Cite this: *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2021,
9, 7174

Thermoresponsive icy road sign by light scattering and enhanced fluorescence†

Joshua R. Booth,^{‡a} Robert A. Young,^{‡a} Andrés N. Richards Gonzales,^a
Zachary J. Meakin,^a Corinna M. Preuss-Weber,^{ab} Ross W. Jagers^a and
Stefan A. F. Bon^{‡a*}

Prototypes of flexible, electricity-free, ice warning signs for roads and pavements have been developed. A temperature triggered response in the form of an upper critical solution temperature (UCST) type phase separation targeted near the freezing point of water manifests itself through light scattering as a clear-to-opaque transition. It is simultaneously amplified by an enhanced photoluminescence effect. The conceptual road sign application is a multi-lamellar flexible strip with an active layer of a polystyrene-based solution. The solvent is a plasticizer, here either dioctyl phthalate (DOP) or its alternative 1,2-cyclohexane dicarboxylic acid diisononyl ester (DINCH). A collection of styrene-based macromolecules were made by free radical (co)polymerization, varying molecular weight and monomer feed composition. UCST-type phase diagrams for the polymer solutions were constructed from cloud point data measured with a bespoke photographic set-up, in which up to 30 samples were analyzed simultaneously monitoring both light scattering, in the form of opacity measurements, and fluorescence. For the latter, the concept of restricted motion enhanced photoluminescence, often referred to as aggregation-induced emission (AIE), was used. Polystyrene labelled with tetraphenylethylene (TPE) was used for this. The contrast between 'ON' and 'OFF' states in the conceptual ice warning signs was optimized by tuning the polymer concentration and the active layer thickness. Our prototype signs show full reversibility over many temperature cycles. We believe the concept can be of wider use in electricity-free signs and labels.

Received 15th March 2021,
Accepted 13th May 2021

DOI: 10.1039/d1tc01189h

rsc.li/materials-c

Introduction

At sub-zero temperatures (Celsius scale), the presence of ice poses a dangerous hidden risk to both pedestrians and road users. In the UK, in the last five years, 8390 road traffic accidents occurred in frosty or icy conditions.¹ Ice warning systems currently in use are static signs that need to be physically placed or are complex and electrically powered. In the effort to move towards a sustainable future, there is increasing importance on the need for warning indicators and

sensors to respond autonomously to environmental change without the use of electricity.

Thermochromism is described as a photonic temperature-dependent transition that results in a change in the observed colour of a material. Traditional commercial examples of thermochromic materials are organic dye mixtures and cholesteric liquid crystals, used in labels of drinks and as thermometer strips. Organic dye mixtures typically contain a pH sensitive dye (leuco dye), a proton donating molecule and a solvent. The colourless-to-coloured transition occurs at the melting point of the solvent. Although they are low-cost materials, a thermochromic hysteresis loop exists due to the differences in the melting or freezing temperatures of the solvent.^{2,3} Cholesteric liquid crystals display a range of colours when heated/cooled due to changes in the wavelength of reflected light. They are highly accurate, however, become colourless when in crystalline or isotropic phases. They are also sensitive to UV-light which leads to loss of accuracy and colour.^{4,5}

In this work the approach to trigger an optical temperature response is through phase separation resulting in a reversible clear-to-opaque transition, and later on, simultaneously enhanced fluorescence. The emerging phases commonly have

^a Department of Chemistry, University of Warwick, Coventry, CV4 7AL, UK.

E-mail: s.bon@warwick.ac.uk; Web: <https://www.bonlab.info/>

^b Department of Chemistry and Biotechnology, Darmstadt University of Applied Science, Stephanstr. 7, 64295 Darmstadt, Germany

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Video of prototype sign in use; experimental details, thermal imaging and example of analysis for the photographic plate reader; experimental details, molecular weight characterisation, cloud point curves, phase diagrams and critical phase conditions for styrene homopolymers and copolymers; characterisation of poly(styrene-co-4-(1,2,2-triphenylvinyl)phenyl acrylate) (PS-co-TPEA); UV-Vis and fluorescence intensity spectra of PS-co-TPEA/DINCH. See DOI: 10.1039/d1tc01189h

‡ J. R. B. and R. A. Y. contributed equally.

different refractive indices, hereby triggering the scattering of light, so that the optical appearance of the material changes from clear to opaque. Beside a difference in refractive index, the geometry and size of the phase separated domains play their role in scattering. When phase separation has a directional component, for example in the case of liquid crystals, one can speak of thermotropism. Here we focus on polymer solutions which undergo a coil-to-globule transition upon phase separation. Directionality is absent and thus we prefer the term thermoresponsive.

Polymer thermoresponsive materials which phase separate on heating are classified by a lower critical solution temperature (LCST), whereas systems that phase separate upon cooling have an upper critical solution temperature (UCST). The term 'critical solution temperature' was popularised by Masson in 1891 when commenting on partially miscible liquid mixtures that became infinity miscible above a certain temperature.⁶ Work by Flory and Huggins in the 1940–1950s led to a greater understanding of phase transitions of polymer solutions and blends.^{7–11}

The temperature at which the change in transparency from clear to opaque is observed for a particular material composition is often referred to as the cloud point. Phase diagrams can be determined experimentally from cloud point measurements against composition and predicted with thermodynamic models,¹² a classical candidate being the Flory–Huggins lattice-based theory.^{7,8} The LCST and the UCST are the minima and maxima on the cloud point curves, also referred to as phase co-existence curves.

A practical application of polymeric thermoresponsive materials is the regulation of solar radiation in buildings.^{13,14} In recent times, these 'smart windows' have attracted considerable attention but can be traced back as early as the 1950s.¹⁵ By autonomously and reversibly decreasing solar radiation in buildings at high temperatures, energy spent on building cooling is reduced. Polymeric materials used for thermoresponsive glazing include polymer blends,^{16,17} hydrogels,^{18–21} and polymer-dispersed liquid crystal films.^{22–25} The concept to use an LCST phase transition as a light reflector gate to prevent overheating, is also used in solar collectors.²⁶

Research into LCST-type polymer solutions and gels has been especially extensive for aqueous systems,^{27–29} with many thermoresponsive applications being used for reactive glazing.³⁰ Combining LCST-type polymers with solvatochromic dyes also allows for use in a variety of sensors, as reviewed by Pietsch and coworkers.³¹

Compared to LCST-type polymer systems that trigger opacity when the temperature increases, the use of UCST-type systems have received considerably less attention. The UCST phase behaviour of polymers has been studied in aqueous,³² water/alcohol,³³ and organic solvents.³⁴ Development of systems with optical applications in mind, however, have been sporadic. Seeboth and co-workers showed that hydrogel-based systems made from a blend of poly(vinyl alcohol) and ethoxylated polydimethylsiloxane, crosslinked with borax in water, went through opaque-translucent-opaque transitions, with translucency between room temperature and 55 °C.³⁵ Danko *et al.* applied

thermoresponsive hydrogels for use as a temperature indicator using zwitterionic copolymers.³⁶ The opacity of the material was reversible, but there was a large volume difference between states. Volume contraction during phase separation of the material was overcome by Ding *et al.* with the combination of physical and chemical crosslinking for use in glazing.³⁷ The opacity of their windows could also be regulated by electrical heating. As mentioned earlier, to reduce energy cost on cooling buildings in hot weather, LCST-type polymers are used in reactive glazing. Alternatively, a multi-layer hydrogel coating, developed by La and coworkers, demonstrates the usefulness of UCST materials in colder conditions.²¹ Under opaque conditions, transmittance of mid-IR radiation was reduced, which they demonstrated on systems with a UCST between 25 and 55 °C. The applications for UCST hydrogels are naturally limited by the freezing point of water. This can be overcome by the use of polymer/alcohol systems, such as PMMA in water/ethanol.³⁸ The inclusion of a chromatic dye allowed for accurate characterisation of the broad temperature sensing range and provided information on the coil-to-globule transition. The UCST was tuned between 29 and 47 °C depending on the water/ethanol ratio, a transition temperature too high for our intended application. We therefore decided to employ a non-aqueous UCST system.

