevated pH levels; however, by varying both the Fe₃O₄ and hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂) concentrations in solution, high efficiency can be achieved at neutral pH conditions, like those found in drinking water. PFAS destruction occurred with UV exposure times on the order of minutes. Nano Fe₃O₄ retained its oxidation state and catalytic efficiency after multiple cycles of PFAS destruction, indicating that the material is reusable. High resolution mass spectrometry was utilized to demonstrate destruction efficiency and to identify the degradation products. ROS generation utilizing naturally-occurring Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles has been shown to be an efficient method for PFAS destruction with potential scalability for drinking water decontamination.

1. Introduction

Per- and poly-fluoroalkyl substances (PFAS) are a family of synthetic chemicals that are known to cause a variety of health-related issues, including endocrine disruption, high cholesterol levels, birth defects, and cancers.¹,² PFAS originate from a wide range of sources, including aqueous film-forming foams, non-stick cookware, and stain-resistant carpets.³ As such, PFAS have been recently identified as major emerging and persistent contaminants in drinking water and throughout environmental ecosystems.⁴,⁵ Once released to the environment, PFAS are extremely hard to degrade due to the high bond dissociation energy of the carbon–fluorine (C–F) bond (536 kJ mol⁻¹), which increases with increasing fluorination on molecules;⁶,⁷ as a result, PFAS are also referred to as ‘forever chemicals’. Currently, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) advises that the combined concentration of two common PFAS compounds, perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA) and perfluorooctane sulfonic acid (PFOS), to be less than 70 ng L⁻¹ (parts per trillion [ppt]) in drinking water.⁸ However, at least 20 million people in the U.S. are regularly exposed, particularly through their tap water, to levels beyond the recommended limits, and for this
reason, efficient, cost-effective, and potentially environmentally benign methods for PFAS removal and degradation must be developed.9

Thus far, the dominant PFAS remediation strategy is PFAS capture.10–14 The major drawback of these technologies is the generation of PFAS contaminated media, which requires further treatment for decontamination or long term storage. These ancillary waste streams could further be released into the environment and cause secondary PFAS contamination. An ideal PFAS decontamination strategy is to develop and utilize techniques that completely destroy the PFAS compounds by breaking C-F bonds, completely ridding the current and any downstream systems of species. While some destruction technologies have been developed recently, these methods are often costly, require high energy input, are difficult to install, non-eco-friendly (e.g. generating other toxic byproducts or utilizing hazardous materials), inefficient (require a many step process or take a long time), and difficult to scale up for waste water treatment.15–21 In this study, we present results for a novel highly efficient, environmentally friendly method for the degradation of PFAS species.

This work leverages UV-Fenton chemistry to induce oxidative defluorination in PFAS. Conventional Fenton chemistry involves a redox reaction, either oxidation of ferrous iron (Fe2+) or reduction of ferric Fe3+ (often referred to as a Fenton-like reaction) by hydrogen peroxide (H2O2) in the presence of UV radiation in order to produce reactive oxygen species (ROS), such as hydroxyl (HO') and peroxyl (HOO') free radicals (eqn (1)-(3)).22

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Fe}^{2+} + \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 & \rightarrow \text{Fe}^{3+} + \text{HO}^\cdot + \text{OH}^- \\
\text{Fe}^{3+} + \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 & \rightarrow \text{Fe}^{2+} + \text{HO}^\cdot + \text{H}^+ \\
2\text{H}_2\text{O}_2 & \rightarrow \text{HO}^\cdot + \text{HOO}^\cdot + \text{H}_2\text{O}
\end{align*}
\]

ROS generated in these processes are highly reactive and capable of destroying organic compounds by breaking of chemical bonds, including C-F bonds.23–25 These radical species undergo rapid transformation with water as the main byproduct without generating additional toxic species. Recent studies have shown UV-Fenton with aqueous Fe species, such as Fe sulfate, to be only moderately effective in destroying PFAS.26,27 In order to achieve efficient PFAS destruction via these methods, a combination of long UV exposure times (hours and up to days), additional ions (e.g. sulfate, iodide, and/or nitriles),21 high reaction temperature (>1000 °C in some cases)6,17,19 are required to accelerate the reaction, among other variables.

