ChemComm



COMMUNICATION

View Article Online



Cite this: Chem. Commun., 2025. 61. 1427

Received 6th October 2024, Accepted 13th December 2024

DOI: 10.1039/d4cc05247a

rsc.li/chemcomm

Selectivity promotion of Cu by manganese oxide in hydrogenative ring opening of furfural to pentanediols*

Huixiang Li, Huayang Li, Jun Shen, Changhui Liang, Xiaogiang Zhang, Wei Zhang, Yongxin Li and Z. Conrad Zhang ** *

This work reports a targeted activation of C-O-C of furfural alcohol (FA) to produce pentanediols (PeDs) over MnO2-modified Cu. Infrared spectroscopy revealed the strong interaction of the furan ring and C-O-C of FA with the catalyst surface in a preferred flat adsorption configuration, thus facilitating the activation and cleavage of C-O-C to form PeDs.

Lignocellulosic biomass represents the most abundant and a renewable hydrocarbon source in nature. High value utilization of biomass to produce biofuel and chemicals offers promising potential for future sustainable energy development.^{1,2} Biomass refinery often proceeds via the transformation of cellulose and hemicellulose to platform chemicals.³⁻⁵ Furfural stands out as one of the most important platform chemicals in biorefinery and is produced by dehydration of pentoses in hemicellulose.⁶ In the presence of active groups, C=O, C-O-C, and C=C in furfural, multiple valuable chemicals can be obtained through the hydrogenation of these functional groups. 5 Specifically, the hydrogenation of the C=O group in furfural first produces furfural alcohol (FA), which contains two oxygenated functional groups, i.e. C-OH and C-O-C. There are two direct hydrogenation pathways in FA conversion.^{8,9} One is the hydrogenolysis of the C-OH of FA to methyl furan (MF). Another pathway involves the ring-opening of FA followed by hydrogenation to pentanediols (PeDs). Selective control of the reaction pathway of FA to a target product, either MF or PeDs, is a critical challenge in catalysis.

PeDs (1,2- and 1,5-pentanediol) are highly valuable products for applications in producing microbicides, cosmetics, polyesters, and plastics. Numerous investigations have been performed for the hydrogenation of furfural to PeDs over supported metal catalysts including Cu, Ru, Pt, and Pd. 10-14 Precious metals interfacing with

National-Local Joint Engineering Research Center of Biomass Refining and High-Quality Utilization, Institute of Urban and Rural Mining, Changzhou University, Changzhou 213164, China. E-mail: zczhang@yahoo.com

 MoO_x and ReO_x favor the formation of PeDs *via* tetrahydrofurfuryl alcohol (THFA) hydrogenolysis; 15,16 CuCr₂O₄ as a non-precious Cubased catalyst was originally reported to produce PeDs through direct ring-opening of furfuryl alcohol;¹⁷ base additives or supports such as Al(OH)3 or hydrotalcite promote the hydrogenolysis ringopening of FA to form PeDs. 18,19 Early published works indicated that catalytic species on the surface and the chemical properties of the catalyst surface determine the product selectivity of FA hydrogenation through influencing the adsorption configuration of FA on surfaces. 10,18,20

The oxygen affinity property of the metal catalyst directly affects the interaction of the oxygenate groups of furanic compounds with the catalyst surface, thus influencing the conversion of the furanic compounds. ^{21–23} Designing metal oxide additives with appropriate oxygen affinity to modify the surface of nonprecious Cu probably modulates the adsorption and activation of the furan ring and C-O-C bond in FA to produce PeDs.²⁴ The oxygen affinity of the metal oxide can be scaled by the dissociation energy of the metal-oxygen bond. 25,26 In analogy to the efficient CuCr₂O₄ catalyst, we select manganese oxide, which has similar oxophilic properties to that of chromium oxide $(\Delta H_{298\text{KMn-O}}^{\text{f}} = 402 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}, \Delta H_{298\text{KCr-O}}^{\text{f}} = 427 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1})^{25}$ as an additive to modify the Cu catalyst for furfural selective hydrogenation. We deliberately designed reversed catalysts by modifying Cu with dispersed manganese oxide to study the role of manganese oxide on the selectivity of furfural hydrogenation. The designed reverse catalysts allow for elimination of the effect of different metal sites associated with typical supported metal catalysts, and thus being beneficial to study the role of metal oxide sites. As expected, introducing manganese oxide species on Cu powder greatly improves the ring opening selectivity to PeDs via furfuryl alcohol. The interaction of FA with the catalyst surface was also explored to understand the promotion effect of manganese oxide in the hydrogenative ring opening of FA.

