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Fibrous shape memory polymers (SMPs) have received growing interest in various applications, especially in biomedical applications, which offer new structures at the microscopic level and the potential of enhanced shape deformation of SMPs. In this paper, we report on the development and investigation of the properties of acrylate-based shape memory polymer fibers, fabricated by electrospinning technology with the addition of polystyrene (PS). Fibers with different diameters are manufactured using four different PS solution concentrations (25, 30, 35, and 40 wt%) and three flow rates (1.0, 2.5, and 5.0 μL min⁻¹) with a 25 kV applied voltage and 17 cm electrosprning distance. Scanning electron microscope (SEM) images reveal that the average fiber diameter varies with polymer concentration and flow rates, ranging from 0.655 ± 0.376 to 4.975 ± 1.634 μm. Dynamic mechanical analysis (DMA) and stress–strain testing present that the glass transition temperature and tensile values are affected by fiber diameter distribution. The cyclic bending test directly proves that the electrospun SMP fiber webs are able to fully recover; additionally, the recovery speed is also affected by fiber diameter. With the combination of the SMP material and electrospinning technology, this work paves the way in designing and optimizing future SMP fibers properties by adjusting the fiber diameter.

1. Introduction

Shape memory polymers (SMPs) are a relatively new kind of stimuli-responsive materials that provide the ability to store one or more temporary shapes and return to their original permanent shape under an external stimulus.¹ ² The external stimuli include heat,³ magnetism,⁴ pH,⁵ light,⁶ moisture,⁷ and focused ultrasound.⁸ ¹¹ In the past several years, SMPs have received extended attention because of their flexibility,¹² low cost¹³ and lightweight,¹⁴ as well as easy processability.¹⁵ Because of these advantages, SMPs display promising applications in various fields: soft actuators and robotics,⁷ ¹⁸ aerospace,²⁰ tissue engineering,²¹ and biomedicine.²² Recently, nano/microfibers have become more attractive due to their small size and flexible materials, showing a trend of broader applicability in the biomedical field, such as in tissue engineering,²³ artificial muscles,²⁴ drug release,²⁵ smart clothing,²⁶ ²⁷ and scaffolds.²⁸ ²⁹ ³⁰ However, SMP-based nanostructures have not been well explored, especially in terms of fabrication techniques and properties affected by sizes. Thus, it is of great significance to study SMPs’ fiber structure.

Fibrous SMPs are of great interest for structural and functional applications due to their high surface area-to-volume ratio, high degree of porosity with tiny pore size, and 3D architectures that resemble extracellular matrices.²⁹ ³² The SMP nanofibers can be fabricated by the electrospinning method. Electrospinning method simply and efficiently constructs continuous polymer ultrafine fibers using an electric field placed on a polymer solution or melt.²⁹ ³² ³³ Electrospun several functional materials have recently been used in various application fields. Budun et al.³⁴ fabricated shape memory polyurethane (SMPU) fibers (112 ± 34 to 2046 ± 654 nm), which were triggered by heat with a shape recovery rate of above 100%. Zhang et al.³⁵ reported SMPU spent only one-fourth of the shape recovery time of that used for bulk film when heated in a water bath. Matsumoto et al.³⁶ reported microscale non-woven fabrics fabricated from poly(ε-pentadecalactone) (PDD) and poly(ε-caprolactone) (PCL), which displayed good shape memory ability after multi-thermomechanical tensile tests, and which had potential application in biomedicine. In addition, some novel two-way or multiple SMP composites fibers were produced by introducing different fillers or synthesized by some special groups with reversible shape deformation.³⁸ ³⁹

