



Cite this: *Chem. Sci.*, 2021, **12**, 2498

All publication charges for this article have been paid for by the Royal Society of Chemistry

Received 30th November 2020  
Accepted 24th December 2020

DOI: 10.1039/d0sc06551j  
[rsc.li/chemical-science](http://rsc.li/chemical-science)

## Introduction

Posttranslational modification (PTM) of the  $\varepsilon$ -amino groups of lysine residues in proteins is an important epigenetic mechanism that is used to control a wide range of biological phenomena.<sup>1–3</sup> For example, enzyme catalyzed acetylation and methylation reactions of lysine groups of histones are known to be important for the regulation of gene expression and maintaining cell homeostasis.<sup>1</sup> Covalent modification of selected lysine side chains in histones leads to chromatin being remodeled, resulting in transcription levels being activated and associated gene expression levels being modulated.<sup>1</sup> The  $\varepsilon$ -amino groups of protein lysine residues are modified using other types of acyl donor, including their conversion into *N*-succinyl, *N*-malonyl-, *N*-glutaryl-, *N*-crotonyl-, *N*-propionyl-, *N*-butyryl- and *N*-2-hydroxyisobutyryl-lysine derivatives.<sup>2,3</sup> These PTMs are not only used to reversibly functionalize the lysine residues of histone proteins, but are also present in cytosolic and mitochondrial proteins that play important roles in controlling gene regulation and metabolic pathways.<sup>2,3</sup> However, the mechanisms and specificity profiles of many of the lysine N-modification enzymes responsible for these type of

PTMs remain unexplored in many cases.<sup>4</sup> Similarly, the effect of many of these lysine N-modification reactions on protein structure and function and their biological significance still needs to be more fully explored.<sup>4–7</sup>

The availability of simple analytical methods to detect enzyme catalyzed N-functionalization and/or N-deprotection reactions of lysine residues in proteins would be very useful to explore how these type of PTMs affect cellular processes. Previous studies have explored the activity of lysine N-modification enzymes using radioisotope,<sup>8</sup> high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC),<sup>8</sup> mass spectrometry,<sup>8,9</sup> enzyme-coupled assays<sup>8–12</sup> and antibody-based methods.<sup>9,13</sup> However, these approaches often employ complicated multistep procedures, require multiple enzymatic reactions, are time-consuming or lack high-throughput capabilities. Therefore, the availability of simple turn 'on' fluorescent assays that could be used to detect different types of enzyme catalyzed lysine N-modification activities would be very useful for exploring the biological consequences of lysine PTM.

We have previously described the development of fluorogenic probes to detect lysine deacetylase (Sirt1) activity using a simple one-pot additive-free fluorescence turn 'on' protocol.<sup>14–16</sup> Non-fluorescent probe **P-N(H)Ac** [previously reported as **LN1(Ac)**],<sup>16</sup> that contains a histone H3 (8–13) recognition peptide domain, an *N*-acetyl-lysine residue and an N-terminus linked coumarin fragment was prepared as a histone deacetylase substrate (Fig. 1). The 7-hydroxy group of the coumarin fragment of **P-N(H)Ac** is protected as a carbonate ester which results in its fluorescence being 'turned off'. Histone deacetylase catalyzed hydrolysis of **P-N(H)Ac** results in *N*-deacetylation to afford the deprotected lysine residue of **P-NH<sub>2</sub>**. The free  $\varepsilon$ -amino group of **P-NH<sub>2</sub>** then undergoes

<sup>a</sup>Graduate School of Engineering, Osaka University, Suita, Osaka 565-0871, Japan.  
E-mail: [kkikuchi@mls.eng.osaka-u.ac.jp](mailto:kkikuchi@mls.eng.osaka-u.ac.jp)

<sup>b</sup>IFReC, Osaka University, Suita, Osaka 565-0871, Japan

<sup>c</sup>Department of Chemistry, University of Bath, Bath, BA27AY, UK

<sup>d</sup>Quantum Information and Quantum Biology Division, Osaka University, Suita, Osaka 565-0871, Japan

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Materials and methods, synthetic schemes, supplementary tables, and supplementary figures. See DOI: [10.1039/d0sc06551j](https://doi.org/10.1039/d0sc06551j)






