Four ternary silicides in the La–Ni–Si system: from polyanionic layers to frameworks†
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The central part of the La–Ni–Si system has been investigated at 800 °C by means of single crystal X-ray diffraction, microscopy and analytical microprobe techniques. The result led to the identification of four new metal-rich silicides: LaNi2Si (R3m, a = 4.0263(3) Å, c = 15.066(2) Å, Z = 3), La3Ni3Si2 (P21/c, a = 6.8789(7) Å, b = 6.2167(3) Å, c = 12.214(1) Å, β = 90.92(1), Z = 4), La4Ni12Si8 (Pnma, a = 7.5012(2) Å, b = 14.3164(2) Å, c = 6.1492(2) Å, Z = 4), La5Ni32Si24 (Pbcm, a = 6.066(1) Å, b = 7.4881(1) Å, c = 29.682(5) Å, Z = 4). LaNi2Si belongs to the SrCu2Ga structure type, which is not represented among silicides, while La3Ni3Si2 crystallizes in its own structure type. Both compounds feature layered polyanionic motifs consisting of Ni and Si, which are separated by La. Instead, La4Ni12Si8 and La5Ni32Si24 are characterized by polyanionic networks. The former compound belongs to the Pr6Ni7Si4 structure type, with only two other representatives (Ce and Nd); the latter has been observed only with Rh and Ir. The two structures reveal close structural relationships having multiple identical slabs. Tight-binding electronic structure calculations by linear muffin-tin-orbital methods were performed for LaNi2Si, La3Ni3Si2 and La4Ni12Si8 to gain insights into their structure-bonding relationships. Their band structures suggest a metallic character for all compounds. The overall crystal orbital Hamilton populations are dominated by polar Ni–Si bonds, though homotomic Ni–Ni and La–Ni(Si) bond contributions are not negligible. The variety of bonding patterns may serve as a logical explanation for the number of discovered compounds in this system as well as for the diversity of the observed structures.

Introduction

Among intermetallic compounds, silicides form an extensive class, comprehensively studied in both fundamental and applied research. In particular, ternary compounds formed by Si in combination with a rare earth element and a transition metal are interesting due to the richness of their compositions and structural arrangements, as well as their magnetic behavior, magnetocaloric, possible hydrogen sorption and superconducting properties. Furthermore, silicides possess high corrosion resistance and thermal stability, being usually refractory materials with high melting points. For these reasons they show potential in technological applications; noteworthy, silicon is a very abundant element in nature and does not present any particular supply problem.

Therefore, further exploration of silicide systems has substantial benefits not only for further increasing structural diversity stimulating also the developments of related fields but also for design possibilities for direct applications. Particularly, silicides allow easy detection of new phases that can then be translated for other metalloids or transition metals (particularly 4d or 5d) to address manipulation towards desired physical properties.

Despite a large number of literature data on both the formation and crystal structure of R-Ni-Si system compounds, knowledge about them is still far to be complete. Up to now, the phase equilibria in the R-Ni-Si system are still not established and the real number of existing compounds remains unknown owing to the richness and complexity of phase relationships. Although these systems have been intensively explored in the past, new compounds can still be discovered. Particularly, the systems
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with Ce (ref. 11) and Gd (ref. 12) have been revisited recently resulting in more than 20 ternary compounds each. Most of them are located at the central part of the compositional triangle, and some of them have broad homogeneity regions hindering the establishment of the equilibria in the system.

