RSC Advances

PAPER

Cite this: RSC Adv., 2021, 11, 28061

Received 30th July 2021 Accepted 12th August 2021

DOI: 10.1039/d1ra05802a

rsc.li/rsc-advances

Introduction

In the drug discovery and development process, obtaining small organic molecules in pure and sufficient amounts is one of the major challenges.¹ To access biologically active small molecules, the development of an efficient synthetic methodology from cheap starting materials is highly desirable.^{2,3} Imidazoles, a class of nitrogen-containing five-membered ring heterocyclic compounds, have a unique chemical behavior and a broad range of biological activities.⁴⁻⁶ The diverse therapeutic properties of these heterocycles include anti-inflammatory, antiproliferative, antimicrobial, anticancer, antihypertensive and inhibition of p38 MAP-kinase, angiotensin II receptor blockers and cytotoxicity.⁷⁻¹³ Imidazole-based compounds have also been used as important precursors in synthesizing pharmaceuticals and natural products like alkaloids.5,9,13–¹⁵ Some representative examples of biologically active multisubstituted imidazole drugs are shown in Fig. 1.

Trifenagrel is a type of imidazole-related drug that inhibits both arachidonate cyclooxygenase and platelet aggregation in many animal species and humans.^{16,17} Fenflumizol and neurodazine are also bioactive imidazole derivatives having potential neurogenic and anti-inflammatory activities.^{18,19} The tetraaryl-

TMSOTf-catalyzed synthesis of trisubstituted imidazoles using hexamethyldisilazane as a nitrogen source under neat and microwave irradiation conditions†

Kesatebrhan Haile Asressu[,](http://orcid.org/0000-0003-4803-4739) **D** Chieh-Kai Cha[n](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-5178-156X) **D** and Chen[g](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-2562-0658)-Chung Wang **D**^{*}

In the process of drug discovery and development, an efficient and expedient synthetic method for imidazole-based small molecules from commercially available and cheap starting materials has great significance. Herein, we developed a TMSOTf-catalyzed synthesis of trisubstituted imidazoles through the reaction of 1,2-diketones and aldehydes using hexamethyldisilazane as a nitrogen source under microwave heating and solvent-free conditions. The chemical structures of representative trisubstituted imidazoles were confirmed using X-ray single-crystal diffraction analysis. This synthetic method has several advantages including the involvement of mild Lewis acid, being metal- and additive-free, wide substrate scope with good to excellent yields and short reaction time. Furthermore, we demonstrate the application of the methodology in the synthesis of biologically active imidazole-based drugs. PAPER

(a) Check for updates

(a) Check for updates

Case the SC Az, 202, 11, 2600

Check for updates

Case the SC Az, 202, 11, 2600

Check for updates

Case the SC Az, 202, 11, 2600

Check for the particle control of the

substituted imidazole apoptozole was reported to induce apoptosis (a programmed cell death) in murine P19 embryonic carcinoma cells and could bind to the heat shock proteins Hsp72 and Hsc70.^{20,21}

The first angiotensin II receptor antagonist, losartan which is mainly used to treat high blood pressure (hypertension) and diabetic kidney disease, whereas the fused imidazole omeprazole is used as proton pump inhibitor.²⁰ The imidazole alkaloid, namely, lepidilines B, was isolated from the root extract of Lepidium meyenii and inhibits potentially cytotoxic reactions against numerous human cancer cell lines,²² while cimetidine is a histamine H-2-receptor antagonist that inhibits gastric acid

Fig. 1 Representative examples of biologically active imidazole-based drugs.

Institute of Chemistry, Academia Sinica, Taipei 115, Taiwan. E-mail: wangcc7280@ gate.sinica.edu.tw

[†] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Detailed experimental procedures and spectroscopic data for all compounds and X-ray analysis data for 3n, 3q, 3s, 3w, 4h and 3z. CCDC 2082023, 2082029, 2082025, 2082032, 2082036 and 2098033. For ESI and crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: 10.1039/d1ra05802a

production.²³ Substituted imidazoles also have important applications in the chemistry of materials such as in functional polymers,²⁴ ligands,²⁵ photophysical materials,^{26,27} ionic liquids,²⁸ semiconductor devices,²⁹ fluorescent probes,³⁰ and Nheterocyclic carbenes.³¹

Because of their versatile applications, several approaches have been developed for the chemical synthesis of imidazoles.6,31–³⁹ The most commonly known synthetic methods are (i) the three-component condensation reaction of benzils with -aryl aldehydes, primary alcohols, and ammonium acetate (NH4OAc)/amines using a microwave (MW) irradiation under ionic liquid,¹³ HOAc,^{35,36,40,41} Fe,^{42,43} Ni,⁴⁴ and Co⁴⁵ catalysis system, in the presence of acids,^{6,17,36,39,46,47} urea/hydrogen peroxide (UHP),⁴⁸ organic bases,⁴⁹ carbon,⁵⁰ and transitionmetal (TM) catalysts such as $\text{TiCl}_4/\text{SiO}_2$,⁴ Cu³² and Fe/Ag nanoparticles $(\text{NPs})^{51}$ Zr NPs^{33} La/Mn NPs^{52} Au $(\text{i})^{38}$ and $\text{Ru}(\text{ii})^{53,54}$ complexes, $urea/ZnCl₂,⁵⁵ MoO₃/SiO₂,⁵⁶ I₂,⁵⁷ ZrO₂/Al₂O₃,⁵⁸ and$ sulfated SnO₂⁵⁹ (Scheme 1a) (ii) *via* reaction of α , β -unsaturated ketones/aldehydes and internal alkynes with amidoximes using Fe(π)-catalyzed cross-dehydrogenative coupling⁶⁰ and Cs_2CO_3 promoted annulation⁶¹ (Scheme 1b) (iii) from α -nitro-epoxides and amidines with an excess base as promoter 62 (Scheme 1c), and (iv) from base-promoted nitrile-alkyne domino-type cyclization⁶³ (Scheme 1d). RSC Advances Articles. The interaction and interaction interaction interaction continues are interaction interact

Although these synthetic strategies are widely established, they are performed in the presence of expensive catalysts, ligands/additives, toxic organic solvents, and under harsh reaction conditions. Owning to the fascinating and wide range of applications of multisubstituted imidazoles in biological, pharmaceutical, and material chemistry, the development of an efficient, environmentally friendly, and economic synthetic method from commercially available starting materials and mild reagents is worth considering.

Hexamethyldisilazane (HMDS), a stable and inexpensive reagent, has been used in silylation⁶⁴⁻⁶⁷ and ring closure^{68,69} reactions in the presence of protic and Lewis acid^{66,68} as catalysts. In our previous work on silylation of free sugars, we found that activation of HMDS by trifluoromethanesulfonate (TMSOTf) generated gaseous ammonia (NH₃) as a byproduct,⁶⁵ making it a useful condition for the synthesis of substituted quinazolines.⁶⁸ Continuing our work on the development of an efficient synthetic methodology for the microwave-assisted synthesis of heterocycles,^{68,70-72} we herein describe for the first

Scheme 1 Synthetic methods of 2,4,5-triisubstituted imidazoles

time, TMSOTf-catalyzed solvent-free synthesis of trisubstituted imidazoles using HMDS as nitrogen source under microwave irradiation conditions (Scheme 1e).

Results and discussion

To optimize the reaction condition for the formation of the 2,4,5-triarylated imidazole 3a under microwave irradiation, we selected the commercially available 1,2-diketone (1a, 1 equiv.) and benzaldehyde (2a, 2 equiv.) as model substrates using HMDS (5 equiv.) as the nitrogen source in the absence and presence of various acids as catalysts (0.1 equiv.) (Table 1). To start with, we attempted to synthesize imidazole 3a without any catalyst. Accordingly, 3a was formed in 18% and 16% yields in toluene and water, respectively, which was then improved to 63% when the reaction was carried out in catalyst- and solventfree systems with microwave heating $(150 \degree C)$ (Table 1, entries 1–3). This improved yield (63%) indicated that the HMDS can serve both as a reagent and a solvent.

To further prepare compound 3a in a high yield, we conducted the reaction in the presence of Lewis acid as a catalyst. Interestingly, 84% yield of 3a was obtained under the AgOTf catalysis system in toluene (Table 1, entry 4). Based on this promising result, we screened several Lewis acids as catalysts. A slightly higher yield of 3a was obtained with $Cu(OTf)_{2}$; however, the yield dropped to 50% and 49% when $Sc(OTf)_3$ and $AlCl_3$ were employed, respectively (Table 1, entries 6 and 7) showing their low catalytic activity. Remarkably, a high yield (90%) of imidazole 3a was achieved with protic acid (TfOH) under the same reaction condition (Table 1, entry 8).

 a Reaction conditions: 1a (0.48 mmol, 1.0 equiv.), 2a (0.95 mmol, 2.0 equiv.), HMDS (2.38 mmol, 5.0 equiv.), catalyst (0.048 mmol, 0.1 equiv.). b Isolated yields.</sup>

We continued to investigate the reaction conditions to obtain a better yield of imidazole 3a. Gratifyingly, the desired product 3a was obtained in a quantitative yield with a catalytic amount of TMSOTf under microwave heating in toluene (Table 1, entry 9). We also examined the role of dichloromethane and acetonitrile on the reaction yields that could achieve good results like that with toluene (Table 1, entries 10 and 11). Importantly, the triaryl substituted imidazole 3a was isolated in 96% yield under solvent-free conditions (Table 1, entry 12). The yield of 3a was not significantly affected by lowering the reaction temperature from 150 to 100 $^{\circ}$ C, but dropped to 47% when the reaction was performed at 25 $^{\circ}$ C under a neat condition (Table 1, entries 13 and 14). On the other hand, increasing the reaction temperature to 200 °C decreases the yield of imidazole 3a (Table 1, entry 15). Indeed, the desired product 3a was formed in excellent yields with or without solvents under the TMSOTf-catalyzed system at 150 °C. Therefore, we preferred to use the solvent-free reaction condition (Table 1, entry 12) to investigate the substrate scope based on the principles of green chemistry.⁷³

We also evaluated the preparation of 3a from 1a and 2a under conventional reflux heating condition, and the reaction performed well affording a comparable yield (89%) of 3a as that of microwave-assisted reaction. Muthusubramanian and Esmaeilpour groups have also isolated similar yields of 2,4,5 trisubstituted imidazoles for reactions carried out under thermal and microwave irradiation.^{43,74} It is reported that microwave-assisted organic synthesis has several advantages such as rapid homogeneous heating, suppression of side product, convenience of handling, simple work-up, short reaction time, and required less energy compared to conventional heating.36,41–43,69,74–⁷⁷ Bearing of these advantages in mind, we investigated the substrate scope under the microwave irradiation.

