RSC Advances

PAPER

Cite this: RSC Adv., 2021, 11, 30222

Received 11th July 2021 Accepted 26th August 2021 DOI: 10.1039/d1ra05328k

rsc.li/rsc-advances

Introduction

The anthropogenic carbon dioxide emission in atmosphere has far-reaching effects on sustainable society, and is considered as a major component of global greenhouse gas, leading to global warming and extreme weather events.¹ However, the effective conversion of $CO₂$ into high value-added chemicals has attracting widespread attention to scientists, because of which it has been regarded as an alternative, nontoxic, and sustainable C1 resource.²⁻⁷ Among these conversions, the generation of cyclic carbonates, a popular group of chemicals, is recognized as an effective route to convert inert $CO₂$ with epoxides.⁸⁻¹⁶ In addition, cyclic carbonates are established as not only valuable materials with various industrial applications for polymer synthesis, aprotic polar solvents, fuel additives and electrolyte solvents in lithium-ion batteries but also crucial intermediates in the manufacturing of fine chemicals.^{17,18}

In fact, there are quite a few materials on the utilization of $CO₂$ fixation, including homogeneous and heterogenous catalysts, for example, ionic liquids,^{19,20} organocatalysts,²¹⁻²³ Salen,²⁴⁻²⁶ porphyrins,²⁷ alkali salts,²⁸ covalent-organic frameworks (COFs),²⁹ metal-organic frameworks (MOFs)³⁰ and

Pyrene-based ammonium bromides combined with $q - C_3N_4$ for the synergistically enhanced fixation reaction of $CO₂$ and epoxidest

Tao Chang, **D**^{ab} Xiaopeng Li,^a Yongjing Hao,^a Lianwei Kang,^a Tian Tian,^{*a} Xiying Fu,^a Zheng Zhu, D^{*a} Balaji Panchal^a and Shenjun Qin^{*a}

A new type of pyrene-based ammonium bromides (PABs) was synthesized via the reaction of bromomethyl pyrene and tertiary amines with different alkyl chains combined with graphitic carbon nitride (g- C_3N_4) through $\pi-\pi$ stacking interactions. The new pyrene-based ammonium bromides were investigated both in homogenous phase and heterogeneous phase combining with $g-C_3N_4$ for the CO_2 fixation reaction of epoxides under mild conditions. Obviously, the combination was proved to be an efficient system for the conversion of epoxides. The interaction between $g-C_3N_4$ and PABs was confirmed by quantum chemical calculations. $g - C_3N_4/Py - C_{12}$ exhibited an excellent yield of cyclic carbonates (above 93%) at 80 °C, atmospheric pressure and solvent-free conditions. A preliminary kinetic study was performed using g-C₃N₄/Py-C₁₂ and the activation energy was calculated to be 61.5 kJ mol⁻¹. **PAPER**
 PAPER
 PAPER
 **PAPERE DASED and POYITION DEPART (Very Article Online

PAPERE DASED AT A COVERED ASSED AT A COVERED ASSED AND THE SUPERTED CONDITIES COMMUNIST THE THE SUPERTED CASES TO CHANGE THE SUPERTED AND**

covalent organic polymers (COPs).^{9,31} More intriguingly, some quaternary ammonium salts have been selected as catalysts for $CO₂$ fixation with epoxides, which are more sustainable than traditional Lewis acid (base) alternatives because of such suit of metal-free organocatalysts according to a green chemistry view point.³²–³⁷ Apparently, with regard to ammonium catalysts, hydrogen bonding activating strategy of epoxides is crucial for accomplishing $CO₂$ fixation.³⁸ In addition, the conversion of $CO₂$ to cyclic carbonates under mild conditions, such as low reaction temperature, atmospheric pressure, metal-free and solvent-free, is still challenging.

Recently, the syntheses and application of 2D materials of graphite carbon nitride $(g-C_3N_4)$ have attracted wide attention on $CO₂$ absorption due to the large amount of basic groups of amine and guanidine as nucleophilic sites for $CO₂$ activation.³⁹ It is predicted that the as-prepared and adjusted $g - C_3N_4$ could be optimized as catalysts for the capture and conversion of $CO₂$ ⁴⁰⁻⁵⁴ The $C₃N₄$ materials could be selected independently as the catalysts for $CO₂$ fixation with epoxides; however, these processes suffer from severe reaction conditions to obtain excellent conversion.^{41,46,56} Some strategies were developed to increase the activity of C_3N_4 materials, including adjusted acidbase duality with doping of metal atoms,^{40,49,54} alkalis,⁴³ phosphorous,⁴⁴ metal halides,⁴⁵ and boric acid.^{50,51} Another is that co-catalysts, such as tetrabutyl ammonium bromide $(TBAB),$ ^{44,48,54} KI,⁵⁰ and DMF,⁵³ are introduced and combined with $g-C_3N_4$, which play a crucial role in accelerating the ratelimiting step of opening epoxides by the nucleophilicity of halide anions.^{28,31} Although significant advances have been accomplished in $g - C_3N_4$ syntheses, some protocols suffer from harsh conditions, such as high temperature, high pressure and

 $\,{}^{\rm e}$ Key Laboratory of CO $_2$ Utilization of Handan City, College of Material Science and Engineering, Hebei University of Engineering, Handan 056038, Hebei, China. E-mail: tiantian19860102@126.com; zhuzheng@hebeu.edu.cn; sjqin528@hebeu. edu.cn

^bKey Laboratory of Heterocyclic Compounds of Hebei Province, Handan College, Handan 056005, Hebei, China

[†] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: 10.1039/d1ra05328k

Scheme 1 General synthesis strategy of pyrene-based quaternary ammonium salts.

the presence of metal salts and co-solvents. In addition, most of the optimized procedures focus on modulating the texture structure and surface properties of $g - C_3N_4$. Predictably, the development of novel catalysts is still a fascinated alternative, which could interact tightly and realize the reaction synergistically with $g - C_3N_4$.

