


 Cite this: *Chem. Commun.*, 2022, 58, 11973

 Received 26th August 2022,  
 Accepted 3rd October 2022

DOI: 10.1039/d2cc04731d

rsc.li/chemcomm

# Controlled release and characterisation of photocaged molecules using *in situ* LED illumination in solution NMR spectroscopy†

 Jack E. Bramham, <sup>a</sup> Matja Zalar <sup>b</sup> and Alexander P. Golovanov <sup>\*a</sup>

**Photocaging is an attractive strategy to control molecular behaviour, for example, in chemical synthesis, interaction studies or photodynamic therapies. Here, we demonstrate that *in situ* illumination by the LED NMRtorch approach enables effective and controlled photocage release with simultaneous monitoring of subsequent reactions by solution NMR spectroscopy.**

Photocages, also known as photolabile protecting groups (PPGs), and photolysis have a long history of use for controlling the temporal and spatial availability of molecules throughout biology and chemistry.<sup>1–4</sup> Covalent attachment of a photocage results in a chemically or biologically inactive molecule, which can be released and activated through photolysis by illumination at a given wavelength. Thus, photocages can be used as a practical means to control molecular availability, for example, to activate a drug at a particular location in the body during photodynamic therapy,<sup>5–7</sup> or control organic synthesis reactions.<sup>8,9</sup> Alternatively, photocages may be used as an experimental strategy to initiate and study a reaction or certain molecular behaviour,<sup>4,10,11</sup> or to conduct titrations.<sup>12</sup> A wide range of photocages with different properties, including targeted chemical moieties and photoactive wavelengths, have been developed<sup>13</sup> and applied to an even wider range of target molecules.<sup>14–18</sup>

To characterise photorelease, *ex situ* illumination is widely used with subsequent analysis by techniques such as high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) or nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy.<sup>19–24</sup> The combination of *in situ* illumination with continuous NMR monitoring enables further characterisation of photocage behaviour, including kinetics of photorelease. Alternatively, it may be used to initiate reactions in NMR experiments, as pioneered by the Schwalbe

group<sup>25–31</sup> to characterise protein and nucleotide folding during time-resolved NMR. However, *in situ* photo-NMR has not been applied to photolysis more widely, perhaps due to the complex laser illumination systems typically used.<sup>32,33</sup> Additionally, with the continued expansion of the photosensitivity of photocages beyond the typical ultraviolet (UV) region and into visible and infrared (IR) wavelengths,<sup>34,35</sup> an increasing number of expensive wavelength-specific laser light sources may be required.

Recently, inexpensive yet powerful light emitting diodes (LEDs) with a wide range of wavelengths, including UV and IR, have become abundant, resulting in their adoption as a light source for *in situ* photo-NMR illumination.<sup>36–39</sup> Moreover, we have recently demonstrated the NMRtorch approach, which combines an LED-based lighthouse inserted into the spectrometer and an etched heavy-walled NMR tube to illuminate NMR samples without using optical fibres.<sup>40</sup> The NMRtorch enables high intensity uniform illumination of the NMR sample, allowing, for example, rapid chemical degradation of quinine under UV-A light with simultaneous NMR characterisation.<sup>40</sup> While LEDs coupled to an optical fibre have been applied in solid-state NMR spectroscopy to trigger photocage release,<sup>41</sup> it has not been assessed if LEDs are powerful enough to perform uncaging *in situ* in solution NMR spectroscopy within a short timeframe.

Here, we demonstrate that the NMRtorch approach can be used to trigger and simultaneously characterise photocage release by using the model system NPE-ATP (Fig. 1), consisting of the nucleotide adenosine triphosphate (ATP) linked covalently with the 1-(2-nitrophenyl)ethyl (NPE) photocage.<sup>1</sup> We show that 365 nm UV-A illumination by the NMRtorch results in almost complete release of 1 mM ATP in seconds. This release can be calibrated, enabling us to perform an ATP titration in a single sample without serial additions, simply by repeated short periods of illumination with controlled timings. Finally, we extend this principle by characterising a model reaction occurring following ATP release, in the form of the phosphorylation of acetate by the enzyme acetate kinase. The LED-based NMRtorch approach may be used


<sup>a</sup> Department of Chemistry, School of Natural Sciences, Faculty of Science and Engineering, The University of Manchester, Manchester, M13 9PL, UK.

