


 Cite this: *RSC Adv.*, 2021, **11**, 39758

Preparation and catalytic behavior of antioxidant cassava starch with selenium active sites and hydrophobic microenvironments

 Cheng Shi,^a Qiugang Huang,^a Ruirui Zhang,^b Xingtang Liang,^b Feng Wang,^b Zijie Liu,^b Min Liu,^b Huayu Hu^{*a} and Yanzhen Yin^{ID *ab}

The preparation of antioxidant starch with the activity of glutathione peroxidase (GPx) for scavenging free radicals can not only enrich the types of modified starch but also alternate native GPx to overcome its drawbacks. In this work, an antioxidant cassava starch (AO-ca-starch) was prepared by the sequential esterification and selenylation of cassava starch. The process was optimized based on the selenium content. Various characterizations for the AO-ca-starch indicated that the catalytic center of GPx, the selenium, was anchored on the starch. The catalytic activity of AO-ca-starch, a starch-based biomimetic GPx, was about 4.95×10^5 times higher than that of the typical artificial selenoenzyme (diphenyl diselenide, PhSeSePh), and it exhibited a typical saturation kinetic catalytic behavior. The surface changes of the starch during the modification were conducive to the formation of hydrophobic microenvironments, which played an important role in the catalytic reaction of biomimetic GPx due to the binding of the hydrophobic substrates. The match of the catalytic center and the hydrophobic microenvironments was the key factor for maintaining the high catalytic activity of AO-ca-starch. Without cytotoxicity, AO-ca-starch exemplified a new and promising modified starch as a selenium-enriched functional food and antioxidant drug.

 Received 11th September 2021
 Accepted 24th November 2021

DOI: 10.1039/d1ra06832f

rsc.li/rsc-advances

Introduction

Modified starch is a carbon-based material with the advantages of a wide variety of sources, low cost, and diverse functions. It is widely used in fields such as food additives and biological materials.^{1,2} At present, modified starches include etherified starch,³ esterified starch,⁴ cross-linked starch,⁵ and grafted starch.⁶ The octenyl succinic anhydride-modified starch (OSA-starch) is a typical esterified starch that can be legally used in food and medicine.⁷⁻⁹ With the increasing demand for functional foods for health benefits, the development of functional starch has become a prospective research work.

Selenium, as an important trace element, has attracted wide attention due to its unique chemical- and bio-activities. Selenium-functionalized materials have been extensively applied as bioactive components in pharmaceutical industries and as functional constituents in materials.¹⁰⁻¹² For example, carbon-based materials with anchored selenium revealed high performances in catalysis and anti-oxidation.¹²⁻¹⁵ Previous studies have shown that many diseases such as inflammation,

cancer and Keshan disease are caused by excessive accumulation of free radicals in the human body.^{16,17} Glutathione peroxidase (GPx, EC 1.11.1.9) is one of the typical selenoenzymes that reduces the excess free radicals and hydroperoxides (ROOH) at the expense of glutathione (GSH) and consequently inhibits oxidative diseases.^{18,19} However, there are intrinsic vulnerabilities such as limited source, low yield and high cost in the extraction of native GPx. Therefore, it is of great significance to develop GPx mimics for overcoming the drawbacks of native GPx. The development of antioxidant starch with the ability to scavenge free radicals could not only expand the application of modified starch but also provide new functional materials for antioxidant drugs.

Our group and other researchers have devoted considerable efforts to produce a series of biomimetic GPx using small molecules,^{20,21} polymers,^{22,23} proteins,^{24,25} and nanomaterials,^{26,27} and to expose the relationship between the structure and the function of biomimetic GPx. The previous results indicated that the three important catalytic factors for maintaining the high catalytic activity of GPx are the selenium element as the catalytic center on GPx, the hydrophobic microenvironment composed of hydrophobic amino acid residues such as ¹⁵⁰Phe, ¹⁴⁸Trp, and ³⁴Leu, and the recognition site for binding substrates via hydrogen bonds between substrates and arginine-based ⁴⁰Arg, ¹³⁰Arg, and ¹⁶⁷Arg.^{28,29} In order to construct a biodegradable biomimetic GPx with high catalytic

^aSchool of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Guangxi University, Nanning 530004, China. E-mail: 404631785@qq.com

^bQinzhou Key Laboratory of Biowaste Resources for Selenium-enriched Functional Utilization, College of Petroleum and Chemical Engineering, Beibu Gulf University, Qinzhou 535011, China. E-mail: yinyanzhen2018@163.com



activity, we intended to prepare the biomimetic GPx with modified starch (OSA-starch), resulting in a new antioxidant starch. The OSA-starch prepared from cassava starch revealed an amphiphilic surface and a double bond due to the anchoring of the OSA chain, which make it a promising candidate for the high-performance biomimetic GPx. On the one hand, the double bond in the OSA-starch can be reacted with sodium hydrogen selenide (NaSeH) by nucleophilic addition to offer the starch with $-SeH$, the catalytic center of GPx. On the other hand, the structure of OSA-starch with an amphiphilic surface provides the possibility to simulate catalytic factors such as the hydrophobic microenvironment and substrate recognition site.

The process for preparing the antioxidant cassava starch (**AO-ca-starch**) with GPx activity is shown in Scheme 1. The cassava starch was first modified with octenyl succinic anhydride to produce octenyl succinic anhydride modified cassava starch (OSA-ca-starch). The OSA-ca-starch was then reacted with NaSeH under nitrogen to provide the starch with a catalytic center and hydrophobic microenvironments. The catalytic behavior and mechanism of the antioxidant cassava starch were also studied. This work would open a new way for the preparation and application of Se-functionalized starch.

Material and methods

Material

Cassava starch was purchased from Guangxi Nongken Mengyang Biochemical Group Co., Ltd. Octenyl succinic anhydride (OSA), 4-nitrothiophenol (NBT), and cumene hydroperoxide (CUOOH) were purchased from J&K Scientific Ltd. Selenium (Se) and pyrene were commercially acquired from Shanghai Aladdin Bio-Chem Technology Co., Ltd. 3-Carboxy-4-nitrothiophenol (TNB) was prepared according to the previously reported method by Dong *et al.*³⁰ All chemicals were of analytical grade and used without further purification.

Preparation of OSA-ca-starch

Cassava starch (30.0 g) was dispersed in 70 g of water to produce a starch slurry (30%, w/w). OSA (1.05 g, 3.5% of weight of starch) was dissolved in 5 mL of ethanol and then slowly added to the starch slurry. A NaOH solution (3 wt%) was used to adjust the solution pH to be about 8.5. The reaction was performed at 40 °C for 6 h. After that, deionized water and 75% ethanol were used alternately to wash the product until the eluate became

neutral. The octenyl succinic anhydride modified cassava starch (OSA-ca-starch) was obtained after vacuum drying at 55 °C for 24 h.