The La–Ni–Si system has been explored in the past resulting in at least 19 reported structure representatives (LaNiSi: LaPtSi type, t112-I4/mmd; LaNiSi2: CeNiSi2 type, oS16-Cmcn; LaNi3Si2: CeAl2Ga2 type, t110-I/mmm; La[Ni0.5Si1.5]: AlB2 type, hP3-P6/mmm; LaNi4Si6: CeNi2Si2 type, hP40-P6/mj; LaNi5Si: YNi2Si type, oS12-Cmmn; LaNi3Si: U3Ni4Si4 type, oI22-Immm; LaNi4Si2: CeNi4Si2 type, oS26-Cmmn; LaNi5Si2: CeNi5Si2 type, oP56-Pnma; LaNi6Si2: BaAl2Ge2 type, oI4-Immm; LaNi5Si4: CeNi3(1–x)Ni2Si2 type, t48-I4/amd; LaNi13–xSi: NaZn13 type, cP12-Fm3c; LaNi8Si5: LaFe8Si5 type, tP65-I4/mcm; LaNi8Si10: CeNi8Si10 type, tP52-P4/nbm; LaNi11Si3: NdNi11.66Si1 type, hP62-P6/m; LaNi14Si: its own type, hP44-P6/m; La13Ni6Si10: its own type, hP68-P6/m; La23Ni10Si2: its own type, hP98-P6/m; LaNi2Si: Pr14Ni4Si14 type, mS124-C2/m).2,7,13 One of which, La111I31.49Si19.16 has never been observed in any of the systems with other R. From the structural point of view, the system shows a tendency towards the homological series, e.g. the compound series La(12−x)Si2[Ni12−x]Si2Si2+(1) (n = 2–5) based on AlB2 type building blocks.16 LaNiSi (ref. 9) and LaNi4Si4 (ref. 17) revealed superconducting behavior, while LaNi3–xSi have been studied for hydrogen sorption18 and battery applications.19 The isothermal section of the La–Ni–Si system at 400 °C has been suggested.14 Nevertheless, due both to the high formation temperatures of rare earth-transition metal-silicides and the difficulty to obtain appreciable diffusion rates of the elements in the solid state, we decided to study the system at 800 °C. Indeed, our current investigation immediately revealed that certain parts of the phase space remained unexplored or differ significantly at higher temperatures, particularly the area La–LaNiSi–Ni, thus suggesting possible space for expansion.

In this work, we report the synthesis and structural characterization of four new La–Ni silicides: LaNi3Si, La3Ni4Si3, La4Ni3Si2 and La5Ni6Si4. LaNiSi belongs to the SrCu2Ga structure type,20 which is not represented among silicides, while La4Ni3Si2 crystallizes in its own structure type. Both compounds exhibit a layered type of structure. La5Ni6Si4 and La4Ni3Si2 instead are characterized by polyanionic networks. The former one belongs to the PrNi4Si4 structure type with Ce and Nd homologues reported as other representatives,21 while the latter has been observed only with Rh and Ir.2 The investigation has been performed employing X-ray diffraction, microscopy analysis and first-principle calculations. Detailed analysis of structural motifs of the new compounds in comparison to known ones is presented as well.

Experimental

Synthesis

Different samples were synthesized in the ternary system La–Ni–Si, exploring the composition ranges 24–46 at% La, 37–50 at% Ni and 15–32 at% Si. The alloys were prepared starting from pure elements: La pieces (99.9 wt%), Ni slugs (99.99 wt%) and Si grains (99.999 wt%). Samples with a total mass of about 2–3 g each were arc melted in a high-purity argon atmosphere, after the fusion of a Ti–Zr alloy as a getter. The buttons were remelted at least twice after turning them upside-down to ensure good homogenization. After melting, the as-cast samples were placed in Ta containers, closed in evacuated fused silica tubes and annealed at 750–800 °C for 6–12 days. At the end of the heat treatment, they were cooled down to room temperature by switching off the furnace.

Microscopy analysis

Both light optical microscopy (LOM) and scanning electron microscopy (SEM) equipped with an energy dispersive X-ray (EDX) microprobe (Leica Cambridge S360, Oxford X-Max20 spectrometer, with Aztec software) were employed to check the homogeneity of the samples and the phase composition. In the case of SEM/EDX, after standard microscopic preparation, the specimens were graphitized and analyzed at a working distance of 25 mm, with an accelerating voltage of 20 kV. For all samples, in addition to a global compositional analysis, at least three different points or areas for each phase present were analyzed. Moreover, a ternary compound with known and fixed stoichiometry, such as LaNi3Si4, was used as a reference to check for the accuracy of the atomic percentage of La, Ni and Si elements; in this way, the measurements were estimated to be accurate within ±0.5 atom %. The nominal compositions of the synthesized samples, annealing conditions and results of EDX analyses are presented in Table 1. Fig. S1† shows the microstructural appearance of samples no. 3, 4, 5, 6, 8 and 9, selected as the best representatives.

