


 Cite this: *RSC Adv.*, 2021, **11**, 37392

# Photoresponsive, switchable, pressure-sensitive adhesives: influence of UV intensity and hydrocarbon chain length of low molecular weight azobenzene compounds†

 Tae-Hyung Lee, <sup>a</sup> Gi-Yeon Han, <sup>a</sup> Mo-Beom Yi, <sup>a</sup> Jae-Ho Shin <sup>a</sup>  
 and Hyun-Joong Kim <sup>\*ab</sup>

Unlike traditional adhesives with a fixed adhesive force, switchable adhesives, which have an adhesive force that can be adjusted by external stimuli, are specifically designed to be released according to user demand, or to enable the transfer of fine electronic devices. Previously developed switchable adhesives have limitations such as a slow switching rate, narrow adhesion modulation range, or the lack of reusability. Thus, we fabricated switchable pressure-sensitive adhesives (PSAs) that can overcome these limitations. The adhesive force of each switchable PSA, which comprises an azobenzene-containing acrylic polymer and low molecular weight compounds, was designed to be activated/deactivated *via* ultraviolet (UV) and visible light irradiation. The adhesive force and UV intensity required for the switch were found to be dependent on the aliphatic chain length of the compound. The adhesive force of the SP-C10, *i.e.*, a switchable PSA containing an azobenzene compound with an aliphatic chain of 10 hydrocarbons, increased to 3.5 N from nearly zero in response to only 30 s of low-level (25 mW cm<sup>-2</sup>) UV irradiation. Additionally, SP-C10 did not lose its adhesive force even after 30 cycles of repeated adhesion switching. The mechanism of adhesion switching influenced by UV intensity and the structure of low molecular weight azobenzene compounds are also reported.

 Received 2nd September 2021  
 Accepted 12th November 2021

DOI: 10.1039/d1ra06596c

[rsc.li/rsc-advances](http://rsc.li/rsc-advances)

## Introduction

An adhesive is a material used to attach two substrates; it is generally known as a material that is applied in a liquid state and cured into a solid through the use of moisture, heat, and/or light, forming an adhesive bond. Pressure-sensitive adhesives (PSAs) are adhesives that maintain their stickiness under the service temperature conditions, and can form an adhesive bond without being cured.<sup>1</sup> To achieve adhesion without a liquid-to-solid phase transition, a PSA has to have a  $T_g$  that is 25–45 °C lower than service temperature,<sup>2</sup> and the appropriate viscoelastic properties.<sup>3</sup>  $T_g$  is a characteristic of amorphous polymers, and is the temperature at which molecular segments comprising several molecules begin to move. The wetting of an adhesive on the substrate is essential for adhesion. The relatively low PSA  $T_g$  allows them to be wetted at the service

temperature and form an adhesive bond in the absence of a phase transition. Chang published a study on the viscoelastic window of PSAs.<sup>3</sup> They were found to have specific storage modulus ( $G'$ ) and loss modulus ( $G''$ ) ranges, and to have characteristics and applications that can be determined by  $G'$  and  $G''$ .

Thus, when the  $T_g$  and viscoelastic properties of a PSA are determined by the chemical composition or film fabrication process, the adhesive force is fixed under constant environmental conditions such as temperature and humidity. General adhesives maintain their adhesive force after being cured. However, there is growing interest in adhesives that can be released from a substrate upon user demand, thereby enabling multi-functionality. This type of adhesive, *i.e.*, switchable adhesives, is attached to the substrate with a strong force, and can be easily detached in response to external stimuli such as heat, light, water, and/or electromagnetic force.<sup>4</sup>

Heat is the most common stimulus for adhesion switching. Because the mechanical properties of the base polymer are temperature-dependent, the adhesive strength can be changed by heating or cooling it. Alternatively, an excessive temperature change is necessary to control the adhesive strength of general adhesives. In the case of conventional PSAs, a significant change in adhesive force can be achieved by applying

<sup>a</sup>Laboratory of Adhesion and Bio-Composites, Program in Environmental Materials Science, Department of Agriculture, Forestry and Bioresources, Seoul National University, 1 Gwanak-ro, Gwanak-gu, Seoul 08826, Republic of Korea. E-mail: [hjokim@snu.ac.kr](mailto:hjokim@snu.ac.kr)

<sup>b</sup>Research Institute of Agriculture and Life Sciences, Seoul National University, 1 Gwanak-ro, Gwanak-gu, Seoul 08826, Republic of Korea

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: 10.1039/d1ra06596c



a temperature that is at least 30–40 °C lower or higher than  $T_g$ .<sup>5</sup> As such, liquid crystal polymers or liquid crystal elastomers have been used to reduce the temperature range required for adhesion switching.<sup>6–9</sup> Adhesion switching is induced by a change in the mechanical or chemical properties of the comprising materials.<sup>4</sup> Thus, owing to their better molecular mobility as compared to dried materials, many researchers have opted to use hydrogel systems to obtain the desired switchable adhesion characteristics. The adhesion properties of hydrogels can be controlled by modulating the molecular conformation or reversible bonding phases, particularly heat-, pH-, and solvent-dependent host–guest interactions or coordination with metal ions.<sup>10–15</sup> The frequency dependence of elastomer stamp<sup>16</sup> or cross-linking by UV light<sup>17–19</sup> can be applied in the electronics manufacturing process to enable rapid adhesion switching that does not damage the substrate. There are also switchable adhesives that utilize shape-memory polymers,<sup>20</sup> solvent wettability,<sup>21</sup> and magneto-rheological materials.<sup>22,23</sup> However, the applicability of switchable adhesives that require heat, solvent, or hydrated conditions is limited. In addition, irreversibly cross-linked adhesives cannot be reused; other methods also have limitations, such as a slow switching speed or narrow adhesive force modulation range.

