Isomerization of glucose to fructose catalyzed by metal–organic frameworks
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The isomerization reaction of glucose to fructose was studied using five selected metal–organic frameworks (MOFs) as catalysts and a mixture of γ-valerolactone and 10% H2O as solvent. MOFs with different metal cations (Cr3+, Al3+, Cu2+, and Fe3+) were tested between 100 and 140 °C. The activity tests show that the MOF with chromium yields a higher amount of fructose. A comparison between MIL-101(Cr) and MIL-53(Cr) shows a higher yield of fructose with MIL-101(Cr) (23% at 140 °C) in a short reaction time, due to the higher pore size of the MOF structure. The stability of this catalyst was confirmed, and it could be recycled 5 times without a significant loss of activity and exhibited an excellent fructose yield of 23–35% after 1 h of the reaction. In this work, the superior results found are due to the large porous MIL-101(Cr) catalyst combined with aprotic solvents (γ-valerolactone–10% H2O).

Introduction

Fructose is a key intermediate derived from biomass to produce fuel and high value added chemical products. Numerous studies have revealed that it is easier to obtain 5-hydroxymethylfurfural (5-HMF) (Fig. 1), levulinic acid, lactic acid, etc. from fructose than from glucose. Nevertheless, fructose is not abundant in nature; in contrast, glucose is quite abundant. In this context, the isomerization reaction of glucose to fructose appears to be the most suitable procedure to obtain fructose. The maximum glucose-to-fructose conversion in this process is limited by the thermodynamic equilibrium between these two isomeric compounds and will strongly depend on the specific reaction temperature.

At present, fructose can be generated at a large scale in the production of corn syrup (HFCS), a high fructose sweetener. In this process, the reaction is catalyzed enzymatically, and despite the high selectivity of this reaction, several drawbacks increase processing costs, including the slow kinetics of enzymatic reactions, the use of buffering solutions to maintain pH, narrow operating temperatures, and strict feed purification requirements. For this reason, interest in the use of chemical catalysis, which can avoid these drawbacks, has grown during the last several years.

Glucose isomerization to fructose can be catalyzed by basic catalysts according to the Lobry de Bruyn–Alberda van Ekenstein reaction or by acid catalysts. Among the latter, special attention has been paid to the use of Lewis acid catalysts such as metal chloride salts and heterogeneous zeolite-based systems modified with Sn, Ti, or Zr.

Metal–organic frameworks (MOFs), which act as Lewis acid catalysts, are very interesting candidates. MOFs are crystalline nanostructured materials composed of metal nodes and organic linkers that present high surface areas and well-defined pore systems. Furthermore, they have numerous modifiable compositions and can be easily functionalized, making them excellent and promising materials in heterogeneous catalysis applications, such as the oxidative desulfurization of fuels, electrocatalysis, gas adsorption and separation, hydrogen storage, drug delivery and, in particular, catalytic and biomass valorization. A few studies have evaluated the catalytic activity of MOFs in glucose isomerization. But, the main effort has been devoted to modifying MOFs via metal...
clusters or organic ligands) to be applied for the one-pot synthesis of 5-HMF from glucose.36–39

Apart from catalyst selection, another decisive factor in glucose-to-fructose isomerization is the employed solvent. Here, the first option is water2,7–9,18,40 due to the good solubility of sugars in it, although various other solvents have been tested to improve the reaction rate and minimize the production of secondary products, such as alcohols,5,11,41 ionic liquids,42 and polar aprotic organic solvents including N,N-dimethylformamide (DMF), dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO), dimethylacetamide (DMA), sulfolane, γ-valerolactone, acetone, and 1,4-dioxane.4,43

This work presents a novel study on the isomerization of glucose to fructose by using five selected MOF catalysts (three MOFs synthesized, MIL-53(Cr), CuBTC, and MIL-101(Cr), and two other commercial catalysts, FeBTC, and MIL-53(Al)). The utilization of different MOF-based catalysts with diverse porosities and Lewis acid sites in the form of metal cations (Cr³⁺, Al³⁺, Cu²⁺, and Fe³⁺) has allowed us to evaluate the influence of these parameters on their catalytic activity.