We set out to design prototypes of flexible, electricity-free ice warning signs for roads and pavements and use a UCST response near the freezing point of water. For use as an ice warning indicator, two types of prototype signs were developed. The first, illustrated in Scheme 1a contains an active layer composed of mixtures of either polystyrene (PS) or styrene copolymers with phthalate or non-phthalate plasticizer. Above the cloud point temperature, the mixture is transparent. The black base layer of the sign absorbs light and the snowflake symbol is not particularly visible. Lowering the temperature of the patch causes the polymer solution to phase separate, light is scattered and with the use of a top stencil layer, a white snowflake appears.

The phase separation behaviour of PS has been measured in a variety of organic solvents, such as acetone,³⁹ cyclic and aromatic solvents,⁴⁰ alkyl acetates⁴¹ and others.⁴² However, for use in a warning indicator, the high volatility of these solvents is a major concern. Since the cloud point is a function of polymer/solvent composition, evaporation of the solvent would alter the switching temperature of the sign. To overcome this, dioctyl phthalate (DOP) and 1,2-cyclohexane dicarboxylic acid diisononyl ester (DINCH) were used. These solvents have reported vapour pressures of 1.3×10^{-4} and 5.83×10^{-4} Pa at 50 °C, respectively.^{43,44} The effect of molecular weight on the solubility of PS in DOP has been studied by Rangel-Nafaille and Muñoz-Lara who showed, in line with theory, that the critical temperature for phase separation decreased for lower molecular weights.⁴⁵ Stepanek *et al.* characterized the coil-to-globule transition of PS in DOP using light scattering techniques.⁴⁶ Tao and coworkers investigated the effect of solvent size and found two routes for the collapse of polymer chains.⁴⁷ In the 'middle-sized solvent', DOP, it was observed that PS forms single chain aggregates before macroscopic phase separation.

Scheme 1 The contrast switching temperature of the icy road sign can be tailored in two ways. (a) Opacity switch by light scattering: for use in 1,2-cyclohexane dicarboxylic acid diisononyl ester (DINCH), the molecular weight of polystyrene was varied. For use in dioctyl terephthalate (DOP), styrene was copolymerized with a variety of monomers; ethyl acrylate (R_1 H, R_2 OC_2H_5), 2-ethylhexyl acrylate (R_1 H, R_2 OC_8H_{17}), ethyl methacrylate (R_1 CH_3 , R_2 OC_2H_5), lauryl acrylate (R_1 H, R_2 $\text{OC}_{12}\text{H}_{25}$), methyl methacrylate (R_1 CH_3 , R_2 OCH_3) and *N*-isopropylacrylamide (R_1 H, R_2 NHC_3H_7). (b) Contrast switch by light scattering and enhanced fluorescence: polystyrene labelled with 4-(1,2,2-triphenylvinyl)phenyl acrylate (TPEA) was used in DINCH.

To enhance the effectiveness of the warning sign at night, a prototype sign that displays an increase in fluorescent emission at low temperatures, in addition to the effect in opacity due to light scattering, was developed. This was achieved by copolymerising styrene with a monomer derived from the fluorophore, 1,1,2,2-tetraphenylethylene (TPE). As illustrated in Scheme 1b, at high temperatures, the polymer dissolves in the DINCH and the snowflake pattern is barely visible. TPE has a low fluorescence quantum yield when solvated due to its unique molecular structure. At temperatures below the cloud point, the solvated polymer chains collapse. A difference in polymer/solvent refractive index causes light to be scattered and a restriction in the intramolecular motions of TPE cause an increase in fluorescence intensity. With the use of a top stencil layer, a bright image appears in the dark under UV-light.

The phenomenon of molecules which display an increase in fluorescence from the solvated state to the 'solid state' can be traced back to the work of Jelley⁴⁸ and Scheibe,⁴² in the 1930s.⁴⁹ Both authors independently observed a spectral shift and fluorescence increase on dye aggregation, however the same effect can occur without intermolecular interactions. The 'solid state' which triggers the fluorescence increase can be generated by low temperatures and/or high viscosities, in matrixes that are amorphous or crystalline. The effect of viscosity and temperature on the fluorescence quantum yield of TPE^{50,51} and other molecules^{52–55} was studied between 1967–1990. In more recent years the phenomenon was popularised by Tang and co-workers with the term aggregated-induced emission (AIE).^{56,57} As the emission effect had already been shown to occur in the absence of aggregation, this term is somewhat

ambiguous and later altered to include emission that occurs due to restriction of intermolecular motion (RIM), which includes rotation (RIR) or vibration (RIV).⁵⁸ Studies of AIE type molecules have been expanded to polymeric species.⁵⁹ The study of thermoresponsive AIE polymers was reported by Tang *et al.* who copolymerised a monomeric TPE derivative with NIPAM.⁶⁰ Many more iterations of thermoresponsive TPE copolymers have been studied, which include combinations with PNIPAM,^{61–63} polyethylene glycol⁶⁴ and polyacrylamide hydrogels.⁶⁵ Water-based thermoresponsive polymers that exhibit a UCST phase transition have recently been reported by Jia *et al.*⁶⁶ An increase in fluorescence was observed on cooling and the process was visualised using confocal microscopy.

In this work we set out to combine the thermodynamic study of polystyrene-based solutions at low temperatures with an ice warning indicator that has the potential to improve road safety in a simple, inexpensive, electricity-free approach. Our design relies on a clear-to-opaque transition when we cool down. Whereas one can argue for the opposite LCST-scenario to be used in the fabrication of a road sign, a UCST transition is essential if one wishes to incorporate the enhanced fluorescence effect operating under icy conditions. To our knowledge the phase separation of PS copolymers in DOP and homopolymer PS in DINCH has not yet been examined. Mapping the phase behaviour of polymer solutions or blends requires a significant amount of experimental data. Thermally-induced phase separations are typically recorded by spectrometers, measuring the response in light transmittance through or scattering of the sample. For an accurate measurement of cloud points, a low rate of cooling/heating is required. The ability to measure samples in

parallel, therefore, is of great value. Commercial parallel turbidimeters can be used for systematic studies, such as copoly (2-oxazoline)s solutions in water-ethanol mixtures,⁶⁷ and methacrylic acid/oligo(ethylene glycol)methacrylate copolymers in aqueous solutions.⁶⁸ For high-throughput phase separation studies of polymer blends, a bespoke two-dimensional technique was developed Meredith *et al.*⁶⁹ Films of polymer blends were cast to produce a gradient in composition along the substrate, which were then annealed on a heating stage with a linear temperature gradient. In this study we built a low-cost parallel plate reader using a standard DSLR camera set up.

The results on phase separation behaviour were used to optimise the design of the prototype thermoresponsive ice warning signs, which not only showed a UCST-type response in the form of light scattering but also through enhanced fluorescence upon phase separation.

