Journal of Materials Chemistry A

PAPER

Cite this: J. Mater. Chem. A, 2021, 9, 7190

Received 24th January 2021 Accepted 15th February 2021 DOI: 10.1039/d1ta00687h rsc.li/materials-a

Binding and separation of $CO₂$, SO₂ and $C₂H₂$ in homo- and hetero-metallic metal–organic framework materials†

Lydia Briggs, \sharp ^a Ruth Newby, \sharp ^b Xue Han,^a [C](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-0713-2642)hristopher G. Mor[ris,](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-0742-5007) ^{ac} Mathew Savage, ⁿ Cristina Perez Kra[p,](http://orcid.org/0000-0001-6801-8010) ^b Timothy L. Easun, ^{D d} Mark D. Frogley, D^c Gianfelice Cinque, ^C Claire A. Murray,^c Chiu C. Tang,^c Junliang Sun, ^{De} Sihai Yan[g](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-1111-9272) D^{*a} and Ma[r](http://orcid.org/0000-0001-6992-0700)tin Schröder D^{*a}

We report the adsorption of C_2H_2 , CO_2 and SO_2 in a new, ultra-stable Cr(III)-based MOF, MFM-300(Cr), ${({[Cr_2(OH)_2(L)]}, H_4L = biphenyl-3,3',5,5'-tetracarboxylic acid)}.~MFM-300(Cr) shows uptakes of 7.37, 7.73}$ and 8.59 mmol g⁻¹ for CO₂, C₂H₂ and SO₂, respectively, at 273 K, 1.0 bar, and shows a higher selectivity for SO_2/CO_2 compared with the Al(III) analogue MFM-300(Al) (selectivity of 79 vs. 45). In order to monitor the effects of changing metal centre on gas uptake and to integrate the properties of the homometallic analogues, the mixed metal MFM-300(Al_{0.67}Cr_{0.33}), [Al_{1.34}Cr_{0.66}(OH)₂(L)] has been synthesised. In situ synchrotron micro-FTIR spectroscopy has identified distinct $CO₂$ binding environments on Al–O(H)–Al, $Cr-O(H)-Cr$ and $Al-O(H)-Cr$ bridges in MFM-300($Al_{0.67}Cr_{0.33}$), and we have determined the binding domains for these gases by in situ synchrotron X-ray diffraction in both MFM-300(Cr) and MFM- $300(A_{0.67}Cr_{0.33})$. The capability of these materials for gas separation has been confirmed by dynamic breakthrough experiments. The incorporation of Al(III) and Cr(III) within the same framework allows tuning of the host–guest and guest–guest interactions within these functional porous materials. **PAPER**
 **EXERCT SUBDIRIGE and Separation of CO₂, SO₂ and C₂H₂ in

EXERCT SUBDIRIGE and Separation of CO₂, SO₂ and C₂H₂ in

EXERCT SUBDIRIGE AND SEPARATEE ASSESS**

CRIMINAL CONDUCT THE COLUMBITY CONTINUE

High porosity, chemical and thermal stability and flexible design are critical features of metal–organic framework (MOF) materials. Design-led incorporation of functional groups such as hydroxyl $(-OH),^{1-3}$ amine $(-NH₂)^{4,5}$ and halogen $(-F, -Cl,$ –Br)⁶–⁸ groups to form supramolecular interactions with guest species is an effective methodology for enhancing gas sorption.9,10 The design of MOFs with open metal sites has been explored widely, but this can often lead to materials that are unstable upon desolvation and/or in contact with moisture.^{11,12}

a School of Chemistry, University of Manchester, Oxford Road, Manchester, M13 9PL, UK. E-mail: Sihai.Yang@manchester.ac.uk; M.Schroder@manchester.ac.uk

d School of Chemistry, Cardiff University, Cardiff, CF10 3AT, UK

‡ These authors contributed equally to the work.