Fig. 1 Enzyme mediated deacetylation of P-N(H)Ac, demalonylation of P-N(H)Mal and desuccinylation of P-N(H)Suc affords P-NH<sub>2</sub> that undergoes an intramolecular O- to N-ester transfer reaction to afford the fluorescent N-carbamoyl transfer peptide P-N(H)CO<sub>2</sub>Bu.

spontaneous intramolecular attack at the carbonyl group of its carbonic ester moiety,<sup>16</sup> which results in an intramolecular O- to N-ester transfer reaction. The resultant transfer peptide **P-N(H)CO<sub>2</sub>Bu** contains a more stable N-carbamoyl-lysine residue and a highly fluorescent 7-hydroxycoumarin fragment. Therefore, histone deacetylase activity towards **P-N(H)Ac** probe can be visualized using a simple ‘turn-on’ fluorescence assay that detects the appearance of the fluorescent transfer peptide **P-N(H)CO<sub>2</sub>Bu** as a rearranged product of the enzyme catalyzed hydrolysis reaction of **P-N(H)Ac**.

Other groups subsequently reported different probes for the fluorescent detection of lysine deacetylase activity using reactions that are based on imine formation,<sup>17,18</sup> nucleophilic aromatic substitution reactions to generate changes in a probe fluorescence response,<sup>19</sup> or self-immolative intramolecular cyclization reactions.<sup>20</sup> Förster resonance energy transfer (FRET) based probes that contain quencher and fluorophore fragments attached to the ε-lysine side chain of non-natural substrates have also been described. These probes rely on enzymatic cleavage of either their quencher or fluorophore fragments to produce a fluorescence response.<sup>21,22</sup> For example, the N-deacetylase activity of HDAC3 has been detected using a chemical probe containing an N-crotonyl-lysine group, however these activity levels were 16 times lower than HDAC3 mediated N-deacetylation activity levels towards an N-acetyl-lysine probe analogue.<sup>18</sup> However, no ‘turn on’ fluorescent probes for detecting enzymatic N-deprotection reactions (e.g. N-demethylation) towards other types of N-derivatized lysine residues (e.g. ε-N-dimethylated-lysine residues) have been reported to date. Consequently, we now describe how the fluorescent assay that we previously developed to detect lysine deacetylase activity has been adapted to develop new 2<sup>nd</sup>-generation probes for the fluorescent “turn-on” detection of enzyme catalyzed N-

desuccinylase, N-demalonylase and N-demethylase activities towards N-modified lysine residues that are present in peptide substrates.

## Results and discussion

### Enzyme activatable fluorogenic probes for detecting lysine N-demalonylase and N-desuccinylase activities

Sirt5 is a lysine deacetylase that employs NAD<sup>+</sup> as a cofactor to catalyze the reversible malonylation/succinylation of the ε-amino groups of selected lysine residues in proteins.<sup>23,24</sup> Sirt5 has previously been shown to demonstrate good activity for deacetylation of N-malonyl and N-succinyl lysine residues of proteins that are known to regulate metabolism in mitochondria,<sup>25,26</sup> as well as for deacylating proteins involved in neurodegeneration pathways.<sup>27</sup> Therefore, it was decided that development of a fluorogenic assay to detect Sirt5 activity would be a good demonstration of the potential of using our enzyme catalyzed N-deprotection/transesterification fluorescence strategy to develop new probes to image other types of deacetylase activity.