To address these challenges, here we propose nanosize magnetite (Fe3O4) as the catalyst for the UV-Fenton reaction, substituting for typically used aqueous Fe species. Magnetite nanoparticles provide a high surface area structure to maximize interaction of PFAS with the material while supporting efficient generation of ROS. Recently, Fe3O4 and other Fe bearing minerals have been identified as capable of inducing heterogeneous Fenton processes in a variety of systems.28–30 Compared to other Fe bearing minerals, Fe3O4 is a naturally occurring ferrimagnetic mineral. Fe3O4 contains iron in its two common oxidation states, ferrous Fe(II) and ferric Fe(III), indicating that the material may be reusable in the long term, as it can undergo continuous redox reactions. Finally, Fe3O4 is an environmentally benign and cost-effective material that can be removed or filtered from water readily, following the remediation process. Our results indicate that utilization of Fe3O4 nanoparticles as the Fenton catalyst is a promising solution for PFAS destruction, achieving high destruction efficiency under typical drinking water conditions.

2. Materials and methods

2.1 Materials

PFOA (100 μg mL⁻¹ in MeOH) and PFOS (100 μg mL⁻¹ in MeOH) were purchased from AccuStandard (New Haven, CT, USA) and diluted to 1 mg L⁻¹ (1 ppm) stock solutions in deionized (DI) water. PFAS challenge water containing 18 PFAS species was prepared by spiking DI water with the 18 PFAS compounds as listed in the EPA 537.1 method. Specifically, the 18 PFAS challenge contains 1500 ng L⁻¹ of each of the following species: perfluorohexanoic acid (PFHxA), perfluorohexanesulfonic acid (PFHxS), perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA), perfluorooctanesulfonic acid (PFOS), perfluorodecanoic acid (PFDoDA), perfluorotetradecanoic acid (PFTrDA), perfluorooctadecanoic acid (PFODA), perfluorobutanesulfonic acid (PFBS), perfluorooctanesulfonic acid (PFHxS), perfluorooctanesulfonic acid (PFOS), N-ethylperfluorooctanesulfonamidooctanoic acid (NEtFOSAA), N-methylperfluorooctanesulfonamido acetic acid (NMeFOSAA), perfluorodecanoic acid (PFDoDA), perfluorooctadecanoic acid (PFODA), 9-chlorohexadecafluoro-3-oxane-1-sulfonic acid (9CI-PF3ONS), 11-chloroicosadecafluoro-3-oxaundecane-1-sulfonic acid (11CI-PF3OUDs), 4,8-dioxo-3H-perfluorooctanoic acid (DONA), and perfluoroundecanoic acid (PFUnDA).

Hydrogen peroxide (H2O2, 50 wt% in H2O, stabilized) was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Burlington, MA). Iron oxide (magnetite) Fe3O4 nanopowder/nanoparticles (>98%, 20–30 nm nominal particle size) was purchased from US Research Nanomaterials Inc. (Houston, TX, USA). A stock solution of 3000 ppm Fe3O4 was prepared by suspending the nanoparticles in DI water in a volumetric flask.

