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#### Abstract

Proton transfer (PT) reactions are fundamental to numerous chemical and biological processes. While sub-picosecond PT involving electronically excited states has been extensively studied, little is known about ultrafast PT triggered by photoionization. Here, we employ femtosecond optical pump-probe spectroscopy and quantum dynamics calculations to investigate the ultrafast proton transfer dynamics of the aqueous phenol radical cation ( $\mathrm{PhOH}^{\bullet+}$ ). Analysis of the vibrational wave packet dynamics reveals unusually short dephasing times of $0.18 \pm 0.02$ ps and $0.16 \pm 0.02 \mathrm{ps}$ for the $\mathrm{PhOH}^{\bullet+} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}$ wag and bend frequencies, respectively, suggestive of ultrafast PT occurring on the $\sim 0.1$ ps timescale. The reduced potential energy surface obtained from ab initio calculations shows that PT is barrierless when it is coupled to the intermolecular hindered translation between $\mathrm{PhOH}^{\bullet+}$ and the proton-acceptor water molecule. Quantum dynamics calculations yield a lifetime of 193 fs for $\mathrm{PhOH}^{\bullet+}$, in good agreement with the experimental results and consistent with the PT reaction being mediated by the intermolecular $\mathrm{O} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ stretch. These results suggest that photoionization can be harnessed to produce photoacids that undergo ultrafast PT. In addition, they also show that PT can serve as an ultrafast deactivation channel for limiting the oxidative damage potential of radical cations.


## Introduction

Proton transfer reactions are ubiquitous in biological processes such as enzyme catalysis ${ }^{1,2}$ and the transport of ions through membranes. ${ }^{3}$ In addition, many biological redox reactions are facilitated by concomitant PT and electron transfer, as in protoncoupled electron transfer. ${ }^{4-7}$ Beyond PT reactions that occur in the electronic ground state, proton transfer can also involve electronically excited states. Excited-state proton transfer (ESPT) plays an important role in bioluminescence, ${ }^{8-10}$ as exemplified by the green fluorescent protein (GFP). ${ }^{11-13}$ Moreover, ESPT is also central to technologically important applications such as photoinitiated polymerization ${ }^{14,15}$ and photolithography. ${ }^{16}$

Being triggered by light, ESPT reactions are particularly amenable to investigation by ultrafast spectroscopy. ${ }^{7,17,18}$ Previous

[^0]time-resolved studies have elucidated ultrafast excited-state intramolecular proton transfer (ESIPT) that either occurs ballistically ${ }^{19}$ or is mediated by skeletal vibrational modes that modify the proton donor-acceptor distance. ${ }^{20,21}$ Ballistic PT occurs on timescales as short as $\sim 10$ fs and exhibits pronounced H/D isotopic dependence whereas PT that is gated by structural deformations typically occur on timescales of $\sim 100 \mathrm{fs}$. Complementing molecules that undergo ESIPT is the class of molecules known as photoacids, ${ }^{18,22,23}$ which transfer a proton to a neighboring solvent molecule upon photoexcitation. Extensive studies of intermolecular excited-state proton transfer to solvent (ESPTS) have revealed a correlation between the PT rate and the acid dissociation constant of the electronically excited state, $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}^{*} \cdot{ }^{24}$ The strongest photoacids, dubbed "superphotoacids", have $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}^{*}<-7$ and exhibit proton transfer timescales of $\sim 100 \mathrm{fs}$, limited by the $\sim 100 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ frequency of the intermolecular vibration between the proton donor and proton acceptor. ${ }^{25-28}$

Aside from photoexcitation, photoionization can also lead to proton transfer. $A b$ initio simulations and experiments performed on ionized size-selected clusters, comprising the proton donor and a variable number of solvent molecules in the gas phase, have revealed spectroscopic signatures of the PT product. ${ }^{29-34}$ However, only a limited number of studies have shed light on the dynamics of PT induced by photoionization. Time-resolved mass spectrometry measurements performed on the gas-phase phenol-ammonia radical cation complex,
$\mathrm{PhOH} \bullet{ }^{+}-\mathrm{NH}_{3}$, suggest that PT begins with the contraction of the intermolecular $\mathrm{O} \cdots \mathrm{N}$ distance within $\sim 0.1 \mathrm{ps}$ followed by a $\sim 1$ ps-long vibrational relaxation of the PT product. ${ }^{35,36}$ In the condensed phase, femtosecond soft X-ray absorption spectroscopy was recently revealed that the $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}^{\bullet+}$ radical cation, produced by the intense laser ionization of liquid water, undergoes PT on the $\sim 50$ fs timescale. ${ }^{37}$ Beyond liquid water, however, the PT dynamics of ionized molecules in aqueous solution remains largely unexplored. It is important to note that ultrafast PT bears implications for the chemical reactivity of radicals. For example, the standard reduction potential of the $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}^{\bullet+}$ radical cation $(3.8 \mathrm{~V})^{38}$ is larger than that of the hydroxyl radical $\left(\mathrm{OH}^{\bullet}\right)$ PT product $(1.8 \mathrm{~V}) .{ }^{39}$ Within the context of radiation chemistry and radiation biology, the ultrashort lifetime of the $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}^{\bullet+}$ radical cation diminishes its potential for oxidative damage.

Here, we employ optical pump-probe spectroscopy to resolve the ultrafast PT dynamics of the aqueous phenol radical cation, produced by the ionization of aqueous phenol. Our choice of phenol is motivated by its occurrence as the side chain of tyrosine ( TyrOH ), an amino acid whose redox activity is entwined with proton transfer. ${ }^{7,40}$ Oxidation of tyrosine requires a highly oxidizing potential of $+1.46 \mathrm{~V} v s$. NHE to give TyrOH ${ }^{\bullet+} ;{ }^{41,42}$ this potential decreases to +0.94 V when deprotonation occurs in concert with oxidation to yield $\mathrm{TyrO}^{\bullet} .{ }^{43}$ Experimental and theoretical studies of microhydrated $\mathrm{PhOH}^{++}-\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{n}$ clusters in the gas phase show that PT only occurs when $n \geq 3,{ }^{30-36}$ although the timescale for proton transfer remains unknown. Our experiments reveal ultrafast dephasing of the vibrational modes of the aqueous $\mathrm{PhOH}^{\bullet+}$ radical cation, suggestive of proton transfer occurring on the $\sim 0.1$ ps timescale. These results are supported by quantum dynamics calculations.

## Methods

## Experimental

The experimental setup has been described in detail elsewhere. ${ }^{44}$ Briefly, the $4.4 \mathrm{~mJ}, 30 \mathrm{fs}, 1 \mathrm{kHz}$ output from an amplified Ti:sapphire laser system is focused into a heliumfilled hollow-core fiber for spectral broadening followed by temporal compression using chirped mirrors. $80 \%$ of the compressed pulse energy is directed into a visible-NIR 4fpulse shaper to correct for high-order dispersion to yield transform-limited, 6 fs pulses for strong-field photoionization of aqueous phenol (Fig. S1a and S2a, ESI $\dagger$ ). $20 \%$ of the compressed pulse energy is sent to a $50 \mu$ m-thick Type I betabarium borate (BBO) crystal for second-harmonic generation followed by chirped-mirror compression to generate near-UV probe pulses that span 360-470 nm (Fig. S1b, ESI $\dagger$ ). The strongfield ionization pump pulses have a maximum pulse energy of $25 \mu \mathrm{~J}$ and are focused to a beam waist of $80 \mu \mathrm{~m}\left(1 / e^{2}\right)$, giving a maximum peak intensity of $4 \times 10^{13} \mathrm{~W} \mathrm{~cm}^{-2}$. On the other hand, the near-UV probe has a pulse energy of 100 nJ and is focused to a beam waist of $26 \mu \mathrm{~m}\left(1 / e^{2}\right), \sim 3 \times$ smaller than that
of the pump pulse to minimize the effects of spatial averaging. The instrumental response function is measured by differencefrequency mixing in a $10 \mu$ m-thick BBO crystal positioned at the sample target and is found to have a FWHM of 12 fs (Fig. S2b, ESI $\dagger$ ). A synchronized optical chopper is positioned in the path of the pump beam to modulate the repetition rate of the pump beam at 0.5 kHz . The probe pulses that are transmitted through the sample are spectrally dispersed onto a 1024-element array detector with a read-out rate of 1 kHz , thus permitting the acquisition of the differential absorption $(\Delta A)$ spectrum from each successive pair of probe pulses. A reference spectrograph is used to eliminate noise caused by shot-to-shot fluctuations of the probe pulse.