Acrylate-based shape memory polymer owning biocompatibility⁴⁰ ⁴¹ may be a promising candidate for use in biomedical adapting to a diverse range of applications. In our group, Bhargava et al.¹³ ¹⁴ used tert-butyl acrylate (TBA) and di(ethylene glycol) dimethacrylate (DEGMA) to synthesize SMPs. They reported how the ratio of TBA (monomer) to DEGMA (cross-linker) affects the shape memory, and measured the highest recovery ratio to be about 20% by changing the composition.
ratio. In another work by our group, Peng and co-workers added polystyrene (PS) into tBA-co-DEGMA SMP matrix that exhibited almost 100% shape recovery in 2 seconds. In this SMP mixture, PS showed a significant effect on the shape recoverability and mechanical properties due to the change in the polymerization and crosslinking ratio throughout the curing process. Antony et al. reported a new type of SMPs by using tBA and poly(ethylene glycol) dimethacrylate (PEGDMA) that can recover in 45 seconds after being heated in 55 °C hot water. With the addition of diurethane dimethacrylate (DUDMA), this SMP network fully recovered in around 20 seconds. And then, they introduced graft hydroxyapatite into prepared tBA-co-PEGDMA. Grafted Hap with PEGMA significantly impacts the shape recoverability of system due to avoidance agglomeration and improvement of the interfacial interactions of the particles. Among multiple types of SMPs, acrylate-based SMPs shows a three-dimensional/four-dimensional (3D/4D) printability. Wu et al. demonstrated a new 4D printable tert-butyl acrylate (tBA)-co-1, 6-hexanediol diacrylate (HDDA), which can be printed by using digital light processing with complex geometry. Choong et al. developed stereolithography apparatus (SLA) to fabricate tert-butyl acrylate (tBA)-co-di(ethylene glycol) dimethacrylate (DEGMA) SMP. Recently, to the best of our knowledge, all of the mentioned acrylate-based shape memory systems are thin films; a shape memory nano/microcomposite fiber based on acrylate has not yet been studied; thus, this contribution represents a benchmark study to manufacture acrylate-based SMPs fiber using the electrospinning technique. The direct introduction of tBA as monomers and DEGMA as crosslinker in the electrospinning process is not possible due to their low molecular weight, meaning that they have very low viscosity. Thus, introducing of tBA-co-DEGMA (tD) in the fiber requires the use of an extra component, which is non-resorbable and biocompatible polystyrene (PS) with the high molecular weight. Therefore, we successfully manufacture tD/PS fibers using the electrospinning technique.

It is noted that specific properties of polymer nanofiber are significantly different from those in bulk. Moreover, polymer fibers display unique size-dependent mechanical properties, which are strongly related to the shape-memory properties. The diameter significantly influences electrospun fibers’ mechanical properties due to the core–shell structure and chain alignment.

In this work, acrylate-based SMP fibers are successfully manufactured using electrospinning methods. The fabricated SMP fibers are characterized via scanning electron microscope (SEM) to investigate the morphological properties of these fibers. The SM effect is investigated with different fiber diameters. In addition, standard tensile tests, DMA analysis, shape fixity, and shape recovery tests are utilized to deeply evaluate the characteristics of fiber diameter property relationship.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Solution preparation

Prior to prepare electrospun tert-butyl acrylate (tBA)-co-di(ethylene glycol) dimethacrylate (DEGMA) SMP and polystyrene (PS) mixture, the PS pellets ($M_w = 35,000$, Sigma-Aldrich, Inc.) are dissolved in the toluene (anhydrous, 99.8%; Sigma-Aldrich, Inc.), heated to 50 °C and stirred for 2 hours until PS pellets fully dissolved, in order to prepare the polymer concentration of 25, 30, 35 and 40 wt% PS solutions. The tBA (Sigma-Aldrich, Inc.) and DEGMA (Sigma-Aldrich, Inc.) are mixed at a weight ratio of 85 : 15, followed by adding 1 wt% photoinitiator 2,2-dimethoxy-2-phenyl-acetophenone (Sigma-Aldrich, Inc.) into the solution. Both PS and tBA-co-DEGMA (tD) solutions are mixed (50 : 50 w/w%), and then stirred for another 20 min to obtain homogeneous tD/PS solutions for electrospinning. More details of the synthesis method can be found in our previous publication. The chemical structure is shown in Fig. 1a and b.

2.2. Electrospinning process

The electrospinning process is shown in Fig. 1c. The electrospinning setup included a syringe pump (CMA-Harvard Apparatus 4004 Syringe Pump, USA) connected with a 10 mL syringe, a high voltage power supply (DongWen High Voltage Power Supply; Tianjin, China) and a rotational collector. The needle tip is subjected to the positive electrode; the collector is connected to the negative electrode. The working distance between the tip of the syringe and the collector is determined at 17 cm and the rotational speed of the collector is fix as 60 rpm. Electrospun fibers are collected on the surface of cleaned aluminum foils, and then are cured with 365 nm UV light (with a 100 W Blak-Ray B-100 AP High Intensity UV Lamp) for 15 min. The prepared samples are post-dried inside the fume hood for 24 h. Three different flow rates are chosen: 1.0; 2.5; and 5.0 μL min⁻¹. Details of the electrospinning conditions of each specimen are listed in Table S1.

