Chemical Science

EDGE ARTICLE

Check for updates

Cite this: Chem. Sci., 2021, 12, 3516

All publication charges for this article have been paid for by the Royal Society of Chemistry

Received 3rd December 2020 Accepted 15th January 2021

DOI: 10.1039/d0sc06619b

rsc.li/chemical-science

1 Introduction

Molecular perovskites, perovskites of composition AMX₃ where at least one of A, M or X is molecular, have additional degrees of freedom which can produce orderings impossible in atomic perovskites.¹ These new orderings provide novel routes for materials to respond to external stimuli. One area of particular interest is using the molecular components to create electrical polarisation, without the need for the second-order Jahn–Teller distortions or stereoactive lone pairs that drive piezo- and ferroelectricity in atomic perovskites, *e.g.* BaTiO₃ or Pb(Zr, Ti) $O_{3.^2}$ Molecular perovskites now possess polarisations and transition temperatures which approach those of inorganic perovskites.³ However, their polarity is typically produced by the orientational order of polar A-site cations.⁴ The functionality often bestowed by the MX₃ framework, *e.g.* ferromagnetism or

Controlling multiple orderings in metal thiocyanate molecular perovskites A_x{Ni[Bi(SCN)₆]}[†]‡

Jie Yie Lee,^a Sanliang Ling, ^b Stephen P. Argent, ^a Mark S. Senn, ^c Laura Cañadillas-Delgado^d and Matthew J. Cliffe ^{*}

We report four new A-site vacancy ordered thiocyanate double double perovskites, $A_{1-x}\left\{Ni[Bi(SCN)_6]_{1-\frac{x}{3}}\right\}$, $A = K^+$, NH_4^+ , $CH_3(NH_3)^+$ (MeNH₃⁺) and $C(NH_2)_3^+$ (Gua⁺), including the first

examples of thiocyanate perovskites containing organic A-site cations. We show, using a combination of X-ray and neutron diffraction, that the structure of these frameworks depends on the A-site cation, and that these frameworks possess complex vacancy-ordering patterns and cooperative octahedral tilts distinctly different from atomic perovskites. Density functional theory calculations uncover the energetic origin of these complex orders and allow us to propose a simple rule to predict favoured A-site cation orderings for a given tilt sequence. We use these insights, in combination with symmetry mode analyses, to show that these complex orders suggest a new route to non-centrosymmetric perovskites, and mean this family of materials could contain excellent candidates for piezo- and ferroelectric applications.

ferroelasticity, therefore usually couples weakly to the polarisation, limiting the scope for multiferroicity.

Generating polarisation *via* collective distortions of MX₃ framework is difficult as the conventional cooperative tilts of the MX₆ octahedra are intrinsically non-polar. However, by combining octahedral tilts with other symmetry-breaking orders, such as A-site or M-site occupational order, we can generate polar structures: the so-called hybrid improper ferro-electrics.⁵ Furthermore, recent work has shown that the unusual framework distortions possible in molecular perovskites, such as unconventional tilts and columnar shifts, while non-polar, offer new routes to polarity.¹ Creating materials capable of sustaining new ordering types and sustaining multiple simultaneous orders is therefore a powerful method for generating novel function.⁶

Gaining control over these orders, both individually and separately, remains one of the challenges of solid-state chemistry. One key guiding parameter is the tolerance factor $r_A + r_X$

 $\tau = \frac{r_{\rm A} + r_{\rm X}}{\sqrt{2}(r_{\rm M} + r_{\rm X})}$ where $r_{\rm A}$ is the radius of the A cation, $r_{\rm M}$ is the

radius of the M cation and r_x is the radius of the X anion, which quantifies our intuition that the A-site cation has to fit well into the MX₃ cage. It indicates whether AMX₃ is likely to be a perovskite, rather than ($\tau > 1$) 1D or 2D structure types (*e.g.* hexagonal 'perovskite') or ($\tau < 0.8$) other, dense non-perovskite structure types (*e.g.* ilmenite). Although originally developed for atomic perovskites, the tolerance factor approach can rationalise the structures of a wide-range of molecular perovskites, including formates and alkylammonium metal halides,^{8,9} and its fundamental geometric insight has been generalised to other

View Article Online

View Journal | View Issue

[&]quot;School of Chemistry, University of Nottingham, University Park, Nottingham, NG7 2RD, UK. E-mail: matthew.cliffe@nottingham.ac.uk

^bAdvanced Materials Research Group, Faculty of Engineering, University of Nottingham, University Park, Nottingham NG7 2RD, UK

^cDepartment of Chemistry, University of Warwick, Gibbet Hill, Coventry CV4 7AL, UK ^dInstitut Laue Langevin, 71 Avenue des Martyrs – CS 20156, 38042 Grenoble, France † ILL raw data available at DOI: 10.5291/ILL-DATA.5-12-344

[‡] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Complete synthetic methods; details of DFT calculations; details of single crystal diffraction measurements; tolerance factor calculations; powder X-ray diffraction analysis; symmetry analysis details. CCDC 2045258, 2045259, 2045274–2045278. For ESI and crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: 10.1039/d0sc06619b

systems.^{10,11} τ is also linked to the size of the octahedral tilts, as smaller τ tends to require large tilts to retain a dense structure. However, creating new function requires controlling the relative sense of the tilts, *i.e.* whether each layer of octahedral tilts rotates in same sense as the next (a^+ in the Glazer notation¹²), or opposite sense (a^-), not just their magnitude. This remains challenging to predict for new perovskites.¹³

 τ can be readily tuned by creating solid solutions of cations (or cations and vacancies) on the A or M site, as the entropy of mixing stabilises these phases at the high synthesis temperatures used. Conversely, this means that cation-ordering is uncommon, particularly on the A-site.14 A-site order is most often stabilised by large size differences between A-site cations, especially the extremal size difference between a vacancy and a cation, and therefore typically produces layered order which minimises the local strain, *e.g.* $\Box_{\frac{3}{2}}Ln_{\frac{1}{2}}NbO_3$,¹⁵ $\Box_{\frac{3}{2}}Ln_{\frac{1}{2}}TaO_3$ (ref. 16) and $\Box_{\frac{1}{2}} Ln_{\frac{2}{3}} TiO_3$ (ref. 17) (\Box = vacancy, Ln = lanthanide). Msite order is stabilised by large charge differences, which favours rocksalt order (the 'double perovskite' structure) for electrostatic reasons.14 Simultaneous control of these A-site and M-site occupational orders to make so called 'double double' perovskites requires therefore specific chemical compositions, but can produce new function e.g. polarity in NaLaMnWO₆.¹⁸

Rarer A-site occupational orders are typically stabilised by coupling the A-site order to octahedral tilt distortions of the MX₃ framework. Notably, CaFeTi₂O₆ has the unusual $a^+a^+c^-$ tilt sequence which facilitates columnar A-site order¹⁹ and the $a^+a^+a^+$ tilt sequence found in CaCu₃Ti₄O₁₂ stabilises 3:1 Cu₃Au-type A-site order.²⁰ These challenges mean the synthesis of double double perovskites often requires specialist conditions such as high pressure.^{14,21}

Molecular perovskites are fertile ground for the exploration of multiple simultaneous orders because of their chemical diversity, low temperature syntheses, and the toolbox of crystal engineering (*e.g.* H-bonding).²² We focus in this paper on the family of perovskite-like materials derived from thiocyanate, A_x {M [M'(SCN)₆]}, of particular interest for their catalytic and optical function.^{7,23-26} These NCS-perovskites have complete M-site order, due to the difference between N- and S-termini of the ligand, and have large tilts due to the frontier molecular orbitals of the NCS⁻ ligand.⁷ The robustness of these distortions means NCS-perovskites are an ideal platform for exploring complex orderings.