Phenol (Sigma-Aldrich, $\geq 99 \%$ ), used as received without further purification, is dissolved in distilled water to produce a 0.20 M solution ( $\mathrm{pH} \sim 5.3$ ). Deuterated phenol that is employed in the isotopic studies is prepared by dissolving phenol in deuterium oxide $\left(\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ (Cambridge Isotope Laboratories, Inc., 99.9\%) to yield $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OD}$ (PhOD). The aqueous phenol sample is delivered by a slit-type nozzle that is connected to a peristaltic pump and pulse dampener. The $7 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ thick jet is sufficiently thick to produce a sizeable $\Delta A$ signal while minimizing the dispersive broadening of the individual laser pulses as well as the group-velocity mismatch between pump and probe pulses in the liquid jet.

## Theoretical calculations

The equilibrium molecular geometries of phenol and the phenol radical cation, as well as their associated vibrational frequencies, are obtained from DFT calculations performed with the GAUSSIAN 16 package. ${ }^{45}$ The calculations employ the hybrid exchange functional of Becke (B3), ${ }^{46}$ the correlation functional of Lee, Yang and Parr (LYP), ${ }^{47}$ and the $6-311 \mathrm{G}++(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{p})$ split-valence triple- $\xi$ basis set. Three different models of phenol and the phenol radical cation are employed in the simulations. The first model is the isolated molecule, the second model is the molecule with three explicit water molecules of hydration, i.e., $\mathrm{PhOH}-\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{3}$ or $\mathrm{PhOH}^{\bullet+}-\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{3}$, whereas the third model is the molecule with five explicit water molecules of hydration, i.e., $\mathrm{PhOH}-\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{5}$ or $\mathrm{PhOH}^{\bullet+}-\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{5}$. All three models include a polarizable continuum ( PCM ) to mimic the dielectric environment of the water solvent. ${ }^{48}$ For $\mathrm{PhOH}-\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{3}$ or $\mathrm{PhOH}^{\bullet+}$ $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{3}$, the phenolic OH is directly hydrogen-bonded to all three water molecules, where one acts as the hydrogen bond acceptor and two act as hydrogen bond donors. For $\mathrm{PhOH}-$ $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{5}$ or $\mathrm{PhOH}^{\bullet+}-\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{5}$, two additional hydrogen bondaccepting water molecules are coordinated to the protonaccepting $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in $\mathrm{PhOH}-\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{3}$ and $\mathrm{PhOH}^{\bullet+}-\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{3}$. The $C_{\text {s }}$ point group symmetry, with the PhOH moiety residing within the reflection plane, is imposed during geometry optimizations. Each geometry optimization is followed by a vibrational frequency calculation to verify that the optimized geometry corresponds to a local minimum.

To investigate the proton transfer dynamics, we construct the reduced potential energy surface (PES) along the intramolecular phenol $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}$ distance coordinate and the
intermolecular $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{Ph}} \cdots \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{a}}$ distance coordinate involving the phenol oxygen atom $\left(\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{Ph}}\right)$ and the oxygen atom of the proton-accepting water molecule $\left(\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{a}}\right)$. Since $\mathrm{PhOH}^{\bullet+}-\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{3}$ does not support proton transfer, we employ $\mathrm{PhOH}^{\bullet+}-\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{5}$ instead for the PES scan. The $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{Ph}} \cdots \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{a}}$ distance is varied between $2.00-3.50 \AA$ in steps of $0.05 \AA$ while the phenol $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}$ distance is varied between $0.80-1.80 \AA$ in steps of $0.05 \AA$; in addition, phenol $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}$ distances of $1.90 \AA, 2.00 \AA, 2.20 \AA$, and $2.40 \AA$ are also included in the PES scan. The PES scan is repeated for neutral phenol, $\mathrm{PhOH}-\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{5}$, where the phenol $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}$ distance is varied from 0.79-1.49 $\AA$ and the $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{Ph}} \cdots \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{a}}$ distance is varied between $2.04-3.54 \AA$, both with steps of 0.05 Å.

The resultant reduced PESs are fit by using the neural network method. ${ }^{49}$ The architecture of neural network is 2-20-$40-1$, giving a total of 941 parameters. The input layer consists of the two bond distances, the two hidden layers have 20 and 40 neurons, and the output layer has 1 potential energy. All fittings are carried out using the Levenberg-Marquardt algorithm ${ }^{50}$ and employ the root-mean-square error (RMSE) to assess the quality of each fit. To avoid overfitting, the data set is randomly divided into two groups, with $90 \%$ of the points as the training set and $10 \%$ as the validating set. The fits with the two sets that give similarly small RMSEs are thought to be reasonable. The total RMSEs of the final neutral and cationic PESs are 1.462 meV and 3.068 meV , respectively.

Quantum dynamics simulations employ the 2D Hamiltonian

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{H}=-\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 \mu_{\mathrm{r}}} \frac{\partial^{2}}{2 r^{2}}-\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 \mu_{\mathrm{R}}} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial R^{2}}+\widehat{V}(r, R) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r$ and $R$ denote the phenol $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}$ and intermolecular $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{Ph}} \cdots \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{a}}$ distances, respectively, $\mu_{\mathrm{r}}$ and $\mu_{\mathrm{R}}$ are their associated masses, and $V(r, R)$ is the ab initio PES. Proton transfer on the cationic PES is simulated based on the Condon approximation, in which the initial wave packet is obtained by diagonalizing the 2D Hamiltonian on the neutral PES and placed vertically on the cationic PES. ${ }^{51}$ The wave packet is then propagated using the split-operator method. ${ }^{52}$ The outgoing boundary conditions are imposed by applying a negative imaginary absorbing potential at the edge of the grid. The population decay of the phenol radical cation is calculated by evaluating the fraction of the wave packet that persists in the FranckCondon region at different times.

## Results and discussion

## Transient absorption of ionized aqueous phenol

Pump-power dependence measurements show that the photoionization of phenol occurs via a four-photon process, corresponding to a total input energy of 7.8 eV (Fig. S3 and Section S1, ESI $\dagger$ ). Considering the vertical ionization energy of 7.8 eV for aqueous phenol ${ }^{53}$ and the vertical electron affinity of $\sim 1 \mathrm{eV}$ for liquid water, ${ }^{54}$ the 7.8 eV energy input is sufficient to eject an electron from phenol and inject it into the conduction band of liquid water. While a four-photon dependence could still result
from a resonance-enhanced multiphoton ionization (REMPI) process in which the ionization step is not saturated, we consider such a scenario to be unlikely under our experimental conditions because any neutral electronically excited state that is populated via REMPI would be rapidly ionized in the presence of an intense laser field, ${ }^{55,56}$ thus saturating the ionization step and yielding a photon order lower than four. As such, we rule out any participation of intermediate electronically excited states in the multiphoton photoionization process. This contrasts with results obtained from previous studies, which reveal ionization of phenol following UV photoexcitation with photon energies spanning 4.6-6.2 eV, either by autoionization from electronically excited states to the solvent continuum ${ }^{54}$ or by $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}$ photodissociation along the repulsive $1^{1} \pi \sigma^{*}$ potential ${ }^{57,58}$ followed by charge transfer to solvent. ${ }^{59}$ At the same time, we do not consider the possibility of accessing the first electronically excited state of $\mathrm{PhOH}^{\bullet+}$, located 0.8 eV above the electronic ground state, due to the propensity of strong-field ionization for producing ions in the electronic ground state. ${ }^{60-63}$

The isotropic differential absorption ( $\Delta A$ ) spectrum of ionized aqueous phenol collected as a function of pump-probe time delay is shown in Fig. 1a. The increasing $\Delta A$ signal on the long-wavelength side of the probe spectral range is the absorption signature of the hydrated electron, formed from the conduction-band electron via electronic relaxation ${ }^{54,64}$ followed by vibrational cooling. ${ }^{65-71}$ In addition, the $\Delta A$ spectrum exhibits a pronounced absorption peak at 400 nm alongside a vibronic feature at 385 nm , indicative of the ${ }^{2} \mathrm{~B}_{1} \leftarrow{ }^{2} \mathrm{~B}_{1}$ transition of the phenoxyl radical electronic ground state. ${ }^{72,73}$ The appearance of the absorption signature of the $\mathrm{PhO}^{\bullet}$ radical PT product on the sub-picosecond timescale indicates ultrafast PT.