Experimental

Materials

Acryloyl chloride ($\geq 96\%$) was purchased from Alfa Aesar. 1,2-Cyclohexane dicarboxylic acid diisononyl ester (Hexamoll[®] DINCH) was kindly supplied by BASF. 1-(4-Hydroxyphenyl)-1,2,2-triphenylethylene (TPE-OH, 99.75%) was purchased from Chemscone. Magnesium sulfate, methanol ($\geq 99.9\%$), sodium hydroxide (NaOH), tetrahydrofuran (THF, $\geq 99\%$), triethylamine (TEA, $\geq 99\%$) and xylene (analytical grade) were purchased from Fisher Scientific. Dichloromethane (DCM, anhydrous, $\geq 96\%$), dioctyl phthalate (DOP, $\geq 96\%$), ethyl acrylate, (EA, 99%), 2-ethylhexyl acrylate (2EHA, 98%), ethyl methacrylate (EMA, 99%), lauryl acrylate (LA, 90%), methyl methacrylate (MMA, 99%), *N*-isopropylacrylamide (NIPAM, 99%), oil blue (96%), 4-phenylazophenol, rose bengal, sodium bicarbonate ($\geq 99.5\%$), styrene (99%) and tetrahydrofuran (inhibitor-free, $\geq 99.9\%$) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Double sided adhesive, acetate sheets (2.7 mm thick) and printable acetate sheets were purchased from Stix2.

Synthesis of polystyrene copolymers by bulk polymerization

Styrene and comonomers, in accordance with Table S1 (ESI[†]), were filtered through basic alumina to remove inhibitors and purged with nitrogen gas. Initiation was induced by submerging the vials into an oil bath at 140 °C. After 1 hour, the reactions were quenched with air and cooled in an ice bath. The resulting solutions were diluted with THF before precipitating into cold methanol. The polymer was then dried in a vacuum oven before characterisation.

Synthesis of polystyrene by solution polymerization

Styrene was filtered through a column of basic alumina and added to varying amounts of xylene, outlined in Table S2 (ESI[†]). The solutions were purged with nitrogen gas and the reaction was commenced by submerging the vials in an oil bath at 135 °C. After 3 hours the reactions were quenched with air and cooled in an ice bath. The polymer solutions were diluted with

THF before being precipitated into cold methanol. The polymer was then dried in a vacuum oven at 100 °C before characterisation.

Synthesis of 4-(1,2,2-triphenylvinyl)phenyl acrylate

Following a procedure reported by Qian Li *et al.*,⁷⁰ TPE-OH (0.25 g, 0.716 mmol) and TEA (0.15 mL, 1.076 mmol) were dissolved in anhydrous DCM (35 mL). Such an excess of solvent was used as TPE-OH was sparingly soluble. Acryloyl chloride (0.085 mL, 1.05 mmol) was dissolved in DCM (5 mL) and added dropwise to the TPE-OH solution at 0 °C. The reaction was stirred at 0 °C for 30 minutes then at room temperature for 2 hours. The precipitate was removed by gravity filtration and the mixture was washed twice with saturated aqueous NaHCO₃, twice with pH 9 aqueous NaOH and twice with deionized water. The solvent was dried with magnesium sulfate and evaporated under reduced pressure, giving the desired product as a yellow solid 0.1052 g (yield 33.7%) ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 7.16–6.99 (m, 17H), 6.88 (d, J = 8.56 Hz, 2H), 6.56 (dd, J = 17.24, 0.98 Hz, 1H), 6.27 (dd, J = 17.24, 10.39 Hz, 1H), 5.97 (dd, J = 10.51, 0.98 Hz, 1H).

Synthesis of poly(styrene-co-4-(1,2,2-triphenylvinyl)phenyl acrylate)

To a 3-neck RBF with a condenser fitted, 4-(1,2,2-triphenylvinyl)phenyl acrylate (3.306 mg, 6.97×10^{-3} mmol) was added and the vessel purged with nitrogen gas for 1 hour. Separate stocks of styrene, with inhibitor removed, and xylene were prepared and purged with nitrogen gas for 30 minutes. After purging, styrene (8.8 mL, 76.81 mmol) and xylene (13.39 mL, 113.04 mmol) were added to the RBF. The mixture was heated at 135 °C for 3 hours with stirring. The mixture was cooled and air was bubbled into the mixture to quench the polymerization reaction. The polymer was precipitated into room temperature pentane and washed twice in cold pentane. The polymer was collected by vacuum filtration and dried under vacuum at 100 °C.

Photographic plate reader

To conduct the phase separation experiments, an aluminium plate with 36 wells (volume 0.25 mL) was used. Its temperature was regulated by a thermoelectric cooler. Each well was fitted with a circle of black card on the base to aid with contrast. During the measurement, the plate was covered with an anti-reflective coated glass slide to prevent solvent evaporation and ice formation on the plate. A single well was filled with pure solvent for temperature measurement. The aluminium plate and thermoelectric module were placed inside an open top box with cut-outs on either side covered in a non-woven fabric interfacing that acted as an optical diffuser. The plate was lit with two 17 W LED globe bulbs and a DSLR camera was positioned directly above using a tripod. To prevent the interference of ambient light, four retort stands were placed around the open-topped box and covered with black cloth. The samples were cooled from 20 to –20 °C at a rate of 0.2 °C min^{–1}. The phase transitions of the samples were

determined using photographs taken during the experiment, the colour images were converted to grey scale and the average grey value for each well was determined as function of temperature. Further details about the technique and measurement procedure are provided in the ESI†

Measurement of road sign photoluminescence effect

Prototypes signs containing poly(styrene-*co*-4-(1,2,2-triphenylvinyl) phenyl acrylate) dissolved in DINCH were placed on a thermoelectric cooler located beneath a UVP UVGL-55 UV lamp with wavelengths of 254 and 365 nm. A DSLR camera was positioned directly above using a tripod. A UV blocking filter was positioned in front of the camera lens. The manufacturer of the UV filter specified <0.5% transmittance below 410 nm and >97% above 445 nm. During the measurement, the thermoelectric plate was cooled from 30 to $-20\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ at $0.2\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C min}^{-1}$. Photos were taken automatically every 60 seconds, the camera's shutter speed, aperture, ISO and white balance were fixed throughout. Ice formation below $0\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ was prevented by purging with nitrogen gas. Ambient light was regulated with a cover of black cloth over the instrument. For analysis of luminescence, the images were converted to 32-bit grey scale and the average grey value was determined with ImageJ software.

Characterization

^1H NMR experiments were conducted on a Bruker Avance III HD 400 MHz instrument. Chloroform- d was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Spectra were analysed using ACD laboratories software. Gel permeation chromatography was carried out using an Agilent Infinity II MDS instrumentation equipped with differential refractive index, viscometry, dual angle light scatter, and multiple wavelength UV detectors was used. The system was equipped with $2 \times \text{PLgel Mixed C}$ columns ($300 \times 7.5\text{ mm}$) and a PLgel $5\text{ }\mu\text{m}$ guard column. THF with 0.01% butylated hydroxytoluene additive was used as the eluent. Samples were run at 1 mL min^{-1} at $30\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$. PMMA and PS standards (Agilent EasyVials) were used for calibration. Analyte samples were filtered through a GVHP membrane with $0.22\text{ }\mu\text{m}$ pore size before injection. Experimental molar mass (M_n , SEC) and dispersity (D) values of synthesised polymers were determined by conventional calibration against PMMA and PS standards using Agilent GPC/SEC software. The Mark-Houwink-Sakurada parameters used for the PMMA standards were $K = 9.44 \times 10^{-3}\text{ mL g}^{-1}$ and $\alpha = 0.719$, and for PS $K = 14.1 \times 10^{-3}\text{ mL g}^{-1}$ and $\alpha = 0.700$. UV-Vis spectra were obtained using an Agilent Cary 60 UV-Vis spectrophotometer. Quartz cuvettes of 1 cm pathlength were used and the sample temperature was maintained at $25\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ using a thermostated single cell holder. Photoluminescence spectra were recorded on an Agilent Cary Eclipse fluorescence spectrophotometer. Fluorescence specific quartz cuvettes of 1 cm pathlength were used and the sample temperature was regulated with a Peltier block and multicell holder. The spectrophotometer is equipped with a 15 W xenon pulse lamp, with pulse width 2–3 μs and power 64 kW. For experiments conducted below $0\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$, the measurement chamber was purged with nitrogen gas.

