Cite this: *RSC Adv.*, 2021, 11, 31608

Microstructure and electrochemical properties of high performance graphene/manganese oxide hybrid electrodes†

Fatima Hamade,[‡] Emmy Radich[‡] and Virginia A. Davis[‡]*

Hybrids consisting of 2D ultra-large reduced graphene oxide (RGO) sheets (~30 μm long) and 1D α -phase manganese oxide (MnO_2) nanowires were fabricated through a versatile synthesis technique that results in electrostatic binding of the nanowires and sheets. Two different hybrid (RGO/ MnO_2) compositions had remarkable features and performance: 3 : 1 MnO_2 /RGO (75/25 wt%) denoted as 3H and 10 : 1 MnO_2 /RGO (90/10 wt%) denoted as 10H. Characterization using spectroscopy, microscopy, and thermal analysis provided insights into the microstructure and behavior of the individual components and hybrids. Both hybrids exhibited higher specific capacitance than their individual components. 3H demonstrated excellent overall electrochemical performance with specific capacitance of 225 F g^{-1} , pseudocapacitive and electrochemical double-layer capacitance (EDLC) contributions, charge-transfer resistance <1 Ω , and 97.8% capacitive retention after 1000 cycles. These properties were better than those of 10H; this was attributed 3H's more uniform distribution of nanowires enabling more effective electronic transport. Thermal annealing was used to produce reduced graphene oxide (RGO) that exhibited significant removal of oxygen functionality with a resulting interlayer spacing of 0.391 nm, higher D/G ratio, higher specific capacitance, and electrochemical properties representing more ideal capacitive behavior than GO. Integrating ultra-large RGO with very high surface area and MnO_2 nanowires enables chemical interactions that may improve processability into complex architectures and electrochemical performance of electrodes for applications in electronics, sensors, catalysis, and deionization.

Received 11th July 2021
Accepted 15th September 2021

DOI: 10.1039/d1ra05323j

rsc.li/rsc-advances

Introduction

Development of energy storage devices with higher charge storage capabilities has received considerable attention in the past decade as a result of increased environmental concerns.¹ Supercapacitors offer advantages over traditional electrical double-layer capacitors and batteries due to their higher power densities. Advancements in nanomaterial research have revealed unique combinations of material properties and behaviours that are desirable for many applications. Fundamental understanding of the effects of tailoring initial dispersion parameters on final properties provides a basis for developing structure-processing-property relationships. These relationships provide insight into the material interactions and microstructures that enable fluid-phase processing and fabrication into complex architectures.

Nanomaterials such as single-walled carbon nanotubes (SWNTs),^{2–4} activated carbon,^{5,6} reduced graphene oxide (RGO),^{7–11} and titanium carbide (Ti_3C_2),^{12–15} possess exceptional electrical, mechanical, thermal, and optical properties enabling their applications in flexible electronics, catalysts, actuators, deionization, and capacitors. They store charge by electric double-layer capacitance (EDLC), which occurs at the interface between the conductive electrode surface and electrolyte.

Graphene is a 2D single-layer exfoliated sheet of graphite with sp^2 hybridized carbon in a honeycomb lattice structure. Graphene's exceptional properties as a carbon-based nanomaterial include high theoretical specific surface area, Young's modulus, intrinsic phonon mobility, electrical conductivity, and optical transmittance.¹⁶ These properties have led to its use in applications such as transparent conductive films, sensors, rechargeable lithium ion batteries, and electrochemical double layer capacitors (EDLCs).^{17–19} Graphene has a robust and flexible nature and can be modified or functionalized in many ways to change its electronic structure and yield different surface properties.^{20,21} Graphene oxide (GO) is produced by chemical exfoliation and oxidation of graphite to separate the graphene layers and introduce oxygen functional groups. Throughout the widely accepted synthesis by modified Hummer's method, the

Department of Chemical Engineering, Auburn University, Auburn, AL, USA. E-mail: davisva@auburn.edu

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: 10.1039/d1ra05323j

‡ Current address: Infiltrator Water Technologies.

graphite is sonicated to ensure dispersibility and produces carboxyl groups along the edges and hydroxyl, carbonyl, and epoxy groups along the basal planes of GO.^{16,22} This results in a system with monolayer to few-layer sheets, or flakes. GO is desirable for its ability to form multicomponent functional materials due to its hydrophilicity owing to its dispersibility in water and polar solvents and long-term stability.^{23,24}

Ultra-large GO sheets are an order of magnitude larger in aspect ratio (length/diameter) than small GO sheets (~25 000 compared to ~2000). In contrast to the synthesis of traditional GO, ultra-large GO sheets are prepared from thermally expandable graphite²⁵ by increasing the interlayer spacing prior to oxidation. They are not subjected to any sonication due to their higher vulnerability to breakage under shear.^{26,27} Ultra-large GO has been less studied than its smaller counterparts, but previous studies on the phase behaviour and rheology of ultra-large GO revealed the formation of aligned liquid crystalline regions at a dispersion concentration three orders of magnitude lower than for small GO sheets.^{28,29} This can enable easier processability into aligned architectures and desirable properties for 3D printing, fiber spinning, electrochemical measurements, or as fillers for nanocomposites.^{24,30–34}

To produce a more conductive material, GO can be reduced by chemical, thermal, or electrochemical methods. While the reduction techniques explored for small GO sheets have been previously investigated in great detail,^{9,35–37} reduction of ultra-large GO alone and as a component in multicomponent systems has only been studied by few reports.^{38–40} In this work, RGO was produced by thermal annealing ultra-large GO.

Manganese oxide (MnO₂) has been studied for various applications including catalysts, absorbents, biosensors, and cathodes for alkaline batteries.^{41–44} MnO₂ is a transition metal oxide that is environmentally friendly, cost-effective, and favorable for use in neutral electrolytes compared to RuO₂, IrO₂, and NiO metal oxides.^{1,45–47} MnO₂ exhibits high specific capacitance by storing charge as a pseudocapacitor, where faradaic redox reactions with charge-transfer occur on the surface or by intercalation to store charge electrochemically.⁴⁸ A significant advantage of MnO₂-based electrodes is their ability to function in neutral electrolytes, which is a promising characteristic for large-scale applications.⁴⁴ Furthermore, previous reports have shown that transition metal oxides, including MnO₂, are valuable for increasing conductivity and preventing restacking, or agglomeration, of multilayer 2D nanosheets due to their electrostatic binding interactions.^{49–51}

MnO₂ exhibits a tetragonal structure that allows intercalation of species through its tunnelling configuration. The MnO₂ crystal structure consists of MnO₆ octahedron units that connect to produce different crystallographic forms resulting in distinctive morphologies (shapes, phases, aspect ratios, properties).^{52–54} MnO₂ nanomaterials can be produced in a range of shapes and sizes using a variety of synthesis methods including hydrothermal,^{1,55} redox,⁵⁴ and sol-gel⁵⁶ reactions. However, the main disadvantages of these methods include introducing cross-linking agents, long reaction times, and expensive equipment. An alternative synthesis protocol using a precipitation method previously developed for MnO₂ with a double-

solvent system that is versatile, low cost, safe-handling, and short reaction time was used in this work.⁵² Nanowires ($L/D \sim 60$) of α -type MnO₂ were synthesized in the presence of ultra-large GO sheets. MnO₂ can also form lower aspect ratio needles, rods, or spindles⁵² with aspect ratios ranging from 2 to 10. The geometry is determined by the rate of solvent addition and reaction time.⁵³ The needle-like ($L/D \sim 10$) morphologies form when deionized water in the potassium permanganate (KMnO₄) solution is injected quickly into the boiling isopropanol and allowed to react for 10 min.⁵² Rod-like morphologies ($L/D \sim 2$) form during very slow addition of KMnO₄ solution during a 50 min reaction time. Spindle-like morphologies ($L/D \sim 2$) form when four times as much water is rapidly added and the reaction is allowed to proceed for 10 min.⁵² In this work, longer reaction times of 30 min were used to obtain nanowires ($L/D \sim 60$) electrostatically bound to the ultra-large sheets. The higher aspect ratio was desirable for both helping prevent sheet restacking and enhancing transport within the electrode structure.

