

Cite this: *Dalton Trans.*, 2022, **51**, 17724

CO₂ capture from ambient air *via* crystallization with tetraalkylammonium hydroxides†

Manish Kumar Mishra,^{†a} Volodymyr Smetana,^b Ethan A. Hiti,^a Hannah B. Wineinger,^a Fengrui Qu,^a Anja-Verena Mudring^{*b,c} and Robin D. Rogers^{*a}

Aqueous solutions of a series of short carbon chain tetra(*n*-alkyl)ammonium hydroxides, [N_{*n*}][OH] with *n* = 2: *n*-ethyl, 3: *n*-propyl, 4: *n*-butyl, have been serendipitously found to be potential candidates for direct air carbon capture (DAC) when being used as reagents in more complicated reactions. Aqueous solutions of [N₃₃₃₃][OH], [N₂₂₂₂][OH], or [N₃₃₃₃][OH] with UO₂SO₄·3H₂O and 1,4-diamidoximylbenzene, and [N₄₄₄₄][OH] with cytosine (HCyt) directly absorb CO₂ from the atmosphere upon mild heating in the open atmosphere crystallizing in complexes reaching up to 2:1 CO₂/[N_{*n*}][OH] ratio. [N₂₂₂₂][HCO₃]₂·3H₂O (**1**), [N₂₂₂₂]₂[H(HCO₃)₃]₂·5H₂O (**2**), [N₃₃₃₃][HCO₃]₂·0.5H₂O (**3**), [N₃₃₃₃][H(HCO₃)₂] (**4**), [N₃₃₃₃]₂[(tpa)(H₂CO₃)₂] (**5**; tpa = terephthalate), [N₄₄₄₄][H(Cyt)(HCO₃)₂]·H₂O (**6**) and [N₄₄₄₄][H₂(Cyt)₂(HCO₃)₂]·H₂O (**7**) have been isolated in crystalline form and structurally characterized by single crystal X-ray diffraction. The compounds are characterized by complex polyanionic formations from bicarbonate dimers ([HCO₃]₂·(H₂O)]₂⁴⁻) or chains ([H(HCO₃)₂]_{*n*}^{*n-*} or [H₂(tpa)(HCO₃)₂]_{*n*}^{*2n-*}) to water-bicarbonate associates ([HCO₃]₂·6H₂O]²⁻ and [H₂CO₃·(HCO₃)₂·6H₂O·2H₂O]²⁻) and three-component anionic layers ([H(Cyt)(HCO₃)₂·H₂O]_{*n*}^{*n-*} and [H₂(Cyt)₂(HCO₃)₂·H₂O]_{*n*}^{*n-*}) frequently showing proton sharing. While some hydroxides themselves can maintain a high CO₂/[N_{*n*}][OH] ratio, particularly **2** and **4**, the presence of secondary hydrogen bond donors/acceptors may increase the sorption efficiency through decreased solubility and enhanced crystallization.

Received 12th July 2022,
Accepted 7th October 2022

DOI: 10.1039/d2dt02262a

rsc.li/dalton

1. Introduction

Carbon dioxide emissions to the atmosphere significantly contribute to negative environmental impacts causing the greenhouse effect and, consequently, leading to accelerating global warming¹ and related regional and global climate changes.² In this light, efficient CO₂ uptake from the flue gasses and removal from the atmosphere is a critical challenge to be solved in the near future.³ Direct air capture (DAC) methods⁴ are currently based on absorption by liquid solvents, either hydroxide-carbonate systems⁵ or amine-functionalized sorbents.⁶ However, high material cost and/or energy consumption for their recovery are serious obstacles preventing these

technologies from implementation on an industrial scale affecting equally capture, desorption, and further conversion of CO₂.^{7–11} Moreover, thermal degradation of the more promising amine-functionalized sorbents during recycling due to the formation/breaking of covalent bonds still represents a technical problem and may further contribute to the method's cost,¹² and additional environmental problems.¹³ Therefore, new highly efficient materials and methods need to be developed considering both technological and economic aspects.

During the last decades, ionic liquids (ILs)^{14–17} and deep eutectic solvents (DESs)¹⁸ have been proposed as “greener” alternatives. Despite many analogous properties and functionalities, these two classes offer different advantages and have different drawbacks. Although DESs as CO₂ sorbents have yet not been intensively investigated and need further developments, they may offer low production costs and are generally biodegradable.¹⁹ Despite a few drawbacks such as high price, high viscosity and potentially toxicity, ILs have already attracted significant attention as a reliable replacement to the amine-functionalized sorbents.²⁰ Their main advantages are low melting points combined with negligible vapor pressure,²¹ possibilities of designed functionalization towards desired chemical and physical properties,^{22,23} possibility of separ-

^aDepartment of Chemistry & Biochemistry, The University of Alabama, Tuscaloosa, AL 35487, USA

^bDepartment of Materials and Environmental Chemistry, Stockholm University, 10691 Stockholm, Sweden

^cDepartment of Chemistry and iNANO, 253 Aarhus University, 8000 Aarhus C, Denmark

†CCDC 2169751–2169757. For crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1039/d2dt02262a>

‡Present address: Department of Chemistry (SAS), Vellore Institute of Technology (VIT), Vellore 632014, Tamil Nadu, India.



ation,²⁴ and variety of binding modes they can offer for CO₂ sorption.²⁵ Preferred physical absorption in ILs may also offer economic advantages through reduced energy penalty and significantly declined degradation rate during recycling.