In contrast, the non-covalent methodology is more convenient and effective for the catalyst construction without the chemical modification of homogeneous catalysts.⁵⁵ The π - π stacking interactions are an available non-covalent immobilization, and $g - C_3N_4$ provides a significant alternative for noncovalent adjustment via π – π stacking interactions with polyaromatic molecules, such pyrene-based moiety.⁵⁶ From the above point, the preparation of a pyrene-based non-covalent catalyst anchored on the $g - C_3N_4$ surface is a potentially valuable strategy of the heterogenization of homogeneous catalysis. Herein, quaternary ammonium salts connected with pyrenebased tag and different substituted alkyl chains, which possessed the properties of immobilization onto the $g - C_3N_4$ surface, are accordingly reported (Scheme 1).

Results and discussion

In order to illustrate the potentiality for a catalytic reaction, the fixation of epichlorohydrin and $CO₂$ as a model reaction was

^a Reaction conditions: ECH (10 mmol, 0.78 mL), catalysts (0.0375 mmol), CO_2 (0.1 MPa), 70 °C, 8 h. \overline{b} DTAB: dodecyl trimethyl ammonium bromide.

Table 2 Effect of the combined catalysts of Py-C_n and g-C₃N₄^a

Entry	$Py-C_n/C_3N_4$	Yield (%)
1	$Py-C_4/g-C_3N_4$	62.5
$\mathbf{2}$	$Py-C_8/g-C_3N_4$	65.7
3	$Py-C_{12}/g-C_3N_4$	75.2
4	$Py-C_{16}/g-C_3N_4$	72.7
5	$Py-C_{18}/g-C_3N_4$	72.0
6	$DTAB/g-C_3N_4$	42.6
7 ^b	$g - C_3 N_4$	NR

^a Reaction conditions: ECH (10 mmol, 0.78 mL), Py-C_n (0.0375 mmol), g-C₃N₄ (12 mg), CO₂ (0.1 MPa), 70 °C, 8 h. $\frac{b}{b}$ In the absence of Py-C_n, no reaction.

implemented in the presence of pyrene-based ionic liquids under mild conditions (Table 1, entries 1–5). Gratifyingly, all ionic liquids fabricated with mediated alkyl chains exhibited considered activities, which were superior to that of dodecyl trimethyl ammonium bromide (entry 6). Therefore, it is of significance to explore such catalysts deeply. As shown in Tables 1 and 2, when Py- C_n was used with different substitutes, there was only a slight change. The trend is that with the increase in the length of alkyl chains, the carbonate yield was ascending marginally. Predictably, the combination of $g-C_3N_4$ and Py-C_n was selected as the catalyst for $CO₂$ conversion because a large number of amine and guanidine groups were grafted, which are beneficial to the absorption and activation of $CO₂$. An increase in the fixation of CO_2 was detected in the presence of $g-C_3N_4$ (Table 2, entries 1-5). Thus, it is certain that $g - C_3N_4$ plays a crucial role in realizing the $CO₂$ fixation reaction. The results were better than that of DTAB combined with Py- C_n , which meant that Py-C_n could interact with $g-C_3N_4$. Therefore, a synergistic effect through $\pi-\pi$ stacking interactions between Py-C_n and $g - C_3N_4$ was proposed, which is significant to realize the organic reaction.57,58 **Paper Ref Excel** of the state of the computer article is licensed under the state of t

> The reaction time was found to influence the $CO₂$ fixation and be an important factor to realize the reaction completely. Then, the influence of the reaction time ranged from 4 to 24 h on the $CO₂$ conversion was explored. As demonstrated in Fig. 1, the cyclic carbonates yield increased with prolonged time, and

Fig. 1 Effect of the reaction time. Reaction conditions: ECH (10 mmol, 0.78 mL), g-C₃N₄ (12 mg), Py-C₁₂ (0.0375 mmol, 19 mg), CO₂ (0.1 MPa), 70 °C.

Fig. 2 Effect of the reaction temperature. Reaction conditions: ECH (10 mmol, 0.78 mL), g-C₃N₄ (12 mg), Py-C₁₂ (0.0375 mmol, 19 mg), $CO₂$ (0.1 MPa), 16 h.

75.2% yield was obtained after 8 h. From 8 h to 24 h, the welldistributed growth trend of the yield was detected. Therefore, the appropriate reaction time was selected as 16 h, beneficially, this time was not only effective, but also more economical.

Temperature plays a crucial effect on organic reactions. Thus, the influence of temperature varied from 60 \degree C to 90 \degree C was investigated for the fixation reaction of ECH with $CO₂$. As shown in Fig. 2, the yield improved rapidly when the reaction was carried out at 60 °C after 16 h, and a yield of 70.2% was detected. The varied yields of 82.6%, 90.1% and 95.2% were obtained when the temperature was risen from 70 \degree C to 90 \degree C. Although an excellent yield was explored at 90 \degree C, temperature of 80 \degree C was reasonable according to the energy cost.