The absorption signature of aqueous $\mathrm{PhOH}^{\bullet+}$, produced by the vertical ionization of phenol, appears to be missing from the $\Delta A$ spectra. In $n$-dodecane, cyclohexane, and 1,2dichloroethane, the absorption maximum of the phenol radical cation is located at 430 nm , whereas the absorption maximum in $n$-butyl chloride is at $440 \mathrm{~nm} .{ }^{74}$ These absorption maxima are broadened and red-shifted relative to the absorption maximum of the $\mathrm{PhO}^{\bullet}$ radical at 400 nm , recorded in the same solvents. In highly acidic aqueous solution ( $\sim 14 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ ), an absorption peak at $419 \mathrm{~nm}\left(\varepsilon_{\max }=1800 \pm 150 \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$, with a linewidth of 50 nm , has been attributed to the $\mathrm{PhOH}^{\bullet+}$ radical cation. ${ }^{75}$ The broad absorption feature of $\mathrm{PhOH}^{\bullet+}$ in both aqueous and nonaqueous solvents is also consistent with the broad, featureless electronic absorption spectra of gas-phase $\mathrm{PhOH} \bullet^{+}-\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{n}$ ( $n=1,2$ ), for which proton transfer does not occur; ${ }^{31}$ in comparison, the electronic absorption spectra of gas-phase $\mathrm{PhOH}^{\bullet+}-\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{n}(n=3-6)$ show well-defined peaks that are characteristic of the $\mathrm{PhO}^{\bullet}$ radical proton transfer product. ${ }^{31}$ Interestingly, a recent study of aqueous phenol photoexcited at 200 nm attributed a weak and short-lived $\Delta A$ feature at 425 nm to aqueous $\mathrm{PhOH}^{\bullet+} .{ }^{73}$ Unfortunately, since the feature appears only within the 200 fs time resolution of the experimental setup, the decay constant of the feature, and hence presumably the lifetime of the phenol radical cation, could not be resolved.


Fig. 1 (a) Isotropic differential absorption ( $\Delta A$ ) spectrum of the ionized aqueous PhOH as a function of pump-probe time delay. (b) Time traces at 425 nm of the ionized aqueous PhOH (in red) and ionized liquid water (in blue). The former shows pronounced modulations due to vibrational wave packet dynamics. The black line shows the result from global analysis. (c) The $\Delta A_{0}(\lambda), \Delta A_{1}(\lambda)$ and $\Delta A_{2}(\lambda)$ spectra obtained from global fitting are shown in the top (red), middle (blue), and bottom (green) panels, respectively.

In our case, the time trace recorded at 425 nm does not reveal any additional ultrafast dynamics that can be ascribed to aqueous $\mathrm{PhOH}^{\bullet+}$ (Fig. 1b). It is possible that the broad absorption signature of the phenol radical cation is concealed by the prominent absorption of the hydrated electron ${ }^{75}$ $\left(\varepsilon=3148 \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right.$ at 425 nm$) .^{76}$

## Global analysis of the time-resolved $\Delta \boldsymbol{A}$ spectra

To elucidate the timescales of the ultrafast dynamics encoded in the time-resolved $\Delta A$ spectra, we fit the $\Delta A$ time traces collected over the wavelength range of $365-450 \mathrm{~nm}$ and time delay range of $100-4455$ fs to the function

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta A(\lambda, t)= & \Delta A_{0}(\lambda)+\Delta A_{1}(\lambda)\left(\mathrm{e}^{-t / \tau_{\mathrm{d} 1}}-\mathrm{e}^{-t / \tau_{\mathrm{r} 1}}\right) \\
& +\Delta A_{2}(\lambda)\left(1-\mathrm{e}^{-t / \tau_{\mathrm{d} 2}}\right) \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

The form of eqn (2) is motivated by the following considerations. First, the component that appears immediately at timezero should be identified; in eqn (2), this corresponds to the $\Delta A_{0}(\lambda)$ term. Second, a term that accounts for the appearance and subsequent disappearance of the hydrated electron should be included; in eqn (2), this corresponds to the $\Delta A_{1}(\lambda)$ term, with rise and decay time constants of $\tau_{\mathrm{r} 1}$ and $\tau_{\mathrm{d} 1}$, respectively. Finally, a term that accounts for the spectral evolution that is associated with vibrational cooling is included; in eqn (2), this corresponds to the $\Delta A_{2}(\lambda)$ term, with an associated time constant $\tau_{\mathrm{d} 2}$.

The global fit reveals that $\Delta A$ rises with a characteristic time constant $\tau_{\mathrm{r} 1}=0.51 \pm 0.01 \mathrm{ps}$ and decays with time constant $\tau_{\mathrm{d} 1}$ $\sim 0.07 \mathrm{~ns}$, much longer than the pump-probe time window sampled in our measurements; these time constants are consistent with those obtained from our experiments on pure liquid water, performed under identical experimental conditions. In the case of ionized phenol, an additional decay constant $\tau_{\mathrm{d} 2}=1.8 \pm 0.1 \mathrm{ps}$ is found. The time traces of ionized aqueous phenol and ionized liquid water at 425 nm , along with their respective fit functions, are shown in Fig. 1b. The decay associated difference spectra, $\Delta A_{1}(\lambda)$ and $\Delta A_{2}(\lambda)$, and the offset at time-zero, $\Delta A_{0}(\lambda)$, are plotted in Fig. 1c.

The time constants $\tau_{\mathrm{r} 1}$ and $\tau_{\mathrm{d} 1}$ are assigned respectively to the timescales for the formation of the hydrated electron and its subsequent disappearance by geminate recombination. ${ }^{65,67,77-79}$ This assignment is supported by the similarity of the corresponding decay associated difference spectrum, $\Delta A_{1}(\lambda)$, to the absorption spectrum of the hydrated electron. Multiphoton ionization of the phenol solute and the liquid water solvent injects electrons into the conduction band of liquid water. Subsequent trapping of the electrons followed by vibrational cooling yields hydrated electrons. ${ }^{65-71}$ The hydrated electrons can undergo geminate recombination with the phenoxyl ( $\mathrm{PhO}^{\bullet}$ ) and hydroxyl $\left(\mathrm{OH}^{\bullet}\right)$ radicals, produced via the ionization of phenol and water, respectively. Although germinate recombination is characterized by nonexponential kinetics, ${ }^{67,80}$ it can be approximated as an exponential decay in
our analysis due to the narrow range of time delays ( $\sim 4 \mathrm{ps}$ ) sampled in our measurements. ${ }^{81,82}$

Fitting $\Delta A_{1}(\lambda)$ to the reported molar extinction coefficients of the hydrated electron ${ }^{76}$ yields an initial concentration of $29.4 \pm$ 0.1 mM for hydrated electrons produced from the ionization of aqueous phenol (Fig. S4a, ESI $\dagger$ ). Repeating the same analysis for the pure liquid water sample, strong-field-ionized under experimental conditions identical to those of aqueous phenol, yields an initial hydrated electron concentration of $26.3 \pm$ 0.1 mM (Fig. S4b, ESI $\dagger$ ). Since hydrated electrons can be produced from the aqueous phenol sample by the ionization of both the phenol solute and the water solvent, and assuming that the electrons that are injected from solute and solvent into the conduction band of water have the same probability of producing hydrated electrons, ${ }^{83,84}$ the difference between the two concentrations corresponds to the concentration of the ionized phenol solute, $c_{0}\left(\mathrm{PhOH}^{\bullet+}\right) \sim 3.1 \pm 0.2 \mathrm{mM}$. From this concentration, we obtain an ionization fraction of $\sim 2 \%$.