Next, we explored the substrate scope and generality of the imidazole formation from various substituted aryl (2b–x) and alkyl (2y, 2z, 2aa, 2ab) aldehydes and benzil (1a) (Table 2) using the optimized reaction conditions. Thus, the aryl aldehydes having electron-withdrawing groups (2b–e, 2i–j, 2l–m, and 2r), electron-donating substituents (2f–h, 2k, and 2n–q), and heterocycles (2u-x) reacted smoothly with the 1,2-diketone 1a to provide the corresponding imidazole derivatives 3b–x in moderate to quantitative yields. The reaction yield of 1a with benzaldehyde derivatives bearing halides (2b–d) and phenyl (2h) groups at para-position was observed to be higher (90–96%) than those using aldehydes 2f–gh and 2k which contained activating groups (methyl and methoxy) either at the para- or meta-positions (58%, 75–79%) and deactivating substituents (2i–j) at meta-positions (73–76%). On other hand, a moderate yield (66%) of 3e was achieved by coupling 1a with the aryl aldehyde 2e having a nitro group, which is a stronger deactivator than halogens.

The reaction of 1,2-diketone 1a with aryl aldehydes 2l–m which bear weak electron-donating effects, such as chloro, bromo, and methyl groups at ortho-position of the aromatic ring affords in excellent yields of imidazoles 3l–m than those aldehydes with strong electron-rich groups, such as the methoxy

Table 2 Substrate scope of substituted aryl aldehydes 2

group (2o). Similarly, multisubstituted aldehyde substrates 2p–s with electron-donating or electron-withdrawing functionalities performed well to produce high yields of the corresponding imidazole derivatives 3p–s ranging from 89% to quantitative under the established reaction conditions. Interestingly, high yields of trisubstituted imidazoles 3t and 3u were achieved by reacting 1a with bulkier substrates 2t and 2u that contain fused rings, indicating that the steric effect has no influence on the formation of these imidazoles. Additionally, the scope of the reaction on heterocyclic carbaldehydes such as 2-thiophenyl 2v, 2-furanyl 2w, and 2-pyridyl 2x was investigated. Hence, the condensation reaction of 2-thiophenyl 2v with 1a afforded a high yield (91%) of the imidazole 3v, whereas, the reaction of aromatic aldehydes 2w and 2x with 1a gave the desired products 3w and 3x, respectively in moderate yields (63–68%).

After adequately evaluating the scope of substituted aryl aldehydes, we examined the performance of the reaction with alkyl aldehydes such as cyclohexanecarboxaldehyde (2y), valeraldehyde (2z) and phenylacetaldehyde (2aa). Gratifyingly, the alky substituted aldehydes well tolerated to the established reaction conditions, providing the desired 2-alkyl-4,5-diaryl substituted imidazoles 3y–3aa in moderate to excellent yields (40–90%) (Table 2). The yield of imidazole 3y was signicantly improved (90%) in this study compared to the literature report Table 3 Substrate scope with respect to 1,2-diketones 1

yields (18-22%) using NH₄OAc as nitrogen source.^{78,79} Furthermore, the reaction was carried out on formaldehyde (2ab), and the corresponding 4,5-disubstituted derivative 3ab was obtained in 61% yield. Unfortunately, the reaction of glyoxal (2ac) with benzaldehyde (2a) failed to give the desired 2-aryl substituted product 3ac. We believe that the failure of this reaction might be attributed to the low boiling point $(51 \degree C)$ of glyoxal (2ac) leading to its evaporation before effective reaction with benzaldehyde (2a).

After having screened the substrate scope of the aldehydes, we tested the condensation reaction of benzaldehyde (2a) with various 1,2-diketones containing electron-deficient (1b-d), electron-rich (1e–g), and heteroaromatic (1i–l) functional groups (Table 3). We observed that both electron-deficient (fluoro, chloro, and bromo) and electron-rich (methyl, methoxy) containing 1,2-diketones delivered very good to excellent yields (79% to quantitative) of the corresponding triaryl imidazoles 4a–f under the established reaction condition. These results indicate that the electronic effect of the substrates has no influence on the reaction yields of 4a-f. We also evaluated the reaction condition of unsymmetrical aryl 1,2-diketone 1h having an electron-releasing $(R¹)$ and electron-withdrawing group (R^2) . Gratifyingly, 91% yield of the trisubstituted imidazoles $4g$ was obtained by coupling of 2-chloro-3 $^{\prime},$ 4 $^{\prime}$ -dimethoxybenzil with 2a. Our methodology also worked on heteroaromatic (1i–l) $(R^1 = R^2 = 2$ -furyl, 2-thienyl and 2-pyridyl) and aliphatic 1,2-diketones **1m–o** (R^1 = Me, Et or Pr, R^2 = Me). Accordingly, the reaction of 2,2′-thenil $({\bf 1i})$ and benzaldehyde (2a) gave a very good yield (86%) of the imidazole 4h. However, in the case of 1,2-diketone substrates bearing O- and Nheteroatoms (1k and 1l), the yield of imidazoles 4i and 4j decreased. The desired products 4k–m were isolated in moderate to good yields (51–73%) through the reaction of an aliphatic 1,2-diketones 1m–o and aldehyde 2a.

Fig. 2 Single X-ray crystal structure of 3n, 3q, 3s, 3w, 3z and 4h. Thermal ellipsoids was drawn at 50% probability.

Scheme 2 Synthesis of trifenagrel (5a)

The structures of some of the trisubstituted imidazoles 3n, $3q$, $3s$, $3w$, $3z$ and $4h$ were confirmed using single X-ray crystallography (Fig. 2).⁸⁰ X-ray data revealed that $3q$ and $3w$ are two independent isomorphous molecules.

Compared with the earlier reports, this new synthetic method for trisubstituted imidazoles has several advantages, such as the use of mild TMSOTf as a catalyst, metal- and additive-free condition, wide substrate scope with good to excellent yields and short reaction time. Moreover, the synthesis of an imidazole-based small molecule library is important for the identification of bioactive compounds that can treat several diseases.^{21,81} To this end, our new synthetic methodology was applied in the synthesis of imidazole-containing drugs. Accordingly, trifenagrel (5a),^{16,36,55} a novel potent platelet aggregation and arachidonic acid inhibitor in various animal species and humans, was synthesized in a good yield (72%) via the condensation of benzil (1a) with the aldehyde 2ad under the optimized reaction condition (Scheme 2). This drug was previously synthesized in over two steps either from 1a and 2ad in the presence of catalysts and additives such as $urea/ZnCl₂$, 55 $Co(acac)₂/Ag₂O₃⁸²$ and silica/acid⁸³ using NH₄OAc as nitrogen source or from the reaction 2-(4,5-diphenyl-1H-imidazol-2-yl) phenol and 2-bromo-N,N-dimethylethanamine under basic condition.82,84

This synthesis method described here was also employed in the preparation of fenflumizol $(5b)$,⁸⁵ a biologically active imidazole-based drug having potential platelet aggregation and anti-inflammatory activities.^{18,86} The synthesis of drug 5b was

Scheme 3 Synthesis of fenflumizol (5b).

Scheme 4 Plausible mechanism for the synthesis of 3a.

achieved by the reaction of the benzil derivative 1f with the aromatic aldehyde 2ae under the established reaction condition. Remarkably, this biologically active compound was obtained in 93% yield (Scheme 3). Previously, this imidazole-based natural product was synthesized from 4,4′-dimethoxybenzil $(\bf{1f})$ and 2,4-diflurobenzaldehyde $(2ae)$ for a longer reaction time $(3$ h) using NH4OAc as the nitrogen source and acetic acid as solvent at 100 $°C.^{85}$

Based on the experimental results in Table 1 and literature reports, we propose a plausible reaction mechanism for the formation of 2,4,5-trisubstituted imidazoles (Scheme 4). First, the carbonyl oxygen of aldehyde 2a is activated by TMOTf to form complex A which then leads to a nucleophilic addition with HMDS to afford the tetrahedral intermediate B^{87} along with the regeneration of TMOTf. Next, nucleophilic addition of the silanamine intermediate B to the carbonyl carbon of the activated benzil C produces complex D. A stepwise elimination of TMOTf and TMSOH from D gave an imine E, which was then followed by increasing the electrophilicity of its carbonyl group through coordination with TMOTf to furnish a complex F. Then the electrophilic carbonyl carbon of F reacts with HMDS to form the tetrahedral intermediate G with a concomitant recovery of TMOTf. Next, elimination of TMSOH⁸⁷ from G provides 1,2diimine intermediate H, which in turn undergoes intramolecular cyclization to form the five-membered ring intermediate I upon the expulsion of $(TMS)₂O$. Finally, the desired imidazole 3a is obtained through the aromatization of I under microwave irradiation. Interestingly, the formation of the ethane-1,2-diimine intermediate H was confirmed by mass spectrometry analysis (see ESI†).

