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Electrical power recovery from waste heat released during active cooling of 100–200 °C solids is of great importance because such situations are common in our world. However, the concept of simultaneous liquid cooling and electric power generation has been barely explored, apart from a few preliminary studies that showed power generation densities of only 0.05–0.5 W m⁻². Here, we report a realistically useful power generation density of 10 W m⁻² during liquid forced convection cooling of a 170 °C surface, thus demonstrating the feasibility of such a concept, based on thermogalvanic conversion with a redox couple. This was achieved by exploiting the fluid dynamics based on a microchannel concept, where a thin thermal boundary layer is formed on the hot surface, enabling both high cooling efficiency and large interelectrode temperature difference (>100 K). A new γ-butyrolactone-based high density electrolyte with sufficient stability against flame contact was used. Our combined cooling and thermogalvanic cell was able to continuously light LEDs and run air fans despite the small electrode area. Large values of heat transfer coefficients, up to 1160 W m⁻² K⁻¹, were achieved. At all flow rates tested, the electrical power obtained was 10 to 1000 times larger than the hydrodynamic pumping work required to force the liquid through the cell, that is, gain ≫ 1. Thus, this technological concept has been shown, for the first time, to be a feasible option to recover electrical power from the waste heat released during cooling of 100–200 °C surfaces, which are widespread in our world.

Introduction

Utilization of waste heat is one of the most important goals to be achieved for reduction of greenhouse gas emissions. The amount of waste heat below 200 °C is presently a gigantic 8 PW h per year, which comprises ca. 66% of the total waste heat generated worldwide. To use this energy, various heat-recovery technologies have been investigated, including thermoelectric conversion with solids and liquids. Similar to the Seebeck effect used by solid thermoelectric systems, liquid-based technologies use a thermogalvanic Seebeck effect in which the temperature difference between two electrodes and the temperature dependence of the redox potentials at these electrodes generate electric power, except for some reports that used the liquid pyroelectric effect and thermomagnetic effect. Notably, waste heat in the 100–200 °C range often necessitates convection cooling with a flow of coolant liquid. For instance, in data centres, which now consume ca. 1% of global electrical production, up to 40% of the electricity is used to cool the IT equipment. This waste heat must be removed in situ to maintain the temperatures of the heat-releasing objects below certain limits. Thus, this situation qualitatively differs from that assumed for conventional thermogalvanic cells, where heat is fed into one end of a closed cell encasing a liquid electrolyte, but active removal of that heat is not required.

Despite the potential significance, the technological concept of simultaneous efficient cooling and electric power generation has been unexplored, except for a few previous works that reported limited power densities of only 0.05–0.5 W m⁻². Such a concept is inherently challenging because of the necessity of simultaneously designing the fluid dynamics, heat transfer, and ion transport in the non-isothermal channel region through which the electrolyte passes, where the latter also acts as a coolant. Therefore, optimal design of the flow in the channel region and choice of the electrolyte liquid, as well as optimal choice of materials to favour green and durable systems, are crucial to render this concept feasible.
Both the power generation density and cooling efficiency at the heat-releasing surface are important performance measures of such a combined-purpose system. Thus far, previous studies, including ours, reported power densities of 0.25,22 0.053,36,28 and 0.44 W m\(^{-2}\) (ref. 29) along with heat transfer coefficients, which are a measure of cooling efficiency (given by eqn (2) later), of 450 (ref. 23) and 620 W m\(^{-2}\) K\(^{-1}\) (ref. 29). While the latter values were moderate,36 the power densities were too low for this concept to be considered feasible. The authors of ref. 23 set a target power density of 12 W m\(^{-2}\) to produce a meaningful amount of power that could be utilized in data centres.