Determination of the double bond content of OSA-ca-starch

The degree of substitution (DS) was measured by the titration method as described by He *et al.*³¹ Typically, OSA-ca-starch (0.5 g) was first acidified by mixing it with 3 mL of HCl ethanol solution (2.5 M) for 30 min. The suspension was filtered and the residue was washed with ethanol until no chloride ions were detected by a AgNO₃ solution (0.1 M). The residue was dispersed again in distilled water (30 mL) and heated in a boiling water bath for 30 min. The resulting mixture was titrated with a NaOH solution (0.1 M), using phenolphthalein as the end-point indicator. The DS and the double bond ($-CH=CH-$) content of OSA-ca-starch (*n*) were calculated using the following equations:

$$DS = \frac{162.16 \times (V \times c) / m}{1000 - [210.27 \times (V \times c) / m]} \quad (1)$$

$$n = \frac{1 \times DS}{210.27} \quad (2)$$

where *V* is the titration volume of NaOH (mL); *c* is the concentration of the NaOH solution (0.1 M); *m* is the dry weight of the starch sample (g); 162.16 and 210.27 are the relative molecular weights of the glucose unit and the OSA group, respectively; *n* is the mole content of double bond in OSA-ca-starch (mol g⁻¹). All measurements were carried out in triplicate and the average value was presented.

Preparation of AO-ca-starch

The sodium hydrogen selenide (NaSeH) solution was prepared by mixing the selenium powder and sodium borohydride (NaBH₄) in distilled water under nitrogen. OSA-ca-starch (5.0 g) was dispersed in ethanol (the volume ratios of ethanol and distilled water were 7 : 3, 6 : 4, 5 : 5, 4 : 6 and 3 : 7, respectively). Then, an appropriate volume of NaSeH solution (the molar ratios of NaSeH to double bond were 2 : 1, 4 : 1, 6 : 1, 8 : 1 and 10 : 1, respectively) was added to the OSA-ca-starch slurry under nitrogen. This reaction system was stirred at different temperatures (20, 30, 40, 50 and 60 °C, respectively) for different durations (2, 4, 6, 8 and 10 h, respectively). After that, the product was filtered and washed in a nitrogen atmosphere. The targeted **AO-ca-starch** was obtained after vacuum drying at 55 °C for 24 h.

Determination of the selenium content

Typically, 0.2 g of starch sample was added into a conical flask containing 10 mL of HNO₃-HClO₄ mixed acid (*V*_{HNO₃ : *V*_{HClO₄ = 4 : 1). This sample was thermally digested at 120, 150 and 180 °C for 1 h, respectively, and then deacidified at about 220 °C. After that, it was reduced with 2.5 mL of HCl solution (6 M) for 6 h. The resulting solution was diluted with a HCl solution (10%, volume fraction), and the selenium concentration was measured using an atomic fluorescence spectrometer (AFS,}}



Scheme 1 Graphical representation of the preparation of AO-ca-starch using cassava starch as the raw material.



AFS-390, Haiguang Instrument). All measurements were carried out in triplicate and the average value was presented.

Determination of GPx activity

The catalytic activity of GPx was assayed according to the Hilvert's method,³² using thiophenols (ArSH) and hydroperoxides (ROOH) as substrates using an Ultraviolet-visible Spectrophotometer (UV2600, Shimadzu). First, 700 μ L of phosphate buffer (PBS, pH = 7.0, 50 mM), 100 μ L of starch sample dispersion and 100 μ L of thiophenol substrate solution (1.5 mM) were added into a quartz cuvette (1 mL, $L = 1$ cm). The mixture in the quartz cuvette was pre-incubated for 1 min at room temperature under ultrasound. The enzymatic reaction was initiated by adding 100 μ L of ROOH (2.5 mM). The decrease in absorption at 410 nm (ΔA) was monitored using a Ultraviolet-visible Spectrophotometer ($\varepsilon_{NBT} = 14\,600\,M^{-1}\,cm^{-1}$, $\varepsilon_{TNB} = 13\,600\,M^{-1}\,cm^{-1}$, pH = 7.0). The control test was performed using the same method mentioned above, but the starch sample dispersion was replaced with 100 μ L of PBS. The initial reaction rate (v_0) of the enzymatic reaction, namely, the activity of GPx, was calculated using the following equation:

$$v_0 = \frac{\Delta A}{\varepsilon \times L \times \Delta t} \quad (3)$$

where ΔA is the change of absorbance at 410 nm between the sample and the control; ε is the coefficient of molar extinction of thiophenol substrate (NBT or TNB); L is the optical path of quartz cuvette; Δt is the difference of time for the absorbance change at 410 nm. All measurements were carried out in triplicate and the average value was presented.

Characterizations

The proton nuclear magnetic resonance (^1H NMR) spectra for starch samples were recorded using a Bruker AVANCE III HD 500 MHz NMR spectrometer. The sample was dissolved in deuterium oxide (D_2O).

The Fourier-transform infrared (FT-IR) spectroscopic measurements for the related samples were performed using a PerkinElmer Frontier FT-IR spectrometer with the attenuated total reflectance (ATR) mode in the region from 500 to 4000 cm^{-1} .

The X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns for the relevant samples were recorded using a Bruker D8 ADVANCE X-ray diffractometer at 40 mA and 40 kV. The scanning range of diffraction angle (2θ) was from 5 to 50°. Prior to the analysis, the samples were equilibrated at 50 °C for 24 h. The relative crystallinity for the samples was quantitatively estimated according to the previously reported method by Frost *et al.*³³ Briefly, the crystallinity degree was considered to be the ratio of crystalline domain area (the area of the diffraction peaks) to total area of the XRD pattern:

$$\text{Crystallinity degree (\%)} = \left(\frac{\text{area under the peaks}}{\text{total curve area}} \right) \times 100 \quad (4)$$

The test of the thermogravimetric analysis was performed using a Mettler-Toledo TGA/DSC1 synchronous thermal analyzer in the temperature range from 30 to 600 °C at a heating rate of 10 °C min⁻¹.

A JEOL FESEM 6700F Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM) was employed to observe the morphology of the relevant samples.

