

Cite this: *Chem. Sci.*, 2021, 12, 6437

All publication charges for this article have been paid for by the Royal Society of Chemistry

Received 8th March 2021

Accepted 5th April 2021

DOI: 10.1039/d1sc01351c

rsc.li/chemical-science

S(vi) in three-component sulfonamide synthesis: use of sulfuric chloride as a linchpin in palladium-catalyzed Suzuki–Miyaura coupling†

Xuefeng Wang,^{ab} Min Yang,^{bc} Shengqing Ye,^a Yunyan Kuang^b and Jie Wu^{bc*ade}

Sulfuric chloride is used as the source of the $-\text{SO}_2-$ group in a palladium-catalyzed three-component synthesis of sulfonamides. Suzuki–Miyaura coupling between the *in situ* generated sulfamoyl chlorides and boronic acids gives rise to diverse sulfonamides in moderate to high yields with excellent reaction selectivity. Although this transformation is not workable for primary amines or anilines, the results show high functional group tolerance. With the solving of the desulfonylation problem and utilization of cheap and easily accessible sulfuric chloride as the source of sulfur dioxide, redox-neutral three-component synthesis of sulfonamides is first achieved.

Since its development in the 1970s,¹ Suzuki–Miyaura coupling has become a widely used synthetic step in diverse areas. With two of the most widely sourced materials, organoborons and alkyl/aryl halides, a number of C–C coupling reactions are established and the Suzuki–Miyaura reaction has successfully acted as the key step in the synthesis of medicines and agrochemicals.²

In addition to the well-known aryl halides and esters, various other substrates such as acid chlorides,³ anhydrides,⁴ diazonium salts⁵ and sulfonyl chlorides⁶ were also reported for the coupling in the past decades. As far as acid chlorides are concerned, carbamoyl chlorides were successfully transformed to the corresponding benzamides in the early years of the 21st century.⁷ However, the use of sulfamoyl chlorides as coupling partners is challenging due to the strong electron-withdrawing properties of the sulfonyl group, which cause the tendency of desulfonylation to form tertiary amines.

Synthesis of sulfonyl-containing compounds, especially sulfones and sulfonamides, *via* the insertion of sulfur dioxide has been extensively studied during the last decade.⁸ A series of sulfur-containing surrogates have been developed as the source

of the $-\text{SO}_2-$ group. Willis and co-workers first reported the use of $\text{DABCO}\cdot(\text{SO}_2)_2$, a bench-stable solid adduct of DABCO and gaseous SO_2 discovered by Santos and Mello,^{9a} as the source of sulfur dioxide in the synthesis of sulfonylhydrazines.^{9b} Soon after, alkali metal metabisulfites were found to provide sulfur dioxide for the formation of sulfonyl compounds.¹⁰ In the recent developments in this field, $\text{DABCO}\cdot(\text{SO}_2)_2$ and metabisulfites have become the most popular SO_2 surrogates for the insertion of sulfur dioxide.⁸ However, the practical applications of sulfur dioxide insertion reactions are limited by atom-efficiency problems and the unique properties of reactants. For instance, the three-component synthesis of aryl sulfonylhydrazines using aryl halides, SO_2 surrogates and hydrazines by a SO_2 -doped Buchward–Hartwig reaction was realized in the earliest developments in this field.¹⁰ However, similar transformations from aryl halides and amines to the corresponding sulfonamides still remain unresolved (Scheme 1a).^{11,12}

In order to provide a simple and efficient method for the three-component synthesis of aryl sulfonamides without the pre-synthesis of sulfonyl chlorides, many scientists have made various attempts. Interestingly, the use of arylboronic acids instead of aryl halides provided an alternative route. An oxidative reaction between boronic acids, $\text{DABCO}\cdot(\text{SO}_2)_2$ and amines for the preparation of aryl sulfonamides at high temperature was realized,¹² while reductive couplings of boronic acids, SO_2 surrogates and nitroarenes were also reported (Scheme 1b).¹³ However, due to the reversed electronic properties of boronic acids from halides, additional additives and restrictions had to be considered. Extra oxidants and harsh conditions were usually used, and some of the transformations required “oxidative” substrates, such as nitroarenes and chloroamines.¹⁴