Here, we report a drastic leap in the power density generated to a realistically usable 10 W m\(^{-2}\) by employing the benchmark redox couple Co\(^{II/III}\)(bpy)\(_3\)(NTf\(_2\))\(_{2/3}\) (bpy = 2,2′-bipyridine, NTf\(_2\) = bis(trifluoromethylsulfonyl)amide, Fig. 1b) along with a much improved heat transfer coefficient of 1160 W m\(^{-2}\) K\(^{-1}\). These were achieved by combining a microchannel concept (vide infra) for the flow of the electrolyte and an optimal electrolyte with low viscosity and high concentration of the redox couple. Specifically, we found that γ-butyrolactone (GBL, Fig. 1b) has many advantages as the electrolyte solvent for realizing the concept shown in Fig. 1a. The advantages of GBL25 include a high boiling point (204 °C), low freezing point (−43 °C), low viscosity (1.7 mPa s at 25 °C), and outstandingly wide electrochemical window (8.2 V at 25 °C), in addition to its industrially accessible cost (ca. 2500–4300 USD per ton,41 which is similar to the ca. 3800 USD per ton (ref. 42) for acetoni trile, one of the most common solvents for electrochemical applications44).

One of the reasons we optimized the solvent was because, in our preceding studies22,29 which used 1-ethyl-3-methylimidazolium NTf\(_2\) ([C\(_{2}\)mim][NTf\(_2\)]) ionic liquid (IL) as the solvent, the power generation performance was primarily limited by the mass-transfer resistance in the electrolyte, despite the ultra-high density of solvent ions that led to low solution resistance; this mass-transfer dominance originated from the high viscosity of [C\(_{2}\)mim][NTf\(_2\)] (ca. 52 mPa s at 25 °C).29 (Note: in contrast to GBL, [C\(_{2}\)mim][NTf\(_2\)] has a decomposition temperature of ca. 455 °C without a boiling point,45 freezing point of ca. −50 °C44,45 and electrochemical window of ca. 4.7 V.46) The Seebeck coefficient in this IL was 1.49 mV K\(^{-1}\); refer to Fig. S1 and Table S1 in the ESL†) Thus, a crucial insight obtained from the present work is that the power-generation performance of non-ionic high-boiling-point solvents, containing high concentrations of redox couple species, can surpass that of ILs, as presented below. Because of this context, most of the experimental results below will be compared with those acquired in our previous study29 where the IL was used as the solvent. All experimental details are given in the Experimental section at the end of this article.

**Results and discussion**

The Co\(^{II/III}\)(bpy)\(_3\)(NTf\(_2\))\(_{2/3}\) redox couple (Fig. 1b), widely used in the liquid-based thermogalvanic research,14,17,21,22,26,27 was originally developed to achieve high solubility in ILs;44 the solubility in [C\(_{2}\)mim][NTf\(_2\)] has been reported to be 0.1 M at room temperature44 and we used 0.06 M in a previous study.29 Interestingly, we found that the solubility of Co\(^{II/III}\)(bpy)\(_3\)(NTf\(_2\))\(_{2/3}\) in GBL was even higher (ca. 0.47 M at room temperature). This feature is promising because an increase of the redox couple concentration (C) generally increases the electric current. However, as C approached the solubility limit, the viscosity (η) was found to sharply increase (Fig. S2 and Table S2 in the ESL†).
From the relationship between the power generated by the test cell (vide infra) and C (Fig. S3 in the ESI†), the optimal C was found to be 0.21 M, which will be used below.

Replacement of the IL by GBL drastically decreased η (Fig. 1c), as expected. However, unexpectedly, this replacement did not reduce the conductivity (σ) of the electrolyte (Fig. 1d). This can be explained by both the drastic decrease of η, which enhances the mobility of CoII/III(bpy)3(NTf2)2/3, and the large increase of C, which enhances the charge-carrier density in the electrolyte, cancelling the expected problem that can accompany not using an IL. Owing to the relatively high C of the redox couple salt (0.21 M) and boiling point of neat GBL (204 °C), irradiation of the electrolyte with a flame for 3 min did not cause ignition (Fig. 1e and Movie in the ESI†), demonstrating the very high thermal stability of the developed liquid electrolyte.