Aside from $\tau_{\mathrm{r} 1}$ and $\tau_{\mathrm{d} 1}$, global fitting also uncovers an additional time constant, $\tau_{\mathrm{d} 2}$, for ionized aqueous phenol. The corresponding decay associated difference spectrum, $\Delta A_{2}(\lambda)$, exhibits positive peaks at 384 nm and 399 nm (Fig. 1c), which coincide with the absorption maxima of the aqueous $\mathrm{PhO}^{\bullet}$ radical. ${ }^{72}$ The appearance of $\Delta A_{2}(\lambda)$, with positive peaks superimposed on a broad, positive feature skewed towards the long-wavelength side, implies the growth of the $\mathrm{PhO}^{\bullet}$ radical absorption peaks and the decrease of the longwavelength $\Delta A$ signal with time. Such a spectral evolution is suggestive of vibrational relaxation of the $\mathrm{PhO}^{\bullet}$ radical. As such, we tentatively assign $\tau_{\mathrm{d} 2}$ to the timescale for vibrational relaxation. This timescale is comparable to $\tau_{\mathrm{d} 2}=0.93 \pm 0.02 \mathrm{ps}$ recently reported for the related tyrosyl radical anion, produced by the sub-6 fs photodetachment of the tyrosinate dianion. ${ }^{82}$

It is interesting to compare the vibrational relaxation timescales, $\tau_{\mathrm{d} 2}$, for $\mathrm{PhO}^{\bullet}$ produced via two different routes: (1) the photoionization of phenol and (2) the photodetachment of phenoxide. The latter was the subject of a recent study; ${ }^{44}$ employing the data analysis procedure outlined here gives a vibrational relaxation time of $1.02 \pm 0.03 \mathrm{ps}$. By comparison, the vibrational relaxation of $\mathrm{PhO}^{\bullet}$ produced via the photoionization of aqueous phenol is $\sim 2 \times$ slower. The difference in the vibrational relaxation times reflects the different precursors to $\mathrm{PhO}^{\bullet}$ formation for the two vibrational relaxation pathways. In the case of pathway 1, the Franck-Condon region corresponds to the equilibrium geometry of aqueous PhOH , whereas the Franck-Condon region for pathway 2 corresponds to the equilibrium geometry of the $\mathrm{PhO}^{-}$anion. $A b$ initio calculations of the equilibrium geometries of $\mathrm{PhOH}, \mathrm{PhO}^{-}$, and $\mathrm{PhO}^{\bullet}$ (Fig. 2) show that pathway 1 is accompanied by a significantly larger extent of structural rearrangement than pathway 2 (Table 1). For example, the contraction of the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ bond length increases by a factor of $\sim 2 \times$ on going from pathway $2(\Delta R=-5.8 \mathrm{pm})$ to pathway $1(\Delta R=-11.0 \mathrm{pm})$. It is conceivable that the larger extent of structural rearrangement leads to slower vibrational relaxation dynamics.

Beyond the negative features located at 384 nm and 399 nm , the $\Delta A_{2}(\lambda)$ spectrum also exhibits dips at 419 nm and 441 nm .


Fig. 2 Equilibrium geometries of microhydrated phenol radical cations (a) $\mathrm{PhOH}^{\bullet+}-\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{3}$ and (b) $\mathrm{PhOH}^{\bullet+}-\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{5}$.

Table 1 Calculated bond lengths of phenol, phenoxide, and the phenoxyl radical. The differences in bond lengths between PhOH and $\mathrm{PhO}^{\bullet}$, and between $\mathrm{PhO}^{-}$and $\mathrm{PhO}^{\bullet}$, reflect the extent of structural rearrangement that are associated with pathways 1 and 2, respectively. The atoms are labelled based on the equilibrium geometry shown in Fig. 2

| Bond | Bond length/pm |  |  | $\underline{\text { Difference/pm }}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Phenol <br> (A) | Phenoxide anion (B) | Phenoxyl <br> radical (C) | (C)-(A) | (C)-(B) |
| C1-C2 | 139.6 | 141.7 | 144.8 | 5.2 | 3.1 |
| C2-C3 | 139.5 | 139.3 | 137.2 | -2.3 | -2.1 |
| C3-C4 | 139.5 | 139.8 | 141.1 | 1.6 | 1.3 |
| C4-C5 | 139.6 | 139.8 | 141.1 | 1.5 | 1.3 |
| C5-C6 | 139.3 | 139.3 | 137.2 | -2.1 | -2.1 |
| C1-C6 | 139.6 | 141.7 | 144.8 | 5.2 | 3.1 |
| C1-O7 | 137.8 | 132.6 | 126.8 | -11 | -5.8 |

These four features have a constant energy separation of $1135 \pm$ $34 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (Fig. S5, ESI $\dagger$ ) that is comparable to that observed in the ${ }^{2} \mathrm{~B}_{1} \leftarrow{ }^{2} \mathrm{~B}_{1}$ absorption band of the aqueous $\mathrm{PhO}{ }^{\bullet}$ radical, ${ }^{72}$ suggesting that they arise from the same vibronic progression. The dips at 419 nm and 441 nm appear in an overall positive region of the $\Delta A_{2}(\lambda)$ spectrum, thus implying a decay, albeit with reduced decay amplitude. It should be noted that the ${ }^{2} \mathrm{~B}_{1} \leftarrow{ }^{2} \mathrm{~B}_{1}$ absorption band of the vibrationally cold $\mathrm{PhO}{ }^{\bullet}$ radical does not exhibit peaks at 419 nm and $441 \mathrm{~nm} ;^{72}$ as such, their appearance in the $\Delta A_{2}(\lambda)$ spectrum suggests that they are vibrationally hot bands, consistent with the PhO* radical being produced in a vibrationally excited state from the $\mathrm{PhOH}^{\bullet+}$ precursor.

According to eqn (2), the spectrum $\Delta A_{2}(\lambda)$ in principle represents the $\Delta A$ spectrum recorded at 0 fs time delay. However, since global fitting is performed from 100 fs time delay onwards, it is more appropriate to consider $\Delta A_{0}(\lambda)$ as the $\Delta A$ spectrum recorded in the first $\sim 100 \mathrm{fs}$. The appearance of spectral signatures of the $\mathrm{PhO}^{\bullet}$ radical absorption (at 383 nm and 400 nm ) in $\Delta A_{0}(\lambda)$ therefore suggests that proton transfer from $\mathrm{PhOH}^{\bullet+}$ occurs on the $\sim 100$ fs timescale. The $\mathrm{PhO}^{\bullet}$ radical absorption sits atop an offset absorption that extends beyond the maximum wavelength of 450 nm probed in the experiments. This offset could be due to a combination of the absorption of the vibrationally hot $\mathrm{PhO}^{\bullet}$ radical and the $\mathrm{PhOH}^{\bullet+}$ radical cation. We note that the absorption at 425 nm that was previously attributed ${ }^{73}$ to $\mathrm{PhOH}^{\bullet+}$ is not observed in
$\Delta A_{0}(\lambda)$, perhaps due to the different ionization mechanisms. In ref. $73, \mathrm{PhOH}^{++}$is likely produced via autoionization following 6.2 eV excitation of PhOH , whereas the use of intense, few-cycle laser pulses in the present work is expected to drive vertical, multiphoton ionization of PhOH . While autoionization conceivably yields vibrationally cold $\mathrm{PhOH}^{\bullet+}$, vertical ionization results in vibrationally hot $\mathrm{PhOH}^{\bullet+}$. The excess vibrational energy that results from vertical ionization likely accelerates proton transfer, thus preventing the clear spectroscopic observation of the $\mathrm{PhOH}^{\bullet+}$ species in the present work. Aqueous $\mathrm{PhOH}^{\bullet+}$ is expected to yield a $\Delta A$ signal of $\sim 4 \times 10^{-3}$ peaked at 419 nm , based on the concentration of ionized PhOH , $c_{0}\left(\mathrm{PhOH}^{\bullet+}\right) \sim 3 \mathrm{mM}$, and both the molar extinction coefficient and the position of the absorption maximum of $\mathrm{PhOH}^{\bullet+}$ in concentrated aqueous acid $\left(\varepsilon_{\max }=1800 \pm 150 \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right.$ at 419 nm ). Although the peak at 419 nm in $\Delta A_{0}(\lambda)$ more likely originates from a hot band transition of the $\mathrm{PhO}^{\bullet}$ radical (see above) - it is too narrow to be attributed to the broad differential absorption expected for the $\mathrm{PhOH}^{\bullet+}$ radical cation - we cannot exclude the possibility of an underlying, broad absorption due to the $\mathrm{PhOH}^{\bullet+}$ radical cation.