Like the related cyanide Prussian blue analogues, NCSperovskites are stable in the 'empty perovskite' ReO₃ structure.²⁷ Indeed, there are only two reported NCS-perovskites containing Asite cations: Cs{Cd(NCS)₃} (ref. 24) and the double perovskite (NH₄)₂{Ni[Cd(SCN)₆]}.²³ Calculation of τ , using empirical cation sizes for molecular ions,⁸ suggests that organic A-site cations will likely be too large for A{M(NCS)₃} perovskites [Fig. 1 and ESI Section 3‡]. Indeed, for the well studied A⁺{Cd(NCS)₃} composition, although changing A results in a wide variety of structures, including ferroelastics and non-linear optical materials,²⁸⁻³² only Cs{Cd(NCS₃)} adopts the perovskite structure. Introducing A-site vacancies allows us to reduce τ and thereby stabilise NCSperovskites containing larger organic cations, increasing the range of available orderings.



Fig. 1 (a) Crystal structure of Fe[Bi(SCN)₆] viewed along the [110] direction.⁷ (b) Extended tolerance factor plot for $A_xM(NCS)_3$ structures including known and target phases, with the M site cations shown in square brackets. The lower limit of $\tau = 0.8$ is found not to hold for NCS-perovskites [ESI Section 3⁺].

In this paper, we report the synthesis and structuredetermination of a series of A-site vacancy-ordered double

perovskites
$$A_{1-x}\left\{ Ni^{2+}[Bi(SCN)_6]_{1-} \frac{x}{3} \right\}$$
, $A = K^+$, NH_4^+ , $MeNH_3^+$

and $C(NH_2)_3^+$ (Gua⁺). We thus show that larger A-site cations can be incorporated into NCS-perovskites. We demonstrate that the identity of A-site cation plays a critical role in the structure of thiocyanate perovskites, and that new and unusual combinations of A-site order, M-site order and octahedral tilt patterns can be readily achieved in these materials. In particular, we show using a combination of X-ray and neutron diffraction and density functional theory (DFT) calculations that the A-site cation order and octahedral tilts are strongly coupled. Inspired by these structures, we use symmetry analysis and DFT calculations to suggest the combination of complex orders found in thiocyanate perovskites could be used to produce cooperative properties such as piezoelectricity.

2 Results

2.1 (NH₄){Ni[Bi(SCN)₆]}, 1, and K{Ni[Bi(SCN)₆]}, 2

We were able to grow large single crystals of phase **1** and **2** by slow evaporation of butanone solutions of the desired stoichiometry. Solution of the structure using single crystal X-ray diffraction (SCXD) showed that the phases are isostructural, as is often found for K⁺ and NH_4^+ compounds [Fig. 2]. They consist of octahedrally coordinated NiN₆ and BiS₆ cation polyhedra connected by NCS⁻ into a 3D Ni[Bi(SCN)₆]⁻ framework with ReO₃ topology, just as in the parent M[Bi(SCN)₆], M = Sc, Cr, Fe phases. K⁺/NH₄⁺ cations are present in half of the pseudocubic Ni₄Bi₄(NCS)₁₂ cages.

This structure is a $(\sqrt{2} \times \sqrt{2} \times 2)$ supercell of the hypothetical primitive cubic $Pm\bar{3}m$ aristotype AM(NCS)₃, and its structure derives from this $Pm\bar{3}m$ structure (using the setting with the A-site at the origin) through four symmetry-lowering distortions. The M-site cations have rocksalt order and this order transforms as the R_2^- irreducible representation



Fig. 2 (a) Neutron single crystal structure of 1 at 20 K and (b) X-ray single crystal structure of 2 at 180 K. Anisotropic atomic displacement factors shown as ellipsoids. (c-f) Single pseudocubic cages and guest (where present) for (c) Fe[Bi(SCN)₆],⁷ (d) 1 NH₄(Ni[Bi(SCN)₆]), (e) 2 K{Ni [Bi(SCN)₆]} and (f) (NH₄)₂{Ni[Cd(SCN)₆]}.²³ H-bonds are indicated by dashed red lines, and close contacts by dashed black lines.

(irrep).^{33,34} The A-site cations have columnar order (transforming as the M_1^+ irrep), and there is no evidence of sitemixing from single-crystal diffraction. The presence of simultaneous A- and M-site occupational order means that **1** and **2** are double double vacancy-ordered perovskites, $\Box A{Ni[Bi(SCN)_6]}$. **1** and **2** possess the common $a^-a^-c^+$ (Glazer) or GdFeO₃ octahedral tilt sequence (which transforms as a combination of the $R_5^- \oplus M_2^+$ irreps),¹² which is the same as the parent M[Bi(SCN)_6] phases and the related M-site defect-ordered NCS-frameworks

$$\left[M[Bi(SCN)_{6}]_{2+\frac{x}{3}} \right]^{x-1} \{guest\}^{x+1} (M = Mn, Co, Ni, Zn)^{-7,25}$$

The combination of octahedral tilting and rocksalt M-site order leaves all pseudocubic Ni₄Bi₄(SCN)₁₂ cages still equivalent by symmetry, meaning that the A-site cation ordering may not be viewed being drive by these three distortions alone. The A-site cation ordering therefore lowers the space-group symmetry further, from $P2_1/n$ to $P\overline{1}$, and in addition produces a large shear strain compared to the M[Bi(SCN)₆] structures ($\alpha \approx 97^{\circ} \nu s. \alpha = 90^{\circ}$).

SCXD refinement allowed us to tentatively locate the positions of the H atoms and demonstrate that the orientation of the NH_4^+ cation in **1** is ordered. Single crystal neutron diffraction (SCND) measurements on a large single crystal (16 mm³) at 20 K, carried out using instrument D19 at the ILL, allowed accurate determination of the H atom positions and its anisotropic atomic displacement parameters, which were consistent with those observed *via* SCXD. Variable temperature unit cell measurements between 20 K and 260 K and an additional full collection at 260 K found no evidence of any structural phase transitions in this range. Refinement of the 260 K dataset confirmed the presence of NH_4^+ orientational order throughout this temperature range. The ordering of the NH_4^+ cation does not lower the symmetry of **1** beyond the symmetry of compound **2**. We further investigated the energetic driving force for the observed A-site order using DFT calculations of $K{Ni[Bi(SCN)_6]}$. We carried out geometry optimisations of supercells containing the seven simplest A-site cation orders: rocksalt, layered (with layer normals along the *a*, *b* and *c* directions) and columnar (with columns running along the *a*, *b* and *c* directions), generated from supercells of the Fe[Bi(SCN)_6] structure [ESI Section 4[‡]]. The lowest energy structure was the observed columnar [001] order [Table 1], which also had significantly more anisotropic strain than all other orderings [ESI Table 4[‡]]. The stability of each cation order thus depends on the how easily the parent framework can deform to accommodate a given order.