Analysis of the hydrophobicity of starch samples

The hydrophobicity of modified starch sample was analyzed by the pyrene fluorescence probe method. Typically, 100 μ L of pyrene solution (5×10^{-4} mol L⁻¹) was added into 10 mL of the starch sample dispersion (2 mg mL⁻¹) followed by ultrasonic treatment for 30 min at room temperature. The fluorescence spectrum of the resulting mixture was recorded using an Agilent Cary Eclipse Fluorospectrophotometer at an excitation wavelength of 334 nm. The intensity ratio (I_1/I_3) of the peak at $\lambda_1 = 372$ nm and the peak at $\lambda_3 = 383$ nm was employed to evaluate the hydrophobic property.³⁴

Cytotoxicity test of starch samples

The cytotoxicity of starch samples was examined by the methylthiazol tetrazolium (MTT) assay.³⁵ In the study, human hepatocellular carcinoma cell line HepG2 was obtained from Cell Bank of the Type Culture Collection Committee of the Chinese Academy of Sciences. Briefly, 100 μ L of HepG2 cell suspension (2×10^5 cells per mL) was added into each well of a 96-well plate and incubated for 24 h under standard conditions (37 °C, 5% CO₂, >90% humidity). After incubation, the substrate was exchanged with 100 μ L of starch sample slurry with different concentrations (10, 50, 100, 250, 500, 1000, and 2000 μ g mL⁻¹) and a fresh culture medium (control group), respectively. The cell was further incubated for 24 h, and then the culture medium was removed. The resulting cell was washed with PBS. Then, 100 μ L of MTT solution (5 mg mL⁻¹) was introduced into each well and then the cells were incubated for another 4 h under light-free conditions. Subsequently, the culture medium was removed and the resulting formazan crystals were fully dissolved in 100 μ L of dimethyl sulfoxide in each well. The optical density (OD) at 490 nm was measured using a microplate reader (PerkinElmer Victor X5). The relative cell viability (RCV) was calculated according to the following equation:

$$\text{RCV (\%)} = \frac{\text{OD}_E}{\text{OD}_0} \times 100\% \quad (5)$$

where OD_E is the optical density of the sample; OD₀ is the optical density of the control group. Each sample was tested in three independent experiments.

Results and discussion

Preparation and structure characterization of AO-ca-starch

In this work, the widely used cassava starch was used as the raw material to produce the antioxidant starch and mimic the antioxidant catalytic behavior of native GPx. As shown in Scheme 1, the double bond (−CH=CH−) group on OSA-ca-



starch was reacted with NaSeH by nucleophilic addition to anchor the selenium element ($-\text{SeH}$), the catalytic center of biomimetic GPx, on the surface of the starch. AFS and ^1H NMR were used to analyze the selenium content and the changes in functional groups of **AO-ca-starch**.

The selenium content of the sample was measured by AFS to optimize the reaction conditions. Unsurprisingly, selenium was not detected for the native cassava starch and the OSA-ca-starch. However, the selenium content of **AO-ca-starch** was changed with the reaction condition including reaction time (A), reaction temperature (B), molar ratio of NaSeH to double bond ($-\text{CH}=\text{CH}-$) of OSA-ca-starch, and volume ratio of ethanol (D), as shown in Fig. 1A, B, C, and D, respectively.

In order to investigate the effect of reaction time on the selenium content of **AO-ca-starch**, the reaction time was set to 2, 4, 6, 8, and 10 h, while other conditions including reaction temperature, molar ratio of NaSeH to double bond, and volume ratio of ethanol were fixed to be 30 °C, 6 : 1, and 70%, respectively. As shown in Fig. 1A, the selenium content of **AO-ca-starch** gradually increased with the reaction time at the beginning 6 h. However, the further prolongation of the reaction time resulted in a decrease in the selenium content. A possible explanation was the reversible reaction of esterification between starch and OSA. Therefore, the optimal reaction time for the preparation of **AO-ca-starch** was 6 h.

For the effect of reaction temperature, a reaction time of 6 h, a volume ratio of ethanol of 70%, and a molar ratio of NaSeH to double bond of 6 : 1 were chosen. As shown in Fig. 1B, the selenium content of **AO-ca-starch** slightly rose to a high point as the reaction temperature increased and peaked at 40 °C. The further increase in temperature declined the selenium content. A possible explanation for these results was that the nucleophilic addition reaction was dominant at low temperatures, while the elimination of C-Se predominated the reaction at

high temperatures. Therefore, the optimal temperature was 40 °C.

The effect of the molar ratio of NaSeH to double bond ($-\text{CH}=\text{CH}-$) of OSA-ca-starch on the selenium content of **AO-ca-starch** was investigated in the system with the conditions of 6 h reaction time, 70% volume ratio of ethanol and 30 °C reaction temperature. The result is shown in Fig. 1C. The selenium content of **AO-ca-starch** reached a peak with the increase in the molar ratio of NaSeH to double bond from 2 : 1 to 6 : 1, and fell when the molar ratio of NaSeH to double bond was further increased. The increase in NaSeH, as a reactant, could promote its contact to the OSA-ca-starch, and consequently enhance the nucleophilic addition reaction. However, the exceeded NaSeH (the molar ratio of NaSeH to double bond was greater than 6 : 1), a strong alkaline substance, could raise the alkalinity of the reaction system. It might cause the hydrolysis of the ester bond in the starch and strip the OSA chains, thereby reducing the selenium content. Therefore, the optimal molar ratio of NaSeH to double bond was 6 : 1.

The volume ratio of ethanol to water is another important factor to influence the reaction due to the change in the reaction medium. The effect of volume ratio of ethanol to water on the selenium content of **AO-ca-starch** was studied in the system with the conditions of 6 h reaction time, 30 °C reaction temperature, and 6 : 1 molar ratio of NaSeH to the double bond. As shown in Fig. 1D, the selenium content of **AO-ca-starch** increased with the volume ratio of ethanol and peaked at 50% volume ratio of ethanol; the selenium content decreased with the further promotion of the volume ratio of ethanol. At the low volume ratio of ethanol, the increase in the concentration of ethanol in the reaction medium could decrease the polarity of the reaction medium, which benefited the solubility of OSA chains anchored on the starch granules and facilitated the nucleophilic reactions. However, in the reaction medium with high content of ethanol, the free starch molecular chains on the surface would be re-aggregated due to the low polarity of the medium, which might hinder the exposure of the double bonds for reaction, and consequently, decrease the selenium content of product. Therefore, the optimal volume ratio of ethanol for the ethanol/water system was 50%.