Conclusion

We have developed a successfully and highly efficient method for the synthesis of trisubstituted imidazoles by coupling the readily available 1,2-diketones and aldehydes in the presence of TMSOTf as Lewis acid catalyst and HMDS as a nitrogen source under microwave heating and solvent-free conditions. This new synthetic method features wide substrate scope with good to excellent yields, simple starting materials, metal- and additivefree and with a short reaction time. The chemical structures of representative trisubstituted imidazoles were confirmed by Xray single-crystal diffraction analysis. Furthermore, the potential of the methodology described here was demonstrated through the synthesis of biologically important imidazolebased drugs such as trifenagrel and fenflumizol.

Experimental section

General information

All reagents obtained from commercial sources were used without purification, unless otherwise mentioned. Column chromatography was carried out by Silica Gel Geduran® Si 60 (0.040–0.063 mm, E. Merck). TLC was performed on pre-coated glass plates of Silica Gel 60 F254 (0.25 mm, E. Merck); detection was executed by spraying with a solution of $Ce(SO₄)₂$ $(NH_4)_2MOQ_4$, and H_2SO_4 in water and subsequently heating on a hot plate. UV light for TLC analysis was UVGL-25 compact UV lamp (4 watt/254 nm). Melting points were determined with a MP-2D melting apparatus. ${}^{1}H$ NMR, ${}^{13}C$ NMR, and DEPT spectra were recorded by Bruker DRX500 and AVIII 500. Chemical shifts are in ppm from $Me₄Si$, generated from the DMSO-d₆ and CDCl₃ lock signal at δ 2.50, 7.24 and 40.00, 77.16 ppm for 1 H and 13 C NMR, respectively. Multiplicities are reports by using the following abbreviations: $s =$ singlet, d = doublet, t = triplet, q = quartet, p = pentet, s = sextet, m = multiplet, br = broad, dd = doublet of doublets, dt = doublet of triplets, td = triplet of doublets; $J =$ coupling constant values in Hertz. High resolution mass spectrometry (HR-MS) was performed on a Waters Premier XE instrument with ESI source. Structural assignments were made with additional information from selective 1D-TOCSY, 2D-HSQC, 2D-HMBC and X-ray experiments. Puper

Continents Article 2021. The common access Article is limited to the common access the method of the state of the common access Article is limited to the common access Article is limited to the common access Articl

General procedure for the synthesis of 2,4,5-trisubstituted imidazoles⁶⁸

1,2-Diketone derivatives 1a–m (0.272 to 1.160 mmol, 1 equiv.), aryl aldehydes 2a–ae (2.32 to 0.543 mmol, 2 equiv.), and trimethylsilyl trifluoromethanesulfonate (0.027 to 0.116 mmol, 0.1 equiv.) in hexamethyldisilazane (1.64 to 5.81 mmol, 5 equiv.) were added into a dried 15 mL microwave vial at 25 °C. The mixture was placed in a microwave irradiation instrument and stirred at 150 \degree C for 0.5 h. After the reaction completion, the reaction mixture was cooled to 25 $^{\circ}$ C, dissolved in ethyl acetate and diluted with water. Next, the crude product was extracted from the aqueous phases with ethyl acetate. The organic layer was washed with brine, dried over anhydrous $MgSO₄$, filtered and concentrated in rotary vacuum to afford the crude product. Purification of the crude products by column chromatography using 3 to 15% ethyl acetate in hexane afforded the corresponding pure imidazoles 3, 4 and 5.

2,4,5-Triphenyl-1H-imidazole (3a).4,35,46,50,55,58,75,78,82,88 White solid (135.2 mg, 96% yield); mp: 273–275 C; ¹ H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ (ppm) 12.68 (s, 1H, NH), 8.07 (d, $J = 7.9$ Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.54 (d, $J = 7.50$ Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.50–7.42 (m, 6H, ArH), 7.38 $(t, J = 6.40$ Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.30 $(t, J = 7.50$ Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.22 $(t, J = 1.50$ 7.20 Hz, 1H, ArH); $^{13}C\{H\}$ NMR (125 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ (ppm) 146.1, 137.7, 135.7, 131.6, 130.9, 129.3, 129.2, 129.0, 128.9, 128.8, 128.8, 128.4, 127.7, 127.1, 125.8; HRMS (ESI) m/z: calcd for $C_{21}H_{17}N_2$ [M + H]⁺ 297.1386, found 297.1386. RSC Advances Articles. Published on 19 August 2021. Downloaded on 19 August 2021. Downloaded on 10:40:50 PM. This article is licensed under a Creative Commons and the Creative Commons are a Creative Commons and the Creati

 $2-(4-Fluorophenyl)-4,5-diphenyl-1H-imidazole$ $(3b)$ ^{46,75,78,88} White solid (134.8 mg, 90% yield); mp: 258–260 $^{\circ} \mathrm{C}; \mathrm{~}^{1}\mathrm{H}$ NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ (ppm) 12.70 (br s, 1H, NH), 8.14–8.10 $(m, 2H, ArH), 7.53-7.30$ $(m, 12H, ArH);$ $^{13}C\{H\}$ NMR (125 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ (ppm) 162.6 (d, J = 245.4 Hz), 145.2, 137.6, 135.6, 131.5, 129.1, 128.9, 128.7, 128.3, 127.8 $(d, J = 8.2 \text{ Hz})$, 127.5 (d, J) $= 2.7$ Hz), 127.0, 116.2 (d, $J = 21.7$ Hz); HRMS (ESI) m/z : calcd for $C_{21}H_{16}N_2F$ [M + H]⁺ 315.1292, found 315.1299.

2-(4-Chlorophenyl)-4,5-diphenyl-1H-imidazole

(3c). 4,35,46,55,58,78,88 White solid (150.4 mg, 96% yield); mp: 273– 275 °C; ^1H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-d $_6$): δ (ppm) 12.78 (br s, 1H, NH), 8.10 (d, $J = 8.8$ Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.55–7.24 (m, 12H, ArH); ¹³C ${H}$ NMR (125 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ (ppm) 144.9, 137.8, 135.5, 133.2, 131.4, 129.7, 129.2, 129.1, 128.9, 128.7, 128.4, 127.5, 127.3, 127.1; HRMS (ESI) m/z : calcd for C₂₁H₁₆N₂Cl [M + H]⁺ 331.0997, found 331.0991.

2-(4-Bromophenyl)-4,5-diphenyl-1H-imidazole

(3d). 4,46,55,58,78,88 White solid (167.3 mg, 94% yield); mp: 265– 266.5 °C; 1 H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-d $_{6}$): δ (ppm) 12.78 (br s, 1H, NH), 8.05–8.02 (m, 2H, ArH), 7.70–7.67 (m, 2H, ArH), 7.53–7.36 $(m, 10H, ArH);$ $^{13}C\{H\}NMR$ (125 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ (ppm) 144.9, 132.2, 130.0, 128.9, 127.6, 121.9; HRMS (ESI) m/z: calcd for $C_{21}H_{16}N_2Br$ [M + H]⁺ 375.0491, found 375.0498.

 $2-(4-Nitrophenyl)-4,5-diphenyl-1H-imidazole$ $(3e).$ ^{50,54,55} Orange solid (134.8 mg, 90% yield); mp: 239-241 °C; ¹H NMR $(500 \text{ MHz}, \text{ DMSO-d}_6)$: δ (ppm) 13.15 (s, 1H, NH), 8.37-8.31 (m, 4H, ArH), 7.55-7.33 (m, 10H, ArH); ¹³C{H} NMR (125 MHz, DMSO-d6): d (ppm) 147.0, 143.9, 136.6, 129.0, 127.6, 126.2, 124.8; HRMS (ESI) m/z : calcd for C₂₁H₁₆N₃O₂ [M + H]⁺ 342.1237, found 342.1243.

2-(4-Methylphenyl)-4,5-diphenyl-1H-imidazole $(3f).^{4,46,58}$ White solid (111.2 mg, 75% yield); mp: 235–237 °C; $^1\mathrm{H}$ NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ (ppm) 12.59 (br s, 1H, NH), 7.98 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.55–7.22 (m, 12H, ArH), 2.35 (s, 3H, CH₃); ¹³C ${H}$ NMR (125 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ (ppm) 146.1, 138.2, 137.4, 135.7, 131.6, 129.7, 129.1, 128.9, 128.6, 128.4, 128.2, 127.5, 126.9, 125.6, 21.4; HRMS (ESI) m/z : calcd for C₂₂H₁₉N₂ [M + H]⁺ 311.1543, found 311.1548.