2.2 (MeNH₃){Ni[Bi(SCN)₆]}, 3

We obtained single crystals of $MeNH_3{Ni[Bi(SCN)_6]}$, 3, using a route analogous to that used for 1 and 2. SCXD studies of MeNH₃{Ni[Bi(SCN)₆]} revealed that it also crystallises as a double double vacancy-ordered perovskite which, like 1 and 2, has a structure derived from an ReO3-type parent by introducing cations into half of the pseudocubic cages [Fig. 3]. However, 3 has a more complex structure than 1 and 2 and its unit cell is a (2 \times 6 \times 4) monoclinic *P*2/*n* supercell of the *Pm*3*m* aristotype (*i.e.* is 12 times larger than the structures 1 and 2), due to an unusual ordering of the MeNH₃⁺ cations and complex octahedral tilting. The complexity of the order, together with the high metric pseudosymmetry $\left(\frac{c}{3} = 1.004a, \beta = 90.2^{\circ}\right)$, means that 3 has a high propensity for twinning. We found that twinning is common around both the [001] and [110] lattice directions, which made structure solution and refinement challenging. Using SCXD data collected on a small crystal ($65 \times 55 \times 22 \mu m$) which only had a minor twin component (<20%, 180° twin around the [001] direction), we were able to produce a stable refinement for the structure.

We were not able to locate the hydrogen atoms on the $MeNH_3^+$ cation and our assignment of the polarity of $MeNH_3^+$ cation, *i.e.* which atom was carbon and which nitrogen, was thus tentative. We therefore carried out a series of SCND studies on large ($\approx 1 \text{ mm}^3$) single crystals using instrument D19 at the ILL. These measurements did not allow us to definitively answer these questions because we were unable to obtain an untwinned crystal of sufficient size, but did confirm both the space group symmetry and broad structural features observed *via* SCXD.

Table 1 DFT-derived energy per formula unit for different K⁺ orderings in K{Ni[Bi(SCN)₆]}

A-site order	$\Delta E (\text{kJ mol}^{-1} \text{ per f.u.})$	
Rocksalt	5.4	
Columnar [001]	1.2	
Columnar [010]	12.8	
Columnar [100]	12.8	
Layered (001)	9.4	
Layered (010)	8.6	
Layered (100)	8.7	
Expt.	0.0	
-		

Edge Article



Fig. 3 X-ray single crystal structure of 3 at 120 K viewed along the (a) *a* and (b) *c* directions. (c) Block A-site cation order in 3 highlighted by blue rectangles. (d) Guest–framework H-bonding in 3 highlighted by red lines.

Synchrotron X-ray diffraction data measured on a room temperature polycrystalline powder sample of 3 carried out at beamline I11 at Diamond Light Source could be indexed completely by the P2/n (2 × 6 × 4) supercell, with no peaks unaccounted for. Rietveld refinement using the model derived from SCXD data gave quantitative agreement [ESI Section 2.3;[‡] Fig. 3 and 4]. Notably, excluding the MeNH₃⁺ cations from the model significantly degraded the quality of fit (R_{wp} increased from 2.97 to 3.59).

The MeNH₃⁺ cations are present in blocks of $(1 \times 2 \times 3)$ pseudocubic cages surrounded by cages containing vacancies [Fig. 3(c)], with complete occupational order. Half of the MeNH₃⁺ had disordered orientations, however, with one third disordered about high symmetry positions and one sixth showing disorder unrelated to the crystal symmetry. Additionally, eight symmetry independent NCS⁻ ions were disordered over two sites. The atomic displacement parameters for the MeNH₃⁺ cations were significantly larger than that for the framework atoms, indicative of either dynamic or static disorder.



Fig. 4 Synchrotron X-ray single crystal structure of 4 at 100 K. (a) Full structure with atomic displacement parameters and metal polyhedra shown. Each Gua^+ orientation is shown in a different colour. (b) A single pseudocubic cage with one of the four Gua^+ orientations and H-bonding to the framework is indicated by dashed red lines.

We therefore carried out DFT geometry optimisations to understand the energy scales of the disorder in this system. We created an ordered model of the structure with P1 symmetry derived from our diffraction model and geometry optimised it to confirm its stability. Next, we systematically swapped the carbon and nitrogen atoms of each the eight symmetry independent MeNH₃⁺ cations, one cation at a time, and geometry optimised each of the eight resultant structures. Our diffraction-derived model had the lowest energy of the nine configurations explored. The energy penalty for flipping the MeNH₃⁺ varied significantly, from $\Delta E_{\rm CN} = 10.4$ kJ mol⁻¹ up to $\Delta E_{\rm CN} = 22.7$ kJ mol⁻¹ [ESI Table 5[‡]]. The size of the energy penalty correlated with the degree of crystallographic disorder: the three well-ordered cations (MA-3, MA-5 & MA-8, numbering corresponding to the CIF file) had three highest $\Delta E_{\rm CN}$, averaging $\Delta E_{\rm CN} =$ 19.5 kJ mol⁻¹, whereas the five disordered cations averaged $\Delta E_{\rm CN} = 12.9$ kJ mol⁻¹. The energetic driving force for A-site vacancy/cation order was an order of magnitude larger, with the energy for displacing an MeNH₃⁺ cation to an adjacent cage being $\Delta E_{A\Box} = 102 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$. This displacement also perturbed the octahedral tilt pattern, as one thiocyanate ligand was moved out of the cage to accommodate the $MeNH_3^+$ cation.

We used ISODISTORT³⁵ to carry out symmetry mode analysis of **3**. We first investigated the Ni[Bi(SCN)₆]⁻ framework and found that the distortion of the structure from the hypothetical parent $Pm\bar{3}m$ structure (from the rocksalt M-site ordered $Fm\bar{3}m$ structure) could be described well by six symmetry-adapted distortion modes in addition to rocksalt M-site order, one of which describes the global contraction of the structure Γ_1^+ (Γ_1^+), and four which describe cooperative rigid octahedral rotations: M_2^+ (X_3^+), $\left[\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{2}\right]T_2\left(\left[0, \frac{2}{3}, 0\right]\Delta_4\right), \left[\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right]T_2\left(\left[0, 0, \frac{1}{2}\right]\Delta_4\right)$ and R_5^- (Γ_4^+) [Table 2].

The two T_2 modes are notable as they are not zone corner Brillouin modes, and correspond to complex, but conventional, octahedral tilts. All conventional tilting modes will produce a doubling of the unit cell in the tilt plane (as the rotations of adjacent octahedra within the plane have opposite senses), but adjacent layers need not tilt with the same sense. The two highest symmetry octahedral tilting modes are: all layers being in phase, a^+ in the Glazer tilt notation¹² and [C] in the notation of Peel *et al.*³⁶ which transforms as a M₂⁺ distortion mode, and each layer alternating in its sense rotation, a⁻, [CA] and R₅⁻. In 3, the tilts normal to the *b* and *c* axes repeat after six and four layers (respectively) and are therefore complex. In total, the tilt sequence for this perovskite is [C][CCCAAA][CCAA] (Peel), or $a^+b^{+-++-}c^{+-+-}$ (extended Glazer), where both notations are shown for clarity.