The yield is strongly related to the amount of the combined catalyst in this reaction. The results were illustrated in Table 3. A yield of 80.1% was obtained at a low catalyst amount with a constant ratio of $g-C_3N_4$ to Py- C_{12} (entry 1) because lower catalytic concentration can not provide sufficient active sites to promote the reaction availably. Increasing the catalyst amount improved the activity of this combined system because of the enhancing synergistic interaction between the catalyst and substrates. A superior yield of 95.2% was observed, and a substantial increase was not obtained by increasing the catalytic amount to higher than 15 mg and 23.8 mg of $g - C_3N_4$ and Py- C_{12} , respectively.

In order to expand the versatility of the present catalytic system, the catalyst of Py- C_{12} with $g-C_3N_4$ was evaluated for the $CO₂$ fixation of various epoxides at optimum reaction conditions. All results are summarized in Table 4; as expected, excellent yields varied from 93% to 96% were observed for all epoxides tethered with diverse functional groups. Epoxides exhibited different conversion ability, and some epoxides such

^a Reaction conditions: ECH (10 mmol, 0.78 mL), CO₂ (0.1 MPa), 80 °C, 16 h.

Table 4 Synthesis of cyclic carbonates catalyzed by $Py-C_{12}$ in the presence of $g - C_3 N_4^a$

^a Reaction conditions: epoxides (10 mmol), g-C₃N₄ (15 mg), Py-C₁₂ (23.8) mg), CO₂ (0.1 MPa), 80 °C, 16 h.^b Detected by ¹H-NMR. ^c 32 h.^d 48 h.

as ECH, epibromohydrin, glycidyl phenyl ether and allyl glycidyl ether were converted within 16 h (entries 1–4). In contrast, butyl glycidyl ether and styrene oxide needed a slightly longer time to obtain high yields (entries 5 and 6). In addition, glycol diglycidyl ether could also be considered as a candidate substrate and generated into corresponding cyclic carbonate with good yield at 48 h (entry 7).

The catalytic activity of $g - C_3N_4/Py-C_{12}$ was compared with other catalysts for the $CO₂$ fixation reaction, as summarized in Table 5. In most studies, the $CO₂$ fixation reactions were realized at high temperatures and pressures. Comparatively, the reactions were carried out at mild conditions and with lower catalyst amount in our study.

Clearly, the catalyst could catalyze the fixation reaction of $CO₂$ by decreasing the activation energy (E_a) , along with a highspeed reaction rate at a lower activation energy. In this regard, the capability of Py- C_{12} coordinated with $g-C_3N_4$ in lowering the activation energy of the fixation reaction was exactly examined at varied temperatures from 50 to 80 \degree C under the optimum conditions.

Table 5 Comparison of catalytic activity for $CO₂$ fixation with ECH to the selected reported references

Catalysts	Catalyst amount	Co-catalyst	Reaction conditions	Yield $(\%)$	Reference
$Zn-g-C_3N_4/SBA$	100 mg		150 °C, 3.5 MPa, 1.5 h	99	40
$u-g-C_3N_4$	100 mg		130 °C, 2 MPa, 24 h	99	41
$ZnCl2/mp-C3N4$	200 mg		140 °C, 2.5 MPa, 6 h	73	42
g-C ₃ N ₄ -500-NaOH	400 mg		140 °C, 2 MPa, 6 h	92	43
$P-g-C_3N_4$	150 mg	TBAB	100 °C, 2 MPa, 3 h	91	44
n -butl Br	200 mg		140 °C, 2.5 MPa, 6 h	88	45
$u-g-C_3N_4$	50 _{mg}		130 °C, 3.5 MPa, 2 h	99	46
$g-C_3N_4$	50 mg	TBAB	105 °C, 1 bar, 20 h	>99	48
$Co@N_xC$	50 mg	TBAB, CH ₃ CN	60 °C, 1 bar, 12 h	84	49
BGCN05	100 mg	KI	60 °C, 1 bar, 60 h	73	50
BCN	30 mg		130 °C, 3.0 MPa, 24 h	97	51
$u-C_3N_4$	230 mg		120 °C, 2.0 MPa, 2 h	96	52
GO	2.5 mg	DMF	100 °C, 1 bar, 12 h	95	53
$2.0Zn@g-C_3N_4-550$	50 mg	TBAB	90 °C, 1.5 MPa, 3 h	99	54
$g - C_3N_4$	15 mg	$Py-C_{12}$	80 °C, 1 bar, 16 h	95	This work
	In general, the rate equation for the $CO2$ fixation was rep- resented as eqn (1). Usually, eqn (1) could be simplified as eqn (2), because the reaction was implemented at constant catalyst concentration and excessively CO ₂ conditions. According to previous reports, the fixation reaction was described as pseudo first order reaction on the ECH concentration. ⁵⁹⁻⁶² Then, the equation was supported as eqn (3) and (4) , where k is the rate constant, t is the reaction time and x is the conversion yield. $r = dx/dt = k''$ [ECH] ^{α} [CO ₂] ^{β} [cat.] ^{γ}	(1)	were calculated from curve of $ln(1 - x)$ versus t at various temperatures. The E_a to cyclic carbonates could be obtained according to Arrhenius law (eqn (5)). The slope of lnk versus $1/T$ is the value of E_a/T , consequently, the E_a was calculated as 61.5 kJ mol ⁻¹ (Fig. 4). In order to investigate the fixation reaction mechanism of $CO2$ and epoxides enhanced by pyrene-based ammonium bromides combined with $g-C_3N_4$, density functional theory (DFT) calculations were performed using a DMol ³ module of Material Studio 2016. Molecular orbitals were used to investi-		
	$r = dx/dt = k'[ECH]^{\alpha}$	(2)	gate the type of bonding and the interactions between the new pyrene-based ammonium bromides and $g-C_3N_4$ during the catalytic process. ^{63,64} The surfaces of the molecular orbitals were		
	$r = dx/dt = k'[ECH]$ $ln(1 - x) = kt + C$	(3) (4)	drawn at the 0.03 a. u. iso level, and they described the electron density in both the GS and the excited state in Fig. S1. [†] In case of g-C ₃ N ₄ /Py-C ₄ and g-C ₃ N ₄ /Py-C ₁₈ , it was a π -type bond and the		