Transmittance measurements were conducted on an Anton Paar Litesizer 500. The light source was semiconductor laser diode with power 40 mW and wavelength of 658 nm.

Results & discussion

Photographic plate reader and cloud point determination

To investigate the significance of PS molecular weight and copolymer composition on the phase transition temperature, a matrix of mixtures was required. Herein we devised an efficient and accurate method using a bespoke metal plate reader to record the phase transitions of up to 30 samples in parallel (Scheme 2). During the measurements, the plate's temperature was controlled by a thermoelectric cooler/heater. The sample temperature was accurately measured using a probe immersed in a well containing a polymer-free sample of DOP or DINCH. A consistent temperature across the plate was confirmed by infra-red photography (Fig. S1, ESI†). Images of the plate were taken during the experiment, recorded in RAW format and processed into 32-bit grey scale. For each image, the mean grey value (G) was calculated for a 50 pixels diameter circle in the centre of each well (Fig. S2, ESI†). Each well has a black coloured base, seen through the transparent, miscible liquid at high temperatures. As the mixture phase separates it begins to scatter light and the mean grey value increases. From the image analysis a plot of G/G_0 is produced, where G_0 is the lowest recorded mean grey value. As shown in Fig. 1a the measurement of 10% w/w PS in DOP using the photographic method fits very closely to transmittance data recorded on a

Scheme 2 (a) Illustration of custom photographic plate reader. A 36 well plate is positioned on a thermoelectric cooler/heater with a DSLR camera position directly above. Interference from ambient light was reduced by using two LED lamps and the equipment was placed under black cloth. (b) Aluminium plate with wells filled with PS-DINCH mixture at $20.0\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ (left) and at $0.1\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ (right). The columns represent different molecular weights of polystyrene, whereas the rows represent different polymer-solvent ratios.

Fig. 1 (a) Phase change response of polystyrene (M_w 280 000 g mol⁻¹ $\bar{D}$ 3.0) in dioctyl phthalate (DOP) at 10% w/w, measured by photographic plate reader technique (dark blue squares) and using UV-Vis spectroscopy at wavelength of 500 nm (light blue open circles). (b) Cloud point determination from phase change curves measured using photographic technique of polystyrene dissolved in 2-cyclohexane dicarboxylic acid diisononyl ester (DINCH). Cloud points were determined at the intersection of a tangent line (green) from the centre of a Boltzmann sigmoidal fit (pink) with the baseline (blue). (c) The change in upper critical solution temperature (UCST) of polystyrene copolymers in DOP as a function of comonomer mole fraction. Polystyrene (dark blue star), poly(ethyl methacrylate-co-styrene) (light blue squares), poly(2-ethylhexyl acrylate-co-styrene) (turquoise open circles), poly(ethyl acrylate-co-styrene) (green triangles), poly(lauryl acrylate-co-styrene) (purple open triangles), poly(methyl methacrylate-co-styrene) (gold downward-pointing triangles), poly(*N*-isopropylacrylamide-co-styrene) (pink diamonds). (d) The change in the PS/DINCH UCST (solid squares) and cloud point temperatures (T_{cp}) at 20 wt% PS in DINCH (open squares) as a function of weight average molecular weight. (e) Phase change response of polymer blends in DOP, blends of polystyrene and poly(styrene-co-lauryl acrylate) were mixed by weight ratio. Polystyrene (dark blue squares), 75 : 25 PS : P(S-co-LA) (light blue open circles), 50 : 50 PS : P(S-co-LA) (green triangles), 75 : 25 PS : P(S-co-LA) (gold triangle) and P(S-co-LA) (purple diamonds).

UV-spectrometer. Furthermore, because the temperature of the plate reader is cooled thermoelectrically, temperatures as low as -20°C can be measured easily. An example of the data analysis performed to obtain the cloud point is shown in Fig. 1b. Firstly, a Boltzmann sigmoidal function was applied until the fit converged. A tangent line was extended from inflection point of sigmoidal fit and the intersect of this line with the baseline ($y = 1$) was determined as the cloud point, T_{cp} .

Phase separation behaviour of polystyrene in phthalate and non-phthalate plasticizers

Adjustability of the thermochromic switching temperature is key to the wider applications of the warning sign. The Flory–Huggins theory for the phase behaviour for polymer solutions shows that the critical temperature of mixing a polymer solution is dependent on the enthalpic polymer/solvent compatibility as well as polymer molecular weight and composition (volume fraction of polymer in solution).¹¹

The experimental value for the UCST of PS of high molar mass in DOP (300 kg mol⁻¹) was determined to be 7.6°C and in excellent agreement with the literature value of 7.4°C for 280 kg mol⁻¹ polystyrene.⁴⁵ To investigate the effect of polymer/DOP compatibility, styrene was copolymerised with either ethyl acrylate (EA), 2-ethylhexyl acrylate (2EHA), ethyl methacrylate (EMA), lauryl acrylate (LA), methyl methacrylate (MMA) or *N*-isopropylacrylamide (NIPAM). Each of these

styrene comonomer combinations were synthesised over a range of feed ratios 0.5–10 weight percent of comonomer (polymer characteristics listed in Table S3, ESI†). Each copolymer at a given copolymer composition was mixed with DOP in 6 different polymer–solvent weight fractions. Owing to the high throughput of the photographic plate reader, analysis of 236 PS-copolymer-solvent combinations was carried out. Cloud points for all combinations were calculated using the method described previously for Fig. 1b (examples for P(S-co-MMA) copolymers shown in Fig. S3, ESI†). Using the cloud points, phase diagrams for each copolymer series were produced (Fig. S4, ESI†) and the cloud point data was fit with a second order polynomial. Its maximum was the experimentally determined UCST (Table S4, ESI†). As shown in Fig. 1c, increasing the mole fraction of comonomer in the styrene copolymer reduces the critical temperature in all cases. This is due to a more favourable polymer–solvent interaction. An interesting observation is that the drop in UCST is considerable, but less steep for those monomers that are more polar in nature. From the data it can be concluded that a tailored polymer can be made for a warning sign with a specific transition temperature.

The low melting point and vapour pressure of DOP meets the requirements for use in a temperature indicator, however there are known safety concerns regarding phthalate solvents.^{71,72} To negate these health risks, DINCH was used. DINCH has been manufactured as a direct replacement for

DOP so shares similar properties such a high vapour pressure and low melting point. As well as being a less harmful solvent, the refractive index of DINCH, n_D^{20} 1.461, is slightly lower than DOP, n_D^{20} 1.488. This works in our favour as a larger refractive index gap between polymer and solvent, when phase separated, produces more scattering and greater contrast between OFF and ON states.