These complex tilts observed along the *b* and *c* directions are a form of nanoscale 'tilt-twinning': sequences of the same tilt sequence interrupted by a tilt of the opposite kind. Symmetry analysis showed that the combined presence of the M_2^+ , $\left[\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{2}\right]T_2$ and $\left[\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right]T_2$ tilting modes together with rocksalt M-site order (R_2^-) was sufficient to produce the observed P2/n ($2 \times 6 \times 4$) structure. These modes are therefore likely the primary order parameters, with the R_5^- (Γ_4^+) mode being a secondary order parameter. The A-site order can only be described by secondary order parameters arising from all three tilts, with any pairwise combination being insufficient, which suggests that it is the final distribution of anions ordering produced by the complete octahedral tilt pattern which is responsible for the observed ordering.

2.3 $\square_9 \text{Gua}_3 \{ \text{Ni}_6[\text{Bi}(\text{SCN})_6]_5 \}, 4$

Slow evaporation of a butanone solution containing Gua(SCN), Ni²⁺ and Bi(SCN)₆³⁻ in a 6:1:1 ratio yielded large single crystals of compound 4. We were again able to determine its structure using SCXD, which revealed that it was also a vacancy ordered double perovskite, in space group $Pn\bar{3}$, a $(2 \times 2 \times 2)$ supercell of the $Pm\bar{3}m$ aristotype [Fig. 4]. However, 4 contains only half the number Gua⁺ cations anticipated and refinement of the occupancies showed that this structure contained a significant fraction of M-site vacancies $(\frac{1}{6})$, refined formula Gua_{0.5} Ni[Bi_{0.900(4)}(S_{0.860(6)})N_{0.841(12)}N)₆], corresponding to \Box_{9^-} Gua₃Ni₆[Bi(SCN)₆]₅. This reduced A-site occupancy and the presence of vacancies also accords with the lower volume of compound 4 compared to 3, despite 4 containing a significantly larger A-site cation [Table 3].

The observed space group of $Pn\bar{3}$ is that expected for the $a^+a^+a^+$ tilt sequence,³⁷ and indeed analysis using ISODISTORT confirmed this tilt sequence is adopted by compound **4**. This tilt sequence is well known for other perovskites with 1 : 3 A-site cation ratio.¹⁴ In addition each Gua⁺ cation is disordered over four positions. Our single crystal diffraction measurements are consistent with both static and dynamic disorder, but the absence of any A-site order at 120 K, well-below typical ordering temperatures for Gua⁺ containing molecular perovskites,^{38,39} suggests that this disorder is static.

Synchrotron single crystal X-ray diffraction measurements showed the presence of weak structured diffuse scattering, consisting of rods lying along $\langle 100 \rangle^*$ type directions [ESI Fig. 2‡]. The intensity of the diffuse scattering decayed with increasing scattering vector, *Q*, implying that the diffuse scattering is produced primarily by correlated substitutional disorder, most likely vacancy ordering, rather than displacive disorder. The asymmetric distribution of intensity around each Bragg peak additionally suggests that the structure relaxes around these vacancies.⁴⁰ Future analysis will focus on gaining quantitative understanding of vacancy order.

2.4 Gua(SCN) and hydrogen bonding

Thiocyanate is a hydrogen bond (H-bond) acceptor, but there are comparatively few studies of its hydrogen bonding propensity.41 To benchmark the hydrogen bonding between the A-site cations and the NCS⁻ in these materials we therefore examined a hydrogen bond rich material, Gua(SCN).42 We redetermined the structure using a crystal present in commercially supplied GuaSCN. Gua(SCN) crystallises in space group $P\bar{1}$, with Z' = 2. Its structure arises largely from the need to optimise its H-bonding, as it comprises H-bonded layers in the bc plane slip-stacked along the a direction [Fig. 5]. These layers consist of a honeycomb lattice of NCS⁻ ions, which lie approximately normal to the layer, and a bilayer of Gua⁺ cations positioned at the centre of the honeycomb voids and which form a triangular lattice. Half of the NCS⁻ ions point up and half down, and this up-down pattern is stripe-ordered along the b* direction.

Each Gua⁺ cation forms charge-assisted bifurcated hydrogen bonds to three NCS⁻ ions: one to an N-terminus and two to an Sterminus. Likewise, the NCS⁻ forms hydrogen bonds to three Gua⁺ cations, one through its N-terminus and two through its Sterminus. These hydrogen bonds also cause the NCS⁻ ions to

Irrep. ³⁴ from $Pm\bar{3}m$ ($Fm\bar{3}m$)	k	Glazer tilt ¹²	Peel tilt ³⁶	
$M_{2}^{+}(X_{3}^{+})$	$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \end{bmatrix}^*$	<i>a</i> ⁺ 00	С	
$T_{2}\left(\Delta_{4} ight)$	$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 \\ 1 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix}^*$	0 <i>b</i> ⁺⁺⁻⁺⁺⁻ 0	CCCAAA	
$T_{2}(\Delta_{4})$	$\begin{bmatrix} 4 & 2 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$ $\begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{6} & \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix}^*$	00 <i>c</i> ⁺⁻⁺⁻	CCAA	
${\rm R_5}^-\left({\Gamma_4}^+\right)$	$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}^{*} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix}^{*}$	$0b^{-}0$	CA	

1 . MA NULL (NUTD:/CONI) 1)12 74 76

Table 3Pseudocubic averaged lattice parameters and unit cellvolume in the $Pm\bar{3}m$ aristotype (*i.e.* the volume of one pseudocubiccage) for compounds 1-4 and the empty A-site Fe[Bi(SCN)₆] analogue,demonstrating how unit cell per volume changes with A site cation

A-site	$a_{Pm\bar{3}m}$ (Å)	$V_{Pm\bar{3}m}$ (Å ³)	<i>T</i> (K)
□ (Fe[Bi(SCN) ₆])	5.9865(2)	214.518(5)	180
K ⁺	6.001(2)	216.12(2)	180
NH_4^+	6.011(2)	217.24(2)	120
MeNH ₃ ⁺	6.1263(3)	229.935(19)	120
Gua ⁺	6.06264(3)	222.8361(13)	100



Fig. 5 A H-bonded layer of Gua(SCN) viewed along the a^* direction. H-bonds indicated by dashed red lines.

tilt away from the *a** direction along the *c* direction towards the Gua⁺ cations. The average distances from H-bond donor hydrogen to acceptor atom are $d_{\text{H}\cdots\text{N}} = 2.30(5)$ Å and $d_{\text{H}\cdots\text{S}} = 2.71(8)$ Å (standard deviation in parentheses); and the average distances from H-bond donor nitrogen to acceptor atom are $d_{\text{NH}\cdots\text{N}} = 3.07(4)$ Å and $d_{\text{NH}\cdots\text{S}} = 3.50(6)$ Å. These are consistent with previous investigations of H-bonding in thiocyanate compounds.⁴¹

These distances, together with a search of short contacts present in the Cambridge Structural Database, guided our investigation into the presence of H-bonding in **1**, **3** and **4**. We searched for all close contacts from the donor nitrogen to NCS ($d_{\text{NH}\cdots\text{N}} < 3.2 \text{ Å}$, $d_{\text{NH}\cdots\text{S}} < 3.6 \text{ Å}$), as donor hydrogen atoms were only accurately located in **1**. We found that strong hydrogen bonds are present for each compound, and are likely to be structure-directing.