Along with the classical imidazolium,^{26,27} pyridinium,²⁸ and pyrrolidinium²⁹ ILs, a variety of different cation/anion pairs³⁰ have been tested recently for the CO₂ capture performance including blends with conventional sorbents.³¹ Among them, quaternary ammonium and phosphonium salts have not been intensively investigated but already have revealed promising performance and possible industrial applications.^{30,32–34} For example, 10% aqueous solutions of tetrabutylammonium hydroxide [N₄₄₄₄OH] showed high CO₂ solubility at elevated pressures.³⁵ Bulky tetraalkylammonium cations do not participate in strong intermolecular bonding, but do provide a framework supporting such interactions between other components of the reaction.³⁶

Here we suggest the power of crystal engineering allows the design of improved materials for DAC, however, such approaches need real structural data. While exploring the use of tetraalkylammonium hydroxides to deprotonate complexing ligands and thus facilitate f-element binding, we have detected evidence of the ready capture of CO₂ in the form of crystalline tetraalkylammonium salts. We directly observed that aqueous solutions of small carbon chain tetraalkylammonium hydroxides have hidden potential for direct carbon capture through crystallization in addition to previously observed dissolution at higher pressures. This potential may further be enhanced through the introduction of additional hydrogen bond acceptors expanding bonding patterns and affecting the solubility of the products.

2. Experimental section

2.1. Chemicals and materials

Uranyl sulfate trihydrate (UO₂SO₄·3H₂O) was obtained from International Bio-Analytical Industries, Inc. (Boca Raton, FL). Hydroxylamine hydrochloride, tetraethylammonium hydroxide ([N₂₂₂₂][OH]; 25 wt% in water), tetrabutylammonium hydroxide ([N₄₄₄₄][OH]; 55 wt% in water), and tetrapropylammonium hydroxide ([N₃₃₃₃][OH]; 40 wt% in water) were purchased from Fisher Scientific (Hampton, NH). Cytosine (99%), 1,4-dicyanobenzene, and potassium carbonate were obtained from Sigma Aldrich (St Louis, MO). Ethanol with purity ≥95% (The British Drug Houses, BDH chemicals, Middle East LLC, Dubai, UAE) was obtained from VWR international (Radnor, PA). Deionized (DI) water with a specific resistivity of 17.38 MΩ cm at 25 °C was obtained from an in-house system (Culligan Water Systems, Rosemont, IL). All commercially available chemicals were used as received without further purification.

2.2. Synthesis

Synthesis of 1,4-(diamidoximyl)benzene. The synthesis followed the reported procedure.^{37,38} To an ethanolic solution (40 mL) of 1,4-dicyanobenzene (1.009 g, 7.87 mmol) in 100 mL

round bottom flask, hydroxylamine hydrochloride (NH₂OH·HCl) was added, and the mixture was stirred with magnetic stirrer. Potassium carbonate (K₂CO₃) (1.441 g, 10.21 mmol) in 30 mL deionized water was added to the above reaction mixture. The reaction mixture was then refluxed overnight and at the end of the reaction white precipitate was obtained. The precipitate was filtered and washed with ethanol 2–3 times and then dried in vacuum.

2.3. NMR

¹H and ¹³C NMR were measured using a Bruker Avance 300 MHz NMR spectrometer (Bruker Biospin Corporation, Billerica, MA) in DMSO-d₆ (Cambridge Isotope Laboratories, Inc., Tewksbury, MA). The spectrum for both ¹H and ¹³C NMR were referenced to the DMSO solvent peak at 2.50 ppm and confirmed the structure.³⁷ ¹H NMR: δ_H (300 MHz; DMSO-d₆) 5.83 (2H, s), 7.66 (2H, s), 9.68 (1H, s); ¹³C NMR: δ_C (300 MHz; DMSO-d₆) 125.47, 134.07, 150.90.

2.4. Crystallization

Crystallization of [N₂₂₂₂][HCO₃]₃·3H₂O (1), [N₂₂₂₂]₂[H(HCO₃)₃]₅·5H₂O (2), [N₃₃₃₃][H(HCO₃)₂] (4), and [N₃₃₃₃]₂[(tpa)(H₂CO₃)₂] (5). In 20 mL borosilicate glass culture tubes, UO₂SO₄·3H₂O (1 mmol; 0.4201 g) and 1,4-diamidoximylbenzene (1 mmol; 0.1941 g) were mixed with aqueous solutions of tetraalkylammonium hydroxides, (1, 2) [N₂₂₂₂][OH] (25 wt% in water; 2 mmol, 1.1781 g), (4, 5) [N₃₃₃₃][OH] (40 wt% in water; 2 mmol, 1.0177 g). The mixtures were homogenized by hand grinding with glass stirring rods and placed unsealed in a heated sand bath at 80 °C overnight (12 h) in the open air. Colorless irregular-shaped crystals appeared in the reaction vessels which were then allowed to cool to room temperature. Single crystals of the complexes were picked directly from the reaction mixtures and in the case of 5, revealed the conversion of 1,4-diamidoximylbenzene to terephthalic acid (H₂tpa). This conversion mechanism is known in the literature and is expected to occur in highly basic solutions.^{39,40}

Crystallization of [N₃₃₃₃][HCO₃]₃·0.5H₂O (3). [N₃₃₃₃][OH] (40 wt% in water) (5.90 mmol, 1.2 g) was added to a 2-dram vial *via* 5 mL syringe. The vial was capped with a rubber septum and wrapped with parafilm to create an air-tight seal. A 250 mL round bottom flask full of dry ice was sealed with a rubber septum containing a vent needle. Both the 250 mL round bottom flask and the 2-dram vial were tapped with a rubber hose attached with needles on each end to allow transfer of CO₂ gas from melting dry ice. Once the hose was setup, the vent needle in the round bottom containing CO₂ was removed to assist transfer of CO₂ gas to the reaction. The 2-dram vial was also equipped with a vent needle and the needle from the transfer line was submerged in the solution of [N₃₃₃₃][OH]. While the CO₂ was bubbling through the solution, the 2-dram vial containing the reaction was placed in a sand bath at 90 °C for 2.5 h. After the 2.5 h time frame, a white slurry was produced in the reaction mixture. The reaction was taken off heat and stored under an atmosphere of CO₂. After 2 weeks, the reaction had formed a semisolid paste with crystal-



line solid dispersed throughout, and a sample of the white semisolid crystalline product was scraped out, stored in a small vial under an atmosphere of CO₂.