## Vibrational wave packet dynamics induced by the photoionization of aqueous phenol

Beyond the temporal evolution of the $\Delta A$ signal due to hydrated electron population dynamics and the vibrational relaxation of the $\mathrm{PhO}^{\bullet}$ radical, the time-resolved $\Delta A$ spectra also exhibit
oscillatory features that encode vibrational wave packet dynamics. To analyze the wave packet dynamics quantitatively, we compute the spectral first-moment time trace $\mathrm{E}(t)$, given as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle E(t)\rangle=\frac{\int_{E_{\mathrm{i}}}^{E_{\mathrm{f}}} E \Delta A(E, t) \mathrm{d} E}{\int_{E_{\mathrm{i}}}^{E_{\mathrm{f}}} \Delta A(E, t) \mathrm{d} E} . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the above expression, $E$ represents the probe photon energy, $t$ is the pump-probe time delay and $\Delta A(E, t)$ is the differential absorbance as a function of probe photon energy and pump-probe time delay. $E_{\mathrm{i}}$ and $E_{\mathrm{f}}$ define the spectral range over which $\langle E(t)\rangle$ is computed; in this case, $E_{\mathrm{i}}$ and $E_{\mathrm{f}}$ correspond to $2.95 \mathrm{eV}(420 \mathrm{~nm})$ and $2.88 \mathrm{eV}(430 \mathrm{~nm})$, respectively. To minimize possible contributions from coherent artifacts, $\langle E(t)\rangle$ is computed only from a time delay of 0.1 ps onward. The resultant $\langle E(t)\rangle$ trace is shown in Fig. 3a, with the inset showing a zoomed-in view of $\langle E(t)\rangle$ in the range of $0.1-1$ ps. Fast-Fourier transform (FFT) of the $\langle E(t)\rangle$ trace yields its FFT power spectrum (Fig. 3b), from which the frequencies of vibrational modes that contribute to $\langle E(t)\rangle$ can be identified. To aid the assignment of the observed FFT frequencies, experiments were also performed on the isotopomer, phenol-OD (PhOD), dissolved in $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The $\langle E(t)\rangle$ time trace obtained for ionized aqueous PhOD and its corresponding FFT power spectrum are shown in Fig. 3c and d, respectively. Table 2 summarizes the FFT frequencies and normalized FFT powers for the peaks observed in the FFT power spectra.


Fig. 3 (a) First-moment time trace, $E(t)$, of ionized aqueous PhOH and its time-domain fit. The inset shows the enlarged $E(t)$ from 0.1 ps to 1 ps. (b) FFT power spectrum of the first-moment time trace of ionized aqueous phenol. The $E(t)$ time trace and the FFT power spectrum of ionized aqueous PhOD are shown in (c) and (d), respectively. The features marked by an arrow are assigned to the OH (OD) bend and wag modes of PhOH (PhOD). Note that the $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ vibrational frequencies, located at $1552 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and $2334 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, respectively, are also observed due to the experiment being performed in air.

Table 2 Frequencies of vibrational modes that are observed following the ionization of PhOH and PhOD , along with their associated FFT powers. The calculated vibrational frequencies and assignments are those of the microhydrated phenoxyl radical, ${ }^{44}$ unless stated otherwise

| Phenol |  | Phenol-OD |  | Calculated frequency ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) | Assignment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Frequency ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) | Power (norm.) | Frequency ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) | Power (norm.) |  |  |
|  |  | 529 | $1.8 \times 10^{-2}$ | 541 | CCC bend |
| 814 | $1.5 \times 10^{-2}$ | 808 | $1.6 \times 10^{-1}$ | 818 | Ring breath/CCC bend |
| 970 | $3.2 \times 10^{-2}$ | 984 | $7.9 \times 10^{-2}$ | 988 | CCC trig bend |
| 1002 | 1 | 1001 | 1 | 1006 | Ring breath/CH bend |
| 1025 | $8.2 \times 10^{-2}$ | 1027 | $8.0 \times 10^{-2}$ | 1007 | HCCH torsion |
| 1174 | $7.2 \times 10^{-3}$ |  |  | 1164 | CH bend |
| 1268 | $3.2 \times 10^{-3}$ | 1260 | $5.0 \times 10^{-3}$ | 1295 | CC str/CH bend |
| 1228 | $8.3 \times 10^{-3}$ | 835 | $1.6 \times 10^{-2}$ | 1197 (870) | OH (OD) wag ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| 1502 | $7.0 \times 10^{-2}$ | 1159 | $6.0 \times 10^{-3}$ | 1414 (1170) | OH (OD) bend ${ }^{a}$ |
|  |  | 2364 | $6.5 \times 10^{-2}$ |  | $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ OD stretch |
|  |  | 2469 | $4.7 \times 10^{-3}$ |  |  |
| 1557 | $1.1 \times 10^{-2}$ | 1553 | $7.7 \times 10^{-3}$ |  | $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ |
| 2332 | $6.7 \times 10^{-3}$ | 2328 | $6.7 \times 10^{-3}$ |  | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ |
| ${ }^{a}$ Vibrational mode of $\mathrm{PhOH}^{\bullet+}$ or $\mathrm{PhOD}^{\bullet+}$. |  |  |  |  |  |

Our recent studies of the vibrational wave packet dynamics of radical species prepared by strong-field photoionization or photodetachment show that the displacive mechanism for the generation of vibrational coherences can be extended from photoexcitation to photoionization ${ }^{85,86}$ or photodetachment. ${ }^{82,87,88}$ According to this mechanism, wave packet motion is launched along vibrational modes for which the equilibrium geometries of the species before and after electron ejection are displaced. Extending this analysis to the present work would require us to consider the equilibrium geometries of neutral PhOH and the $\mathrm{PhOH}^{\bullet+}$ radical cation. However, since the time-resolved $\Delta A$ spectra recorded over $0.1-4 \mathrm{ps}$ is dominated by the absorption signature of the $\mathrm{PhO}^{\bullet}$ radical, without any obvious sign of the $\mathrm{PhOH}^{\bullet+}$ radical cation absorption, we expect the observed vibrational wave packet dynamics to originate primarily from the $\mathrm{PhO}^{\bullet}$ radical as well. Table 2 gives the assignments of the observed vibrational frequencies obtained from DFT calculations. We note that there is no evidence of impulsive stimulated Raman scattering (ISRS) of phenol since the $\Delta A$ signal and its associated time-domain oscillations disappear when the ionizing-pump power is attenuated to suppress strong-field photoionization.

Wave packet dynamics could be initiated in $\mathrm{PhO}^{\circ}$, the proton transfer product, via two possible pathways. First, ultrafast proton transfer from $\mathrm{PhOH}^{\bullet+}$ could serve as an impulse for generating vibrational coherences in $\mathrm{PhO}^{\bullet}$. In this mechanism, non-reactive vibrational modes of $\mathrm{PhOH}^{\bullet+}$, whose frequencies are lower than the reaction rate, are essentially frozen during an ultrafast chemical reaction. As a result, the vibrational probability densities of $\mathrm{PhOH}^{\bullet+}$ along these modes are projected onto the PES of $\mathrm{PhO}^{\bullet}$, thus yielding a nonstationary vibrational state. Such reaction-driven coherences have been observed in photofragments following ultrafast photodissociation. ${ }^{89,90}$ Second, vibrational wave packet motion could be launched in $\mathrm{PhOH}^{\bullet+}$ following the displacive mechanism uncovered in our recent work. ${ }^{82,85-87}$ Subsequent vibrational coherence transfer to the $\mathrm{PhO}^{\bullet}$ product is possible for vibrational modes that are weakly coupled to proton transfer
and that exhibit minimal vibrational coordinate transformation between the $\mathrm{PhOH}^{\bullet+}$ reactant and the $\mathrm{PhO}^{\bullet}$ product. The transfer of vibrational coherence between different electronic states was recently observed in transition metal complexes after ultrafast intersystem crossing. ${ }^{91,92}$ As discussed below, our experimental results favor the mechanism involving vibrational coherence transfer.

To obtain further insight into the vibrational wave packet dynamics, we analyze the $E(t)$ traces for photoionized aqueous PhOH and PhOD in the time domain by fitting them to a sum of exponentially damped cosine functions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle E(t)\rangle=\sum_{i=1}^{n} A_{i} \mathrm{e}^{-t / T_{2, i}} \cos \left(\omega_{i} t+\phi_{i}\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the above expression, $A_{i}, T_{2}, i, \omega_{i}$ and $\phi_{i}$ are the amplitude, dephasing time, vibrational frequency and phase of the vibrational mode $Q_{i}$, respectively. The fit parameters for PhOH and PhOD are summarized in Tables S1 and S2 (ESI $\dagger$ ), respectively. Compared to FFT analysis, time-domain fitting directly furnishes the dephasing times of the vibrational modes. To gauge the accuracy of the time-domain fits, which employ a large number of fit parameters, we compute the FFT power spectra of the best-fit lines and compare them to the FFT power spectra of the data for both PhOH and PhOD (Figs. S7a and b, ESI $\dagger$ ). The good agreement between the FFT power spectra suggests that the results obtained from the time-domain analysis are reliable.