**Fig. 2**  (a) Cross-sectional computer-aided design (CAD) graphic showing the structure of the cell used in the experiments. The cathode (bottom left, the size is compared with a US quarter dollar coin) simulates a general hot plane that needs to be cooled and the anode is a Pt plate with thickness of 0.3 mm. These electrodes form a narrow parallel channel with a width of 0.8 mm. The temperature of the cathode (T_cathode) was monitored by a thermocouple embedded in the cathode and controlled by a heater attached to the cathode. See Experimental section for details. (b) Result of the steady-state simulation of the temperature distribution on the centre cross-section for the representative conditions of T_in = 30 °C, T_cathode = 170 °C, and G = 1.13 mL s⁻¹. The areas marked with * and ** are magnified in the below panels, where the latter shows the thermal boundary layer developing from the starting edge of the cathode surface, realizing a significantly large steady-state temperature difference of ΔT = 137 K (based on the average surface temperatures).

**Fig. 3**  Dependence of the (a) I–V curve and (b) P–V curve on T_cathode at G = 0.5 mL s⁻¹. (c) Dependence of the mass-transfer resistance (R_{mt}), charge transfer resistance (R_{ct}), solution resistance (R_{sol}), and their total (R_{mt} + R_{ct} + R_{sol}) on T_cathode at G = 0.5 mL s⁻¹, where the resistances were determined based on the Randles equivalent circuit model.°°° R_{mt} was determined using the limiting current at −400 mV and theoretical solution of R_{mt} given in ref. 29. R_{ct} and R_{sol} were determined from the Nyquist plots generated from AC impedance measurements (Fig. S8, ESI†). In all of the panels, the reference data (gray crosses, ref. 29) acquired in our previous study conducted using the electrolyte with [C2mim][NTf2] IL (C = 0.06 M) are shown, where experiments were performed using the same thermocell with T_in = 23 ± 2 °C.
The cross-section of the thermocell is shown in Fig. 2a (see Fig. S4 in the ESI† for the photograph). The electrolyte liquid, also used as a coolant, enters the cell from the bottom at $T_{\text{in}} = 26 \pm 4$ °C (see Experimental section for details). It then moves in the large space beneath the anode (height of the space: 5 mm; anode: platinum (Pt) plate with thickness of 0.3 mm; see also Fig. 2a), during which the temperature rise and pressure drop in the liquid are negligible. Subsequently, the liquid enters the narrow channel with a 0.8 mm gap formed by the two parallel planes of the cathode and anode (Fig. 2a). Here, the cathode (2.2 cm $\times$ 2.7 cm $\times$ 5.9 cm$^3$) was a machine-processed nickel on which Pt was sputter coated (Pt thickness: ca. 100 nm); this cathode simulates a general hot plane that needs to be actively cooled. The reason for the narrow gap is to enhance the inter-electrode current by reducing the resistance for migration of the solute ions between the electrodes (cf. Fig. 1a) and to achieve a high heat transfer coefficient (eqn (2)) through the microchannel effect. Here, the “microchannel effect” is the classical hydrodynamic effect in which the rate of heat transfer between a solid wall and a fluid flowing over it is approximately inversely proportional to the channel width.47 The range of the electrolyte flow rate ($\dot{Q}$) tested in this study was from 0.1 to 1.13 mL s$^{-1}$, which corresponded to the Reynolds number ranging from 4.7 to 41 when the cathode temperature ($T_{\text{cathode}}$) was 170 °C, i.e., laminar flow for all the tested conditions.

The steady-state simulations (Fig. 2b, see Simulation details in the ESI and Fig. S5 and S6 in the ESI† for validation) for $T_{\text{cathode}}$ of 170 °C, $T_{\text{in}}$ of 30 °C, and $G$ of 1.13 mL s$^{-1}$ show that there is a temperature difference ($\Delta T$) of 137 K (based on the area average) between the electrodes in the steady state. This very large $\Delta T$, which will be experimentally confirmed below, is attributed to the thin thermal boundary layer on the cathode (Fig. 2b). From a different viewpoint, the large $\Delta T$ in such a short distance is partly because most of the heat departing from the cathode surface ($Q$) is promptly removed by the flowing liquid, as intended, and thus only a small fraction of the heat enters the anode ($Q'$ in Fig. 1a). Thus, the narrow channel in the thermocell has two benefits: (1) it increases the cooling efficiency (microchannel effect) and (2) it decreases the cell current by the reduced resistance for interelectrode migration of the redox ions, while establishing a large $\Delta T$ value by the thin thermal boundary layer.