2.2 Fenton reaction experimental set-up

Batch reactions of UV-Fenton were conducted in 250 mL Pyrex beakers. Prior to each reaction, all beakers were washed with HNO3 to reduce any trace level contamination. Solutions of PFAS, Fe3O4, and H2O2 were added to DI water at varied volumes to achieve desired final concentrations. Each solution was mixed and pH was monitored with a Mettler Toledo Five Easy F20 pH mV⁻¹ meter. Solution pH was adjusted to the desired pH utilizing solutions of 1 M HNO3.
and 1 M NaOH. Samples were then placed into a Spectrolinker XL-1500 UV Crosslinker oven containing six 15 watts UV-C bulbs (wavelength 254 nm). The oven was turned on to optimal crosslink settings of 120 μJ cm⁻², and solutions were exposed to UV-C radiation between 5 min to 1 h (most commonly 30 min). Following removal from the UV oven, solutions were readily reacting and left alone for up to 24 h. Solutions were then transferred to 250 mL, ultra-clean plastic bottles stored in a fridge at 20 °C until analysis.

2.3 PFAS testing
Quantitative analysis of PFAS concentration was conducted by solid phase extraction and LC/MS/MS following EPA method 537.1 (2018). Briefly, C13-labeled analogs of the target compounds were added to each sample. Samples were passed through a solid phase extraction cartridge with a weak anion exchange sorbent. The final solvent solution was then injected into the LC/MS/MS system with electrospray ionization in negative ion mode for analysis. The PFAS percent destruction was determined by comparing the final concentration of PFAS detected in solution to the initial PFAS concentration of the prepared sample.

2.4 ROS detection with fluorescence spectroscopy
Samples with lower concentrations of magnetite (0, 50, 250, and 500 ppb) and at 1 μM H₂O₂ concentration were prepared as previously described. After reaction in the UV-C oven, 25 μL of 20 mM 2′-7′-dichlorofluorescein diacetate (DCFH-DA), a widely-used probe for detecting ROS, was added. The solution was then analyzed for fluorescence intensity using a Horiba Scientific Fluoromax spectrometer. Each sample was placed into a 10 mL crystal cuvette and analyzed at an excitation wavelength (λₑx) of 495 nm (slit 5 nm) and emission wavelengths (λₑm) from 500–600 nm (slit 2 nm).

2.5 High resolution mass spectrometry (HRMS) analysis
Qualitative analysis of PFAS degradation products under varying reaction conditions was performed using a HRMS Orbitrap Exploris 240 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, San Jose, CA). Samples for analysis were prepared by filtration through 0.2 μm PTFE syringe filters followed by dilution in methanol in a 1:10 ratio of sample to methanol. Elemental composition assignments from accurate mass measurements were performed using the Web-based software ChemCalc. Additional details, including list with tentatively assigned elemental compositions of degradation products, can be found in the online ESI† respectively.

2.6 X-ray absorption near-edge structures (XANES)
Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles were analyzed for Fe speciation on the Beamline for Materials Measurement (6-BM) at the National Synchrotron Light Source II at Brookhaven National Laboratory with details included in the ESI† S2. All results were analyzed using Athena software. Spectra were normalized by fitting a first-order polynomial to the pre-edge region and by fitting a second order polynomial to normalize the post-edge region to 1.0.

3. Results and discussion
3.1 Nano-magnetite catalyzed UV-Fenton chemistry efficiency and optimization
Batch reactions of UV-Fenton reagents in the presence of PFAS species were conducted in order to determine the efficiency of the reaction and optimal conditions for destructing PFAS species. PFOA and PFOS were initially tested, as these are two of the most commonly encountered PFAS in contaminated waters. PFAS in contaminated waters. PFAS are also recommended for monitoring by the US EPA. Challenge water samples were prepared with 1500 ppt of PFOA and 1500 ppt of PFOS in DI water.