PS was synthesised by bulk and solution polymerization, with molecular weight regulated through control of monomer to solvent ratio (Table S5, ESI†). Combinations of PS in DINCH 1–20% w/w were prepared and the photographic plate reader was used to record the phase transitions. Cloud points were determined from plots of G/G_0 against temperature (Fig. S5, ESI†) and phase diagrams were produced (Fig. S6, ESI†). From each PS phase diagram, the UCST was experimentally determined as the highest y-axis value, using a polynomial fit (Table S6, ESI†). Fig. 1d illustrates the ability to tune the temperature at which phase separation of the mixture occurs. The PS/DINCH UCST, together with the cloud points at a polymer/solvent weight fraction of 0.2, are plotted as a function of molecular weight. A marked drop in the phase separation temperature as molecular weight decreases is characteristic of UCST behaviour, and indeed has also been observed for PS in cyclic alkanes and acetates.^{40,41} The weight average molecular weight was plotted due to the PS dispersity being between 2.0–2.6, as it has been shown that higher molecular weight fractions have a greater influence on the cloud point in disperse polymers.^{73,74}

This set us to explore the phase separation of binary polymer blends in DOP, where we used mixtures of two polymers of

different chemical composition, and thus different cloud points. The idea was to incrementally regulate the level of opacity. The results are shown in Fig. 1e. The phase change curves of three 10 wt% blends of PS (M_W 280 000 g mol^{−1}, D 3.0) and P(S-co-LA) (M_W 384 000 g mol^{−1}, D 1.94, 2.6 mol% of LA in the random copolymer) mixtures, as well as the respective pure polymer solutions in DOP, are shown. The cloud points of pure PS and P(S-co-LA) polymers were determined to be 2 and −8.5 °C, respectively. Due to the large difference in T_{cp} of the pure polymers, the 75 : 25, 50 : 50 and 25 : 75 PS:P(S-co-LA) weight ratio blends display two cloud points with a plateau region for the normalized grey value in-between. The lower and higher T_{cp} of the three blends are in line with the respective pure polymers T_{cp} , slight variation accounted for a result of the difference in weight fraction. Blends with a higher concentration of PS reached a higher grey value plateau, indeed demonstrating that a two-stage stepwise control of opacity is possible.

The phase diagram plots of Fig. S4 and S6 (ESI†) illustrate that cloud points can be tuned by copolymer composition and molecular weight, in addition to the volume or weight fraction of polymer in solution. For DOP or DINCH mixtures, the greatest opacity of a sample, measured as G/G_0 , reached at $T \ll T_{cp}$, was recorded in samples with the highest polymer weight fraction of 0.2. This influenced our choice when designing effective ice warning sign prototypes.

Design and performance of thermoresponsive sign

For use as an ice warning system, 5 × 5 cm prototypes were built and tested. Illustrated in Fig. 2c, the thermoresponsive

Fig. 2 (a) Thin layer patch can be flexed and applied to curved surfaces if required whilst maintaining full function. (b) Frames taken from video (found in ESI†) of patch adhered to glass beaker at room temperature (i) and containing ice water for 60 seconds (ii). (c) Exploded view diagram showing lamella structure of ice warning patch. Details of the materials required for its manufacture are shown. (d) Thermoresponsive patch cycled between 10 and 0 °C with 60 second intervals, middle acetate sheet thickness of 270 μm. Grey value of the patch was measure using the photographic plate reader method.

patches were made of three cellulose acetate sheets bound together with layers of pressure sensitive adhesive. Cellulose acetate was selected as it has a high optical transmittance as well as good mechanical and thermal properties. The base acetate sheet is black to maximise contrast between OFF and ON states. The central sheet has a circular section removed, which is filled with the PS/DINCH mixture. The PS used had a M_w of 210 kg mol^{-1} (PS60%XY, Table S5 and Fig. S5d, ESI†) and was dissolved in DINCH at weight fraction of 0.2. This specific molecular weight and polymer/solvent composition was chosen to maximise scattering with a cloud point close to 0°C . Mixtures with greater amounts of PS (at higher molar mass to have the same transition temperature) were not suitable to use in sign manufacturing, due to the high viscosity of the mixtures. The top sheet of the patch has a customisable printed stencil which causes a snowflake symbol to appear when cooled below the switching temperature. The thickness of sign's central sheet, either 100 or $270 \mu\text{m}$, could be altered to favour flexibility or contrast. It was found that the increased pathlength of the liquid in the $270 \mu\text{m}$ patch improved contrast by 15% without impairing flexibility.

Fig. 2a illustrates the flexibility of the $270 \mu\text{m}$ patch (see also the video in ESI†). The patch is bent in each direction before being placed onto a thermoelectric plate set to 0°C . Due to the time taken for the patch's shape to relax, faster switching times were achieved when the material was flattened and in close contact with the underlying surface. The flexibility of the patch allows it to function on curved surfaces. This is demonstrated with a glass beaker and ice water, with frames from the video shown in Fig. 2b(i) and (ii). Maximum contrast from OFF to ON state was reached in approximately 60 seconds with the $270 \mu\text{m}$ patch producing the greatest contrast.

The reversibility of the signs was analysed using photographic grey value method. Both signs show consistent contrast values across 10 cycles between 10 to 0°C with 60 second intervals between temperature changes. Reversibility for the $270 \mu\text{m}$ and $100 \mu\text{m}$ sign is demonstrated in Fig. 2d and Fig. S7 (ESI†), respectively.

As well as a black to white transition, a full colour response can be achieved using dyes. Demonstrated in Fig. 3, an easily recognisable video game scene was enacted using three dyes (oil blue, rose bengal, and 4-phenylazophenol, $\sim 10 \text{ mg}$) which dissolved in the PS-DOP mixture at all temperatures. Below the thermally induced phase transition, the PS rich phase acts as an opacifier. Heating the patch causes the PS to dissolve, through the transparent mixture the black background absorbed light and the colours are barely visible.

Combination of phase separation and aggregation-induced emission

The clear-to-opaque temperature response of the PS/DINCH sign was enhanced by the incorporation of an AIE monomer. 4-((1,2,2-triphenylvinyl)phenyl acrylate) (TPEA) was synthesised by a method adapted from by Qian *et al.*⁷⁰ and characterised by ^1H NMR (Fig. S8, ESI†). Poly(styrene-*co*-4-(1,2,2-triphenylvinyl)phenyl acrylate) (P(S-*co*-TPEA)) was synthesised by solution

Fig. 3 Patch displaying full colour temperature response by adding oil blue, rose bengal, and 4-phenylazophenol dyes to polystyrene-DOP mixture. The patch containing PS (M_w $280\,000 \text{ g mol}^{-1}$ $\bar{D}$ 3.0) in DOP at 10 wt% was imaged at below, above and below phase separation temperature, top, middle and bottom, respectively.

polymerization. The copolymer had a molar ratio of TPEA:S 1:4600 and a weight average molecular weight of $210\,000 \text{ g mol}^{-1}$ (Fig. S9, ESI†). Only a very low concentration of TPEA in the copolymer was required as a high concentration of polymer in DINCH (20%w/w, as before) is necessary for optimal contrast between OFF and ON states. Too much TPEA may have a pronounced effect on the solubility characteristics, in line with what we observed with other comonomers (see Fig. 1c). The amount of TPEA incorporated into P(S-*co*-TPEA) was compared to the monomer feed molar ratio using a UV absorbance calibration curve (Fig. S10, ESI†). The experimental molar ratio was found to be 1:4600 TPEA:S compared to the feed ratio of 1:11 000. This deviation is thought to be due to composition drift during radical polymerization. As the polymer was synthesised to low monomer conversion, composition drift towards TPEA most likely occurred, evidenced by literature reactivity ratios of similar acrylate comonomers.^{75,76} A molecular weight of $210\,000 \text{ g mol}^{-1}$ was targeted to obtain a polymer with a UCST in DINCH of 13°C and the molecular weight was confirmed by GPC analysis (Fig. S9, ESI†). The P(S-*co*-TPEA) was dissolved in DINCH at 10% w/w, targeting a cloud point of 10°C . This transition temperature was chosen to allow for the analysis of phase separation and fluorescence intensity (FI) down to 30 degrees below T_{cp} . A temperature of -20°C was the lowest that could be measured with the available equipment. Note that a P(S-*co*-TPEA)/DINCH solution of 20% w/w would have a transition temperature a few degrees lower, and an approximate 40–50% increase in contrast.