Target peptides **P-N(H)Mal** and **P-N(H)Suc** were designed as potential enzyme activatable fluorogenic probes for detecting Sirt5 mediated demalonylase and desuccinylase activities, respectively (Fig. 1). It was reasoned that enzymatic hydrolysis of the N-acyl fragments of both probes would result in formation of **P-NH<sub>2</sub>** whose free amino group would then undergo intramolecular rearrangement to afford **P-N(H)CO<sub>2</sub>Bu**, thus resulting in a ‘turn on’ of fluorescence. The non-fluorogenic coumarin-peptides **P-N(H)Mal** and **P-N(H)Suc** were prepared using standard solid-phase synthesis employing N-Fmoc protected α-amino acids and standard peptide coupling agents (see ESI “Materials and methods”, Scheme S1†). High-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) analyses revealed that incubation of Sirt5 with **P-N(H)Mal** and **P-N(H)Suc** in HEPES buffer (pH 8.0) resulted in enzyme catalyzed hydrolysis of their N-acyl groups to afford **P-NH<sub>2</sub>** (non-fluorescent), with intramolecular transesterification then affording the rearranged fluorescent transfer peptide **P-N(H)CO<sub>2</sub>Bu**. Therefore, incubation of **P-N(H)Mal** (peak at ~15 min) with Sirt5 resulted in its complete consumption after 15 min to afford a 1 : 7 mixture of **P-NH<sub>2</sub>** (peak at ~9.5 min) and the rearranged N-carbamoyl peptide **P-N(H)CO<sub>2</sub>Bu** (peak at ~12 min), with all of the **P-NH<sub>2</sub>** fully rearranged after 30 min (Fig. 2a). Sirt5 hydrolyzed **P-N(H)Suc** (peak at ~14 min) even more quickly, with all the probe consumed after 5 min and **P-NH<sub>2</sub>** fully rearranged into **P-N(H)CO<sub>2</sub>Bu** after 20 min (Fig. 2b). Switching from UV to fluorescence detection confirmed that the peak for the rearranged peptide **P-N(H)CO<sub>2</sub>Bu** at ~12 min was highly fluorescent (Fig. 2c and d). The identity of **P-NH<sub>2</sub>** and **P-N(H)CO<sub>2</sub>Bu** were confirmed through ESI-MS analysis which gave accurate mass values for the HPLC peak fractions eluting at ~9.5 and ~12 min, respectively (Fig. S1†). MALDI-TOF/TOF-MS analysis of the peak at ~12 min also confirmed that O- to N-ester transfer from the coumarin fragment of **P-NH<sub>2</sub>** to the lysine residue of **P-N(H)CO<sub>2</sub>Bu** had occurred (Fig. S2†).






Fig. 2 HPLC analyses of **P-N(H)Mal** and **P-N(H)Suc** reacted with Sirt5 (100 nM) in HEPES buffer (pH 8.0) over time. UV absorption HPLC traces of: (a) **P-N(H)Mal** (5  $\mu$ M); and (b) **P-N(H)Suc** (5  $\mu$ M). Fluorescence HPLC traces of: (c) **P-N(H)Mal** (5  $\mu$ M); and (d) **P-N(H)Suc** (5  $\mu$ M). The peaks labelled with an asterisk in HPLC traces (a and b) are from DTT present in the reaction buffer.

Fluorescence measurements were then carried out to monitor Sirt5 activity towards the **P-N(H)Mal** and **P-N(H)Suc** probes over time (Fig. 3). Both probes showed a large increase in fluorescence intensity when they were incubated with Sirt5, with negligible increases in fluorescence intensity observed when heat denatured Sirt5 or no Sirt5 was present. Kinetic parameters for Sirt5 towards both probes were determined using a modified Michaelis-Menten equation that allowed for the fact that fluorescence is generated *via* a two-step process




Fig. 3 Fluorescence detection of Sirt5 (100 nM) activity towards **P-N(H)Mal** and **P-N(H)Suc** in HEPES buffer (pH 8.0). Fluorescence intensities of (a) **P-N(H)Mal** (5  $\mu$ M) and (b) **P-N(H)Suc** (5  $\mu$ M) were recorded in the presence of Sirt5; heat-denatured Sirt5 (100 nM); and no Sirt5. Excitation and emission wavelengths were 371 and 466 nm, respectively.

that proceeds *via* an enzyme catalyzed deacylation step followed by an uncatalyzed rearrangement reaction (Fig. S3, S4, Tables S1 and S2†). Sirt5 exhibited a  $k_{\text{cat}}/K_m$  value of  $1.3 \times 10^5 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$  towards **P-N(H)Suc** and a  $k_{\text{cat}}/K_m$  value of  $6.5 \times 10^4 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$  towards **P-N(H)Mal**, meaning that the hydrolytic activity of Sirt5 towards **P-N(H)Suc** is 2-fold greater than for **P-N(H)Mal**. Nevertheless, both of these new probes were sufficiently active to enable rapid fluorescent detection of Sirt5 demalonylase and desuccinylase activities using a fluorogenic assay that takes  $<30$  min to complete.