2.3. SEM analysis of electrospun fibers

The morphology of electrospun fibers is observed by scanning electron microscope (JEOL IT-500HR SEM) at an accelerating voltage of 5 kV. All samples are firstly coated with a platinum/lead layer using a sputter coater with a thickness of 7.0 nm. The average fiber diameters are calculated for at least 200 fibers using the Image J software (Image J, 2021) from the SEM images at magnifications of 2500×.

2.4. Thermal and mechanical characterization

Specimens are prepared as $5 \times 10 \times 0.04$ mm in size to determine the thermal and mechanical properties. The storage modulus for each sample is measured by dynamic mechanical analyzer (Q800 DMA, TA Instruments). The temperature is swept from 25 °C to 100 °C with a constant heating rate of 2 °C per minute, using an oscillation rate of 1 Hz. The mechanical properties of the samples are also measured by DMA Q800. The tensile tests of the samples obtained with different samples are performed at room temperature (i.e., 25 °C). During the testing, a strain rate of 0.000167 s⁻¹ (displacement control) is applied to the specimens. Three repetitions of each test are taken for each specimen.

2.5. Shape memory property

Fig. 2 displays the schematic diagram of the bending test process. Specimens used to determine the shape memory effect
are prepared as $10 \times 20 \times 0.04$ mm dimension. The bending test is not ideal because the strain in the bent area is not uniform, however, it is a frequent testing method for quantifying the performance of shape deformation. In this work, we employ a bending test and record the change in bending angle to describe each sample’s shape recovery capabilities. The specimen ($\theta_0 = 0^\circ$) is heated to $70^\circ C$ by hot plate, and then bent to a U-shaped structure ($\theta_u = 180^\circ$) using the curvature diameter of $3.5$ mm in the tip. Secondly, the bent specimen is rapidly cooled down to room temperature in air (about $25^\circ C$). The external force is removed, and then specimen is deformed freely. Afterwards, final fixed angle ($\theta_f$) is measured after $30$ min. Finally, the bent specimen is heated to $70^\circ C$ again, and the recovery angle ($\theta_r$) is recorded using slow-motion camera and measured via an online protractor. To ensure the repeatability, at least three individual samples are tested. The temporary shape fixity ratio ($R_f$) and shape recovery ratio ($R_r$) equations are given as:

$$R_f(\%) = \frac{\theta_u - \theta_f}{\theta_u} \times 100\%$$  
(1)

$$R_r(\%) = \frac{\theta_u - \theta_r}{\theta_u - \theta_0} \times 100\%$$  
(2)

3. Results and discussions

3.1. Morphology and fiber diameter

In the electrospinning process, the fiber structure and morphology can be adjusted, and the fiber diameters can be aimed at a wide range of sizes, by optimized solution properties (polymer and solvent type, polymer concentration, viscosity, conductivity, molecular weight, etc.), process parameters (applied voltage, stock solution flow rate, and working distance between needle tip and collector, etc.) and ambient atmosphere (temperature and humidity). In this work, mainly solution concentration and stock solution flow rate have been focused on, in order to study the effect of fiber diameter and morphology on the properties of electrospun fibers. Fig. 3 shows selected SEM images which reveal that the morphology of tD/PS SMP fibers varies with polymer concentration and flow rate. At tD/PS (25 wt%) system, fibers show beads-on-string morphologies and very small diameters. Electrospun tD/PS (30 wt%) fibers resulted in beaded fibers at flow ratio of 1.0 and 2.5 $\mu L$ min$^{-1}$, and in smooth fiber at flow ratio of 5.0 $\mu L$ min$^{-1}$. Electrospun tD/PS (35 and 40 wt%) fibers result in fairly smooth fibers. The bead density reduces with increasing polymer concentration. When polymer concentration is above 35%,
smooth fibrous structures without beads are formed. The solution’s viscoelasticity and surface tension, as well as the charge density carried by the jet, are important elements in the creation of beads. It is known that the bead density decreases with increasing viscosity and net charge density, while decreasing surface tension. The solution viscosity in our case is tuned by adjusting the polymer concentration. The previous study reports that to enable the consistent and uniform fiber formation, a minimum polymer concentration is needed. When the concentrations are below minimum (in our case, 35 wt%), the electrospinning process only could keep for a shorter time under a steady state. Additionally, Fig. 3 shows that the fibers obtained from the 5.0 mL min⁻¹ flow-rate sample, are stuck together, and remained moist in some spots. The reason behind of it is that not enough drying time for polymer solution before arriving at the collector and low stretching forces.