It is mentioned that all experimental data shown below were acquired after a steady-state $\Delta T$ was established between the electrodes; i.e., under the situation with a stable power output (Fig. S7, ESI†). The current–voltage ($I$–$V$) curves at $G = 0.5$ mL s$^{-1}$ (Fig. 3a) showed that both the open-circuit voltage ($V_{\text{OC}, I = 0}$) and short-circuit current ($I_{\text{SC}, V = 0}$) increased with increasing $T_{\text{cathode}}$. Consequently, the generated power ($P$) increased as shown by the power–voltage ($P$–$V$) curves (Fig. 3b).

The increase of the current was caused by the increased temperature that decreased the electrolyte viscosity in the channel and on the electrode surface, which eventually decreased all of the mass-transfer resistance ($R_{\text{mt}}$), charge transfer resistance ($R_{\text{ct}}$), and solution resistance ($R_{\text{sol}}$) in the channel region (Fig. 3c). Notably, comparing the results at $T_{\text{cathode}} = 170$ °C, a drastic increase of $P$ from that of our previous study performed with an IL with $C = 0.06$ M (ref. 29) (gray crosses, Fig. 3b) was achieved with the present electrolyte with $C = 0.21$ M; this is attributed to an order of magnitude reduction of $R_{\text{mt}}$ and $R_{\text{ct}}$ (Fig. 3c). Hereafter, the maximum value in the $P$–$V$ curve is denoted $P_{\text{max}}$. $P_{\text{max}}$ increased with increasing $G$ (Fig. 4a), which is attributed to the increase of the inter-electrode $\Delta T$ revealed by the monotonic increase of $V_{\text{OC}}$ up to ca. 0.25 V (Fig. 4b). The change of the Nyquist plots generated from AC impedance measurements and electrochemical resistances with $G$ are shown in Fig. S9 and S10 in the ESI† respectively. $V_{\text{OC}}$ at $G = 1.13$ mL s$^{-1}$ divided by the Seebeck coefficient (1.69 mV K$^{-1}$, Fig. S1 in the ESI†) gives $\Delta T \approx 143$ K, which quantitatively agrees with the above simulation ($\Delta T \approx 137$ K at $G = 1.13$ mL s$^{-1}$, Fig. 2b).

Notably, at $G = 1.13$ mL s$^{-1}$, $P_{\text{max}}$ of 6.0 mW was achieved, corresponding to power density ($P_{\text{max}}$) of 10.2 W m$^{-2}$ (Fig. 4a). This is a significant leap from the power densities obtained in our previous report$^{9}$ (0.44 W m$^{-2}$ for $T_{\text{cathode}} = 170$ °C, gray scale, Fig. 4).
crosses in Fig. 4a) and other group’s reports (0.05 [ref. 23] and 0.36 W m\(^{-2}\) [ref. 28]) where an aqueous Fe(CN)\(_6\)^{3−/4−} solution was flowed over a hot wall with temperature of up to 150 °C.\(^{23}\) Despite the small electrode surface area (5.9 cm\(^2\), Fig. 2a), the large \(V_{\text{OC}}\) and \(I_{\text{SC}}\) (ca. 0.25 V and ca. 60 mA, respectively, Fig. 4b) afforded continuous lighting of eight green light-emitting diodes (LEDs) (Fig. 5a) and rotation of an air fan (Fig. 5b) without series connection of the cell. To the best of our knowledge, such demonstrations were for the first time reported with a cooling-integrated thermocell.

Here, we discuss the conventional efficiency, which is used to assess conventional closed thermocells, where cooling is not intended and thus heat is a pure resource utilizable without a particular duty. In the present flow thermocell, most of the heat (\(Q\)) that entered the liquid was promptly removed by the flow of the liquid and only ca. 1% of it reached the counter anode (\(Q'\), Fig. 1a) according to the simulations. If the present cell performance is evaluated using the conventional efficiency, which is the power divided by the heat passing through the thermocell, \(P_{\text{max}}/Q\) and \(P_{\text{max}}/Q'\) are ca. 0.01% and 1%, respectively. However, as previously discussed,\(^{26}\) such efficiency where \(Q\) is used as the denominator cannot properly rank or assess the performance of the present cell. This is because, for the same \(P_{\text{max}}\) obtained, increasing the cooling efficiency in order to enhance \(Q\) (e.g., Fig. 6 below) leads to poorer performance, which contradicts the aim of the flow thermocell that has dual purposes.