The cross reactivities of the **P-N(H)Mal** and **P-N(H)Suc** probes towards other deacetylases were investigated by reacting them with Sirtuins (Sirt1, Sirt3 and Sirt6) and Zn(II)-dependent deacetylases (HDAC1, HDAC2, HDAC3, HDAC6 and HDAC11) (Fig. S5†). These results revealed that only Sirt5 enhanced the fluorescence intensities of these probes, thus demonstrating that they are highly selective for Sirt5. The probes contain carbonate ester groups that could potentially be hydrolyzed by cellular esterase activities, leading to undesirable increases in background fluorescence intensity levels. However, we found that their fluorescence intensities did not increase in the presence of carboxylesterases 1 or 2 (CES1 or CES2) that is expressed in human cells,<sup>28</sup> thus demonstrating that their carbonate ester bonds are resistant to CES mediated hydrolysis (Fig. S6†). Incubation of the **P-N(H)Mal** and **P-N(H)Suc** probes with cell lysates from HeLa cells containing exogenously added Sirt5 resulted in a 2-fold increase in fluorescence intensity when compared to probe containing cell lysates without Sirt5. This demonstrates that the probes can be used for the fluorescence detection of Sirt5 deacetylase activities in complex cellular mixtures using a simple assay that can potentially be adapted for high-throughput analysis (Fig. S7†).

### An enzyme activatable fluorogenic probe for detecting lysine N-demethylase activity

Development of fluorescent assays to detect demethylase activities towards *N*-dimethylated lysine residues ( $\text{R}-\text{N}^+(\text{H})\text{Me}_2 \rightarrow \text{R}-\text{N}^+(\text{H}_2)\text{Me}$ ) are challenging, because the  $\varepsilon$ -amino group remains positively charged throughout the course of the *N*-demethylase reaction. *N*-methyl groups are also less sterically demanding than *N*-acyl groups and so it is more difficult to selectively recognize a dimethylated amino group. Furthermore, lysine *N*-demethylases are known to exhibit much stricter specificity profiles for *N*-methyl-lysine containing peptide sequences that they recognise,<sup>29–32</sup> as compared to lysine deacetylases that generally exhibiting a more relaxed specificity profile.<sup>33–35</sup> A promising fluorescence displacement assay has previously been developed to detect demethylase activity towards peptide probes that contain trimethylated lysine residues ( $\text{R}-\text{N}^+(\text{Me})_3$ ).<sup>36</sup> However, this *N*-demethylase sensor is not particularly sensitive, because it employs a fluorescence ‘turn off’ mechanism that only produces a relatively small reduction in fluorescent intensity ( $\sim 20\%$ ).

Jumonji domain-containing 2 (JMJD2) demethylase was chosen as a target enzyme to develop a fluorescent ‘turn on’ probe to detect lysine demethylase activity. This enzyme



employs a Fe(II)- and 2-oxoglutarate (2-OG)-dependent deoxy-  
genation mechanism to catalyze *N*-demethylation of the tertiary  
amine ( $\text{H3K9-NMe}_2$ ) and quaternary ammonium ( $\text{H3K9-N}^+\text{Me}_3$ )  
residues of histone H3 lysine 9, respectively.<sup>37</sup> This type of  
JMJD2 demethylase is known to be involved in controlling  
cellular differentiation and cancer development and so is  
a potentially attractive target for developing anticancer  
therapies.<sup>38,39</sup>

A non-fluorescent di-*N*-methylated peptide probe (**P-NMe<sub>2</sub>**)  
was designed that contained a peptide sequence H3(7–16)  
known to be recognized by JMJD2E demethylase,<sup>40</sup> a lysine-9  
residue containing an  $\epsilon\text{-NMe}_2$  group and a C-terminal linked  
*O*-butyroyl protected 7-hydroxycoumarin fragment (Fig. 4). It  
was anticipated that JMJD2E demethylase would oxidatively  
deprotect one of the *N*-methyl groups of **P-NMe<sub>2</sub>** (non-  
fluorescent) to produce the secondary amine group of **P-  
NHMe**. The secondary amino group of **P-NHMe** (non-  
fluorescent) would then undergo a spontaneous *O*  $\rightarrow$  *N* intra-  
molecular transesterification reaction to afford **P-N(Me)CO<sub>2</sub>Bu**,  
thus resulting in the fluorescence of its deprotected coumarin  
fragment being ‘turned on’.