While most of the vibrational frequencies have dephasing times on the order of a few hundred femtoseconds to a few picoseconds, some vibrational frequencies exhibit dephasing times of $\sim 0.1 \mathrm{ps}$ (Table 3). With the aid of ab initio calculations, we assign these short-lived vibrational frequencies to the phenolic $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{D})$ bend and wag modes of the $\mathrm{PhOH}^{\bullet+}$ ( $\mathrm{PhOD}^{\bullet+}$ ) radical cation (see below). Support for our assignment comes from the observation that the $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}$ bend and wag frequencies are shifted upon deuteration to yield frequencies for the O-D bend and wag modes with similarly short dephasing times $(\sim 0.1 \mathrm{ps})$. At the same time, we exclude the

Table 3 Frequency components with ultrafast dephasing times, obtained from time-domain analysis of $E(t)$ of aqueous phenol and phenol-OD radical cations. The calculated frequencies and their assignments are also given

| Phenol |  | Phenol-OD |  | Calculated frequency $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ | Assignment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Frequency ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) | Dephasing time (ps) | Frequency ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) | Dephasing time (ps) |  |  |
| $1246 \pm 4$ | $0.18 \pm 0.02$ | $839 \pm 4$ | $0.14 \pm 0.02$ | 1197 (870) | OH (OD) wag |
| $1497 \pm 5$ | $0.16 \pm 0.02$ | $1166 \pm 6$ | $0.07 \pm 0.01$ | 1414 (1170) | $\mathrm{OH}(\mathrm{OD})$ bend |

contribution of the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ stretch, $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ stretch, and $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bend modes, whose frequencies appear in a similar frequency range as the $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}$ bend and wag modes (Table S3), because these modes do not shift appreciably upon deuteration. We note that time-domain fitting does not yield the $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{D}$ stretching modes, predicted to be at $2593 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and $1909 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, respectively. This peculiarity will be addressed below by examining the $a b$ initio PES for proton transfer. Nevertheless, the ultrafast dephasing of the $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{D}$ bend and wag modes suggests that the $\mathrm{PhOH}^{\bullet+}$ and $\mathrm{PhOD}^{\bullet+}$ radical cations exhibit ultrafast proton transfer, which leads to their rapid depopulation, and hence, the rapid loss of vibrational coherence. The detection of vibrational coherences from transient species whose differential absorption signatures are not apparent is remarkable but not unprecedented - we recently demonstrated the possibility of resolving the vibrational wave packet dynamics of the tryptophan radical, prepared by the strong-field photodetachment of the aqueous tryptophan anion, even though its absorption signature in the visible region is obscured by the strong absorption band of the hydrated electron byproduct. ${ }^{87}$ We note that the linewidths of FFT spectral features that are assigned to the $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{D}$ modes appear narrower than expected - a dephasing time $T_{2}$ is expected to yield a FWHM linewidth of $1 / \sqrt{2} \pi c T_{2}$ for the associated peak in the FFT power spectrum. We tentatively attribute this discrepancy to possible interference from nearby frequency components, which result in the narrowing of the lineshape.

Interestingly, time-domain analysis of ionized PhOD reveals vibrational frequencies at $2373 \pm 1 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and $2498 \pm 2 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, with comparable ultrafast dephasing times of $0.07 \pm 0.01 \mathrm{ps}$ and $0.06 \pm 0.01 \mathrm{ps}$, respectively. In the FFT power spectrum (Fig. 3d), the $2373 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ component appears as a peak whereas the $2498 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ component appears as a dip, suggesting that these two frequency components do not oscillate in phase. The O-D stretch frequency for aqueous neutral $\mathrm{PhOD}^{93}$ of $2670 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ excludes the possibility that these frequency components are produced via ISRS of aqueous neutral PhOD. Strong-field ionization of pure liquid $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, however, yields an O-D stretch frequency of $2370 \pm 2 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in both the timedomain analysis and the FFT power spectrum (Fig. S6, ESI $\dagger$ ). This result suggests that the $2373 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ component arises from the bulk $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ solvent response, whereas the $2498 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ component is associated with the ionization of aqueous PhOD. The origin of the latter frequency requires further investigation. Here, we tentatively assign it to the $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{D}$ stretch of the $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ molecules that are hydrogen-bonded to the oxygen lone pairs of PhOD. Upon ionization to give $\mathrm{PhOD}^{\bullet+}$, the weakened intermolecular $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{Ph}} \cdots \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ hydrogen bond results in a blueshift of the
intramolecular O-D stretch frequency of bulk $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. Further support for this assignment comes from the ultrashort dephasing time of the $2498 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ component, suggesting that it is associated with the solvent, albeit not in the bulk. Its observation suggests that the primary hydration shell of ionized molecules in aqueous solution also could undergo intramolecular structural rearrangement.

## Estimated lifetime of the aqueous phenol radical cation

Following our tentative assignment of the vibrational frequencies listed in Table 3, we seek to estimate the lifetimes of the transient $\mathrm{PhOH}^{\bullet+}$ and $\mathrm{PhOD}^{\bullet+}$ radical cations from the measured dephasing times. Within the optical Bloch picture for a quantum beat involving states $|a\rangle$ and $|b\rangle$, the dephasing time $T_{2}$ is related to the population lifetimes of the individual states, $T_{1 a}$ and $T_{1 b}$, and the pure dephasing time, $T_{2}^{*}$, via the relation ${ }^{94}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{T_{2}}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{T_{1 a}}+\frac{1}{T_{1 b}}\right)+\frac{1}{T_{2}^{*}} . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the present context, $|a\rangle$ and $|b\rangle$ correspond to the vibrational ground and excited states of the vibrational modes of phenol radical cation with dominant OH or OD character. The vibrational coherent superposition leads to wave packet motion involving the phenolic OH or OD bond. These vibrational states undergo depopulation via proton transfer; in addition, the vibrationally excited state can also undergo intramolecular vibrational relaxation (IVR), i.e., $1 / T_{1 a}=$ $1 / \tau_{\mathrm{PT}, a}$ and $1 / T_{1 b}=1 / \tau_{\mathrm{PT}, b}+1 / \tau_{\mathrm{IVR}}$, where $\tau_{\mathrm{PT}, i}$ is the proton transfer timescale for state $|i\rangle$ and $\tau_{\mathrm{IVR}}$ is the IVR timescale (Fig. S8, ESI $\dagger$ ). Hence, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\tau_{\mathrm{PT}, a}}+\frac{1}{\tau_{\mathrm{PT}, b}}=\frac{2}{T_{2}}-\frac{2}{T_{2}^{*}}-\frac{1}{\tau_{\mathrm{IVR}}} . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Eqn 6 can be further simplified by considering two limiting scenarios. First, in the limit that $\tau_{\mathrm{PT}, a} \gg \tau_{\mathrm{PT}, b}$, i.e., the proton transfer reaction is greatly accelerated by vibrational excitation, ${ }^{95-98}$ we obtain the proton transfer time, $\tau_{\mathrm{PT}} \sim \tau_{\mathrm{PT}, b}$, given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{\mathrm{PT}}=\left(\frac{2}{T_{2}}-\frac{2}{T_{2}^{*}}-\frac{1}{\tau_{\mathrm{IVR}}}\right)^{-1} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Second, when the proton transfer rate is independent of vibrational excitation, i.e., $\tau_{\mathrm{PT}} \sim \tau_{\mathrm{PT}, a} \sim \tau_{\mathrm{PT}, b}$, a scenario that we deem unlikely, we obtain the proton transfer time

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{\mathrm{PT}}=\left(\frac{1}{T_{2}}-\frac{1}{T_{2}^{*}}-\frac{1}{2 \tau_{\mathrm{IVR}}}\right)^{-1} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Eqn (7) and (8) imply lower bounds of $T_{2} / 2$ and $T_{2}$, respectively, for $\tau_{\text {PT }}$. The existence of two possible lower bounds arises
from the unknown ratio of the two proton transfer time constants, $\tau_{\mathrm{PT}, a} / \tau_{\mathrm{PT}, b}$, a possible topic for future investigations. Here, we consider eqn (7) to furnish the lower bound, i.e., $\tau_{\mathrm{PT}}>T_{2} / 2$. Together with the typical $\gtrsim 1 \mathrm{ps}$ timescales for $T_{2}^{*}$ and $\tau_{\mathrm{IVR}},{ }^{99,100}$ greater than the observed dephasing times $T_{2}$, we obtain $\tau_{\mathrm{PT}} \sim T_{2} / 2$. In this case, the timescale $\tau_{\mathrm{PT}} \sim 0.1 \mathrm{ps}$ suggests that proton transfer cannot be the impulse for creating vibrational coherences in the $\mathrm{PhO}^{\bullet}$ product because it does not meet the criterion $\tau_{\mathrm{PT}}<2 \pi / \omega_{i}$, required to excite vibrational modes of frequency $\omega_{i}$ observed in the FFT power spectra (Fig. 3b and d).