The catalytic performance of Cu based catalysts was evaluated in the hydrogenation of FA and furfural. Several solvents were first screened for FA hydrogenation. It is demonstrated

[†] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: https://doi.org/ 10.1039/d4cc05247a

[‡] These authors contributed equally to this work.

Communication ChemComm

Table 1 Hydrogenation of furanic compounds over Cu-based catalysts

Entry	Catal.	Feed	Conv. %	Sel. %					
				FA	MF	1,2-PeD	1,5-PeD	Others	Mole ratio (PeDs: MF)
1	Cu powder	FA	6.8	_	75.4	24.6	0	0	0.33
2	MnO ₂ /Cu powder*	FA	25.7	_	13.5	70.4	4.9	11.1	5.60
3	MnO ₂ /Cu ^a	FA	81.1	_	14.3	48.9	13.1	23.7	4.30
4	MnO ₂ /Cu ^a	Furfural	100	42.2	5.1	35.1	8.4	9.2	8.50
5	Cu/SiO ₂ + MnO ₂	Furfural	100	38.8	18.7	28.4	3.7	11.1	1.70
6	MnO _r /Cu ^a	THFA	0	_	_	0	0	0	

Reaction conditions: catalyst 85 mg, Cu/SiO₂ 100 mg; reactant 0.5 g, isopropanol 2 mL, 4.5 MPa H₂, 170 °C, 6 h, *3 h. Others are THFA, 1-pentanol and methyl-tetrahydrofuran. a Prepared by leaching al from MnO₂/CuAl, the MnO₂ loading is 1.3 wt%. 1,2-PeD and 1,5-PeD are the abbreviations of 1,2-pentanediol and 1,5-pentanediol, respectively.

that isopropanol (IPA) exhibits the best performance for this reaction. In other solvents, either the carbon balance of the reaction was low (especially in H2O, ethyl acetate) or the catalyst activity was low (for instance, in tetrahydrofuran, N,Ndimethylformamide, CH3CN) (Fig. S1, ESI†). Table 1 presents the hydrogenation performance for furfural and F in IPA over Cubased catalysts. Cu powder is efficient for the selective hydrogenolysis of C-OH in FA to form methyl furan (MF) with 75.4% selectivity. 1,2-PeD is produced with a low selectivity of 24.6%. Upon loading MnO₂ on Cu powder through a simple impregnation method, the reaction path of FA hydrogenation shifts to ringopening to PeDs as the main product with 75.3% selectivity, therein 1,2-PeD is the dominant product. The selectivity ratio of PeDs to MF increased from 0.33 to 5.6. However, the conversion of FA is still limited to less than 30%, probably due to the low surface area of Cu powder (2.2 m² g⁻¹). RANEY[®] Cu with a larger surface area is therefore used to obtain high FA conversion.²⁷ A manganese precursor (manganous nitrate) was first loaded on a CuAl alloy through impregnation followed by high temperature calcination. Subsequently, the Al was leached with a base (see Experimental section). The amount of MnO2 on Cu was determined with ion chromatography. The catalytic reactions revealed that moderate loading of MnO2 (about 1.0 wt%) on Cu is beneficial for selectively producing PeDs (Fig. S2, ESI†). Specifically, 1.3 wt% MnO₂/Cu catalyst exhibited a remarkably high activity in FA hydrogenation (conv. 81.1%), producing PeDs with a selectivity of 62.0% (Table 1, entry 3). A selectivity of PeDs up to 43.5% was also obtained when furfural is used as a reactant. In addition, Table 1 shows that 1,2-PeD represents the dominant ring-opening product from FA hydrogenolysis over Cu derived catalysts. It also exhibits the catalytic performance of a physical mixture of MnO₂ and Cu (entry 5). Slightly increased selectivity of PeDs (32.1%) was achieved, indicating the synergistic catalysis of MnO2 interfacing Cu species on the surface. Overall, the ring-opening hydrogenation of furfural and FA was promoted by MnO2 on Cu. Ultimately, the reaction conditions, H2 pressure and reaction temperature, were optimized. The results indicate that both high H2 pressure and high temperature are conducive to the hydrogenative ring-opening of furfural to PeDs. The influence of temperature and pressure on the selectivity of MF is relatively insignificant. The selectivity of pentanediols from furfural over MnO₂/Cu reaches up to 58% under 190 °C, 6 MPa H2 (Fig. S3, ESI†). However, the MnO2/Cu