In essence, flow thermocells need hydrodynamic work to force the liquid through the cell. Thus, it would be appropriate to assess the cell performance in terms of the gain\(^{29}\) 

\[
\Delta \equiv \frac{P_{\text{max}}}{W_{\text{pump}}} 
\]

where \(W_{\text{pump}}\) is the hydrodynamic pumping work necessary to pass the liquid through the cell, defined as \(G\) multiplied by the pressure drop between the inlet and outlet of the cell (\(\Delta P\)). Thus, \(W_{\text{pump}}\) does not include the mechanical friction in the pump and the pumping work for other parts of the liquid loop. Hence, its meaning is similar to that of the Carnot efficiency that is often used as a reference for the ideal limit. Therefore, \(\Delta > 1\) is a minimum requisite for a flow thermocell to be valid. We use \(\Delta P\) determined from the simulations for the following reasons. First, accurate experimental determination of \(\Delta P\) is difficult because of the small size of the cell (Fig. 2). Second, the present simulations are quantitatively reliable, as verified by the good agreements between the experimental and simulation values of \(\Delta T\) (both are ca. 140 K as shown above), cell surface temperatures (Fig. S5 in the ESI\(^{1}\)), flow rate dependence of the anode temperature (Fig. S6 in the ESI\(^{1}\)), and \(Q\) removed from the cathode (Fig. 6a). \(\Delta\) was found to be between 10 and 1000 for the tested \(G\) range (Fig. 4c), which is two orders of magnitude larger than \(\Delta\) in a previous study conducted using an IL electrolyte and the same cell\(^{29}\) (gray crosses in the same figure). This drastic improvement is ascribed to the lower \(\Delta P\) (by lowering \(\eta\)) and enhanced \(\sigma\) (by lowering \(\eta\) and enhancing \(C\)).

Notably, replacing the IL with GBL also enhanced the cooling performance, with a heat-removal rate of above 100 W achieved...
for the 5.9 cm² area (Fig. 6a). The cooling efficiency was assessed by the heat-transfer coefficient

$$h = \frac{Q}{A(T_{\text{wall}} - T_{\text{fluid}})},$$

(2)

where $A$ is the heat transfer area (5.9 cm²), $T_{\text{wall}}$ is the wall temperature (170 °C), and $T_{\text{fluid}}$ is $T_{\text{channel,in}}$ shown in Fig. 2b (30 °C). As shown by Fig. 6b, $h$ increased with $G$ and reached 1160 W m⁻² K⁻¹ at $G = 1.13$ mL s⁻¹. This was about twice the previous maximum $h$ values of 450 (ref. 23) and 620 W m⁻² K⁻¹ (ref. 29). The latter was obtained using the same thermocell (gray crosses in Fig. 6b) where $G$ was limited to 0.5 mL s⁻¹ owing to the large viscosity of the IL, comparing the results at 0.5 mL s⁻¹, $h$ increased by 35%. This $h$ value is high for forced-convection cooling, where $h$ typically ranges from 25 to 20 000 W m⁻² K⁻¹. It is noted that the present choice of $T_{\text{channel,in}}$ as $T_{\text{fluid}}$ in eqn (2) led to conservative calculation of $h$. If we choose $(T_{\text{out}} + T_{\text{in}})/2$ for $T_{\text{fluid}}$ which is a more common choice of the mean fluid temperature, $h$ is calculated to be 1320 W m⁻² K⁻¹.

Finally, we discuss the underlying reason for the heat transfer enhancement. The thermophysical properties of the electrolytes are summarized in Table 1. Notably, both the specific heat ($c_p$) and thermal conductivity ($k$), which directly affects liquid-cooling performance, improved compared with the case of the IL-based electrolyte. In addition, the Prandtl number (Pr), which is the ratio of the kinematic viscosity ($=\eta/\rho$) to the thermal diffusivity ($=k/(cp\rho)$) and hence represents “a measure of the suitability as a coolant” (smaller is better), drastically improved from 370 to 15–40 by this change. Thus, the choice of GBL as the solvent significantly enhanced both the power generation and cooling performance.