Generally, four major parameters influence the effectiveness of the Fenton reaction: UV-C exposure time, H₂O₂ concentration, Fe₃O₄ nanoparticle concentration, and pH values. The first three variables were initially tested to determine optimal reaction conditions (Table ST1). These initial reactions were all slightly acidic with a pH of 6 at the start of the reaction. To fully evaluate these parameters, a factorial design experiment was implemented with the data analyzed using JMP® 15.1.0 software (Fig. 1). Among the three variables, H₂O₂ concentration was shown to have the greatest impact on PFOS and PFOA reduction with the peak reduction appearing near 3.5 M for reaction time groups (5, 32.5 and 60 min). In comparison, the effects of UV-C exposure time and Fe₃O₄ concentration both showed weaker impacts on the PFOS and PFOA reduction rates. UV-C exposure time seems to reach peak efficiency in reduction for both PFOS and PFOA at and after 30 min, indicating that 30 min is a sufficient to catalyze the Fenton reaction. For both PFOS and PFOA, there is an increase in destruction efficiency at the highest concentration of Fe₃O₄ used, however, the overall change in efficiency is small. For further investigation, 1000 ppm of Fe₃O₄ was selected as it provided ample PFAS destruction when coupled with higher concentrations of H₂O₂.

Based on the above analysis, a controlled experiment was carried out under a UV-C exposure time of 30 min in order to address the effect of pH value on the PFAS destruction. All tests were carried out at pH 5, 7 and 9. Challenge water solutions were again prepared with 1500 ppt each of PFOA and PFOS in DI water. At each pH value, the solutions were tested at 0.1, 2.55, and 5 M H₂O₂ and at 100 and 1000 ppm Fe₃O₄ (Fig. 2). These tests further confirmed the highly efficient PFAS destruction at higher concentrations of H₂O₂ and showed that pH had a significant impact on overall PFAS destruction efficiency. Reactions at low pH (5) showed consistently low PFAS destruction efficiency (10–30%) at all concentrations of H₂O₂ and Fe₃O₄ tested with the effects on PFOS being less pronounced than that of PFOA. The low overall PFAS reduction efficiency at low pH may be a
combination of increased radical scavenging by H\(^+\) and dissolution of Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) to aqueous Fe species, which are less efficient for the UV-Fenton process.\(^{39}\) Similarly, the tests conducted with only 0.1 M H\(_2\)O\(_2\) did not show high efficiency for either PFOA or PFOS destruction. For PFOA, destruction efficiency at 0.1 M H\(_2\)O\(_2\) was less than 20% at all three pH conditions and at all concentrations of Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\), whereas PFOS destruction went up to as high as 70% at higher pH values. At mid-range concentration of H\(_2\)O\(_2\) (2.55 M), destruction efficiency for both PFOA and PFOS was impacted by both pH and Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) concentration. Experiments at basic pH showed consistently higher PFAS destruction efficiency at a H\(_2\)O\(_2\) concentration of 2.55 M. The influence on PFAS destruction at elevated pH values may be explained by the fact that \(\dot{\text{O}}\)H redox potential is reduced at high pH, which may also impact the extent of reaction with organic species in solution.\(^{40,41}\) Additionally, higher pH may also assist in the reaction, as Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) is more stable at higher pH.\(^{32,43}\) At lower pH, Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) may undergo some extent of dissolution to aqueous Fe ions, which have been shown to be only partially efficient for UV-Fenton processes for PFAS destruction.\(^{26,27}\) Changes in pH can potentially facilitate adsorption of PFAS species to the magnetite, which would appear as reduction of PFAS concentration in subsequent analyses and can thus be erroneously interpreted as PFAS destruction. To clarify effects of pH change, we performed experiments at 50\(\times\)-reduced H\(_2\)O\(_2\) concentrations of 0.1 M. We also changed 10-fold the magnetite concentration keeping all other conditions the same. At reduced peroxide concentration and for 100 ppm magnetite in solution, the difference in PFAS destruction percentage at three different pH is within the experimental error (Fig. 2). For example, the percent removal (destruction) of PFOA in these samples is nearly equal (within 5% of one another). This result indicates that under our experimental conditions pH changes are not significantly influencing adsorption of PFOA to the magnetite surface.