There are a number of close contacts between the NH₄⁺ cation and NCS⁻ anions in compound **1**, corresponding to a bifurcated NH···N···HN H-bond and a NH···S H-bond [Fig. 2(d)]. **3** contains NH···N close contacts between five symmetry independent MeNH₃⁺ cations and NCS⁻ ligands (MA-1, MA-3, MA-5, MA-7 & MA-8, numbering as in CIF file) and NH···S close contact between six MeNH₃⁺ cations and NCS⁻ (MA-1, MA-3, MA-4, MA-5, MA-7, MA-8) [Fig. 3(c)]. These include the three crystallographically well ordered MeNH₃⁺ cations (MA1, MA3, MA8) suggesting H-bonding plays a key role in holding the A-site cations in place. In compound **4** each Gua⁺ N atom donates 1 H-bond to an NCS⁻ N acceptor ($d_{NH···N} = 3.101$ Å) [Fig. 4(b)]. As each cage contains four distinct orientations of the Gua⁺ cation, and is surrounded by twelve NCS⁻ ligands, this means one quarter of all NCS⁻ will be H-bond acceptors.

3 Discussion

3.1 Orientational order of A-site cations

Compounds 3 and 4 are the first NCS-perovskites containing organic A-site cations, adding to the existing NCS-perovskites containing inorganic A-site cations, $Cs\{Cd(NCS)_3\}^{24}$ and $(NH_4)_2\{Ni[Cd(SCN)_6]\},^{23}$ and those containing metal coordination-complexes on vacancy sites, $Mn_2Bi(SCN)_7 \cdot 7H_2O$ and $Co_9Bi_6(SCN)_{36}(H_2O)_{38}$.²⁵ Perovskites containing organic A-

sites cations are of particular interest as these organic cations can possess intrinsic electric dipoles (*e.g.* $MeNH_3^+$) and quadrupoles (*e.g.* Gua^+). The orientational order of organic A-site cations can thus generate electrical polarisation, either directly through ferrodipolar order, as in the formate perovskites,⁴ or indirectly through coupling of ferroquadrupolar order to other order parameters, for example (Gua) $\{Cu(HCO_2)_3\}$,^{1,38}

We did not find polar orientational order in these new perovskites, and complete orientational order was only present in 1, as 3 shows partial disorder and 4 complete disorder. Our variable temperature diffraction studies found no evidence of any phase transitions below 260 K, implying that the observed A-site disorder is static, which for compound 4 is likely related to the presence of M-site vacancies. Our DFT calculations suggest that orientational order in 3 is moderately favourable as $\Delta E_{\rm CN,av} = 16 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ (0.17 eV \approx 6 kT at room temperature). Careful structural examination revealed that hydrogen bonding is an important factor in the structures of these materials, as in other molecular perovskites,⁴³ and indeed, $\Delta E_{CN,av}$ is comparable to the H-bonding energies found in formate perovskites.22 This suggests that temperature-induced phase transitions might be uncovered with careful comprehensive variable temperature structural and calorimetric studies, as in (NH₄)₂{Ni [Cd(SCN)₆]}, which undergoes an order-disorder transition associated with the NH₄⁺ cation at around 120 K.²³ Optimisation of the orientational order of A-site cations towards ferroic order might be possible through crystal-engineering, by tuning the hydrogen-bonding or introducing halogen-bonding moieties,44 and by deepening our understanding of the role of framework entropy in NCS-perovskites.45

3.2 Coupling between A-site occupational order and octahedral tilting

In atomic perovskites, the tolerance factor has been successfully used not only to suggest whether a composition will be a perovskite, but also to provide a first indication of the magnitude of octahedral tilts, *e.g.* CaTiO₃ adopts the distorted $a^-a^-c^+$ tilt system at room temperature, whereas SrTiO₃ is cubic with no tilts. Although the tolerance factor approach explains which molecular AMX₃ frameworks are likely to crystallise with a perovskite structure,^{8,9} it does not account for either the magnitudes or kinds of framework distortions observed.^{46,47} For example, in the series of formate perovskites A{Mn(HCO₂)₃} where A⁺ = Rb^{+,48} CH₃NH₃,⁴⁹ (CH₃)₂NH₂ (ref. 50) and (CH₂)₃NH⁵¹ (arranged in increasing size of A⁺/increasing τ), there is no systematic trend in the size or pattern of the octahedral tilting, respectively: $a^-a^-c^-$, $a^-a^-c^+$, $a^-a^-c^-$ and $a^-a^-c^+$.

The scarcity of NCS-perovskites has thus far prevented investigation of the relationship between cation size and tilts. We find, contrary to simple geometric arguments, that the average size of the NiN₆ octahedral tilt (measured by the \angle N–Ni–Bi angle) and the BiS₆ tilt (\angle S–Bi–Ni) change very little for these four compounds from the parent M[Bi(SCN)₆] frameworks. This conforms to the general finding that the metal-thiocyanate bond-angles do not vary in NCS-perovskites and

that guest–framework interactions exert only second-order effects.^{7,23,25,26} Compound 4 crystallises with both A- and M-site vacancies, suggesting that there is a maximum average size of A-site cation that can be incorporated within the {Ni $[Bi(SCN)_6]$ ⁻ framework and providing further evidence of the ease of formation of $[Bi(SCN)_6]^{3-}$ vacancies in these materials. The tolerance factor therefore may provide a useful upper bound on cation size for NCS-perovskites (the lower bound not being meaningful due to the variety of ReO₃ structure NCS-frameworks), but we have not found it to be predictive of the tilts or A-site ordering—just as for other molecular perovskites.

3.3 A-site vacancy order

A-site vacancy ordered molecular perovskites are rare, as the additional structural degrees of freedom often mean other structure types are favoured for high vacancy concentrations: for example AM^{II}M^{III}(HCO₂)₆ compounds adopt niccolite-type structures.52 The Prussian blue analogue cyanides can accommodate the complete range of A-site compositions, which has been exploited for their potential as battery electrode materials,53 but the A-sites are typically disordered. Some degree of rocksalt A-site cation order has been observed in a number of frameworks of approximate composition AM^{II}M^{III}(CN)₆ (ref. 54) but this is typically incomplete, perhaps due to the high symmetry of these phases.55,56 Perhaps the best described example of A-site order in a vacancy perovskite is the recent report of $\Box_{0.5}H_2DABCO_{0.5}\{Mn(H_2PO_2)_3\}, H_2DABCO^{2+} = 1,4$ diazabicyclo[2.2.2]octane-1,4-diium, where vacancies order into {111}_{cubic} layers presumably, as in A-site vacancy oxides, to minimise strain.47