### Conclusions

Waste heat at 100–200 °C often necessitates active removal by cooling because broad range of heat sources including microprocessors, devices, engines, and battery cells need to be maintained below a certain temperature during operation. However, recovering electrical power from such widespread situations was almost unexplored previously, apart from a few studies that reported unusually low power densities of 0.05–0.5 W m⁻². In this study, by utilizing the microchannel concept and newly developed γ-butyrolactone-based high density electrolyte, a realistically useful power density of 10 W m⁻² level was for the first time obtained during forced convection cooling of a heat releasing electrode, which simulates an object to be cooled. The developed electrolyte not only had significantly lower viscosity and electrochemical resistances compared with the previous IL-based electrolyte, but also high thermal stability against continuous contact with a flame. Despite the small electrode surface area of 5.9 cm², the high-power density was able to continuously light LEDs and run air fans. At all flow rates tested, the gain of 10–1000 was obtained, and this energy benefit demonstrates the validity of the proposed technological concept. In addition, a high cooling coefficient of 1160 W m⁻² K⁻¹ was achieved, which showed a promise as a cooling system. Overall, the proof-of-concept shown in the present work can enable power to be harvested from diverse situations of cooling of 100–200 °C solids. This proposed technology also has a potential to be used as distributed electric power sources that are crucial for realizing a future Internet-of-Things (IoT) world.

### Experimental

#### Chemicals

The Co⁹(bpy)₃[N(Tf₂)₂] and Co⁹(bpy)₃[N(Tf₂)₃] (purity > 98%) redox couple was supplied by Nippon Kayaku (Japan). GBL (purity > 99.0%) was supplied by TCI (Japan). Co⁹(bpy)₃[N(Tf₂)₂] and Co⁹(bpy)₃[N(Tf₂)₃] were used without further purification. The water impurity that might have existed in the supplied GBL was removed prior to use by adding an appropriate amount of a molecular sieve (pore size 4 Å, Nacalai Tesque, Japan; activated under vacuum at 200 °C for several hours before use) to the reserve glass bottle of GBL.

#### Electrolyte preparation

The redox reagent powders were dissolved in GBL by vigorous mixing using a magnetic stirrer at room temperature for more than 30 min to the target concentration. Complete dissolution was visibly checked by the absence of powdery light scattering in the liquid volume upon irradiation of the glass container holding the liquid with a He–Ne laser (wavelength 632.8 nm, power 5–10 mW). The concentration was checked by the optical absorption spectrum obtained with an ultraviolet-visible–near-infrared (UV–vis–NIR) spectrophotometer (UV-3600, Shimadzu, Japan) after appropriate dilution with neat GBL. In the UV–vis–NIR measurements, quartz cuvettes with a 1 mm optical path length were used.

#### Viscosity measurements

The viscosities of the electrolyte liquids ($\eta$) were measured with a cone-plate rheometer (DV2T, Brookfield, USA), where the temperature was controlled by circulation of temperature-controlled water in the sample cup. For each sample, $\eta$ was measured at six temperatures (10, 15, 20, 25, 35, and 45 °C). The temperature-dependent values of $\eta$ were fitted with the Vogel–Fulcher–Tammann (VFT) equation. These VFT parameters were used in the simulation (see also Simulation details in the ESIF) to obtain $\eta$ at an arbitrary temperature.