The peptides **P-NHMe** and **P-NMe<sub>2</sub>** were prepared using  
Fmoc solid-phase synthesis, with both of the peptides con-  
taining a glutamine residue at their C-termini for ease of  
synthesis and *N*-acetyl-Lys14 residues to prevent any competing  
intramolecular transesterification reactions from occurring

(Scheme S2†). The ability of **P-NHMe** to undergo the desired  
spontaneous *O*  $\rightarrow$  *N*-transesterification reaction was investi-  
gated by dissolving it in aqueous HEPES buffer (pH 8.0) (no  
demethylase) and analyzing the resultant solution using HPLC  
(Fig. 5) and mass spectrometry. HPLC analysis revealed that the  
peak for **P-NHMe** at  $\sim$ 23 min was consumed after 3 h, with the  
new fluorescent peak that appeared at  $\sim$ 26 min corresponding  
to the *O*  $\rightarrow$  *N* rearranged **P-N(Me)CO<sub>2</sub>Bu** confirmed by ESI-MS  
and MALDI-TOF/TOF-MS analysis (Fig. S8 and S9†). Incuba-  
tion of **P-NMe<sub>2</sub>** under the same conditions did not produce  
a fluorescent peak for **P-N(Me)CO<sub>2</sub>Bu**, however a small fluo-  
rescent peak was detected at  $\sim$ 14 min, with an accurate mass  
value corresponding to a coumarin containing peptide frag-  
ment whose carbonate ester had been hydrolyzed (Fig. S10†).

Incubation of the non-fluorescent probe **P-NMe<sub>2</sub>** (peak at  
 $\sim$ 23 min) with JMJD2E demethylase (5  $\mu\text{M}$ ) in HEPES buffer  
resulted in its complete oxidative *N*-demethylation to afford **P-  
N(Me)CO<sub>2</sub>Bu** after 3 h (Fig. 6). ESI-MS analysis of the peak  
fraction eluting at  $\sim$ 23 min after 15 min revealed that it was  
a coeluting mixture of **P-NMe<sub>2</sub>** and **P-NHMe** (Fig. S11†). ESI and  
MALDI-TOF/TOF-MS analyses of the fluorescent peak that  
appeared at  $\sim$ 26 min revealed an accurate mass value corre-  
sponding to **P-N(Me)CO<sub>2</sub>Bu**, thus indicating that trans-  
esterification of the monomethylated lysine had occurred  
(Fig. S12 and S13†). A relatively high concentration of JMJD2E  
demethylase (5  $\mu\text{M}$ ) was required to catalyze the *N*-demethyla-  
tion reaction of **P-NMe<sub>2</sub>**, which is consistent with previous  
reports that elevated levels of this demethylase are necessary to  
effectively catalyze *N*-demethylation reactions of lysine resi-  
dues.<sup>41</sup> Fluorescence measurements were then carried out to  
monitor JMJD2E demethylase activity towards the **P-NMe<sub>2</sub>**  
probe over time (Fig. 7). Unfortunately, the carbonate ester  
bond of the **P-NMe<sub>2</sub>** probe proved to be more labile than for the  
corresponding *N*-acyl probes, which produces free 7-hydro-  
xycoumarin that results in a greater increase in uncatalyzed  
background fluorescence intensity over time. However, expo-  
sure of the **P-NMe<sub>2</sub>** probe to JMJD2E demethylase (10  $\mu\text{M}$ )




Fig. 4 JMJD2 mediated demethylation of **P-NMe<sub>2</sub>** affords **P-NHMe** that undergoes an intramolecular *O*  $\rightarrow$  *N*-ester transfer reaction to afford the fluorescent *N*-carbamoyl transfer peptide **P-N(Me)CO<sub>2</sub>Bu**.




Fig. 5 HPLC analyses of the transesterification reaction of **P-NHMe** in HEPES buffer (pH 8.0) using: (a) UV detection; and (b) fluorescence detection. The peak labelled by an asterisk in the HPLC traces originates from DTT that is present in the buffer.