The above reaction was repeated but left heating in the sand bath longer. After 8.5 h a white slurry was produced in the reaction mixture. The reaction was taken off heat and stored under an atmosphere of CO₂. After 1 week, the reaction had formed a semisolid paste with crystalline solid dispersed throughout, and a sample of the white semisolid crystalline product was scraped out, stored in a small vial under an atmosphere of CO₂.

Crystallization of [N₄₄₄₄][H(Cyt)(HCO₃)]·H₂O (6) and [N₄₄₄₄][H₂(Cyt)₂(HCO₃)]·H₂O (7). In a 20 mL borosilicate glass culture tubes, cytosine (1 mmol; 0.111 g) and [N₄₄₄₄][OH] (55 wt% in water; 1 mmol, 0.476 g) were mixed. The mixture was homogenized by hand grinding with glass stirring rods and placed unsealed in a heated sand bath at 90 °C overnight (12 h) in the open air. Colorless, irregular, block-shaped crystals appeared in the reaction vessel which was then allowed to cool to room temperature. The crystals of both salts were obtained in the same reaction vessel.

2.5. Single crystal X-ray diffraction (SCXRD)

Crystals of 1–7 decompose readily when heated and are relatively unstable, liquefying when left out at ambient temperatures. This made SCXRD studies somewhat problematic. Nonetheless, SCXRD data for single crystal structural determinations of complexes 1–2, and 4–5 were collected on a Bruker diffractometer equipped with a PLATFORM 3-circle goniometer and an Apex II CCD area detector (Bruker AXS, Madison, WI) using graphite monochromated Mo-K α radiation ($\lambda = 0.71073$ Å). Suitable irregular-shaped crystals were mounted on a nylon loop with paratone-N (Hampton Research, Aliso Viejo, USA) oil and cooled to –50 °C by a cold stream of N_{2(g)} using an N-Helix cryostat (Oxford Cryosystems, Oxford, UK). Data were collected in 0.5° frames using a strategy of scans about the omega and phi axes. Data collection, unit cell determination, data reduction and integration, absorption correction, and scaling were performed using the Apex-2 software suite (Bruker, Madison, WI).

All full occupancy non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically. Hydrogen atoms bonded to oxygen atoms were located from the difference map. Their coordinates were allowed to refine while their thermal parameters were constrained to ride on the carrier atoms. Hydrogen atoms bonded to carbon atoms were placed in calculated positions, and their coordinates and thermal parameters were constrained to ride on the carrier atoms. All the crystal structures were solved by intrinsic phasing with SHELXT⁴¹ and refined by full-matrix least squares methods on F² using SHELXL-2014⁴² within the APEX3 software suite (Bruker AXS WI, 2017).

The data for 3 were collected on an XtaLAB Synergy R, DW system, HyPix diffractometer, at –100 °C. Data were measured using ω scans with Mo-K α radiation. The diffraction pattern was indexed and the total number of runs and images was based on the strategy calculation from the program

CrysAlisPro 1.171.41.118a (Rigaku OD, Yarnton, England 2021). The maximum resolution that was achieved was $\theta = 33.390^\circ$ (0.65 Å). Data reduction, scaling and absorption corrections were performed using CrysAlisPro 1.171.41.118a. A Gaussian absorption correction was performed using CrysAlisPro 1.171.41.118a. The numerical absorption correction was based on Gaussian integration over a multifaceted crystal model Empirical absorption correction using spherical harmonics, implemented in the SCALE3 ABSPACK scaling algorithm.

Data for 6 and 7 were collected on a Bruker D8 Advance diffractometer with a Photon 100 CMOS area detector and an I μ S microfocus X-ray source using Mo-K α radiation. Crystals were coated with Paratone oil and cooled to –100 °C under a cold stream of nitrogen using an Oxford cryostat (Oxford Cryosystems, Oxford, UK). Hemispheres of data out to a resolution of at least 0.80 Å were collected by a strategy of φ and ω scans. Unit cell determination, data collection, data reduction, correction for absorption, structural solution, and refinement were all conducted using the Apex3 software suite.

The structures of 3, 6, and 7 were solved by direct methods and refined on F² by full-matrix least-squares procedures using SHELXTL v.2017. Non-hydrogen atoms were readily located and refined anisotropically. Hydrogen atoms bonded to carbon were placed in calculated positions, and the coordinates were constrained to ride on the carrier atoms. Methyl group hydrogen atoms were refined using a riding-rotating model. Hydrogen atoms bonded to nitrogen were located from the difference Fourier maps in all cases, except for those in 3 and 7 where hydrogen atoms on protonated nitrogen atoms were placed in calculated positions and constrained to ride on the nitrogen atoms. Hydrogen atoms bonded to oxygen were located from the difference Fourier maps in all cases, except for those in 7 and those on lattice water molecules in 2 which were omitted from the refinement but included in the formula. All hydrogen atom thermal parameters were constrained to ride on the carrier atoms.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Synthesis

As part of our investigation into the selective complexation of uranyl ions by amidoxime ligands suitable for extraction of uranium from seawater,^{37,38} we began using tetraalkylammonium hydroxides to deprotonate the ligand and promote uranyl binding. Instead of isolating the complexes we sought, we observed the formation of colorless crystals of bicarbonate salts. For example, when UO₂SO₄·3H₂O and 1,4-diamidoximylbenzene were mixed with aqueous solutions of tetraethyl- or tetrapropylammonium hydroxides ([N₂₂₂₂][OH] and [N₃₃₃₃][OH], respectively) and heated unsealed to 80 °C overnight, single crystals were obtained of [N₂₂₂₂][HCO₃]₃·3H₂O (1), [N₂₂₂₂]₂[H(HCO₃)₃]₃·5H₂O (2), [N₃₃₃₃][H(HCO₃)₂]₂ (4), and [N₃₃₃₃]₂[(tpa)(H₂CO₃)₂] (5). In the reaction leading to 5, 1,4-diamidoximylbenzene was converted to terephthalate ([tpa]²⁻).