Experimental

Materials: reagents and solvents

All chemicals used in MOF preparation were used as received without further purification: chromium(III) nitrate nonahydrate (Panreac, 99%), benzene-1,4-dicarboxylic acid (Aldrich, 98%), copper nitrate (Cu(NO₃)₂·3H₂O, AR, Damao), 1,3,5-benzenetricarboxylic acid (H₃BTC, 99%, J&K), N,N-dimethylformamide (DMF, AR, Damao), ethyl alcohol (EtOH, AR, Damao). Glucose, Basolite® F300 (FeBTC), Basolite® A100 (MIL-53(Al)), and γ-valerolactone (GVL, ≥99%) were acquired from Aldrich.

Catalyst preparation

Synthesis of MIL-53(Cr). The porous MOF material MIL-53(Cr), where MIL is the abbreviation for the Material of Instituto Tavoisier, was hydrothermally prepared using traditional synthesis.44 Initially, a mixture of chromium(III) nitrate (4 mmol), terephthalic acid (4 mmol), and hydrofluoric acid (4 mmol) in 20 mL of H₂O was stirred at room temperature. Then, the mixture was transferred to an autoclave and was heated at 220 °C for 24 h under vacuum (10⁻⁴ mbar) to ensure a clean dry surface free of any loosely bound adsorbed species. The specific areas of the samples were determined according to a standard BET procedure using N₂ adsorption data obtained in the relative equilibrium pressure interval of 0.03 < P/P₀ < 0.3 and a value of 0.162 nm² for the cross-sectional area of an adsorbed nitrogen molecule.

Attenuated total reflection infrared spectroscopy (ATR-FTIR). The spectra of the solids were recorded with a PerkinElmer Spectrum BX system equipped with a PIKE Gladi ATR. A total of 180 cumulative scans were performed in attenuated total reflection mode with a resolution of 4 cm⁻¹ in the frequency range of 4000–450 cm⁻¹.

Powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD). X-ray diffraction analyses were performed at Instituto de Física dos Materiais da Universidade do Porto, IFIMUP (Porto, Portugal). XRD patterns were obtained with a Rigaku SmartLab X-ray diffractometer using a CuKα X-ray source (λ = 1.5418 Å; acceleration potential = 45 kV; current = 200 mA). A Bragg–Brentano geometry was used. The samples were ground and placed on a stainless-steel plate, and data were recorded in steps over a range of Bragg angles (2θ) between 4° and 90° at a scanning rate of 0.02° per step and an accumulation time of 50 s.

Scanning electron microscopy (SEM). SEM micrographs of the different elements were collected at CEMUP (Porto, Portugal) using a high resolution (Schottky) environmental microscope and analysed with X-ray microanalysis and backscattered electron diffraction pattern analysis (FEI Quanta 400FEG/EDAX Genesis X4 M). Measurements were carried out under high-vacuum conditions.

Catalysis studies

Isomerization reaction. The catalytic tests were carried out in a Mettler-Toledo EasyMax 102® stirred glass tank reactor. First, 0.080 g of catalyst was added to 5 mL of a 1 wt% solution of glucose in 90 wt% GVL-10 wt% H₂O and mixed with a stirring magnet. After 15 min of temperature stabilization, the reaction began. For all catalysts, the reactions were tested periodically after 15, 30, 60, and 120 min using three different temperatures: 100, 120, and 140 °C. These analyses were performed by HPLC (Agilent Technologies HPLC 1200 and 1260 series). Chromatographic separation was performed with an HPLC 1200 chromatograph, a Hi-PLEX H column at 60 °C, 0.6
mL min⁻¹ sulfuric acid aqueous solution (0.01 M) as the mobile phase, a refractive index detector, and an ultraviolet detector. On the other hand, chromatographic separation was performed with an HPLC 1260 chromatograph, a Hi-Plex Ca(Duo) column at 85 °C, a 0.6 mL min⁻¹ water as the mobile phase for HPLC, and a refractive index detector. This method allows the analysis of sugars (glucose and fructose) and secondary products (5-hydroxymethylfurfural and furfural). Identification and quantification of the components were carried out by comparing the retention times and using internal calibration curves with reference compounds. All reaction tests were repeated at least three times, and the results are represented with error bars.

