## Sustainable Energy & Fuels



View Article Online

View Journal | View Issue

## CORRECTION

Check for updates

Cite this: Sustainable Energy Fuels, 2021, 5, 3003

## Correction: Balancing the anode and cathode using a reduced graphene binder for boosting both energy and power density of hybrid supercapacitors

Ji-Hyuk Choi\*<sup>a</sup> and Byung-su Kim<sup>ab</sup>

DOI: 10.1039/d1se90037d

Correction for 'Balancing the anode and cathode using a reduced graphene binder for boosting both energy and power density of hybrid supercapacitors' by Ji-Hyuk Choi *et al., Sustainable Energy Fuels,* 2021, **5**, 1988–1994, DOI: 10.1039/D0SE01860K.

The authors regret mistakes in figure citations in their original manuscript.

• In the Results and discussion section on page 1991 (column 1) the sentence "Fig. 3b shows the specific capacitances of the PAC-rGO and PAC-P at charge/discharge rates ranging from 0.1 to 50 A  $g^{-1}$ ." should read as "Fig. 4b shows the specific capacitances of the PAC-rGO and PAC-P at charge/discharge rates ranging from 0.1 to 50 A  $g^{-1}$ ."

• In the Results and discussion section on page 1991 (column 2) the sentence "The rate capability of the various LIHSs was investigated under GCD at current densities from 0.5 to 50 A  $g^{-1}$  (Fig. 4c)." should read as "The rate capability of the various LIHSs was investigated under GCD at current densities from 0.5 to 50 A  $g^{-1}$  (Fig. 5c)."

• In the Results and discussion section on page 1991 (column 2) the sentence "The Ragone plot of the LTO-rGO//PAC-rGO LIHSs is shown in Fig. 5." should read as "The Ragone plot of the LTO-rGO//PAC-rGO LIHSs is shown in Fig. 5f."

Additionally, on page 1990 (column 1) the text mentioning the results of previous studies is referring to ref. 23 and 24. The sentence should read as "Consistent with the results of previous studies,<sup>23,24</sup> the  $I_D/I_G$  ratio intermediate of PAC–rGO between those of rGO and the pristine PAC particles (~0.98) is attributed to the rGO sheets wrapped around PAC particles (Fig. 2d)."

Finally, on page 1991 (column 2) of the original manuscript, the sentence "...by comparison, the LTO–P//PAC–rGO (1 F g<sup>-1</sup>) and LTO–P//PAC–P (9 F g<sup>-1</sup>) LIHSs exhibit greater capacitance decay." should read as "...by comparison, the LTO–P//PAC–P (1 F g<sup>-1</sup>) and LTO–P//PAC–rGO (9 F g<sup>-1</sup>) LIHSs exhibit greater capacitance decay."

The Royal Society of Chemistry apologises for these errors and any consequent inconvenience to authors and readers.

<sup>a</sup>Resources Utilization Research Center, Korea Institute of Geoscience and Mineral Resources, Daejeon 34132, Republic of Korea. E-mail: jhchoi@kigam.re.kr

<sup>b</sup>Department of Resources Recycling, University of Science and Technology, Daejeon 34113, Korea