These results led us to try and prepare the bicarbonate salts directly by bubbling CO₂ at ambient pressure through aqueous solutions of tetraalkylammonium hydroxides. The only related experiment we could find was a report noted in the Introduction where 10 wt% aqueous [N₄₄₄₄][OH] showed high CO₂ solubility at elevated pressures,³⁵ however, no solids were reported.

In our experiment, CO₂ was bubbled at ambient pressure through a 40 wt% aqueous solution of [N₃₃₃₃][OH] at 90 °C for 2.5 h after which time a white slurry was produced. Cooling to RT and storage under CO₂ led to a semisolid paste with crystalline solid dispersed throughout. SCXRD identified the crystalline product as [N₃₃₃₃][HCO₃] \cdot 0.5H₂O (3). Repeating this experiment with 8.5 h did not lead to identification of additional crystalline phases.

Finally, pulling from our recent work with complexes of nucleobases,³⁶ and CO₂ capture attempts using guanidine,⁴³ we hypothesized that the addition of a nucleobase to aqueous tetraalkylammonium hydroxide could serve as a perfect reaction medium through formation of an extensive hydrogen bonding network and decrease of solubility. Directly mixing cytosine (HCyt) and [N₄₄₄₄][OH] (55 wt% in water) in a 1 : 1 ratio and heating unsealed at 90 °C overnight led to colorless block-shaped crystals of both [N₄₄₄₄][H(Cyt)(HCO₃) \cdot H₂O (6) and [N₄₄₄₄][H₂(Cyt)₂(HCO₃) \cdot H₂O (7) in the same reaction vessel.

In the reactions leading to 1, 2, and 4–7, CO₂ gas was trapped directly from the atmosphere and precipitated at elevated (80–90 °C) temperatures. The preparation of 3 demonstrated that CO₂ bubbled through the solution at ambient temperatures could also lead to crystallization of bicarbonate salts, effectively trapping CO₂. The very low solubility of these salts in water (most crystallized even at elevated temperatures) suggest the potential for DAC technologies. While all of the investigated compounds easily trap 1 CO₂ molecule per [N_{nmnm}]⁺ cation, some representatives showed enhanced performance of up to 1.5 (2) or even 2 (4) CO₂ molecules per cation, which immediately leaves the solution through crystallization. To better understand the mechanism of CO₂ capture we analyzed the obtained products to provide some insights on the binding mechanism and efficiency of different factors, e.g., cation size, water content, or secondary proton donors/acceptors.

3.2. Structural characterization: anionic chains and layers

Having easily isolated seven tetraalkylammonium bicarbonate salts (Table 1), we further investigated the structural details of

these to ascertain any structural clues that would allow us to improve the amount of CO₂ we could capture. Each structure contains CO₂ trapped in the form of bicarbonate, or possibly carbonic acid in anionic dimers, oligomers, infinite chains, or layers with or without additional anions (*i.e.*, [cyt][−], [tpa]^{2−}).

We will first focus on the important hydrogen bonded anionic motifs (Fig. 1). The high water proportion in 1 and 2, serves as an independent structure-forming factor. The anionic layers in both compounds contain (H₂O)₆ hexagons. While the layer in 1 is formed of checkered (H₂O)₆ hexagons and (HCO₃[−])₂ dimers, the one in 2 contains carbonic-acid-bicarbonate chains with the (H₂O)₆ hexagons and additional (H₂O)₂ dimers serving as connectors.

In contrast to solely bicarbonate anions present in 1, the proportion of protons in 2 suggests the presence of carbonic acid. Taking into account comparable distances between the oxygen pairs of the neighboring carbonate groups and the charge balance requirement, we found that some H positions must be occupationally disordered. It is clear that partially occupied H positions could not be refined precisely, and the actual position can be slightly off; however, the selected model supplies two H-bond donors for each C=O group and one for each C–O(H).

A more accurate criterion would be the C–O distances within the groups and here we observe a characteristic picture. In the fully ordered 1 (Fig. 1), C=O and C–O(H) distances of the bicarbonate groups are fully separated ($d_{C=O} = 1.246\text{--}1.259$ (2) Å and $d_{C-O} = 1.347(2)$ Å) and can serve as a standard. In 2 (Fig. 1), we observe a rather uniform distribution of the distances within each group – $d_{C-O} = 1.27\text{--}1.30(2)$; $1.35\text{--}1.38(2)$, and $1.35\text{--}1.42(2)$ Å. According to these distances one of the groups must be CO₃^{2−}, while the other two – H₂CO₃; however, equal distribution of the distances within a group and positional disorder suggests a proton exchange within and between them which the crystallographic data cannot distinguish.

Anionic water-bicarbonate dimers are a unique feature of the crystal structure of 3 (Fig. 1). Two hydrogen bonded bicarbonate dimers anions are bridged by two water molecules *via* hydrogen bonding, forming discrete, [(HCO₃)₂(H₂O)₂]^{4−} anions. Within the bicarbonate dimers, there is a clear differentiation between the C=O and C–O(H) distances similarly to 1 ($d_{C=O} = 1.239\text{--}1.270(1)$ Å and $d_{C-O} = 1.350\text{--}1.361(1)$ Å) and all OH groups participate in the bicarbonate dimer formation. It should also be noted that dimeric bicarbonate motifs are