Thus, we have studied photo-sensitive materials with rapid adhesion switching characteristics and a wide adhesive force modulation range in the dried state. Some compounds have photo-reversible bonds in the dried state.<sup>24</sup> A photo-reversible cycloaddition reaction of anthracene moiety has been applied in studies to realize re-workable adhesives.<sup>25–27</sup> Although these compounds with reversible reactions form stable bonded structures, they require long-term stimulation for bond transition. We have applied an azobenzene moiety to fabricate the photoresponsive adhesive. The azobenzene group isomerizes from the *trans* form to the *cis* form in response to UV radiation, and returns to the *trans* form under visible light. Although *trans*-azobenzene has a planar symmetric structure, *cis*-azobenzene has a three-dimensionally bent asymmetric structure.<sup>28</sup> For this reason, the intermolecular arrangement and polarity of the azobenzene moiety can be converted by photoisomerization. Some studies have been conducted on switchable adhesives that incorporate an azobenzene moiety as an acrylic polymer side chain.<sup>29–34</sup> However, these adhesives have limitations in terms of repeated usage, because separation tends to occur during the solid-to-liquid phase transition. In this study, we prepared PSAs that are capable of adhesion switching without solid/liquid phase transitioning; they comprise mixtures of a copolymer of butyl acrylate and azobenzene-containing acrylate, and low molecular weight compounds containing an azobenzene moiety. The isomerization of azobenzene moiety is influenced by its substituent, polymer containing azobenzene groups, and the environment of the surrounding matrix by electronic and steric effects.<sup>35–38</sup> We studied the influence of substituent's steric effect on photoisomerization of azobenzene moiety and adhesion switching by varying the hydrocarbon chain length of the substituent. Our novel switchable PSA is triggered by UV and visible light irradiation, and is capable of repeated adhesion switching without any loss of adhesive force.

It was found to be able to obtain a large adhesive force even at low UV intensity, and under the condition of a short exposure time of 30 s. Here, we also report on the mechanism of adhesion switching and influence of the UV intensity on the switchable PSAs.

## Experimental

### Materials

The following were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich: 4-phenylazophenol (98%), 1-chlorohexane (99%), 1-chlorodecane (98%), dibutyltin dilaurate (DBTDL, 95%), and butyl acrylate (>99%). Potassium carbonate (>99%), potassium iodide (>99.5%), 6-chloro-1-hexanol (>96%), 1-chlorotetradecane (>98%), and 1-chlorooctadecane (>98%) were purchased from Tokyo Chemical Industry Co., Ltd. The following were purchased from Samchun Chemicals Co., Ltd.: 2,2'-azobisisobutyronitrile (98%), 2-butanone (MEK, 99.5%), *N,N*-dimethylformamide (DMF, 99.5%), tetrahydrofuran (THF, 99.9%), methanol (99.5%), *n*-hexane (96%), acetone (99.5%), and ethyl acetate (EA, 99.5%). Lastly, 2-isocyanatoethyl acrylate (Karencz AOI, Showa Denko) was used as the isocyanate-containing acrylic monomer.

### Synthesis and polymerization

**Synthesis of 6-(4-(phenyldiazenyl)phenoxy)hexan-1-ol.** The method was based on a procedure described by Zhou.<sup>39</sup> Briefly, 4-phenylazophenol (3.568 g, 0.018 mol) and potassium carbonate (2.488 g, 0.018 mol) were added to DMF (15 ml). After dissolving the mixture under the condition of stirring at room temperature for 30 min, potassium iodide (7.5 mg, 0.045 mmol) and 6-chloro-1-hexanol (3.689 g, 0.027 mol) were added to the solution. Synthesis was carried out over a period of 24 h, and at 110 °C. The reaction solution was poured into cold water, and the precipitate was filtered. After recrystallization of the filtered compound in *n*-hexane, the compound was filtered and dried at room temperature under vacuum. Yield: 51.9%.

**Synthesis of 2-(((6-(4-(phenyldiazenyl)phenoxy)hexyl)oxy)carbonyl)amino)ethyl acrylate (azo-acrylate).** After dissolving 6-(4-(phenyldiazenyl)phenoxy)hexan-1-ol (2.000 g, 0.007 mol) in MEK (15 ml) at room temperature, 2-isocyanatoethyl acrylate (1.976 g, 0.014 mol) was added to the solution. The mixture was stirred under  $N_2$  purging conditions for 20 min. DBTDL (0.019 g) was diluted in MEK and added to the solution. The reaction mixture was maintained at 40 °C for 6 h. The reacted solution was poured into cold *n*-hexane, and the precipitate was filtered. The filtered compound was dried at room temperature under a vacuum. Yield: 72.4%.

**Synthesis of low molecular weight azobenzene compounds (azo-compounds).** The synthesized 6-(4-(phenyldiazenyl)phenoxy)hexan-1-ol was used to synthesize four low molecular weight azobenzene compounds (azo-compounds), *i.e.*, 1-(4-(hexyloxy)phenyl)-2-phenyldiazene (**Azo-C6**), 1-(4-(decyloxy)phenyl)-2-phenyldiazene (**Azo-C10**), 1-(4-(tetradecyloxy)phenyl)-2-phenyldiazene (**Azo-C14**), and 1-(4-(octadecyloxy)phenyl)-2-phenyldiazene (**Azo-C18**); note that alkyl chloride (1-chlorohexane, 1-chlorodecane, 1-chlorotetradecane, and 1-



chlorooctadecane) was used instead of 6-chloro-1-hexanol. After dissolving 4-phenylazophenol (1.982 g, 0.010 mol) and potassium carbonate (1.382 g, 0.010 mol) in DMF (9 ml), potassium iodide (4.2 mg, 0.025 mmol) and the corresponding alkyl chloride (0.015 mol) were added to the solution. Synthesis was carried out over a period of 24 h at 110 °C. The reaction solution was poured into cold water, and the precipitate was filtered. The filtered compound was recrystallized in methanol (**Azo-C6**), *n*-hexane (**Azo-C10**), or acetone (**Azo-C14** and **Azo-C18**). The recrystallized compound was filtered and dried at room temperature under vacuum. Yield: 50.3% (**Azo-C6**), 56.7% (**Azo-C10**), 75.6% (**Azo-C14**), and 64.3% (**Azo-C18**).