The phase separation of the 10% w/w P(S-*co*-TPEA)/DINCH solution was characterised by measuring light transmittance with a light scattering detector, using a $1 \times 1 \text{ cm}$ optical glass cuvette. A laser wavelength above the absorbance of TPEA was used so that transmitted light was only a function of opacity. In Fig. 4a, light blue open circles, a decrease in light transmission through the sample from 15 to 10°C is observed due to phase separation. The sharp transition to 0% transmittance below 10°C is due to the strong opacity of the sample and relatively long path length compared to the 0.27 mm layer of liquid used in the prototype sign.

Trying to monitor the light emission response with a conventional fluorospectrometer leads to complications in data interpretation as a result of light scattering from the phase separating polymer. Due to the large decrease in transmittance of the 10 wt% mixture, a drop in fluorescence intensity (FI) is observed at the onset of phase separation when measured at a detector angle of 90° (Fig. S11, ESI†). This effect was also observed for phase separated PNIPAM-TPE copolymers in water,⁶⁰ as well as solvatochromic dyes in water⁷⁷ or ethanol.³⁸ It can be overcome by measuring at much lower polymer concentrations ($<0.1 \text{ mg mL}^{-1}$) so that scattering is minimized.^{61,62} However, doing so alters the cloud point temperature drastically.

We therefore modified our plate reader set up to measure the luminescence of the P(S-co-TPEA)/DINCH mixture as a function of temperature. For this we exposed the sample to UV light and, importantly, used a UV cut-off filter for the camera. The UV cut-off filter ensured no back-scattered light from the UV light source was recorded, only the emitted light (at a higher wavelength). A similar set-up, but without a UV cut-off filter, was used to measure polymer glass transition temperatures.⁷⁸ The absorption and emission spectra for the P(S-co-TPEA)/DINCH mixture at 2°C is presented in Fig. S12 (ESI†), with absorption and emission maxima at 348 and 472 nm, respectively.

To quantify the luminescence of the P(S-co-TPEA)/DINCH as a function of temperature a patch containing the mixture was cooled from 30 to -20°C , under UV light, and photos were taken every 0.2°C (see also the video in ESI†). These photos were converted to greyscale and the grey value of each was recorded (example image in Fig. S13, ESI†). The mean grey value was normalized to the lowest value and plotted against temperature in Fig. 4a, dark blue squares. The increase in mean grey value as the patch cools can be divided into three phases. From 30 to 15°C , the grey value gradually increases due to an increase in FI from the TPE side group. This emission increase is observed by flurospectroscopy (Fig. S11, ESI†). At this temperature range, the P(S-co-TPEA) chains are solvated and the increase in FI is caused by both an increase in solvent viscosity and a decrease in thermal energy. The intermolecular rotations of AIE molecules have been shown to be susceptible to both increase in solvent viscosity at a fixed temperature and as a function of temperature in a solvent with a low viscosity index.^{50,57,79,80} At 11.5°C , an inflection point in the grey value and a linear increase down to 0°C is observed. At this temperature range, the P(S-co-TPEA) chains begin to phase separate, as shown by a sharp decrease in light transmission (Fig. 4a, light blue open circle) and a decrease in apparent FI, due to scattering (Fig. S11, ESI†). It is the collapse of chain restricting inter- and intramolecular motions of the TPE side group which leads to a greater increase in FI in the region. This effect has been reported for the LCST phase separation of TPE-labelled PNIPAM,⁶⁰ TPE-PNIPAM chain decorated cholic acid showing UCST activity⁶⁶ and the collapse of TPE decorated poly(acrylic acid) chains in the presence of Ca^{2+} ions.⁸¹ From 0 to -20°C the rate of change in grey value decreases to the

Fig. 4 (a) Plot of Grey/Grey₀ (dark blue squares) and light transmittance (light blue open circles) as a function of temperature. G/G_0 values were calculated from photographic images of a prototype sign containing P(S-co-TPEA)/DINCH under UV light. Transmittance values were obtained using a light scattering detector with a sample pathlength of 1 cm. (b) Cropped images of the prototype sign under UV light, the temperature of the patch from left to right is -20°C , 0°C and 20°C . (c) Cropped images of the P(S-co-TPEA)/DINCH patch at 0 or 20°C , either in the dark under UV-light or in bright light without UV-light.

same gradient as 30 to 15°C . This signifies that the collapse of chains has reached a steady state and that the increase in FI is only due to the solvent viscosity and temperature of the system. This observation also matches the plateau of grey value seen in PS/DINCH at low temperatures (Fig. 1b and Fig. S5, ESI†). In Fig. S11 (ESI†), the FI also increases below 0°C , albeit a lower rate mostly due to reduced UV absorbance and emission due to the high opacity of the sample. The increase in fluorescence under UV-light is visualised in Fig. 4b, cropped images of the patch are shown at -20 , 0 and 20°C , from left to right. As for the PS/

DINCH prototype signs, the P(S-co-TPEA)/DINCH sign also shows a contrast change in bright light without a fluorescent emission, as shown in Fig. 4c.

Conclusions

We hope that our prototype thermoresponsive, electricity-free road signs demonstrate a working concept that could warn of the potential presence of ice to pedestrians or vehicles. Not only did we demonstrate that our manufactured signs clearly displayed opaque snowflake patterns, we also showed that, beside light scattering, a marked increase in fluorescence intensity was accomplished, for use in the dark. Although the fluorescent prototype sign presented shows significant potential, it not without its limitations. A UV light source was required as the TPE fluorophore has an absorbance maximum of 348 nm. Although xenon car headlights have a broad emission spectrum, cerium-doped blubs ensure harmful UV radiation, <400 nm, is blocked. That withstanding, we hope our concept acts as a platform for future variations, with research into AIE molecules with absorbance maxima in the visible light region, such as the ones operating at 400–500 nm.^{82–84} Displayed colours can be tuned as we showed in Fig. 3. Alternatively, the use of AIE systems that produce yellow or white luminescence can qualify the signs to meet stringent road sign and traffic signal standards.^{85,86} The UCST-type response of the signs was sufficiently fast for its application (<60 seconds) and shown to be reversible and stable over multiple cycles. The system can display a coloured thermo-response with the addition of solvent soluble dyes. Alternatively, a coloured transparent top layer could have been used to trigger a similar effect. Owing to the use of DINCH as the solvent, the warning sign can operate at high and sub-zero temperatures (Celsius scale), a difficulty faced by aqueous based systems. Although a switching temperature close to 0 °C was chosen to best illustrate the application's potential, this temperature was shown to be easily adjustable. At a fixed polymer/solvent composition the cloud point was easily changed by adjusting the molecular weight of the PS or through copolymerization with (meth)acrylate or acrylamide comonomers. The phase separation of polymer blends with a large T_{cp} difference displayed a two-step phase separation curve. Adjustment of cloud point allows for other applications, such as paint tins, warning users not to apply the coating onto surfaces below a certain temperature. The overall design philosophy of these prototype ice warning signs is aligned with an energy conscious and sustainable future and we hope they inspire a multitude of future optical applications.