Taken together, the optimal conditions for preparing **AO-ca-starch** were considered to be 6 h reaction time, 40 °C reaction temperature, 6 : 1 molar ratio of NaSeH to double bond, and 50% volume ratio of ethanol for the ethanol/water system. The selenium content of **AO-ca-starch** prepared under this condition was $11.2 \mu\text{g g}^{-1}$, and such **AO-ca-starch** was selected as a biomimetic GPx for studying the catalytic behavior and mechanism.

The ^1H NMR spectra of cassava starch, OSA-ca-starch, and **AO-ca-starch** are shown in Fig. 2. All characteristic peaks were assigned based on the previous report in the literature.³⁶ Signal peaks at about 5.46 ppm (b) and 3.5–4.3 ppm (c), attributed to the protons of $-\text{CH}_2-$ and $-\text{CH}-$ in the starch glucose unit, appeared in both ^1H NMR spectra of cassava starch and OSA-ca-starch. Compared to the cassava starch, OSA-ca-starch revealed several new signal peaks at 5.56 ppm (a), and 0.8–2.8 ppm (d), respectively. The signal of a in the ^1H NMR spectrum of OSA-ca-




Fig. 1 Selenium contents of **AO-ca-starch** as a function of reaction time (A), reaction temperature (B), molar ratio of NaSeH to double bond ($-\text{CH}=\text{CH}-$) of OSA-ca-starch (C) and volume ratio of ethanol (D).






Fig. 2 ^1H NMR spectra of cassava starch, OSA-ca-starch and AO-ca-starch.

starch was attributed to the protons of $-\text{CH}=\text{CH}-$ in the OSA side chain; the signal peaks at **d** represented the protons of the OSA chain except the double bond.³⁷ These signals at **a** and **d** indicated that the OSA chain was anchored on the starch through the esterification reaction. In the ^1H NMR spectrum of **AO-ca-starch**, the exhibition of the signals of **b**, **c** and **d** suggested that the main skeleton structure of OSA-ca-starch remained during modification. However, the peak of **a** almost disappeared, indicating the consumption of $-\text{CH}=\text{CH}-$ during the reaction. It was found that $-\text{CH}=\text{CH}-$ in the OSA-ca-starch was the only active site for the reaction to NaSeH, a strong nucleophilic reagent. Therefore, it could be speculated that the disappearance of the signal double bond may be caused by the nucleophilic addition reaction between NaSeH and the double bond.

The structural changes of the starch during the modification were further characterized by SEM, FT-IR, XRD and TGA.

The SEM images of cassava starch (a), OSA-ca-starch (b) and **AO-ca-starch** (c) are shown in Fig. 3. Cassava starch revealed a spheroidal or hemispheric structure with a smooth surface. After esterification with OSA, the starch granules maintained their original shape but showed some slight corrosion on the surface. This result is similar to other report for the OSA-starch.³⁸ The **AO-ca-starch** almost retained the granule structure of the starch with the same size, but exhibited a rough surface. This surface was probably due to the erosion of the strongly alkaline NaSeH solution and/or the aggregation of the anchored OSA chains and some free starch chains during the preparation process. However, the complete structure of **AO-ca-starch**




Fig. 3 SEM images of cassava starch (a), OSA-ca-starch (b) and AO-ca-starch (c).

implied that the nucleophilic addition reaction did not damage the spherical structure of OSA-ca-starch, that is, most of the active sites ($-\text{SeH}$) were anchored on the surface of the starch, which would benefit the exposure of the active sites for catalytic reactions.

The FT-IR spectra of cassava starch, OSA-ca-starch and **AO-ca-starch** are presented in Fig. 4A. In the spectrum of cassava starch, the peaks at 3300 cm^{-1} , 2930 cm^{-1} and 1640 cm^{-1} are assigned to the $-\text{OH}$ stretch, $-\text{CH}$ stretch and the absorbed water characteristic peak, respectively. The major adsorption bands in the region $1400\text{--}1000\text{ cm}^{-1}$ are attributed to the $-\text{CH}_2$ bending vibration, C-O, C-C and C-O-H stretching vibration and C-O-H bending vibration. In comparison to the FT-IR spectrum of cassava starch, the OSA-ca-starch exhibited two new peaks at 1724 and 1572 cm^{-1} , which are assigned to the stretching vibration of the ester substituent group ($\text{C}=\text{O}$) and the asymmetric stretching vibration of the carboxyl group ($-\text{COO}-$).³⁷ In addition, the intensity of the peak at 1640 cm^{-1} is decreased by the modification. These results indicated that OSA chains were linked on cassava starch by the reaction between OSA and the $-\text{OH}$ of starch, which endowed the starch with hydrophobicity (derived from the OSA chains) and dropped the absorbed water of starch. For the **AO-ca-starch**, the FT-IR spectrum showed the essentially identical peaks to that of OSA-ca-starch, indicating that the molecular framework of starch was stable during the selenized modification. It is consistent with the ^1H NMR results, suggesting that **AO-ca-starch** was successfully synthesized by the nucleophilic addition and the starch structure was retained during the modification.

X-ray diffraction patterns of cassava starch, OSA-ca-starch and **AO-ca-starch** are shown in Fig. 4B. The XRD patterns of all starch samples consisted of the peak diffraction and the scattering diffraction, designating the non-crystalline region and crystalline region in the starch granules. All starch samples showed a typical A-type diffraction pattern with peaks at 15 , 17 , 18 , and 23° ,³⁹ indicating that the modifications did not destroy




Fig. 4 FT-IR spectra (A), XRD patterns (B), TGA curves (C) and DTG curves (D) of cassava starch, OSA-ca-starch and AO-ca-starch.




the crystal structure of starch. The crystallinity degree of OSA-ca-starch (43.5%) was close to that of the cassava starch (44.1%), suggesting that the esterification occurred primarily on the surface and/or in the amorphous region of native cassava starch. However, the crystallinity degree of **AO-ca-starch** was reduced to 38.1%. Due to the nucleophilic addition occurring in the OSA molecular chain, which did not affect the crystallinity of starch, the mild reduction of the crystallinity degree might be caused by the strong alkaline environment.⁴⁰