Early in 2020, a reductive hydrosulfonamination of alkenes by sulfamoyl chlorides was reported,¹⁵ which gave us the

^aSchool of Pharmaceutical and Materials Engineering, Institute for Advanced Studies, Taizhou University, 1139 Shifu Avenue, Taizhou 318000, China. E-mail: jie_wu@fudan.edu.cn

^bDepartment of Chemistry, Fudan University, 2005 Songhu Road, Shanghai 200438, China


^cDepartment of Forensic Science, Gannan Medical University, 1 Yixueyuan Road, Ganzhou 341000, China

^dState Key Laboratory of Organometallic Chemistry, Shanghai Institute of Organic Chemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, 345 Lingling Road, Shanghai 200032, China

^eSchool of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Henan Normal University, 46 East Jianshe Road, Xinxiang 453007, China

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Experimental details, spectral data, and ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra. See DOI: 10.1039/d1sc01351c







Scheme 1 Synthetic approaches to sulfonamides.

inspiration to use *in situ* generated sulfamoyl chlorides as the electrophile for the synthesis of aryl sulfonamides by Suzuki–Miyaura coupling. In this way, sulfamoyl chlorides could be formed by nucleophilic substitution of an amine to sulfuric chloride, and the S(VI) central atom introduced into the reaction could reverse the electronic properties of the amine, which would eliminate the addition of oxidants (Scheme 1c). With the utilization of boronic acids as the coupling partner, a palladium-catalyzed Suzuki–Miyaura coupling could provide the sulfonamide products. Compared with traditional attempts, reversing the electronic properties of an amine from nucleophilic to electrophilic could reverse the whole reaction process, and two-step synthesis starting from the amine side could bypass the existing difficulty of S–N bond forming reductive elimination.¹² Instead, a C–S bond formation could be the key for success (Scheme 2). In this proposed route, the presence of

Traditional route



This work: "reversed process"




Scheme 2 Comparison between the traditional route and designed work.

a base would be essential to remove the acid generated *in situ* during the reaction process. Additionally, we expected that the addition of a ligand would improve the oxidative addition of Pd(0) to sulfamoyl chloride, thus leading to the desired sulfonamide product.

As designed based on our assumption, we used a commercialized sulfamoyl chloride intermediate **A**, which would be generated from morpholine **1a** and SO₂Cl₂, to start our early investigations. The results showed that the direct Suzuki–Miyaura coupling of sulfamoyl chloride intermediate **A** and 2-naphthaleneboronic acid **2a** mostly led to the generation of byproduct **3a'** with traditional phosphine ligands added to the reaction, and the desired product **3a** was obtained in poor yields (Table 1, entries 1 and 2). It is known that an electron-rich ligand would enhance the oxidative addition of Pd(0) to the electrophile, and the bulky factor would facilitate the reductive elimination process. As expected, the yield of product **3a** was increased significantly when electron-rich and bulky tris-(2,6-dimethoxyphenyl)phosphine was used as the ligand (Table 1, entry 3). Moreover, the reaction could proceed more efficiently by using a mixture of THF and MeCN as the co-solvent (Table 1, entry 4).


With that brief conclusion in hand, we then shifted our focus to the *in situ* generation of sulfamoyl chloride intermediate **A** in the reaction process, and a number of attempts were made with morpholine **1a** and SO₂Cl₂ (for details, see the ESI†). After careful measurement of product **3a** and desulfonylated byproduct **3a'** generated during the transformation, the selective formation of compound **3a** was realized and "standard conditions" were identified. By using PdCl₂(PhCN)₂ as the catalyst and Na₂HPO₄ as the base, the desired product **3a** was isolated in 71% yield, giving the least amount of desulfonylated product **3a'** (Table 2, entry 1). The control experiment showed that **3a** or **3a'** was not detected in the absence of the palladium catalyst (Table 2, entry 2). It was also observed that compound **3a'** could not be generated when SO₂Cl₂ was omitted (Table 2, entry 3), indicating that the byproduct wasn't produced by the direct coupling of boronic acid and amine. Other changes to the catalyst, ligand, base or solvent all resulted in lower yields of compound **3a** or higher yields of desulfonylated product **3a'** (Table 2, entries 4–7).