2-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-4,5-diphenyl-1H-imidazole

(3g). 4,35,46,75,78,88 White solid (123.3 mg, 79% yield); mp: 232– 234 °C; 1 H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-d $_{6}$): δ (ppm) 12.51 (br s, 1H, NH), 8.03–8.00 (m, 2H, ArH), 7.55–7.20 (m, 10H, ArH), 7.06–7.03 (m, 2H, ArH), 3.82 (s, 3H, OCH3); 13C{H} NMR (125 MHz, DMSO d_6 : δ (ppm) 159.9, 146.1, 137.2, 135.8, 131.7, 129.1, 128.8, 128.6, 128.1, 127.5, 127.2, 126.9, 123.6, 114.6, 55.7; HRMS (ESI) m/z: calcd for $C_{22}H_{19}N_2O$ $[M + H]^+$ 327.1492, found 327.1486.

2-(2-Biphenyl-4-yl)-4,5-diphenyl-1H-imidazole (3h).⁵⁰ White solid (164.6 mg, 93% yield); mp: 229–231 °C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ (ppm) 12.75 (br s, 1H, NH), 8.19 (d, $J = 8.6$ Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.81 (d, $J = 8.5$ Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.77-7.75 (m, 2H, ArH), 7.55-7.25 (m, 13H, ArH); ${}^{13}C\{H\}$ NMR (125 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ (ppm) 145.7, 140.1, 140.0, 137.8, 135.6, 131.5, 129.9, 129.5, 129.1, 128.9, 128.7, 128.3, 128.1, 127.6, 127.4, 127.2, 127.0, 126.2; HRMS (ESI) m/z : calcd for C₂₂H₁₉N₂O [M + H]⁺ 373.1699, found 373.1696.

2-(3-Chlorophenyl)-4,5-diphenyl-1H-imidazole (3i).^{35,58} White solid (114.5 mg, 73% yield); mp: 279–281 C; ¹ H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ (ppm) 12.82 (br s, 1H, NH), 8.15 (br s, 1H, ArH), 8.05 (d, $J = 7.8$ Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.56–7.22 (m, 12H, ArH); ¹³C $\{H\}$ NMR (125 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ (ppm) 144.5, 137.9, 135.4, 134.1, 132.8, 131.3, 131.2, 129.2, 129.2, 128.9, 128.7, 128.4, 128.4, 127.6, 127.2, 125.1, 124.2; HRMS (ESI) m/z : calcd for C₂₁H₁₆N₂Cl $[M + H]^{+}$ 331.0997, found 331.0991.

2-(3-Bromophenyl)-4,5-diphenyl-1H-imidazole (3j).^{4,58} White solid (135.9 mg, 76% yield); mp: 270–272 C; ¹ H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ (ppm) 12.84 (br s, 1H, NH), 8.30 (t, J = 1.7 Hz, 1H, ArH), 8.09 (dt, $J = 1.3$, 8.0 Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.58–7.39 (m, 9H, ArH), 7.31 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.24 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H, ArH); ¹³C $\{H\}$ NMR (125 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ (ppm) 144.4, 137.9, 135.4, 133.0, 131.5, 131.3, 129.3, 129.2, 128.9, 128.8, 128.5, 128.0, 127.6, 127.2, 124.6, 122.7; HRMS (ESI) m/z : calcd for C₂₁H₁₆N₂Br [M + H]⁺ 375.0491, found 375.0482.

4,5-Diphenyl-2-(3-methylphenyl)-1H-imidazole $(3k).^{4,58}$ White solid (84.8 mg, 58% yield); mp: 301–303 °C; $^1\rm H$ NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ (ppm) 12.63 (br s, 1H, NH), 7.93 (br s, 1H, ArH), 7.87 (d, $J = 7.8$ Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.53 (dd, $J = 7.4$, 23.8 Hz, 4H, ArH), 7.44 (t, $J = 7.4$ Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.37 (q, $J = 7.2$, 7.6 Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.30 (t, $J = 7.5$ Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.21 (dd, $J = 7.4$, 18.0 Hz, 2H, ArH), 2.39 (s, 3H, CH₃); ¹³C{H} NMR (125 MHz, DMSO-d₆): d (ppm) 146.1, 138.3, 137.5, 135.7, 131.6, 130.7, 129.4, 129.1, 129.1, 128.9, 128.7, 128.6, 128.2, 127.6, 127.0, 126.2, 122.8, 21.6; HRMS (ESI) m/z : calcd for C₂₂H₁₉N₂ [M + H]⁺ 311.1543, found 311.1541.

 $2-(2$ -Chlorophenyl)-4,5-diphenyl-1H-imidazole $(31).^{4,35,55}$ White solid (159.4 mg, quantitative yield); mp: 197–199 °C; $^1\mathrm{H}$ NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ (ppm) 10.23 (br s, 1H, NH), 8.44 (dd, J $= 1.7, 7.9$ Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.67 (d, $J = 7.2$ Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.48–7.25 $(m, 11H, ArH);$ ¹³C $\{H\}$ NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ (ppm) 143.3, 138.0, 134.7, 131.0, 130.6, 129.7, 129.7, 129.1, 128.9, 128.7, 128.5, 128.2, 128.1, 127.9, 127.8, 127.6, 127.2; HRMS (ESI) m/z: calcd for $C_{21}H_{16}N_2Cl$ [M + H]⁺ 331.0997, found 331.0988.

2-(2-Bromophenyl)-4,5-diphenyl-1H-imidazole (3m).⁵⁴ White solid (180.9 mg, quantitative yield); mp: 209-211 °C; 1 H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ (ppm) 10.20 (br s, 1H, NH), 8.31 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.61 (d, $J = 7.3$ Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.57 (d, $J =$ 8.0 Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.42 (d, $J = 7.4$ Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.37-7.13 (m, 8H, ArH); ¹³C $\{H\}$ NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ (ppm) 144.0, 138.1, 134.7, 133.9, 131.7, 131.0, 130.2, 130.0, 129.2, 128.5, 128.2, 128.1, 127.9, 127.9, 127.7, 127.2, 119.1; HRMS (ESI) m/z: calcd for C₂₁H₁₆N₂Br $[M + H]$ ⁺ 375.0491, found 375.0487.

4,5-Diphenyl-2-(2-methylphenyl)-1H-imidazole $(3n).^{4,50}$ White solid (128.9 mg, 87% yield); mp: 255–257 °C; $^1\mathrm{H}$ NMR

 $(500 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$: δ (ppm) 9.08 (br s, 1H, NH), 7.60–7.58 (m, 3H, ArH), 7.41-7.19 (m, 11H, ArH), 2.58 (s, 3H, CH₃); ¹³C $\{H\}$ NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ (ppm) 146.4, 137.8, 136.6, 134.9, 131.5, 129.9, 129.0, 128.8, 128.4, 127.9, 127.0, 126.2, 21.3; HRMS (ESI) m/z: calcd for $C_{22}H_{19}N_2$ [M + H]⁺ 311.1543, found 311.1538.

4,5-Diphenyl-2-(2-methoxyphenyl)-1H-imidazole $(30).^{78,88}$ White solid (102.6 mg, 66% yield); mp: 214–216 $^{\circ} \mathrm{C};$ $^{1}\mathrm{H}$ NMR $(500 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$: δ (ppm) 10.47 (br s, 1H, NH), 8.48 (dd, $J = 1.8$, 7.8 Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.68 (br s, 2H, ArH), 7.47 (br s, 2H, ArH), 7.37– 7.30 (m, 7H, ArH), 7.12-7.09 (m, 1H, ArH), 7.01 (d, $J = 8.3$ Hz, 1H, ArH), 4.01 (s, 3H, OCH₃); ¹³C{H} NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): d (ppm) 159.9, 146.1, 137.7, 135.2, 131.7, 129.6, 129.0, 128.8, 128.4, 127.9, 127.7, 127.4, 127.0, 121.8, 118.3, 111.3, 56.0; HRMS (ESI) m/z : calcd for C₂₂H₁₉N₂O [M + H]⁺ 327.1492, found 327.1484. Paper

Society. Common the Action 2021. Downloaded on 19 August 2021. Downloaded on 19 August 2021. Downloaded the Action 2021. Downloaded the Action 2021. Downloaded the Action 2021. The Action 2021. The Action 2021. The

2-(4-Benzyloxy-3-methoxyphenyl)-4,5-diphenyl-1H-imidazole (3**p**). White solid (203.8 mg, 99% yield); mp: 223–225 °C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ (ppm) 9.57 (br s, 1H, NH), 7.56–7.45 $(m, 6H, ArH), 7.35-7.20 (m, 11H, ArH), 6.87 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H,$ ArH), 5.16 (s, 2H, OCH₂) 3.88 (s, 3H, OCH₃); ¹³C $\{H\}$ NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ (ppm) 150.2, 149.0, 146.2, 136.9, 128.7, 128.1, 128.0, 127.5, 127.4, 123.7, 117.5, 114.0, 109.6, 71.2, 56.2; HRMS (ESI) m/z : calcd for C₂₉H₂₅N₂O₂ [M + H]⁺ 433.1911, found 433.1912.

2-(3,4-Dimethoxyphenyl)-4,5-diphenyl-1H-imidazole (3q).⁴⁶ White solid (150.1 mg, 89% yield); mp: 240–242 °C; $^1\mathrm{H}$ NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ (ppm) 12.66 (br s, 1H, NH), 7.55–7.37 $(m, 7H, ArH), 7.32-7.29$ $(m, 4H, ArH), 7.22$ $(t, J = 7.1$ Hz, 1H, ArH), 6.51 (t, $J = 2.2$ Hz, 1H, ArH), 3.82 (s, 6H, OCH₃); ¹³C $\{H\}$ NMR (125 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ (ppm) 161.2, 145.8, 137.5, 135.6, 132.6, 131.5, 129.2, 129.0, 128.8, 128.7, 128.3, 127.6, 127.0, 103.6, 103.5, 101.1, 55.8; HRMS (ESI) m/z : calcd for C₂₃H₂₁N₂O₂ $[M + H]$ ⁺ 357.1598, found 357.1592.