In order to further study the changes in starch during the modification, thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) was used to analyze the thermal decomposition behavior of starch. The curves of TGA and the corresponding derivative thermogravimetric analysis (DTG) for the cassava starch, OSA-ca-starch, and **AO-ca-starch** are presented in Fig. 4C and D, respectively. The thermal decomposition for all starch samples could be mainly divided into two stages in the DTG curves.⁴¹ The first stage between 50 and 150 °C was contributed to the physical dehydration; the second stage from 250 to 350 °C was ascribed to the decomposition of the polymer chain of starch. As shown in Fig. 4C, the weight loss of the dehydration for **AO-ca-starch**, OSA-ca-starch and cassava starch was 6.9, 8.6 and 9.5%, respectively. Compared with the cassava starch, the OSA-ca-starch showed a lower weight loss, which might be due to the anchoring of the hydrophobic OSA chains on the surface of starch to suppress its water absorption. For the **AO-ca-starch**, the selenized modification was performed in the alkali-alcohol mixture, which could dehydrate the starch, resulting in a lower water content in comparison to the OSA-ca-starch. These results were in accordance with the decrease in the peak intensity at 2800–3500 cm⁻¹ for the relevant samples (Fig. 4A). As shown in Fig. 4D, The DTG peaks for the polymer chain decomposition of OSA-ca-starch and **AO-ca-starch** were located at about 304 and 300 °C, respectively, which are lower than that of cassava starch (315 °C). This was probably due to that the modification mildly corroded the surface of starch, which benefited the heat transfer and consequently accelerated the decomposition of the polymer chain. Even so, the modified starch, with a starting decomposition temperature higher than 250 °C, maintained a favorable thermostability.

Antioxidant catalytic behavior and catalytic mechanism of AO-ca-starch

The catalytic activity (v_0 , $\mu\text{M min}^{-1}$) of native GPx and biomimetic GPx was used as the anti-oxidative indicator and tested using the method established by Hilvert *et al.*³² As shown in Scheme 2, the anti-oxidation catalytic rate (v_0 , $\mu\text{M min}^{-1}$) of **AO-ca-starch** was measured using the thiophenol (ArSH) substrate and hydroperoxide (ROOH) substrate as the dual substrate, in which the thiophenol substrate was 3-carboxy-4-nitrothiophenol (TNB) or 4-nitrothiophenol (NBT), while the hydroperoxide substrate was cumene hydroperoxide (CUOOH) or hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2). For the thiophenol substrates, the additional carboxyl group in TNB increases its hydrophilicity in comparison to the NBT. For the hydroperoxide substrates, CUOOH with a *p*-cumyl group reveals more hydrophobicity than



Scheme 2 Determination of GPx activity of **AO-ca-starch** for using ArSH (TNB or NBT) and ROOH (CUOOH or H_2O_2) as substrates.

that of H_2O_2 . In order to test v_0 , one molecule catalytic center (Se-monomer) in the **AO-ca-starch** was regarded as one active site. The v_0 values for reducing ROOH (CUOOH or H_2O_2 , 250 μM) by ArSH (TNB or NBT, 150 μM) in the presence of **AO-ca-starch** at pH 7.0 (50 mM PBS) are shown in Table 1. Intuitively, the v_0 value was changed in the system with different substrates. It is speculated that the difference in v_0 for **AO-ca-starch** in different substrate systems was caused by the various binding abilities between **AO-ca-starch** and substrates. The related mechanism would be studied later. In addition, **AO-ca-starch** showed a significantly high antioxidant activity. For example, the v_0 value for **AO-ca-starch** in the system containing NBT and CUOOH was $11.79 \pm 0.45 \mu\text{M min}^{-1}$, which is almost 4.95×10^5 times of the value of PhSeSePh. Meanwhile, the v_0 value for **AO-ca-starch** in the system containing TNB and H_2O_2 was greater than that of the micellar GPx mimic, a micellar catalyst that was considered to be an excellent GPx mimic with high substrate recognition ability and catalytic activity.⁴²

In order to further investigate the catalytic behavior, the concentration of TNB or NBT was fixed to be 150 μM , the v_0 values of **AO-ca-starch** at different reaction systems containing TNB or NBT and ROOH (CUOOH or H_2O_2) with different concentrations were measured. As shown in Fig. 5, for all reaction systems, the v_0 value of **AO-ca-starch** showed a similar variation trend to the ROOH (CUOOH or H_2O_2) concentration increase, that is, the v_0 value increased with the increase in ROOH concentration and then reached the equilibrium. These profiles of v_0 against ROOH concentrations for **AO-ca-starch** in the four systems are similar to the real catalytic behavior of

Table 1 Initial rates (v_0) for the reduction of ROOH (250 μM) by ArSH (150 μM) in the presence of **AO-ca-starch** at 25 °C, pH 7.0

Catalyst	ArSH	ROOH	v_0^a ($\mu\text{M min}^{-1}$)
PhSeSePh	NBT	CUOOH	2.38×10^{-5}
Micellar catalyst	TNB	CUOOH	2.25 ± 0.24
OSA-ca-starch	NBT	CUOOH	ND ^b
AO-ca-starch	TNB	CUOOH	9.26 ± 0.37
AO-ca-starch	TNB	H_2O_2	6.32 ± 0.31
AO-ca-starch	NBT	CUOOH	11.79 ± 0.45
AO-ca-starch	NBT	H_2O_2	7.74 ± 0.33

^a The v_0 was calculated based on 1.0 μM selenium monomer. ^b No detected.






Fig. 5 Plots of v_0 against ROOH with different concentrations at the system containing ArSH (150 μM): CUOOH + TNB (A); H_2O_2 + TNB (B); CUOOH + NBT (C); H_2O_2 + NBT (D).

native GPx and show a typical saturation kinetic.^{42,43} The plots of the reciprocal of the v_0 value per Se-monomer group ($[E]_0/v_0$) against the reciprocal of the ROOH concentration ($1/[\text{COOH}]$ or $1/[\text{H}_2\text{O}_2]$) are presented in Fig. 6. These double-reciprocal plots are regarded as the Lineweaver–Burk plots, which are parallel to each other at different concentrations of ArSH, suggesting that the catalytic mechanism of **AO-ca-starch** toward the reaction between ArSH and ROOH is similar to native GPx, following the “ping-pong” catalytic mechanism.^{30,44}

The double-reciprocal plots derived from the ArSH concentration of 150 μM were selected to calculate the catalytic kinetic constants including the maximum reaction rate (v_{\max} , $\mu\text{M} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$), the reaction constant (K_{cat} , min^{-1}), Michaelis–Menten constant (K_m , μM), and the catalytic efficiency (K_{cat}/K_m , $\text{M}^{-1} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$).




Fig. 6 Double reciprocal plots of catalytic rate versus hydroperoxide concentration for **AO-ca-starch** at ArSH concentrations of 75, 115 and 150 μM : TNB + CUOOH (A); TNB + H_2O_2 (B), NBT + CUOOH (C), and NBT + H_2O_2 (D).