Table 1 Early investigations using morpholine-4-sulfonyl chloride **A** as the starting material

Entry	Solvent	Ligand	Yield ^a (%)
1	1,4-Dioxane	P ^t Bu ₃ ·HBF ₄	14
2	THF	P ^t Bu ₃ ·HBF ₄	23
3	THF	PAr ₃ ·Ar = 2,6-di-OMe-C ₆ H ₃	57
4	THF/MeCN	PAr ₃ ·Ar = 2,6-di-OMe-C ₆ H ₃	72

^a ¹H NMR yield obtained using 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene as the internal standard.



Table 2 Effects of variation of reaction parameters^a

Entry	Variation from "standard conditions"	Yield of 3a ^b (%)	Yield of 3a ^b (%)
1	None	5	80 (69)
2	No PdCl ₂ (PhCN) ₂	n.d.	n.d.
3	No SO ₂ Cl ₂	n.d.	n.d.
4	Pd(OAc) ₂ instead of PdCl ₂ (PhCN) ₂	13	80
5	PPh ₃ instead of PAR ₃	15	68
6	K ₂ CO ₃ instead of Na ₂ HPO ₄	43	23
7	MeCN instead of THF/MeCN	16	63

^a Standard conditions: morpholine **1a** (0.2 mmol, 1.0 equiv.), SO₂Cl₂ (0.5 mmol, 2.5 equiv.), Et₃N (0.53 mmol, 2.65 equiv.), 2-naphthaleneboronic acid **2a** (0.4 mmol, 2.0 equiv.), Na₂HPO₄ (0.6 mmol, 3.0 equiv.), PdCl₂(PhCN)₂ (10 mol%), tris-(2,6-dimethoxyphenyl)phosphine (20 mol%), THF (1.0 mL)/MeCN (1.5 mL), 70 °C, 16 h. See the ESI for the detailed procedure. ^b ¹H NMR yield obtained using 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene as the internal standard. The isolated yield of entry 1 is shown in parentheses.

With the "standard conditions" in hand, various secondary amines **1** and arylboronic acids **2** were subjected to the reaction for the exploration of substrate adaptability (Scheme 3). To our delight, most of the reactions proceeded smoothly, giving rise to the desired product **3** in moderate to high yields. Considering the scope of boronic acids, a number of *para*-, *meta*- and *ortho*-(**3t**) substituted boronic acids showed good reactivities. However, lower yields were observed for some substrates with electron-withdrawing substituents, providing more desulfonated byproducts due to the electron-deficiency of the palladium intermediate. Aryl boronic acids with acid-sensitive Boc-substituted amine, oxidation-sensitive phenol, sulfide and vinyl substitution were all tolerated. It is noteworthy that bromo- and acetoxy-substrates could also be efficiently converted to the corresponding products **3f** and **3r**, showing quite high selectivity during the reaction process. A series of hetero-aromatic products were afforded successfully as well, and compounds with indole, indazole, dibenzothiophene and pyridine were all compatible (**3aa–3af**).


Subsequently, with respect to amines, 4-phenylboronic acid and 4-(methylthio)phenylboronic acid were selected as coupling partners based on their electronic properties and cost. Saturated cyclic products **3ah–3an** were obtained in moderate yields, among which an α -amino acid derivative showed high reactivity, giving rise to product **3aj** in 71% yield. Methylallylamine was transformed to the corresponding product **3ao** smoothly, and thiomorpholine 1,1-dioxide was also tolerated under the conditions (**3ap**). Various sensitive groups including acetyl, Boc, Cbz and cyclopropylcarbonyl (**3aq–3at**) on amines remained intact during the transformation. However, the amine scope was limited, since the transformation failed to provide the corresponding products when primary amines or anilines were used as the substrates. We assumed that during the reaction

process for the oxidative addition of the sulfamoyl chloride intermediate to the palladium catalyst, Pd–SO₂–NHR would be formed when a primary amine was used. Thus, β -hydride elimination would occur instead of the desired process.