$$
r = dx/dt = k''[\text{ECH}]^{\alpha}[\text{CO}_2]^{\beta}[\text{cat.}]^{\gamma}
$$
 (1)

$$
r = dx/dt = k'[ECH]^{\alpha} \tag{2}
$$

$$
r = dx/dt = k'[\text{ECH}] \tag{3}
$$

$$
\ln(1 - x) = kt + C \tag{4}
$$

$$
\ln k = E_a/RT + C \tag{5}
$$

Therefore, the process kinetics and E_a of the production were investigated, and the results are demonstrated in Tables S1– S5†, Fig. 3 and 4. As shown in Fig. 3, a linear relationship between $ln(1 - x)$ versus time was obtained, that meant that the hypothesis of pseudo first order reaction on the ECH concentration was rational. Thus, the k values described in Table S5 \dagger

Fig. 3 Logarithmic plots of $(1 - x)$ versus time by Py-C₁₂ in the presence of $g - C_3N_4$.

In order to investigate the fixation reaction mechanism of $CO₂$ and epoxides enhanced by pyrene-based ammonium bromides combined with $g - C_3N_4$, density functional theory (DFT) calculations were performed using a DMol³ module of Material Studio 2016. Molecular orbitals were used to investigate the type of bonding and the interactions between the new pyrene-based ammonium bromides and $g - C_3N_4$ during the catalytic process.63,64 The surfaces of the molecular orbitals were drawn at the 0.03 a. u. iso level, and they described the electron density in both the GS and the excited state in Fig. S1.† In case of g-C₃N₄/Py-C₄ and g-C₃N₄/Py-C₁₈, it was a π -type bond and the electron density was localized on $g - C_3N_4$ and the tertiary amine group $(-\text{CH}_2)_2$ –N– CH_3)₂–) and Br⁻. The molecular orbitals that characterized this π -type bond were HOMO orbitals. The process included a slight delocalization of electron density from the g-C₃N₄ ring. On the basis of g-C₃N₄/Py-C₈ and g-C₃N₄/Py-C₁₆, the π -type bond exited between them, and the electron density was localized on the $g-C_3N_4$ and the pyrenephenyl group. The molecular orbitals that characterized this π -type bond were also HOMO orbitals. The π -extended electron density conjugation appeared as an effect of an intramolecular interaction between

Fig. 4 Arrhenius plots for $CO₂$ fixation with ECH using Py- $C₁₂$ in the presence of $q - C_5N_4$.

Scheme 2 Probable mechanism for the fixation of $CO₂$ to epoxides.

pyrenephenyl group and $g - C_3N_4$ ring. Based on $g - C_3N_4/PV-C_{12}$, the stronger interaction was π - π conjugation effects, and the electron density was localized on the $g-C_3N_4$ and the tertiary amine group $(-(CH_2)_2-N-(CH_3)_2)$ and Br⁻. Meanwhile, the weaker interaction was $\pi \to \pi$ conjugation effects, and the electron density was localized on the $g - C_3N_4$ and pyrenephenyl group. Therefore, a series of varying alkyl chain-substituted pyrene-based ammonium bromides combining with $g - C_3N_4$ by π – π stacking interactions were exhibited to have predominant activity for $CO₂$ fixation with various epoxides.

Therefore, based on the present experimental and previous references,^{31,41,44,50-52} the proposed mechanism derived from the concept of synergetic effect for $CO₂$ fixation catalyzed by $g-C₃N₄/$ Py-C₁₂ is depicted in Scheme 2. First, the interaction of g -C₃N₄ with Py-C₁₂ happens through π - π stacking certified by DFT and previous reports.57,58 Thus, the combined catalytic system is favorable for synergistic inteaction between catalytic sites of amino groups and the nucleophilic reagent (Scheme 2, intermediate I). Second, the amino groups in $g - C_3N_4$ play a significant role in activating the epoxides and carbon dioxide through hydrogen bonding. The activation of epoxides through hydrogen bonding with primary amino group and $CO₂$ via secondary and tertiary amine possibly occurs simultaneously, which allows the coordination of intermediate II.^{26,41,44} As a result, the increasing electrophilicity of the terminal carbon of epoxides is attacked by the nucleophilic bromide ion from the pyrene-based ammonium interacting tightly with $g-C_3N_4$ to generate the negative bromo-alkoxide intermediate III, which is stabilized by hydrogen bonding. Consequently, the attack of activated $CO₂$ with bromo-alkoxide and intermediate **IV** of linear bromo-carbonate are generated. Finally, the intramolecular ring-closing of intermediate IV occurs, and the generated cyclic carbonate regenerates the catalyst.