X-ray analysis

X-ray diffraction, both on powders and single crystals, was employed for structural characterization. Powder patterns were collected using a Panalytical powder diffractometer (Bragg–Brentano geometry, Ni-filtered CuKα or Fe-filtered CoKα radiation) in the 10–120° 2θ range, with 0.02° 2θ step and counting times of 15–20 s per step. For precise determination of lattice parameters, silicon powder was added as an internal standard to the sample powders. Single-crystal X-ray diffraction (XRD) measurements were performed at room temperature on a Bruker D8 Venture diffractometer operating at 50 kV and 1.4 mA equipped with a Photon 3 CMOS detector, a flat graphite monochromator, and a Mo Kα IμS 3.0 microfocus source (λ = 0.71073 Å). The raw frame data were collected using the Bruker APEX3 software package (Bruker AXS, 2015), while the frames were integrated with the Bruker SAINT program using a narrow-frame algorithm for the integration of the data and were corrected for absorption effects using the multiscan method (SADABS).22 Initial models of the crystal structures were first obtained with the program SHELXT-2014 (ref. 23) and refined using the
cycles were run at rates of 20 and 10 °C/min between 30 and 1400 °C, respectively, in a NETZSCH 404S DTA thermal analyzer. A specimen of the alloy (~0.5–0.8 g), prepared and annealed as described above, was placed inside an alumina crucible and transferred to the DTA apparatus. The heating and cooling cycles were run at rates of 20 and 10 °C/min, respectively, with a temperature measurement accuracy of about 5 °C.

### DTA analysis

During the investigation, selected samples were subjected to differential thermal analysis (DTA) to explore phase transformations as a function of temperature up to ~1400 °C. The DTA analysis was performed using the VASP software package. The atomic displacement parameters were refined anisotropically for all atoms. STRUCTURE TIDY was used for the atomic coordinate standardization and Diamond Impact Gmbh, 2015 for the structural drawings. The atomic coordinates and structure refinements are presented in Table S1, Representative XRD powder patterns (samples no. 2, 5, 6, 7, 9) are presented in the ESI† Fig. S2 to S4.

### Electronic structure calculations

Tight binding electronic structure calculations for all compounds were performed according to the linear muffin-tin-orbital (LMTO) method in the atomic sphere approximation (ASA). The radii of the Wigner–Seitz spheres were assigned automatically so that the overlapping potentials would be the best possible approximations to the full potentials. The radii for La, Ni, and Si were determined to be in the ranges 1.87–2.19, 1.29–1.44, and 1.36–1.39 Å, respectively. Three empty spheres for LaNi2Si and eleven for La3Ni3Si2, respectively, were required for space filling in the ASA with 16% overlap restrictions between atom-centered spheres. Basis sets of La 6s, (6p), 5d, Ni 4s, 4p, 3d and Si 3s, 3p (downfolded orbitals in parentheses) were employed. For bonding analyses, the energy contributions of all filled electronic states for selected atom pairs were calculated as a function of energy by the COHP method (crystal orbital Hamilton population) and the integrated values up to the Fermi energy, \text{ICOHPs}. Total energy calculations and structure optimizations (unit cell volume and shape plus atomic coordinates) for LaNi2Si in its own structure and a hypothetical La2Ni3Si2 structure type (Table S3†) have been performed using the VASP software.