Variation of metal centres in complex structures is a methodology that may alter or enhance materials properties but does not necessarily introduce significant structural changes.¹³ We were thus interested to investigate the properties of mixedmetal MOF materials, and chose $Al(m)$ and $Cr(m)$ as target

centres to compare within the MFM-300 series. $Cr(m)$ -Based MOFs tend to be highly stable and have been used in catalysis.¹⁴ MIL-101(Cr) has removable terminal water molecules connected to a trinuclear $[Cr_3(\mu_3\text{-}O)(O_2\text{CR})_6(\text{-}O_2\text{CR})_6]$ F, OH (H, O) ₂] building block, leaving two Lewis acidic sites accessible to catalyse a range of reactions such as oxidations and epoxidations.¹⁵–¹⁷ Its extensive use can be attributed to the stability of MIL-101(Cr) to water, and $Cr(m)$ -based MOFs generally show enhanced chemical stability as a result of the low lability of $Cr(m)$, which can also be exploited for gas sorption.^{18,19} The Al(III)-tetracarboxylate MOF, MFM-300(Al), shows high adsorption of CO_2 and SO_2 ,² while heterometallic MOFs with multiple metal centres within the same framework can show enhanced gas sorption and catalytic capabilities.^{20,21} Herein, we report the synthesis and gas adsorption of two new Cr-containing analogues of MFM-300(Al): the homometallic MFM-300(Cr) and the mixed metal analogue MFM- $300(Al_{0.67}Cr_{0.33})$. Their capability for gas separation has been studied by IAST analysis²² and by dynamic breakthrough experiments. Synchrotron X-ray powder diffraction has been

b School of Chemistry, University of Nottingham, University Park, Nottingham, NG7 2RD, UK

c Diamond Light Source, Harwell Science Campus, Oxfordshire, OX11 0DE, UK

e College of Chemistry and Molecular Engineering, Peking University, Beijing, 100871, China

[†] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Synthesis procedures, characterization, and additional analysis of crystal structures. Structural data of MFM-300($Al_{1-x}Cr_x$) ($x = 0, 0.33, 1$) derived from powder X-ray diffraction. CCDC 1952013, 1952268, 1952287 and 1952276 for activated MFM-300($Al_{0.67}Cr_{0.33}$), CO_2 -, C_2H_2 - and SO_2 -loaded structures, respectively, and 1952277, 1952321, 1952320 and 1952280 for activated MFM-300(Cr), CO₂-, C₂H₂-, and SO₂-loaded structures, respectively. For ESI and crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: 10.1039/d1ta00687h

used to determine the preferred binding sites for adsorbed $CO₂$, $SO₂$ and $C₂H₂$ within these materials, and synchrotron micro-IR spectroscopy confirms intermolecular interactions of adsorbed gas molecules with M– $\mu_2O(H)$ –M' (M and M' = Al or Cr) functionalities. This study reveals new insights into the effects of partial transmetallation in isostructural MOFs on host–guest interactions and overall gas adsorption capacity.

Results and discussion

MFM-300(Cr) was synthesised by hydrothermal reaction of H_4L (biphenyl-3-3'-5-5'-tetracarboxylic acid) and $CrCl_3 \cdot 6H_2O$ in acidic (HCl) water, and was isolated as blue, microcrystalline powder (yield $= 91\%$). High resolution synchrotron X-ray diffraction confirms that MFM-300 (Cr) possesses extended metal chains of $\text{[CrO}_4(\text{OH})_2\text{]}$ bridged by L^{4-} and two $\text{cis-}\mu_2\text{-OH}$ groups forming 1D channels that propagate along the c axis. The heterometallic MFM-300 $(\text{Al}_{1-x}\text{Cr}_x)$ was synthesised *via* a similar method but using both Al and Cr salts in the reaction to give a light blue microcrystalline powder (yield \sim 86%). Various ratios of Al and Cr salts were tested for synthesis, and the actual Al : Cr ratio within the heterometallic product was determined by ICP-OES analysis (see ESI†). Among all the obtained materials with different ratios, MFM-300($Al_{0.67}Cr_{0.33}$) shows the best performance in terms of gas sorption. MFM- $300 \left(\text{Al}_{0.67}\text{Cr}_{0.33} \right)$ is isostructural to the parent complexes MFM-300(M) ($M = Al$, Cr) (Table S3[†]), with M-OH bond distances of 1.930(1) \AA ¹ 1.953(1) \AA and 1.868(5) \AA in MFM-300(Al), MFM-

 $300(Cr)$ and MFM- $300(Al_{0.67}Cr_{0.33})$, respectively. The homogeneity of the distribution of Cr and Al in MFM-300($Al_{0.67}Cr_{0.33}$) has been studied by synchrotron FT-IR experiments. Three bands for $\nu(OH)$ are observed at 3690, 3672, and 3641 cm^{-1} assigned to Al–(OH)–Al, Al–(OH)–Cr and Cr–(OH)–Cr moieties, respectively, thus demonstrating a homogeneous distribution of $M(m)$ centres (Fig. 1d). The ratio of Al: Cr is further confirmed by TGA (Fig. $S1\dagger$).