**Recycling tests.** For a typical catalytic reuse experiment, after a reaction cycle, the solid catalyst was collected from the reaction mixture via centrifugation and washed three times in GVL-10% H₂O, three times with water and once with acetone to facilitate drying. Then, the solid was dried in an oven at 50 °C overnight. Finally, this solid was used as the catalyst in the same reaction, as described in the preceding paragraphs.

**Results and discussion**

This work presents a study on the isomerization of glucose to fructose by using five selected MOF catalysts (three MOFs synthesized, MIL-53(Cr), CuBTC, and MIL-101(Cr), and two other commercial catalysts, FeBTC and MIL-53(Al)). The utilization of different MOF-based catalysts with diverse porosities and Lewis acid sites in the form of metal cations (Cr³⁺, Al³⁺, Cu²⁺, and Fe²⁺) has allowed us to evaluate the influence of these parameters on their catalytic activity. These reactions will take place in a mixture of 10% H₂O and γ-valerolactone (GVL).

In this study, two of these MOF catalysts (MIL-53(Cr) and MIL-53(Al)) have the same structure which consists of inorganic [metal–OH] chains formed by terephthalate-based linker molecules with a similar pore size structure. The metal center (Cr or Al) is octahedrally coordinated by six oxygen atoms. Four oxygen atoms come from different carboxylate groups and the remaining two atoms belong to two different μ-OH moieties, which bridge neighboring metal centers. The resulting structure contains one-dimensional-shaped pores. The CuBTC corresponds to the empirical formula [Cu₃(BTC)₂(H₂O)₃]₀ with interconnected [Cu₂(O₂CR)₄] units (R = aromatic ring). The structure contains dimeric cupric tetracarboxylic secondary building units respectively with Cu–Cu separation. In the neutral network, twelve carboxylic oxygen atoms from the two BTC ligands are coordinated to four sites of each of the three Cu²⁺ ions. Its iron counterpart, FeBTC, is constituted by iron building units where the iron in oxidation state Fe³⁺ is connected with BTC linkers, however, the local environment around iron is still unknown. Finally, we compared the results with another MOF with a different structure and porous size, MIL-101(Cr), which is comprised of trimeric chromium(III) octahedral clusters interconnected by 1,4-benzenedicarboxylates. MIL-101(Cr) has a larger pore size than the other MOFs studied.

**Structural characterization**

The region from 1400 to 1600 cm⁻¹ in the ATR-FTIR spectra (Fig. 2) shows peaks attributed to the asymmetric and symmetric stretching vibration modes of the O–C–O framework. These peaks are present in all samples due to the presence of carboxylic groups in the framework links. The other bands between 600 and 1600 cm⁻¹ are attributed to benzene, including the stretching vibration (C=C) at 1508 cm⁻¹ and deformation vibrations (C–H) at 1160, 1017, 884, and 750 cm⁻¹. The MOF IR spectra exhibit some peaks attributed to the presence of the different metals: the CuBTC spectrum shows a vibration peak at 730 cm⁻¹, which might be attributed to the Cu–O stretching vibration, in which the oxygen atom is coordinated with Cu. The FeBTC spectrum includes a characteristic band at 1109 cm⁻¹ related to the metalorganic group C–O–Fe. Regarding MIL-101(Cr), the moderate-intensity peak at 583 cm⁻¹ can be ascribed to Cr–O stretching vibrations, however, it is difficult to observe in the case of MIL-53(Cr) which has a spectrum similar to its aluminum counterpart. Finally, the spectrum of MIL-53(Al) shows a broad peak between 600 and 700 cm⁻¹ assigned to asymmetric and symmetric O–Al–O stretching vibrations. Thus, all characterization results indicate that the five MOF samples—prepared and commercial materials—present canonical structural arrangements corresponding to those referenced in the literature.