Combining carbon-based nanomaterials with transition metals to form multicomponent structures enables interesting electrochemical properties that cannot be achieved than with either component alone. Studies have shown that the carbon support enhances the electrochemical performance and the geometry of 1D nanomaterials facilitate electron transport.^{48,57} One study described the synthesis and characterization of hollow cobalt oxide (Co₃O₄) attached onto holey graphene for use in lithium ion battery applications.⁵⁸ Interestingly, the electrochemical performance demonstrated charge-discharge capacities that were faster for the *in situ* holey graphene-Co₃O₄ than either the normally holey graphene-Co₃O₄ or non-holey graphene-Co₃O₄ electrodes, which shorten diffusion lengths for enhancing lithium ion transport.⁵⁸ In this work, we investigated the combination of ultra-large reduced graphene oxide (RGO) as a support with MnO₂ nanowires attached onto the RGO sheets. The synthesis routes for attachment and formation of the nanostructures along the carbon-based materials enabled formation of novel architectures with interactions governing mass transport across the electrode interface.

Integrating small RGO sheets with MnO₂ nanowires has previously shown enhanced cycling behaviour and capacity as electrodes tested in lithium ion cells,⁵⁹ improved electrochemical stability in a three-electrode setup,⁶⁰ and improved colloidal stability that promotes nanowire alignment.⁶¹ This work explored the use of MnO₂ nanowires as guest materials to form a sandwich structure with ultra-large GO sheets. The nanowire-sheet interactions occur *via* electrostatic binding⁶⁰ that occurs by nanowire nucleation and growth^{53,62} along the ultra-large GO sheets with simultaneous reduction to form a hybrid material (RGO/MnO₂). To the best of the authors' knowledge, only a few studies have prepared multicomponent systems with ultra-large GO. The scope of this work included characterizing the individual and multicomponent, or hybrid, materials by spectroscopy, microscopy, and thermal analysis techniques to gain insights into the microstructures and probing the electrochemical responses of as-prepared electrodes. Results from this work provide understanding of the

interactions of the ultra-large RGO sheets and MnO₂ nanowires as well as the effects of combining 2D/1D geometries with very different aspect ratios.

Results and discussion

The individual materials expandable graphite, ultra-large graphene oxide (GO), partially reduced graphene oxide (P-RGO), reduced graphene oxide (RGO), and manganese oxide (MnO₂) were prepared as described in the experimental section. Furthermore, hybrids (RGO/MnO₂) were synthesized with addition of GO to the MnO₂ synthesis enabling electrostatic binding of the MnO₂ nanowires (NWs) onto the GO sheets. Two different hybrid compositions were studied for comparison with MnO₂/GO ratios of (1) 75/25 wt% designated as 3 : 1 hybrid, or 3H, and (2) 90/10 wt% designated as 10 : 1 hybrid, or 10H. All the materials were then characterized by multiple spectroscopy techniques, microscopy and thermal analysis to understand the material properties including functionality, sizes, crystal structure, thermal stability and transitions, and graphitic domains.

Material sizes & morphology

AFM analysis. Atomic force microscopy (AFM) was performed on GO, MnO₂, and hybrid materials for determining aspect ratio, or length to diameter ratio. Fig. 1a and b shows the AFM images of GO and MnO₂, respectively. The GO sheets have

an irregular shape and range in length from 10–50 μm , consisting of primarily 20–40 μm sheets, with evident folding and wrinkling indicated by the lighter areas along the sheet dimensions (Fig. 1a). Monolayer and few-layer sheets were observed with an average thickness of ~ 1.3 nm, which is in agreement with previous studies on ultra-large GO.^{24,29} Fig. 1b shows the MnO₂ nanowires (NWs) that tend to form fractal networks during AFM sample preparation. The measured lengths range from 500 nm to 1.2 μm , and diameters (or thicknesses) range from 5–25 nm for an average aspect ratio of $L/D \sim 60$, which is higher than other morphologies that can be produced by tuning the MnO₂ synthesis. Earlier work shows that the size and morphology of MnO₂ nanostructures is sensitive to the synthesis time. For example, MnO₂ nanoneedles produced during a 10 min reaction time have dimensions of length from 200–500 nm and diameter from 20–50 nm.⁵² The longer synthesis time of 30 min in this work permitted further longitudinal growth of the MnO₂ into nanowires. Based on these results, the aspect ratio of the ultra-large GO sheets is 3

Table 1 GO and MnO₂ material sizes from AFM measurements

Material	Length – L (nm)	Diameter (thickness) – D (nm)	Aspect ratio (L/D)
GO sheets	$30\,000 \pm 4500$	1.3 ± 0.5	$\sim 25\,000$
MnO ₂ NWs	850 ± 80	15 ± 10	~ 60

Fig. 1 AFM images of (a) GO with an average sheet size of 40 μm long and ~ 1 nm thick, (b) MnO₂ nanowires indicating network formation, and hybrids (c) 3H that represents uniform distribution of nanowires along the GO sheets and (d) 10H that represents clusters of MnO₂ attached to RGO sheets.

orders of magnitude higher than that of the NWs (Table 1). For comparison, the typical dimensions for small GO sheets ranges from 0.7–5 μm in length and thickness (diameter) of ~ 1 nm that gives an aspect ratio of ~ 2000 .^{63,64} This is one order of magnitude smaller than the ultra-large GO and still two orders of magnitude higher than that of the NWs studied in this work. High aspect ratio nanomaterials have larger specific surface areas that enable more access for diffusion and interactions along their surfaces and better electrochemical properties. AFM of the 3 : 1 hybrid (3H) show a uniform distribution of nanowires along the GO sheets and 10 : 1 hybrid (10H) show regions of more concentrated bundles or clusters of MnO_2 that do not have similar 1D structures to that of 3H (Fig. 1c and d). Fig. S1† demonstrates an AFM image of another 3H hybrid along with the z-height profile, showing the sheet thickness to be ~ 1 nm and nanowire thickness to be ~ 9 nm.

SEM analysis. The morphologies of GO, MnO_2 , and 3H hybrid were further investigated with scanning electron microscopy (SEM) imaging. Fig. 2a shows GO sheets with evident wrinkling behavior resulting from their semi-flexibility and drying effects. Fig. 2b and c illustrates a comparison of the MnO_2 alone and when attached to the RGO sheets in the 3H hybrid. The MnO_2 has a nanowire-like morphology with average lengths of ~ 850 nm. Interestingly, the surface of the 3H hybrid structure shows that the MnO_2 nanowires are anchored along the top, bottom, and edges of the RGO sheets. This is due to the presence of oxygen functionality, epoxy and hydroxyl groups along the basal planes and carboxyl and carbonyl groups along the edges,²² enabling electrostatic binding with the Mn^{2+} interaction and exfoliation of the sheets to form single and few-layered sheets.⁶⁰ The contact between the metal oxide, MnO_2 , and the graphene sheets results in steric hindrance interactions that prevent restacking or agglomerating the sheets. The SEM and AFM results in this work combined with previous reports on *in situ* graphene/ MnO_2 assemblies highlight the ability for the MnO_2 to form on, and adhere to, graphene sheets.^{50,51} While previous studies have shown the advantages of combining 2D and 1D morphologies to achieve better optical, electrical, and mechanical properties,^{65–67} this research shows that the approach can be extended to 2D and 1D components with even greater differences in aspect ratios than previously studied hybrids. This creates new opportunities for further tuning microstructure in order to achieve desired properties.