Desolvated MFM-300(Cr) and MFM-300($Al_{0.67}Cr_{0.33}$) show similar BET surface areas of 1360 and 1305 $\mathrm{m^2\,g^{-1}}$, respectively, and adsorption isotherms for CO_2 , C_2H_2 and SO_2 were measured for these materials. At 273 K and 1.0 bar, the total gas uptakes for MFM-300(Al), MFM-300(Cr) and MFM-300 $\rm{(Al_{0.67}Cr_{0.33})}$ are 7.00, 8.48 and 7.37 mmol $\rm{g^{-1}}$ for CO₂, 6.89, 8.67 and 7.73 mmol g^{-1} for C_2H_2 , and 8.1, 10.0 and 8.59 mmol g^{-1} for SO₂, respectively (Fig. 1a-c). Taking the difference in molecular mass per unit cell into account, the number of gas molecules per metal ion in each MOF is given Table S10.† The thermodynamic parameters Q_{st} and ΔS were calculated using the van't Hoff isochore (Fig. S6-S11†). With CO_2 , C_2H_2 and SO_2 loadings between 0.5–4.0 mmol $\rm{g^{-1},}$ the values of $\rm{Q_{st}}$ are 25.5– 28.6, 35.0–42.8 and 39.3–46.0 kJ mol⁻¹ for MFM-300(Cr); and 26.4-29.3, 31.5-32.0 and 43.5-54.6 kJ mol⁻¹ for MFM- $300(Al_{0.67}Cr_{0.33})$, which are similar to those of MFM-300(Al).^{1,2} Ten cycles of adsorption/desorption of $SO₂$ were conducted for all three materials at 298 K between 0–0.1 bar, and all maintained their full adsorption capacity, confirming their excellent stability under these conditions (Fig. 2a–c). Throughout the Puper

Used to determine preferred building sites for adsorbed co., 200(Cr) and ARM-000/A_{ces} Cr_{eativ} Creative Commons are the common and the c

Fig. 1 Adsorption isotherms of CO₂ (black), CH₄ (aqua), C₂H₂ (orange), N₂ (purple) and SO₂ (pink) in (a) MFM-300(Al), (b) MFM-300(Cr) and (c) MFM-300(Al_{0.67}Cr_{0.33}), at 273 K, 0-1 bar; (d) FT-IR spectrum showing the v(OH) peak in the M-OH-M functionality in MFM-300(Al) (red), MFM- $300(Al_{0.67}Cr_{0.33})$ (green) and MFM-300(Cr) (blue).

Fig. 2 Cycling experiments of SO₂ at 298 K between 0-0.1 bar in (a) MFM-300(Al), (b) MFM-300(Al_{0.67}Cr_{0.33}) and (c) MFM-300(Cr). (d) IAST selectivity of SO₂/CO₂ (5 : 95) in MFM-300(Al) (red), MFM-300(Al_{0.67}Cr_{0.33}) (green) and MFM-300(Cr) (blue) up to 1 bar at 273 K. Breakthrough plots for SO₂/CO₂ (0.4% SO₂, 16% CO₂) diluted in He through a fixed bed packed with (e) MFM-300(Cr) and (f) MFM-300(Al_{0.67}Cr_{0.33}) at 298 K and 1 bar.

cycles, MFM-300(Al) and MFM-300($Al_{0.67}Cr_{0.33}$) were found to retain SO_2 upon desorption under dynamic vacuum at 298 K (22% and 5% $SO₂$ retained, respectively), and require elevated temperature to fully remove SO_2 . In contrast, MFM-300(Cr) shows negligible retention of SO $_2$ (<0.4% or <0.05 mmol $\rm g^{-1})$ under dynamic vacuum at ambient temperature. Combined with its high structural stability and adsorption capacity, MFM- $300(Cr)$ offers a regenerable platform for $SO₂$ capture of relevance to flue gas desulfurization.²³⁻²⁵ We further explored these MOFs for the separation of SO_2 from CO_2 , as SO_2 is an important flue gas impurity in $CO₂$ streams and can lead to numerous operational problems in carbon separation and geological sequestration.^{26,27} IAST selectivities of SO_2/CO_2 (5:95) were calculated for these MOFs from pure component isotherms between 0–1 bar at 273 K (Fig. 2d). Notably, MFM-300(Cr) shows the highest SO_2/CO_2 selectivity of 79 at 273 K and 1 bar compared to 56 for MFM-300($\text{Al}_{0.67}\text{Cr}_{0.33}$) and 45 for MFM-