E-mail: a.golovanov@manchester.ac.uk

<sup>b</sup> Department of Chemical Engineering, School of Natural Sciences, Faculty of Science and Engineering, The University of Manchester, Manchester, M13 9PL, UK

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1039/d2cc04731d>






**Fig. 1** Uncaging of ATP from NPE-ATP by UV light ( $h\nu$ ). The NPE photocage and a  $H^+$  are released as by-products. Protons used in NMR analysis highlighted (blue).

to both characterise the structure, behaviour, and kinetics of diverse photocaged systems, and also as an experimental technique to initiate reactions *in situ* for time-resolved NMR experiments. It may be easily applied in any solution-state spectrometer.


To demonstrate the ability of the NMRtorch to study photocage behaviour, we monitored the photolysis of NPE-ATP by  $^1H$  NMR following repeated periods of 1 s illumination, using an NMRtorch with a 365 nm LED array (10 W nominal power consumption) and a quartz NMRtorch tube. Uncaging of 1 mM NPE-ATP was performed in the reaction mixture (200 mM acetate and 5 mM  $MgCl_2$  in 100 mM Tris buffer, pH 7.6) to match later experiments with acetate kinase. Photocage release can be followed by NMR using the methyl signal from the NPE photocage, with a decrease in the caged signal (Fig. 2A) and a corresponding increase in free NPE signal (Fig. 2B). Notably, the free NPE appears as a cluster of signals, including some lower intensity ones likely arising from degradation products, in agreement with previous observations of further NPE degradation upon release.<sup>42</sup> ATP release can also be directly observed by monitoring the NMR signals of caged and free ATP by  $^1H$  (Fig. 2C and Fig. S1, ESI<sup>†</sup>) or  $^{31}P$  NMR (Fig. S2, ESI<sup>†</sup>), with H8

and H2 of ATP exhibiting chemical shift and signal splitting perturbations upon release. Additionally, minor background hydrolysis (<5%) of ATP to adenosine diphosphate (ADP) was detected as the shoulder peak of the H8 signal at 8.37 ppm.<sup>43</sup> Integration of these signals allows the free ATP concentration after each successive illumination period to be determined (Fig. 2D), with photorelease exhibiting monoexponential behaviour resulting in 90% ATP release by  $\sim 20$  s total illumination, and apparent complete release by  $\sim 30$  s. This shows that the NMRtorch can be used to characterise release of both the photocage and caged molecule simultaneously.

The observed photorelease kinetics can also be used as a calibration curve to determine the illumination time required to release a given concentration of ATP, thus allowing titration experiments to be performed. Such titrations by photorelease may serve as a convenient method to examine the behaviour of a system with increasing concentration of a given molecule in a single sample. Here, we demonstrate a linear titration of free ATP (Fig. 3A), uncaging 100  $\mu M$  NPE-ATP during each illumination period, with the duration of each illumination increasing exponentially according to the earlier calibration of photorelease kinetics. This illumination strategy successfully resulted in the desired titration, with no additional ATP release or noticeable hydrolysis during the dark periods between illumination events (Fig. 3B). During the illumination however there



**Fig. 2** Uncaging kinetics of NPE-ATP by UV illumination using the NMRtorch. Appearance of the (A) caged and (B) uncaged methyl group in the NPE caging moiety, and (C) caged and uncaged ATP signals following repeated 1 s illumination periods. (D) Kinetics of ATP release during repeated illumination. Experimental data fitted to an exponential, which then can be used to back-calculate the duration of illumination needed to release a desired concentration of free ATP.



**Fig. 3** Linear titration of free ATP by controlled UV illumination. (A) Appearance of caged and free ATP signals following illumination with exponentially increasing durations. (B) Concentration of caged and free ATP signals over time, with illumination of variable duration applied at 8 minute intervals, with a following series of NMR spectra acquired then in the dark.



was ATP hydrolysis noted, reducing the amount of free ATP forming by about 5%, as evidenced by appearance of shoulder peak (H8) at around 8.37 ppm. Such background hydrolysis appears uniform and therefore can be taken into account, if needed, to target specific free ATP values.

