Universality class of the motility-induced critical point in large scale off-lattice simulations of active particles†
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We perform large-scale computer simulations of an off-lattice two-dimensional model of active particles undergoing a motility-induced phase separation (MIPS) to investigate the system’s critical behaviour close to the critical point of the MIPS curve. By sampling steady-state configurations for large system sizes and performing finite size scaling analysis we provide exhaustive evidence that the critical behaviour of this active system belongs to the Ising universality class. In addition to the scaling observables that are also typical of passive systems, we study the critical behaviour of the kinetic temperature difference between the two active phases. This quantity, which is always zero in equilibrium, displays instead a critical behavior in the active system which is well described by the same exponent of the order parameter in agreement with mean-field theory.

Introduction

One of the pillars of statistical physics is the concept of universality in critical phenomena. In equilibrium systems, close to a second-order phase transition, universality can be ultimately attributed to the divergence of the correlation length of the order parameter. The behavior of this growing length-scale is found to be independent of the microscopic details of the systems but is determined only by few specific features, i.e. the spatial dimensionality and the symmetries of the order parameter as firstly hypothesized by Kadanoff.1 Depending on these parameters it is possible to trace back the critical behaviour of disparate systems within few groups, called universality classes.

One of the biggest challenges of recent years is to transfer the vast knowledge acquired on the universal behaviour of equilibrium systems into active matter physics. Active matter represents a peculiar class of non-equilibrium systems where the elementary units or agents are self-propelled objects capable of converting energy into systematic movement.2,3 The interacting agents are often complex biological objects that exhibit self-organized behavior on large scales giving rise to many diverse living materials.4 In particular, self-propulsion can trigger a feedback between motility and local density causing an effective attractive interaction between active particles.5 This attractive force can cause a phase separation in active fluids, remarkably similar to the gas–liquid coexistence in equilibrium systems, which is called Motility-Induced Phase Separation (MIPS).6 Since MIPS is a very general feature of active dynamics it might play a role also in biological systems. For instance, it has been recently observed that multicellular aggregates of Myxococcus xanthus might take advantage of density-motility feedback for developing large scale collective behaviors that have been well described by MIPS.7

In equilibrium physics the standard gas–liquid coexistence ends with a critical point that belongs to the Ising universality class.8,9 A natural question is whether or not the MIPS curve ends in a critical point and whether there is a region close to phase separation in which the active critical behaviour can be traced back to a specific universality class. Effective equilibrium approaches,10,11 and field-theoretic computations12 have previously pointed to the Ising universality class. Concerning numerical simulations, although numerous works have addressed the properties of phase-separated MIPS states,13–20 the study of the critical region and the determination of the critical properties still remains challenging and controversial. In particular it has been shown that active Brownian particles in two-dimensions (2d) display some critical exponents deviating considerably from the Ising ones.21 Differently on-lattice simulations of an active model have shown results in agreement with the Ising universality class12 and suggested that off-lattice simulations have been performed far from the scaling regime due to the small size of
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the systems. However, more recent simulations of the same lattice model have shown a deviation of the order parameter exponent from the Ising value. Since it is not a priori clear if these models lie in the same universality class, one must rely on large-scale computer simulations which are often a necessary tool to understand possible discrepancies between off-lattice and on-lattice critical exponents. This has been the case, for example, for Heisenberg fluids at equilibrium.

In this work, we report results of large-scale off-lattice simulations of Active Ornstein–Uhlenbeck Particles (AOUPs) at criticality. Performing Finite-Size-Scaling (FSS) analysis, we show that the system’s critical exponents agree with the Ising universality class. We also show that the kinetic temperature difference between the two phases, emerging at criticality, displays a critical behavior which is well described by the exponent of the order parameter in agreement with mean-field theory combined with a small-$\tau$ expansion of the AOUPs model.

Model and methods
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where $r_i$ indicates the $i$-th particle position, $\psi_i$ is the self-propulsion force, and $F_i = \sum_{j \neq i} f_{ij}$ is the conservative force acting on the particle. We consider two-body interactions, i.e., $f_{ij} = -\nabla \phi(r_{ij})$, with $r_{ij} = |r_i - r_j|$ and we use a simple inverse power-law potential $\phi(r) = (r/\sigma)^{-12}/12$ with a cut-off at $r = 2.5\sigma$. Here $\sigma$ represents the diameter of the particle and is set to 1.