Despite high efficiency achieved under most conditions at basic pH, comparable PFAS destruction can be achieved at neutral pH by increased concentrations of Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) and H\(_2\)O\(_2\) (Fig. 2). At high concentration of Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) (1000 ppm), the destruction efficiency at neutral pH was increased at 2.55 M H\(_2\)O\(_2\) with the PFOA destruction efficiency being 75.7% (±4.8%) and PFOS destruction efficiency being 96.3% (±2.0%). This showed that Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) nanoparticles are critical to the efficiency of the UV-Fenton process, and that water treatment processes for PFAS could be conducted at neutral pH (i.e., drinking water pH values) by adjusting the concentration of Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) and H\(_2\)O\(_2\). At high H\(_2\)O\(_2\) concentrations (5 M), PFOA and PFOS destruction efficiency was found to be greater than 90% with the efficiency not affected by either pH or Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) concentration (Fig. 2). By utilizing high concentrations of H\(_2\)O\(_2\), it is possible to achieve maximum PFAS destruction efficiency at neutral pH and low concentration of Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\). Ultimately, a balance of UV-Fenton reagents and pH conditions could be established for waste water treatment that would maximize PFAS destruction efficiency while lowering the amount of Fenton reagents needed.

In order to demonstrate that ROS are generated, a fluorescent probe, DCFH-DA, was utilized to confirm the presence of ROS in the reaction solution. Samples with varied concentrations of Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) nanoparticles and a single H\(_2\)O\(_2\) concentration were reacted in the UV-C oven. In order to prevent saturation of the fluorescence detector, selected concentrations were much lower than those used for PFAS destruction. With increase in magnetite concentration, there
was a clear increase in the ROS-probe fluorescence signal (Fig. SF3). The control sample, without any added Fe$_3$O$_4$, shows negligible fluorescence. This result confirms both the presence of ROS after UV-Fenton reaction, and shows that Fe$_3$O$_4$ nanoparticles play a critical role in catalyzing the UV-Fenton reaction. This observation, combined with the fact that very little PFAS destruction is observed at low concentrations of Fe$_3$O$_4$ and H$_2$O$_2$ (Fig. 2), demonstrates that ROS likely play a role in PFAS destruction. Our observations are further corroborated by previous studies that have shown a link between ROS production and depletion of PFAS species through a variety of advanced oxidation processes. For example, electron paramagnetic resonance spectroscopy has demonstrated the rapid and efficient oxidation of PFAS by hydroxyl radicals in the presence of an iron-decorated clay-cyclodextrin polymer composites.

### 3.2 High resolution mass spectrometry (HRMS) analysis

HRMS has been demonstrated as one of the most useful techniques for untargeted analysis of PFAS and their degradation products. For example, HRMS has been recently applied for unambiguous identification of novel PFAS compounds and their grouping into classes based on CF$_2$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measured mass [Da]</th>
<th>Composition</th>
<th>Calculated mass [Da]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>412.96585</td>
<td>C$<em>2$F$</em>{12}$O$_2$</td>
<td>412.96582</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>368.97605</td>
<td>C$<em>2$F$</em>{14}$</td>
<td>368.97600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>362.95926</td>
<td>C$<em>2$F$</em>{14}$O$_2$</td>
<td>362.96907</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>296.93994</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>266.93172</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>260.89190</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>240.95259</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>234.98052</td>
<td>C$<em>4$F$</em>{14}$O</td>
<td>234.98054</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>189.09113</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>176.97526</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>162.9596</td>
<td>C$<em>2$F$</em>{14}$O$_2$</td>
<td>162.98184</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Kendrick mass defect analysis. HRMS has been also used for elucidating the degradation products of PFAS in water after controlled γ-irradiation. To confirm PFAS degradation in our experiments, high resolution negative ion mass spectra of a control PFOA sample and PFOA samples after reactions under varying conditions are plotted in SF1 and SF2 with observed masses and tentative elemental composition assignments in Tables 1 and 2. For the control, the most intense ion peaks correspond to intact PFOA anion \([C_8F_{17}O_3^-]\) at m/z 412.9664 (molecular ion) and its major fragment \([C_7F_{15}^-]\) at m/z 368.9766. The absolute intensity of the intact PFOA peak in spectra from reacted samples is decreasing considerably – a qualitative indication for efficient PFOA degradation. At 5 M H2O2 concentration and pH 9, the initial PFOA has been completely degraded and no molecular ion is observed (Fig. SF1.C). A number of additional peaks are observed in spectra from reacted PFOA and are interpreted as PFOA degradation products, when compared to unreacted controls. Some of these products (ions at m/z 163, 235, 363) have been reported in prior studies of ROS-induced degradation of polyfluorinated species by mass spectrometry, helping to elucidate the degradation pathways of PFAS under diverse reaction conditions. Such conditions include photochemical and microwave discharge-induced degradation of PFOA in water.