300(Al). Dynamic breakthrough experiments with 0.4% SO₂ and 16% $CO₂$ in He also confirmed the selective retention of low concentrations of SO_2 with MFM-300(Cr) and MFM- $300 \left(\text{Al}_{0.67}\text{Cr}_{0.33} \right)$ under flow conditions (Fig. 2e and f). In both cases, CO_2 eluted first and saturated rapidly $[t = 19$ and 21 min g^{-1} for MFM-300(Al $_{0.67}Cr_{0.33})$ and MFM-300(Cr), respectively], whereas SO₂ starts to elute at $t = 56$ and 76 min g^{-1} , respectively, and shows a steadier breakthrough curve.

To gain deeper understanding of the host–guest interactions underpinning these processes and to rationalise the observed gas selectivities, in situ high resolution synchrotron PXRD has been used to determine the preferred binding sites for $CO₂$, $SO₂$ and C_2H_2 molecules within MFM-300(Cr) and MFM- $300 \left(\text{Al}_{0.67}\text{Cr}_{0.33} \right)$ via Rietveld refinement (Fig. 3–5; Tables S1 and S2†). For CO₂, the primary site of adsorption, CO₂^I, is bound to the μ_2 -OH group of the hydroxyl-metal chain, $O^{\mu_2-OH}\cdots O^{CO_2}$ = 3.301(4), 3.39(1), 3.21(3) A for MFM-300(Cr), MFM-

Fig. 3 Views of binding pocket of CO₂¹ and CO₂¹…CO₂¹¹ interactions in (a and b) MFM-300(Cr) and (c and d) MFM-300(Al_{0.67}Cr_{0.33}). Positionally disordered molecules are shown as translucent. Green: Cr, Light green: AlCr, red: O, grey: C, white: H. Blue bond: $\mu_2\text{-OH}\cdots\text{CO}_2^\text{I}$, turquoise bond: Ph \cdots CO₂¹, orange bonds: CO₂¹ \cdots CO₂¹¹ interactions.

 $300 \left(\text{Al}_{0.67} \text{Cr}_{0.33} \right)$ and MFM-300(Al),² respectively, and is disordered by a mirror plane that dissects the $\mu_2\text{-OH}$ (Fig. 4).^{28,29} CO_2^{-1} is further enclosed by aromatic C–H groups of the biphenyl core of the linker and forms additional supramolecular interactions $[O^{CO_2^L} \cdots C^{Aromatic} = 3.223(3) - 4.094(2)$ Å in MFM-300(Cr) and 3.27(1)–4.00(1) A in MFM-300($Al_{0.67}Cr_{0.33}$). The second site, CO_2 ^{II}, is positioned near-perpendicular to CO_2 ^I with full occupancy. The $C^{CO_2}^I \cdots O^{CO_2}^I$ distances in MFM-300(Cr), MFM- $300(Al_{0.67}Cr_{0.33})$ and MFM-300(Al) are 3.57(1), 3.67(2) and 3.92(1) A, respectively, suggesting a more compact packing of $CO₂$ in MFM-300(Cr).

For SO_2 , two adsorption sites were observed in both MFM- $300(Cr)$ and MFM- $300(A_{0.67}Cr_{0.33})$ (Fig. 4). As for CO₂, the primary SO_2 site, SO_2^1 , is located with an end-on mode to the bridging μ_2 -OH group, $O^{\mu_2-OH}\cdots O^{SO_2} = 3.350(6)$, 3.163(1) and 3.201(6) A in MFM-300(Cr), MFM-300($Al_{0.67}Cr_{0.33}$) and MFM-300(Al) respectively. SO_2^1 also interacts with the surrounding linker moieties via van der Waals interactions $[O^{SO_2^1}\cdots C^{Aromatic}$ $= 3.08(2)$ –4.26(7) Å in MFM-300(Cr) and 3.055(2)–3.688(3) Å in MFM-300($Al_{0.67}Cr_{0.33}$)]. SO₂ at site II is located perpendicularly to SO_2^I with the $S^{SO_2^I}\cdots O^{SO_2^I\!I}$ distances being 3.45(3), 3.477(7) and 3.34(7) \AA for MFM-300(Cr), MFM-300(Al_{0.67}Cr_{0.33}) and

Fig. 4 Views of binding of SO₂¹ and of SO₂¹...SO₂¹¹ interactions in (a and b) MFM-300(Cr) and (c and d) MFM-300(Al_{0.67}Cr_{0.33}). Positionally disordered molecules are shown as translucent. Green: Cr, light green: AlCr, yellow: S, red: O, grey: C, white: H. Blue bond: μ_2 -OH…OSO^I, turquoise bond: Ph \cdots SO₂¹, orange bonds: SO₂¹ \cdots SO₂¹¹ interactions.