**Polymerization of azobenzene-containing acrylic polymer (azo-polymer).** The inhibitor in the butyl acrylate was removed by using neutral aluminium oxide. After butyl acrylate (1.5 g, 0.012 mol) and azo-acrylate (0.572 g, 1.3 mmol) were added to the EA (5 ml), the mixture was stirred at 70 °C for 1 min to dissolve the azo-acrylate powder. The dissolved solution was stirred under N<sub>2</sub> purging conditions for 20 min at room temperature. The thermal initiator, 2,2'-azobisisobutyronitrile (0.01 g), was diluted in acetone and injected into the solution. Free-radical polymerization was conducted at 70 °C over a period of 1.5 h; the process was terminated by pouring THF (5 ml) in the polymerized solution to prevent the interaction between the azobenzene pendants from leading to gelation. After it was cooled, the solution was poured into methanol. The precipitate was dissolved in THF. After repeating the precipitation and dissolving process three times, the dissolved polymer solution was dried on a silicone-release film at 70 °C. The number average molecular weight, weight average molecular weight, and polydispersity index were 42 K, 147 K, and 3.5, respectively.

### Preparation of switchable PSA

After dissolving the azo-polymer in THF, 12 mol% of one of the four azo-compounds was added to the polymer solution. The content of the azo-compound was calculated in consideration of the number of butyl acrylate and azo-acrylate molecules in the azo-polymer. The azo-compounds were dissolved in their respective polymer solution by using a vortex mixer. After using a 120 μm coating applicator to cast each mixture on a corona-treated polyethylene terephthalate (PET) film (50 μm), the films were dried at 100 °C for 20 min in a convection oven. After being cooled, the dried films were irradiated with UV light (365 nm LED lamp, 125 mW cm<sup>-2</sup>, 30 s) and visible light (50 W white LED lamp, 30 s). The thicknesses of the switchable PSAs

were 6–7 μm, as measured by using a digital micrometer (S-Mike\_Pro, Sylvac). The lap shear test specimen was prepared by applying the same method, using a silicone-release PET film (50 μm) instead of the corona-treated PET film. The PSA samples were named SP-6, SP-10, SP-14, and SP-18, according to the type of azo-compound (Table 1).

### Characterization

A 400 MHz NMR spectrometer (JNM-ECX400, JEOL) was used to record the <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectra. The operating temperature was room temperature, and tetramethylsilane (δ = 0 ppm) was used as a reference to determine the chemical shift. The ratio of azobenzene groups present in the azo-polymer was calculated based on its <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectrum, which was obtained after it was washed with methanol (Fig. S8†). The ratio was calculated based on the bands respectively corresponding to the hydrogen of the aromatic group of azobenzene, and the CH<sub>3</sub> at the end of butyl acrylate, as described below.

$$C_{\text{azo}} = \frac{I_{7.89 \text{ ppm}}/4}{I_{0.88 \text{ ppm}}/3 + I_{7.89 \text{ ppm}}/4} \quad (1)$$

where  $C_{\text{azo}}$  denotes the content of the azobenzene groups in the azo-polymer, and  $I_{7.89 \text{ ppm}}$  and  $I_{0.88 \text{ ppm}}$  denote integral values of each band.

The adhesive forces of the switchable PSAs were evaluated by applying a probe tack test. The tack forces were measured by operating a texture analyzer (TA.XT plus, Stable Micro Systems) and a 500 N load cell at 25 °C (RH: 50 ± 10%). A cylindrical 5 mm-diameter stainless-steel probe was used. The probe was in contact with each PSA specimen for 1 s, applying a force of 100 g<sub>f</sub>; the contact speed was 0.2 mm s<sup>-1</sup>. After the probe was detached from the specimen at a speed of 10 mm s<sup>-1</sup>, the maximum force was taken as the probe tack value. The average of five measurements was used for each specimen.

The azobenzene moiety photoisomerization was monitored by using a UV/Vis spectrometer (UV-1601PC, Shimadzu) to record the UV/Vis absorption spectrum; the scan range was 300–600 nm. To measure each switchable PSA cast on the PET film, the UV/Vis absorption of the 50 μm-thick corona-treated PET film was applied as the spectrum baseline.

A lap shear test was conducted to measure the shear modulus of each switchable PSA. The PSA cast on the release film was transferred to a poly(methyl methacrylate) (PMMA) substrate with dimensions of 6 mm × 20 mm × 1 mm (width × length × thickness) after UV irradiation (125 mW cm<sup>-2</sup>, 30 s). The adhesive area was 6 mm × 20 mm (width × length). After another

Table 1 Compositions of solution mixtures applied to cast the switchable PSAs

	Azo-polymer	THF	Azo-C6	Azo-C10	Azo-C14	Azo-C18
Azo-polymer	0.1 g (88 mol% of butyl and azobenzene pendant groups)	1 ml	—	—	—	—
SP-C6			25.1 mg (12 mol%)	—	—	—
SP-C10			—	30.1 mg (12 mol%)	—	—
SP-C14			—	—	35.1 mg (12 mol%)	—
SP-C18			—	—	—	40.1 mg (12 mol%)






Fig. 1 Chemical structures of the (a) azo-polymer and (b) azo-compounds.

PMMA substrate was attached, visible light was applied for 30 s. Two pieces of PMMA with dimensions of 6 mm × 6 mm × 1 mm were attached to each end of the substrate with an instant adhesive. The shear stress was measured by using dynamic mechanical analysis (DMA, Q800, TA Instruments). The test was conducted in strain ramp mode at 25 °C; the test speed was 1% s<sup>-1</sup>. This test was applied three times for each specimen; the average value was used.