Table 1 Basic crystallographic details of the obtained bicarbonate complexes

Compound	SG	$V, \text{Å}^3$	Z	$\rho, \text{g cm}^{-3}$	$V/Z, \text{Å}^3$	$V/N_{nmnm}, \text{Å}^3$	N_{CO_2}/N_{nmnm}
1 [N ₂₂₂₂][HCO ₃] \cdot 3H ₂ O	<i>P2₁/c</i>	1372.0(2)	4	1.188	343.0	343.0	1
2 [N ₂₂₂₂] ₂ [H(HCO ₃) ₃] \cdot 5H ₂ O	<i>P2₁/c</i>	3023(4)	4	1.175	755.8	378.0	1.5
3 [N ₃₃₃₃][HCO ₃] \cdot 0.5H ₂ O	<i>P2₁/c</i>	3080.2(1)	4	1.106	770.1	385.0	1
4 [N ₃₃₃₃][H(HCO ₃) ₂]	<i>P2₁/c</i>	5285.4(6)	4	1.166	1321.4	440.5	2
5 [N ₃₃₃₃] ₂ [(tpa)(H ₂ CO ₃) ₂]	<i>Pccn</i>	3994.9(5)	4	1.099	998.7	499.4	1
6 [N ₄₄₄₄][H(Cyt)(HCO ₃) \cdot H ₂ O	<i>Pbca</i>	4868.6(9)	8	1.180	608.6	608.6	1
7 [N ₄₄₄₄][H ₂ (Cyt) ₂ (HCO ₃) \cdot H ₂ O	<i>Pī</i>	1511.5(3)	2	1.195	755.8	755.8	1






Fig. 1 Water-bicarbonate layers in the crystal structures of $[N_{2222}][HCO_3] \cdot 3H_2O$ (1) and $[N_{2222}]_2[H(HCO_3)_3] \cdot 5H_2O$ (2); isolated short chain in the crystal structure of $[N_{3333}][HCO_3] \cdot 0.5H_2O$ (3); polymeric (infinite) bicarbonate chains in the crystal structures of $[N_{3333}][H(HCO_3)_2]$ (4), and $[N_{3333}]_2[(tpa)(H_2CO_3)_2]$ (5); water-cytosine-bicarbonate layers in the crystal structures of $[N_{4444}][H(Cyt)(HCO_3)] \cdot H_2O$ (6) and $[N_{4444}][H_2(Cyt)_2(HCO_3)] \cdot H_2O$ (7).

among the most common associates observed in such systems in the literature.⁴⁴

In the anhydrate 4 with the highest ratio of CO_2 to cation (2), there are two inequivalent polycarbonate chains (Fig. 1), one of which is a uniform sinusoid-like strip, while the other is flatter but significantly twisted (Fig. 2). In the sinusoidal chain, there are two symmetry-independent carbonate species. In one of them, we observe two distinct C–O distances ($d_{C-O} = 1.250\text{--}1.282(5)$ Å and $d_{C-O} = 1.338(5)$ Å) corresponding to an ordered bicarbonate ion in good agreement with the refined

proton position. The other one with $d_{C-O} = 1.348\text{--}1.370(5)$ Å must be a disordered carbonic acid with proton exchange within one molecule.

In the twisted chain, there are four symmetry-independent carbonate groups which involve significant proton disorder/exchange. Two unique features have been observed in this chain – all C–O distances are rather long starting a $1.293(5)$ Å, and a minor differentiation between them within the same carbonate group, *e.g.*, $1.352(5)$ vs. $1.320(5)$ Å. Additionally, some proton positions could be refined exactly between the






Fig. 2 Bicarbons chains in the crystal structure of **4**, sinusoidal (top) and twisted (bottom).

neighboring bicarbonate anions suggesting all CO_3^{2-} oxygen positions must be at least partially protonated.

Analogous bicarbonate chains are also observed for the anhydrous **5**, however with the caveat that the terephthalate anions serve as linkers *via* the carboxylate groups. It is interesting that the chain is practically planar. Another peculiar feature is the protonation of the carbonate groups. Two protons could be refined right next to the CO_3^{2-} anions while one – closer to the center between the carbonate and carboxylate groups. All C–O distances in the carbonate groups are in the narrow range 1.366(6) Å supporting full protonation. On the other hand, the same distances within the carboxylate group are significantly shorter – 1.256(5) Å pointing towards tpa^{2-} .

The introduction of cytosine in **6** and **7** (Fig. 1) brings new possibilities for hydrogen bonding. Depending on the cytosine/ CO_2 proportion, bicarbonate ions are either isolated or form dimers both participating in the formation of polymeric chains and again H_2O binds them together. Similarly to **5**, carbonate groups in **6** appear to be fully protonated with the C–O distances ranging between 1.364–1.374(2) Å though involving proton disorder within the carbonic acid. Carbonic acid and cytosine anions alternate along the *a* axis forming a chain connected *via* 1 hydrogen bond per each pair (taking into account the disordered proton position). A half of the pairs get reinforced through additional water bridges, which also serve as connectors between the chains to form layers.

In **7** only bicarbonate anions have been observed forming dimers. Two distinct C–O contact groups could be outlined, two with $d_{\text{C-O}} = 1.241\text{--}1.267(2)$ Å and one with $d_{\text{C-O}} = 1.348(2)$ Å. The bicarbonate dimers are completely isolated being surrounded by the cytosine-water motifs. Cytosine anions form homoleptic oligomeric chains with either double $\text{NH}\cdots\text{N}$ or $\text{NH}\cdots\text{O}$ connectivities. The chains themselves are connected *via* the water bridges (along the *b* axis) or the bicarbonate dimers (along the *c* axis).

3.3. Comparison of connectives in anionic dimers, chains, and layers

Despite different structural motifs and compositions, certain tendencies could be found in the series **1–7**. The most

common is the tendency of the carbonate groups to form oligomeric associates following the tendency already observed in the previous works.⁴⁴ Isolated dimers have been observed in the crystal structures of **1**, **3**, **5**, and **7**. Additionally, the polymeric bicarbonate chains in **2** and **4** also show visible segregation of the short oligomeric constituents (from 2 to 4 carbonate units). The O \cdots O distances within dimeric units in **1**, **3**, and **7** are all relatively short – 2.663(2), 2.576–2.676(1), and 2.577(2) Å, respectively, indicating strong associates. This though is in contrast to **5** where the connection between the carbonate and carboxylate groups are slightly shorter ($d_{\text{O-O}} = 2.601\text{--}2.631(3)$ Å) than between the carbonates themselves ($d_{\text{O-O}} = 2.708(3)$ Å). This may be due to the fact that in **5** neutral H_2CO_3 molecules and tpa^{2-} anions are present.