Fig. 5 Views of binding of C₂H₂¹ and of C₂H₂¹...C₂H₂¹¹ interactions in (a and b) MFM-300(Cr) and (c and d) MFM-300(Al_{0.67}Cr_{0.33}). Positionally disordered molecules are shown as translucent. Green: Cr, light green: AlCr, red: O, grey: C, white: H. Blue bond: $\mu_2\text{-OH}\cdots\text{C}\!\equiv\!\text{C}^{\text{I}}$, turquoise bond: Ph \cdots C \equiv C¹, orange bonds: C \equiv C¹ \cdots C \equiv C^{II} interactions.

MFM-300(Al), respectively. $\mathrm{SO}_2^{\ \mathrm{II}}$ also shows weak interactions with the phenyl ring of linker with $S^{SO_2} \cdots C^{Aromatic}} = 3.53(1)$ and 3.445(3) \AA , for MFM-300(Cr) and MFM-300($\text{Al}_{0.67}\text{Cr}_{0.33}$), respectively.

As the bonding distances usually reflect the strength of the host–guest and guest–guest interactions within these system, which often influences the gas selectivities, a summary of bonding distances in CO_2 and SO_2 -loaded MFM-300(Cr), MFM- $300(Al_{0.67}Cr_{0.33})$ and MFM-300(Al) is shown in Table 1. When comparing the two single-metal MOFs, MFM-300(Cr) shows weaker bonding interaction to both $CO₂$ and $SO₂$ (longer bonding distances) than MFM-300(Al). This reconciles the observed differences in SO_2 residues within these two materials upon desorption at ambient temperature. However, the strong interactions with both $CO₂$ and $SO₂$ means that MFM-300(Al) achieves a lower IAST selectivity. The hetero-metallic MFM- $300 \left(\text{Al}_{0.67}\text{Cr}_{0.33} \right)$ exhibits the weakest binding to CO_2 and the strongest binding to SO_2 among these three MOFs. However, the IAST selectivity of SO_2/CO_2 in MFM-300($Al_{0.67}Cr_{0.33}$) falls in between that of the two single-metal MOFs. This strongly suggests that the host–guest bonding distance is not the sole factor to affect the observed selectivity, which will also be influenced by guest-guest interactions. Also, MFM- $300(Al_{0.67}Cr_{0.33})$ has a slightly enlarged pore diameter compared

to MFM-300(Al) due to doping with $Cr(m)$, which also likely contributes to the slightly higher gas uptake. In addition, doping MFM-300(Al) with $Cr(m)$ may well form defects leading to increased porosity and additional binding and interaction sites. $CO₂$ exhibits most compact packing in MFM-300(Cr) (shorter $CO_2 \cdots CO_2$ distance), whereas SO_2 packs most tightly in MFM-300(Al).

For C_2H_2 , two distinct positions of C_2H_2 were observed with both MFM-300(Cr) and MFM-300($Al_{0.67}Cr_{0.33}$) (Fig. 5). $C_2H_2^1$ interacts with the bridging hydroxyl group of MFM-300(Cr) in a side-on manner, and in MFM-300($Al_{0.67}Cr_{0.33}$), $C_2H_2^1$ is slightly off-perpendicular. In both cases, the interactions between the H atom of the hydroxyl to the electron rich π C \equiv C in C_2H_2 are weaker than that of CO_2 and SO_2 systems, with longer bonding distances being observed $[HO\cdots C^{C_2H_2}]=$ 4.48(5)–4.58(1) \AA and 4.56(8) \AA in MFM-300(Cr) and MFM-300($Al_{0.67}Cr_{0.33}$), respectively]. $C_2H_2^I$ is further anchored within a pocket through $\pi \cdots \pi$ stacking interactions with the adjacent phenyl core of the linkers $[C^{C_2H_2} \cdots C^{Aromatic} = 3.51(2), 3.73(2),$ 3.91(1) \AA in MFM-300(Cr) and 3.01(7), 3.30(11) \AA in MFM-300($Al_{0.67}Cr_{0.33}$)]. C_2H_2 ^{II} interacts *via* dipole interactions with $\rm{C_2H_2}^I$ in a T-shape orientation in MFM-300(Cr) $\left[\rm{C}^{C_2H_2} \cdots \rm{C}^{C_2H_2} \right]^n$ 3.17(8) $\rm \AA$, and is more skewed in MFM-300($\rm Al_{0.67}Cr_{0.33}$) but with shorter intermolecular distances $[C^{C_2H_2^I}\cdots C^{C_2H_2^I} = 2.79(3)$ Å].