Thermal analysis

Thermal degradation of the materials was investigated by thermogravimetric analysis (TGA). Fig. 3 shows corresponding TGA curves for GO, MnO_2 , and both hybrids (3H and 10H) in argon after a 30 min isothermal hold at 120°C to remove most of the residual moisture. GO exhibits two thermal transitions, one with an onset temperature at 150°C indicative of the decomposition of the oxygen functional groups and the other at 450°C indicative of breaking the carbon backbone.^{68,69} Each transition corresponds to approximately half of the initial mass loss. The MnO_2 (enlarged in Fig. S2 in the ESI†) exhibits three thermal transitions and is more thermally stable than GO. At 200°C , MnO_2 loses adsorbed water in the amount of ~ 3 wt%. Next, at 425°C , MnO_2 is converted into Mn_2O_3 by the reaction $4\text{MnO}_2 \rightarrow 2\text{Mn}_2\text{O}_3 + \text{O}_2$ that contributes to ~ 5 wt% mass loss. Then at 610°C , Mn_2O_3 is converted into Mn_3O_4 by the reaction $6\text{Mn}_2\text{O}_3 \rightarrow 4\text{Mn}_3\text{O}_4 + \text{O}_2$, which results in ~ 4 wt% mass loss. These results are consistent with previous studies of MnO_2 degradation.^{60,70,71}

Throughout the hybrid syntheses, MnO_2 nanowires are electrostatically bound to the GO sheets as illustrated from the

Fig. 3 TGA performed under argon atmosphere at a rate of 5°C min^{-1} . GO exhibits two thermal transitions upon decomposition – loss of oxygen functionality and break carbon backbone. MnO_2 is much more thermally stable than GO and is converted to Mn_2O_3 and then Mn_3O_4 . The hybrid exhibits transitions consisting of both individual components.

Fig. 2 SEM images of dried dispersions of (a) GO, (b) MnO_2 and (c) 3H hybrid. The morphology of the MnO_2 on the hybrid illustrates lower image contrast in areas where the nanowires are more closely bound to the RGO sheets.

AFM and SEM results. Therefore, the thermal degradation of the hybrids undergo the transitions of both individual components (Fig. 3). The 3H and 10H hybrids exhibited a thermal transition starting at 160 °C that resulted in a mass loss of ~10 wt% for 3H and ~5% wt% for 10H. This was due to the combination of removing oxygen functionality from GO and adsorbed water from MnO₂. The next transition occurred from 300–600 °C with a mass loss of 20 wt% for 3H and ~11 wt% for 10H; this transition is attributed to conversion of MnO₂ → Mn₂O₃ and possible partial destruction of the carbon skeleton. The onset of carbon breakdown at a lower temperature in the hybrids than GO (550–800 °C) may have occurred because of the presence of MnO₂ and its phase changes, weaken the RGO sheets. The mass ratio of MnO₂/RGO in the hybrids was calculated by taking the final mass after complete degradation at 800 °C divided by the initial mass at 120 °C. This showed the 3H hybrid consisted of a MnO₂/RGO ratio of 3 : 1 (75% MnO₂/25% RGO by wt), while 10H consisted of 10 : 1 (90% MnO₂/10% RGO by wt). The residual mass of the hybrids being greater than that of the GO was due to the presence of the more thermally stable MnO₂.

Spectroscopy study

UV-vis analysis. A combination of UV-vis and FTIR spectroscopy methods were used to investigate the electronic transitions and functionality of the materials. Fig. 4a shows the UV-vis spectra for ultra-large graphene oxide (GO), manganese oxide (MnO₂) nanowires, and the hybrids 3H and 10H. GO exhibited a peak at 230 nm indicative of the $\pi \rightarrow \pi^*$ transition of electrons in conjugated carbon-carbon double bonds (aromatic), or C=C, and a shoulder at 300 nm indicative of the $n \rightarrow \pi^*$ transition of electrons in C=O bonds connected to the C=C bond in the GO plane.²³ The shoulder in GO is a signature of the presence of oxygen functional groups in the material. The MnO₂ spectra exhibits a d-d transition at a broad absorption band between 350 and 500 nm with the peak position at 410 nm

of the manganese (Mn) ions from lower to higher d-orbital energy state in the MnO₂ crystal.⁵² The UV-vis spectrum of the 3H hybrid shows a blue-shift in the conjugated carbon peak to 215 nm corresponding to GO sheet exfoliation⁶⁰ and a broad Mn peak at 410 nm. Interestingly, 10H primarily exhibited a sharp Mn-O transition at 410 nm and much higher absorption values for the whole spectrum than GO and 3H, this demonstrates some restoration of the sp² carbon framework.^{9,35} A control experiment was performed with only GO and the manganese salt as precursors (excluding KMnO₄ addition) to determine the extent of GO reduction through the hybrid synthesis. The UV-vis spectra showed the electronic transitions present in the individual materials as well as some reduction of GO in both hybrid materials. These results indicate that the GO was not fully reduced by chemical reduction during the hybrid synthesis but formed a reduced graphene oxide (RGO) material (Fig. S3a in the ESI†).

FTIR analysis. FTIR was performed to determine presence of different functionalities within the materials. FTIR spectra of expandable graphite, GO, RGO, MnO₂, and both hybrids (3H and 10H) are shown in Fig. 4b. The peak at 1630 cm⁻¹ is evidence of conjugated, or aromatic, carbons (C=C) present in the expandable graphite, GO, RGO, and both hybrids. The oxygen functional groups occur at the following peaks: 3387 cm⁻¹ (O-H stretch), 1730 cm⁻¹ (C=O, carboxyl), 1374 cm⁻¹ (C-O, carbonyl), and 1227 cm⁻¹ (C-O-C, epoxy). These peaks are clearly shown in the GO spectra but had lower intensities for 3H, and even lower intensities for 10H, due to the higher MnO₂/RGO ratio. The MnO₂ spectra indicate the presence of O-H groups in the crystal lattice *via* a broad stretching vibration at 3340 cm⁻¹ and bending vibration at 1633 cm⁻¹ (Fig. 4b). The P-RGO (Fig. S3b in the ESI†) spectra also included the conjugated carbon peak at 1630 cm⁻¹ as well as low intensity peaks for the oxygen functionalities. This further confirms the UV-vis results which indicated that the GO was not

Fig. 4 (a) UV-vis spectra indicating electronic transitions of ultra-large GO, MnO₂, and both hybrids 3H (75% MnO₂) and 10H (90% MnO₂). Presence of oxygen functional groups in GO occurs at the shoulder of 300 nm. The 3H hybrid spectrum consists of the conjugated carbon peak blue-shifted to 215 nm ascribed to GO exfoliation and indication of the Mn–O transition with a broad peak at 410 nm. The higher MnO₂ content of 10H primarily indicates the Mn–O transition. (b) FTIR spectra of expandable graphite, GO, RGO, and hybrids reveal the presence of oxygen functionality (hydroxyl, carboxyl, carbonyl, and epoxy) for GO, 3H, and 10H with 10H exhibiting the least intensities. FTIR spectra of MnO₂ indicates hydroxyl functionality in its crystal lattice and contributes to both hybrid spectra. The RGO produced by thermal annealing of GO demonstrates no evident oxygen functionality similar to the expandable graphite (large flakes) used as a precursor to synthesizing GO and RGO in this work, indicating successful reduction.

completely reduced during the hybrid syntheses. For comparison, RGO was prepared by thermal annealing the ultra-large GO sheets. The RGO FTIR spectrum indicated complete removal of the hydroxyl peak and no other evident functionality.

XRD analysis. XRD spectra of the expandable graphite, GO, RGO, MnO₂, and both hybrids were acquired to further characterize the material crystal structure (Fig. 5). Fig. 5a shows a comparison of the expandable graphite and resulting GO. The XRD spectrum of the expandable graphite has two distinct peaks located at 26° indicating the (002) reflection and 55° representing the (004) reflection. Based on Bragg's law, $n\lambda = 2d \sin \theta$, and utilizing a Cu K α source with $\lambda = 1.54 \text{ \AA} = 0.154 \text{ nm}$, the d -spacing of expandable graphite was 0.342 nm. GO exhibits an intense peak at $2\theta = 9.9^\circ$, which corresponds to the (001) reflection indicative of oxygen functionality. The interlayer spacing of ultra-large GO was 0.892 nm as a result of the formation of functional groups resulting from oxidation. These values are in agreement with the literature.²⁹ The XRD spectrum of the thermally annealed RGO produced in this work is shown in Fig. 5b. It exhibits loss of the (001) peak and the presence of a (002) peak at $2\theta = 22.7^\circ$. The interlayer spacing calculated for RGO was 0.391 nm further confirming that the thermal annealing procedure successfully removed the majority of oxygen functionality from the GO and resulted in RGO.