catalyst fails to catalyze the ring opening of other similar biomass derivatives, such as MF, furan, methyltetrahydrofuran, tetrahydrofurfuryl alcohol, and 5-hydroxymethylfurfural. This is probably due to the fact that various substituents on the furan ring affect its activity and conversion.

To determine the reaction pathway of furfural hydrogenation over the MnO₂/Cu catalyst, we first analyzed the distribution of products at different reaction times. At the initiation of the reaction, furfural was rapidly hydrogenated to FA. As the reaction progressed, FA was gradually consumed, and the quantities of products, i.e. MF, THFA and PeDs gradually increased (Fig. S3c, ESI†). This implies that furfural hydrogenation undergoes FA to yield the products (Scheme S1, ESI†). FA hydrogenation to PeDs generally proceeds by two pathways: (1) total hydrogenation of furfural to THFA followed by selective hydrogenolysis of THFA to PeDs; (2) C=O hydrogenation to FA and followed by direct ringopening to PeDs. 28,29 Entry 6 shows that THFA remained unconverted, suggesting that PeDs were formed via the second pathway over the MnO₂/Cu catalyst. In addition, the catalytic performance of MnO₂/Cu in ring opening hydrogenation of furanic compounds was also compared with other reported non-noble metal catalysts in the literature. Apparently, the MnO2/Cu catalyst displays excellent performance for producing as high as 54% selectivity of PeDs from furfural (Table S1, ESI†). In addition, after reaction for 15 h, only 0.02% of Mn and 0.036% of Cu were detected in the solution, indicating little leaching of the metals. The reusability test showed that the catalytic performance of the MnO₂/Cu catalyst remains stable in five successive runs (Fig. S4, ESI†).

To understand the mechanism on the selective hydrogenative ring opening of FA over MnO₂/Cu, the chemical-physical properties of the catalyst and the catalyst structure, active species and the interaction of FA with the catalyst surface are studied. The local crystalline structures of Cu and MnO2/Cu were first characterized with XRD, as shown in Fig. 1 and Fig. S5 (ESI†). For Cu powder, the diffraction peaks were observed at $2\theta = 43.3$, 50.5, 74.1 and 36.4, indicative of the coexistence of Cu and Cu₂O (PDF Cu₂O 96-900-7498). Upon modifying Cu with MnO₂ at different loadings, the peaks of Cu and Cu₂O remained unchanged across all the as-prepared catalysts (Fig. 1). A small peak of MnO₂ at 2θ = 36.4° (PDF 96-900-9112) emerged when the MnO2 loading was gradually increased to 3.5 wt%, indicating the good dispersion of MnO₂ species on Cu. In addition, the diffraction peak of Al₂O₃ at




Fig. 1 XRD patterns of MnO₂/Cu with different MnO₂ loading

ChemComm

 $2\theta = 45.9^{\circ}$ was also observed, showing the presence of residual aluminum species after the leaching treatment. However, the catalytic evaluation revealed that Al₂O₃ had no significant role in enhancing the selectivity of PeDs (Table S2, ESI†). Thus, it is likely that three active species, Cu, Cu2O, and MnO2, coexist on the catalyst surface. The oxidation state of Mn on the catalyst surface was further verified to be Mn⁴⁺ through X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy^{30,31} (Fig. S6, ESI†). Subsequently, the distribution of the surface species, as well as the structure and morphology was characterized with high resolution scanning and transmission electron microscopy (HRTEM and SEM) images and energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX), as shown in Fig. 2 and Fig. S7 and S8 (ESI†). The size of the catalyst particles is estimated to be in the range of 60-100 nm. The lattices of Cu (111) and Cu₂O (111) are clearly observed on the surface of MnO₂/ Cu (Fig. 2c). The percentage of Cu⁺ (Cu⁺/Cu_{total}) was determined to be 22.5% based on the peak fitting of the Cu LMM spectrum (see Experimental section, Fig. S6, ESI†). In the elemental maps of MnO₂/Cu, the yellow and red dots correspond to the distribution of Cu and Al elements, respectively, suggesting that the Mn species are homogeneously distributed on the Cu (Fig. 2d). Additional images in Fig. S7 and S8 (ESI†) from the examination of different regions also indicate the homogeneous distribution of Mn species and the coexistence of Cu and Cu₂O species on the surface layer. The Cu and Cu₂O species provide the active sites for furfural hydrogenation to FA followed by the hydrogenolysis of the C-OH group of FA to MF. Metallic Cu sites are responsible for the activation of H2. The adsorption and activation of the C-OH group in FA proceeded on the Cu₂O sites to produce MF. ^{10,32} It is further demonstrated that MnO2 species have a promotional