Experimental section

Preparation of pyrene based quaternary ammonium salts and $g - C_3N_4$

Synthesis of bromomethyl pyrene (PyBr). It was synthesized through the reaction of pyrene methanol with $PBr₃$ under argon

gas atmosphere. The classic procedure was as follows: 1-pyrene methanol $(1 g, 4.3 mmol)$ was added into a Schlenk flask, sealed with a rubber tap, and charged with Ar three times. Then, 50 mL anhydrous toluene was injected into the flask, which was placed into an ice bath. $PBr₃$ (1 mL, 10.5 mmol) was injected into the flask. The mixture was stirred for another 5 h. When the reaction finished, a saturated sodium carbonate solution (20 mL) was added into the flask, the organic phase was separated and washed with 20 mL water and saturated NaCl solution twice, respectively, and dried with anhydrous MgSO₄. Finally, most toluene was evaporated and crystalized in a refrigerator. The pale-yellow crystal was obtained, and the yield was 85%. PSC Advances $\frac{1}{2}$
 $\frac{1}{2}$

Synthesis of pyrene based quaternary ammonium salts. Various alkyl-substituted pyrene-based quaternary ammonium salts were prepared *via* the reaction of PyBr with alkyl tertiary amines. PyBr (1.0 g, 3.39 mmol), alkyl tertiary amine (3.39 mmol) and 20 mL toluene were mixed in a flask at 55 \degree C for 48 h. After the reaction completion, the white solid was filtered, and dried under vacuum.

Py-C₄: ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CD₃OD) δ 8.82 (d, J = 9.2 Hz, 1H, ArH), 8.16-8.46 (m, 8H, ArH), 5.39 (s, 2H, ArCH₂N), 3.54-3.58 (m, 2H, NCH2), 3.09 (s, 6H, NCH3), 1.84–1.88 (m, 2H, NCH₂CH₂), 1.32–1.37 (m, 2H CH₂), 0.97 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 3H, CH₃); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CD₃OD) δ 132.86. 132.81, 132.16, 131.11, 130.38, 129.38, 129.35, 127.71, 127.21, 126.79, 126.47, 125.23, 124.64, 124.03, 123.96, 122.09, 64.30, 63.92, 49.51, 24.40, 19.87, 14.02; ESI-MS: calcd for $C_{23}H_{26}NBr$, m/z $[M - Br]$ ⁺: 316.2, found: 316.1.

Py-C₈: ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CD₃OD) δ 8.80 (d, J = 9.2 Hz, 1H, ArH), 8.16–8.46 (m, 8H, ArH), 5.38 (s, 2H, ArCH₂N), 3.5–3.55 (m, 2H, NCH₂), 3.08 (s, 6H, NCH₃), 1.84 (s, 2H, NCH₂CH₂), 1.24-1.30 $(m, 10H CH₂), 0.85$ (t, 3H, CH₃); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CD₃OD) d 132.86. 132.77, 132.16, 131.12, 130.39, 129.39, 129.36, 127.71, 127.22, 126.80, 126.47, 125.23, 124.64, 124.03, 123.93, 122.11, 64.47, 63.98, 49.54, 31.61, 28.91, 28.88, 26.41, 22.49, 22.42, 14.42; ESI-MS: calcd for $C_{27}H_{34}NBr$, m/z $[M - Br]$ ⁺: 372.3, found: 372.2.

Py-C₁₂: ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.79 (d, J = 9.32 Hz, 1H, ArH), 8.26 (d, $J = 7.88$ Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.98-8.08 (m, 3H, ArH), 7.78-7.91 (m, 3H, ArH), 7.64 (d, $J = 8.84$ Hz, 1H, ArH), 6.04 (s, 2H, ArCH₂N), 3.78 (t, J = 7.64 Hz, 2H, NCH₂), 3.30 (s, 6H, NCH₃), 1.71 (s, 2H, NCH₂CH₂), 0.97-1.24 (m, 18H, CH₂), 0.85 (t, $J =$ 6.8 Hz, 3H, CH₃); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 132.50, 132.33, 131.85, 130.61, 129.96, 129.54, 128.78, 126.59, 126.20, 126.00, 125.83, 124.24, 123.63, 123.47, 120.07, 65.20, 64.19, 49.36, 31.86, 29.49, 29.45, 29.32, 29.28, 29.25, 26.33, 23.10, 22.68, 14.16; ESI-MS: calcd for $C_{31}H_{42}NBr$, m/z $[M - Br]$ ⁺: 428.3, found: 428.2.