Table 1 Summary of bonding distances within CO_2 and SO_2 -loaded MFM-300(Cr), MFM-300(Al_{0.67}Cr_{0.33}) and MFM-300(Al)

| Bonding distance (A) | $MFM-300(Cr)$ | MFM-300($Al_{0.67}Cr_{0.33}$) | $MFM-300(Al)$ |
|---|---------------|---------------------------------|---------------|
| $O^{\mu_2 \cdot OH} \cdots O^{\text{CO}_2}$ | 3.301(4) | 3.39(1) | 3.21(3) |
| $O^{\mu_2\text{-OH}}\cdots O^{\text{SO}_2}$ | 3.350(6) | 3.163(1) | 3.201(6) |
| $O^{CO_2^I} \cdots O^{CO_2^I}$ | 3.57(1) | 3.67(2) | 3.92(1) |
| $O^{SO_2} \cdots O^{SO_2}$ ^{II} | 3.45(3) | 3.477(7) | 3.34(7) |

The position of $C_2H_2^{\text{II}}$ is further stabilised by weak van der Waals interactions with the phenyl core of the adjacent linker moieties $[{\rm C}^{{\rm C}_2{\rm H}_2^{~\rm II}} \cdots {\rm C}^{\rm Aromatic} = 3.97(4), 4.35(7)$ Å and 3.29(13), 3.52(19) Å for MFM-300(Cr) and MFM-300($\text{Al}_{0.67}\text{Cr}_{0.33}$), respectively]. Thus, both MOFs have strong affinity to C_2H_2 through the combined numerous weak interactions which leads to the efficient packing of C_2H_2 within the pores and high C_2H_2 uptake.

To study the dynamics of host–guest binding, in situ synchrotron micro-IR spectroscopy was undertaken on MFM-300(Al), MFM-300(Cr) and MFM-300($Al_{0.67}Cr_{0.33}$) as a function of $CO₂$ loading (Fig. 6). Upon activation under a flow of He, bands for the $v(OH)$ stretching modes were observed at 3690 $\rm cm^{-1}$ and 3640 $\rm cm^{-1}$ for MFM-300(Al) and MFM-300(Cr), respectively. In MFM-300(Al), upon increasing the $CO₂$ partial pressures to 1.0 bar, the Al–O(H)–Al band centred at 3690 $\rm cm^{-1}$ gradually decreases in intensity with a new band growing at 3683 cm^{-1} which is observable from 60% CO $_2$ loading. This new

peak continues to increase in intensity on additional $CO₂$ loading. The redshift of 7 $\rm cm^{-1}$ is consistent with the $\mu_2\text{-OH}$ site being increasingly occupied by $CO₂$ and is consistent with the crystallographic study. In comparison, the μ_2 -OH peak observed at 3640 $\rm cm^{-1}$ in MFM-300(Cr) undergoes a redshift of 2 $\rm cm^{-1}$ upon increasing $CO₂$ loading and a significant increase in absorbance intensity. For MFM-300($Al_{0.67}Cr_{0.33}$), distinct absorbance bands for the different $\nu(OH)$ stretching modes were observed at 3692, 3672 and 3644 $\rm cm^{-1}$ for Al–O(H)–Al, Al– O(H)–Cr and Cr–O(H)–Cr modes, respectively. Upon increasing $CO₂$ partial pressures to 1 bar, the Al–OH–Al band centred at 3692 cm^{-1} decreases in intensity and a new band at 3683 cm^{-1} emerges. This indicates that the $Al-O(H)-Al$ band is significantly affected by the presence of $CO₂$, suggesting a partial depletion of Al–O(H)–Al moieties in the material and $CO₂$ binding to this moiety. The same is observed for Al–O(H)–Cr with a redshift of 7 cm^{-1} to 3665 cm^{-1} . A shift of 2 cm^{-1} from 3644 to 3642 cm^{-1} is observed in the Cr-O(H)-Cr mode along