To further demonstrate the potential of such a titration strategy with real time NMR monitoring, we used a model enzyme system, with acetate kinase phosphorylating acetate in the presence of ATP to yield acetyl phosphate and ADP. Here, the behaviour of all components, including photocaged molecules, free substrates and products, can be observed simultaneously by  $^1\text{H}$  NMR. Before UV illumination, NPE-ATP was enzymatically inactive, with no reaction or ATP hydrolysis occurring. The first release of 100  $\mu\text{M}$  ATP results in initiation of the enzymatic reaction and formation of acetyl phosphate (Fig. S3, ESI $^\dagger$ ), with spectral deconvolution of the overlapping ADP and ATP H8 signals allowing the phosphorylation reaction to be monitored in real time (Fig. 4). After the initial release, almost all free ATP is converted to ADP within a few minutes, while each successive release of ATP initially perturbs the chemical equilibrium which the enzyme then restores through phosphorylation of acetate.

Together, these results demonstrate that the NMRtorch approach, using LED illumination and an etched sample tube, can be used to characterise photocage behaviour, including release kinetics and subsequent system evolution. Inexpensive LEDs are well suited to the illumination of photocages, particularly with the continued expansion of peak wavelengths available and increases in output power. Additionally, multiple LEDs of different wavelengths may be combined, for example, to study more complex photocage systems.<sup>31</sup>

Here, the high power UV-A LEDs allowed  $\sim 1$  mM ATP to be fully released in 30 seconds (Fig. 2), compared to  $\sim 20$  minutes previously demonstrated in solid-state NMR.<sup>41</sup> While such release kinetics may be slower than 'flash' photolysis achievable by lasers, given the typical timescales of NMR experiments, LED photolysis is still well suited for 'real time' NMR monitoring, as individual illumination periods have typical durations similar to relaxation delays used during NMR acquisition. Furthermore, *in situ* LED illumination by the NMRtorch is markedly easier and more user friendly than complex *in situ*

laser illumination systems. It reduces complications and safety risks associated with use of lasers, and removes the need to work with fragile optical fibres or inserts. Previous studies using lasers and optical fibres, with light distributed in the sample using *e.g.* quartz rods,<sup>33</sup> cone-shaped quartz tips,<sup>25</sup> or tapered fibres,<sup>44</sup> may suffer from non-uniform light distribution in the sample, which for uncaging experiments would lead to incomplete release in some areas, and subsequent sample inhomogeneity.


Controlled photolysis may also be effectively used in NMR experiments to conduct different titrations. Here, we build upon the previous uses of photocages as reaction initiators in NMR experiments by the Schwalbe group,<sup>25–27</sup> by demonstrating the possibility of gradual quantitative uncaging using LEDs with simultaneous NMR monitoring (Fig. 3 and 4). This enables single sample titrations, thereby drastically simplifying experiments by reducing sample preparation and experimental time, and may be applied to a range of typical titrations, including pH, ionic strength, and ligand concentration, using different photocaged molecules. Unlike other single sample NMR 'titrations' involving gradients and spatially-selective NMR,<sup>45,46</sup> photocage titrations do not suffer from reduced signal arising from the use of smaller 'slices' of the sample.

We anticipate that the proposed approach will be especially beneficial for the development and characterisation of new controlled photorelease systems, for example, for photodynamic therapy, or photoactivated chemotherapy, that can be triggered using less harmful visible, IR, or upconverted light.<sup>47–49</sup> In principle, any photo-caged system can be studied as long as its characteristic signals can be observed by NMR. NMR combined with *ex situ* illumination is already used to characterise photocage structure and release,<sup>19–24</sup> and *in situ* illumination with simultaneous NMR monitoring may offer additional insights into kinetics, intermediates, or mechanisms. This approach has advantages compared to other analytical techniques such as HPLC as it provides more atomic-resolution structural details, and removes experimental uncertainties associated with temporal and physical separation between the illumination and detection steps, which is typical for all *ex situ* approaches. Further information about the photocaged system, such as determination of quantum yield, can be obtained by calibrating NMRtorch photon flux using reactions with known quantum yields,<sup>40</sup> for the same illumination settings, before running the reaction of interest.

In summary, we show that the LED-based NMRtorch enables simple *in situ* photolysis of photocaged molecules, with simultaneous NMR characterisation of photorelease and subsequent system behaviour. We also demonstrate the potential for single sample NMR titration experiments using photocages. This demonstration may lead to the uptake of *in situ* photo-NMR as a method to characterise photocage behaviour.

Jack Bramham: investigation, visualisation, writing – original draft. Matja Zalar: resources, writing – review & editing. Alexander P. Golovanov: supervision, writing – review & editing.