It is therefore noteworthy that A-site vacancy order appears to be the rule in NCS-perovskites, rather than the exception. 1-4 all possess complete A-site order and these orderings are unusual for perovskites molecular or otherwise: in 1 and 2 the cations have columnar order; in 3 the MeNH₃⁺ order into 3×2 \times 1 blocks and in 4 the cations are present in one quarter of the cages with Cu₃Au order. The block-order of cations in MeNH₃{Ni[Bi(SCN)₆]} is to the best of our knowledge unknown in any other perovskite. It can be related to the nanochequerboard/nanochessboard phases observed in compositionally complex analogues of the rare-earth vacancy perovskites, such as $\Box_{\frac{1}{2}+4x} \operatorname{Nd}_{\frac{1}{2}-x} \operatorname{Li}_{3x} \{\operatorname{TiO}_3\}, x \approx 0.1 \text{ (ref. 57)}$ and $\Box_{0.2}$ Nd_{0.6}Ca_{0.1}{TiO₃}.⁵⁸ These phases have a modulation in the occupancy of the A-site on a ca. 5 nm lengthscale. In addition the combination of M-site rocksalt and A-site columnar order found in 1 and 2 has only been reported previously for the high-pressure oxides MnLnMnSbO₆, Ln = La, Pr, Nd, Sm,²¹ and CaMM'ReO₆, M = Mn or $(Mn_{0.5}Cu_{0.5})$ and M' = Mn or Fe.⁵⁹

We find that for this family of compounds the A-site order and tilts are strongly coupled: each tilt sequence has its own cation order. Columnar order in **1** and **2** accompanies the $a^-a^-c^+$ tilt, the unique A-site order in **3** is accompanied by the unique complex $a^+b^{++-++-}c^{+-+-}$ tilt, and the Cu₃Au order occurs with $a^+a^+a^+$ tilt. One possible reason for this can be seen in the distribution of NCS⁻ anions between pseudocubic cages [Fig. 6]. Each NCS⁻ must lie within one of four adjacent pseudocubic cages, with which cage it lies within determined by the tilting of two metal octahedra it is connected to [Fig. 6(a)]. Each cage is bounded by 12 thiocyanates, so on average a cage contains three thiocyanates. In 4 one quarter of the cages contain no NCS⁻, with $\frac{3}{4}$ containing four thiocyanates [Fig. 6(d)]. We find that the pseudocubic cages containing no NCS⁻ are the cages containing Gua⁺ cations, whereas the pseudocubic cages containing four NCS⁻ contain no A-site cations. This correlation likely arise from simple reasons of sterics: there is not enough space in the cages containing four thiocyanates for an A-site cation. This approach is in agreement with previous rationalisations of the structures of CaCu₃Ti₄O₁₂-type perovskites, which also have $a^+a^+a^+$ tiles and Cu₃Au A-site order, where the largest cation (*e.g.* Ca²⁺) sits in the cages containing no O²⁻ anions.²⁰

The pseudocubic cages in 3 contain 0, 2, 3 and 4 NCS⁻ anions in the ratio 1 : 3 : 2 : 6; each and every pseudocubic cage which does not contain an A-site cation contains four NCS⁻ anions, and every cage containing fewer than four anions also contains an A-site cation [Fig. 6(c)]. This suggests that the complex tilt pattern derives, in part, from the need to rearrange the NCS⁻ anions to accommodate the larger MeNH₃⁺ cations in the pseudocubic cages. This ability of octahedral tilts to increase the available volume in some cages, at the expense of others, provides an explanation for why the average, rather than maximum, A-site cation size appears to be the key factor for perovskite stability. In contrast, all the cages in 1 and 2 contain three NCS⁻ and so cooperative framework shear therefore is necessary to accommodate the A-site cations. We have applied this anion-in-cage counting method to each of the four simplest



Fig. 6 (a) Illustration of how octahedral rotations of the attached cations place a give thiocyanate in one of four pseudocubic cages. (b–d) Single layers of the pseudocubic cages of each structure showing the positions of NCS⁻ ligands and guest cations for compounds 2 (b); 3 (c) and 4 (d). No pseudocubic cage containing four NCS⁻ ligands contains a guest. The numbers indicate how many NCS⁻ ligands lie within each pseudocubic cage. The closest K–S distance is shown for compound 2 by a dashed red line.

3-tilt patterns (in the approximation that all tilts have equal magnitude) [Table 4]. We find that these tilts, aside from the previously mentioned $a^+a^+a^+$ tilt sequence, would not be expected to stabilise any particular A-site cation order according to this counting method, as all cages contain three NCS⁻, even if the cages are symmetry distinct. This suggests that complex tilts may be well be favoured in molecular perovskites with large A-site cation size disparities.

3.4 Complex tilts

The tilts along the *b* and *c* directions in 3 are complex, that is, the repeat distance for the tilt pattern is greater than two unit cells (*i.e.* the tilts transform as a $[00k]T_2$ irrep) [Fig. 7(a)]. There are very few examples of perovskites with complex tilts,^{60,61} and perhaps the best known is LiNbO₃, which forms phases possessing tilts with periodicities of four (S-phase) and six (R-phase) unit cells along a single axis.³⁶ Complex tilting has also been observed in molecular perovskites,⁴⁶ with incommensurate tilting discovered in Me₂NH₂{Co(HCO₂)₃}⁶² and complex tilts along multiple axes in Me₂NH₂{Mn(H₂PO₂)₃}.⁴⁷ In both cases the tilts are unconventional as they are formed from shifts and/or out-of-phase tilts (where adjacent octahedra tilt in the same sense). Compound **3** is the first perovskite of any kind, to our knowledge, to show complex conventional tilts along multiple axes.

3.5 Breaking centrosymmetry with complex tilts

It is well known that simple conventional cooperative octahedral tilts cannot produce non-centrosymmetric structures.12 This is only true, however, for Brillouin zone-corner tilts. We show here that tilt patterns containing complex tilts can generate non-centrosymmetric structures, by carrying out symmetry analysis of the structures derived from the simplest example of a complex tilt, the $\begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{4} \end{bmatrix}$ T₂ mode found in the S-phase of LiNbO₃ (period of for unit cells) [Fig. 7(a)]. We examined the symmetries of the structures produced when a cubic perovskite with M-site rocksalt order $(R_2^{\,-})$ is distorted by this $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} T_2$ mode with simple tilts $(M_2^+ \text{ or } R_5^-)$ along the other two axes [ESI Fig. 6‡]. The combination of a $\begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{4} \end{bmatrix}$ T₂ tilt along the *c* axis, with in-phase M₂⁺ tilts along the *a* and *b* axes generates a non-centrosymmetric structure with space-group $P\overline{4}2c$ [ESI Fig. 7[‡]]. We generated a model of a hypothetical Fe[Bi(SCN)₆] polymorph possessing these

 Table 4
 No. of NCS⁻ present in pseudocubic octahedral cages for the four simplest three tilt sequences

Tilt	$n_{ m cage} imes n_{ m NCS^-}/ m cage$	
$a^+a^+a^+$	3 imes 4: 1 imes 0	
$a^{+}a^{+}a^{-}$	1 imes 3	
$a^{+}a^{+}a^{-}$	1 imes 3: 1 imes 3	
$a^{-}a^{-}a^{-}$	1 imes 3	