### Results and discussion

#### LaNi2Si

The occurrence of a compound with the composition LaNi2Si was detected in five different samples with nominal compositions ranging between 25 and 34 at% of La; none of these samples was found to be perfectly monophasic. Even when prepared on its precise composition, or on a composition very close to the nominal one (samples 7 and 8), traces of the 1:2:2 and of the not yet known ~2:4:3 secondary phases were obtained (Fig. S3†). The DTA analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample no.</th>
<th>Nominal composition (at%) La : Ni : Si</th>
<th>Annealing conditions</th>
<th>Composition detected by EDX (at%) La : Ni : Si</th>
<th>Phases detected by PXRD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>45.6 : 39.4 : 15</td>
<td>80 °C – 9 days</td>
<td>45.4 : 39.3 : 15.3</td>
<td>A La3Ni3Si2 main phase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>37.5 : 37.5 : 25.0</td>
<td>80 °C – 9 days</td>
<td>37.3 : 37.5 : 25.2</td>
<td>A La3Ni3Si2 main phase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>34 : 44 : 22</td>
<td>80 °C – 12 days</td>
<td>34.8 : 42.1 : 23.1</td>
<td>B LaNi2Si</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>33.3 : 43.4 : 23.3</td>
<td>80 °C – 9 days</td>
<td>33.3 : 43.1 : 23.6</td>
<td>C La3Ni3Si2 main phase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>32 : 46 : 22</td>
<td>75 °C – 8 days</td>
<td>32.6 : 44.6 : 22.8</td>
<td>D La3Ni3Si2 main phase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>28.4 : 43.4 : 28.2</td>
<td>80 °C – 9 days</td>
<td>28.5 : 43.1 : 28.4</td>
<td>A La3Ni3Si2 main phase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>25 : 50 : 25</td>
<td>80 °C – 6 days</td>
<td>25.9 : 48.5 : 25.6</td>
<td>A La3Ni3Si2 main phase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>25 : 45 : 30</td>
<td>80 °C – 9 days</td>
<td>25.4 : 43 : 25.2</td>
<td>A La3Ni3Si2 main phase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>24.6 : 43.4 : 32</td>
<td>80 °C – 9 days</td>
<td>24.4 : 43.5 : 32.1</td>
<td>A La3Ni3Si2 main phase</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
performed on the La$_{25}$Ni$_{50}$Si$_{25}$ sample (no. 7) showed two thermal effects of comparable magnitude, at 1040 and 985 °C, respectively. In this regard, it should be noted that, in spite of the many samples analyzed by DTA, a clear and reliable interpretation of the data obtained has not been achieved so far. Indeed, every sample is generally characterized by a sequence of several thermal events, which follow one another in a cascade. Due to this, it is not possible at the moment to attribute the formation/decomposition of the phases reported in this work to any thermal effect. The DTA analysis of further samples subjected to various thermal treatments is currently underway. A single crystal, isolated from sample 3 (Table 1), indicated a small rhombohedral cell with the lattice parameters $a = 4.0263(3)$ Å and $c = 15.066(2)$ Å. This unit cell was subsequently confirmed by X-ray powder diffraction analysis of the same sample, as well as detected in other pertinent samples. Taking into account the elemental volumes and considering the formula LaNi$_2$Si as suggested by the SEM analyses, a volume contraction $\Delta V = 11\%$ was calculated for $Z = 3$, in agreement with the typical values observed for other lanthanide–nickel silicides. The structure solution was obtained in the centrosymmetric space group R3m.

LaNi$_2$Si belongs to the SrCu$_2$Ga type$^{20}$ representing a rather simple layered structure, in which hexagonal planes of La and Ni/Si alternate along the [001] direction (Fig. 1). While the La planes are perfectly planar, Ni and Si build corrugated $\bar{2}^2$[Ni$_2$Si] layers, where each Si atom is in the center of a fused nickel hexagon exhibiting chair conformation. Accordingly, the Ni–Si bonding arrangement within the layers ($d_{\text{Ni-Si}}$) is defined as the ratio between the experimental distance and the sum of the proper CN12 elemental radii$^{12}$. Noteworthy, no Si–Si contacts occur within the layers, as well as no interlayer bond contacts have been observed. The shortest distances between adjacent Ni/Si layers ($d_{\text{Ni-Si}} = 4.56$ Å) are significantly larger than those within the layers ($d_{\text{Ni-Si}} = 2.37$, $d_{\text{Ni-Ni}} = 2.50$ Å), emphasizing the two-dimensional character of the structure.