The diameter ranges of electrospun fibers of tD/PS (35 wt%) at a flow rate of 1.0 μL min⁻¹ are shown in Fig. 4a, S1 and S2. Each range of diameter is large, which means that coarser and finer fibers are yielded together under the same electrospinning condition. This is due to few polymer jets splitting during the travelling to the collector, and then finer fibers are produced.

Fig. 4b and c display the average fiber diameter from each condition obtained from the electrospinning process. The smallest diameter (0.655 ± 0.376 μm) and beads-on-string fibers are belonged to the sample tD/PS (25 wt%) with a flow rate of 1.0 μL min⁻¹. Besides, the largest fiber diameter (4.975 ± 1.634 μm)

---

**Fig. 3** SEM pictures (×1K magnification) of SMP electrospun fibers with different PS solution concentrations of 25 wt% (a–c), 30 wt% (d–f), 35 wt% (g–i) and 40 wt% (j–l) at various flow rates of 1.0 μL min⁻¹ (a, d, g, j), 2.5 μL min⁻¹ (b, e, h, k) and 5.0 μL min⁻¹ (c, f, i, l).
and uniform fibers are obtained for tD/PS (40 wt%) with a flow rate of 10.0 μL min⁻¹. It is clearly shown that the fiber diameter increases with increasing the concentration due to higher viscosity. Greater electrical forces are needed to overcome surface tension and the viscoelastic force that causes fibers to stretch when the solution’s viscosity is higher. Greater concentration results in fibers with a larger diameter when electrical force is equivalent. Similar to this, too high or too low concentrations could not be employed for electrospinning due to their excessive viscosity. At tD/PS (25, 30, and 35 wt%) systems, with increasing flow rate, the fiber diameter will increase. The common consideration is that the finer fiber diameters are generated at lower flow rates. The reason is that when the electrospinning jet’s volume and starting radius grew, bending instability decreased and fiber diameter subsequently increased as a result. However, at D/PS (40 wt%) system, with increasing flow rate, an initial increment in average fiber diameter is followed by an increment (Fig. 4c). This is caused by the emergence of secondary jets from the primary jet at flow ratios less than 5.0 μL min⁻¹ as solidified solution at the nozzle’s tip drives jet eruption from unsolidified surfaces. When compared to the first main jet, the secondary jets will produce fibers with a lesser diameter. Additionally, secondary fibers generated from 7.5 and 10.0 μL min⁻¹ flow-rate samples that stuck to the original main jet have probably been the cause of the enlargement in average fiber diameter with a high flow rate. The emergence of secondary jets from the primary jet as solidified solution at the tip of the nozzle pushes jet eruption from unsolidified surfaces has been explained as the cause of the decrease in average fiber diameter with flow rate. When compared to the first main jet, the secondary jets will produce fibers with a less diameter.

3.2. Thermomechanical and mechanical properties

SEM images for fiber morphologies show that D/PS (35 wt%) system gives the most steady-state electrospinning with a flow rate from 1.0 to 5.0 μL min⁻¹, and it is used for all the future experiments in this paper. Shape memory electrospun D/PS (35 wt%) webs are compared to each flow rate to determine the effect of the fiber diameter upon the glass transition temperature. Fig. S3† shows the thermal parameters derived by dynamic mechanical thermal analysis (DMA) for each fiber diameter. The overall thermomechanical properties of tD/PS (35 wt%) samples are dominated by the mixed phase, which has a wide glass transition temperature (T_g) range of 73 to 96 °C and thus allows the investigation of the SME with a variety of programming and recovery procedures, such as temperature memory properties. Glass transition temperature determined by the tan δ (see Fig. S3b†) maximum, Fig. 5 shows a T_g-increase is observed from 86.59 ± 0.5 °C, over 89.43 ± 1.65 °C and 92.18 ± 0.86 °C for the diameter reduction from 3.319 ± 0.825, over 2.782 ± 0.797 to 2.682 ± 0.726 μm. This result corresponds to experimental findings for electrospun polyetherurethane with diameters ranging from 2.3, over 1.0 to 0.3 μm. This could be explained by the degree of crystallinity and degree of molecular orientation.