### Table 1 Thermophysical properties of the electrolyte liquids used in this study ($\rho$, density; $c_p$, specific heat at constant pressure; $k$, thermal conductivity; $Pr$, Prandtl number)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Liquid</th>
<th>$\rho$ (g cm⁻³)</th>
<th>$c_p$ (J g⁻¹ K⁻¹)</th>
<th>$k$ (W m⁻¹ K⁻¹)</th>
<th>$Pr$</th>
<th>Note</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GBL (0.21 M)</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>1.513</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GBL (0.06 M)</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>1.589</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[C₂mim][NTf₂]</td>
<td>1.53</td>
<td>1.297</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>370</td>
<td>(0.06 M)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a* Measured in this study at 20 °C. *b* $Pr = \eta c_p / k$. 
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Electrical conductivity measurements

The electrical conductivity of the electrolyte (σ) was determined by the alternating current (AC) impedance method using a potentiostat–galvanostat (VersaSTAT 4, Princeton Applied Research, USA). The temperature of the sample was controlled by circulating temperature-controlled water through the custom-made electrochemical cell that was hermetically sealed using O-rings. The measurements were performed using a sinusoidal AC input with an amplitude of 5 mV around \( V_{ac} \) scanning from 1 MHz to 0.05 Hz. \( \sigma \) was obtained from the crossing point on the real axis of the Nyquist plot.

Thermal conductivity measurements

The thermal conductivities of the three electrolytes, two GBL-based electrolytes (C = 0.06 and 0.21 M) and one IL-based electrolyte (C = 0.06 M), were determined by the temperature-wave analysis (TWA) method,\(^{31,32}\) which is the ISO standardized method for thermal conductivity measurement (ISO 22007-6),\(^{32}\) using a thermal conductivity analyser (Mobile M10, ai-Phase, Japan) at 20 °C. For each sample, the average value of 10 measurements was used. These values were used in the simulations assuming that the thermal conductivities did not change with temperature, which is often a good approximation for liquids.\(^{36}\)

Heat capacity measurements

The specific heat capacities at constant pressure (\( c_p \)) of the electrolytes were measured by a power-compensation differential scanning calorimeter (DSC8000, PerkinElmer, USA) in the temperature range from 5 to 115 °C. Each sample was sealed in an aluminium cell. Before the measurement, the weights of the samples, reference, and blank aluminium cell were accurately measured with an ultra-micro balance (Cubis MSA2.7S, Sartorius, Germany). The \( c_p \) value of the sample was determined using a sapphire thin plate supplied by PerkinElmer as a reference with known specific heat capacity.

Experimental procedure for flow thermocell

In the test experimental setup, the electrolyte liquid was circulated in a loop consisting of tubes and tubing junctions made of perfluoroalkoxy alkane (PFA) and polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE), respectively, using a roller tubing pump (Masterflex 07528-20 with a HV-77390-00 pump head, Cole-Parmer, USA). PFA and PTFE were chosen to prevent unnoticed contamination from the experimental setup, which might decrease the reliability of the results. For the same reason, the body of the thermocell was made of PTFE (Fig. 2a and S4 in the ESI†) and the roller tubing pump was chosen to avoid physical contact between the liquid and the mechanical parts of the pump. To retain the steady state during the experiment, the hot liquid coming out of the cell at \( T_{out} \) was cooled by passing it through a section placed in a laboratory water chiller so that the liquid could re-enter the cell at \( T_{in} \) (26 ± 4 °C). The \( I-V \) curves were acquired using a source measure unit (Model 2450, Keithley, USA). The cathode temperature \( T_{cathode} \), which was monitored by a thermocouple embedded in the cathode (cf. Fig. 2a), was controlled by a ceramic heater (BVP-004, Bach Resistor Ceramics GmbH, Germany) with a feed-back power controller (DB630, CHINO, Japan). The electric power consumption or heat generation in the ceramic heater (\( Q_{heater} \)) was measured by a power meter (Model 3333, Hioki, Japan). In all of the experiments, the ambient temperature was controlled to 23 ± 2 °C. Further details are given in our previous study\(^{29}\) carried out using the same thermocell.

Determination of heat removal amount

The amount of heat removal \( Q \) was determined by subtracting the steady-state heat escape from the cell surface \( Q_{escape} \) from \( Q_{heater} \). \( Q_{escape} \) for each experimental condition was determined using a pre-calibrated relationship between \( Q_{heater} \) at \( G = 0 \) after the steady state was sufficiently reached, which is equal to \( Q_{escape} \), and the temperature difference between the average temperature of the cell surface (see Fig. S5 in the ESI† for the positions of the thermocouples on the surface) and the ambient temperature (23 ± 2 °C).
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