Author contributions

Joshua R. Booth: conceptualization, data curation, formal analysis, investigation, methodology, project administration, validation, visualization, writing – original draft. Robert A. Young: Conceptualization, data curation, formal analysis, investigation, methodology, project administration, validation, visualization. Andrés N. Richards Gonzales: Formal analysis,

investigation, validation, visualization. Zachary J. Meakin: Data curation, formal analysis, investigation, validation, visualization. Corinna M. Preuss: investigation, visualization. Ross W. Jagers: investigation, visualization. Stefan A. F. Bon: Conceptualization, supervision, project administration, methodology, formal analysis, funding acquisition, writing – review and editing.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Notes and references

- 1 Road accidents and safety statistics, <https://data.gov.uk/dataset/cb7ae6f0-4be6-4935-9277-47e5ce24a11f/road-safety-data> accessed January 2021.
- 2 C. F. Zhu and A. B. Wu, *Thermochim. Acta*, 2005, **425**, 7–12.
- 3 O. Panák, M. Držková and M. Kaplanová, *Dyes Pigm.*, 2015, **120**, 279–287.
- 4 L. C. Scala and G. D. Dixon, *Mol. Cryst. Liq. Cryst.*, 1970, **10**, 411–423.
- 5 C.-H. Wen, S. Gauza and S.-T. Wu, *Liq. Cryst.*, 2004, **31**, 1479–1485.
- 6 O. Masson, *Nature*, 1891, **43**, 345–349.
- 7 P. J. Flory, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 1941, **9**, 660.
- 8 M. L. Huggins, *Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci.*, 1942, **43**, 1–32.
- 9 P. J. Flory, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 1944, **12**, 425–438.
- 10 A. R. Shultz and P. J. Flory, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1952, **74**, 4760–4767.
- 11 P. J. Flory, *Principles of Polymer Chemistry*, Cornell University Press, 1953.
- 12 M. Rubinstein and R. H. Colby, in *Polymer Physics*, OUP, Oxford, 2003, pp. 137–170.
- 13 A. Seeboth, J. Schneider and A. Patzak, *Sol. Energy Mater. Sol. Cells*, 2000, **60**, 263–277.
- 14 Y. Ke, C. Zhou, Y. Zhou, S. Wang, S. H. Chan and Y. Long, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2018, **28**, 1800113.
- 15 A. Seeboth and D. Löttsch, *Thermochromic and Thermotropic Materials*, CRC Press, 2013.
- 16 S. Werner, H. J. Otto and U. Terbrack, *DE Pat.*, DE3436477, 1986.
- 17 W. Eck, H.-J. Cantow and V. Wittwer, *DE Pat.*, DE4206317, 1993.
- 18 D. J. Zalucha, F. Sexsmith, D. D. Howard and M. L. Nulph, *US Pat.*, 4409383, 1983.
- 19 A. Beck, W. Körner, H. Scheller, J. Fricke, W. J. Platzer and V. Wittwer, *Sol. Energy Mater. Sol. Cells*, 1995, **36**, 339–347.
- 20 Y. Zhou, Y. Cai, X. Hu and Y. Long, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2014, **2**, 13550–13555.
- 21 T.-G. La, X. Li, A. Kumar, Y. Fu, S. Yang and H.-J. Chung, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2017, **9**, 33100–33106.
- 22 F. Reinitzer, *Monatsh. Chem.*, 1888, **9**, 421–441.
- 23 A. Sasaki, K. Kurahashi and T. Takagi, *J. Appl. Phys.*, 1974, **45**, 4356–4359.
- 24 N. A. Vaz and G. P. Montgomery, *J. Appl. Phys.*, 1987, **62**, 3161–3172.