 $2-(2,6-Dichlorophenyl)-4,5-diphenyl-1H-imidazole$ $(3r).$ ⁵⁵ White solid (159.4 mg, quantitative yield); mp: 236–238 °C; $^1\mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ (ppm) 9.67 (br s, 1H, NH), 7.60 (br s, 2H, ArH), 7.40–7.36 (m, 4H, ArH), 7.33–7.22 (m, 7H, ArH); 13C ${H}$ NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ (ppm) 140.5, 136.7, 131.1, 129.9, 128.9, 128.4, 128.0; HRMS (ESI) m/z : calcd for C₂₁H₁₅N₂Cl₂ [M + H]⁺ 365.0607, found 365.0599.

2-(2-Bromo-4,5-dimethoxyphenyl)-4,5-diphenyl-1H-imid-

azole (3s). White solid (201.5 mg, 97% yield); mp 235–237 $^{\circ} \mathrm{C}; ^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ (ppm) 10.26 (br s, 1H, NH), 7.86 (br s, 1H, ArH), 7.67 (d, $J = 7.4$ Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.47 (d, $J = 7.4$ Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.37 (d, $J = 7.1$ Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.33-7.29 (m, 3H, ArH), 7.25-7.22 (m, 1H, ArH), 7.03 (s, 1H, ArH), 3.96 (s, 3H, OCH3), 3.90 (s, 3H, OCH₃); ¹³C $\{H\}$ NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ (ppm) 149.9, 148.8, 144.1, 137.8, 134.7, 131.1, 129.1, 128.4, 128.0, 128.0, 127.8, 127.4, 127.2, 122.8, 116.1, 113.4, 109.6, 56.4, 56.3; HRMS (ESI) m/z : calcd for C₂₃H₂₀N₂O₂Br [M + H]⁺ 435.0703, found 435.0706.

2-Naphthalen-1-yl-4,5-diphenyl-1H-imidazole (3t).^{75,82} White solid (165.3 mg, quantitative yield); mp. 294.5–296 °C; $^1\mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ (ppm) 12.80 (s, 1H, NH), 9.19 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H, ArH), 8.01 (d, $J = 7.9$ Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.97 (dd, $J = 1.0$, 7.3 Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.65–7.57 (m, 7H, ArH); 7.45–7.26 (m, 6H, ArH); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ (ppm) 146.0, 137.6, 135.8, 134.1, 131.5, 130.8, 129.4, 129.1, 128.7, 128.4, 128.2, 127.9, 127.7, 127.3, 127.2, 127.1, 126.6, 125.7; HRMS (ESI) m/z: calcd for $C_{25}H_{19}N_2$ [M + H]⁺ 347.1543, found 347.1538.

2-(Benzo[d][1,3]dioxol-5-yl)-4,5-diphenyl-1H-imidazole

(3u).^{54,55} White solid (133.7 mg, 83% yield); mp 260-262 °C; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ (ppm) 12.50 (s, 1H, NH), 7.63-7.22 $(m, 12H, ArH), 7.02 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H, ArH), 6.08 (s, 2H, OCH₂O);$
¹³C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ (ppm) 148.1, 147.9, 145.9, 137.3, 135.7, 131.6, 129.1, 128.8, 128.7, 128.2, 127.6, 127.0, 125.1, 119.8, 109.0.106.0, 101.8; HRMS (ESI) m/z: calcd for $C_{22}H_{17}N_2O_2$ [M + H]⁺ 341.1285, found 341.1286.

4,5-Diphenyl-2-(thiophen-2-yl)-1H-imidazole (3v).⁵⁴ White solid (130.9 mg, 91% yield); mp 269–271 °C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ (ppm) 12.79 (s, 1H, NH), 7.69 (dd, J = 1.1, 3.6 Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.55 (dd, $J = 1.1$, 5.0 Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.50 (d, $J = 7.2$ Hz, 4H, ArH), 7.36 (br s, 6H, ArH), 7.15 (dd, $J = 3.6$, 5.0 Hz, 1H, ArH); 13 C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ (ppm) 142.1, 134.4, 129.0, 128.4, 127.9, 126.8, 124.7; HRMS (ESI) m/z : calcd for C₁₉H₁₅N₂S $[M + H]^{+}$ 303.0951, found 303.0943.

2-(Furan-2-yl)-4,5-diphenyl-1H-imidazole $(3w)$.^{78,88} White solid (92.5 mg, 68% yield); mp 245–247 °C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl3): d (ppm) 9.81 (br s, 1H, NH), 7.55–7.24 (m, 11H, ArH), 6.94 (d, $J = 3.4$ Hz, 1H, ArH), 6.48 (dd, $J = 1.8$, 3.5 Hz, 1H, ArH); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ (ppm) 145.7, 142.4, 139.1, 138.5, 134.6, 130.8, 128.7, 128.0, 127.3, 112.2, 107.7; HRMS (ESI) m/z: calcd for C₁₉H₁₅N₂O [M + H]⁺ 287.1179, found 287.1175.

4,5-Diphenyl-2-(2-pyridyl)-1H-imidazole (3x).^{35,89} White solid (89.4 mg, 63% yield); mp 191-193 °C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl3): d (ppm) 10.92 (br s, 1H, NH), 8.43–8.42 (m, 1H, ArH), 8.28 (dt, $J = 1.0$, 8.0 Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.75 (td, $J = 1.7$, 15.5 Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.67 (dd, $J = 1.4$, 8.5 Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.44 (dd, $J = 1.7$, 8.1 Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.33-7.23 (m, 6H, ArH), 7.17 (ddd, $J = 1.1, 4.9, 7.5$ Hz, 1H, ArH); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ (ppm) 148.9, 148.4, 145.6, 139.3, 137.2, 134.9, 130.9, 128.9, 128.7, 128.5, 128.1, 128.0, 128.0, 127.2, 123.2, 120.2; HRMS (ESI) m/z: calcd for $C_{20}H_{16}N_3$ [M + H]⁺ 298.1339, found 298.1340.

2-Cyclohexyl-4,5-diphenyl-1H-imidazole (3y).⁷⁸ White solid (128.9 mg, 90% yield); mp 245-246 °C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-d6): d (ppm) 11.95 (br s, 1H, NH), 7.43–7.23 (m, 10H, ArH), 2.73-2.67 (m, 1H), 1.97-1.95 (m, 2H, CH₂), 1.80-1.28 (m, 2H, CH₂), 1.70-1.55 (m, 3H, CH₂), 1.39-1.23 (m, 3H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO-d6): d (ppm) 152.9, 128.8, 127.9, 79.7, 37.7, 26.2, 26.1; HRMS (ESI) m/z : calcd for C₂₁H₂₃N₂ [M + H]⁺ 303.1856, found 303.1849.

2-n-Butyl-4,5-diphenyl-1H-imidazole (3z). Brown paste (52.7 mg, 40% yield); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ (ppm) 7.41-7.39 (m, 4H, ArH), 7.22-7.14 (m, 6H, ArH), 2.60 (t, $J = 7.8$ Hz, 2H, $CH₂$), 1.58 (p, J = 7.4 Hz, 2H, CH₂), 1.28–1.23 (m, 2H, CH₂), 0.80 $(t, J = 7.1 \text{ Hz}, 3H, \text{ CH}_3);$ ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ (ppm) 148.7, 132.8, 131.9, 131.1, 129.9, 128.7, 128.1, 127.9, 127.4, 30.9, 28.2, 22.6, 13.9; HRMS (ESI) m/z : calcd for C₁₉H₂₁N₂ [M + H]⁺ 277.1699, found 277.1697.

2-Benzyl-4,5-diphenyl-1H-imidazole (3aa).⁹⁰ White solid (69.3 mg, 47% yield); mp 251-252 °C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ (ppm) 12.28 (br, s, 1H, NH), 7.48–7.18 (m, 15H), 4.02 (s, 2H, CH₂); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ (ppm) 147.3, 147.3, 139.0, 136.3, 136.0, 131.9, 129.2, 129.1, 128.9, 128.6,

128.2, 127.8, 127.5, 126.8, 126.8, 34.6; HRMS (ESI) m/z: calcd for $C_{22}H_{19}N_2$ [M + H]⁺ 311.1543, found 311.1543.

4,5-Diphenyl-1H-imidazole (3ab).⁹¹ White solid (63.3 mg, 61% yield); mp 231–233 °C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ (ppm) 12.48 (s, 1H, NH), 7.78 (s, 1H, H-2), 7.49-7.20 (m, 10H, ArH); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ (ppm) 136.6, 136.1, 136.0, 131.8, 129.2, 128.7, 128.3, 128.0, 127.5, 126.8, HRMS (ESI) m/z: calcd for $C_{15}H_{13}N_2$ [M + H]⁺ 221.1073, found 221.1071.