Fig. 2 HAADF-STEM images (a)-(c) and EDX mapping images (d) of the MnO₂/Cu catalyst.

impact on the selective hydrogenative ring-opening of FA to 1,2-PeD.

One hypothesis is that the MnO₂ species may induce a change in the oxygen affinity of the catalyst surface, and thus in the interaction of oxygenated functional groups of FA with the surface. The interaction of FA with catalytic sites on the surface determines the reaction selectivity.^{23,33} Diffuse reflectance infrared spectroscopy (DRIFT) was carried out to obtain molecular insights into the interaction of FA with the catalyst surface to reveal the promotional effect of MnO₂ sites on the selectivity of PeDs. Fig. 3 shows the infrared spectra of FA adsorbed on Cu and MnO₂/Cu. On the surface of Cu, the absorption bands assigned to the furan ring at 755, 842, 918 and 1021 cm⁻¹, C^5 -O at 1077 cm⁻¹, C^1 -O- C^4 at 1151 cm⁻¹, and C^2 - C^3 at 1221 cm⁻¹, ³⁴⁻³⁶ in FA are observed. Over the surface of MnO₂/Cu, the peaks of furan and C¹-O-C⁴ have been red-shifted by approximately 3-18 cm⁻¹, but the position of the C⁵-O peak remains unchanged. Thus, the interaction of furan and C¹-O-C⁴ with the catalyst was enhanced upon introducing MnO₂ on Cu. Meanwhile, FA adsorption on MnO2/Cu prefers a flat configuration of the furan ring. 18,35 This adsorption configuration ensures the activation of C¹-O-C⁴ and highly selective hydrogenative ring-opening of FA over MnO2/Cu. The adsorption configuration of FA on the catalyst is probably affected by the oxygen affinity of the metal catalysts. 24,37,38 In the absence of manganese oxide, copper oxide species on the Cu catalyst have weaker oxygen affinity ($\Delta H_{298\text{KCu-O}}^{\text{f}} = 343 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$), resulting in the weaker interaction of C1-O-C4 in furan with the Cu catalyst. The oxygen affinity of manganese oxide has a similar value to that of the efficient promoter chromium oxide ($\Delta H_{298\text{KMn-O}}^{\text{f}} = 402 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$, $\Delta H_{298\text{K Cr-O}}^{\text{f}} = 427 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$). Other metal oxides, MoO₃, SnO₂, and WO₃ with stronger oxygen affinity $(\Delta H_{298\text{KMn-O}}^{\text{f}} = 607 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1},$ $\Delta H_{298\text{KW-O}}^{\text{f}} = 653 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}, \Delta H_{298\text{KSn-O}}^{\text{f}} = 548 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1})^{25} \text{ were also}$ studied as additives to explore the influence of the oxygen affinity property in furfural selective hydrogenation. The catalytic results show that MF is the dominant product with quite low selectivity ratio of PeDs to MF (Table S2, ESI†), indicating that the stronger oxygen affinity of metal oxide is favorable for the activation of C-OH to MF but not beneficial for ring-opening of FA.

Overall, Cu nanoparticles contribute to the hydrogenation of furfural and further hydrogenolysis to MF. Upon introducing metal oxide additives on Cu, the conversion path of FA varies from C-OH hydrogenolysis to hydrogenative ring opening. The oxygen affinity of metal oxides greatly influences the reaction pathway and product selectivity, through affecting the interaction




Fig. 3 Infrared spectra of FA adsorbed on Cu and MnO₂/Cu.