Homoleptic chains in **2** and **4** show that such formations cannot be perfectly uniform in the long range. In anhydrous **4** these chains even though compositionally identical, differentiate between themselves due to different protonation and therefore connectivity patterns. The twisted chains contain clearly segregated dimers of the composition $[\text{H}\cdot(\text{HCO}_3)_2]^-$ lying practically in the same planes (the deviation from planarity is around 10°) and with O \cdots O separations 2.597–2.664(4) Å. These dimer planes form an angle of $30.0(1)^\circ$ leading to the total straight but helix-like appearance of the chain. The interdimer separations are also slightly longer – 2.695–2.738(4) Å. The sinusoidal chains are a little more complex and contain rather tetrameric building blocks due to the pairwise distribution of the carbonic acid and bicarbonate groups. The shortest contacts have naturally been observed between the bicarbonate dimers ($d_{\text{O}\cdots\text{O}} = 2.616(4)$ Å). Slightly longer O \cdots O contacts exist between the bicarbonate anion and the neighboring carbonic acid ($d_{\text{O}\cdots\text{O}} = 2.627\text{--}2.689(4)$ Å), while $\text{H}_2\text{CO}_3\cdots\text{H}_2\text{CO}_3$ separations are the longest ($d_{\text{O}\cdots\text{O}} = 2.766(4)$ Å). Nevertheless, both bicarbonate and carbonic acid dimers are planar forming only a $\sim 10^\circ$ angle between themselves which is responsible for the sinusoidal appearance.

The presence of water in the crystal packing of **2** does not have significant influence on the bicarbonate chains. Particularly, the higher water ratio in **2** compared to **1** does not prevent formation of the polybicarbonate chains, but helps to



stabilize different connectivity patterns, *i.e.*, trimeric associates $[\text{H}_2\text{CO}_3 \cdot (\text{HCO}_3)_2]^{2-}$. The intergroup separations range between 2.50–2.56(1) Å, while those between the associates are significantly longer – 2.72–2.80(1) Å. Hexameric water associates in **2** are practically planar and show minor deviations from an ideal hexagon ($d_{\text{O}\dots\text{O}} = 2.75\text{--}2.77(1)$, $\angle\text{O}\text{--}\text{O}\text{--}\text{O} = 112\text{--}130(1)^\circ$). Such water hexamers in **1** are in general very similar though exhibit weaker connectivities ($d_{\text{O}\dots\text{O}} = 2.798\text{--}2.846(2)$ Å) in line with stronger bicarbonate associates in this compound.

Finally, in the presence of cytosine (**6**–**7**) having multiple possibilities to act as both H-bond donor and acceptor, intercarbonate interactions are no longer dominant in the structure. Both structures exhibit cytosine-bicarbonate chains though with different sequences, either 1 : 1 or 4 : 2. **7** with the

higher cytosine ratio exhibits certain structural analogies with **1** having bicarbonate dimers isolated by homoleptic oligomeric (tetrameric) cytosine chains. Nevertheless, both **6** and **7** are three-component systems where each part plays an important role in the packing efficiency. Particularly, water molecules serve as bridges between the heteroleptic cytosine-bicarbonate chains in **6** or enforce their connectivity in **7**.

3.4. Packing

A common feature for **1**–**7** is the clathrate nature of the packing where the $[\text{N}_{n\text{mnn}}]^+$ cations form either separate layers or networks where van der Waals interactions dominate. The cations provide space and serve as templates (Fig. 3) for the anion substructures. Nonetheless, the structures of the cat-




Fig. 3 Packing of the cations and the anionic fragments in the crystal structures of $[\text{N}_{2222}][\text{HCO}_3] \cdot 3\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (**1**), $[\text{N}_{2222}]_2[\text{H}(\text{HCO}_3)_3] \cdot 5\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (**2**), $[\text{N}_{3333}][\text{HCO}_3] \cdot 0.5\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (**3**), $[\text{N}_{3333}][\text{H}(\text{HCO}_3)_2]$ (**4**), $[\text{N}_{3333}]_2[\text{tpa}(\text{H}_2\text{CO}_3)_2]$ (**5**), $[\text{N}_{4444}][\text{H}(\text{Cyt})(\text{HCO}_3)] \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$ (**6**) and $[\text{N}_{4444}][\text{H}_2(\text{Cyt})_2(\text{HCO}_3)] \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$ (**7**).



ionic formations are dependent on the ability of the anionic parts to form hydrogen-bonded networks, and therefore correlate with the presence of water in the crystal structure. Completely anhydrous **4** and **5** form polymeric chains and the resulting cationic framework can be characterized as tunnel-like. In these compounds we observe the highest packing efficiency filling all possible voids/channels formed by alkyl chains. **3** is unique in this series as it forms short dimers and the packing is dominated by the cationic part encapsulating the anionic associates, nonetheless, we can outline mixed cationic-anionic layers separated by pure cationic ones. The remaining structures – **1**, **2**, **6**, and **7** are layered with ideal alternation of the anionic and the cationic components.

3.5. Discussion

The sorption of CO₂ by quaternary ammonium hydroxides has already been suggested as a promising way for post-combustion capture. For instance, 10 wt% aqueous [N₄₄₄₄][OH] showed high CO₂ solubility (mol (CO₂) per mol (absorbent)) of up to 3.5 at elevated pressures of up to 1 MPa but decreasing with temperature.³⁵ The mechanism of this enhanced sorption was never investigated but was assumed to be physical. In this work, we have shown that higher CO₂ capacity can be achieved through the formation of extended hydrogen-bonded chains or networks avoiding the formation of strong covalent bonds, but certainly going behind weak van der Waals (vdW) interactions. Both [N₂₂₂₂][OH] and [N₃₃₃₃][OH] showed enhanced CO₂ capacity (up to 2) at ambient pressure and slightly elevated temperatures. The elevated temperature in this case is an advantage as potential decrease of solubility stimulates crystallization removing the trapped product from the solution.