Fig. 7 (a) The single-axis octahedral tilts with symmetry labels. (b) A single layer of pseudocubic cages for the piezoelectric P42c structure, with ligand counts. DFT optimised structure of $Cs_3{Fe[Bi(SCN)_6]_4}$ viewed along the (c) *b* and (d) *c* axes.

distortions using ISODISTORT and then examined the distribution of NCS⁻ anions. The pseudocubic cages contain 0, 2 or 4 NCS⁻ in the ratio 1 : 2 : 6, suggesting that a structure in which $\frac{3}{8}$ of the cages were occupied by A-site cations would stabilise this distortion [Fig. 7(b)]. We therefore filled the cages containing 0 or 2 NCS⁻ anions with Cs⁺ cations, producing a model with composition Cs₃{Fe[Bi(SCN)₆]₄} [Fig. 7(c and d)]. Very encouragingly our model, which was constructed only taking into account symmetry analysis and counting cage occupancies, was found to be stable with DFT geometry optimisation. We found that moving a Cs⁺ cation into any of the other cages incurred a significant energetic penalty, suggesting that this cation ordering is energetically preferred. This structure is piezoelectric, with the piezoelectricity arising from the complex tilts along the *c*-axis. The investigation of other stoichiometries and A-site cations will likely be a fruitful route to generating new polar and ferroelectric perovskites.

4 Conclusion

In this study we have investigated how the identity of the A⁺ cation determines the coupled A-site occupational order and octahedral tilt distortions of $\Box_x A_{2-x} Ni[Bi(SCN)_6]_{\frac{x+2}{3}}$, $A = K^+$,

NH4⁺, MeNH3⁺ and Gua⁺, vacancy-ordered perovskites. We

have shown that organic cations can be hosted as A-site cations in NCS-perovskites, and that the synthesis of large single crystals of these materials can be achieved via facile solution methods. NCS-perovskites have robust M-site order and nearly fixed magnitude conventional octahedral tilts: in this work we have shown that A-site occupational order can also be readily achieved in NCS-perovskites. We demonstrate that, by controlling these three orders at once, we can produce new and unprecedentedly complex perovskite structures, notably the non-Brillouin zone corner tilts and block A-site order found in (MeNH₃){Ni[Bi(SCN)₆]}. We have devised a simple counting method for predicting the coupling between octahedral tilts and A-site occupational order, supported by DFT calculations. Finally, we have shown how complex conventional tilts can produce new routes to noncentrosymmetric materials. These results suggest that exploration of NCS-perovskites and complex tilts more generally may uncover further functional behaviour, including ferroelectricity, and anomalous mechanical properties such as negative thermal expansion or negative linear compressibility.

Author contributions

J. L. and M. J. C. synthesised the samples. M. J. C. analysed the X-ray diffraction data. S. J. A. carried out the synchrotron X-ray diffraction experiments. J. L., M. J. C. and L. C. D. carried out the neutron diffraction experiments. J. L. and L. C. D. analysed the neutron diffraction data. S. L. carried out the DFT calculations. M. S. S. carried out the symmetry analysis. M. J. C. wrote the paper, with input from all other authors.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

M. J. C. acknowledges the School of Chemistry, University of Nottingham for support from the Hobday bequest. M. S. S. acknowledges the EPSRC for funding (grant no. EP/S027106/1) and the Royal Society for a University Research Fellowship (UF160265). S. L. acknowledges the use of the Athena supercomputer through the HPC Midlands+ Consortium, and the ARCHER supercomputer through membership of the UK's HPC Materials Chemistry Consortium, which are funded by EPSRC Grants No. EP/P020232/1 and No. EP/R029431/1, respectively. We acknowledge the ILL for beamtime under proposal number 5-12-344. We acknowledge the Diamond Light Source for beamtime under BAG proposals CY21755-I19 and EE18786-I11, and the Senn Group for their help with experiments. We acknowledge J. A. M. Paddison and A. Simonov for useful discussions.

Notes and references

- 1 H. L. B. Boström, M. S. Senn and A. L. Goodwin, *Nat. Commun.*, 2018, **9**, 2380.
- 2 N. A. Hill, J. Phys. Chem. B, 2000, 104, 6694-6709.
- 3 W.-J. Xu, S. Kopyl, A. Kholkin and J. Rocha, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2019, **387**, 398-414.
- 4 T. Besara, P. Jain, N. S. Dalal, P. L. Kuhns, A. P. Reyes, H. W. Kroto and A. K. Cheetham, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2011, **108**, 6828–6832.
- 5 N. A. Benedek and C. J. Fennie, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 2011, **106**, 107204.
- 6 D. D. Khalyavin, R. D. Johnson, F. Orlandi, P. G. Radaelli, P. Manuel and A. A. Belik, *Science*, 2020, **369**, 680–684.
- 7 M. J. Cliffe, E. N. Keyzer, M. T. Dunstan, S. Ahmad, M. F. L. De Volder, F. Deschler, A. J. Morris and C. P. Grey, *Chem. Sci.*, 2019, **10**, 793–801.
- 8 G. Kieslich, S. Sun and A. K. Cheetham, *Chem. Sci.*, 2015, **6**, 3430–3433.
- 9 W. Travis, E. N. K. Glover, H. Bronstein, D. O. Scanlon and R. G. Palgrave, *Chem. Sci.*, 2016, 7, 4548-4556.
- 10 A. E. Fedorovskiy, N. A. Drigo and M. K. Nazeeruddin, *Small Methods*, 2020, **4**, 1900426.
- 11 W. Rahim, A. Cheng, C. Lyu, T. Shi, Z. Wang, D. O. Scanlon and R. G. Palgrave, *Chem. Mater.*, 2020, **32**, 9573–9583.
- 12 A. M. Glazer, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B: Struct. Crystallogr. Cryst. Chem., 1972, 28, 3384–3392.
- 13 M. W. Lufaso and P. M. Woodward, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B: Struct. Sci., 2001, 57, 725–738.
- 14 G. King and P. M. Woodward, J. Mater. Chem., 2010, 20, 5785–5796.
- 15 B. J. Kennedy, C. J. Howard, Y. Kubota and K. Kato, *J. Solid State Chem.*, 2004, **177**, 4552–4556.
- 16 Q. Zhou, P. J. Saines, N. Sharma, J. Ting, B. J. Kennedy, Z. Zhang, R. L. Withers and K. S. Wallwork, *Chem. Mater.*, 2008, **20**, 6666–6676.
- 17 M. Abe and K. Uchino, Mater. Res. Bull., 1974, 9, 147-155.
- 18 G. King, S. Thimmaiah, A. Dwivedi and P. M. Woodward, *Chem. Mater.*, 2007, **19**, 6451–6458.
- 19 K. Leinenweber and J. Parise, *J. Solid State Chem.*, 1995, **114**, 277–281.
- 20 V. Propach, Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem., 1977, 435, 161-171.
- 21 E. Solana-Madruga, Á. M. Arévalo-López, A. J. Dos Santos-García, E. Urones-Garrote, D. Ávila-Brande, R. Sáez-Puche and J. P. Attfield, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2016, 55, 9340–9344.
- K. L. Svane, A. C. Forse, C. P. Grey, G. Kieslich,
 A. K. Cheetham, A. Walsh and K. T. Butler, *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.*, 2017, 8, 6154–6159.
- 23 K.-P. Xie, W.-J. Xu, C.-T. He, B. Huang, Z.-Y. Du, Y.-J. Su, W.-X. Zhang and X.-M. Chen, *CrystEngComm*, 2016, **18**, 4495–4498.
- 24 G. Thiele and D. Messer, Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem., 1980, 464, 255–267.
- 25 M. J. Cliffe, E. N. Keyzer, A. D. Bond, M. A. Astle and C. P. Grey, *Chem. Sci.*, 2020, **11**, 4430–4438.