(K_m , μM), and the catalytic efficiency (K_{cat}/K_m , $\text{M}^{-1} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$). In general, K_m represents the concentration of the substrate when the reaction rate is half the maximum reaction rate, reflecting the binding strength between the substrate and the enzyme.^{30,44,45} As shown in Table 2, the values of $K_m(\text{CUOOH})$ are smaller than that of $K_m(\text{H}_2\text{O}_2)$ in both reaction systems where TNB and NBT were employed, suggesting the higher affinity of **AO-ca-starch** toward CUOOH in comparison to H_2O_2 . In addition, the **AO-ca-starch** revealed a higher v_{\max} and K_{cat}/K_m when catalyzing the reaction of CUOOH and ArSH than that the reaction was conducted by H_2O_2 and ArSH, indicating that the reaction efficiency of **AO-ca-starch** toward CUOOH reduction was higher than that of the H_2O_2 reduction. In these reaction systems, the ROOH (CUOOH and H_2O_2) was the only difference. Therefore, the structural difference of ROOH was the main cause of the changes of the kinetic constants. The CUOOH is more hydrophobic than H_2O_2 due to the bearing of the *p*-cumyl group, which exhibited a higher reducing capacity under the catalysis of **AO-ca-starch**. Therefore, it could be concluded that **AO-ca-starch** is more suitable for capturing the hydrophobic substrates for the catalytic reaction.

This result was further testified by changing the reductive substrates (ArSH). NBT revealed a higher hydrophobicity in comparison to TNB due to the absence of the carboxyl group. However, compared with TNB, the catalytic reaction with NBT as the reductive substrate showed a lower $K_m(\text{ROOH})$ and a higher K_{cat}/K_m in both systems containing CUOOH and H_2O_2 (Table 2). Appreciably, the reaction between two hydrophobic substrates (NBT and CUOOH) catalyzed by **AO-ca-starch** revealed the highest v_{\max} , K_{cat} , and K_{cat}/K_m , and the smallest K_m compared to other reactions, whereas the **AO-ca-starch**-catalyzed reaction of TNB and H_2O_2 displayed the lowest v_{\max} , K_{cat} , and K_{cat}/K_m , but the highest K_m . These results are in good agreement with the v_0 value of **AO-ca-starch** in different reaction systems (Table 1). The **AO-ca-starch** revealed a higher v_0 in the reaction system with more hydrophobic substrates. For example, the v_0 value for the **AO-ca-starch**-catalyzed reaction of NBT and CUOOH is the maximum one among the four kinds of substrate combinations (Table 1).

According to the previous reports in the literature,^{23,43,46,47} the hydrophobic microenvironments in the GPx mimic and the active sites play an important role in offering the high catalytic activity. The anchoring of the hydrophobic OSA chains on the starch surface provided the hydrophobic microenvironments for gathering the hydrophobic substrates *via* hydrophobic interaction, which would benefit the catalytic reaction. Generally, the rate of the spontaneous reaction between ArSH and ROOH is in the order $v_0(\text{H}_2\text{O}_2) > v_0(\text{CUOOH})$.⁴⁸ However, the v_0 value for **AO-ca-starch** conducted in the system containing CUOOH was greater than that in the system containing H_2O_2 (Table 1). This result suggested that the hydrophobic microenvironment played an important role in maintaining the high catalytic activity of **AO-ca-starch**. However, the OSA-ca-starch, without –SeH (catalytic center), could not catalyze the reaction between ROOH and ArSH (Table 1). Therefore, –SeH was the key factor for the catalytic reaction, while the hydrophobic microenvironments in **AO-ca-starch** enhanced the catalytic activity. In order to testify the formation of hydrophobic

Table 2 Kinetic parameters of catalytic reactions for AO-ca-starch

Reaction	v_{\max} ($\mu\text{M min}^{-1}$)	K_{cat} (min^{-1})	$K_m(\text{ROOH})$ (μM)	$K_{\text{cat}}/K_m (\times 10^5 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ min}^{-1})$
TNB + CUOOH	10.11	10.11	22.75	4.44
TNB + H_2O_2	7.29	7.29	38.29	1.90
NBT + CUOOH	12.73	12.73	19.87	6.41
NBT + H_2O_2	8.51	8.51	24.50	3.47

microenvironments in **AO-ca-starch**, the pyrene fluorescent probe method was used.

Pyrene is a commonly used fluorescent probe for testing the hydrophobicity of materials as its molecular fluorescence emission spectrum is very sensitive to the polarity of the environment.^{49,50} The hydrophobic pyrene molecules can be assembled in the hydrophobic microenvironments of the materials, resulting in a decrease in fluorescence intensity ratio (I_1/I_3) of the peak at $\lambda_1 = 372 \text{ nm}$ and the peak at $\lambda_3 = 383 \text{ nm}$.⁵¹ Therefore, the value of I_1/I_3 can be used to characterize the hydrophobic microenvironments of the targeted materials, that is, the smaller the I_1/I_3 , the stronger the hydrophobicity of the material. The fluorescence spectra of pyrene in the aqueous solution and pyrene in dispersions of **AO-ca-starch**, OSA-ca-starch and cassava starch are shown in Fig. 7. The concentration of pyrene for each sample was $5 \times 10^{-6} \text{ mol L}^{-1}$. The value of I_1/I_3 for the pyrene/OSA-ca-starch mixture was 1.54, which is smaller than that of pyrene/starch mixture (1.75), indicating that the anchoring of the hydrophobic long-chains of OSA offered the OSA-ca-starch with some hydrophobic microenvironments. This result further indicated the successful esterification of starch with OSA. The nucleophilic addition reaction between NaSeH and OSA-ca-starch would consume $-\text{CH}=\text{CH}-$ in the OSA chains, and consequently decrease the hydrophobicity of the OSA-ca-starch. However, the value of I_1/I_3 for the pyrene/**AO-ca-starch** mixture (1.46) is slightly smaller than that of the pyrene/OSA-ca-starch mixture, suggesting more hydrophobic microenvironments were formed on the **AO-ca-starch**. A

possible explanation is that the aggregation of the starch molecular segments and OSA chains caused a rough surface, which increased its hydrophobicity. The nucleophilic addition was conducted in the medium of ethanol and water (1 : 1, v/v), in which ethanol dissolved the anchored OSA chains and water dissolved some external molecular of starch. These dissolved molecular chains with high freedom could be re-aggregated during the drying, resulting in a rough surface (Fig. 3c). In a word, these results of pyrene fluorescent probe tests indicated that the hydrophobic microenvironment was formed in **AO-ca-starch**. Such hydrophobic microenvironment was conducive to gather hydrophobic substrates, resulting in a high catalytic activity and catalytic efficiency.