A drop-shape analyzer (DSA 100, KRÜSS) was used to measure the water contact angle of each PSA surface at 25 °C (RH: 50 ± 10%). The contact angle was measured 10 s after 5 μl of water droplets were dropped onto the surface. The average of five measurements was used for each specimen.

The  $T_g$  of the switchable PSAs and phase-transition temperatures of the azo-compounds were measured by loading each PSA (2–3 mg) onto a sample pan (Tzero Pan, 901683.901, TA Instruments) and applying differential scanning calorimetry (DSC, Q200, TA Instruments). After being cooled to –50 °C at 30 °C min<sup>-1</sup>, the temperature of each specimen was maintained for 2 min. The DSC curve was recorded as the specimen was heated to 50 °C at a rate of 5 °C min<sup>-1</sup>. A photothermal DSC method was used to measure the  $T_g$  of each UV-irradiated switchable PSA. Although the cooling and heating rates were

the same, each specimen was irradiated with UV light during the cooling and isothermal steps. The heating step was carried out under dark conditions. A spot UV curing system (S2000-XLA, OmniCure) with a 320–500 nm filter was used for UV irradiation. The UV intensity at specimen surface was 75 mW cm<sup>-2</sup>. The azo-compounds (1–2 mg) were loaded onto the Tzero Pan. After each specimen was heated to 150 °C at 30 °C min<sup>-1</sup> and subjected to a 3 min isothermal process, the data were recorded as it was cooled to 0 °C and heated to 200 °C at 10 °C min<sup>-1</sup>.

## Results and discussion

### Adhesion switching characteristics of switchable PSAs

The chemical structures of the azo-polymer and azo-compounds are shown in Fig. 1. The switchable PSAs, as fabricated with a mixture of azo-polymer and azo-compound, were expected to have a structure in which the azo-compound was dispersed in the azo-polymer matrix. It was also expected to have different molecular arrangements, depending on the length of the hydrocarbon chain of the azo-compounds. Because PSAs must have a low  $T_g$  to have adhesion properties at the service temperature, the acrylic copolymer was polymerized with 90 mol% of butyl acrylate with a low  $T_g$  (approximately –53 °C), and 10 mol% of azo-acrylate (Fig. 1a). The content of the azobenzene moiety contained in the polymer chain was determined from the <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectrum after the azo-polymer was washed with methanol (Fig. S8†). The calculated content was 8 mol%.

Probe tack tests were applied to evaluate the adhesion switching characteristics of the switchable PSAs. Because the intermolecular interaction and arrangement are dependent on the chain length, the influence of the compound hydrocarbon chain length on the switching characteristics was evaluated by measuring the tack value variation according to UV intensity. A UV irradiation time was 30 s. After applying the probe tack test to each UV-irradiated specimen, all specimens were irradiated with visible light to “switch off” the adhesive force; then, the adhesive force was measured again to evaluate whether reversible switching was possible (Fig. S9†). In Fig. 2a, the results of the “switched off” adhesive force were omitted to highlight the trend with respect to UV intensity.




Fig. 2 (a) Switchable PSAs probe tack force as a function of UV intensity, and (b) 30-cycle adhesion switching test results for SP-C10 (UV irradiation time: 30 s).



The azo-polymer, which had a 2.2 N tack value before UV irradiation, exhibited no change in adhesion, even when the UV intensity was increased. Specifically, there was no change in the adhesion or switching characteristics even when **Azo-C6** was added (SP-C6). The adhesive forces of the azo-polymer and SP-6 were maintained even when under visible light irradiation (Fig. S9†). Alternatively, when an azo-compound had more than 10 hydrocarbon chains, an initial adhesive force was not detected before UV irradiation. In the case of SP-10, weak adhesion was detected when 12 mW cm<sup>-2</sup> of UV radiation was applied; the strength of the adhesion began to rapidly increase at 25 mW cm<sup>-2</sup>. The tack force gradually increased at UV intensities above 25 mW cm<sup>-2</sup>, but tended to begin saturating at 100 mW cm<sup>-2</sup>. The tack force increased to 4.4 N under the UV radiation condition of 125 mW cm<sup>-2</sup>. Additionally, longer hydrocarbon chains of the azo-compound necessitated stronger UV intensities for adhesion. The adhesive forces of SP-C14 and SP-C18 sharply increased at 50 and 100 mW cm<sup>-2</sup>, respectively (Fig. 2a). The tack value also decreased as the length of the hydrocarbon chain increased. The adhesive forces of SP-C10, SP-C14, and SP-C18 were found to sharply decline in response to visible light irradiation (Fig. S9†). Thus, adhesion switching was possible when the azo-compound had more than 10 hydrocarbon chains. Furthermore, as the hydrocarbon chain length increased, the UV intensity required for adhesion switching increased, and the adhesive force decreased. Reversible adhesion switching was found to be possible for SP-10, SP-14, and SP-18. Note that the 30-cycle adhesion switching repetition test for SP-C10, which had the highest tack force, confirmed no decrease in the adhesive force (Fig. 2b).

As mentioned, adhesion was enabled by wetting the adhesive on the substrate. Although the wetting of an ideal solid with a low-viscosity liquid can be simply explained by surface energy,

complex factors must be considered to determine the wetting of a viscoelastic material. In particular, modulus is main factor in determining wetting of viscoelastic material.<sup>40</sup> The modulus limits its wetting, and materials with a high modulus have poor wettability.