4,5-Bis(4-fluorophenyl)-2-phenyl-1H-imidazole (4a).⁹² White solid (116.2 mg, 86% yield); mp 271–273 °C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ (ppm) 12.73 (br s, 1H, NH), 8.08 (d, $J = 7.4$ Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.57-7.52 (m, 4H, ArH), 7.48 (t, $J = 7.6$ Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.38 $(t, J = 7.4 \text{ Hz}, 1H, ArH), 7.30 (t, J = 8.6 \text{ Hz}, 2H, ArH), 7.15 (t, J =$ 9.0 Hz, 2H, ArH); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ (ppm) 162.9 $(d, J = 245.2 \text{ Hz})$, 160.9 $(d, J = 243.4 \text{ Hz})$, 146.0, 136.8, 132.0 (d, J) $= 2.1$ Hz), 131.1 (d, $J = 8.1$ Hz), 130.7, 129.4 (d, $J = 8.0$ Hz), 129.2, 128.8, 127.9 (d, $J = 2.4$ Hz), 116.2 (d, $J = 21.6$ Hz), 115.6 (d, $J =$ 21.3 Hz); HRMS (ESI) m/z : calcd for $C_{21}H_{15}N_2F_2$ $[M + H]^+$ 333.1198, found 333.1193. RSC Advances Articles. Article. Articles. Articles. Published on 1982. This article is licensed under the set which is an access Article is licensed under the set which is a may be able to the set which is a may be able t

4,5-Bis(4-chlorophenyl)-2-phenyl-1H-imidazole (4b).³⁵ White solid (114.4 mg, 87% yield); mp 292–294 °C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ (ppm) 12.79 (br s, 1H, NH), 8.07 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.54-7.38 (m, 11H, ArH); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO-d₆): d (ppm) 146.5, 130.6, 129.2, 129.0, 125.8; HRMS (ESI) m/z: calcd for $C_{21}H_{15}N_2Cl_2$ [M + H]⁺ 365.0607, found 365.0598.

4,5-Bis(4-bromophenyl)-2-phenyl-1H-imidazole (4c).⁷⁸ White solid (114.4 mg, 87% yield); mp 314–316 °C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ (ppm) 12.79 (br s, 1H, NH), 8.07 (dd, $J = 1.3$, 8.5 Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.65-7.46 (m, 10H, ArH), 7.41-7.38 (m, 1H, ArH); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ (ppm) 146.6, 136.8, 134.6, 131.9, 130.5, 129.2, 129.0, 125.8, 121.6, 120.3; HRMS (ESI) m/z: calcd for $C_{21}H_{15}N_2Br_2 [M + H]^+$ 452.9597, found 452.9602.

4,5-Bis(4-methylphenyl)-2-phenyl-1H-imidazole (4d).⁵⁴ White solid (135.4 mg, quantitative yield); mp 275–277.5 °C; $^1\mathrm{H}$ NMR $(500 \text{ MHz}, \text{ DMSO-d}_6)$: δ (ppm) 12.57 (br s, 1H, NH), 8.07 (dd, $J =$ 1.2, 8.4 Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.48–7.35 (m, 7H, ArH), 7.24 (br s, 2H, ArH), 7.12 (br s, 2H, ArH), 2.34 (br s, 3H, CH3), 2.30 (br s, 3H, CH₃); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ (ppm) 145.6, 137.5, 137.4, 136.0, 132.9, 130.9, 129.6, 129.2, 129.1, 129.0, 128.9, 128.7, 128.6, 128.3, 127.5, 125.6, 21.3; HRMS (ESI) m/z: calcd for $C_{23}H_{21}N_2$ [M + H]⁺ 325.1699, found 325.1691.

4,5-Bis(4-methoxyphenyl)-2-phenyl-1H-imidazole $(4e).^{93}$ White solid (119.0 mg, 90% yield); mp 200–202 °C; $^1\mathrm{H}$ NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ (ppm) 7.83 (dd, J = 1.5, 8.5 Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.40– 7.33 (m, 6H, ArH), 7.31-7.28 (m, 1H, ArH), 6.82 (d, $J = 8.7$ Hz, 4H, ArH), 3.78 (s, 6H, OCH₃); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): d (ppm) 159.0, 145.7, 130.2, 129.2, 128.9, 128.6, 125.4, 114.1, 55.4; HRMS (ESI) m/z : calcd for C₂₃H₂₁N₂O₂ [M + H]⁺ 357.1598, found 357.1589.

4,5-Bis(3-methoxyphenyl)-2-phenyl-1H-imidazole (4f). White solid (109.2 mg, 83% yield); mp 196-197.5 °C; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ (ppm) 9.98 (br s, 1H, NH), 7.85 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.38–7.30 (m, 3H, ArH), 7.18 (t, $J = 7.8$ Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.07 (br s, 4H, ArH), 6.79 (d, $J = 7.3$ Hz, 2H, ArH), 3.68 (s, 6H, OCH₃); 13 C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ (ppm) 159.7, 146.1, 130.0, 129.6, 129.0, 128.9, 125.5, 120.5, 113.7, 113.1, 55.3; HRMS (ESI) m/z: calcd for $C_{23}H_{21}N_2O_2$ [M + H]⁺ 357.1598, found 357.1590.

5-(2-Chlorophenyl)-4-(3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)-2-phenyl-1H-

imidazole (4g). White solid (117.2 mg, 91% yield); mp 205– 207 °C; 1 H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl $_{3}$): δ (ppm) 10.81 (br s, 1H, NH), 7.85 (d, $J = 6.7$ Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.38 (d, $J = 7.9$ Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.31– 7.27 (m, 4H, ArH), 7.21 (td, $J = 1.6$, 15.5 Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.14 (td, J $= 0.9, 15.0$ Hz, 1H, ArH), 6.91 (d, $J = 7.4$ Hz, 2H, ArH), 6.65 (d, J $= 8.4$ Hz, 1H, ArH), 3.75 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 3.50 (s, 3H, OCH₃); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ (ppm) 148.6, 148.0, 146.2, 134.2, 132.7, 130.0, 129.9, 129.5, 128.8, 128.7, 126.9, 125.6, 119.1, 111.1, 110.1, 55.8, 55.4; HRMS (ESI) m/z : calcd for $C_{23}H_{20}N_2O_2Cl$ $[M + H]$ ⁺ 391.1208, found 391.1199.

4,5-Di(thiophen-2-yl)-2-phenyl-1H-imidazole (4h). White solid (119.0 mg, 86% yield); mp 269–271 °C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ (ppm) 12.87 (br s, 1H, NH), 8.05–8.03 (m, 2H, ArH), 7.71 (d, $J = 4.6$ Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.50–7.47 (m, 2H, ArH), 7.43–7.38 $(m, 3H, ArH), 7.22$ (dd, $J = 3.6, 5.0$ Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.17 (d, $J =$ 3.0 Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.02 (dd, $J = 3.7$, 4.9 Hz, 1H, ArH); ¹³C NMR $(125 \text{ MHz}, \text{ DMSO-d}_6)$: δ (ppm) 148.2, 137.9, 134.1, 131.2, 130.2, 129.2, 129.1, 129.0, 128.1, 127.9, 127.8, 125.8, 125.3, 123.9, 121.2; HRMS (ESI) m/z : calcd for C₁₇H₁₃N₂S₂ [M + H]⁺ 309.0515, found 309.0513.

4,5-Di(furan-2-yl)-2-phenyl-1H-imidazole (4i).⁹⁴ Brown solid (45.1 mg, 31% yield); mp 192-194 °C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ (ppm) 7.89 (dd, $J = 1.4$, 8.5 Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.47 (d, $J =$ 0.9 Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.42–7.39 (m, 2H, ArH), 7.37–7.34 (m, 1H, ArH), 6.95 (br s, 2H, ArH), 6.50 (dd, $J = 1.8$, 3.3 Hz, 2H, ArH); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ (ppm) 146.3, 141.6, 129.4, 129.3, 129.0, 125.6, 111.9, 110.1, 107.7; HRMS (ESI) m/z: calcd for $C_{17}H_{13}N_2O_2$ [M + H]⁺ 277.0972, found 277.0971.

4,5-Di(pyrid-2-yl)-2-phenyl-1H-imidazole (4j).⁹⁵ Pale yellow solid (61.6 mg, 44% yield); mp 181–183 °C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ (ppm) 11.24 (br s, 1H, NH), 8.58 (br s, 3H, ArH), 8.19– 8.13 (m, 1H, ArH), 7.98 (dd, $J = 1.5$, 8.5 Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.77-7.67 (m, 2H, ArH), 7.43–7.40 (m, 2H, ArH), 7.37–7.33 (m, 1H, ArH), 7.18 (br s, 2H, ArH); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ (ppm) 148.6, 146.3, 136.8, 129.7, 129.2, 129.0, 125.7, 122.3; HRMS (ESI) m/z: calcd for C₁₉H₁₄N₄Na [M + Na]⁺ 321.1111, found 321.1109.

4,5-Dimethyl-2-phenyl-1H-imidazole (4k).³⁵ Pale yellow solid (73.7 mg, 52% yield); mp 171-173 °C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ (ppm) 7.81 (dd, J = 1.3, 8.5 Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.36-7.33 (m, 2H, ArH), 7.05 (d, $J = 7.2$ Hz, 1H), 2.19 (s, 6H, CH₃); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl3): d (ppm) 144.1, 131.3, 130.5, 128.9, 128.0, 124.8, 11.9; HRMS (ESI) m/z : calcd for C₁₁H₁₃N₂ [M + H]⁺ 173.1073, found 173.1069.

4-Ethyl-5-methyl-2-phenyl-1H-imidazole (41).⁹⁶ Pale yellow solid (135.8 mg, 73% yield); mp 151–153 °C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ (ppm) 7.76 (dd, J = 1.4, 8.6 Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.33 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.26 (dt, $J = 1.1$, 7.3 Hz, 1H, ArH), 2.56 (q, $J = 7.6$ Hz, 2H, CH₂), 2.19 (s, 3H, CH₃), 1.19 (t, $J = 7.5$ Hz, 3H, CH₃); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃); δ (ppm) 144.1, 130.7, 128.9, 128.1, 124.9, 14.6; HRMS (ESI) m/z : calcd for C₁₁H₁₃N₂ [M + H]⁺ 187.1230, found 187.1225.