Overall, the nucleophilic addition of OSA-ca-starch with NaSeH offered the resulting starch with active sites ($-\text{SeH}$) and hydrophobic microenvironments, which endowed the starch with favorable antioxidant property.

Cytotoxicity of AO-ca-starch

The cytotoxicity of the native cassava starch and **AO-ca-starch** was measured by the MTT assay, which tested the cell growth of tumor cells HepG2 in a starch concentration-dependent manner. As shown in Fig. 8, the minimum cell viability is greater than 92% when exposed to cassava starch in the concentration ranging from 10 to $2000 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$, suggesting the negligible cytotoxicity of cassava starch. For the **AO-ca-starch**, the cell viability is higher than 95% at a low concentration, while at a high concentration ($>200 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$), the cell viability is




Fig. 7 Fluorescence spectra of pyrene in solution of pyrene (a) and pyrene in dispersions of **AO-ca-starch** (b), OSA-ca-starch (c) and cassava starch (d).




Fig. 8 Relative cell viability as a function of concentrations of cassava starch and **AO-ca-starch**.



greater than 100%. For example, the cell viability was 108.2% and 107.7% as the cells were incubated in the media containing 1000 $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ and 2000 $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ **AO-ca-starch**, respectively. A likely explanation is that the **AO-ca-starch** with anti-oxidation property facilitated the propagation of cell. Overall, the **AO-ca-starch** is not toxic to cells.

Conclusions

In this work, a modified starch (**AO-ca-starch**) with a favorable antioxidant property was prepared to mimic GPx. The cassava starch was first modified with OSA and then reacted with NaSeH by nucleophilic addition to innovatively produce the antioxidant starch with active sites ($-\text{SeH}$) and hydrophobic microenvironments on the surface. The optimal conditions for the preparation of the antioxidant starch were a reaction time of 6 h, a reaction temperature of 50 °C, a molar ratio of NaSeH to double bonds of 6 : 1, and an ethanol volume ratio of 50%. The selenium content of **AO-ca-starch** prepared under the above-mentioned conditions was 11.2 $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$. The crystalline structure and particle size of starch did not change significantly during the modifications. With a typical saturated kinetic catalytic behavior, this **AO-ca-starch** revealed a catalytic activity of about 11.79 $\mu\text{M min}^{-1}$, which is 4.95×10^5 times that of PhSeSePh and 4.12 times of the micellar catalyst. The combination of the active sites and hydrophobic microenvironments was the main contribution of the high catalytic activity. The **AO-ca-starch** was not toxic to cells. This work may open a new way for the functional application of selenium-enriched starch as antioxidant food and drugs.

Author contributions

Conceptualization, C. S. and Q. H.; methodology, C. S. and Q. H.; validation, C. S., Q. H. and R. Z.; investigation, C. S., F. W. and M. L.; resources, M. L. and Z. L.; writing – original draft preparation, C. S.; writing – review & editing, C. S. and X. L.; visualization, C. S.; supervision, X. L. and Y. Y.; project administration, Y. Y. and H. H.; funding acquisition, Y. Y. and R. Z. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

Financial support from National Natural Science Foundation of China (51663020), Natural Science Foundation for Distinguished Young Scholars of Guangxi Province (2017GXNSFFA198007) and Project of Guangxi Colleges and Universities for the Promotion of Foundation Ability of Young Teachers (2019KY0469). The authors also acknowledge Guangxi Colleges and Universities Innovation Research Team.

Notes and references

- 1 M. A. V. T. Garcia, C. F. Garcia and A. A. G. Faraco, *Starch/Staerke*, 2020, **72**, 1900270.
- 2 M. A. Labelle, P. Ispas Szabo and M. A. Mateescu, *Starch/Staerke*, 2020, **72**, 2000002.
- 3 H. J. Li, T. Cai, B. Yuan, R. H. Li, H. Yang and A. M. Li, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.*, 2014, **54**, 59–67.
- 4 N. N. Shah, C. Vishwasrao, R. S. Singhal and L. Ananthanarayan, *Food Hydrocolloids*, 2016, **55**, 179–188.
- 5 A. J. Cole, A. E. David, J. Wang, C. J. Galbán, H. L. Hill and V. C. Yang, *Biomaterials*, 2011, **32**, 2183–2193.
- 6 A. P. Zhang, Z. Zhang, F. H. Shi, J. X. Ding, C. S. Xiao, X. L. Zhuang, C. L. He, L. Chen and X. S. Chen, *Soft Matter*, 2013, **9**, 2224.
- 7 L. Altuna, M. L. Herrera and M. L. Foresti, *Food Hydrocolloids*, 2018, **80**, 97–110.
- 8 T. Dapčević Hadnadev, M. Hadnadev, M. Pojić, S. Rakita and V. Krstonošić, *J. Food Eng.*, 2015, **167**, 133–138.
- 9 X. Y. Wang, X. X. Li, L. Chen, F. W. Xie, L. Yu and B. Li, *Food Chem.*, 2011, **126**, 1218–1225.
- 10 X. R. Xiao, C. Guan, J. Xu, W. J. Fu and L. Yu, *Green Chem.*, 2021, **23**, 4647–4655.
- 11 X. Y. Chen, J. F. Mao, C. Liu, C. Chen, H. E. Cao and L. Yu, *Chin. Chem. Lett.*, 2020, **31**, 3205–3208.
- 12 X. R. Xiao, Z. F. Shao and L. Yu, *Chin. Chem. Lett.*, 2021, **32**, 2933–2938.
- 13 M. X. Liu, X. L. Zhang, S. N. Chu, Y. Y. Ge, T. Huang, Y. H. Liu and L. Yu, *Chin. Chem. Lett.*, 2021, DOI: 10.1016/j.clet.2021.05.061.
- 14 X. Y. Mao, P. Z. Li, T. Li, M. M. Zhao, C. Chen, J. Liu, Z. Q. Wang and L. Yu, *Chin. Chem. Lett.*, 2020, **31**, 3276–3278.
- 15 H. E. Cao, R. N. Ma, S. N. Chu, J. Q. Xi, L. Yu and R. Guo, *Chin. Chem. Lett.*, 2021, **32**, 2761–2764.
- 16 G. M. Luo, X. J. Ren, J. Q. Liu, Y. Mu and J. C. Shen, *Curr. Med. Chem.*, 2003, **10**, 1151–1183.
- 17 H. Sies, *Exp. Physiol.*, 1997, **82**, 291–295.
- 18 O. M. Ighodaro and O. A. Akinloye, *Alex. J. Med.*, 2018, **54**, 287–293.
- 19 H. J. Yu, J. Q. Liu, A. Böck, J. Li, G. M. Luo and J. C. Shen, *J. Biol. Chem.*, 2005, **280**, 11930–11935.
- 20 S. Thangamani, H. E. Eldesouky, H. Mohammad, P. E. Pascuzzi, L. Avramova, T. R. Hazbun and M. N. Seleem, *BBA-Gen. Subjects*, 2017, **1861**, 3002–3010.
- 21 V. P. Singh, J. F. Poon, R. J. Butcher, X. Lu, G. Mestres, M. K. Ott and L. Engman, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2015, **80**, 7385–7395.
- 22 S. F. Jiao, R. R. Zhang, Y. Z. Yin, S. M. Zhong, Z. J. Liu, Y. Y. Zheng, X. X. Hu, X. T. Liang and Z. Q. Huang, *RSC Adv.*, 2019, **9**, 28814–28822.
- 23 Y. Z. Yin, S. F. Jiao, R. R. Zhang, X. X. Hu, Z. F. Shi and Z. Q. Huang, *Soft Matter*, 2015, **11**, 5301–5312.
- 24 S. Y. Li, W. J. Xu, S. N. Chu, N. Ma, S. D. Liu, X. M. Li, T. T. Wang, X. J. Jiang, F. Li, Y. J. Li, D. M. Zhang, Q. Luo and J. Q. Liu, *Chem.-Eur. J.*, 2019, **25**, 10350–10358.