Besides LaNi$_2$Si, the SrCu$_2$Ga structure type is represented amongst transition metals only by BaCu$_2$Ga$_2$. However, about 400 ternary intermetallic compounds formed by a lanthanide or an alkaline earth metal are known to crystallize with this composition (1:2:1, Parthé code 7533) being distributed among more than 10 different structure types (Table S2†). In addition to the YPd$_2$Sn type (MnCu$_2$Al Heusler phase), which includes nearly 200 examples, and the YPd$_2$Si type (P6$_3$C derivative) with nearly 60 representatives, the third most abundant family is the GdPt$_2$Sn (or ZrPt$_2$Al) type. In Pearson’s Crystal Database (PCD), $^{2}$ the GdPt$_2$Sn compound is assigned to the LiCu$_2$Sn prototype that is correct up to the point where the formal cations exchange the 2a and 2c sites. Yet another alternative with the exchange of the Wyckoff positions is the Li$_2$CuAs type. CaNi$_2$Si is an ordered ternary derivative of the ReB$_3$ type,$^{33}$ referred to as a new type in PCD but is very closely related to the GdPt$_2$Sn type. Its hexagonal structure (Fig. 2) is characterized by the same “Ni$_2$Si” layers found in LaNi$_2$Si, though a certain shift in the ab plane is observed. Since these two structure types are very closely related, we decided to inspect them more thoroughly.

Despite the larger size of Ca, the normalized volume of CaNi$_2$Si per formula unit $\left(\frac{V_{\text{f.u.}}}{Z}\right)$ is noticeably lower compared to that of LaNi$_2$Si: $68.62$ vs. $70.49$ Å$^3$. This in part can find a justification taking into account the substantial difference between the compressibility values of the two elements, $\chi_{\text{Ca}} = 64.51 \times 10^{-7}$ and $\chi_{\text{La}} = 40.37 \times 10^{-7}$ cm$^2$ kg$^{-1}$,$^{34}$ respectively. Similarly, the normalized axial ratio $(c/a)_{\text{norm}}$
and the height of the Ni/Si layer are slightly lower in CaNi$_2$Si (1.240 and 0.78 Å) than in LaNi$_2$Si (1.247 and 0.93 Å) resulting from the lower degree of corrugation of the Ni/Si layer in the Ca compound leading to higher compression along [001]. The intralayer Ni/Si contacts ($d_{\text{Ni-Si}} = 2.34$ Å and $d_{\text{Ni-Ni}} = 2.44$ Å) are shorter than those realized in LaNi$_2$Si (2.37 Å and 2.50 Å, respectively): a result related to the nature of Ca which is a better electron donor to the $\text{Si}^2$-$[\text{Ni}]$ polyanions.

An analysis of the total energies calculated for the optimized LaNi$_2$Si and CaNi$_2$Si in both structure types reveals notable energetic preferences for each cation in its experimentally observed structure type. Furthermore, we observed a negligible unit cell volume optimization for the same cation in different packing options. For instance, LaNi$_2$Si in the SrCu$_2$Ga structure shows 99 meV f.u.\(^{-1}\) preference over the CaNi$_2$Si structure and 0.42 Å\(^2\) smaller unit cell. In contrast, CaNi$_2$Si in its own structure shows 101 meV f.u.\(^{-1}\) preference over the SrCu$_2$Ga type packing and just 0.13 Å\(^3\) reduced volume. This suggests the indirect influence of the cation on volume optimization through reorganization/corrugation of the polyanionic framework. The calculations also highlight that none of the above structure types for each cation can be converted to another under applied external pressure.

La$_2$Ni$_3$Si$_2$

The SEM analysis performed on sample no. 4 (La$_{33.3}$Ni$_{43.4}$Si$_{23.3}$, see Table 1 and Fig. S1†) clearly revealed the polyphasic nature of the latter. Besides the main phase with composition $\sim$La$_{32}$Ni$_{42}$Si$_{12}$ (later identified as the La$_6$Ni$_7$Si$_4$ compound), and two compounds present in traces (LaNi$_2$Si and LaNi), a few well-grown grains were identified with the composition La$_{32.8}$Ni$_{42.5}$Si$_{28.2}$, which corresponds quite well to the fixed stoichiometry La$_{2}$Ni$_{3}$Si$_{2}$. A new sample, prepared with the nominal composition 2:3:2 (sample no. 6, Fig. S3†), was found to be nearly homogeneous. None of the known structures around the same compositional range (Parthé code: 7140) was compatible with the powder diffraction data. Data from a suitable crystal, isolated from the latter sample, led to a primitive monoclinic cell with lattice parameters $a \cong 6.8$ Å, $b \cong 6.2$ Å, $c \cong 12.2$ Å, and $\beta \cong 91^\circ$ bearing no similarity to any known phase reported in the literature, thus confirming a new structure type. The observed systematic absences pointed to the space group $P2_1/c$. The structure solution proceeded smoothly via direct methods, and the first seven peaks of the Fourier map were assigned to 2 La, 3 Ni and 2 Si all being fully occupied.