This project was supported by EPSRC New Horizons EP/V04835X/1. We acknowledge the use of the Manchester



**Fig. 4** UV triggered ATP release and consumption by acetate kinase. (A) Observation of typical ATP conversion to ADP by acetate kinase, here following the second release of 100  $\mu\text{M}$  ATP. (B) Sequential releases of 100  $\mu\text{M}$  ATP, with free ATP and ADP concentrations determined by deconvolution of the overlapping H8 signals at  $\sim 8.37$  ppm. The expected values of total released polyphosphate (ATP and ADP) concentration (PP) is shown for comparison.



Biomolecular NMR Facility, and are grateful to Dr Matthew Cliff for valuable scientific discussions and NMR support.

## Conflicts of interest

A. P. G. is the named author in patent applications GB2008825.8 and PCT/GB2021/051254, International Publication Number WO 2021/250372 A1. J. E. B. and M. Z. declare no competing interests.

## References

- 1 J. H. Kaplan, B. Forbush, 3rd and J. F. Hoffman, *Biochemistry*, 1978, **17**, 1929–1935.
- 2 L. H. Clapp and A. M. Gurney, *Am. J. Physiol.*, 1992, **262**, H916–920.
- 3 S. R. Adams and R. Y. Tsien, *Annu. Rev. Physiol.*, 1993, **55**, 755–784.
- 4 D. C. F. Monteiro, E. Amoah, C. Rogers and A. R. Pearson, *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. D: Struct. Biol.*, 2021, **77**, 1218–1232.
- 5 R. Horbert, B. Pinchuk, P. Davies, D. Alessi and C. Peifer, *ACS Chem. Biol.*, 2015, **10**, 2099–2107.
- 6 J. M. Silva, E. Silva and R. L. Reis, *J. Controlled Release*, 2019, **298**, 154–176.
- 7 R. Chen, Z. Wang, L. Liu and Z. Pan, *Chem. Commun.*, 2022, **58**, 4901–4904.
- 8 C. G. Bochet and A. Blanc, in *Handbook of Synthetic Photochemistry*, 2009, ch. 13, pp. 417–447, DOI: [10.1002/9783527628193](https://doi.org/10.1002/9783527628193).
- 9 T. Barra, L. Arrue, E. Urzúa and L. Ratjen, *J. Phys. Org. Chem.*, 2019, **32**, e3935.
- 10 A. P. Pelliccioli and J. Wirz, *Photochem. Photobiol. Sci.*, 2002, **1**, 441–458.
- 11 I. Josts, S. Niebling, Y. Gao, M. Levantino, H. Tidow and D. Monteiro, *IUCr*, 2018, **5**, 667–672.
- 12 P. Swietach, K. W. Spitzer and R. D. Vaughan-Jones, *Biophys. J.*, 2007, **92**, 641–653.
- 13 P. Klan, T. Solomek, C. G. Bochet, A. Blanc, R. Givens, M. Rubina, V. Popik, A. Kostikov and J. Wirz, *Chem. Rev.*, 2013, **113**, 119–191.
- 14 H. M. Bandara, T. P. Walsh and S. C. Burdette, *Chemistry*, 2011, **17**, 3932–3941.
- 15 J. Cui, R. A. Gropeanu, D. R. Stevens, J. Rettig and A. del Campo, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2012, **134**, 7733–7740.
- 16 H. K. Agarwal, S. Zhai, D. J. Surmeier and G. C. R. Ellis-Davies, *ACS Chem. Neurosci.*, 2017, **8**, 2139–2144.
- 17 S. Passlick, P. F. Kramer, M. T. Richers, J. T. Williams and G. C. R. Ellis-Davies, *PLoS One*, 2017, **12**, e0187732.
- 18 N. Ankenbruck, T. Courtney, Y. Naro and A. Deiters, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2018, **57**, 2768–2798.
- 19 C. P. Holmes, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1997, **62**, 2370–2380.
- 20 P. Wang, M. Mondal and Y. Wang, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 2009, 2055–2058.
- 21 C. de Gracia Lux, C. L. McFearin, S. Joshi-Barr, J. Sankaranarayanan, N. Fomina and A. Almutairi, *ACS Macro Lett.*, 2012, **1**, 922–926.