After adhesion was initially established by wetting, the response of a PSA to various external forces that try to separate it is expressed as an adhesive force. The equation for the probe tack force  $F$  is as follows:

$$F = \sqrt{2\pi^2 K \gamma a^4 / t} \quad (2)$$

where  $K$  is the bulk modulus,  $\gamma$  is the interfacial surface energy,  $a$  is the radius of the probe, and  $t$  is the thickness of the adhesive.<sup>41</sup> According to eqn (2), the surface energy and modulus affect the adhesive properties of PSAs. In contrast to wettability, an increase of the modulus in the adhesion-formed state increases probe tack force. Thus, we monitored the photoisomerization of the azobenzene moiety in each switchable PSA under various UV radiation conditions, and evaluated the photoisomerization-induced changes in the modulus and surface energy of each PSA.

### Photoisomerization of the azobenzene moiety

Because any change in adhesive force in response to UV or visible light irradiation was expected to be related to the photoisomerization occurring between the *trans* and *cis* forms of the azobenzene moiety, the isomerization was measured at different UV intensities by using a UV/Vis spectrometer. The photoisomerization of the azobenzene moiety was monitored by focusing on the  $\pi \rightarrow \pi^*$  and  $n \rightarrow \pi^*$  absorption bands. Stable *trans*-azobenzene tended to have a  $\pi \rightarrow \pi^*$  absorption band near 360 nm, whereas the *cis* form had a lower-energy absorption band ( $n \rightarrow \pi^*$ ) near 450 nm.<sup>42</sup>




Fig. 3 UV/Vis spectra of the switchable PSAs according to UV intensity (UV irradiation time: 30 s).



Fig. 3 shows the UV/Vis spectra of the switchable PSAs according to UV intensity. The UV irradiation time was 30 s as in the probe tack test. The photoisomerization process was quantified based on the absorbance near 360 nm, which is the primary absorption band of *trans*-azobenzene. However, as shown in Fig. 3, the 360 nm absorbance of the switchable PSAs was out of measurement range. To obtain a *trans*-azobenzene absorption band that is within the measurable range, it is necessary to measure much thinner switchable PSAs. However, according to the Beer–Lambert law, because light intensity is more strongly attenuated through thicker materials, the photoisomerization process, particularly with respect to UV intensity, may be carried out differently for relatively thinner switchable PSAs. Thus, we obtained the UV/Vis spectra of PSA specimens with the same thickness as that previously applied, and monitored the photoisomerization trend by focusing on the  $n \rightarrow \pi^*$  absorption band near 450 nm.

In the case of the azo-polymer, isomerization of the azobenzene pendants in the polymer chain was observed. Regarding the isomerization results for the *trans* to *cis* form, absorption near 450 nm and 360 nm increased and decreased, respectively. The changes in both absorption bands revealed saturation at  $25 \text{ mW cm}^{-2}$ . This means that most azobenzene groups in the azo-polymer were isomerized at  $25 \text{ mW cm}^{-2}$ . The degree of SP-C6 isomerization increased gradually at 12 and  $25 \text{ mW cm}^{-2}$ ; it was saturated at  $50 \text{ mW cm}^{-2}$ . The UV intensity associated with isomerization saturation in the switchable PSAs increased with increasing length of the azo-compound hydrocarbon chain. In the case of SP-C18, the degree of photoisomerization gradually increased up until a UV intensity of  $100 \text{ mW cm}^{-2}$ ; additionally, under  $125 \text{ mW cm}^{-2}$  UV radiation conditions, the absorbance near 450 nm was lower than that in the cases of the other switchable PSAs. It means that more energy was required for photoisomerization of the azobenzene

as the aliphatic chain length increased. Although photoisomerization of the azobenzene group was observed in all specimens, the adhesion switching was possible only in SP-C10, SP-C14, and SP-C18. It could be defined through evaluation of chemical and mechanical characteristics.

#### UV light-induced changes in $T_g$ , modulus, and surface energy of the switchable PSAs

As mentioned in the Introduction, a PSA must have a low  $T_g$  to have adhesive properties at the service temperature. Thus, the  $T_g$  values for the pre- and post-UV-irradiated switchable PSAs were measured by using DSC (Fig. 4). UV irradiation shifted the initial  $T_g$  of the azo-polymer at  $-10.2 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$  to  $-16.3 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ . This is because the interaction between the *trans*-azobenzene pendant groups was weakened as they were isomerized into the *cis* form. The size and flexibility of polymer side groups are factors that determine  $T_g$ .<sup>43</sup> When the azobenzene pendant groups existed in the *trans* state, there were interactions between the pendants groups due to their planar structure and the  $\pi$ – $\pi$  interaction. The interactions limit the mobility of the side groups. Alternatively, when they were isomerized to *cis*-form, the interactions were reduced due to its bent structure; the  $T_g$  could be shifted to a lower temperature. For SP-C6, the initial-state  $T_g$  was  $-16.0 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ , which was lower than the initial  $T_g$  of the azo-polymer. **Azo-C6** was expected to disperse between the polymer chains and act as a plasticizer. The azo-polymer and SP-C6, which did not have adhesion switchability, had the initial-state  $T_g$ ; this was not clearly detectable in the DSC curves for SP-C10 and SP-C14, although there was slight slope transition in the DSC curves of SP-C10 and SP-C14. However, under UV irradiation, SP-C10 and SP-C14 was measured to have clear  $T_g$  transition of  $-15.6 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$  and  $-15.5 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ , respectively. The manifestation of  $T_g$  by UV radiation constitutes one of the reasons that the adhesive forces of SP-C10 and SP-C14 were “switched on”. Under DSC




Fig. 4 Pre- and post-UV exposure DSC curves for the switchable PSAs.






Fig. 5 (a) Stress–strain curves and (b) shear modulus results for the switchable PSAs according to UV intensity.

measurement, the transition in slope of heat flow curve is detected at specific temperature range by a segmental movement of polymer molecule. It is defined as  $T_g$ . Thus, the

phenomenon that clearly distinguished  $T_g$  became non-detectable by external stimuli signified that the molecular mobility of the switchable PSA became constrained. The SP-C18 had a non-sticky surface in the initial state. However, it had relatively clear  $T_g$  than those of SP-C10 and SP-C14 even before UV radiation in the DSC curve. The initial-state  $T_g$  of SP-C18 ( $-14.6\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ ), which was between those of the azo-polymer ( $-10.2\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ ) and SP-C6 ( $-16.0\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ ), and a small magnitude of gradient change in the DSC curve were results of the partial incompatibility between SP-C18 and azo-polymer.