The crystal structure adopted by La$_2$Ni$_3$Si$_2$ is unique and is not directly related to other compounds of the 2:3:2 family. It exhibits clear layered motifs in which Ni and Si build wide puckered layers, extended parallel to the (100) planes and separated by La atoms (Fig. 3). The coordination polyhedra are rather irregular (Fig. S5†). La1 and La2 are characterized by high coordination numbers, CN19 and CN17, respectively, as expected for the elements with large size. Si1 is surrounded by 6 La and 6 Ni atoms, adopting a highly distorted icosahedral coordination while Si2 is nine-coordinated (6La + 3Ni) adopting a monocapped square antiprism. All Ni positions exhibit similarities in their coordination environments, which can be described as distorted trigonal prismatic with capped faces. All of them are equatorially tricapped, while Ni2 is additionally monocapped axially. It is also worth noting that in all cases the Ni coordination sphere contains a La$_4$ tetrahedron.

The complex interconnection of the Ni and Si atoms leads to highly corrugated layers. As a general observation, the atoms are arranged to maximize the number of Ni–Si contacts, adopting a coordination that resembles the “umbrella conformation” already observed in LaNi$_2$Si. The Ni–Si distances, being the shortest ones within the layer, are expected to give a major contribution to the stabilization of the entire polyanionic system. Notably, no Si–Si bonds are observed.

La$_3$Ni$_5$Si$_2$ and La$_6$Ni$_7$Si$_4$

Compounds with the nominal compositions of La$_3$Ni$_5$Si$_2$ and La$_6$Ni$_7$Si$_4$ were uncovered during systematic search of the possible isostructural compounds within $R$-$T$–Si systems. While La$_3$Ni$_5$Si$_2$ resulted in a perfectly homogeneous sample, La$_6$Ni$_7$Si$_4$ was always observed in polyphasic samples (see Table 1 and Fig. S1†). Shiny crystals were easily observed in multiphase alloys. Both structures were established by single crystal X-ray diffraction. Later, PXRD analysis confirmed their occurrence in multiple samples in the investigated area (Table 1). Refinement details for both compounds as well as crystallographic details are presented in Table S1†.

La$_2$Ni$_7$Si$_2$ and La$_6$Ni$_7$Si$_4$ are strongly related, both compositionally and structurally (Fig. 4). Both of them crystallize in the orthorhombic system with the space groups $Pnma$ (No32) and $Pbcm$ (No68), respectively, and show complex polyanionic nets. La$_3$Ni$_5$Si$_2$ belongs to the Ce$_3$Rh$_3$Si$_2$ structure type,\(^{25}\) while La$_6$Ni$_7$Si$_4$ to Pr$_6$Ni$_7$Si$_4$,\(^{21}\) both being quite underrepresented.

The crystal structure of La$_3$Ni$_5$Si$_2$ consists of a polyanionic Ni/Si network and zigzag chains of the La atoms along the $b$ axis. For ease of describing, the polyanionic net can be split into separate alternating slabs (Fig. 4a). In this description,
we focus mainly on the polyanionic Ni/Si motifs. One of them, slab A (La$_2$Ni$_2$Si$_2$, Fig. 4c), represents a rhombi-octagonal tiling similar to that observed in the series of ternary trielides/tetralides with late transition metals –∼A$^{0.5}$T$_2$X$_2$ (A = alkali metal, T = Pd, Pt, Au; X = Ga, In, Si, Ge),$^{36-40}$ though is highly corrugated. A similar tiling is also present in La$_2$Ni$_3$Si$_2$, though the rhombi have common edges and the ratio of the octagons is lower. Slab B (LaNi) is represented solely by a distorted quadrangular net of the isolated Ni atoms serving as a bridge between two A slabs. The cations are regularly distributed along the $b$ axis in a sinusoidal pattern.