The influence of the morphology and diameter of SMP fibers on the mechanical properties is also studied. The young’s modulus of electrospun webs is displayed in Fig. 5. The load is dispersed and bared by the fibers when it is applied to the electrospun webs. This indicates that in order to achieve appropriate results in terms of mechanical qualities, the fibers should be produced uniformly lacking beads, more fibers should be obtained per unit area, and the size range of the fibers should
not be too broad. The greatest tensile property is obtained for \( tD/PS \) (35 wt\%) at a flow ratio of 5.0 \( \mu L \text{min}^{-1} \) (2.45 ± 0.35 MPa). This sample has largest fiber diameters as well as most uniform structures. Although \( tD/PS \) (35 wt\%) at a flow ratio of 5.0 \( \mu L \text{min}^{-1} \) had larger fiber diameter, but the size distribution of fiber is narrower. Fibers of \( tD/PS \) (35 wt\%) at a flow ratio of 5.0 \( \mu L \text{min}^{-1} \) adhered and are crossed with each other called a cross-point. Chavoshnejad et al.\(^{32}\) reported that the cross-point bonding increased the elastic modulus of the electrospun webs.

### 3.3. Shape-memory property

The influence of the diameter of the electrospun fiber on the shape recoverability is investigated. The thermally induced shape memory behavior of the bent electrospun web is illustrated in Fig. 6a and Video 1.\(^{†}\) All samples exhibited the shape-memory effect, whereas an inverse relation between the temporary shape fixity ratio \( R_t \) towards the shape recovery ratio \( R_s \) is found (see Fig. 6b, as well as eqn (1) and (2) for definition of \( R_t \) and \( R_s \)). All gained shape fixity ratios are higher than 65% and the best result (91.1 ± 4\%) is belong to \( tD/PS \) (35 wt\%) at a flow ratio of 1.0 \( \mu L \text{min}^{-1} \), which have the smallest diameter size. This is due to the high number of crossover sites between fibers, which allowed for more force to be carried more efficiently throughout fiber networks.\(^{63}\) Conversely, all samples can achieve 100% shape recovery and the highest recovery speed is obtained for \( tD/PS \) (35 wt\%) at a flow ratio of 5.0 \( \mu L \text{min}^{-1} \), whose fiber diameter is the largest. It is because of the more amorphous domains included in its structures. Additionally, the inefficient evaporation during electrospinning process might have increased the shape recovery value of \( tD/PS \) (35 wt\%) fiber using a flow ratio of 5.0 \( \mu L \text{min}^{-1} \). In overall, increasing fiber diameter can enhance the shape recovery shape memory effect in terms of the response time and shape recoverability. Reversely, the shape fixity decreases.

### 4. Conclusions

In this study, a crosslinked acrylate-based shape memory polymer composite fibers have been successfully fabricated by using the electrospinning method with adding polystyrene (PS). The effect of polymer concentration and flow rate on the fiber diameter and morphology have been systematically studied. Our results show that the finer and more uniform fiber diameter is yielded from \( tD/PS \) (35 wt\%) solution with three different flow rates (1.0, 2.5, and 5.0 \( \mu L \text{min}^{-1} \)) and an applied voltage of 25 kV at 17.0 cm distance. From corresponding tests, the structural characteristics and fiber diameters have significant effect upon the glass transition temperature and mechanical properties of the electrospun webs. All electrospun webs can reach 100% recovery ratio with above 65% shape fixity results. The shape memory results illustrate that the shape recovery and shape fixity properties of the electrospun webs are presumably affected by the fiber size and morphology. The obtained result will present multiple potential future applications. As an example, by optimizing the electrospinning parameters, the shape deformation can be controlled.
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