In eqn (2) $\tau$ is the persistence time of the active force and $\eta$ is a standard white noise source, i.e., $\langle \eta_i(t) \rangle = 0$ and $\langle \eta_i(t) \eta_j(s) \rangle = 2D \delta(t-s) \delta(x_j-x_i)$, where the greek indices indicate Cartesian components. Here $D$ is the diffusivity of the non-interacting particles and $\mu$ is the particles mobility (set to one in simulations). In the absence of deterministic forces eqn (1) and (2) yield25,26 $\langle \mathbf{r}_i(t) \rangle = 2v \tau \mathbf{i}$, i.e. as function of $v$ which is the (axial) free root-mean-squared velocity. In all simulations we fix $v = 1$ and increase $\tau$ from small to large values to observe the transition as shown by the schematic phase diagram in Fig. 1(e). Eqn (1) and (2) have been integrated numerically using the Euler scheme with a time step $\Delta t = 10^{-3}$ up to $N_t = 10^9$ time steps for the largest system size which is adequate to observe full relaxation of the density autocorrelation function as shown in the ESI.† In addition we start each simulation from a random initial configuration and we perform up to $N_c = 10^3$ preparation steps which guarantee that all runs reach the steady-state, even close to the critical point. We perform averages over several independent runs and errors reported represent twice the standard error of the mean (see the ESI† for details on the error estimation).

The active particles move in a rectangular box of size $L_x \times L_y$ with a 1:3 ratio ($L_x = 3L_y$) and periodic boundary conditions. The simulated system sizes are $N = (7.5, 15, 30, 60) \times 10^3$. To avoid spurious effects due to the presence of an interface21,34 we compute the quantifies of interest only in four sub-boxes of size $L = L_y/2$ centered on the dense and dilute phases. These boxes are located at $x = L_y/2 \pm L_y/4$ and at $y = L_y/2 \pm L_y/4$. To ensure that these positions coincide with the locations of the dense and dilute phases, as in ref. 21, for each configuration we first find the center of mass of the system along $x$ (with periodic boundaries35), and we shift all particles so that the $x$-coordinate of the center of mass coincides with $x = 3L_y/4$ as shown in Fig. 1(d). We stress that the method also works at the critical point if the two phases are distinguishable enough. This is typically the case when the critical order parameter distribution shows two peaks as in many Ising models.

![Fig. 1](image_url) Fig. 1  (a–d) Smoothed density field in a rectangular geometry for four active systems of different sizes at $\tau = 16.5$ (where phase separation becomes appreciable). The color map encodes the local density value from yellow (high density) to blue (low density). The configurations have been shifted so that the dense and dilute phases are centered onto the four sub-boxes (panel (d)) considered in the analysis. The average density in the right sub-boxes is denoted by $\rho_i$ (the density of the high-density phase), while the average density of the left sub-boxes by $\rho_l$ (low-density). (e) Coexistence curve constructed with the densities of the dense and dilute phases (filled data-points), the estimated critical point is shown as an open circle.
Lennard–Jones systems. This technique has been successfully applied to the 2d Ising model and to the lattice active model.

All simulations are performed at a fixed density \( \rho = 0.95 \) by varying accordingly the box size \( L_x = 3 L_y = (3N)/(\rho)^{1/2} \). This value approximately corresponds to the critical density estimated for the smallest investigated system size, which is found to be \( \rho_c = 0.953(0.037) \) (errors from fit are given in brackets, see the ESI† for details on the estimation of \( \rho_c \)).

**Results**

Although finite systems cannot develop any diverging correlation length, the finite-size scaling hypothesis allows us to systematically study the critical properties away from the thermodynamic limit. Using the finite-size scaling ansatz, we assume that a generic observable \( \mathcal{O} \) near the critical point behaves as \( \mathcal{O} = L^{z_c} \left[ F_0(\xi_c^{-1}) + O(\xi_c^{-\omega}) \right] \), where \( \xi_c \) is the critical exponent associated with the observable \( \mathcal{O} \), \( F_0 \) is a universal finite-size scaling function and \( \omega \) is the power of the (subleading) correction-to-scaling exponent. Here \( n \) is the exponent associated with the divergence of the correlation length \( \xi \) as the control parameter is varied across the transition. In our active particle system the relaxation time of the noise \( \tau \) is the control parameter, therefore we assume \( \xi \sim (\tau - \tau_c)^{-n} \). Using this and ignoring sub-leading corrections we get \( \mathcal{O} = L^{z_c} F_c(L^{1/n}(\tau - \tau_c)) \) (where \( F_c \) is a universal scaling function). This implies that, if the correct \( \tau_c, n \) and \( \xi_c \) are known, all values of \( \mathcal{O} \) measured for different sizes should collapse onto each other when \( L^{-z_c/\nu} \mathcal{O} \) is plotted as a function of \( L^{1/n}(\tau - \tau_c) \).