The diffraction spectrum of the produced MnO₂ nanowires had similar peak identifications as those shown in previous reports^{52,54} and corresponded to the powder diffraction file from JCPDS 44-0141 (Fig. 5c). This confirms formation of the tetragonal α -phase structure of MnO₂. The diffraction peaks of both hybrids (Fig. 5c) were almost identical to those of the MnO₂ nanowires; the peak intensity of the (001) reflection of GO diminished significantly (see Fig. S4† showing a closer look at lower 2θ angles). This has also been previously observed with synthesizing RGO/MnO₂ with small sheets.⁶⁰

Raman analysis. Raman spectroscopy was performed to characterize the structures of all the materials. The Raman spectra of expandable graphite, GO, and RGO are presented in Fig. 6a. The D-band of carbon-based materials is ascribed to the density of disorder sites, or sp^3 characteristics, and the G-band is associated with the sp^2 conjugated structure of the carbon

domains.⁷² The D and G bands occur around 1355 and 1595 cm^{-1} , respectively. The D/G ratios for the materials studied in this work were calculated by the ratio of peak areas. The D/G ratio for expandable graphite (Asbury Carbons) was 0.22. Interestingly, the D/G ratios of GO and RGO were 1.46 and 1.72, respectively. While GO has multiple oxygen functional groups along the sheet edges and basal planes, RGO lacks some of this functionality as demonstrated from the FTIR and XRD results. The higher D/G ratio suggests a higher defect concentration is introduced into the structure as the thermal annealing procedure occurs. The increased ratio is also attributed to the formation of many smaller graphitic domains as a result of reduction.^{72–75} The reduction of GO by chemical methods (*e.g.* hydrazine, L-ascorbic acid, or sodium borohydride) has shown D/G ratios of up to 0.90.^{9,22,35,76} Thermal annealing studies of graphene-based materials previously reported in the literature demonstrated effective thermal reduction that results in more conductive graphene materials, typically with $D/G > 1$.^{10,77–80} Furthermore, the higher G to 2D ($\sim 2700 \text{ cm}^{-1}$) ratios for the GO, RGO, and hybrids suggests a fewer-layer structure.^{79,81}

The Raman spectrum of MnO₂ consists of multiple peaks in the region of 100–1000 cm^{-1} (Fig. 6b). Magnification of this low wavenumber region is presented in Fig. S5.† In agreement with the literature,⁷⁰ the Mn–O lattice vibrations result in more intense peaks at 180, 382, 579, and 629 cm^{-1} and five weak peaks at 281, 322, 463, 504, and 745 cm^{-1} . These Raman shifts correspond to translational motion of the MnO₆ octahedra framework at 180 cm^{-1} , Mn–O bending vibrations at 380 cm^{-1} , tunneling species at 579 and 629 cm^{-1} , and antisymmetric Mn–O stretching at 745 cm^{-1} .⁸² These results combined with the XRD show that the hybrids contained α -phase MnO₂.

The hybrids showed peaks for both MnO₂ and RGO. The values of D/G for 3H and 10H are 1.65 and 2.20, respectively. The initial GO has oxygen functionality that act as a support or scaffold for facilitating the reaction with the manganese ions from MnCl₂ and KMnO₄ to produce MnO₂ attached to the RGO sheets. The 10H exhibited a higher ratio of the Mn–O to G-peak than 3H, further confirming the AFM and TGA data. The higher MnO₂ in the 10H could have caused some clusters of MnO₂ to form along the RGO sheets and prevented the longitudinal

Fig. 6 Raman spectra performed using a 514 nm laser. (a) Expandable graphite, ultra-large GO, and RGO result in D/G ratios of 0.22, 1.46 and 1.72, respectively. (b) The spectrum of MnO_2 confirms α -phase morphology produced from potassium-based reactive species. This is also evident in both hybrid spectra. The 3H and 10H hybrid materials exhibit D/G ratios of 1.65 and 2.20, respectively. The ratio of Mn–O to G-peak is higher for 10H than 3H, further revealing the presence of more MnO_2 content.

growth into nanowires and resulted in the clusters shown in Fig. 1D. The higher D/G ratio of 10H compared with 3H indicates more disorder in the carbon structure and an increase in restoration of the aromatic ring by forming many smaller sp^2 domains.^{39,73,78} Furthermore, the content of MnO_2 can be estimated by comparing the ratio of MnO_2/RGO in the hybrid spectra.^{60,83,84}

In summary, the microscopy, thermal, and spectroscopy analyses performed on the individual (expandable graphite, GO, RGO, P-RGO, and MnO_2) and multi-component materials (3H and 10H) show that for the first time, intercalated graphite can be used to produce α -phase MnO_2 attached to RGO sheets.

Electrochemical capacitive behavior

GO, RGO, MnO_2 and 3H and 10H hybrids were fabricated into supercapacitor electrodes and characterized by cyclic

voltammetry (CV) at varying scan rates to determine their electrochemical response and explore potential applications in energy storage. The electrochemical properties were measured using a three-electrode configuration in 1 M Na_2SO_4 electrolyte. Cyclic voltammetry was performed in the potential range of -0.2 – 0.8 V at scan rates of 5, 10, 20, 50, and 100 mV s^{-1} .

Fig. 7 shows the CV curves for all materials at the different scan rates. GO is a supercapacitive material that exhibits electrochemical double layer capacitance (EDLC), where non-faradaic reactions take place at the electrode surface. GO is not conductive; therefore, it has very low specific capacitance. However, RGO demonstrated higher capacitance than GO (Fig. 7b). In contrast to GO, manganese oxide (MnO_2) is a transition metal oxide that exhibits a faradaic process along the surface of the electrode material, owing to its pseudocapacitive nature. Fig. 7c illustrates the quasi-rectangular shapes of the

Fig. 7 Cyclic voltammetry curves at different scan rates of (a) GO, (b) RGO, (c) MnO_2 , (d) 3H (75% MnO_2 /25% RGO by wt), and (e) 10H (90% MnO_2 /10% RGO by wt). (f) An overlay of the CV curves of all materials at 5 mV s^{-1} scan rate. The data is representative of ten cycles performed on two electrodes of similar mass with <10% difference in capacitance.

MnO₂ CV curves, representing pseudocapacitive behavior. The combination of RGO and MnO₂ in the hybrid materials enabled contributions of both pseudocapacitive and EDLC behavior as shown in the CV curves of Fig. 7d and e. The 10H CV curves look similar to the MnO₂ curves, indicating more pseudocapacitive effect from the higher MnO₂ content in 10H than 3H. An overlay of all the materials at the lowest scan rate of 5 mV s⁻¹ is shown in Fig. 7f. This captures the shapes of the curves together with no obvious oxidation/reduction peaks.

The rate capability for the materials is shown in Fig. 8a. The RGO prepared in this work from ultra-large GO *via* thermal annealing at 300 °C demonstrates higher specific capacitance than GO. The higher RGO performance confirms the previously described physical characterization data showing that thermal annealing was successful in removing oxygen functional groups. These results suggest that increasing the annealing temperatures up to 1000 °C may result in enhanced electrical conductivity as has previously been found for small sheets of GO.^{9,78,79} The capacitive performance of MnO₂ exceeds that of RGO. MnO₂ consists of an octahedron configuration and is well-known for its exceptional performance due to its intricate tunnelling structure that enables more efficient ion transfer.

Interestingly, the hybrids exhibit higher capacitance than MnO₂ alone; this is attributed to more effective transport in the complex structure enabling faster charge transfer. Previous reports of combining carbon and transition metal systems, such as PdAgNTs⁵⁷ and PdW/C,⁴⁸ also convey superior electrochemical performance measurements compared to the individual components without the carbon support. This is due to the support providing high surface areas and active sites for electrode interactions. At the lowest scan rate studied, MnO₂ has a specific capacitance of 145 F g⁻¹, 3H exhibits a specific capacitance of ~225 F g⁻¹, and that of 10H is 170 F g⁻¹. The lower capacitance of 10H compared to 3H may be due to the increased defects that are introduced during synthesis when more MnO₂ is bound to the ultra-large sheets since defects and resulting morphology affect electronic transport properties. This was evident with the higher D/G ratio of 10H as demonstrated in the Raman spectroscopy results.