### 3.3 UV-Fenton chemistry for destruction of 18 PFAS species

With the promising results seen for PFOS and PFOA, we further expanded our work to treating 18 PFAS compounds, as defined in EPA 537.1. Challenge water containing 18 PFAS compounds with a starting concentration of 750 ppt for each contaminant, and the solutions were prepared with the following test conditions: 2.55 M H2O2 at pH 7 and 9, and 5 M H2O2 at pH 7 and 9. Each reaction condition contained 1000 ppm Fe3O4 and was left in the UV-C oven to react for 30 min. Detection of the 18 PFAS species was done with LC/MS/MS and PFAS remaining in solution was quantified (Fig. 3).

At a pH of 9 (Fig. 3B) greater than 90% PFAS destruction can be achieved for 14 out of the 18 PFAS compounds. At pH 7, greater than 90% destruction was observed for 9 out of the 18 compounds. This is consistent with prior results indicating that higher pH conditions were more suitable for achieving greater destruction efficiency. At both pH 7 and 9, there was a decrease in destruction efficiency at 5 M H2O2, when compared with 2.55 M H2O2 for some PFAS tested. This drop was especially pronounced in the pH 7 samples. Previous studies observed a similar phenomenon in testing the utilization efficiency of H2O2 with Fe3O4 and found that at higher concentrations of H2O2, there was an observed decrease in this efficiency most likely due to scavenging of ROS by excessive H2O2 present in the solution. This potential scavenging may not have impacted destruction efficiency in tests with just PFOA and PFOS, where the total PFAS concentration was 3000 ppt, and ROS were expected to be in significant excess for PFAS oxidation. The experiments conducted with the 18 PFAS challenge water were done with higher total concentration of PFAS (13 500 ppt total), and as such, ROS scavenging could have had a greater impact on overall reaction efficiency when less in excess of total PFAS. This would be consistent with concentrations of ROS reported from Fenton reactions in previous literature, which were measured in the range of tens to hundreds of parts per billion (ppb) after 30 min.

This hypothesis was tested by determining PFOA destruction efficiency in a range of highly concentrated samples. Samples were prepared with PFOA at five different starting concentrations with increasing magnitude: 1.5, 50, 150, 500, and 1000 ppb. UV-Fenton was conducted with 1000 ppm Fe3O4 and 5 M H2O2 and 30 min of UV-C exposure for all samples. Solutions were run at pH 7 and repeated at pH 9 for comparison. The detected percent destruction of PFOA is shown in Fig. 4. At both pH 7 and pH 9, destruction efficiency remained consistent for all PFOA starting concentrations except the highest, 1000 ppb. At this high concentration, destruction efficiency was reduced by an average of 16% (±4.8%) at pH 7 and an average of 36% (±4.8%) at pH 9. This reduction in efficiency was at a concentration two orders of magnitude higher than those tested with the 18 PFAS challenge water experiments, and this indicated that UV-Fenton exhibited high efficiency in any of the PFAS concentrations that were expected to be in contaminated samples in waste water streams. The drop in efficiency with the 5 M H2O2 samples, particularly at pH 7, therefore, may be due to a combination of effects; the first was increased OH scavenging at the lower pH condition, which had been shown to play a dominant role in the UV-Fenton process, particularly when catalyzed by Fe3O4. Additionally, if increased radical scavenging occurred at excess H2O2, this would be expected to be more pronounced at the lower pH, as the reaction rate of PFAS destruction may be slower, as has been indicated by similar studies that observed this change in reaction rate of organic contaminant oxidation as a function of pH. The exact mechanistic reason for the observed change in destruction efficiency at high H2O2 concentration remains an interesting area for further study, requiring additional experimentation to elucidate the exact mechanism associated with Fe3O4 catalyzed UV-Fenton for PFAS destruction.