A particularly interesting observable is the fourth order cumulant of density fluctuations \( \langle (\Delta \rho)^4 \rangle /\langle (\Delta \rho)^2 \rangle \) (the Binder parameter), where brackets indicate averages over configurations and over sub-boxes. The density fluctuations are computed in the four \( L \times L \) sub-boxes described above, specifically \( \langle (\Delta \rho)^4 \rangle = \langle (N_b - \langle N_b \rangle)^4 \rangle / N_b \) where \( N_b \) is the number of particles found in one single sub-box. For the Binder parameter we expect \( \xi_c = 0 \) and thus it should be size-independent at \( \tau = \tau_c \).

Exploiting this property we locate \( \tau_c = 16.36(0.058) \) and \( \beta = [\langle (\Delta \rho)^2 \rangle /\langle (\Delta \rho)^4 \rangle]\tau_c = 0.781(0.017) \) as the intersection of the data for \( N = 15 \times 10^3 \) and \( N = 60 \times 10^3 \) (Fig. 2(a)). We chose to use these two sizes because \( N = 60 \times 10^3 \) is the largest simulated size and \( N = 15 \times 10^3 \) is two times smaller in linear size. The estimated value of \( \beta = 0.781(0.017) \) is lower than the corresponding value found in the triangular lattice gas (\( \beta = 0.8321(0.003) \), see the ESI† for discussion on the triangular lattice), but it is close to that found in the active lattice model (\( \beta \approx 0.75 \)).

Note that \( \tau_c = 16.36 \) is approximately the value at which cumulants of all sizes cross as shown in the inset of Fig. 2(a) where we report a magnification of the main panel in a small \( \tau \)-interval around \( \tau_c \) (see the ESI† for a systematic study of the crossing points). Fig. 2(b) shows a good data collapse of the cumulant data-points with the Ising exponent \( \nu = 1 \). A direct way to determine \( \nu \) is to consider the size dependence of the slope of the cumulants at \( \tau = \tau_c \). This method yields \( \nu = 1.03(0.10) \) as shown in the ESI†.

Next we test the scaling of the susceptibility \( \chi = \langle (N_b - \langle N_b \rangle)^2 \rangle / \langle N_b \rangle \) (shown in Fig. 2(c)). Fig. 2(d) shows that the scaling is very good using the Ising critical exponent \( \gamma = 7/4 \). In the ESI† we also show that if we fit directly the size-dependent values of
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At $\tau_c$, we obtain $\gamma = 1.84(0.20)$ which is compatible with the Ising $\gamma$. Note also that the $\chi$ in Fig. 2(c) does not show the typical peak as in the Ising model. This is due to the fact that the $\chi$ is obtained here by averaging the values of $N_b$ in both the dense and dilute phases. In the ESI† we show that the $\chi$ (computed in the same way) for the lattice gas displays a similar s-shaped curve as a function of the inverse temperature and scales with $\gamma = 7/4$. Furthermore, in Fig. 2(e) we consider the density difference between the boxes centered in the high and low-density phases ($\rho_h - \rho_d$) (see Fig. 1(d)), which corresponds to the order parameter of the system. Note that this quantity is on average greater than zero since, even in the homogeneous phase, since the density around the center of mass for a given configuration is expected to be larger than the density in the low-density sub-boxes. We find that this quantity displays a good scaling with the Ising exponent $\beta = 1/8 = 0.125$ (Fig. 2(f)). It is worth stressing that in the thermodynamic limit, the order parameter would be different from zero only for $\tau > \tau_c$. It is, however, expected that for finite systems, a smooth variation of the order parameter could also be found below $\tau_c$ and that this should scale with the appropriate exponent. We have also checked that $\langle \rho_h - \rho_d \rangle$, computed as in the active system, scales with $\beta = 0.125$ in the case of the 2d equilibrium lattice gas for temperatures above the critical temperature (see the ESI† for details). A direct fit of the size-dependent critical ($\rho_h - \rho_d$) gives $\beta = 0.113(0.055)$, which is compatible with the Ising $\beta$. To improve the accuracy of the $\beta$ estimate we apply a finer technique finding the value of the exponent which optimizes the data collapse (see the ESI† for details on $\beta$ estimation). This yields $\beta = 0.133(0.022)$.

