

## CORRECTION

[View Article Online](#)  
[View Journal](#) | [View Issue](#)

Cite this: *Environ. Sci.: Atmos.*, 2022, 2, 761

## Correction: Estimating $\text{NH}_3$ and $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ emissions from the Australia mega wildfires and the impact of plume transport on air quality in Australia and New Zealand

Ece Ari Akdemir, William H. Battye, Casey Bray Myers\* and Viney P. Aneja

DOI: 10.1039/d2ea90015g

[rsc.li/esatmospheres](https://rsc.li/esatmospheres)

Correction for 'Estimating  $\text{NH}_3$  and  $\text{PM}_{2.5}$  emissions from the Australia mega wildfires and the impact of plume transport on air quality in Australia and New Zealand' by Ece Ari Akdemir *et al.*, *Environ. Sci.: Atmos.*, 2022, <https://doi.org/10.1039/d1ea00100k>.

The authors regret that there were some typographical errors in Fig. 2 of the original article.

First, the y-axis should read "Emission" instead of "concentration". Secondly, the dates that make up the x-axis of the top image should conform to the dates in the bottom image. The correct figure is given here:






Fig. 2 Daily PM<sub>2.5</sub> emissions and NH<sub>3</sub> emissions in Southeast Australia during the study period (December 29, 2019 – January 4, 2020). The circles represent PM<sub>2.5</sub> and NH<sub>3</sub> emissions as kg per day. The black vertical bars in the figure represent  $\pm 1$ SD.

The Royal Society of Chemistry apologises for these errors and any consequent inconvenience to authors and readers.