Another variable that appeared to play a significant role in destruction efficiency was the molecular structure of the...

**Table 2** Accurate mass analysis of PFOS reaction products: lists of measured and calculated neutral masses (in mass subtracted), based on tentative elemental composition assignment (data in bold are for species reported in the literature)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measured mass [Da]</th>
<th>Composition</th>
<th>Calculated mass [Da]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>498.92961</td>
<td>(C_6F_{17}O_3S)</td>
<td>498.92965</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>448.93296</td>
<td>(C_6F_{17}O_3S)</td>
<td>448.93286</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>398.93609</td>
<td>(C_6F_{17}O_3S)</td>
<td>398.93604</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>189.09119</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>176.97532</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
individual PFAS. In all reaction conditions for the 18 PFAS challenge water experiments, PFAS destruction was the least efficient for shorter chain PFAS compounds. In Fig. 3, compounds are listed in order of increasing number of carbons in the molecule backbone. For example, the three PFAS with consistently low removal efficiency, even at pH 9, were the three shortest chain compounds: PFBS (4 carbons), HFPODA (6 carbons), and PFHA (6 carbons). This pattern was observed previously when studying the simple defluorination pattern of PFAS, and it was determined that defluorination efficiency decreased with shorter chain molecules due their reduced hydrophobic sorption capacity to a catalytic reaction site.\textsuperscript{56–58} This further elucidates some of the mechanisms at play in \( \text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4 \) mediated Fenton chemistry, as previous studies found that 'OH and superoxide radicals, such as \( \text{O}_2^- \), were the species directly involved in the oxidation process.\textsuperscript{28} It is
Fe₃O₄ is a multivalent mineral species, containing iron in both Fe(II) and Fe(III) oxidation states in its structural composition. While both Fe(II) and Fe(III) can participate in Fenton and Fenton-like reactions, respectively, numerous studies have shown that Fe(II) is kinetically favorable in catalyzing the production of ROS.²²,⁶³–⁶⁵ Over time, it is possible that magnetite nanoparticles would become more oxidized, and the Fenton catalysis process (2) may rely more on Fe(III), reducing the efficiency of ROS production and therefore destruction of PFAS species. Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles were thus evaluated for their reusability after multiple cycles of use. Samples were prepared as described for previous UV-Fenton batch reactions. However, after 24 h following each reaction period, new PFOA and PFOS containing solution was added to the remaining Fe₃O₄ and H₂O₂ in solution and UV-Fenton reaction was rerun with another 30 min of UV-C exposure. Fe₃O₄ was collected for Fe K-edge XANES analysis, and the remaining solution was collected for PFOA and PFOS detection analysis.

Fe XANES analysis (Fig. SF5) was utilized to determine the speciation of the Fe₂O₄ and if the Fe₃O₄ mineral composition was altered over the course of multiple cycles of UV-Fenton. Four UV-Fenton reaction conditions were tested (the same reaction conditions tested against the 18 PFAS challenge water): 2.55 M H₂O₂ at pH 7 and 9, and 5 M H₂O₂ at pH 7 and 9. For each solution condition, the UV-Fenton reaction was run for 3 cycles using the same starting concentration of PFOA/PFOS each time and reusing the Fe₃O₄ from the previous cycle. Fe XANES spectra did not indicate any significant change in the Fe₃O₄ speciation or local bonding in all conditions tested (see on-line ESI†).