CO₂ captured by aqueous solutions tends to form hydrated CO₃²⁻/HCO₃⁻/H₂CO₃ guest species with a variety of pre-designed receptors. The higher negative charge of the CO₃²⁻ anion and the variety of coordination modes it can exhibit⁴⁵ make it an easy target for multiple hydrogen bond donors, from mono- to multipodal and cyclic.⁴⁴ We observed that the total CO₂ capacity of the system depends on the number of secondary components in the compound (particularly water). Easy substitution of H₂O by HCO₃⁻ between **1** and **2** suggests additional potential for the CO₂ capacity.

Various amino-based ILs mixtures have been tested for the direct air capture of CO₂ including aqueous [N_{nmnn}][OH] with amino acids.⁴⁶ The main drawbacks of these solutions are the high concentration of CO₂ required and the formation of covalent bonds, which may bring higher energy penalties and losses during recycle and release of the CO₂.

A completely different approach has been reported recently with guanidine aqueous solutions.^{43,47} They may slowly trap CO₂ directly from ambient air avoiding the formation of covalent C–N bonds. The main disadvantage of this system is still low CO₂ capacity and potentially high production cost of the N-heterocycles. Application of the quaternary ammonium hydroxides or their aqueous solutions directly not only eliminates the need for additional hydrogen bond donors/acceptors

but suggests potential for significant enhancement of the sorption efficiency through the chemical design.

4. Conclusions

In this work, several aqueous quaternary ammonium hydroxide solutions have been observed to directly capture CO₂ from air by crystallization of bicarbonate salts. Upon mild heating (~80–90 °C), crystals of seven different compounds could be observed overnight. Practically all compounds exhibit bicarbonate associates that with or without help of secondary hydrogen bond donors/acceptors, particularly H₂O, extend in polymeric motifs. Although H₂O was found to play a significant role in the initialization of the reaction, we cannot directly correlate its presence with the CO₂ sorption efficiency. For instance anhydrous **4** shows the highest CO₂/[N_{nmnn}]⁺ ratio (**2**), while **2** contains a significant proportion of water molecules per formula unit, but still allows a relatively high CO₂/[N_{nmnn}]⁺ ratio (1.5) in contrast to **3** (**1**). The presence of other bulky hydrogen donors reduces the CO₂/[N_{nmnn}]⁺ ratio to 1. In general, the highest proportion of CO₂ per N_{nmnn} cation could be achieved for pure binary systems.

It is important to note that although we did not quantify CO₂ recovery, the crystals obtained in this study are not stable and CO₂ can be easily released. The crystals redissolve when heated and slowly liquefy at over a period of a few days. A logical next step to this work would be to quantify CO₂ recovery with mass balance, however, we would suggest optimizing the crystalline forms first.

Hydrogen bonding was found to be the primary and sufficient mechanism of the CO₂ capture leading to the formation of strong carbonic acid-bicarbonate associates reducing the solubility. Formation of the anhydrous **4** is extraordinary as it not only allows the highest observed CO₂/[N_{nmnn}]⁺ ratio but exhibits pure polymeric bicarbonate 1D chains. Though this compound could be obtained only in a mixture with generally lower CO₂ sorption efficiency, the [N_{nmnn}][OH]/H₂O (*n* = 2 and 3) show good potential for the direct air capture and further optimization of the sorption capacity. On the other hand, one or all alkyl chains can easily be modified suggesting broad possibilities for further development of the area and potential for further increase of the sorption efficiency.

Author contributions

M. K. M., E. A. H. and H. B. W.: Methodology, experiments. V. S.: Analysis, visualization, writing. F. Q.: analysis. A. V. M., R. D. R.: conceptualization, resources, supervision, writing, review and editing. All the authors participated in reviewing the entire manuscript as well.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare no competing financial interest.



Acknowledgements

This research was supported, in part, by the Royal Swedish Academy of Science through the Göran Gustafsson prize to A.-V. M., by the Swedish Research Council (Vetenskapsrådet, VR) through Grant 2020-05405 (A.-V. M.), and by a Tage Erlander Guest Professorship to R. D. R. (VR Grant 2018-00233). This research was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, Heavy Elements program under Award DE-SC0019220. The SCXRD instrumentation at The University of Alabama was supported by U.S. NSF MRI 1828078.