Furthermore, the practicality of this method was also verified by gram-scale synthesis and late-stage functionalization (Scheme 4). The reaction worked smoothly on the 4.0 mmol scale, and reducing the loading amount of the palladium catalyst to 1 mol% showed no obvious impact on the transformation. With a boronic acid synthesized from estrone and desloratadine, an antihistamine drug used as the substrate, the target products **4a** and **4b** were achieved in moderate to good yields, showing potential possibilities for synthetic applications.

In conclusion, a redox-neutral three-component synthesis of sulfonamides is established through a palladium-catalyzed Suzuki–Miyaura coupling of sulfuric chloride, secondary amines and arylboronic acids. Sulfuric chloride is used as the source of sulfur dioxide, and the S(vi) linchpin makes the transformation possible without the assistance of oxidants. Although this transformation is not workable for primary amines or anilines, the results show high functional group tolerance and good selectivity. A clear reaction process is described, in which the *in situ* generated sulfamoyl chloride undergoes a palladium-catalyzed Suzuki–Miyaura reaction with boronic acids, giving rise to the corresponding sulfonamide products. Additionally, the desulfonation problem is surmounted during the reaction process. With a boronic acid synthesized from estrone and an antihistamine drug, desloratadine, used as the substrate, the target products are achieved in moderate to good yields, showing potential possibilities for synthetic applications in organic chemistry and medicinal chemistry.





Scheme 3 Synthesis of sulfonamides via a palladium-catalyzed Suzuki-Miyaura coupling. Isolated yields.



Scheme 4 Gram-scale synthesis and late-stage functionalization.

Author contributions

X. Wang and J. Wu conceived the study. X. Wang, M. Yang and S. Ye conducted the experiments and analyzed the data. J. Wu and Y. Kuang directed the project. X. Wang and J. Wu prepared the manuscript and supplemental information with input from

all authors. All authors discussed the results and commented on the manuscript.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

Financial support from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 21871053), the Leading Innovative and Entrepreneur Team Introduction Program of Zhejiang (No. 2019R01005) and the Open Research Fund of School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Henan Normal University (2020ZD04), is gratefully acknowledged.

Notes and references

- 1 N. Miyaura, K. Yamada and A. Suzuki, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1979, **20**, 3437–3440.