The cycling behavior for the individual and hybrid electrodes was also investigated to understand the electrochemical stability of the materials. Repeated CV cycles at 20 mV s⁻¹ scan

rate were performed for the conductive materials MnO₂, RGO, 3H and 10H. The capacitance retention of these materials over the course of 1000 cycles is shown in Fig. 8b. The results from the cycling studies show that MnO₂ retained 96.5% capacitance, while RGO retained 96.0%, 3H retains 97.8%, and 10H retained 96.4%. This work was inspired in part by few other studies that have investigated the electrochemical behavior of a hybrid of graphene oxide and manganese oxide materials. However, our work is unique in its use of ultra-large graphene oxide sheets (~30 μm long) which produce larger active surface areas for ion and electron diffusion compared to the smaller graphene oxide sheets (~2 μm long). Chen *et al.* reported a graphene-MnO₂ supercapacitor exhibited 197 F g⁻¹ specific capacitance and after 1000 cycles, the hybrid in their work retained 84% capacitance.⁶⁰ In other work, an MnO₂/RGO electrode produced *via* a hydrothermal method decorating MnO₂ onto the graphene had pseudocapacitive and double-layer contributions with the nearly ideal rectangular CV shapes and 205 F g⁻¹ specific capacitance at 5 mV s⁻¹ scan rate.⁸⁵ That work demonstrated ~85% capacitance retention after 1000 charge/discharge cycles. Furthermore, the cycling stability of an asymmetric supercapacitor of MnO₂/rGO hybrid after 1000 cycles remained near 80%.⁸⁶ Interestingly, our hybrid electrodes demonstrated higher cycling stability which conveys longer lifetime with the 3H MnO₂/RGO hybrid electrode also demonstrating a high specific capacitance of 225 F g⁻¹.

The electrochemical performance of the MnO₂ and hybrid electrodes was further investigated with an electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) study (Fig. 8c). The Nyquist profiles in the high frequency region indicate the solution resistance R_s as shown by the intercept of the real axis, which is related to the ionic resistance from the salt solution, contact resistance at the solution/electrode interface, and intrinsic resistance. Furthermore, the high frequency region illustrates a small quasi-semicircle, representing the charge-transfer resistance R_{ct} . For GO, RGO, MnO₂, 3H, and 10H, the values for R_s are 0.846, 1.75, 1.53, 1.01, and 1.15 Ω, respectively. The values for R_{ct} can be observed from the inset in Fig. 8c where the straight line intersects the real axis. For all the materials studied, R_{ct} is <3 Ω, which demonstrates excellent charge-transfer resistance. R_{ct} for the hybrids is ~1 Ω, illustrating higher electrical conductivity that enabled faster ion and

Fig. 8 Electrochemical behavior with cyclability and EIS. (a) Comparison of specific capacitance as a function of scan rate for all materials. (b) Cycling behavior over a range of 1000 CV cycles performed at 20 mV s⁻¹ scan rate. After 1000 cycles, the materials exhibit >95% capacitance retention. (c) Nyquist plot overlay of electrodes in 1 M Na₂SO₄ aqueous solution with inset showing high frequency region.

electron diffusion than for the individual components which serves as an advantage of combining different morphologies. The Nyquist plots in the low-frequency region represent the diffusion resistance, which shows linear characteristics for the materials. The more vertical the diffusing line is along the imaginary axis, the more representative of ideal capacitive behavior. Comparing the GO and RGO results, it is evident that the RGO demonstrated electrochemical behavior closer to that of an ideal capacitor. The lower charge-transfer resistance and combination of pseudocapacitive and EDLC behavior of the hybrids provide advantages as electrode materials compared to their individual components.

EIS was performed after the 1000 CV cyclability study to determine the changes in resistivity (Fig. S6 in the ESI†). There was not a significant change in charge-transfer resistance for the hybrids. Interestingly, in the low-frequency region of the Nyquist profile, the linear characteristics of MnO₂ and 10H exhibited a shift to a lower phase angle indicating a deviation from ideal capacitive performance. However, 3H exhibited a shift to a higher phase angle, closer to the imaginary axis, which can be attributed to the higher capacitance retention achieved than the other electrodes studied. The electrochemical behavior studies showed superior performance for the 3H hybrid electrodes, with 225 F g⁻¹ specific capacitance, 97.8% capacitance retention after 1000 cycles, very low charge-transfer resistance, and contributions of pseudocapacitive and EDLC components.

Performance discussion

The 3H hybrid had excellent electrochemical performance compared to the 10H hybrid. In both cases, inclusion of MnO₂ with ultra-large RGO results in the contributions of both materials to pseudocapacitance and EDLC thereby enhancing performance compared with the individual components. AFM (Fig. 1 and ESI†) and SEM images (Fig. 2) illustrate the morphology and microstructures of the materials with MnO₂ attached to the sheets. The results indicate single to few-layer RGO sheets with bound MnO₂, indicating successful synthesis of the hybrid with ultra-large sheets. The 3H had discrete nanowires on the sheets while the 10H had bundles of MnO₂ on the sheets. TGA (Fig. 3) profiles quantified the higher MnO₂ content in 10H as well as the possible breakdown of the carbon backbone at an earlier temperature compared to GO. This may be the result of the MnO₂ causing the structure to weaken as oxygen reduction and MnO₂ nucleation and growth occur through the hybrid synthesis. Next, the spectroscopy investigations provided insights to the functionality, crystal structure, reduction and defects for the materials (Fig. 4 and 5). Interestingly, 10H exhibited a much higher D/G ratio than 3H (Fig. 6), which suggests that the inclusion of a higher MnO₂ content resulted in a more defective graphene structure as more MnO₂ crowd the binding sites on the sheets. Therefore, the higher capacitive performance of 3H than 10H is attributed to the nanowire structure enabling faster electrode kinetics and diffusion compared to the clustered structure (Fig. 7 and 8).

Conclusions

A novel hybrid material consisting of α -type manganese oxide (MnO₂) nanowires anchored along ultra-large reduced graphene oxide (RGO) sheets, comprising of ~ 30 μm long sheet size and higher specific surface areas than traditional RGO sheets, was successfully fabricated. Microscopy, spectroscopy, and thermal analysis characterizations of the individual components contributed to understanding the microstructural development and behavior of the hybrids. Electrochemical results showed that the 3H hybrid electrode consisting of MnO₂/RGO (75/25 wt%) exhibited both electrochemical double-layer capacitance and pseudocapacitive contributions as well as low internal resistance ($R_{\text{ct}} \sim 1$ Ω). Furthermore, it demonstrated excellent specific capacitance of up to 225 F g⁻¹ with 97.8% cycling stability after 1000 cycles. The outstanding electrochemical performance can be attributed to the uniform distribution of the nanowires along the sheets, suppressing restacking of the RGO sheets, as well as the ultra-large active surface area that reduced the ion and electron diffusion lengths and improved charge transfer. The combination of 2D and 1D nanomaterials from this work highlight that such hybrid materials are promising candidates for potential applications in electronic devices, catalysts, and sensors.