Py-C₁₆: ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.80 (d, J = 9.28 Hz, 1H, ArH), 8.27 (d, $J = 7.88$ Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.99-8.09 (m, 3H, ArH), 7.79–7.92 (m, 3H, ArH), 7.65 (d, $J = 8.84$ Hz, 1H, ArH), 6.06 (s, 2H, ArCH₂N), 3.79 (t, J = 7.56 Hz, 2H, NCH₂), 3.31 (s, 6H, NCH₃), 1.72 (s, 2H, NCH₂CH₂), 0.97-1.24 (m, 26H, CH₂), 0.87 (t, $J =$ 6.5 Hz, 3H, CH₃); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 132.51, 132.34, 131.87, 130.62, 129.98, 129.57, 128.79, 126.59, 126.20, 126.01, 125.84, 124.25, 123.64, 123.48, 120.06, 65.22, 64.20, 49.37, 31.94, 29.72, 29.68, 29.65, 29.57, 29.48, 29.39, 29.35, 29.26, 26.33, 23.11, 22.71, 14.17; ESI-MS: calcd for C₃₅H₅₀NBr, m/z [M $-$ Br]⁺: 484.4, found: 484.3.

Py-C₁₈: ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.77 (d, J = 8.92 Hz, 1H, ArH), 8.24 (d, $J = 7.4$ Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.93-8.03 (m, 3H, ArH), 7.76-7.86 (m, 3H, ArH), 7.61 (d, $J = 8.56$ Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.10-7.19 (m, 3H), 5.98 (s, 2H, ArCH2N), 3.77 (s, 2H, NCH2), 3.25 (s, 6H, NCH₃), 2.29 (s, 2H, NCH₂CH₂), 1.68 (s, 2H, NCH₂CH₂), 0.84-1.21 (m, 30H, CH₂); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 137.80, 132.48, 132.30, 131.83, 130.59, 129.93, 129.46, 128.99, 128.75, 128.18, 126.61, 126.17, 125.98, 125.81, 125.26, 124.23, 123.62, 123.49, 120.15, 65.09, 64.19, 49.32, 31.92, 29.70, 29.67, 29.64, 29.56, 29.48, 29.37, 29.29, 29.24, 26.35, 23.10, 22.70, 21.44, 14.16; ESI-MS: calcd for $C_{37}H_{54}NBr$, m/z $[M - Br]$ ⁺: 512.4, found: 512.4. Paper

26. Advances

26. Advances Article 2021. Downloaded on 10 September 2021. And 2022. Although the solelar published on 2021.

27. A

Synthesis of $g - C_3N_4$. $g - C_3N_4$ was synthesized according to a previous study,⁶⁵ and in a typical procedure, melamine $(20 g)$ was placed into a quartz boat and heated at 550 °C under N_2 atmosphere for 4 h. The yellow powder was obtained and used without further purification.

Procedure of $CO₂$ fixation with epoxides

At room temperature, P_y-C_n , $g-C_3N_4$ and epoxides were charged into a Schlenk tube, respectively. To enable the catalysts interact completely, the mixture was sonicated for 20 min. Then, $CO₂$ was injected into the tube through a balloon, which was placed into a heater and stirred at a fixed temperature. After the reaction, the mixture was cooled at room temperature, and the excess $CO₂$ was vented. After centrifugation, a small amount of clearly crude product was separated and detected by $^1\mathrm{H}$ NMR to identify the conversion.

DFT calculations

The DFT calculations were performed using a DMol³ module of Material Studio 2016. During optimization, all atoms of the paddlewheel cluster and adsorbing molecules, except terminating atoms, could relax. The generalized gradient approximation (GGA) method with the Perdew–Burke–Ernzerhof (PBE) function was employed to describe the interactions between the core and electrons. An energy cutoff of 450 eV was used for the plane wave expansion of the electronic wave function. The force and energy convergence criteria were set to 0.03 eV \AA^{-1} and 10^{-5} eV, respectively.

Conclusions

A series of ranged alkyl chain-substituted pyrene-based ammonium bromides combining with g-C₃N₄ by π - π stacking interactions exhibited a predominant activity towards $CO₂$ fixation with various epoxides, and above 93% yields of the corresponding cyclic carbonates were detected at 80 $^{\circ}$ C, 0.1 MPa $CO₂$ pressure under solvent-free conditions. It could be predicted that the effectiveness of such a combination system originated from the synergetic interactions between $\pi-\pi$ stacking, amino group and bromide ion nucleophile. This method provides a new strategy that the simple immobilized g- C_3N_4 can be used as a catalyst for the fixation reaction of CO_2 under mild conditions.

Author contributions

Tao Chang: Data curation, formal analysis, methodology, writing - original draft, review & editing. Xiaopeng Li: data curation, formal analysis. Yongjing Hao: data curation, formal analysis. Lianwei Kang: software, visualization. Tian Tian: data curation, methodology, software, supervision. Xiying Fu: data curation, software. Zheng Zhu: formal analysis, supervision. Balaji Panchal: writing – review & editing. Shenjun Qin: methodology, supervision, project administration, resources.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC) program (21902041), the National Science Foundation of Hebei province (B2019402020 B2020402002 E2021402017) and the Science and Technology Project of Hebei Education Department (ZD2019002, ZD2019055, QN2020165).