## $A b$ initio vibrational frequency calculations

To compute the vibrational frequencies of the phenol radical cation by $a b$ initio simulations, we first construct a model of the phenol radical cation in its non-proton transferred geometry. For this, we employ the microhydrated $\mathrm{PhOH}^{\bullet+}-\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{3}$ model, comprising three water molecules directly hydrogen-bonded to the phenolic OH group, with one water molecule serving as a hydrogen bond acceptor and two water molecules serving as hydrogen bond donors. This microhydrated model is further embedded in a polarizable continuum to mimic the dielectric environment of the water solvent. The optimized geometry of $\mathrm{PhOH}^{\bullet+}-\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{3}$ is shown in Fig. 2a. The $\mathrm{O} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ distance between $\mathrm{PhOH}^{\bullet+}$ and the hydrogen bond accepting $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{Ph}} \cdots \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{a}}$, is $2.533 \AA$, whereas the $\mathrm{O} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ distance between $\mathrm{PhOH}^{\bullet+}$ and the hydrogen bond donating $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ molecules, $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{Ph}} \cdots \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{d}}$, is $3.269 \AA$. These $\mathrm{O} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ distances are consistent with those expected for hydrogen bonded systems. ${ }^{101,102}$ The phenol radical cation $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}$ bond length, $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{Ph}}-\mathrm{H}$, is $1.030 \AA$, similar to $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{Ph}}-\mathrm{H}$ of $0.987 \AA$ for neutral $\mathrm{PhOH}-\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{3}$ with the same hydrogen bonding configuration, confirming that proton transfer does not occur in $\mathrm{PhOH}^{\bullet+}-\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{3}$. This result is supported by the calculated potential energy curve along the $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{Ph}}-\mathrm{H}$ coordinate (Fig. $\mathrm{S} 9, \mathrm{ESI} \dagger$ ) and is also consistent with previous ab initio calculations and experiments performed on the gas-phase $\mathrm{PhOH}^{\bullet+}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, which does not exhibit proton transfer.

The absence of proton transfer in $\mathrm{PhOH}^{\bullet+}-\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{3}$ suggests its possible use as a model for the transient aqueous phenol radical cation. The vibrational frequencies of $\mathrm{PhOH}^{\bullet+}-\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{3}$ and $\mathrm{PhOD}^{\bullet+}-\left(\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{3}$, along with those obtained for isolated $\mathrm{PhOH}^{\bullet+}$ and $\mathrm{PhOD}^{\bullet+}$, in all cases with PCM solvation, are summarized in Table S3 (ESI $\dagger$ ). Comparison of the vibrational frequencies obtained for the microhydrated and isolated species suggests that explicit solvation can lead to large changes in the calculated vibrational frequencies. The calculated $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}$ $(\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{D})$ bend and wag frequencies for $\mathrm{PhOH}^{\bullet+}-\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{3}$ $\left(\mathrm{PhOD}^{\bullet+}-\left(\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{3}\right)$, summarized in Table 3 , are in good agreement with the frequencies of the short-lived vibrational modes obtained from time-domain analysis and assigned to the transient phenol radical cation. However, the $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{D}$ stretch frequencies, predicted to be at $2593 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and $1909 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, respectively, are notably missing from the experimental data.

## $A b$ initio calculation of ultrafast proton transfer

The unobserved vibrational coherences of the $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{D}$ stretches of the phenol radical cation are indicative of the
coupling of these modes to the reaction coordinate for proton transfer, in analogy with the previously observed quenching of coherences along vibrational modes that drive internal conversion, ${ }^{103}$ intersystem crossing, ${ }^{104}$ electron transfer, ${ }^{105}$ and ESIPT. ${ }^{19}$ To explore this possibility, we employ DFT to calculate the reduced PES for proton transfer. Previous experimental and theoretical studies have shown that proton transfer occurs when the water molecule in $\mathrm{PhOH}^{\bullet+}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ is further hydrogenbonded to two hydrogen bond-accepting water molecules. ${ }^{32,33}$ In our work, we coordinate two water molecules to the hydrogen bond-accepting water molecule in $\mathrm{PhOH}^{\bullet+}-\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{3}$, yielding $\mathrm{PhOH}^{\bullet+}-\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{5}$. Its optimized geometry clearly reflects proton
 relative to $1.002 \AA$ in neutral $\mathrm{PhOH}-\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{5}$. Using this model, we performed a 2 D constrained geometry optimization along the $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{Ph}}-\mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{Ph}} \cdots \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{a}}$ coordinates to generate a 2D PES. The choice of these coordinates is motivated by earlier studies of excess proton transport in water, ${ }^{106,107}$ which reveal the need to include both the $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}$ distance and the intermolecular proton donor-acceptor $\mathrm{O} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ distance in models of proton transfer. Moreover, an investigation of proton transfer in $\mathrm{PhOH}^{\bullet+}-\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ has shown that the modeling of ultrafast proton transfer must include changes in the intermolecular $\mathrm{O} \cdots \mathrm{N}$ distance. ${ }^{36}$

The PES obtained from our 2D constrained geometry optimization is shown in Fig. 4a, where the dashed line denotes the minimum energy path. Fig. 4b shows the plot of the potential energy as a function of $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{Ph}}-\mathrm{H}$ distance along the minimum energy path as well as a section of the PES where the $O_{P h} \cdots O_{a}$ distance is fixed at that of the Franck-Condon region $(2.617 \AA)$.
 the Franck-Condon region yields a stabilization energy of 0.265 eV for proton transfer, albeit with a 99-meV-high barrier (Fig. 4b, dashed line). The minimum energy path, on the other hand, is barrierless and energetically downhill by 0.271 eV (Fig. 4b, solid line). The contraction of the $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{Ph}} \cdots \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{a}}$ distance enroute to proton transfer along the minimum energy path from 2.617 Å at the Franck-Condon region to $2.367 \AA$, where the proton transfer is barrierless, before reaching $2.565 \AA$ in the proton-transferred form - is consistent with the results obtained from theoretical studies of excess proton transport in water. ${ }^{106,107}$ The coupling between $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{Ph}}-\mathrm{H}$ elongation and the intermolecular $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{Ph}} \cdots \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{a}}$ hindered translational mode implies an $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{Ph}}-\mathrm{H}$ stretch frequency that changes with $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{Ph}} \cdots \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{a}}$ distance, a condition that favors the ultrafast dephasing of vibrational wave packet motion along the $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{H} \text { stretch coordinate. }}^{\text {cher }}$ Other features of the $a b$ initio PES that facilitate the rapid loss of phase coherence include the highly anharmonic nature of the $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{Ph}}-\mathrm{H}$ stretching mode itself, evident from comparing the potential energy curves of $\mathrm{PhOH}^{\bullet+}-\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{3}$ (Fig. S9, ESI $\dagger$ ) and $\mathrm{PhOH}^{\bullet+}-\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{5}$, as well as the large possible displacement of the wave packet along the $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{Ph}}-\mathrm{H}$ coordinate, which enhances anharmonic coupling of the $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{Ph}}-\mathrm{H}$ stretch to other vibrational modes. Taken together, these factors promote the ultrafast dephasing of the $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{Ph}}-\mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{Ph}}-\mathrm{D}$ stretch, thus possibly accounting for the unobserved vibrational coherence of these modes.
(a)

(b)


Fig. 4 (a) 2D PES for the PT of the microhydrated phenol radical cation, $\mathrm{PhOH}^{\bullet+}-\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{5}$, as a function of $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{Ph}} \cdots \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{a}}$ and $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{Ph}}-\mathrm{H}$ distances. The locations of the Franck-Condon region and the proton transfer product are denoted "FC" and "PT", respectively, and the connecting minimum energy path is represented by the dashed line. (b) Potential energy curves of $\mathrm{PhOH}^{\bullet+}-\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{5}$ as a function of $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{Ph}}-\mathrm{H}$ distance along the minimum energy path (red solid line) and where the $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{Ph}} \cdots \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{a}}$ distance is fixed at that of the Franck-Condon region (blue dashed line).