25 D. Su, D. L. You, X. J. Ren, G. M. Luo, Y. Mu, G. L. Yan, Y. Xue and J. C. Shen, *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.*, 2001, **285**, 702–707.

26 A. A. Vernekar, D. Sinha, S. Srivastava, P. U. Paramasivam, P. D Silva and G. Mugesha, *Nat. Commun.*, 2014, **5**, 1–13.

27 Y. Tang, L. P. Zhou, J. X. Li, Q. Luo, X. Huang, P. Wu, Y. G. Wang, J. Xu, J. C. Shen and J. Q. Liu, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2010, **49**, 3920–3924.

28 O. Epp, R. Ladenstein and A. Wendel, *Eur. J. Biochem.*, 1983, **133**, 51–69.

29 J. Rotruck, A. Pope, H. Ganther, A. Swanson, D. Hafeman and W. Hoekstra, *Nutr. Rev.*, 1973, **179**, 588–591.

30 Z. Y. Dong, J. Q. Liu, S. Z. Mao, X. Huang, B. Yang, X. J. Ren, G. M. Luo and J. C. Shen, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2004, **126**, 16395–16404.

31 G. Q. He, X. Y. Song, H. Ruan and F. Chen, *J. Agric. Food Chem.*, 2006, **54**, 2775–2779.

32 Z. P. Wu and D. Hilvert, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1990, **112**, 5647–5648.

33 K. Frost, D. Kaminski, G. Kirwan, E. Lascaris and R. Shanks, *Carbohydr. Polym.*, 2009, **78**, 543–548.

34 J. Matsui, M. Mitsuishi and T. Miyashita, *Macromolecules*, 1999, **32**, 381–386.

35 A. Drabczyk, S. Kudłacik-Kramarczyk, M. Głab, M. Kędzierska, A. Jaromin, D. Mierzwinski and B. Tyliszczak, *Materials*, 2020, **13**, 3073.

36 Y. J. Bai, Y. C. Shi, A. Herrera and O. Prakash, *Carbohydr. Polym.*, 2011, **83**, 407–413.

37 F. Ye, M. Miao, C. Huang, K. Y. Lu, B. Jiang and T. Zhang, *J. Agric. Food Chem.*, 2014, **62**, 11696–11705.

38 N. F. Zainal Abiddin, A. Yusoff and N. Ahmad, *Food Hydrocolloids*, 2018, **75**, 138–146.

39 P. S. Hornung, L. Do Prado Cordoba, S. R. Da Silveira Lazzarotto, E. Schnitzler, M. Lazzarotto and R. H. Ribani, *J. Therm. Anal. Calorim.*, 2017, **127**, 1869–1877.

40 R. H. Lin, Y. Y. Fan, T. Liu, H. Yang, L. J. Ma, X. J. Huang and Y. Liu, *Starch/Staerke*, 2019, **72**, 1900049.

41 X. X. Liu, Y. F. Wang, L. Yu, Z. Tong, L. Chen, H. S. Liu and X. X. Li, *Starch/Staerke*, 2013, **65**, 48–60.

42 X. Huang, Z. Y. Dong, J. Q. Liu, S. Z. Mao, J. Y. Xu, G. M. Luo and J. C. Shen, *Langmuir*, 2007, **23**, 1518–1522.

43 X. Huang, Y. Z. Yin, Y. Tang, X. L. Bai, Z. M. Zhang, J. Y. Xu, J. Q. Liu and J. C. Shen, *Soft Matter*, 2009, **5**, 1905.

44 S. W. Lv, X. G. Wang, Y. Mu, T. Z. Zang, Y. T. Ji, J. Q. Liu, J. C. Shen and G. M. Luo, *FEBS J.*, 2007, **274**, 3846–3854.

45 Z. Y. Dong, X. Huang, S. Z. Mao, K. Liang, J. Q. Liu, G. M. Luo and J. C. Shen, *Chem.-Eur. J.*, 2006, **12**, 3575–3579.

46 H. X. Zou, H. C. Sun, L. Wang, L. L. Zhao, J. X. Li, Z. Y. Dong, Q. Luo, J. Y. Xu and J. Q. Liu, *Soft Matter*, 2016, **12**, 1192–1199.

47 L. Wang, H. X. Zou, Z. Y. Dong, L. P. Zhou, J. X. Li, Q. Luo, J. Y. Zhu, J. Y. Xu and J. Q. Liu, *Langmuir*, 2014, **30**, 4013–4018.

48 Y. Z. Yin, L. Wang, H. Y. Jin, C. Y. Lv, S. J. Yu, X. Huang, Q. Luo, J. Y. Xu and J. Q. Liu, *Soft Matter*, 2011, **7**, 2521.

49 F. Mravec, M. Pekař and V. Velebný, *Colloid Polym. Sci.*, 2008, **286**, 1681–1685.

50 K. Kalyanasundaram and J. K. Thomas, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1977, **7**, 2039–2044.

51 O. E. Philippova, E. V. Volkov, N. L. Sitnikova, A. R. Khokhlov, J. Desbrieres and M. Rinaudo, *Biomacromolecules*, 2001, **2**, 483–490.