References

- 1 T. R. Anderson, E. Hawkins and P. D. Jones, *Endeavour*, 2016, **40**, 178–187.
- 2 N. W. Arnell, J. A. Lowe, A. J. Challinor and T. J. Osborn, *Clim. Change*, 2019, **155**, 377–391.
- 3 D. W. Keith, *Science*, 2009, **325**, 1654.
- 4 E. S. Sanz-Pérez, C. R. Murdock, S. A. Didas and C. W. Jones, *Chem. Rev.*, 2016, **116**, 11840–11876.
- 5 G. Holmes and D. W. Keith, *Philos. Trans. R. Soc., A*, 2012, **370**, 4380–4403.
- 6 A. Goeppert, H. Zhang, M. Czaun, R. B. May, G. K. S. Prakash, G. A. Olah and S. R. Narayanan, *ChemSusChem*, 2014, **7**, 1386–1397.
- 7 G. Realmonte, L. Drouet, A. Gambhir, J. Glynn, A. Hawkes, A. C. Köberle and M. Tavoni, *Nat. Commun.*, 2019, **10**, 3277.
- 8 T. Ouyang, H.-J. Wang, H.-H. Huang, J.-W. Wang, S. Guo, W.-J. Liu, D.-C. Zhong and T.-B. Lu, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2018, **57**, 16480–16485.
- 9 T. Ouyang, H.-H. Huang, J.-W. Wang, D.-C. Zhong and T.-B. Lu, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2017, **56**, 738–743.
- 10 J. M. Hanusch, I. P. Kerschgens, F. Huber, M. Neuburger and K. Gademann, *Chem. Commun.*, 2019, **55**, 949–952.
- 11 B. Shao, Y. Zhang, Z. Sun, J. Li, Z. Gao, Z. Xie, J. Hu and H. Liu, *Green Chem. Eng.*, 2022, **3**, 189–198.
- 12 J. Davis and G. Rochelle, *Energy Procedia*, 2009, **1**, 327–333.
- 13 M. Karl, R. F. Wright, T. F. Berglen and B. Denby, *Int. J. Greenhouse Gas Control*, 2011, **5**, 439–447.
- 14 S. Zeng, X. Zhang, L. Bai, X. Zhang, H. Wang, J. Wang, D. Bao, M. Li, X. Liu and S. Zhang, *Chem. Rev.*, 2017, **117**, 9625–9673.
- 15 M. Aghaie, N. Rezaei and S. Zendejboudi, *Renewable Sustainable Energy Rev.*, 2018, **96**, 502–525.
- 16 J. Huang and T. Rütther, *Aust. J. Chem.*, 2009, **62**, 298–308.
- 17 S. K. Shukla, S. G. Khokarale, T. Q. Bui and J.-P. T. Mikkola, *Front. Mater.*, 2019, **6**, 42.
- 18 C. Ma, S. Sarmad, J.-P. Mikkola and X. Ji, *Energy Procedia*, 2017, **142**, 3320–3325.
- 19 S. Sarmad, J.-P. Mikkola and X. Ji, *ChemSusChem*, 2017, **10**, 324–352.
- 20 L. A. Blanchard, D. Hancu, E. J. Beckman and J. F. Brennecke, *Nature*, 1999, **399**, 28–29.
- 21 J. P. Hallett and T. Welton, *Chem. Rev.*, 2011, **111**, 3508–3576.
- 22 R. Giernoth, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2010, **49**, 2834–2839.
- 23 E. D. Bates, R. D. Mayton, I. Ntai and J. H. Davis, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2002, **124**, 926–927.
- 24 E. Hayashi, M. L. Thomas, K. Hashimoto, S. Tsuzuki, A. Ito and M. Watanabe, *ACS Appl. Polym. Mater.*, 2019, **1**, 1579–1589.
- 25 D. Zhang, R. Qu, H. Zhang and F. Zhang, *J. Wuhan Univ. Technol., Mater. Sci. Ed.*, 2020, **35**, 750–757.
- 26 S. Evjen, A. Fiksdahl, D. D. D. Pinto and H. K. Knuutila, *Int. J. Greenhouse Gas Control*, 2018, **76**, 167–174.
- 27 M. C. Corvo, J. Sardinha, T. Casimiro, G. Marin, M. Seferin, S. Einloft, S. C. Menezes, J. Dupont and E. J. Cabrita, *ChemSusChem*, 2015, **8**, 1935–1946.
- 28 N. M. Yunus, M. I. A. Mutalib, Z. Man, M. A. Bustam and T. Murugesan, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2012, **189–190**, 94–100.
- 29 L. C. Tomé, M. Isik, C. S. R. Freire, D. Mecerreyes and I. M. Marrucho, *J. Membr. Sci.*, 2015, **483**, 155–165.
- 30 S. Supasitmongkol and P. Styring, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2010, **3**, 1961–1972.
- 31 S. Evjen, A. Fiksdahl and H. K. Knuutila, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.*, 2019, **58**, 10533–10539.
- 32 A. S. Hamouda, M. S. Eldien and M. F. Abadir, *Ain Shams Eng. J.*, 2020, **11**, 1061–1067.
- 33 T. Ema, K. Fukuhara, T. Sakai, M. Ohbo, F.-Q. Bai and J.-y. Hasegawa, *Catal. Sci. Technol.*, 2015, **5**, 2314–2321.
- 34 A. Mohammadi, A. Kamran-Pirzaman and N. Rahmati, *Pet. Sci. Technol.*, 2021, **39**, 647–665.
- 35 R. Safdar, A. A. Omar and B. Lal, *J. Mol. Liq.*, 2018, **266**, 522–528.
- 36 M. K. Mishra, S. P. Kelley, V. Smetana, D. A. Dixon, A. S. McNeill, A.-V. Mudring and R. D. Rogers, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2020, **117**, 18224.
- 37 S. P. Kelley, P. S. Barber, P. H. K. Mullins and R. D. Rogers, *Chem. Commun.*, 2014, **50**, 12504–12507.
- 38 N. Tang, J. Liang, C. Niu, H. Wang, Y. Luo, W. Xing, S. Ye, C. Liang, H. Guo, J. Guo, Y. Zhang and G. Zeng, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2020, **8**, 7588–7625.
- 39 H.-B. Pan, L.-J. Kuo, J. Wood, J. Strivens, G. A. Gill, C. J. Janke and C. M. Wai, *RSC Adv.*, 2015, **5**, 100715–100721.
- 40 S. O. Kang, S. Vukovic, R. Custelcean and B. P. Hay, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.*, 2012, **51**, 6619–6624.
- 41 G. Sheldrick, *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A: Found. Adv.*, 2015, **71**, 3–8.
- 42 G. Sheldrick, *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. C: Struct. Chem.*, 2015, **71**, 3–8.
- 43 C. A. Seipp, N. J. Williams, M. K. Kidder and R. Custelcean, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2017, **56**, 1042–1045.
- 44 U. Manna and G. Das, *CrystEngComm*, 2021, **23**, 512–527.
- 45 X.-Y. Zheng, J. Xie, X.-J. Kong, L.-S. Long and L.-S. Zheng, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2019, **378**, 222–236.
- 46 H. Yu, Y.-T. Wu, Y.-Y. Jiang, Z. Zhou and Z.-B. Zhang, *New J. Chem.*, 2009, **33**, 2385–2390.
- 47 R. Custelcean, K. A. Garrabrant, P. Agullo and N. J. Williams, *Cell Rep. Phys. Sci.*, 2021, **2**, 100385.