Notes and references

- 1 M. Mikkelsen, M. Jørgensen and F. C. Krebs, Energy Environ. Sci., 2010, 3, 43–81.
- 2 G. Singh, J. Lee, A. Karakoti, R. Bahadur, J. B. Yi, D. Y. Zhao, K. Albahily and A. Vinu, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2020, 49, 4360–4404.
- 3 A. Modak, P. Bhanja, S. Dutta, B. Chowdhury and A. Bhaumil, Green Chem., 2020, 22, 4002–4033.
- 4 P. Sreejyothi and K. M. Swadhin, Chem. Sci., 2020, 11, 10571– 10593.
- 5 Z. E. Zhang, S. Y. Pan, H. Li, J. C. Cai, A. G. Olabi, E. J. Anthony and V. Manovic, Renewable Sustainable Energy Rev., 2020, 125, 109799.
- 6 M. Aresta, F. Nocito and A. Dibenedetto, Adv. Catal., 2018, 62, 49–111.
- 7 X. L. Liu, J. L. Li, N. Li, B. Y. Li and X. H. Bu, Chin. J. Chem., 2021, 39, 440–462.
- 8 C. Claver, M. B. Yeamin, M. Reguero and A. M. Masdeu-Bultó, Green Chem., 2020, 22, 7665-7706.
- 9 A. A. Marciniak, K. J. Lamb, L. P. Ozorio, C. J. A. Mota and M. North, Curr. Opin. Green Sustain. Chem., 2020, 26, 100365.
- 10 F. D. Monica and A. W. Kleij, Catal. Sci. Technol., 2020, 10, 3483–3501.
- 11 C. K. Ran, X. W. Chen, Y. Y. Gui, J. Liu, L. Song, K. Ren and D. G. Du, Sci. China: Chem., 2020, 63, 1336–1351.
- 12 B. Limburg, A. Cristofol, F. D. Monica and A. J. Kleij, ChemSuschem, 2020, 13, 6056–6065.
- 13 S. L. Hou, J. Dong and B. Zhao, Adv. Mater., 2019, 32, 1806163.
- 14 F. D. Monica, A. Buonerba and C. Capacchione, Adv. Synth. Catal., 2020, 361, 265–282.
- 15 A. J. Kamphuis, F. Picchioni and P. P. Pescarmona, Green Chem., 2019, 21, 406–448.
- 16 K. Huang, J. Y. Zhang, F. J. Liu and S. Dai, ACS Catal., 2018, 8, 9079–9102. 17 B. Schäffer, F. Schäffner, S. P. Verevkin and A. Börner, Chem. Rev., 2010, 110, 4554–4581.
	- 18 N. Yadav, F. Ssidi, D. Crespy and V. Dielia, ChemSusChem, 2019, 12, 724–754.
	- 19 J. H. Wu, B. H. Lv, X. M. Wu, Z. M. Zhou and G. Jing, ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng., 2019, 7, 7312–7323.
	- 20 W. Sun, M. Wang, Y. Zhang, W. Ding, F. Huo, L. Wei and H. He, Green Energy Environ., 2020, 5, 183–194.
	- 21 S. Suleman, H. A. Younus, Z. A. K. Khattak, H. Ullah, M. Elkadi and F. Verpoort, Mol. Catal., 2020, 493, 111071.
	- 22 C. Sperandio, J. Rodriguez and A. Quintard, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2020, 18, 2637–2640.
	- 23 K. Naveen, H. Ji, T. S. Kim, D. Kim and D. H. Cho, Appl. Catal., B, 2021, 280, 119395.
	- 24 Z. X. Yue, M. Pudukudy, S. Y. Chen, W. B. Zhao, J. Y. Wang, S. Y. Shan and Q. M. Jia, Appl. Catal., A, 2020, 601, 117646.
	- 25 J. Liu, G. Q. Yang, Y. Liu, D. J. Zhang, X. B. Hu and Z. B. Zhang, Green Chem., 2020, 22, 4509–4515.
- 26 X. Xin, H. W. Shan, T. Tian, Y. R. Wang, D. Yuan, H. P. You and Y. M. Yao, ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng., 2020, 8, 13185– 13194. PSC Advances VexeArticles Articles. Published on 10 September 2021. Downloaded on 10 September 2021. Download Access Article is licensed under a state of the state of the
	- 27 Q. J. Wu, M. J. Mao, J. X. Chen, Y. B. Huang and R. Cao, Catal. Sci. Technol., 2020, 10, 8026–8033.
	- 28 Y. Hao, T. Tian, Y. Kang, T. Chang, X. Fu, Z. Zhu, X. Meng, B. Panchala and S. Qin, New J. Chem., 2020, 44, 15811–15815.
	- 29 H. Y. Cheng and T. Wang, Adv. Synth. Catal., 2021, 363, 144– 193.
	- 30 M. L. Ding, R. W. Flaig, H. L. Jiang and O. M. Yaghi, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2019, 48, 2783–2828.
	- 31 Y. J. Hao, X. L. Yan, Z. Zhu, T. Chang, X. C. Meng, X. Y. Fu, B. Panchal, L. W. Kang and S. J. Qin, Sustainable Energy Fuels, 2021, 5, 2943–2951.
	- 32 T. Ema, K. Fukuhara, T. Sakai, M. Ohbo, F. Q. Bai and J. Y. Hasegawa, Catal. Sci. Technol., 2015, 5, 2314–2321.