Communication ChemComm

of oxygenate groups in FA with the catalyst surface. Metal oxides, $e.g.\ \text{MoO}_3$, SnO_2 , and WO_3 with stronger oxygen affinity properties are favorable for the activation of C–OH to MF; metal oxide, $e.g.\ \text{MnO}_2$ with moderate strong oxygen affinity benefits the ring-opening of FA to PeDs. Characterization results reveal that MnO_2 sites on Cu promote the interaction of the furan ring and C–O–C with the catalyst by a flat adsorption configuration, thus favoring the activation and cleavage of C–O–C, forming the hydrogenative ring-opening product.

In summary, this work shows the selective hydrogenation of furan compounds, furfural and FA, over non-noble metal catalysts. The Cu catalyst facilitates the production of MF. The introduction of MnO₂ on Cu significantly enhanced the selectivity of ring-opening to PeDs, resulting in at least thirteen times increase of the PeDs/MF ratio. Characterization results reveal the homogeneous distribution of Mn species and the coexistence of Cu and Cu₂O species on the catalyst surface. The adsorption of furan compounds on the catalyst surface directly determines the activation of functional groups of reactants. The furan ring and C-O-C of FA prefer to interact with the catalyst surface in a flat adsorption configuration upon modifying Cu with MnO₂, and this contributes to the activation of C-O-C and the formation of ring-opening products, PeDs. This work offers new metrics on the importance of applying the oxygen affinity property to design efficient and non-precious catalysts for the selective hydrogenolysis of biomass-derived furan compounds to pentanediols.

Huixiang Li and Z. Conrad Zhang designed the research. Huixiang Li conducted the catalyst preparation and reaction evaluation, analyzed the data, and wrote the paper. Huayang Li and Jun Shen did the reaction evaluation. Changhui Liang, Xiaoqiang Zhang and Yongxin Li discussed the results, and commented on the paper. All authors have given approval to the final version of the manuscript.

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (22202196, 21932005, 22172164), Changzhou Sci&Tech Program (Grant No. CQ20230091, CJ20241088), and Jiangsu Provincial Department of Science and Technology (BX2023015).