- 22 A. M. Piloto, S. P. G. Costa and M. S. T. Gonçalves, *Tetrahedron*, 2014, **70**, 650–657.
- 23 P. Li and C. M. Dong, *ACS Macro Lett.*, 2017, **6**, 292–297.
- 24 M. Lopez-Corrales, A. Rovira, A. Gandioso, M. Bosch, S. Nonell and V. Marchan, *Chemistry*, 2020, **26**, 16222–16227.
- 25 T. Kühn and H. Schwalbe, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2000, **122**, 6169–6174.
- 26 J. Wirmer, T. Kuhn and H. Schwalbe, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2001, **40**, 4248–4251.
- 27 P. Wenter, B. Furtig, A. Hainard, H. Schwalbe and S. Pitsch, *ChemBioChem*, 2006, **7**, 417–420.
- 28 J. Buck, B. Furtig, J. Noeske, J. Wohnert and H. Schwalbe, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2007, **104**, 15699–15704.
- 29 B. Furtig, P. Wenter, L. Reymond, C. Richter, S. Pitsch and H. Schwalbe, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2007, **129**, 16222–16229.
- 30 C. Helmling, D. P. Klotzner, F. Sochor, R. A. Mooney, A. Wacker, R. Landick, B. Furtig, A. Heckel and H. Schwalbe, *Nat. Commun.*, 2018, **9**, 944.
- 31 I. Elamri, C. Abdellaoui, J. K. Bains, K. F. Hohmann, S. L. Gande, E. Stirmal, J. Wachtveitl and H. Schwalbe, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2021, **143**, 10596–10603.
- 32 C. R. Yonker and S. L. Wallen, *Appl. Spectrosc.*, 2016, **50**, 781–784.
- 33 M. Pietrzak, J. Dobkowski, A. Gorski, S. Gawinkowski, M. Kijak, R. Luboradzki, P. E. Hansen and J. Waluk, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2014, **16**, 9128–9137.
- 34 N. Umeda, H. Takahashi, M. Kamiya, T. Ueno, T. Komatsu, T. Terai, K. Hanaoka, T. Nagano and Y. Urano, *ACS Chem. Biol.*, 2014, **9**, 2242–2246.
- 35 R. Weinstain, T. Slanina, D. Kand and P. Klan, *Chem. Rev.*, 2020, **120**, 13135–13272.
- 36 C. Feldmeier, H. Bartling, E. Riedle and R. M. Gschwind, *J. Magn. Reson.*, 2013, **232**, 39–44.
- 37 C. Feldmeier, H. Bartling, K. Magerl and R. M. Gschwind, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2015, **54**, 1347–1351.
- 38 Y. Ji, D. A. DiRocco, J. Kind, C. M. Thiele, R. M. Gschwind and M. Reibarkh, *ChemPhotoChem*, 2019, **3**, 984–992.
- 39 K. L. Skubi, W. B. Swords, H. Hofstetter and T. P. Yoon, *ChemPhotoChem*, 2020, **4**, 685–690.
- 40 J. E. Bramham and A. P. Golovanov, *Commun. Chem.*, 2022, **5**, 90.
- 41 J. de Mos, A. Jakob, J. Becker-Baldus, A. Heckel and C. Glaubitz, *Chemistry*, 2020, **26**, 6789–6792.
- 42 Y. V. Il'ichev, M. A. Schworer and J. Wirz, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2004, **126**, 4581–4595.
- 43 Y. Lian, H. Jiang, J. Feng, X. Wang, X. Hou and P. Deng, *Talanta*, 2016, **150**, 485–492.
- 44 I. Kuprov and P. J. Hore, *J. Magn. Reson.*, 2004, **171**, 171–175.
- 45 M. Wallace, D. J. Adams and J. A. Iggo, *Anal. Chem.*, 2018, **90**, 4160–4166.
- 46 G. Schenck, K. Baj, J. A. Iggo and M. Wallace, *Anal. Chem.*, 2022, **94**, 8115–8119.
- 47 O. Filevich and R. Etchenique, *Photochem. Photobiol. Sci.*, 2013, **12**, 1565–1570.
- 48 Y. H. Chien, Y. L. Chou, S. W. Wang, S. T. Hung, M. C. Liao, Y. J. Chao, C. H. Su and C. S. Yeh, *ACS Nano*, 2013, **7**, 8516–8528.
- 49 S. H. Askes, A. Bahreman and S. Bonnet, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2014, **53**, 1029–1033.