5-Methyl-4-propyl-2-phenyl-1H-imidazole (4m). Yellow sticky paste (123.2 mg, 70% yield); $^1\text{H NMR}$ (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ (ppm) 7.82 (dd, $J = 1.4$, 8.6 Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.29 (t, $J = 7.2$ Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.24–7.20 (m, 1H, ArH), 2.47 (t, $J = 7.5$ Hz, 2H, CH₂), 2.15 (s, 3H, CH₃), 1.55 (s, J = 7.4 Hz, 2H, CH₂), 0.85 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 3H, CH₃); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ (ppm) 144.3, 130.7, 128.8, 127.9, 124.9, 27.5, 23.4, 13.9, 11.2; HRMS (ESI) m/z : calcd for C₁₃H₁₇N₂ $[M + H]$ ⁺ 201.1386, found 201.1388.

2-(2-(4,5-Diphenyl-1H-imidazol-2-yl)phenoxy)-N,N-dimethy**lethanamine (5a).^{36,55,82,84} White solid (131.1 mg, 72% yield); mp:** 115–116.5 °C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ (ppm) 8.45 (dd, J = 1.7, 7.8 Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.56 (br s, 4H, ArH), 7.31–7.24 (m, 7H, ArH), 7.10 (td, $J = 1.0$, 15.2 Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.00 (dd, $J = 0.6$, 8.2 Hz, 1H, ArH), 4.21 (t, $J = 5.2$ Hz, 2H, OCH₂), 2.65 (t, $J =$ 5.2 Hz, 2H, NCH2), 1.96 (s, 6H, CH3); 13C{H} NMR (125 MHz, CDCl3): d (ppm) 155.2, 143.9, 129.2, 129.0, 128.5, 122.3, 120.4, 113.8, 65.8, 58.2, 44.6; HRMS (ESI) m/z : calcd for C₂₅H₂₅N₃O [M $+ H$ ⁺ 384.2070, found 384.2063.

4,5-Bis(4-methoxyphenyl)-2-(2,4-difluorophenyl)-1H-imid**azole (5b).** $^{\text{ss}}$ White solid (131.1 mg, 72% yield); mp: 58–60 $^{\circ} \text{C};$ $^{\text{1}} \text{H}$ NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ (ppm) 9.56 (s, 1H, NH), 8.38-8.33 (m, 1H, ArH), 7.53–7.38 (m, 4H, ArH), 6.99 (td, $J = 2.3$, 10.2 Hz, 1H, ArH), 6.93–6.88 (m, 5H, ArH), 3.81 (s, 6H, OCH3); 13C{H} NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ (ppm) 163.7 (d, J = 12.7 Hz), 161.7 (d, J = 12.7 Hz), 160.4 (d, $J = 11.8$ Hz), 159.5, 159.4, 158.9, 158.5 (d, $J =$ 11.7 Hz), 140.0, 130.1 (dd, $J = 4.9$, 9.4 Hz), 129.1, 114.4 (dd, $J =$ 3.3, 10.6 Hz), 114.0, 112.7 (d, $J = 21.4$ Hz), 104.3 (t, $J = 26.2$ Hz), 55.4; HRMS (ESI) m/z : calcd for $C_{23}H_{19}N_2O_2F_2$ $[M + H]^4$ 393.1409, found 393.1403. Paper

On $\frac{1}{2}$ August 2021. Downloaded on 19 August 2021. Downloaded to 19 August 2021. Downloaded to 10, 11, 2021.

Next, 12, 2021. Downloaded on 19 August 2022. The enterpret and the National Access Article is lice

Author contributions

The manuscript has been prepared with contributions from all the authors.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Ministry of Science and Technology of Taiwan (MOST 108-2113-M-001-019; MOST 109-2113- M-001-005) and Academia Sinica (MOST 108-3114-Y-001-002; AS-SUMMIT-109). We also thank Dr Ying-Yann Wu and Mr Wei-Tzung Yang for their help with NMR experiments, Dr Yuh-Sheng Wen for the X-ray crystallography experiment, and Ms Ping-Yu Lin for conducting mass spectrometry experiments.