The Pr$_6$Ni$_7$Si$_4$ structure type has been represented as an intergrowth of the ThSi$_2$ (ref. 41) and Y$_3$Rh$_2$Si$_2$ (ref. 42) structures with equally complex arrangements. However, similarly to La$_3$Ni$_3$Si$_2$, the crystal structure of La$_6$Ni$_7$Si$_4$ is more easily understood as an intergrowth of three different slabs (Fig. 4b). The slabs A (with nominal composition La$_2$Ni$_2$Si$_2$) and B (LaNi) are identical to those observed in La$_3$Ni$_3$Si$_2$. The A:B:C slab ratio in La$_6$Ni$_7$Si$_4$ is consequently 2:1:1. The cations form sinusoidal chains extending through all slabs along the $c$ axis and resemble, to some extent, the chains forming the layers in the crystal structure of the black phosphorus.$^{43}$ The connectivity between all the slabs in both compounds is established solely via heteroatomic Ni–Si bonding, however, these contacts are quite long and represent the upper edge of the Ni–Si bonding spectrum in the compounds – 2.665(1) and 2.649(2) Å, respectively. The same is valid for the interslab connectivity around C in La$_6$Ni$_7$Si$_4$, though the contacts are slightly shorter (d$_{Ni-Si}$ = 2.462(2) Å). The connectivity in the B slab is preferentially heteroatomic, though Ni–Ni bonding is not excluded. The Ni–Si contacts are considerably shorter – 2.307–2.376(2) Å. The Ni–Ni contacts are also quite short (d$_{Ni-Ni}$ = 2.565–2.591(1) Å), slightly exceeding the sum of the covalent radii.$^{44}$ No Si–Si contacts have been observed in any of the structures.

Following the tendency observed in LaNi$_2$Si and La$_2$Ni$_3$Si$_2$, the coordination polyhedra are rather irregular. La coordination numbers range between 17 and 20. The Si positions are surrounded by seven La and four Ni atoms representing an overlap of a (capped) trigonal prism and a tetrahedron, respectively. The smallest Ni atoms are always 9- or 10-coordinated and their coordination sphere is a strongly distorted square antiprism (Fig. S5†).

**Electronic structure and chemical bonding**

The electronic densities-of-state (DOS) of the investigated compounds are shown in Fig. 5 and exhibit plenty of similar features. Broad s, p bands can be observed up to ∼10 eV below the Fermi level ($E_F$), while large mostly Ni 3d bands are located 1–4 below $E_F$. Fermi levels for all compounds intersect pretty sizable DOS regions, suggesting metallic characteristics. The total density at the Fermi level is usually dominated by Ni 3d and La 5d states, while contributions from Si are significantly smaller. Above the Fermi level La 5d states start to dominate suggesting that La is mostly in the +III oxidation state in line with the element electronegativity differences,$^{45}$ therefore, serving to a large extent as an electron donor. Ni and Si states overlap practically in the...
entire range both below and above the Fermi level in agreement with the strong covalent bonding observed between these elements in all structures.

COHP analysis shows that the Ni–Ni interactions are mostly of bonding nature becoming antibonding around 2 eV below the Fermi level and remaining negligibly antibonding at and above the $E_F$ (Fig. 6). Overall these interactions are highly populated, that is rather typical for filled d$^{10}$ interactions. Ni–Si contacts are strongly bonding at lower energies getting optimized at the Fermi level. Similar are the La–Ni and La–Si interactions, though their populations are significantly lower. It is worth noting that despite being a formal electron donor La participates actively in the covalent bonding interactions and their bond contributions are quite sizable (Table 2).

Of special interest is the bonding situation in La$_2$Ni$_3$Si$_2$ and La$_3$Ni$_3$Si$_2$. In both these structures particularly short (~2.3 Å) Ni–Si contacts could be observed being close to the sum of the covalent radii of these elements. The shortest contacts are extremely highly populated with the −ICOHP values of up to 2.9 eV per bond confirming strong bonding character. It is worth noting that such bond contraction has particularly been observed in other representatives of the Ce$_3$–Rh$_3$Si$_2$ structure type pointing to the high stability of the observed polyanionic layered structures in the silicides.

Similar bond contraction is also known in the series of active metal–gold trielides, explained by local coordination around the bond. Indeed, the Si positions in the crystal structure of La$_2$Ni$_3$Si$_2$ have a highly irregular coordination environment, with the limited amount of the Ni near neighbors (up to six) and the La atoms being practically beyond the first coordination sphere. The same is observed in both La$_3$Ni$_3$Si$_2$ and La$_3$Ni$_3$Si$_4$, with strictly four Ni near neighbors around the Si positions.