- 25 G. P. Montgomery and N. A. Vaz, *Phys. Rev. A: At., Mol., Opt. Phys.*, 1989, **40**, 6580–6591.
- 26 K. Resch and G. M. Wallner, *Sol. Energy Mater. Sol. Cells*, 2009, **93**, 119–128.
- 27 V. Aseyev, H. Tenhu and F. M. Winnik, in *Self Organized Nanostructures of Amphiphilic Block Copolymers II*, ed. A. H. E. Müller and O. Borisov, Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2010, vol. 242, pp. 29–89.
- 28 C. Zhao, Z. Ma and X. X. Zhu, *Prog. Polym. Sci.*, 2019, **90**, 269–291.
- 29 A. P. Constantinou and T. K. Georgiou, *Eur. Polym. J.*, 2016, **78**, 366–375.
- 30 L. Tang, L. Wang, X. Yang, Y. Feng, Y. Li and W. Feng, *Prog. Mater. Sci.*, 2021, **115**, 100702.
- 31 C. Pietsch, U. S. Schubert and R. Hoogenboom, *Chem. Commun.*, 2011, **47**, 8750–8765.
- 32 J. Seuring and S. Agarwal, *Macromol. Rapid Commun.*, 2012, **33**, 1898–1920.
- 33 Q. Zhang and R. Hoogenboom, *Prog. Polym. Sci.*, 2015, **48**, 122–142.
- 34 A. Imre and W. A. Van Hook, *J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data*, 1996, **25**, 637–661.
- 35 A. Seeboth, H.-R. Holzbauer and R. Lange, *Materialwiss. Werkstofftech.*, 1998, **29**, 336–338.
- 36 M. Danko, Z. Kroneková, M. Mrlik, J. Osicka, A. bin Yousaf, A. Mihálová, J. Tkac and P. Kasak, *Langmuir*, 2019, **35**, 1391–1403.
- 37 Y. Ding, Y. Yan, Q. Peng, B. Wang, Y. Xing, Z. Hua and Z. Wang, *ACS Appl. Polym. Mater.*, 2020, **2**, 3259–3266.
- 38 C. Pietsch, R. Hoogenboom and U. S. Schubert, *Polym. Chem.*, 2010, **1**, 1005–1008.
- 39 J. Szydlowski and W. A. Van Hook, *Macromolecules*, 1991, **24**, 4883–4891.
- 40 S. Saeki, N. Kuwahara, S. Konno and M. Kaneko, *Macromolecules*, 1973, **6**, 246–250.
- 41 S. Saeki, S. Konno, N. Kuwahara, M. Nakata and M. Kaneko, *Macromolecules*, 1974, **7**, 521–526.
- 42 S. Saeki, N. Kuwahara, S. Konno and M. Kaneko, *Macromolecules*, 1973, **6**, 589–593.
- 43 A. Hartwig, H. Parlar and T. H. Brock, *Air Monitoring Methods (The MAK-Collection for Occupational Health and Safety, Part III: Air Monitoring Methods (DFG))*, Wiley-VCH, 2013, vol. 13.
- 44 D. M. Price, *Thermochim. Acta*, 2001, **367–368**, 253–262.
- 45 C. Rangel-Nafaile and J. J. Muoz-Lara, *Chem. Eng. Commun.*, 1987, **53**, 177–198.
- 46 P. Stepanek, C. Konak and B. Sedlacek, *Macromolecules*, 1982, **15**, 1214–1216.
- 47 F. Tao, J. Han, Q. Gu, C. Teng, D. Zou, D. Zhou and G. Xue, *Macromolecules*, 2008, **41**, 9890–9895.
- 48 E. E. Jelley, *Nature*, 1936, **138**, 1009–1010.
- 49 F. Würthner, T. E. Kaiser and C. R. Saha-Möller, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2011, **50**, 3376–3410.
- 50 P. F. Barbara, S. D. Rand and P. M. Rentzepis, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1981, **103**, 2156–2162.
- 51 W. J. Leigh and D. R. Arnold, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.*, 1980, 406–408.
- 52 M. A. El-Bayoumi and F. M. A. Halim, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 1968, **48**, 2536–2541.
- 53 J. Saltiel, O. C. Zafiriou, E. D. Megarity and A. A. Lamola, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1968, **90**, 4759–4760.
- 54 G. Fischer, G. Seger, K. A. Muszkat and E. Fischer, *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2*, 1975, 1569–1576.
- 55 K. Sandros, M. Sundahl, O. Wennerstroem and U. Norinder, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1990, **112**, 3082–3086.
- 56 J. Luo, Z. Xie, J. W. Y. Lam, L. Cheng, H. Chen, C. Qiu, H. S. Kwok, X. Zhan, Y. Liu, D. Zhu and B. Z. Tang, *Chem. Commun.*, 2001, 1740–1741.
- 57 J. Chen, C. C. W. Law, J. W. Y. Lam, Y. Dong, S. M. F. Lo, I. D. Williams, D. Zhu and B. Z. Tang, *Chem. Mater.*, 2003, **15**, 1535–1546.
- 58 J. Mei, Y. Hong, J. W. Y. Lam, A. Qin, Y. Tang and B. Z. Tang, *Adv. Mater.*, 2014, **26**, 5429–5479.
- 59 A. Qin, J. W. Y. Lam and B. Z. Tang, *Prog. Polym. Sci.*, 2012, **37**, 182–209.
- 60 L. Tang, J. K. Jin, A. Qin, W. Z. Yuan, Y. Mao, J. Mei, J. Z. Sun and B. Z. Tang, *Chem. Commun.*, 2009, 4974–4976.
- 61 H. Zhou, F. Liu, X. Wang, H. Yan, J. Song, Q. Ye, B. Z. Tang and J. Xu, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2015, **3**, 5490–5498.
- 62 T. Li, S. He, J. Qu, H. Wu, S. Wu, Z. Zhao, A. Qin, R. Hu and B. Z. Tang, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2016, **4**, 2964–2970.
- 63 Z. Wang, T.-Y. Yong, J. Wan, Z.-H. Li, H. Zhao, Y. Zhao, L. Gan, X.-L. Yang, H.-B. Xu and C. Zhang, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2015, **7**, 3420–3425.
- 64 X. Yin, F. Meng and L. Wang, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2013, **1**, 6767–6773.
- 65 X. Wang, K. Xu, H. Yao, L. Chang, Y. Wang, W. Li, Y. Zhao and J. Qin, *Polym. Chem.*, 2018, **9**, 5002–5013.
- 66 Y.-G. Jia, K.-F. Chen, M. Gao, S. Liu, J. Wang, X. Chen, L. Wang, Y. Chen, W. Song, H. Zhang, L. Ren, X.-X. Zhu and B. Z. Tang, *Sci. China: Chem.*, 2021, **64**, 403–407.
- 67 R. Hoogenboom, H. M. L. Thijs, D. Wouters, S. Hoeppener and U. S. Schubert, *Soft Matter*, 2007, **4**, 103–107.
- 68 C. R. Becer, S. Hahn, M. W. M. Fijten, H. M. L. Thijs, R. Hoogenboom and U. S. Schubert, *J. Polym. Sci., Part A: Polym. Chem.*, 2008, **46**, 7138–7147.
- 69 J. C. Meredith, A. Karim and E. J. Amis, *Macromolecules*, 2000, **33**, 5760–5762.
- 70 Q. Li, X. Li, Z. Wu, Y. Sun, J. Fang and D. Chen, *Polym. Chem.*, 2018, **9**, 4150–4160.
- 71 U. Heudorf, V. Mersch-Sundermann and J. Angerer, *Int. J. Hyg. Environ. Health*, 2007, **210**, 623–634.
- 72 S. Benjamin, E. Masai, N. Kamimura, K. Takahashi, R. C. Anderson and P. A. Faisal, *J. Hazard. Mater.*, 2017, **340**, 360–383.
- 73 J. Yang, Z. Sun, W. Jiang and L. An, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 2002, **116**, 5892–5900.
- 74 H. E. Yang and Y. Chan Bae, *Fluid Phase Equilib.*, 2016, **417**, 220–228.
- 75 T. Otsu, T. Ito, T. Fukumizu and M. Imoto, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.*, 1966, **39**, 2257–2260.
- 76 V. D. Braun and G. Mott, *Die Angew. Makromol. Chem.*, 1971, **18**, 183–194.

- 77 Y. Shiraishi, R. Miyamoto, X. Zhang and T. Hirai, *Org. Lett.*, 2007, **9**, 3921–3924.
- 78 Z. Qiu, E. K. K. Chu, M. Jiang, C. Gui, N. Xie, W. Qin, P. Alam, R. T. K. Kwok, J. W. Y. Lam and B. Z. Tang, *Macromolecules*, 2017, **50**, 7620–7627.
- 79 N. L. C. Leung, N. Xie, W. Yuan, Y. Liu, Q. Wu, Q. Peng, Q. Miao, J. W. Y. Lam and B. Z. Tang, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2014, **20**, 15349–15353.
- 80 Y. Cai, L. Du, K. Samedov, X. Gu, F. Qi, H. H. Y. Sung, B. O. Patrick, Z. Yan, X. Jiang, H. Zhang, J. W. Y. Lam, I. D. Williams, D. L. Phillips, A. Qin and B. Z. Tang, *Chem. Sci.*, 2018, **9**, 4662–4670.
- 81 K. Morishima, F. Ishiwari, S. Matsumura, T. Fukushima and M. Shibayama, *Macromolecules*, 2017, **50**, 5940–5945.
- 82 W. Xu, L. He, Q. Xia, C. Jia, L. Geng, M. Yang, Z. Xu, P. Chen, Y. Cheng, J. Zhao, H. Wang, H. Chen, Y. Zhang, S. Gong and R. Liu, *J. Mater. Chem. B*, 2018, **6**, 809–815.
- 83 W. Qin, N. Alifu, Y. Cai, J. W. Y. Lam, X. He, H. Su, P. Zhang, J. Qian and B. Z. Tang, *Chem. Commun.*, 2019, **55**, 5615–5618.
- 84 T. C. OwYong, S. Ding, N. Wu, T. Fellowes, S. Chen, J. M. White, W. W. H. Wong and Y. Hong, *Chem. Commun.*, 2020, **56**, 14853–14856.
- 85 H. Shi, D. Xin, X. Gu, P. Zhang, H. Peng, S. Chen, G. Lin, Z. Zhao and B. Z. Tang, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2016, **4**, 1228–1237.
- 86 P. Das, A. Kumar, A. Chowdhury and P. S. Mukherjee, *ACS Omega*, 2018, **3**, 13757–13771.