Notes and references

- 1 D. C. Blakemore, L. Castro, I. Churcher, D. C. Rees, A. W. Thomas, D. M. Wilson and A. Wood, Nat. Chem., 2018, 10, 383–394.
- 2 S. L. Schreiber, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., 2011, 108, 6699– 6702.
- 3 I. De Toledo, T. A. Grigolo, J. M. Bennett, J. M. Elkins and R. A. Pilli, J. Org. Chem., 2019, 84, 14187–14201.
- 4 Á. Magyar and Z. Hell, *Synlett*, 2019, 30, 89-93.
- 5 Z. Jin, Nat. Prod. Rep., 2009, 26, 382–445.
- 6 C. Zhang, S. Sarshar, E. J. Moran, S. Krane, J. C. Rodarte, K. D. Benbatoul, R. Dixon and A. M. M. Mjalli, Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett., 2000, 10, 2603–2605.
- 7 P. Koch, C. Bäuerlein, H. Jank and S. Laufer, J. Med. Chem., 2008, 51, 5630–5640.
- 8 A. Siwach and P. K. Verma, BMC Chem., 2021, 15, 1–69.
- 9 N. Kerru, S. V. H. S. Bhaskaruni, L. Gummidi, S. N. Maddila, S. Maddila and S. B. Jonnalagadda, Synth. Commun., 2019, 49, 2437–2459.
- 10 E. M. Perchellet, J. P. Perchellet and P. W. Baures, J. Med. Chem., 2005, 48, 5955–5965.
- 11 A. Mumtaz, A. Saeed, N. Fatima, M. Dawood, H. Rafique and J. Iqbal, Bangladesh J. Pharmacol., 2016, 11, 756–764.
- 12 A. Negi, J. M. Alex, S. M. Amrutkar, A. T. Baviskar, G. Joshi, S. Singh, U. C. Banerjee and R. Kumar, Bioorg. Med. Chem., 2015, 23, 5654–5661.
- 13 M. Xia and Y.-D. Lu, J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem., 2007, 265, 205– 208.
- 14 Z. Jin, Nat. Prod. Rep., 2006, 23, 464–496.
- 15 D. A. Shabalin and J. E. Camp, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2020, 18, 3950–3964.
- 16 A. Gail, L. Abrahams, T. Park and N. Carolina, J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther., 1989, 249, 359–365.
- 17 L. Nagarapu, A. A. Satyender, G. Chandana and R. Bantu, J. Heterocycl. Chem., 2009, 46, 195–200.
- 18 D. R. Williams, M. R. Lee, Y. A. Song, S. K. Ko, G. H. Kim and I. Shin, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2007, 129, 9258–9259.
- 19 J. Zielonka, J. Vasquez-Vivar and B. Kalyanaraman, Nat. Protoc., 2008, 3, 8–21.
- 20 A. Patel, S. Y. Sharp, K. Hall, W. Lewis, M. F. G. Stevens, P. Workman and C. J. Moody, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2016, 14, 3889–3905.
- 21 D. R. Williams, S. K. Ko, S. Park, M. R. Lee and I. Shin, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2008, 47, 7466–7469.
- 22 B. Cui, B. L. Zheng, K. He and Q. Y. Zheng, J. Nat. Prod., 2003, 66, 1101–1103.
- 23 Z. Jin, Nat. Prod. Rep., 2013, 30, 869–915.
- 24 T. Yamamoto, T. Uemura, A. Tanimoto and S. Sasaki, Macromolecules, 2003, 36, 1047–1053.
- 25 H. Konishi, T. Ueda, T. Muto and K. Manabe, Org. Lett., 2012, 14, 4722–4725.
- 26 W. Lin, L. Long, L. Yuan, Z. Cao, B. Chen and W. Tan, Org. Lett., 2008, 10, 5577–5580.
- 27 Y. Dong, J. Qian, Y. Liu, N. Zhu, B. Xu, C. L. Ho, W. Tian and W. Y. Wong, New J. Chem., 2019, 43, 1844–1850.
- 28 B. A. Omotowa and J. M. Shreeve, Organometallics, 2004, 23, 783–791.
- 29 N. T. Zhang, C. C. Zeng, C. M. Lam, R. K. Gbur and R. D. Little, J. Org. Chem., 2013, 78, 2104–2110.
- 30 A. Uslu, S. O. Tümay, A. Şenocak, F. Yuksel, E. Özcan and S. Yeşilot, *Dalton Trans.*, 2017, 46, 9140-9156.
- 31 W. A. Herrmann, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2002, 41, 1290–1309.
- 32 N. V. Gandhare, R. G. Chaudhary, V. P. Meshram, J. A. Tanna, S. Lade, M. P. Gharpure and H. D. Juneja, J. Chinese Adv. Mater. Soc., 2015, 3, 270–279.
- 33 A. Teimouri and A. N. Chermahini, J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem., 2011, 346, 39–45.
- 34 M. Thwin, B. Mahmoudi, O. A. Ivaschuk and Q. A. Yousif, RSC Adv., 2019, 9, 15966–15975.
- 35 L. Kong, X. Lv, Q. Lin, X. Liu, Y. Zhou and Y. Jia, Org. Process Res. Dev., 2010, 14, 902–904.
- 36 S. E. Wolkenberg, D. D. Wisnoski, W. H. Leister, Y. Wang, Z. Zhao and C. W. Lindsley, Org. Lett., 2004, 6, 1453–1456.
- 37 N. Kerru, L. Gummidi, S. V. H. S. Bhaskaruni, S. N. Maddila and S. B. Jonnalagadda, Mol. Diversity, 2020, 24, 889–901.
- 38 A. Y. Dubovtsev, D. V. Darin, M. Krasavin and V. Y. Kukushkin, Eur. J. Org. Chem., 2019, 2019, 1856–1864.
- 39 J. Wang, R. Mason, D. VanDerveer, K. Feng and X. R. Bu, J. Org. Chem., 2003, 68, 5415–5418.
- 40 E. Gelens, F. J. J. De Kanter, R. F. Schmitz, L. A. J. M. Sliedregt, B. J. Van Steen, C. G. Kruse, R. Leurs, M. B. Groen and R. V. A. Orru, Mol. Diversity, 2006, 10, 17–22.
- 41 R. B. Sparks and A. P. Combs, Org. Lett., 2004, 6, 2473–2475.
- 42 H. Naeimi and D. Aghaseyedkarimi, New J. Chem., 2015, 39, 9415–9421.
- 43 M. Esmaeilpour, J. Javidi and M. Zandi, New J. Chem., 2015, 39, 3388–3398.
- 44 T. S. Chundawat, N. Sharma, P. Kumari and S. Bhagat, Synlett, 2016, 27, 404–408.
- 45 E. Eidi, M. Z. Kassaee and Z. Nasresfahani, Appl. Organomet. Chem., 2016, 30, 561–565.
- 46 A. Hariharasubramanian and Y. D. Ravichandran, RSC Adv., 2014, 4, 54740–54746.
- 47 A. R. Karimi, Z. Alimohammadi and M. M. Amini, Mol. Diversity, 2010, 14, 635–641.
- 48 A. Maleki and Z. Alirezvani, J. Chil. Chem. Soc., 2016, 61, 3116–3119.
- 49 S. N. Murthy, B. Madhav and Y. V. D. Nageswar, Tetrahedron Lett., 2010, 51, 5252–5257.
- 50 K. Ramesh, S. N. Murthy, K. Karnakar, Y. V. D. Nageswar, K. Vijayalakhshmi, B. L. A. Prabhavathi Devi and R. B. N. Prasad, Tetrahedron Lett., 2012, 53, 1126–1129. PSC Advances Articles. Articles. D. A. Article 2021. D. Articles. Article is licensed on 10.19. D. Article 2021. D. Article 2021. D. Article 2021. D. Article. 2021. D. Article. 2021. D. Article. 2021. D. Article. 2021. D.
	- 51 A. Maleki, H. Movahed and R. Paydar, RSC Adv., 2016, 6, 13657–13665.
	- 52 H. Sanaeishoar, H. Tavakkoli, M. Asareh and F. Mohave, Iran. J. Catal., 2016, 6, 213–219.
	- 53 G. Vinoth, S. Indira, M. Bharathi, G. Archana, L. G. Alves, A. M. Martins and K. Shanmuga Bharathi, Inorg. Chim. Acta, 2021, 516, 120089.
	- 54 S. Sundar and R. Rengan, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2019, 17, 1402– 1409.
	- 55 D. Peña-solórzano and C. Ochoa-puentes, Synlett, 2019, 30, 225–229.
	- 56 S. V. Bhosale, M. B. Kalyankar, S. V. Nalage, D. S. Bhosale, S. L. Pandhare, T. V. Kotbagi, S. B. Umbarkar and M. K. Dongare, Synth. Commun., 2011, 41, 762–769.
	- 57 M. Kidwai, P. Mothsra, V. Bansal, R. K. Somvanshi, A. S. Ethayathulla, S. Dey and T. P. Singh, J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem., 2007, 265, 177–182.
	- 58 N. Thimmaraju and S. Z. M. Shamshuddin, RSC Adv., 2016, 6, 60231–60243.
	- 59 S. A. Dake, M. B. Khedkar, G. S. Irmale, S. J. Ukalgaonkar, V. V. Thorat, S. A. Shintre and R. P. Pawar, Synth. Commun., 2012, 42, 1509–1520.
- 60 P. Wu, X. Zhang and B. Chen, Tetrahedron Lett., 2019, 60, 1103–1107.
- 61 H. Mehmood, M. A. Iqbal, L. Lu and R. Hua, Molecules, 2020, 25, 3621.
- 62 X. Guo, J. Shao, H. Liu, B. Chen, W. Chen and Y. Yu, RSC Adv., 2015, 5, 51559–51562.
- 63 Q. Wang, X. Chen, X.-G. Wang, H.-C. Liu and Y.-M. Liang, Org. Lett., 2019, 21, 9874–9877.
- 64 K. H. Asressu and C. C. Wang, Carbohydr. Res., 2017, 453– 454, 44–53.
- 65 A. A. Joseph, V. P. Verma, X. Y. Liu, C. H. Wu, V. M. Dhurandhare and C. C. Wang, Eur. J. Org. Chem., 2012, 744–753.
- 66 D. Zareyee and B. Karimi, Tetrahedron Lett., 2007, 48, 1277– 1280.
- 67 B. Karimi and B. Golshani, J. Org. Chem., 2000, 65, 7228– 7230.
- 68 C.-K. Chan, C.-Y. Lai and C.-C. Wang, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2020, 18, 7201–7212.
- 69 J. C. Burbiel, J. Hockemeyer and C. E. Müller, Beilstein J. Org. Chem., 2006, 2, 1–6.
- 70 C. K. Chan, C. Y. Lai and C. C. Wang, Synthesis, 2020, 52, 1779–1794.
- 71 K. H. Asressu, C. Chan and C. Wang, ACS Omega, 2021, 6, 7296–7311.
- 72 C. K. Chan, C. Y. Lai, W. C. Lo, Y. T. Cheng, M. Y. Chang and C. C. Wang, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2020, 18, 305–315.
- 73 A. Ivanković, *Int. J. Sustain. Energy*, 2017, 6, 39.
- 74 M. B. Harisha, P. Dhanalakshmi, R. Suresh, R. R. Kumar, S. Muthusubramanian and N. Bhuvanesh, ChemistrySelect, 2019, 4, 2954–2958.
- 75 E. Chauveau, C. Marestin, F. Schiets and R. Mercier, Green Chem., 2010, 12, 1018–1022.
- 76 S. Maity, S. Pathak and A. Pramanik, Tetrahedron Lett., 2013, 54, 2528–2532.
- 77 D. Ermolat, K. Van Hecke, L. Van Meervelt and E. Van Der Eycken, Mol. Diversity, 2010, 14, 767–776.
- 78 S. Naidoo and V. Jeena, Eur. J. Org. Chem., 2019, 1107–1113.
- 79 S. Naidoo and V. Jeena, Tetrahedron, 2020, 76, 131028.
- 80 CCDC 2082023 (3n), 2082029 (3q), 2082025 (3s), 2082032 (3w), 2082036 (4h) and 2098033 (3z) contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper†
- 81 Z. Huang, Chem. Biol., 2002, 9, 1059–1072.
- 82 J. B. Bharate, S. Abbat, R. Sharma, P. V. Bharatam, R. A. Vishwakarma and S. B. Bharate, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2015, 13, 5235–5242.
- 83 C. Mukhopadhyay, P. K. Tapaswi and M. G. B. Drew, Tetrahedron Lett., 2010, 51, 3944–3950.
- 84 V. P. Charpe, A. Sagadevan and K. C. Hwang, Green Chem., 2020, 22, 4426–4432.
- 85 A. H. Bansode and G. Suryavanshi, ACS Omega, 2019, 4, 9636–9644.
- 86 P. Grande, J. Wadt and T. Corell, Eur. J. Clin. Pharmacol., 1984, 27, 169–172.
- 87 K. Nishiyama, M. Saito and M. Oba, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn., 1988, 61, 609–611.
- 88 J. Jayram and V. Jeena, Green Chem., 2017, 19, 5841–5845.

- 89 M. Mao, S. Xiao, J. Li, Y. Zou, R. Zhang, J. Pan, F. Dan, K. Zou and T. Yi, Tetrahedron, 2012, 68, 5037–5041. Puper
 Open Access Article. Published on 2021. Access Articles. Published on 19 August 2021. Downloaded on 10/1, This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Non-Commons Attribution-Non-Commons Attributi
	- 90 S. Das Sharma, P. Hazarika and D. Konwar, Tetrahedron Lett., 2008, 49, 2216–2220.
	- 91 S. Samai, G. C. Nandi, P. Singh and M. S. Singh, Tetrahedron, 2009, 65, 10155–10161.
	- 92 M. V. Marques, M. M. Ruthner, L. A. M. Fontoura and D. Russowsky, J. Braz. Chem. Soc., 2012, 23, 171–179.
- 93 A. Shaabani, A. Maleki and M. Behnam, Synth. Commun., 2009, 39, 102–110.
- 94 P. Hirapara, D. Riemer, N. Hazra, J. Gajera, M. Finger and S. Das, Green Chem., 2017, 19, 5356–5360.
- 95 T. B. Gao, Q. Fan, Z. T. Yu and D. K. Cao, Dalton Trans., 2017, 46, 8180–8189.
- 96 L. Giammanco and F. P. Invidiata, Heterocycles, 1985, 23, 1459–1464.