Fig. 5 shows the lap shear test results for the switchable PSAs. The azo-polymer and SP-C6 had low moduli within the 10–20 kPa range, even before UV exposure. The lower shear modulus of SP-C6 compared to that of the azo-polymer is attributable to the plasticizing effect of **Azo-C6**, as indicated by the DSC results. The pre-UV-exposure shear moduli of SP-C10, SP-C14, and SP-C18 were 79, 112, and 106 kPa, respectively, which were much higher than those of the azo-polymer and SP-C6. As the hydrocarbon chain length of the azo-compound increased, the modulus tended to increase; however, the modulus of SP-18 is thought to have been lower than that of SP-14 because of the lower compatibility between **Azo-C18** and the azo-polymer. In the cases of the specimens that exhibited adhesion switching characteristics, *i.e.*, SP-C10, SP-C14, and SP-C18, the shear modulus decreased as the UV intensity increased. In particular, there was an intensity range in which the modulus rapidly decreased ( $0\text{--}25\text{ mW cm}^{-2}$  for SP-C10,  $25\text{--}50\text{ mW cm}^{-2}$  for SP-C14, and  $25\text{--}75\text{ mW cm}^{-2}$  for SP-C18); this range coincided with the range in which the tack forces and absorbance of the *cis*-azobenzene moiety rapidly increased. SP-C10 and SP-C14 had shear moduli below 10 kPa after UV irradiation at  $125\text{ mW cm}^{-2}$ . Alternatively, SP-C18, which had a narrow adhesion modulation range, had a relatively high




Fig. 6 Water contact angle results for the switchable PSAs.



shear modulus (25 kPa) even after UV irradiation at  $125 \text{ mW cm}^{-2}$ .

The chemical characteristics of the switchable PSAs were evaluated in terms of their water contact angles. The contact angles of the azo-polymer and SP-C6 were  $93\text{--}94^\circ$ ; they were maintained even under the conditions of UV and visible light exposure (Fig. 6). The initial contact angles of SP-C10, SP-C14, and SP-C18 increased to  $101.4^\circ$ ,  $105.5^\circ$ , and  $105.9^\circ$ , respectively. In general, an increase in the water contact angle means a decrease in surface energy. The contact angles of SP-C10 and SP-C14 decreased to  $93^\circ$  after UV irradiation ( $125 \text{ mW cm}^{-2}$ ); this value was found to be similar to those for the azo-polymer and SP-6. The results of measuring the contact angles of SP-C10, SP-C14, and SP-C18 according to the UV intensity revealed that the contact angles for each switchable PSA decreased within a specific intensity range in a way that was similar to the shear modulus results ( $0\text{--}25 \text{ mW cm}^{-2}$  for SP-C10,  $25\text{--}50 \text{ mW cm}^{-2}$  for SP-C14, and  $50\text{--}100 \text{ mW cm}^{-2}$  for SP-C18).

In the cases of the PSAs that were found to be capable of adhesion switching (*i.e.*, SP-C10, SP-C14, and SP-C18), the changes in  $T_g$ , shear modulus, and contact angle were confirmed to have been induced as a result of UV exposure. The deactivation of the adhesive force in the initial and visible light-irradiated states of the switchable PSAs was attributable to the non-detectable  $T_g$ , an increase in the shear modulus, and a decrease in surface energy. A decrease in surface energy can decrease the adhesive force as the both cases of wetting and eqn (2). However, the visible light-irradiated switchable PSA shear modulus values were 4–6 times larger than that of the azo-polymer; this higher modulus resulted in less wetting, which is considered to be the main cause of adhesion deactivation.

The non-detectable  $T_g$  in the DSC curves also verified that the molecular mobility of the PSA was restricted and insufficient to form wetting on the substrate. Alternatively, when UV irradiation was applied to the switchable PSAs, the photoisomerization of the azobenzene moiety from the *trans* to *cis* form clear  $T_g$  transition, as well as caused the modulus to decrease, and surface energy to increase. These complex changes led to the activation/deactivation of the adhesive forces of the switchable PSAs.

### Changes in the crystalline structures of the switchable PSAs

Changes in the crystalline structure are believed to be the primary reason for the changes in the  $T_g$ , modulus, and surface energy that occurred during photoisomerization of the azobenzene moiety in the switchable PSAs. As shown in Fig. 7, the azo-polymer and SP-C6 were transparent in initial state and maintained transparency under the condition of UV exposure. However, the “switched off” SP-10, SP-14, and SP-18 were opaque before UV irradiation. The SP-C10 became transparent under the conditions of low intensity ( $12\text{--}25 \text{ mW cm}^{-2}$ ) of UV radiation. SP-C14 became transparent at UV intensities above  $50 \text{ mW cm}^{-2}$ , whereas SP-C18 appeared to be partially transparent at  $100 \text{ mW cm}^{-2}$ , and was still not completely transparent at  $125 \text{ mW cm}^{-2}$ . The UV intensity ranges in which the film transparency changed were the same as those in which the adhesion, *trans*-to-*cis* photoisomerization, shear modulus, and contact angle of each PSA were rapidly changed.

The opaqueness of the amorphous azo-polymer-containing switchable PSAs was attributable to the crystalline structure that was formed by each azo-compound. The crystallinity of each azo-compound was confirmed by the corresponding DSC




Fig. 7 Transition in transparency of the switchable PSAs according to UV intensity.