The PXRD patterns of all the MOFs studied match with those described in the literature (Fig. 3). The PXRD pattern of MIL-53(Cr) does not indicate complete crystallinity. Common peaks can be found with its chromium counterpart, MIL-53(Al) does not indicate complete crystallinity. Common peaks can be found with its aluminum counterpart, MIL-
101(Cr), but of low intensity. This may be due to obtaining a mixed solid between MIL-101(Cr) and MIL-53(Cr), because the synthesis method is similar except for the number of hours (24 h versus 72 h respectively) that makes the crystal change, generating MIL-53(Cr). The PXRD pattern of CuBTC uniquely exhibits the presence of the CuBTC phase, with diffraction peaks identical to those described in previous studies.57,58 Additionally, no impurities of Cu2O are detected due to the absence of its characteristic diffraction peak at 36.4°.45 The PXRD pattern of FeBTC shows peaks at Bragg angles of 10.9°, 18.8°, 24.2°, and 28.1°, which are typical of this structure.59 The low-resolution diffraction pattern of FeBTC is a consequence of the semi-amorphous nature of this material. The X-ray diffraction pattern of reference sample MIL-53(Al) is consistent with previously published powder XRD data.60 Finally, the PXRD patterns of synthesized MIL-101(Cr) presents a set of diffraction peaks at Bragg angles of 2.82°, 3.3°, 3.98°, 4.34°, 4.88°, 5.17°, 5.63°, 5.88°, 8.44°, and 9.62°, which corresponds to the MIL-101(Cr) framework.61 SEM analysis was performed to check the correct synthesis of prepared MOFs (Fig. 4). On the one hand, the SEM micrographs of MIL-53(Cr) (Fig. 4a and b) show crystals of different sizes and shapes that present aggregates in their structure. The SEM images of CuBTC (Fig. 4c and d) show octahedral particles with sizes in the range of 200–500 nm and some aggregates. These results show the morphology in the published SEM images.62 The SEM micrographs of the MIL-101(Cr) crystals (Fig. 4e and f) also show the presence of octahedral particles with smooth surfaces and sharp edges.

Fig. 3 PXRD patterns of all the MOF samples.
However, these particles are not uniform in size, existing as diverse aggregates with sizes of approximately 120–250 nm.\textsuperscript{63}

The textural parameters of the studied MOFs (Table 1) reveal large surface areas in all five cases, presenting similar values to those described in the literature. MIL-53(Cr), CuBTC, FeBTC, and MIL-53(Al) have increased and similar specific surface areas of \(\sim 1200 \, \text{m}^2 \, \text{g}^{-1}\), while the surface area of MIL-101(Cr) is the highest: \(2187 \, \text{m}^2 \, \text{g}^{-1}\). A similar trend is followed for the MOF pore volumes (Table 1).

These differences can be attributed to the differences in the MOF structures and channel sizes. The largest channel size is 1.2 nm for MIL-101(Cr),\textsuperscript{33} which corresponds to the largest surface area, while the channels of \(\sim 0.9\) nm for MIL-53(Cr), CuBTC, FeBTC, and MIL-53(Al)\textsuperscript{64–66} result in lower surface areas.

Fig. 4  SEM micrographs of (a and b) MIL-53(Cr), (c and d) CuBTC and (e and f) MIL-101(Cr).
Catalytic activity for glucose-to-fructose isomerization

The structures described with different metal centers were tested as catalysts for the glucose isomerization to fructose process. This reaction was studied at different temperatures between 100 and 140 °C. As expected, increasing the reaction temperature clearly increases the conversion of glucose and fructose yields (Fig. 5 and 6, respectively) for all the catalysts. However, the magnitudes of the catalytic activity improvement are different for each case: MIL-53(Cr) and FeBTC being the most active catalysts while MIL-53(Al) being the least active. The glucose conversion is always lower than 40% at 100 °C for all catalysts, reaching very similar final conversion percentages in the cases of CuBTC, MIL-53(Al), and FeBTC. The increases in reaction temperature enlarged the differences between the MOF catalytic activity levels, exhibiting significant differences at 140 °C. In addition, the shapes of the conversion profiles are quite different among the catalysts employed at 140 °C.

The conversion of glucose increases continuously with time during the whole experiment with MIL-53(Cr), MIL-53(Al), and FeBTC catalysts. Using the latter catalyst, the conversion value after the first 15 min of the reaction is already approximately 75%. In fact, the highest conversion of 93% was obtained using the FeBTC catalyst after 2 h of the reaction. The formation of fructose was investigated with a blank experiment, i.e., without the presence of a catalyst, and in this case, no fructose formed (result not shown). In the presence of MOF catalysts, fructose yields increase with increasing reaction temperature, except when using the FeBTC catalyst. Using FeBTC, a low yield of fructose is detected, especially at 140 °C (increasing from 12.5% at 15 min to 3% after 2 h), despite the high conversion obtained. FeBTC exhibits a different behavior from the other MOFs studied since its fructose yield profiles show a maximum value after the first hour of the reaction which diminished monotonically from the first to the second hour of the process. This result is in contrast with the high conversion of glucose observed.