	- 33 J. P. Dong, C. Zhao, J. J. Qiu and C. M. Liu, J. Ind. Eng. Chem., 2020, 90, 95–104.
	- 34 R. Yan, K. Chen, Z. J. Li, Y. Y. Qu, L. Y. Guo, H. Y. Tong, Y. Q. Li, J. Li, Y. Z. Hu and K. Guo, ChemSusChem, 2021, 14, 738–744.
	- 35 H. Q. Yang, D. N. Zheng, J. S. Zhang, K. Chen, J. F. Li, L. Wang, J. L. Zhang, H. Y. He and S. J. Zhang, Chem. Ind. Eng. Prog., 2018, 57, 7121–7129.
	- 36 T. Chang, X. Gao, L. Bian, X. Fu, M. Yuan and H. Jing, Chin. J. Catal., 2015, 36, 408–413.
	- 37 X. Fu, P. Xie, Y. Lian, L. He, W. Zhao, T. Chang and S. Qin, Chin. J. Catal., 2018, 39, 1854–1860.
	- 38 M. Liu, X. Wang, Y. Jiang, J. Sun and M. Arai, Catal. Rev., 2018, 61, 214–267.
	- 39 A. Thomas, A. Fischer, F. Goettmann, M. Antonietti, J.-O. Müller, R. Schlögl and J. M. Carlsson, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2008, 18, 4893–4908.
	- 40 Z. J. Huang, F. B. Li, B. F. Chen, T. Lu, Y. Yuan and G. Q. Yuan, Appl. Catal., A, 2013, 136–137, 269–277.
- 41 Q. Su, J. Sun, J. Q. Wang, Z. F. Yang, W. G. Cheng and S. J. Zhang, Catal. Sci. Technol., 2014, 4, 1556–1662.
- 42 J. Xu, F. Wu, Q. jiang, J. K. Shang and Y. X. Li, J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem., 2015, 403, 77–83.
- 43 J. Xu, J. K. Shang, Q. Jiang, Y. Wang and Y. X. Li, RSC Adv., 2016, 6, 55382–55392.
- 44 D. H. Lan, H. T. Wang, L. Chen, C. T. Au and S. F. Yin, Carbon, 2016, 100, 81–89.
- 45 J. Xu, F. Wu, Q. Jiang and Y. X. Li, Catal. Sci. Technol., 2015, 5, 447–454.
- 46 Z. J. Huang, F. B. Li, B. F. Chen and G. Q. Yuan, Catal. Sci. Technol., 2016, 6, 2942–2948.
- 47 Y. Oh, V. D. Le, U. N. Maiti, J. O. Hwang, W. J. Park, J. Lim, K. E. Lee, Y. S. Bae, Y. H. Kim and S. O. Kim, ACS Nano, 2015, 9, 9148–9157.
- 48 T. Biswas and V. Mahalingam, New J. Chem., 2018, 41, 14839–14842.
- 49 Y. Y. Yang, H. Li, S. P. Pei, F. Liu, W. Feng and Y. M. Zhang, RSC Adv., 2020, 10, 42408–42412.
- 50 H. Chand, P. Choudhary, A. Kumar, A. Kumar and V. Krishnan, J. CO₂ Util., 2021, 51, 101646.
- 51 J. Zhu, T. Diao, W. Wang, X. Xu, X. Sun, S. A. Carabineiro and Z. Zhao, Appl. Catal., B, 2017, 219, 92–100.
- 52 X. H. Song, Y. F. Wu, D. H. Pan, F. F. Cai and G. M. Xiao, Mol. Catal., 2017, 436, 228–236.
- 53 S. Zhang, H. hang, F. X. Cao, Y. Y. Ma and Y. Q. Qu, ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng., 2018, 6, 4204–4211.
- 54 Y. Q. Gu, R. Ping, F. S. Liu, G. Y. Zhang, M. S. Liu and J. M. Sun, Ind. Eng. Chem. Res., 2021, 60, 5723–5732.
- 55 E. Peris, Chem. Commun., 2016, 52, 5777–5787.
- 56 M. Majdoub and Z. Anfar, ACS Nano, 2020, 14, 12390–12469.
- 57 X. J. Zhang, B. Y. Wang, Y. M. Lu, C. G. Xia and J. H. Liu, Mol. Catal., 2021, 504, 111452.
- 58 X. Zhang, C. C. Jia, Y. H. Xue and P. Yang, RSC Adv., 2017, 7, 43888–43893.
- 59 J. Zhang, X. Li, Z. Zhu, T. Chang, X. Fu, Y. Hao, X. Meng, B. Panchal and S. Qin, Adv. Sustainable Syst., 2021, 5, 2000133.
- 60 X. Jiang, F. L. Gou, F. Chen and H. W. Jing, Green Chem., 2016, 18, 3567–3576.
- 61 J. Y. Wang, Y. T. Liang, D. G. Zhou, J. P. Ma and H. W. Jing, Org. Chem. Front., 2018, 5, 741–748.
- 62 Y. D. Zhang, G. J. Chen, L. Wu, K. Liu, H. Zhong, Z. Y. Long, M. M. Tong, Z. Z. Yang and S. Dai, Chem. Commun., 2020, 56, 3309–3312.
- 63 K. Pramoda, U. Gupta, M. Chhetri, A. Bandyopadhyay, S. K. Pati and C. N. R. Rao, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2017, 9, 10664–10672.
- 64 F. L. Lai, Y. Wang, D. D. Li, X. S. Sun, J. Peng, X. D. Zhang, Y. P. Tian and T. X. Liu, Nano Res., 2018, 11, 1099–1108.
- 65 X. C. Wang, K. Maeda, A. Thomas, K. Takanabe, G. Xin, J. M. Carlsson, K. Domen and M. Antonietti, Nat. Mater., 2009, 8, 76–80.