



Cite this: *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2022, 15, 395

Correction: Addressing energy storage needs at lower cost via on-site thermal energy storage in buildings

Adewale Odukamaiya,^a Jason Woods,^a Nelson James,^a Sumanjeet Kaur,^b Kyle R. Gluesenkamp,^c Navin Kumar,^d Sven Mumme,^e Roderick Jackson^a and Ravi Prasher^{*bf}

DOI: 10.1039/d1ee90067f

Correction for 'Addressing energy storage needs at lower cost via on-site thermal energy storage in buildings' by Adewale Odukamaiya et al., *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2021, 14, 5315–5329, DOI: 10.1039/D1EE01992A.

rsc.li/ees

There were errors in the insets of Fig. 5d–f. The orange lines should have been labelled $COP_c/COP_{av} = 1$; the grey lines $COP_c/COP_{av} = 1.5$; and the blue lines $COP_c/COP_{av} = 2$. The figure should have appeared as follows:




Fig. 5 The LCOS for thermal storage depends on many factors.

The Royal Society of Chemistry apologises for these errors and any consequent inconvenience to authors and readers.

^a National Renewable Energy Laboratory, Golden, Colorado, USA. E-mail: wale.odukamaiya@nrel.gov

^b Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, California, USA. E-mail: rsprasher@lbl.gov

^c Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee, USA

^d Gas Technology Institute, Des Plaines, Illinois, USA

^e U.S. Department of Energy, Washington D.C., USA

^f University of California, Berkeley, California, USA