Fig. 8 (a) DSC curves; (b and c) comparison of the azo-compound melting temperatures and melting enthalpies.

curve results (Fig. 8a). **Azo-C6** and **Azo-C10** had two phase-transition peaks on each DSC curve. This means that these two compounds had a mesophase between the two phase-transition peaks, such as a liquid crystal phase. However, as the length of the hydrocarbon substituent increased (**Azo-C14** and **Azo-C18**), the phase-transition temperature increased, and the mesophase disappeared. The melting enthalpies of **Azo-C6**, **Azo-C10**, **Azo-C14**, and **Azo-C18** obtained *via* integration of the phase-transition peaks were 20.1 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>, 38.3 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>, 51.2 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>, and 62.5 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>, respectively (Fig. 8c). The intermolecular interactions of the azo-compounds were found to be the  $\pi$ - $\pi$  interaction of the azobenzene moiety, and the dispersion interaction of the hydrocarbon chains. Thus, as the

length of the hydrocarbon chain increased, the intermolecular interaction and crystalline structure became stronger. This led to an increase in the melting temperature and enthalpy. **SP-C6** did not exhibit adhesion switching characteristics because **Azo-C6**, which had a relatively weak intermolecular interaction, did not form a crystalline structure in the azo-polymer. Alternatively, **Azo-C10**, **C14**, and **C18** formed a crystalline structure, and adhesion switching was realized by a transition in the crystalline structure by UV and visible light radiation (Scheme 1). The crystalline structures formed by the interaction between **Azo-C10**, **C14**, and **C18** molecules are stronger than the molecular arrangement of amorphous azo-polymer and **SP-C6**. The crystalline structures require more stress for deformation and



Scheme 1 Schematic illustration of crystalline structure formation of azo-compounds and transition in crystalline structure by light irradiation.



induce an increase in shear modulus. The surface energy is also reduced due to the intermolecular stacking of the crystalline structure formed by dispersion interaction of hydrocarbon chain and the  $\pi$ - $\pi$  interaction of azobenzene.<sup>44</sup>

Consequently, the reason that the UV intensity required for switchable PSAs to activate their adhesive forces increased as the azo-compound aliphatic chain length increased is believed to be related to the energy required to induce phase switching in the crystalline structures of the azo-compounds. The isomerization of the azobenzene moiety was affected by the phase and viscosity of the surrounding substances. Isomerization is known to become difficult when the viscosity surrounding the azobenzene moiety increases.<sup>45</sup> Furthermore, the photoisomerization from *trans*- to *cis*-azobenzene may not be possible if it exists in the solid phase.<sup>46</sup> Thus, photoisomerization may be related to molecular mobility and free volume. This is why the **Azo-C10**-containing SP-C10, which had a low melting enthalpy, and a mesophase, was capable of adhesion switching at the lowest UV intensity.

## Conclusions

Azo-compounds with substituents with different hydrocarbon chain lengths were synthesized on the azobenzene group. The switchable PSAs were prepared by mixing the compounds with an azo-polymer. When the length of the aliphatic chain exceeded 10, adhesion switching was possible. Moreover, longer chain lengths were found to necessitate stronger UV intensity for adhesion switching. The reason for this is related to the phase-transition temperature and melting enthalpy of the compound. Changes in the crystalline structure of the azo-compound in the polymer matrix were induced as a result of UV exposure, and the adhesive forces of the switchable PSA were activated in response to the detection of  $T_g$ , a decrease in the shear modulus, and an increase in surface energy. In the case of SP-C10, adhesion switching was possible at a relatively low UV intensity of 25 mW cm<sup>-2</sup>, even under the condition of a short UV irradiation time of 30 s. In addition, there was no loss of adhesive force even after 30 continuous cycles adhesion switching. It is expected that our novel switchable PSA, which is reusable and capable of rapid switching even at low UV intensities, can be applied in the transfer printing process in electrical and electronic industries. The adhesive force of the PSAs was activated by *trans* to *cis* isomerization of azobenzene moiety. Thus, the activated adhesive properties cannot be maintained permanently because of the metastable nature of the *cis*-azobenzene. Although it is expected to be applicable to processes requiring short-term adhesive force since it was confirmed that the adhesive properties were maintained during the probe tack test (within a few minutes), it would be worth studying the adhesion maintaining time to expand applications and gain a better understanding of the material.

## Author contributions

Tae-Hyung Lee: conceptualization, data curation, investigation, methodology, writing – original draft. Gi-Yeon Han: data

curation, formal Analysis. Mo-Beom Yi: formal analysis, investigation. Jae-Ho Shin: investigation, methodology. Hyun-Joong Kim: conceptualization, supervision.

## Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

## References

- 1 C. Creton and M. Ciccotti, *Rep. Prog. Phys.*, 2016, **79**, 46601.
- 2 C. Creton, *MRS Bull.*, 2003, **28**, 434–439.
- 3 E. P. Chang, *J. Adhes.*, 1991, **34**, 189–200.
- 4 A. B. Croll, N. Hosseini and M. D. Bartlett, *Adv. Mater. Technol.*, 2019, **4**, 1900193.
- 5 M. Kamperman and A. Synytska, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2012, **22**, 19390–19401.
- 6 G. de Crevoisier, P. Fabre, J. M. Corpart and L. Leibler, *Science*, 1999, **285**, 1246–1249.
- 7 K. Cho, J. H. Cho, S. Yoon, C. E. Park, J. C. Lee, S. H. Han, K. B. Lee and J. Koo, *Macromolecules*, 2003, **36**, 2009–2014.
- 8 T. Ohzono, M. O. Saed and E. M. Terentjev, *Adv. Mater.*, 2019, **31**, 1902642.
- 9 T. Ohzono, Y. Norikane, M. O. Saed and E. M. Terentjev, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2020, **12**, 31992–31997.
- 10 Y. Zheng, A. Hashidzume, Y. Takashima, H. Yamaguchi and A. Harada, *Nat. Commun.*, 2012, **3**, 831.
- 11 Y. Zheng, A. Hashidzume and A. Harada, *Macromol. Rapid Commun.*, 2013, **34**, 1062–1066.
- 12 H. Yamaguchi, Y. Kobayashi, R. Kobayashi, Y. Takashima, A. Hashidzume and A. Harada, *Nat. Commun.*, 2012, **3**, 603.
- 13 Y. Gao, K. Wu and Z. Suo, *Adv. Mater.*, 2019, **31**, 1806948.
- 14 T. Nakamura, Y. Takashima, A. Hashidzume, H. Yamaguchi and A. Harada, *Nat. Commun.*, 2014, **5**, 4622.
- 15 R. LaSpina, M. R. Tomlinson, L. Ruiz -Pérez, A. Chiche, S. Langridge and M. Geoghegan, *Angew. Chem.*, 2007, **119**, 6580–6583.
- 16 M. A. Meitl, Z. T. Zhu, V. Kumar, K. J. Lee, X. Feng, Y. Y. Huang, I. Adesida, R. G. Nuzzo and J. A. Rogers, *Nat. Mater.*, 2006, **5**, 33–38.
- 17 J. M. Boyne, E. J. Millan and I. Webster, *Int. J. Adhes. Adhes.*, 2001, **21**, 49–53.
- 18 K. Ebe, H. Seno and K. Horigome, *J. Appl. Polym. Sci.*, 2003, **90**, 436–441.
- 19 S. W. Lee, T. H. Lee, J. W. Park, C. H. Park, H. J. Kim, S. M. Kim, S. H. Lee, J. Y. Song and J. H. Lee, *Int. J. Adhes. Adhes.*, 2015, **57**, 9–12.
- 20 J. D. Eisenhaure, T. Xie, S. Varghese and S. Kim, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2013, **5**, 7714–7717.
- 21 K. Sim, S. Chen, Y. Li, M. Kammoun, Y. Peng, M. Xu, Y. Gao, J. Song, Y. Zhang, H. Ardebili and C. Yu, *Sci. Rep.*, 2015, **5**, 16133.
- 22 P. Testa, B. Chappuis, S. Kistler, R. W. Style, L. J. Heyderman and E. R. Dufresne, *Soft Matter*, 2020, **16**, 5806–5811.
- 23 J. H. Kim, B. C. Kim, D. W. Lim and B. C. Shin, *J. Mech. Sci. Technol.*, 2019, **33**, 5321–5325.



- 24 G. Kaur, P. Johnston and K. Saito, *Polym. Chem.*, 2014, **5**, 2171–2186.
- 25 Z. Liu, J. Cheng and J. Zhang, *Macromol. Chem. Phys.*, 2020, **222**, 2000298.
- 26 L. Shen, J. Cheng and J. Zhang, *Eur. Polym. J.*, 2020, **137**, 109927.
- 27 H. Akiyama, Y. Okuyama, T. Fukata and H. Kihara, *J. Adhes.*, 2018, **94**, 799–813.
- 28 A. Goulet-Hanssens, F. Eisenreich and S. Hecht, *Adv. Mater.*, 2020, **32**, 1905966.
- 29 H. Akiyama, S. Kanazawa, Y. Okuyama, M. Yoshida, H. Kihara, H. Nagai, Y. Norikane and R. Azumi, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2014, **6**, 7933–7941.
- 30 H. Akiyama, T. Fukata, A. Yamashita, M. Yoshida and H. Kihara, *J. Adhes.*, 2017, **93**, 823–830.
- 31 S. Ito, A. Yamashita, H. Akiyama, H. Kihara and M. Yoshida, *Macromolecules*, 2018, **51**, 3243–3253.
- 32 S. Ito, H. Akiyama, R. Sekizawa, M. Mori, M. Yoshida and H. Kihara, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2018, **10**, 32649–32658.
- 33 Y. Zhou, M. Chen, Q. Ban, Z. Zhang, S. Shuang, K. Koynov, H. J. Butt, J. Kong and S. Wu, *ACS Macro Lett.*, 2019, **8**, 968–972.
- 34 L. Kortekaas, J. Simke, D. W. Kurka and B. J. Ravoo, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2020, **12**, 32054–32060.
- 35 C. Barrett, A. Natansohn and P. Rochon, *Chem. Mater.*, 1995, **7**, 899–903.
- 36 D. Gegiou, K. A. Muszkat and E. Fischer, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1968, **90**, 3907–3918.
- 37 H. Rau and S. Y. Quan, *J. Photochem. Photobiol. A*, 1988, **42**, 321–327.
- 38 E. Titov, G. Granucci, J. P. Gotze, M. Persico and P. Saalfrank, *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.*, 2016, **7**, 3591–3596.
- 39 H. Zhou, C. Xue, P. Weis, Y. Suzuki, S. Huang, K. Koynov, G. K. Auernhammer, R. Berger, H. J. Butt and S. Wu, *Nat. Chem.*, 2017, **9**, 145–151.
- 40 S. Donatas, *Handbook of Pressure Sensitive Adhesive Technology*, Satas & Associates, Rhode Island, 1999.
- 41 K. Kendall, *J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys.*, 1971, **4**, 1186.
- 42 V. Ladanyi, P. Dvorak, J. Al Anshori, L. Vetrakova, J. Wirz and D. Heger, *Photochem. Photobiol. Sci.*, 2017, **16**, 1757–1761.
- 43 S. A. Jenekhe and M. F. Roberts, *Macromolecules*, 1993, **26**, 4981–4983.
- 44 H. S. Lim, J. T. Han, D. Kwak, M. Jin and K. Cho, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2006, **128**, 14458–14459.
- 45 D. Gegiou, K. A. Muszkat and E. Fischer, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1968, **90**, 12–18.
- 46 M. Tsuda and K. Kuratani, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.*, 1964, **37**, 1284–1288.