Fig. 6 HPLC analyses of JMJD2E demethylase (5  $\mu$ M) catalyzed *N*-demethylation reaction of **P-NMe<sub>2</sub>** (5  $\mu$ M) in HEPES buffer (pH 8.0) using: (a) UV detection; (b) fluorescence detection. The peak labelled as \*1 is a coumarin containing peptide formed from background hydrolysis of the carbonate fragment of **P-NMe<sub>2</sub>**. The non-fluorescent peak labelled as \*2 is DTT that is present as a component of the reaction buffer.




Fig. 7 Fluorescence detection of JMJD2E demethylase activity using **P-NMe<sub>2</sub>** as a probe. Graphs show fluorescence increases produced when **P-NMe<sub>2</sub>** (5  $\mu$ M) is incubated with (a) JMJD2E demethylase (10  $\mu$ M); (b) heat-denatured JMJD2E (5  $\mu$ M); or (c) no enzyme in HEPES buffer (pH 8.0).

resulted in a significant increase in the rate of fluorescence enhancement, with an 9.4 : 1 ratio of fluorescent intensities in the presence/absence of demethylase observed after 5 minutes (Fig. 7). This result demonstrates that the rate of increase in fluorescence intensity when the **P-NMe<sub>2</sub>** probe is incubated with JMJD2E is sufficient to enable the *N*-demethylase activity to be rapidly detected using this fluorescent assay system.

The lower demethylase activity of JMJD2E towards **P-NMe<sub>2</sub>** resulted in initial attempts to use this probe to fluorescently detect JMJD2E activity in cell lysates proving unsuccessful. This was because addition of **P-NMe<sub>2</sub>** to cell lysate (no JMJD2E) produced a significant background fluorescence over the 1 h time period required to carry out the JMJD2E assay (Fig. S14†). We reasoned that this increase in background fluorescence could have been being caused by the action of cellular esterases catalyzing unwanted hydrolysis of the probe's carbonate ester bond to produce a fluorescent cleavage product. Indeed,

although the fluorescence intensity of **P-NMe<sub>2</sub>** did not increase when incubated with CES1, a 2.8-fold increase in fluorescence intensity was observed when it was treated with CES2 for 1 h (Fig. S15†). Alternatively, we also considered that the background cellular fluorescence might be caused by biological nucleophiles (e.g. glutathione) reacting with the carbonate ester bond of **P-NMe<sub>2</sub>** to release a fluorescent cleavage product. Consequently, *N*-ethylmaleimide (NEM) was incorporated into the cell lysate assays as a sacrificial conjugate acceptor that would react rapidly with any endogenous thiol nucleophiles that were present. This resulted in a 75% suppression of the background fluorescence of cell lysate containing **P-NMe<sub>2</sub>** probe (no JMJD2E) after 1 h incubation. This meant that exogenous addition of JMJD2E to cell lysate containing **P-NMe<sub>2</sub>** and NEM now resulted in a 2.4-fold increase in fluorescence intensity. Therefore, modifying the cell lysate assay to include NEM as a thiol scavenger enables **P-NMe<sub>2</sub>** to be used to fluorescently detect JMJD2E demethylase activity in complex cellular extracts for the first time.

## Conclusions

This study describes the development of fluorogenic probes for detection of enzymatic demalonylation, desuccinylation and demethylation activities towards peptide probes that contain modified *N*-acyl or *N*-dimethylated lysine residues, respectively. Enzymatic *N*-deprotection of lysine residues of these non-fluorescent probes produce peptides whose  $\epsilon$ -amino groups undergo *O*- to *N*-ester migration reactions to produce peptides containing fluorescent 7-hydroxycoumarin fragments. This study demonstrates that this intramolecular transesterification strategy is potentially applicable for the fluorescent detection of enzyme activities towards different types of *N*-modified lysine groups present in different PTM peptide sequences. We believe that the probes and principle developed in this study will promote future researches regarding posttranslational lysine modification of proteins. Importantly, this study demonstrates that this detection strategy will be highly versatile and applicable to different peptide probes with other lysine modification.

## Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

## Acknowledgements

This research was supported by JSPS KAKENHI (Grant Numbers JP19K22255, JP18H03935, JP17H06409 "Frontier Research on Chemical Communications" to K. K. and JP17H02210, JP18K19402, JP20H02879 to Y. H.), JSPS A3 Foresight Program, JSPS Asian CORE Program, "Asian Chemical Biology Initiative", Japan (JSPS)-UK (RSC) Research Cooperative Program (JPJSBP120195705 to K. K.), Toray Science Foundation (19-6008) and Royal Society International Exchange (IEC|R3|183068 to S. D. Bull).



## References

1 R. DesJarlais and P. J. Tummino, *Biochemistry*, 2016, **55**, 1584–1599.

2 B. R. Sabari, D. Zhang, C. D. Allis and Y. Zhao, *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.*, 2017, **18**, 90–101.

3 C. Choudhary, B. T. Weinert, Y. Nishida, E. Verdin and M. Mann, *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.*, 2014, **15**, 536–550.

4 Z. A. Wang and P. A. Cole, *Cell Chem. Biol.*, 2020, **27**, 953–969.

5 A. Sreedhar, E. K. Wiese and T. Hitosugi, *Genes Dis.*, 2019, **7**, 166–171.

6 J. Wan, H. Liu, J. Chu and H. J. Zhang, *Cell. Mol. Med.*, 2019, **23**, 7163–7169.

7 Z. Wu, J. Connolly and K. K. Biggar, *FEBS J.*, 2017, **284**, 2732–2744.

8 Y. Li, T. Liu, S. Liao, Y. Lan, A. Wang, Y. Wang and B. He, *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.*, 2015, **467**, 459–466.

9 Y. Shi, F. Lan, C. Matson, P. Mulligan, J. R. Whetstine, P. A. Cole and R. A. Casero, *Cell*, 2004, **119**, 941–953.

10 D. Wegener, F. Wirsching, D. Riester and A. Schwienhorst, *Chem. Biol.*, 2003, **10**, 61–68.

11 C. Roessler, C. Tuting, M. Meleshin, C. Steegborn and M. Schutkowski, *J. Med. Chem.*, 2015, **58**, 7217–7223.

12 P. A. Cloos, J. Christensen, K. Agger, A. Maiolica, J. Rappaport, T. Antal, K. H. Hansen and K. Helin, *Nature*, 2006, **442**, 307–311.

13 D. Herman, K. Janssen, R. Burnett, E. Soragni, S. L. Perlman and J. M. Gottesfeld, *Nat. Chem. Biol.*, 2006, **2**, 551–558.

14 R. Baba, Y. Hori, S. Mizukami and K. Kikuchi, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2012, **134**, 14310–14313.

15 K. Dhara, Y. Hori, R. Baba and K. Kikuchi, *Chem. Commun.*, 2012, **48**, 11534–11536.

16 R. Baba, Y. Hori and K. Kikuchi, *Chem.-Eur. J.*, 2015, **21**, 4695–4702.

17 D. R. Rooker and D. Buccella, *Chem. Sci.*, 2015, **6**, 6456–6461.

18 D. R. Rooker, Y. Klyubka, R. Gautam, E. Tomat and D. Buccella, *Chembiochem*, 2018, **19**, 496–504.

19 Y. Xie, J. Ge, H. Lei, B. Peng, H. Zhang, D. Wang, S. Pan, G. Chen, L. Chen, Y. Wang, Q. Hao, S. Q. Yao and H. Sun, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2016, **138**, 15596–15604.

20 X. Liu, M. Xiang, Z. Tong, F. Luo, W. Chen, F. Liu, F. Wang, R. Q. Yu and J. H. Jiang, *Anal. Chem.*, 2018, **90**, 5534–5539.

21 S. Schuster, C. Roessler, M. Meleshin, P. Zimmermann, Z. Simic, C. Kambach, C. Schiene-Fischer, C. Steegborn, M. O. Hottiger and M. Schutkowski, *Sci. Rep.*, 2016, **6**, 22643.