Fig. 6 FTIR spectra of the $\nu(\mu_2-OH)$ stretch region of (a) MFM-300(Al), (b) MFM-300(Cr), and (c) MFM-300(Al_{0.67}Cr_{0.33}) upon increasing CO₂ loadings from 0 to 100%.

with a broadening of the peak. All $\nu(OH)$ stretching modes were found to shift to lower frequencies upon increasing $CO₂$ loadings which suggests a weakening of the O–H bond in the metalhydroxyl moiety consistent with the formation of μ_2 -OH···O= $C = O$ binding site.

Conclusion

Stable MOFs show increasing promise in the application of capture of toxic gases.²³ The binding domains for CO_2 , SO_2 and $C₂H₂$ and their host–guest binding dynamics have been studied in a family of three iso-structural MOFs, MFM-300 $(\text{Al}_{1-x}\text{Cr}_x)(x)$ $(0, 0.33, 1)$ by in situ synchrotron X-ray diffraction and IR micro-spectroscopy. Both MFM-300($Al_{0.67}Cr_{0.33}$) and MFM-300(Cr) show enhanced $CO₂$, $C₂H₂$ and $SO₂$ adsorption uptake than MFM-300(Al). MFM-300($Al_{0.67}Cr_{0.33}$) exhibits the highest number of CO_2 , C_2H_2 and SO_2 molecules per metal compared with the homo-metallic analogues, which is likely due to the complex distribution of –OH sites within the pores and the formation of defects via doping of MFM-300(Al) with $Cr(m)$ leading to increased porosity and additional binding and interaction sites. MFM-300(Cr) shows the highest SO_2/CO_2 IAST selectivity, which has also been confirmed by breakthrough experiments. MFM-300(Cr) also promises excellent $SO₂$ regenerability as confirmed by cycling measurements, demonstrating its potential for selective removal of SO_2 . **Journal of Materials Chemistry Articles**

Value on the intervention (pic presented under the Common Creative Common Creative

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

Acknowledgements

We thank EPSRC (EP/I011870) for support. This project has received funding from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (grant agreement No 742401, NANOCHEM). We thank the Royal Society, Peking University and Universities of Nottingham and Manchester for funding. We thank Diamond Light Source for access to Beamlines B22 and I11.