The high conversion and low fructose yield can be related to the redox properties of Fe³⁺, because as has already been reported, starch, cellulose, and glucose could be oxidized to CO₂ by a Fe³⁺ catalyst, and this effect is more evident at higher temperatures.

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MOF</th>
<th>BET surface area (m²/g)</th>
<th>Pore volume (cm³/g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MIL-53(Cr)</td>
<td>1192</td>
<td>0.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CuBTC</td>
<td>1277</td>
<td>0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIL-53(Al)</td>
<td>1173</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeBTC</td>
<td>1254</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIL-101(Cr)</td>
<td>2187</td>
<td>1.15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The catalytic system (catalyst and solvent) reaches high glucose conversion and fructose yield in comparison with similar catalysts, reaching similar performance to optimized Sn-containing Lewis acidic zeolites. A comparison of our results with others systems used in the bibliography is compiled in Table 2. The catalytic activity and the fructose yield obtained in this work are notably higher than the values previously reported for the same catalysts (see entries 4–7 of Table 2); in this work, very fast conversion is reached in only 15–30 min instead of the much longer 8–24 h period described in previous studies. These improvements must be related to the catalysts used and the selected solvents.

Given the results and the difficulty to compare our results with those previously published, we tested MIL-101(Cr) at different temperatures (100, 120, 130, and 140 °C) during 30 minutes of reaction using GVL-10% H2O as solvent (Fig. 8). In addition, for comparative purposes, MIL-101(Cr) was tested at 130 °C for 30 minutes but using water as a solvent. The results show that for the same reaction time, using GVL-10% H2O as solvent, glucose conversion and fructose yield increase with increasing temperature. At 130 °C, the fructose yield is about 10% in 30 minutes, indicating a higher activity than previous reports. The use of GVL-10% H2O as solvent enhances the catalytic activity compared to the use of only water (Fig. 8). These results show that not only the catalyst is important but also the choice of solvent is. Numerous studies have focused on glucose isomerization employing protic solvents; however, in this work, we utilized a nonprotic solvent (γ-valerolactone).

The reaction mechanism of glucose isomerization on Lewis acid sites has been studied by several authors, showing that intra-hydride transfer (C2 to C1) was the dominant reaction pathway in heterogeneous and homogenous systems. However, use of protic or nonprotic solvents has a strong effect on the balance of Lewis and Brønsted acid sites in the catalyst; thus, protic solvents generate Brønsted acid sites due to solvent molecules being ligated to metal atoms. As a consequence, the use of a nonprotic solvent in this study favors the proliferation of Lewis acid sites, which are very active for the glucose isomerization reaction.

**Catalyst recycling capacity**

The activity results have shown that MIL-101(Cr) is the most efficient catalyst for the glucose-to-fructose isomerization reaction, with glucose conversion and fructose yield values always

---

**Table 2** Recompilation of glucose isomerization activity results described in the literature and comparison with this work.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Catalyst and solvent</th>
<th>T (°C)</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Glucose conv. (%)</th>
<th>Fructose yield (%)</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sn-β/water</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>12 h</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zr-MOF-808/water</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>30 min</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UiO-66(Zr)/water</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>30 min</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIL-101(Cr)/nano/water</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>24 h</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIL-101(Cr)/water</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>24 h</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIL-101(Cr)/water</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>28 h</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIL-101(Cr)/GVL-water</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>30 min</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
above 70% and 23%, respectively, at 140 °C. For this reason, the stability and the recycling capacity of MIL-101(Cr) were investigated. The catalyst was reused for five consecutive reaction cycles lasting 1 h at 140 °C (the reaction time needed to reach the maximum conversion of glucose Fig. 9a).

After each cycle, the catalyst was recovered by centrifugation, and the solid obtained after the reaction was collected and washed three times in GVL-10% H2O, three times with water and once with acetone to facilitate drying. Then, it was dried at 50 °C overnight in an oven.