Data availability

The data supporting this article have been included as part of the ESI. \dagger

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Notes and references

1 Z. Guzovic, N. Duic, A. Piacentino, N. Markovska, B. V. Mathiesen and H. Lund, *Energy*, 2022, **245**, 123276.

- 2 J. Ma, S. Shi, X. Jia, F. Xia, H. Ma, J. Gao and J. Xu, J. Energy Chem., 2019. 36, 74–86.
- 3 Z. Zhou, D. Liu and X. Zhao, Renewable Sustainable Energy Rev., 2021, 146, 111169.
- 4 Y. Liu, Y. Nie, X. Lu, X. Zhang, H. He, F. Pan, L. Zhou, X. Liu, X. Ji and S. Zhang, *Green Chem.*, 2019, 21, 3499–3535.
- 5 P. Gallezot, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2012, 41, 1538-1558.
- 6 C. Xu, E. Paone, D. Rodriguez-Padron, R. Luque and F. Mauriello, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2020, 49, 4273–4306.
- 7 Y. Wang, D. Zhao, D. Rodríguez-Padrón and C. Len, *Catalysts*, 2019, 9, 796–828.
- 8 K. Yan, G. Wu, T. Lafleur and C. Jarvis, *Renewable Sustainable Energy Rev.*, 2014, 38, 663–676.
- 9 H. Liu, Z. Huang, H. Kang, C. Xia and J. Chen, Chin. J. Catal., 2016, 37, 700–710.
- 10 S. Chen, R. Wojcieszak, F. Dumeignil, E. Marceau and Sb Royer, Chem. Rev., 2018, 118, 11023.
- 11 R. Ma, X. Wu, T. Tong, Z. Shao, Y. Wang, X. Liu, Q. Xia and X. Gong, ACS Catal., 2017, 7, 333–337.
- 12 B. Zhang, Y. Zhu, G. Ding, H. Zheng and Y. Li, Green Chem., 2012, 14, 3402–3409.
- 13 F. Gao, H. Liu, X. Hu, J. Chen, Z. Huang and C. Xia, Chin. J. Catal., 2018, 39, 1711–1723.
- 14 J. Lee, J. Woo, C. Nguyen-Huy, M. S. Lee, S. H. Joo and K. An, *Catal. Today*, 2020, **350**, 71–79.
- 15 Y. Nakagawa, M. Tamura and K. Tomishige, Catal. Surv. Asia, 2015, 19, 249–256.
- 16 S. Koso, Y. Nakagawa and K. Tomishige, J. Catal., 2011, 280, 221-229.
- 17 H. A. A. R. Connor, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1931, 53, 1091-1095.
- 18 H. Li, X. Nie, H. Du, Y. Zhao, J. Mu and Z. C. Zhang, ChemSusChem, 2023, DOI: 10.1002/cssc.202300880.
- 19 T. Mizugaki, T. Yamakawa, Y. Nagatsu, Z. Maeno, T. Mitsudome, K. Jitsukawa and K. Kaneda, ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng., 2014, 2, 2243–2247.
- 20 R. Šivec, M. Huš, B. Likozar and M. Grilc, Chem. Eng. J., 2022, 436, 135070.
- 21 P. M. de Souza, R. C. Rabelo-Neto, L. E. P. Borges, G. Jacobs, B. H. Davis, D. E. Resasco and F. B. Noronha, *ACS Catal.*, 2017, 7, 2058–2073.
- 22 Q. Tan, G. Wang, A. Long, A. Dinse, C. Buda, J. Shabaker and D. E. Resasco, J. Catal., 2017, 347, 102–115.
- 23 C. A. Teles, P. M. de Souza, A. H. Braga, R. C. Rabelo-Neto, A. Teran, G. Jacobs, D. E. Resasco and F. B. Noronha, *Appl. Catal.*, B, 2019, 249, 292–305.
- 24 P.-J. Hsu, J.-W. Jiang and Y.-C. Lin, ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng., 2017, 6, 660–667.
- 25 J. A. Dean, *Lange's Handbook of Chemistry*, R. R. Donnelley & Sons Company, 15th edn, 1934.
- 26 K. A. Moltved and K. P. Kepp, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2019, 123, 18432-18444.
- 27 M. S. Wainwright and R. B. Anderson, J. Catal., 1980, 64, 124-131.
- 28 Z. J. Brentzel, K. J. Barnett, K. Huang, C. T. Maravelias, J. A. Dumesic and G. W. Huber, *ChemSusChem*, 2017, 10, 1351–1355.
- 29 D. S. Pisal and G. D. Yadav, ACS Omega, 2019, 4, 1201-1214.
- 30 H. W. Nesbitt and D. Banerjee, Am. Mineral., 1998, 83, 305-315.
- 31 P. Dubot, D. Jousset, V. Pinet, F. Pellerin and J. P. Langeron, Surf. Interface Anal., 1988, 12, 99-104.
- 32 H. Du, X. Ma, P. Yan, M. Jiang, Z. Zhao and Z. C. Zhang, *Fuel Process. Technol.*, 2019, **193**, 221–231.
- 33 P. M. de Souza, R. C. Rabelo-Neto, L. E. P. Borges, G. Jacobs, B. H. Davis, T. Sooknoi, D. E. Resasco and F. B. Noronha, *ACS Catal.*, 2015, 5, 1318–1329.
- 34 Y. Zhu, W. Zhao, J. Zhang, Z. An, X. Ma, Z. Zhang, Y. Jiang, L. Zheng, X. Shu, H. Song, X. Xiang and J. He, ACS Catal., 2020, 10, 8032–8041.
- 35 Z. Tong, X. Li, J. Dong, R. Gao, Q. Deng, J. Wang, Z. Zeng, J.-J. Zou and S. Deng, *ACS Catal.*, 2021, **11**, 6406–6415.
- 36 Y. Shao, J. Wang, H. Du, K. Sun, Z. Zhang, L. Zhang, Q. Li, S. Zhang, Q. Liu and X. Hu, ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng., 2020, 8, 5217–5228.
- 37 H. Li, P. Yan, B.-Q. Xu and Z. Conrad Zhang, *J. Catal.*, 2022, 412, 21–29.
- 38 Y. Li, B. Liu, Y. Wang, S. Wang, X. Lan and T. Wang, ACS Catal., 2022, 12, 7926–7935.