Aside from its role in facilitating ultrafast dephasing, the concomitant contraction of the intermolecular $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{Ph}} \cdots \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{a}}$ distance during $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{Ph}}-\mathrm{H}$ elongation also imposes a lower limit on the timescale for proton transfer. Fig. 4a shows that the $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{Ph}} \cdots \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{a}}$ distance oscillates by approximately one period as the reaction proceeds from the Franck-Condon region $(2.617 \AA)$ to the proton-transferred form ( $2.565 \AA$ ) along the minimum-energy path. According to $a b$ initio simulations of the $\mathrm{PhOH}^{++}-\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{3}$ model, the vibrational frequency of the $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{Ph}}-\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{a}}$ hindered translation mode is $207 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. The corresponding vibrational period of 161 fs is therefore expected to dictate the minimum timescale on which proton transfer occurs, consistent with the experimentally determined $\tau_{\mathrm{PT}} \sim 0.1 \mathrm{ps}$.

We perform quantum dynamics calculations on the reduced $a b$ initio PES to compute the theoretical lifetime of the phenol radical cation. The calculated vibrational probability densities at 0,100 , and 200 fs are shown in Fig. 5a-c as contour plots. The vibrational probability density at 0 fs (Fig. 5a) corresponds to the wave packet in the Franck-Condon region, obtained by diagonalizing the 2D reduced Hamiltonian on the $\mathrm{PhOH}-\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{5}$ potential and projecting it onto the $\mathrm{PhOH}^{\bullet+}-\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{5}$ radical cation surface. A secondary contour feature emerges at 100 fs (Fig. 5b), with $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{Ph}}-\mathrm{H}$ distances increasing to $>1.5 \AA$ and $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{Ph}} \cdots \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{a}}$ distances decreasing to $<2.4 \AA$, supporting the notion that proton transfer is promoted by the


Fig. 5 Quantum dynamics simulations of proton transfer in aqueous PhOH ${ }^{\bullet+}$ yields the time-dependent vibrational probability density at time delays of (a) 0 fs, (b) 100 fs, and (c) 200 fs.
simultaneous contraction of the $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{Ph}} \cdots \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{a}}$ intermolecular distance. Fig. 5c shows that the proton transferred form is the dominant species by 200 fs , with the vibrational probability density peaking at the $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{Ph}}-\mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{Ph}} \cdots \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{a}}$ distances that correspond to the equilibrium PT geometry. To quantify the lifetime of the $\mathrm{PhOH}^{\bullet+}$ radical cation, we determine the population in the Franck-Condon region as a function of time. The resultant time trace shows that the population in the Franck-Condon region decreases rapidly, reaching its $1 / e$ value in 193 fs (Fig. 6). This suggests a lifetime of 193 fs for the aqueous $\mathrm{PhOH}^{\bullet+}$ radical cation, consistent with our experimental results. Interestingly, inspection of the time-dependent population reveals a weak modulation with a frequency of $229 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (Fig. 6 inset), assigned to the vibrational frequency of the $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{Ph}} \cdots \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{a}}$ intermolecular hindered translation mode, in good agreement with the frequency of $207 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ obtained from ab initio vibrational frequency calculations.

## Conclusion

We have employed femtosecond optical pump-probe spectroscopy to investigate the ultrafast dynamics of ionized aqueous


Fig. 6 Calculated population dynamics of aqueous $\mathrm{PhOH}^{\bullet+}$, obtained from $a b$ initio quantum dynamics simulations. The population decays to a $1 / e$ value in 193 fs . The inset shows the FFT power spectrum, which reveals an oscillatory frequency of $229 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
phenol. Intense laser pulses of sub-6 fs duration are used to drive the strong-field multiphoton ionization of aqueous phenol via a four-photon process. Global analysis of the resultant time-resolved $\Delta A$ spectra performed from 100 fs onwards does not reveal a clear spectral signature for the $\mathrm{PhOH}^{\bullet+}$ radical cation ionization product. Instead, the absorption signature of $\mathrm{PhO}^{\bullet}$ dominates the $\Delta A$ spectra, indicating the rapid depopulation of the $\mathrm{PhOH}^{\bullet+}$ radical cation by proton transfer. Timedomain analysis of the vibrational wave packet dynamics, however, reveals distinct vibrational frequencies that can be assigned to the $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}$ wag and bend modes of $\mathrm{PhOH}^{\bullet+}$. These assignments are supported by ab initio vibrational frequency calculations and isotopic substitution experiments performed on ionized aqueous PhOD in $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. From the dephasing times of these vibrational frequencies, we obtain an estimated lifetime of $\sim 0.1 \mathrm{ps}$ for the $\mathrm{PhOH}^{\bullet+}$ radical cation. The reduced PES obtained from $a b$ initio calculations suggests that proton transfer is coupled to the intermolecular $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{Ph}} \cdots \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{a}}$ hindered translation between $\mathrm{PhOH}^{\bullet+}$ and the neighboring proton-accepting water molecule. Contraction of the $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{Ph}} \cdots \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{a}}$ distance leads to barrierless proton transfer, analogous to excess proton transport in water. ${ }^{106,107}$ As such, the frequency of this intermolecular motion, found to be $207 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ according to ab initio calculations, dictates the timescale for proton transfer and is consistent with $\tau_{\mathrm{PT}} \sim 0.1 \mathrm{ps}$. This timescale is supported by quantum dynamics calculations, performed on the reduced $a b$ initio PES, which yield a $\mathrm{PhOH}^{\bullet+}$ lifetime of 193 fs . Within the context of radiation damage induced by ionizing radiation, ultrafast proton transfer is an effective channel for diminishing the chemical reactivity of $\mathrm{PhOH}^{\bullet+}$ because the PT product, $\mathrm{PhO}^{\bullet}$, is a weaker oxidant $\left[E_{\mathrm{red}}^{0}\left(\mathrm{PhO}^{\bullet}\right)=0.86 \mathrm{~V} v s\right.$. $E_{\text {red }}^{0}\left(\mathrm{PhOH}^{\bullet+}\right)=1.6 \mathrm{~V}$; both potentials referenced to NHE]. ${ }^{108}$

It is instructive to compare the ultrafast proton transfer observed herein with intermolecular excited-state proton transfer to solvent (ESPTS). Previous extensive investigations of intermolecular ESPTS have correlated the timescale for proton transfer with $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}^{*}{ }^{24}$ The strongest photoacids have $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}^{*}<-7$ and exhibit $\tau_{\mathrm{PT}} \sim 100 \mathrm{fs}$, limited by the frequency of the intermolecular vibration between the proton donor and proton
acceptor. Consistent with this classification, time-resolved fluorescence upconversion performed on the recently discovered superphotoacid, quinone cyanine 9 (QCy9), with a $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}^{*}$ of -8.5 , revealed proton transfer occurring on the $\sim 100$ fs timescale; ${ }^{25}$ subsequent measurements with improved time resolution elucidated a biphasic proton transfer process, characterized by time constants of $\sim 40 \mathrm{fs}$ and $\sim 200 \mathrm{fs}{ }^{28}{ }^{28}$ In the spirit of correlating the $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ to the timescale for proton transfer, we note that a recent study of the photodetached tryptophan anion revealed that the indole radical cation sidechain, with a p $K_{\mathrm{a}}$ of $4.2,{ }^{109-111}$ is stable with respect to PT on the few-picosecond timescale. In comparison, the phenol radical cation has a significantly lower $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ of $-2.75 .{ }^{75}$ Our study reveals that $\mathrm{PhOH}^{\bullet+}$ exhibits the same intermolecular vibration-limited ultrafast proton transfer as QCy9, which in turn suggests the strong-field ionization of weak acids, such as PhOH , as a possible approach to generating strong acids that undergo ultrafast proton transfer.
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