Next we test if our results are consistent with the Ising exponent $\eta = 1/4$ which controls the decay of the static structure factor $S(k)$ near the critical point, i.e., $S(k) \sim k^{-2-\eta}$. To this aim we compute $S(k) = A \langle \rho_h^2 \rangle$ where $\rho_h$ is the Fourier transform of the density fluctuations and the normalization factor $A$ is chosen so that $S(0) = \langle AN_b^2 \rangle / \langle N_b \rangle$. To avoid the interface and focus only on the bulk phase we compute the $S(k)$ for particles in the dilute phase considering only those particles having $|x - L_x/4| < L/2$, i.e., all particles in the left sub-boxes in Fig. 1(d). As shown in Fig. 3 the resulting $S(k)$ close to criticality becomes fairly linear in the double log scale at low $k$. The data at low $k$ are well fitted by the power law $k^{-2-\eta}$ with $\eta = 1/4$ (full line) which is appreciably different from the mean-field decay $S(k) \sim k^{-2}$ (dashed line). A direct power-law fit of these points gives $2 - \eta = 1.709(0.090)$ and $\eta = 0.290(0.090)$ which are compatible with the Ising values $2 - \eta = 1.75$ and $\eta = 0.25$.

Up to this point, we have discussed quantities which display a critical behavior also in equilibrium fluids. We now show an observable that is zero in equilibrium while it exhibits a singular behavior in the active case. Since in active systems the instantaneous velocities are coupled to positions,\textsuperscript{27} whenever MIPS occurs, dense regions of slow particles coexist with dilute regions of fast ones.\textsuperscript{20} We then consider the average squared speed of particles in the dense and dilute sub-boxes that we indicate, respectively, with $\langle |\dot{x}|^2 \rangle_h$ and $\langle |\dot{x}|^2 \rangle_d$. The quantity $\Delta T = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\langle |\dot{x}|^2 \rangle_h}{\langle |\dot{x}|^2 \rangle_d} - 1 \right)$ can be seen as the (effective) kinetic temperature difference between the two phases and its behavior is shown in Fig. 4(a). As expected $\Delta T$ decreases, as the two phases progressively mix upon lowering $\tau$. By combining mean-field theory with a small-$\tau$ approximation of the AOUP model we have derived the scaling $\Delta T \sim (\tau - \tau_c)^{\gamma}$ (see Appendix A). Moreover these approximations lead to the identification of the exponent $\kappa$ of $\Delta T$ with the exponent $\beta$ of the order parameter. Indeed we find a good data collapse for $\Delta T$ if we use the exponents $\kappa = \beta = 1/8$ and $\nu = 1$ (Fig. 4(b)). A direct estimate of the exponent gives $\kappa = 0.122(0.022)$ satisfying $\kappa = \beta$ within the errors (see the ESI† for details).

**Conclusions**

In this article, we have studied the critical properties of an active system undergoing MIPS in two spatial dimensions. Performing large-scale numerical simulations on GPU we have...
demonstrated that the critical behavior of the system agrees well with the Ising universality class. The importance of simulating large system sizes is worth stressing since previous studies on ABPs have reported different values of the critical exponents.\textsuperscript{21} Although it has been speculated\textsuperscript{12} that the limited sizes employed did not allow the observation of the scaling regime, it is true that similar sizes have been exploited for the study of critical passive attractive liquids, providing numerical results employed did not allow the observation of the scaling regime, it is true that similar sizes have been exploited for the study of critical passive attractive liquids, providing numerical results it is true that similar sizes have been exploited for the study of critical passive attractive liquids, providing numerical results

We now assume that the total potential curvature $\Phi$ experienced by the probe particle in $x$ is generated by the interactions with other particles: $\Phi^{(i)}(x) = \sum_{i} \phi^{(i)}(x-x_{i})$, where $\phi^{(i)}(x-x_{i})$ is the second derivative of the pair interaction potential (see Appendix A).
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Appendix A

Derivation of the exponent identity $\kappa = \beta$

To derive the exponent identity $\kappa = \beta$ we considered an AOU particle in $d = 1$ and subjected it to an external potential $\Phi(x)$. It is known\textsuperscript{33,48} that for small $\tau$ the velocity distribution of the particle is a zero-centered Gaussian with variance

$$\langle \dot{x}^{2} \rangle = v^{2} - v^{2} \tau \phi_{0}(x) + O(\tau^{2})$$

(A.1)

to first order in $\tau$. Here $v^{2} = D/\tau$ is the free particle mean square velocity (which is assumed to remain constant as in our simulations) and $\phi_{0}(x) = \partial_{x} \Phi(x)$ is the potential curvature.

$$\Delta \tilde{T} \sim (\tau - \tau_{c})^{\kappa}$$

(A.2)

with $\kappa = \beta$, which is the relation verified by the simulation data within errors. The derivation of this identity can be easily generalized to higher dimensions leading to the same result.
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