- 26 H. Tabe, M. Matsushima, R. Tanaka and Y. Yamada, *Dalton Trans.*, 2019, **48**, 17063–17069.
- 27 H. A. Evans, Y. Wu, R. Seshadri and A. K. Cheetham, *Nat. Rev. Mater.*, 2020, 5, 196–213.
- 28 L. He, L. Zhou, P.-P. Shi, Q. Ye and D.-W. Fu, *Chem. Mater.*, 2019, **31**, 10236–10242.
- 29 Y. Kuniyasu, Y. Suzuki, M. Taniguchi and A. Ouchi, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.*, 1987, **60**, 179–183.
- 30 L.-L. Lai, C.-H. Wu, K.-L. Lu, Y.-S. Wen, Y.-H. Liu, Y. Wang, K.-L. Cheng, D. V. Soldatov, Z. Yu and K. Yu, *CrystEngComm*, 2007, 9, 345–349.
- 31 H. Zhang, X. Wang, H. Zhu, W. Xiao, K. Zhang and B. K. Teo, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1999, 38, 886–892.
- 32 H. Zhang, X. Wang and B. K. Teo, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1996, **118**, 11813–11821.
- 33 M. S. Senn and N. C. Bristowe, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A: Found. Adv., 2018, 74, 308–321.
- 34 A. P. Cracknell, B. L. Davies, S. C. Miller and W. F. Love, *Kronecker Product Tables*, Plenum, New York, 1979, vol. 1.
- 35 B. J. Campbell, H. T. Stokes, D. E. Tanner and D. M. Hatch, *J. Appl. Crystallogr.*, 2006, **39**, 607–614.
- 36 M. D. Peel, S. P. Thompson, A. Daoud-Aladine, S. E. Ashbrook and P. Lightfoot, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2012, 51, 6876–6889.
- 37 P. M. Woodward, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B: Struct. Sci., 1997, 53, 32–43.
- 38 K.-L. Hu, M. Kurmoo, Z. Wang and S. Gao, *Chem.-Eur. J.*, 2009, **15**, 12050–12064.
- 39 C. S. Coates, H. J. Gray, J. M. Bulled, H. L. B. Boström, A. Simonov and A. L. Goodwin, *Philos. Trans. R. Soc., A*, 2019, **377**, 20180219.
- 40 A. Simonov, T. De Baerdemaeker, H. L. B. Boström, M. L. Ríos Gómez, H. J. Gray, D. Chernyshov, A. Bosak, H.-B. Bürgi and A. L. Goodwin, *Nature*, 2020, 578, 256–260.
- 41 J. P. M. Lommerse and J. C. Cole, *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B: Struct. Sci.*, 1998, **54**, 316–319.
- 42 F. K. Larsen, A. Hasen Mamakhel, J. Overgaard, J.-E. Jørgensen, K. Kato and B. Brummerstedt Iversen, *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B: Struct. Sci., Cryst. Eng. Mater.*, 2019, 75, 621–633.
- 43 G. Kieslich, S. Kumagai, A. C. Forse, S. Sun, S. Henke, M. Yamashita, C. P. Grey and A. K. Cheetham, *Chem. Sci.*, 2016, 7, 5108–5112.

- 44 P. Cauliez, V. Polo, T. Roisnel, R. Llusar and M. Fourmigué, *CrystEngComm*, 2010, **12**, 558–566.
- 45 K. T. Butler, P. Vervoorts, M. G. Ehrenreich, J. Armstrong, J. M. Skelton and G. Kieslich, *Chem. Mater.*, 2019, 31, 8366–8372.
- 46 H. L. B. Boström, CrystEngComm, 2020, 22, 961-968.
- 47 Y. Wu, T. Binford, J. A. Hill, S. Shaker, J. Wang and A. K. Cheetham, *Chem. Commun.*, 2018, **54**, 3751–3754.
- 48 S. M. Bovill and P. J. Saines, *CrystEngComm*, 2015, **17**, 8319–8326.
- 49 Z. Wang, B. Zhang, T. Otsuka, K. Inoue, H. Kobayashi and M. Kurmoo, *Dalton Trans.*, 2004, 2209–2216.
- 50 M. Sánchez-Andújar, L. C. Gómez-Aguirre, B. Pato Doldán, S. Yáñez-Vilar, R. Artiaga, A. L. Llamas-Saiz, R. S. Manna, F. Schnelle, M. Lang, F. Ritter, A. A. Haghighirad and M. A. Señarís-Rodríguez, *CrystEngComm*, 2014, **16**, 3558– 3566.
- 51 W. Li, Z. Zhang, E. G. Bithell, A. S. Batsanov, P. T. Barton, P. J. Saines, P. Jain, C. J. Howard, M. A. Carpenter and A. K. Cheetham, *Acta Mater.*, 2013, **61**, 4928–4938.
- 52 Z. Wang, X. Zhang, S. R. Batten, M. Kurmoo and S. Gao, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2007, **46**, 8439–8441.
- 53 Y. Lu, L. Wang, J. Cheng and J. B. Goodenough, *Chem. Commun.*, 2012, **48**, 6544–6546.
- 54 H. L. B. Boström and R. I. Smith, Chem. Commun., 2019, 55, 10230–10233.
- 55 T. Matsuda, J. E. Kim, K. Ohoyama and Y. Moritomo, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 2009, **79**, 172302.
- 56 B. Ziegler, M. Witzel, M. Schwarten and D. Babel, Z. Naturforsch., B: J. Chem. Sci., 1999, 54, 870–876.
- 57 B. S. Guiton, H. Wu and P. K. Davies, *Chem. Mater.*, 2008, 20, 2860–2862.
- 58 F. Azough, D. Kepaptsoglou, Q. M. Ramasse, B. Schaffer and R. Freer, *Chem. Mater.*, 2015, 27, 497–507.
- 59 G. M. McNally, Á. M. Arévalo-López, P. Kearins, F. Orlandi, P. Manuel and J. P. Attfield, *Chem. Mater.*, 2017, 29, 8870– 8874.
- 60 U. Farid, A. S. Gibbs and B. J. Kennedy, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2020, 59, 12595–12607.
- 61 H. Ishikawa, I. Munaò, B. E. Bode, Z. Hiroi and P. Lightfoot, *Chem. Commun.*, 2015, **51**, 15469–15471.
- 62 L. Canadillas-Delgado, L. Mazzuca, O. Fabelo, J. A. Rodriguez-Velamazan and J. Rodriguez-Carvajal, *IUCrJ*, 2019, **6**, 105–115.