Experimental

Ultra-large graphene oxide (GO) synthesis

Expandable graphite flakes from Asbury Graphite Mills USA (3772 grade) were placed in a cylindrical alumina crucible and microwaved (Panasonic, NE-12523) on high power for 30 seconds as a thermal treatment to increase the interlayer spacing of the layers within the graphite structure. Caution: only 50 mg expandable graphite was used at once in the microwave to prevent fire or explosion hazards and microwaving must be performed in the hood due to release of toxic fumes. This expansion was followed by using a modified Hummer's method to obtain GO.^{22,30} This resulted in very low density expanded graphite that was then transferred to an Erlenmeyer flask. Briefly, 100 mL of 93–98% sulfuric acid (H₂SO₄) was added to a flask containing 500 mg expanded graphite and stirred continuously at room temperature for three days. Next, 5 g of crystalline potassium permanganate (KMnO₄) was added dropwise very slowly using a spatula while stirring the mixture. Caution: in the presence of strong acid, KMnO₄ reacts to form dimanganeseheptoxide (Mn₂O₇) which is known to detonate at temperatures above 55 °C. Therefore, this step must be performed with very small amounts added to the mixture each time and the temperature monitored and kept at, or below, 22 °C to prevent a runaway reaction. After three days, the mixture became very viscous and dark green, indicating that most of the KMnO₄ had reacted. The mixture was then placed in an ice bath with a thermometer to monitor reaction temperature upon water and hydrogen peroxide additions. The temperature was kept below 22 °C (68 °F) to prevent formation of a highly exothermic reaction during the addition of water to acid. 100 mL of deionized water was carefully added to the

mixture using a pipet (about 1 mL min^{-1}), thus changing the mixture color to dark red. Once the mixture was diluted with water, 25 mL of 30% by weight hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2) solution was added slowly to react the leftover potassium permanganate and manganese oxide complexes that formed throughout the synthesis. This resulted in a light brown ultra-large GO mixture. The mixture was centrifuged with a hydrochloric acid solution (9 : 1 ratio water/hydrochloric acid by volume) and then multiple deionized water washes to achieve a pH value of ~ 6 .

Reduced graphene oxide (RGO) preparation

Concentrated dispersions (sludges) of GO were vacuum dried at $\sim 80^\circ\text{C}$ overnight. Dried samples were placed in aluminum foil boats. Samples were annealed in a tube furnace under nitrogen flow at a ramp rate of 5°C min^{-1} from 25°C to desired temperature 300°C and then held at desired temperature for 2 h. Samples were then cooled before removing them from the tube furnace.

Manganese oxide nanowires (MnO_2 NWs) synthesis

The simple double-solvent reacting system previously reported by Chen *et al.*⁵² was used to produce the manganese oxide (MnO_2) nanowires. Briefly, 450 mg of $\text{MnCl}_2 \cdot 4 \text{H}_2\text{O}$ was added to 80 mL of isopropanol (IPA) in a three-neck round-bottom flask and bath sonicated to dissolve the manganese salt. The mixture was then brought to reflux conditions ($\sim 80^\circ\text{C}$) while vigorously stirring and monitoring temperature with a thermocouple. 250 mg of crystalline potassium permanganate (KMnO_4) was dissolved in 8 mL of deionized water and rapidly injected into the mixture. Caution: KMnO_4 is highly reactive and should be handled very carefully when adding the solution to the syringe and injecting into the reaction mixture. The reaction proceeded for 30 min at a temperature of $\sim 83^\circ\text{C}$. This resulted in a black precipitate of nanowires. Precise control of the shape and size of the MnO_2 strongly depends on the reaction parameters, which affect the morphology of the product and its properties upon processing. A two-stage centrifugation technique was employed to purify as well as reduce aggregation in the final product. The precipitate was placed in conical centrifuge tubes and initially centrifuged at $4700 \times g$ for 20 min. The bottoms were then dispersed in 200 proof ethanol and centrifuged at $47 \times g$ for 3 min. The low centrifugation rate caused aggregates to settle to the bottom of the tubes, and allowed dispersed nanowires to remain in the supernatant. Polarized optical microscopy images of different parts of the tube were sampled to qualitatively determine removal of aggregates as previously reported by a study with silver nanowires.⁸⁷ The supernatant was decanted into new centrifuge tubes and then centrifuged at $4700 \times g$ for 20 min. This completed the first ethanol wash. The second ethanol wash was then performed by dispersing the bottoms of the tubes from the first wash and then centrifuging at $4700 \times g$ for 20 min. A third ethanol wash was performed in the same manner as the second wash. The bottoms were then dispersed in water and washed multiple

times at $4700 \times g$. The final product was then dispersed in water or dried in a vacuum oven for characterization.

Hybrid (MnO_2/RGO) synthesis

A hybrid of GO/MnO_2 was synthesized by growth of the NWs along the ultra-large GO sheets. The hybrid synthesis method has been previously reported with small-sized GO sheets, but to the authors' knowledge had not previously been performed on ultra large sheets.⁵⁹ 100 mg of GO dispersed in 20 mL IPA was added to 450 mg manganese chloride salt dissolved in 60 mL IPA in a three-neck round-bottom flask. The previously described procedure for synthesizing and purifying the NWs alone was followed to form the final hybrid product, denoted as 3H for a 3 : 1 ratio of MnO_2/RGO (75/25 wt%). Another composition of the hybrid was also synthesized for comparison, where the GO mass was reduced to achieve a 10 : 1 ratio of MnO_2/RGO (90/10 wt%), denoted as 10H. As a control experiment to determine the extent of GO reduction in the hybrid, partially reduced graphene oxide (P-RGO) was also synthesized by taking 100 mg of GO dispersed in IPA and following the MnO_2 synthesis excluding the injection of the KMnO_4 solution (reactive species).

Electrodes

Dispersions of samples were dried under vacuum at 60°C for 12 hours to remove residual adsorbed water. The electrodes were prepared by mixing 80 wt% samples, 15 wt% carbon black (CB), and 5 wt% polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) slurry. The mixtures were then pressed onto nickel foam with active surface area of 1 cm^2 and dried before soaking for 1 day in 1 M Na_2SO_4 electrolyte.

Materials characterization

Tapping-mode atomic force microscopy (AFM) was performed on an Anton Paar Tosca 400 to visualize nanomaterial sizes and morphology. AFM samples were prepared by drop-casting a very low dispersion concentration onto a silicon wafer with silicon oxide layer then heating for ~ 1 min to quickly remove the solvent (water). The morphology and material architecture was also investigated by field emission scanning electron microscopy (FE-SEM) using a JEOL JSM-7000F. Dispersions drop-cast onto silicon wafers at $\sim 70^\circ\text{C}$, which were then adhered to cylindrical SEM stubs using carbon tape. All SEM samples were sputtered with gold to increase conductivity for obtaining high resolution images. Ultraviolet-visible (UV-vis) spectroscopy measurements were performed using on a Cary 60 (Agilent Technologies) using 10 mL cuvettes. Fourier transform infrared radiation (FTIR) spectroscopy with attenuated total reflectance (ATR) on a Thermo Scientific Nicolet iS10 was performed on samples of dried dispersions on a germanium crystal. Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) was performed on a TA Instruments Q50 to investigate thermal degradation profiles with at least 10 mg of solid material in a platinum pan under argon atmosphere. The degradation profile was measured by heating at a rate of $10^\circ\text{C min}^{-1}$ to 120°C , holding isothermal for 30 min to remove residual adsorbed water, heating at 5°C min^{-1} to

800 °C, and then holding isothermal for 45 min. Raman spectra were collected on a Renishaw inVia Raman microscope using a 514 nm laser. X-ray diffraction was performed on AXRD+ Powder Diffractometer (Proto Manufacturing) with a Cu K α radiation ($\lambda \approx 1.54 \text{ \AA}$) at a slow scan rate of $0.01^\circ \text{ s}^{-1}$ of diffraction angles 2θ from 5 to 70° .

Electrochemical measurements

Once the materials were characterized, electrodes were fabricated for electrochemical measurements. Cyclic voltammetry (CV) and electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) measurements were carried out using a Gamry Interface 1000 E Potentiostat. The electrochemical experiments were performed in a three-electrode mode, including a silver/silver chloride (Ag/AgCl) reference electrode, platinum (Pt) wire counter electrode, and working electrode composed of sample/CB/PTFE (80/15/5% by wt) dried on nickel foam current collector. The electrolyte tested in this work is 1 M Na₂SO₄. The specific capacitance (F g^{-1}) was calculated from the CV curves by the following equation:

$$C = \int \frac{I}{\nu \times \Delta V \times m} dV$$

where I is current (A), ν is scan rate (V s^{-1}), ΔV is potential window (V), and m is the mass (g).