The results from the Fe₃O₄ XANES analysis were further corroborated by detection of PFOA and PFOS remaining in solution following multiple cycles of UV-Fenton with the same Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles. Fig. 5 shows that in nearly all four aforementioned solution conditions, Fe₃O₄ UV-Fenton catalysis efficiency was retained. At pH 9 conditions, for both 2.55 M H₂O₂ (Fig. SF5B) and 5 M H₂O₂ (Fig. SF5D), PFOA and PFOS destruction was retained above 90% efficiency for 1, 2, and 3 cycles of UV-Fenton. For pH 7 conditions, for both 2.55 M H₂O₂ (Fig. SF5A) and 5 M H₂O₂ (Fig. SF5C), PFOS destruction remained consistent at greater than 90% efficiency after 1, 2, and 3 cycles of UV-Fenton. PFOA, however, did show a drop in destruction efficiency over the course of the three cycles at the lower pH conditions. After the second cycle, PFOA destruction efficiency dropped by 3%, followed by another 6–8% after the third cycle. This drop was consistent regardless of H₂O₂ concentration, and further suggested that pH had a critical effect on Fe₃O₄ stability and thereby catalysis efficiency for UV-Fenton. This additionally emphasizes that the molecular structure of the specific target PFAS can impact its destruction efficiency. For example, PFOA efficiency was impacted by pH change, while PFOS was not, as observed with the 18 PFAS challenge water study. Overall, the results of the Fe XANES study combined with the detection of PFOA and PFOS after multiple cycles of UV-Fenton suggested that Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles were highly stable, especially at higher pH, and can be reused over time. Fe₃O₄

### 3.4 Reusability of Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles in repeat cycles of PFAS destruction

Fe₃O₄ is a multivalent mineral species, containing iron in both Fe(II) and Fe(III) oxidation states in its structural...
nanoparticles were therefore promising catalyst materials for PFAS destruction by UV-Fenton both for efficiency in destroying multiple types of PFAS species, and in Fe$_3$O$_4$ recyclability.

4. Conclusion

UV-Fenton catalyzed by Fe$_3$O$_4$ nanoparticles showed greater than 90% rates of PFAS destruction for a wide variety of PFAS. UV-Fenton is a PFAS destruction technique, moving away from previous technologies relying on separation or adsorption, which typically produce downstream waste.$^{66,67}$

The work presented in this paper indicates that Fe$_3$O$_4$ is an additionally useful catalyst, as degradation requires a very short UV-C exposure period when compared to aqueous Fe species;$^{26}$ does not require additional species added to solution and further water treatment or filtering;$^{27}$ can be performed under neutral pH conditions (appropriate for drinking water); and can be optimized by varying Fe$_3$O$_4$ and/or H$_2$O$_2$ concentrations. Finally, Fe$_3$O$_4$ is a naturally occurring and potentially environmentally-benign material, which can be reused. If required, it can be easily filtered or removed from solution with a magnet during the waste-water treatment process. Our results suggest that nano-Fe$_3$O$_4$ induced UV-Fenton can be considered and potentially implemented as a novel and efficient technique for destruction of the more than 300 PFAS that may be found in contaminated waste streams and drinking water. Future work will be done to improve the utilization efficiency of H$_2$O$_2$ in order to assess the economic feasibility of scale-up for this process. Further experiments need to be performed in more complex matrices. For example, water from a previously contaminated waste stream, including dissolved organic matter, could potentially inhibit or enhance the reaction. We plan to conduct further investigations of nano-Fe$_3$O$_4$ catalyzed UV-Fenton, to continue to improve reaction efficiency and economic feasibility and probe degradation products for a larger class of PFAS, in order to evaluate its full potential as an alternative for PFAS destruction.
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