- 2 For reviews: (a) N. Miyaura and A. Suzuki, *Chem. Rev.*, 1995, **95**, 2457–2483; (b) A. Suzuki, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 1999, **576**, 147–168; (c) N. T. S. Phan, M. V. D. Sluys and C. W. Jones, *Adv. Synth. Catal.*, 2006, **348**, 609–679; (d) A. Suzuki, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2011, **50**, 6722–6737; (e) C. C. C. J. Seechurn, M. O. Kitching, T. J. Colacot and V. Snieckus, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2012, **51**, 5062–5085; (f) A. Biffis, P. Centomo, A. D. Zotto and M. Zecca, *Chem. Rev.*, 2018, **118**, 2249–2295.
- 3 (a) V. V. Bykov, D. N. Korolev and N. A. Bumagin, *Russ. Chem. Bull.*, 1997, **46**, 1631–1632; (b) N. A. Bumagin and D. N. Korolev, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1999, **40**, 3057–3060; (c) Y. Urawa and K. Ogura, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2003, **44**, 271–273; (d) V. Polácková, Š. Toma and I. Augustínová, *Tetrahedron*, 2006, **62**, 11675–11678.
- 4 (a) R. Kakino, S. Yasumi, I. Shimizu and A. Yamamoto, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.*, 2002, **75**, 137–148; (b) B. Xin, Y. Zhang and K. Cheng, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2006, **71**, 5725–5731.
- 5 (a) S. Darses, T. Jeffery, J.-P. Genet, J.-L. Brayer and J.-P. Demoute, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1996, **37**, 3857–3860; (b) R. H. Taylor and F.-X. Felpin, *Org. Lett.*, 2007, **9**, 2911–2914; (c) F.-X. Felpin, E. Fouquet and C. Zakri, *Adv. Synth. Catal.*, 2009, **351**, 649–655.
- 6 (a) B. P. Bandgar, S. V. Bettigeri and J. Phopase, *Org. Lett.*, 2004, **6**, 2105–2108; (b) F. Hu and X. Lei, *ChemCatChem*, 2015, **7**, 1539–1542.
- 7 (a) M. Lysén, S. Kelleher, M. Begtrup and J. L. Kristensen, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2005, **70**, 5342–5343; (b) Y.-Z. Duan and M.-Z. Deng, *Synlett*, 2005, **2**, 355–357; (c) Y. Yasui, S. Tsuchida, H. Miyabe and Y. Takemoto, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2007, **72**, 5898–5900.
- 8 For selected reviews, see: (a) P. Bisseret and N. Blanchard, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2013, **11**, 5393–5398; (b) A. S. Deeming, E. J. Emmett, C. S. Richards-Taylor and M. C. Willis, *Synthesis*, 2014, **46**, 2701–2710; (c) G. Liu, C. Fan and J. Wu, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2015, **13**, 1592–1599; (d) D. Zheng and J. Wu, *Sulfur Dioxide Insertion Reactions for Organic Synthesis*, Nature Springer, Berlin, 2017; (e) G. Qiu, K. Zhou, L. Gao and J. Wu, *Org. Chem. Front.*, 2018, **5**, 691–705; (f) K. Hofman, N.-W. Liu and G. Manolikakes, *Chem.–Eur. J.*, 2018, **24**, 11852–11863; (g) G. Qiu, L. Lai, J. Cheng and J. Wu, *Chem. Commun.*, 2018, **54**, 10405–10414; (h) G. Qiu, K. Zhou and J. Wu, *Chem. Commun.*, 2018, **54**, 12561–12569; (i) S. Ye, G. Qiu and J. Wu, *Chem. Commun.*, 2019, **55**, 1013–1019; (j) S. Ye, M. Yang and J. Wu, *Chem. Commun.*, 2020, **56**, 4145–4155; (k) D. Zeng, M. Wang, W.-P. Deng and X. Jiang, *Org. Chem. Front.*, 2020, **7**, 3956–3966.
- 9 (a) P. S. Santos and M. T. S. Mello, *J. Mol. Struct.*, 1988, **178**, 121–133; (b) B. Nguyen, E. J. Emmett and M. C. Willis, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2010, **132**, 16372–16373.
- 10 S. Ye and J. Wu, *Chem. Commun.*, 2012, **48**, 10037–10039.
- 11 S. Ye and J. Wu, *Chem. Commun.*, 2012, **48**, 7753–7755.
- 12 Y. Chen, P. R. D. Murray, A. T. Davies and M. C. Willis, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2018, **140**, 8781–8787.
- 13 (a) X. Wang, M. Yang, Y. Kuang, J.-B. Liu, X. Fan and J. Wu, *Chem. Commun.*, 2020, **56**, 3437–3440; (b) K. Chen, W. Chen, B. Han, W. Chen, M. Liu and H. Wu, *Org. Lett.*, 2020, **22**, 1841–1845; (c) Y. Yao, Z. Yin, F.-S. He, X. Qin, W. Xie and J. Wu, *Chem. Commun.*, 2021, **57**, 2883–2886.
- 14 F. Zhang, D. Zheng, L. Lai, J. Cheng, J. Sun and J. Wu, *Org. Lett.*, 2018, **20**, 1167–1170.
- 15 S. M. Hell, C. F. Meyer, G. Laudadio, A. Misale, M. C. Willis, T. Noël, A. A. Trabanco and V. Gouverneur, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2020, **142**, 720–725.