Author contributions

Davis and Radich contributed conceptualization, project administration, resources, and supervision. Hamade conducted the methodology and writing the original draft. All authors contributed to formal analysis, validation, reviewing and editing.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

Acknowledgements

The research project was financially supported by the U.S. Department of Education Graduate Assistance in Areas of National Need Award Number P200A180002. The authors would also like to acknowledge Dr Tae-Sik Oh from the Auburn University Department of Chemical Engineering for permission to use the XRD and Dr Majid Beidaghi from Auburn University's Materials Engineering Program in the Mechanical Engineering Department for useful discussions and assistance with the electrochemical characterization.

Notes and references

- 1 V. Subramanian, H. Zhu, R. Vajtai, P. M. Ajayan and B. Wei, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2005, **109**, 20207–20214.
- 2 V. A. Davis, L. M. Ericson, A. N. G. Parra-Vasquez, H. Fan, Y. Wang, V. Prieto, J. A. Longoria, S. Ramesh, R. K. Saini, C. Kittrell, W. E. Billups, W. W. Adams, R. H. Hauge, R. E. Smalley and M. Pasquali, *Macromolecules*, 2004, **37**, 154–160.
- 3 P. K. Rai, R. A. Pinnick, A. N. G. Parra-Vasquez, V. A. Davis, H. K. Schmidt, R. H. Hauge, R. E. Smalley and M. Pasquali, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2006, **128**, 591–595.
- 4 R. R. Kohlmeier, M. Lor, J. Deng, H. Liu and J. Chen, *Carbon*, 2011, **49**, 2352–2361.
- 5 Z. Chen, H. Zhang, C. Wu, L. Luo, C. Wang, S. Huang and H. Xu, *Desalination*, 2018, **433**, 68–74.
- 6 F. Rodríguez-reinoso, *Carbon*, 1998, **36**, 159–175.
- 7 B. Jia and L. Zou, *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, 2012, **548**, 23–28.
- 8 J. E. Kim, J. H. Oh, M. Kotal, N. Koratkar and I. K. Oh, *Nano Today*, 2017, **14**, 100–123.
- 9 S. Pei and H.-M. Cheng, *Carbon*, 2012, **50**, 3210–3228.
- 10 M. Kotal, J. Kim, J. Oh and I.-K. Oh, *Front. Mater.*, 2016, **3**, 29.
- 11 X. Huang, C. L. Tan, Z. Y. Yin and H. Zhang, *Adv. Mater.*, 2014, **26**, 2185–2204.
- 12 K. Maleski, V. N. Mochalin and Y. Gogotsi, *Chem. Mater.*, 2017, **29**, 1632–1640.
- 13 J. Orangi, F. Hamade, V. A. Davis and M. Beidaghi, *ACS Nano*, 2020, **14**, 640–650.
- 14 P. Sobolciak, A. Ali, M. K. Hassan, M. I. Helal, A. Tanvir, A. Popelka, M. A. Al-Maadeed, I. Krupa and K. A. Mahmoud, *PLoS One*, 2017, **12**, 18.
- 15 M.-Q. Zhao, M. Torelli, C. E. Ren, M. Ghidui, Z. Ling, B. Anasori, M. W. Barsoum and Y. Gogotsi, *Nano Energy*, 2016, **30**, 603–613.
- 16 Y. Zhu, S. Murali, W. Cai, X. Li, J. W. Suk, J. R. Potts and R. S. Ruoff, *Adv. Mater.*, 2010, **22**, 3906–3924.
- 17 R. Kötz and M. Carlen, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2000, **45**, 2483–2498.
- 18 E. Mitchell, J. Candler, F. De Souza, R. K. Gupta, B. K. Gupta and L. F. Dong, *Synth. Met.*, 2015, **199**, 214–218.
- 19 F. Bonaccorso, A. Bartolotta, J. N. Coleman and C. Backes, *Adv. Mater.*, 2016, **28**, 6136–6166.
- 20 S. K. Deng and V. Berry, *Mater. Today*, 2016, **19**, 197–212.
- 21 P. Poulin, R. Jalili, W. Neri, F. Nallet, T. Divoux, A. Colin, S. H. Aboutalebi, G. Wallace and C. Zakri, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2016, **113**, 11088–11093.
- 22 D. R. Dreyer, S. Park, C. W. Bielawski and R. S. Ruoff, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2010, **39**, 228–240.
- 23 J. I. Paredes, S. Villar-Rodil, A. Martinez-Alonso and J. M. D. Tascon, *Langmuir*, 2008, **24**, 10560–10564.
- 24 R. Jalili, S. H. Aboutalebi, D. Esrafilzadeh, K. Konstantinov, S. E. Moulton, J. M. Razal and G. G. Wallace, *ACS Nano*, 2013, **7**, 3981–3990.
- 25 A. Yakovlev, A. Finaenov, S. Zabud'kov and E. Yakovleva, *Russ. J. Appl. Chem.*, 2006, **79**, 1741–1751.
- 26 Y. Geng, S. J. Wang and J.-K. Kim, *J. Colloid Interface Sci.*, 2009, **336**, 592–598.
- 27 M. M. Gudarzi and F. Sharif, *J. Colloid Interface Sci.*, 2010, **349**, 63–69.
- 28 R. Jalili, S. H. Aboutalebi, D. Esrafilzadeh, K. Konstantinov, J. M. Razal, S. E. Moultona and G. G. Wallace, *Mater. Horiz.*, 2014, **1**, 87–91.
- 29 S. H. Aboutalebi, M. M. Gudarzi, Q. B. Zheng and J. K. Kim, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2011, **21**, 2978–2988.