Notes and references

- 1 S. Yang, A. J. Ramirez-Cuesta, R. Newby, V. Garcia-Sakai, P. Manuel, S. K. Callear, S. I. Campbell, C. C. Tang and M. Schröder, Nat. Chem., 2015, 7, 121-129.
- 2 S. Yang, J. Sun, A. J. Ramirez-Cuesta, S. K. Callear, W. I. F. David, D. P. Anderson, R. Newby, A. J. Blake, J. E. Parker, C. C. Tang and M. Schröder, Nat. Chem., 2012, 4, 887–894.
- 3 J. Yang, X. Yan, T. Xue and Y. Liu, RSC Adv., 2016, 6, 55266– 55271.
- 4 G. E. Cmarik, M. Kim, S. M. Cohen and K. S. Walton, Langmuir, 2012, 28, 15606–15613.
- 5 R. Vaidhyanathan, S. S. Iremonger, G. K. H. Shimizu, P. G. Boyd, S. Alavi and T. K. Woo, Science, 2010, 330, 650– 653.
- 6 S. Noro and T. Nakamura, NPG Asia Mater., 2017, 9, e433.
- 7 H. Deng, C. J. Doonan, H. Furukawa, R. B. Ferreira, J. Towne, C. B. Knobler, B. Wang and O. M. Yaghi, Science, 2010, 327, 846–850.
- 8 Y. Yuan, J. Li, X. Sun, G. Li, Y. Liu, G. Verma and S. Ma, Chem. Mater., 2019, 31, 1084–1091.
- 9 X. Lin, I. Telepeni, A. J. Blake, A. Dailly, C. M. Brown, J. M. Simmons, M. Zoppi, G. S. Walker, K. M. Thomas, T. J. Mays, P. Hubberstey, N. R. Champness and M. Schröder, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2009, 131, 2159-2171.
- 10 J. Zhang, S. Yao, S. Liu, B. Liu, X. Sun, B. Zheng, G. Li, Y. Li, Q. Huo and Y. Liu, Cryst. Growth Des., 2017, 17, 2131–2139.
- 11 Y. P. He, Y. X. Tan and J. Zhang, Cryst. Growth Des., 2013, 13, 6–9.
- 12 T. L. Easun, F. Moreau, Y. Yan, S. Yang and M. Schröder, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2017, 46, 239–274.
- 13 T. Islamoglu, D. Ray, P. Li, M. B. Majewski, I. Akpinar, X. Zhang, C. J. Cramer, L. Gagliardi and O. K. Farha, Inorg. Chem., 2018, 57, 13246–13251.
- 14 Q. Guo, L. Ren, P. Kumar, V. J. Cybulskis, K. A. Mkhoyan, M. E. Davis and M. Tsapatsis, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2018, 57, 4926–4930.
- 15 G. Ferey, C. Mellot-Draznieks, C. Serre and F. Millange, Science, 2005, 309, 2040–2042.
- 16 Y. K. Hwang, D. Y. Hong, J. S. Chang, S. H. Jhung, Y. K. Seo, J. Kim, A. Vimont, M. Daturi, C. Serre and G. Férey, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2008, 47, 4144-4148.
- 17 A. Herbst, A. Khutia and C. Janiak, Inorg. Chem., 2014, 53, 7319–7333.
- 18 X. Lian, D. Feng, Y.-P. Chen and H. Zhou, Chem. Sci., 2015, 6, 7044–7048.
- 19 I. J. Kang, N. A. Khan, E. Haque and S. H. Jhung, Chem.–Eur. J., 2011, 17, 6437–6442.
- 20 D. Sun, F. Sun, X. Deng and Z. Li, Inorg. Chem., 2015, 54, 8639–8643.
- 21 C. P. Krap, S. Yang, R. Newby, A. Dhakshinamoorthy, H. García, I. Cebula, T. L. Easun, M. Savage, J. E. Eyley, S. Gao, A. J. Blake, W. Lewis, P. H. Beton, M. R. Warren, D. R. Allan, M. D. Frogley, C. C. Tang and M. Schröder, Inorg. Chem., 2016, 55, 1076–1088.
- 22 J. M. P. A. L. Myers, AIChE J., 1964, 11, 121–127.
- 23 X. Han, S. Yang and M. Schröder, Nat. Rev. Chem., 2019, 3, 108–118.
- 24 M. Savage, Y. Cheng, T. L. Easun, J. E. Eyley, S. P. Argent, M. R. Warren, W. Lewis, C. Murray, C. C. Tang, M. D. Frogley, R. T. Murden, M. J. Benham, A. N. Fitch, A. J. Blake, A. J. Ramirez-Cuesta, S. Yang and M. Schröder, Adv. Mater., 2016, 28, 8705–8711.
- 25 D. Z. Yang, M. Q. Hou, H. Ning, J. Ma, X. C. Kang, J. L. Zhang and B. X. Han, ChenSusChem, 2013, 7, 1191–1195.
- 26 J.-Y. Lee, T. C. Keener and Y. J. Yang, J. Air Waste Manage. Assoc., 2009, 59, 725–732.
- 27 J. Yu and P. B. Balbuena, ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng., 2015, 3, 117–124.
- 28 Z. Lu, H. G. W. Godfrey, I. Silva, Y. Cheng, M. Savage, F. Tuna, E. J. L. Mcinnes, S. J. Teat, K. J. Gagnon,

M. D. Frogley, P. Manuel, S. Rudic, A. J. Ramirez-Cuesta, S. Yang and M. Schröder, Nat. Commun., 2017, 8, 14212.

29 M. Savage, Y. Cheng, T. L. Easun, J. E. Eyley, S. P. Argent, M. R. Warren, W. Lewis, C. Murray, C. C. Tang,

M. D. Frogley, R. T. Murden, M. J. Benham, A. N. Fitch, A. J. Blake, A. J. Ramirez-Cuesta, S. Yang and M. Schröder, Adv. Mater., 2016, 28, 8705–8711. Puper

M. D. Progley, P. Manuel, S. Rudic, A. J. Ramine-Cuceta,

S. Young article is licensed on 11.5 February 2021. Downloaded under a Ly Bullet, M. This article is licensed with Commons Article is licensed with Commons A