22 M. Kawaguchi, S. Ikegawa, N. Ieda and H. Nakagawa, *Chembiochem*, 2016, **17**, 1961–1967.

23 J. Du, Y. Zhou, X. Su, J. J. Yu, S. Khan, H. Jiang, J. Kim, J. Woo, J. H. Kim, B. H. Choi, B. He, W. Chen, S. Zhang, R. A. Cerione, J. Auwerx, Q. Hao and H. Lin, *Science*, 2011, **334**, 806–809.

24 J. L. Feldman, K. E. Dittenhafer-Reed and J. M. Denu, *J. Biol. Chem.*, 2012, **287**, 42419–42427.

25 M. J. Rardin, W. He, Y. Nishida, J. C. Newman, C. Carrico, S. R. Danielson, A. Guo, P. Gut, A. K. Sahu, B. Li, R. Uppala, M. Fitch, T. Riiff, L. Zhu, J. Zhou, D. Mulhern, R. D. Stevens, O. R. Ilkayeva, C. B. Newgard, M. P. Jacobson, M. Hellerstein, E. S. Goetzman, B. W. Gibson and E. Verdin, *Cell Metab.*, 2013, **18**, 920–933.

26 Y. Nishida, M. J. Rardin, C. Carrico, W. He, A. K. Sahu, P. Gut, R. Najjar, M. Fitch, M. Hellerstein, B. W. Gibson and E. Verdin, *Mol. Cell*, 2015, **59**, 321–332.

27 F. Li and L. Liu, *Front. Cell. Neurosci.*, 2016, **10**, 171.

28 M. J. Hatfield, R. A. Umans, J. L. Hyatt, C. C. Edwards, M. Wierdl, L. Tsurkan, M. R. Taylor and P. M. Potter, *Chem. Biol. Interact.*, 2016, **259**, 327–331.

29 F. Forneris, C. Binda, M. A. Vanoni, E. Battaglioli and A. Mattevi, *J. Biol. Chem.*, 2005, **280**, 41360–41365.

30 F. Forneris, C. Binda, A. Dall'Aglio, M. W. Fraaije, E. Battaglioli and A. Mattevi, *J. Biol. Chem.*, 2006, **281**, 35289–35295.

31 S. S. Ng, K. L. Kavanagh, M. A. McDonough, D. Butler, E. S. Pilka, B. M. Lienard, J. E. Bray, P. Savitsky, O. Gileadi, F. von Delft, N. R. Rose, J. Offer, J. C. Scheinost, T. Borowski, M. Sundstrom, C. J. Schofield and U. Oppermann, *Nature*, 2007, **448**, 87–91.

32 J. R. Horton, A. K. Upadhyay, H. H. Qi, X. Zhang, Y. Shi and X. Cheng, *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.*, 2010, **17**, 38–43.

33 G. Blander, J. Olejnik, E. Krzymanska-Olejnik, T. McDonagh, M. Haigis, M. B. Yaffe and L. Guarente, *J. Biol. Chem.*, 2005, **280**, 9780–9785.

34 A. L. Garske and J. M. Denu, *Biochemistry*, 2006, **45**, 94–101.

35 B. C. Smith, B. Settles, W. C. Hallows, M. W. Craven and J. M. Denu, *ACS Chem. Biol.*, 2011, **6**, 146–157.

36 Y. Liu, L. Perez, A. D. Gill, M. Mettry, L. Li, Y. Wang, R. J. Hooley and W. Zhong, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2017, **139**, 10964–10967.

37 R. J. Klose, E. M. Kallin and Y. Zhang, *Nat. Rev. Genet.*, 2006, **7**, 715–727.

38 P. A. Cloos, J. Christensen, K. Agger and K. Helin, *Genes Dev.*, 2008, **22**, 1115–1140.

39 W. L. Berry and R. Janknecht, *Cancer Res.*, 2013, **73**, 2936–2942.

40 L. Hillringhaus, W. W. Yue, N. R. Rose, S. S. Ng, C. Gileadi, C. Loenarz, S. H. Bello, J. E. Bray, C. J. Schofield and U. Oppermann, *J. Biol. Chem.*, 2011, **286**, 41616–41625.

41 N. R. Rose, S. S. Ng, J. Mecinović, B. M. Liénard, S. H. Bello, Z. Sun, M. A. McDonough, U. Oppermann and C. J. Schofield, *J. Med. Chem.*, 2008, **51**, 7053–7056.