- 30 S. Naficy, R. Jalili, S. H. Aboutalebi, R. A. Gorkin, K. Konstantinov, P. C. Innis, G. M. Spinks, P. Poulin and G. G. Wallace, *Mater. Horiz.*, 2014, **1**, 326–331.
- 31 R. Jalili, S. H. Aboutalebi, D. Esrafilzadeh, R. L. Shepherd, J. Chen, S. Aminorroaya-Yamini, K. Konstantinov, A. I. Minett, J. M. Razal and G. G. Wallace, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2013, **23**, 5345–5354.
- 32 Y. Tan, Y. Song and Q. Zheng, *Nanoscale*, 2012, **4**, 6997–7005.
- 33 X. Y. Lin, X. Shen, Q. B. Zheng, N. Yousefi, L. Ye, Y. W. Mai and J. K. Kim, *ACS Nano*, 2012, **6**, 10708–10719.
- 34 X. Zhou and Z. Liu, *Chem. Commun.*, 2010, **46**, 2611–2613.
- 35 J. Zhang, H. Yang, G. Shen, P. Cheng, J. Zhang and S. Guo, *Chem. Commun.*, 2010, **46**, 1112–1114.
- 36 B. Dehghanzad, M. K. Razavi Aghjeh, O. Rafeie, A. Tavakoli and A. Jameie Oskooie, *RSC Adv.*, 2016, **6**, 3578–3585.
- 37 S. Stankovich, D. A. Dikin, R. D. Piner, K. A. Kohlhaas, A. Kleinhammes, Y. Jia, Y. Wu, S. T. Nguyen and R. S. Ruoff, *Carbon*, 2007, **45**, 1558–1565.
- 38 S. Madani, C. Falamaki, H. Alimadadi and S. H. Aboutalebi, *J. Energy Storage*, 2019, **21**, 310–320.
- 39 C.-Y. Su, Y. Xu, W. Zhang, J. Zhao, X. Tang, C.-H. Tsai and L.-J. Li, *Chem. Mater.*, 2009, **21**, 5674–5680.
- 40 W. J. Basirun, M. Sookhakian, S. Baradaran, M. R. Mahmoudian and M. Ebadi, *Nanoscale Res. Lett.*, 2013, **8**, 397.
- 41 X. Yang, W. Tang, Q. Feng and K. Ooi, *Cryst. Growth Des.*, 2003, **3**, 409–415.
- 42 D. Zheng, Z. Yin, W. Zhang, X. Tan and S. Sun, *Cryst. Growth Des.*, 2006, **6**, 1733–1735.
- 43 X.-L. Shi, M.-S. Cao, X.-Y. Fang, J. Yuan, Y.-Q. Kang and W.-L. Song, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 2008, **93**, 223112.
- 44 K. B. Hatzell, L. Fan, M. Beidaghi, M. Boota, E. Pomerantseva, E. C. Kumbur and Y. Gogotsi, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2014, **6**, 8886–8893.
- 45 K.-C. Liu, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, 1996, **143**, 124.
- 46 Y. S. Yoon, W. I. Cho, J. H. Lim and D. J. Choi, *J. Power Sources*, 2001, **101**, 126–129.
- 47 V. I. Birss, B. Conway and J. Wojtowicz, *J. Power Sources*, 1997, **66**, 1–14.
- 48 F. Wang, S. Wang, D. Wu, H. Huang, W. Yuan and L. Y. Zhang, *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 2021, **537**, 147860.
- 49 J. Zhang, J. Jiang and X. S. Zhao, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2011, **115**, 6448–6454.
- 50 X. Feng, N. Chen, Y. Zhang, Z. Yan, X. Liu, Y. Ma, Q. Shen, L. Wang and W. Huang, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2014, **2**, 9178–9184.
- 51 J. Hao, Y. Zhong, Y. Liao, D. Shu, Z. Kang, X. Zou, C. He and S. Guo, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2015, **167**, 412–420.
- 52 S. Chen, J. W. Zhu, Q. F. Han, Z. J. Zheng, Y. Yang and X. Wang, *Cryst. Growth Des.*, 2009, **9**, 4356–4361.
- 53 D. Portehault, S. Cassaignon, E. Baudrin and J.-P. Jolivet, *Chem. Mater.*, 2007, **19**, 5410–5417.
- 54 M. Musil, B. Choi and A. Tsutsumi, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, 2015, **162**, A2058.
- 55 J.-L. Liu, L.-Z. Fan and X. Qu, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2012, **66**, 302–305.
- 56 J. Liu, Y.-C. Son, J. Cai, X. Shen, S. L. Suib and M. Aindow, *Chem. Mater.*, 2004, **16**, 276–285.
- 57 Y. Ouyang, H. Cao, H. Wu, D. Wu, F. Wang, X. Fan, W. Yuan, M. He, L. Y. Zhang and C. M. Li, *Appl. Catal., B*, 2020, **265**, 118606.
- 58 D. Wu, C. Wang, H. Wu, S. Wang, F. Wang, Z. Chen, T. Zhao, Z. Zhang, L. Y. Zhang and C. M. Li, *Carbon*, 2020, **163**, 137–144.
- 59 J. G. Radich and P. V. Kamat, *ACS Catal.*, 2012, **2**, 807–816.
- 60 S. Chen, J. W. Zhu, X. D. Wu, Q. F. Han and X. Wang, *ACS Nano*, 2010, **4**, 2822–2830.
- 61 Y. G. Li and Y. Y. Wu, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2009, **131**, 5851–5857.
- 62 J.-P. Jolivet, M. Henry and J. Livage, *Metal oxide chemistry and synthesis: from solution to solid state*, Wiley-Blackwell, 2000.
- 63 S. Stankovich, D. A. Dikin, G. H. B. Dommett, K. M. Kohlhaas, E. J. Zimney, E. A. Stach, R. D. Piner, S. T. Nguyen and R. S. Ruoff, *Nature*, 2006, **442**, 282–286.
- 64 S. Gilje, S. Han, M. Wang, K. L. Wang and R. B. Kaner, *Nano Lett.*, 2007, **7**, 3394–3398.
- 65 F. Hamade, S. K. Amit, M. B. Woods and V. A. Davis, *Crystals*, 2020, **10**(8), 715.
- 66 H. Lu, J. Zhang, J. Luo, W. Gong, C. Li, Q. Li, K. Zhang, M. Hu and Y. Yao, *Composites, Part A*, 2017, **102**, 1–8.
- 67 A. G. Ricciardulli, S. Yang, G.-J. A. H. Wetzelaer, X. Feng and P. W. M. Blom, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2018, **28**, 1706010.
- 68 H.-K. Jeong, Y. P. Lee, M. H. Jin, E. S. Kim, J. J. Bae and Y. H. Lee, *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, 2009, **470**, 255–258.
- 69 A. G. Bannov, A. A. Timofeeva, V. V. Shinkarev, K. D. Dyukova, A. V. Ukhina, E. A. Maksimovskii and S. I. Yusin, *Prot. Met. Phys. Chem. Surf.*, 2014, **50**, 183–190.
- 70 T. Gao, M. Glerup, F. Krumeich, R. Nesper, H. Fjellvåg and P. Norby, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2008, **112**, 13134–13140.
- 71 Z. Ai, L. Zhang, F. Kong, H. Liu, W. Xing and J. Qiu, *Mater. Chem. Phys.*, 2008, **111**, 162–167.
- 72 A. C. Ferrari and J. Robertson, *Phys. Rev. B*, 2000, **61**, 14095–14107.
- 73 M. M. Lucchese, F. Stavale, E. H. M. Ferreira, C. Vilani, M. V. O. Moutinho, R. B. Capaz, C. A. Achete and A. Jorio, *Carbon*, 2010, **48**, 1592–1597.
- 74 V. López, R. S. Sundaram, C. Gómez-Navarro, D. Olea, M. Burghard, J. Gómez-Herrero, F. Zamora and K. Kern, *Adv. Mater.*, 2009, **21**, 4683–4686.
- 75 C. Gómez-Navarro, J. C. Meyer, R. S. Sundaram, A. Chuvilin, S. Kurasch, M. Burghard, K. Kern and U. Kaiser, *Nano Lett.*, 2010, **10**, 1144–1148.
- 76 D. Luo, G. Zhang, J. Liu and X. Sun, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2011, **115**, 11327–11335.
- 77 Y. Liu, X. Xu, T. Lu, Z. Sun, D. H. C. Chua and L. Pan, *RSC Adv.*, 2015, **5**, 34117–34124.
- 78 C. Mattevi, G. Eda, S. Agnoli, S. Miller, K. A. Mkhoyan, O. Celik, D. Mastrogiovanni, G. Granozzi, E. Garfunkel and M. Chhowalla, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2009, **19**, 2577–2583.
- 79 D. Yang, A. Velamakanni, G. Bozoklu, S. Park, M. Stoller, R. D. Piner, S. Stankovich, I. Jung, D. A. Field, C. A. Ventrone and R. S. Ruoff, *Carbon*, 2009, **47**, 145–152.

- 80 A. Ambrosi, A. Bonanni, Z. Sofer, J. S. Cross and M. Pumera, *Chem.–Eur. J.*, 2011, **17**, 10763–10770.
- 81 L. M. Malard, M. A. Pimenta, G. Dresselhaus and M. S. Dresselhaus, *Phys. Rep.*, 2009, **473**, 51–87.
- 82 T. Gao, H. Fjellvåg and P. Norby, *Anal. Chim. Acta*, 2009, **648**, 235–239.
- 83 X. Zhao, Y. Hou, Y. Wang, L. Yang, L. Zhu, R. Cao and Z. Sha, *RSC Adv.*, 2017, **7**, 40286–40294.
- 84 L. Zhang, H. Liu, H. Ruan, Y. Su, R. Hu, L. Tian, Z. Hu and J. Li, *Int. J. Electrochem. Sci.*, 2016, **11**, 10815–10826.
- 85 X. Qiu, D. Xu, L. Ma and Y. Wang, *Int. J. Electrochem. Sci.*, 2017, **12**, 2173–2183.
- 86 X. Zhu, P. Zhang, S. Xu, X. Yan and Q. Xue, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2014, **6**, 11665–11674.
- 87 S. Murali, T. Xu, B. D. Marshall, M. J. Kayatin, K. Pizarro, V. K. Radhakrishnan, D. Nepal and V. A. Davis, *Langmuir*, 2010, **26**, 11176–11183.