Although the conversion level decreases slightly from the original reaction to the first reuse cycle (Fig. 8a), this value is maintained for 5 reuse cycles. The fructose yield increases from 23 to 28% after the first reuse cycle and then becomes close to 35% in the subsequent reuses. These data indicate that MIL-101(Cr) is an effective catalyst for glucose-to-fructose isomerization and can be reused in various consecutive reactions. The catalyst MIL-101(Cr) after being reused for five catalytic cycles was characterized by PXRD, IR, and SEM, demonstrating its robustness and stability (Fig. 9 and 10). In fact, the characteristic features of the diffraction patterns and the vibrational bands of MIL-101(Cr) are present in the sample after catalytic reuse, suggesting that the global crystalline structure of the MOF material was maintained. However, some small modifications can be found in the diffraction pattern and IR spectrum (Fig. 10), and the morphology of the particles after catalytic tests (SEM images, Fig. 11) differed from the initial MOF structure (Fig. 2–4). This must be related to internal rearrangements that can occur in the MIL-101(Cr) framework after several consecutive catalytic processes and are promoted by the interactions of substrates and products with the MOF.
structure. The remarkable increase in the fructose yield can be related to the presence of adsorbed water in the fresh catalyst pore structure due to its substantial hygroscopic characteristic. The presence of water in the fresh catalyst was proven based on the presence of the intense band at 1610 cm\(^{-1}\), which is attributed to adsorbed molecular water bending (Fig. 2), and the intensity of this band is clearly reduced in the reused sample (Fig. 9). During the reaction, the catalyst undergoes a drying process due to the use of the GVL solvent; then, the water absorbed inside the catalyst is gradually removed during the reaction, and the amount of water adsorbed decreases after each reuse.

**Conclusions**

The catalysis of the isomerization reaction of glucose to fructose has been tested with five different MOF catalysts. We have demonstrated that these MOFs can catalyze this process in a GVL-10\% H\(_2\)O medium. The results found in this work indicate that MOF catalysts combined with aprotic solvents produce a high conversion of glucose and a fructose formation rate in comparison with other studies that employ water or alcohols as solvent.

The activity results show that glucose conversion increases with increasing temperature for all the catalysts. In catalysts with the same structure, it is observed that FeBTC has the highest conversion (above 70\%) while MIL-53(Cr) has the best fructose yield. When MIL-53(Cr) is compared to its chromium counterpart (MIL-101(Cr)) with a higher pore size, the profile shows an increase in fructose yield at early reaction times. Thus, MIL-101(Cr) is the catalyst that showed the highest fructose yield at 140 °C (~23\%) in GVL-10\% H\(_2\)O among the MOFs studied. The recycling capacity of MIL-101(Cr) was confirmed by performing 5 reuse reaction cycles; no significant loss in activity was observed, and the reaction with MIL-101(Cr) exhibited an increase in the fructose yield from 23 to 35\% after 1 h of the reaction. The catalytic activity and yield of fructose achieved in this work are notably higher than those previously reported since we reached very high conversion and fructose yield values. When MIL-53(Cr) is compared to its chromium counterpart, it is observed that FeBTC has the highest conversion (above 70\%) while MIL-53(Cr) has the best fructose yield. When MIL-53(Cr) is compared to its chromium counterpart (MIL-101(Cr)) with a higher pore size, the profile shows an increase in fructose yield at early reaction times. Thus, MIL-101(Cr) showed the highest fructose yield at 140 °C (~23\%) in GVL-10\% H\(_2\)O among the MOFs studied. The recycling capacity of MIL-101(Cr) was confirmed by performing 5 reuse reaction cycles; no significant loss in activity was observed, and the reaction with MIL-101(Cr) exhibited an increase in the fructose yield from 23 to 35\% after 1 h of the reaction. The catalytic activity and yield of fructose achieved in this work are notably higher than those previously reported since we reached very high conversion and fructose yield values after 1 h of the reaction instead of the 8–24 h reaction reported in previous studies (Table 2), reaching similar performance to optimized Sn-containing Lewis acidic zeolites. The superior results found in this work are due to the large porous MIL-101(Cr) catalyst combined with aprotic solvents.
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