Both La$_3$Ni$_3$Si$_2$ and La$_4$Ni$_3$Si$_4$ exhibit quite short La–La contacts, 3.5040(9) Å and 3.4458(9) Å. Though La atoms participate in covalent bonding interactions, the −ICOHP value of 0.04 eV per bond suggests rather weak direct La–La interactions. La is the most electropositive in the compound with a quite high electronegativity difference with both Ni and Si and must bear a certain positive charge. Taking into account the ionic component, the short La–La contacts surprisingly are not too resembling the connectivity between the active metals in the polyanionic Au/Ga tunnels.

## Conclusions

We have presented the synthesis and the crystal structures of four new ternary compounds in the central part of the La–Ni–Si system exhibiting various polyanionic motifs. The atomic packing in LaNi$_2$Si and La$_2$Ni$_3$Si$_2$ is of 2D polyanionic nature with either single or double Ni/Si corrugated layers. The latter are separated by either single flat La sheets or thicker double corrugated zigzag-shaped slabs, respectively. La$_3$Ni$_3$Si$_2$ and La$_4$Ni$_3$Si$_4$ form polyanionic frameworks closely related to each other with encapsulated cationic motifs. Two out of four newly discovered silicides, LaNi$_2$Si and La$_3$Ni$_3$Si$_2$, showed close vicinity with the gallides, while the other two either belong to its own structure type (La$_3$Ni$_3$Si$_2$) or to a heavily

### Table 2 Bond length ranges and average −ICOHP values in LaNi$_2$Si, La$_2$Ni$_3$Si$_2$ and La$_3$Ni$_3$Si$_2$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bond</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Lengths (Å)</th>
<th>−ICOHP (eV per avg. bond)</th>
<th>n/cell</th>
<th>−ICOHP (eV per cell)</th>
<th>Contribution (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LaNi$_2$Si</td>
<td>Ni–Si</td>
<td>2.371</td>
<td>2.09</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>29.26</td>
<td>62.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ni–Ni</td>
<td>2.505</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9.73</td>
<td>20.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>La–Ni</td>
<td>2.978–3.096</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>5.76</td>
<td>12.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>La–Si</td>
<td>3.422</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>2.08</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La$_2$Ni$_3$Si$_2$</td>
<td>Ni–Si</td>
<td>2.297–2.461</td>
<td>2.47</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>108.78</td>
<td>61.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ni–Ni</td>
<td>2.478–2.520</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>16.94</td>
<td>9.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>La–Ni</td>
<td>2.926–3.584</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>22.4</td>
<td>12.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>La–Si</td>
<td>3.118–3.782</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>29.2</td>
<td>16.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La$_3$Ni$_3$Si$_2$</td>
<td>Ni–Si</td>
<td>2.308–2.665</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>51.46</td>
<td>65.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ni–Ni</td>
<td>2.575</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.16</td>
<td>5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>La–Ni</td>
<td>2.767–3.189</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>15.32</td>
<td>19.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>La–Si</td>
<td>3.119–3.631</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>7.76</td>
<td>9.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>La–La</td>
<td>3.504</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
underrepresented structure type (La$_6$Ni$_7$Si$_4$) with just a few other homologous silicides. To the best of our knowledge, those related systems have never been explored in detail, allowing broad exchange possibilities of practically all elements in the formula using the La–Ni–Si system as a starting point.

The total Ni–Si bond lengths observed are very close to the sum of the respective covalent radii confirming strong interactions. More detailed analyses of the electronic structures of selected compounds show that although all of them are metallic, the overall bond populations are strongly dominated by polar Ni–Si bonds. The homoatomic Ni–Ni contacts being comparatively strong are rarely observed and, therefore, contribute less to the total bonding schemes. Notably, the major involvement of La in covalent bonding interactions is observed in all compounds, though short La–La contacts in La$_3$Ni$_4$Si$_2$ together with low ICOHP values suggest large ionic components typically observed for all active metals in similar compounds. Despite the multiphasic nature of these samples, attempts to prepare single-phase materials of these compounds, to be measured, are underway.
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