


Cite this: *RSC Adv.*, 2021, 11, 29668

# New water-soluble isoxazole-linked 1,3,4-oxadiazole derivative with delocalized positive charge†

Urszula Bąchor,<sup>a</sup> Ewa Drozd-Szczygieł,<sup>a</sup> Remigiusz Bąchor,<sup>a,b</sup> Lucjan Jerzykiewicz,<sup>b</sup> Robert Wieczorek<sup>b</sup> and Marcin Mączyński<sup>a</sup>

Herein we present a synthesis and characterization of a new and unique low-weight heterocyclic compound 5-amino-2-(5-amino-3-methyl-1,2-oxazol-4-yl)-3-methyl-2,3-dihydro-1,3,4-oxadiazol-2-ylum bromide with the unusual electron charge delocalization owing the local positive charge at the carbon atom of oxadiazole moiety. X-ray single crystal of  $C_7H_{10}N_5O_2 \cdot Br^-$  showed the molecule crystalized in monoclinic, space group  $P2_1/c$ . Both five membered rings are planar and twisted forming the ring motif with the counter ion where  $H \cdots Br$  interactions are one of the dominant. The presented compound is characterized by high ionization efficiency in ESI-MS mode and undergoes dissociation within oxadiazole moiety under ESI-MS/MS conditions even under low collision energies. The presented compound is an interesting example of heterocyclic stable carbocation which may serve as a new lead structure.

Received 2nd July 2021  
Accepted 18th August 2021

DOI: 10.1039/d1ra05116d

rsc.li/rsc-advances

## Introduction

The synthesis of heterocycles is possibly one of the oldest and at the same time one of the youngest branches of organic chemistry. Chemistry of heterocycles is an ever-expanding subject of organic chemistry and plays a crucial role in drug design. Among all known pharmaceuticals a predominant number of them are small molecules with heterocyclic moieties therefore there is a strong need to design a new, rapid and cost-efficient synthetic protocols. Isoxazole/oxadiazole-based molecules have been characterized as compounds possessing anti-inflammatory, antibacterial, anti-viral or anti-cancer activities.<sup>1–4</sup> Despite significant progress that has been achieved in many fields of medicine including anticancer or anti-inflammatory therapy, the development of new potential drugs represents a major challenge to medicinal chemist researchers. Taking into account, our efforts have continued in the last years studies on isoxazole and oxadiazole derivatives in searching for new biological active derivatives.<sup>5–7</sup> Although, there are known molecules with positive charge localized at the nitrogen atom,<sup>8–11</sup> in the literature there is less mentioned about

compounds possessing local positive charge at the carbon atom, and they are limited to small molecules.<sup>12,13</sup> Tailoring the chemical and physical properties of molecules by rational planning to improve overall stability, solubility or to reduce toxicity when designing new compounds with expecting application in medicine, continues to be an important research attempt. It seems obvious that structural modification of neutral organic compounds by obtaining its salts by pairing organic cations with different counterions lead to the preparation of a molecule with unique properties exhibiting by *e.g.* increased water solubility. An examination of oxadiazolium salts obtained and characterized by Boyd *et al.*,<sup>14</sup> showed the positively charged nitrogen atom but all compounds decomposed to diacylhydrazines in the presence of water. Motivated by the aforementioned biological and pharmacological importance of the heterocyclic compounds, especially in those with directly directed rings, and as a continuation with our previous research on isoxazoles, herein we report the synthesis of new stable and water-soluble 5-amino-2-(5-amino-3-methyl-1,2-oxazol-4-yl)-3-methyl-2,3-dihydro-1,3,4-oxadiazol-2-ylum bromide (**ED**) with a positive charge localized predominantly on a carbon atom of oxadiazole moiety.

## Results and discussion

### X-Ray analysis

The molecular structure of the investigated compound with numbering scheme is presented in Fig. 1. The crystallographic data for both 1,3,4-oxadiazole ring and isoxazole ring show that the carbon-nitrogen, carbon-oxygen and nitrogen-nitrogen

<sup>a</sup>Department of Organic Chemistry, Faculty of Pharmacy, Wrocław Medical University, Borowska 211A, 50-556 Wrocław, Poland. E-mail: ewa.drozd-szczygiel@umed.wroc.pl

<sup>b</sup>Faculty of Chemistry, University of Wrocław, F. Joliot-Curie 14, 50-383 Wrocław, Poland. E-mail: remigiusz.bachor@chem.uni.wroc.pl

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: <sup>1</sup>H, <sup>13</sup>C, <sup>13</sup>C DEPT135, ESI-MS, X-ray crystallographic data of the analyzed compound (CIF) see supplementary materials. CCDC 2084686. For ESI and crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: 10.1039/d1ra05116d






Fig. 1 Molecular structure of ED. C-bound hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity.

bond lengths are shorter than average respective single bonds (Tables 1–3).

The 1.299(2) Å C12–N11 bond is the shortest whilst the 1.458(2) Å C13–N12 bond is the longest among the C–N bonds of the two heterocyclic rings (Table 2). These differences in bond lengths may be explained by the occurrence to the distribution of the positive charge between the atoms of heterocyclic rings and the fixation of the conjugate bond system. As a consequence of conjugation both five-membered rings are planar with maximum deviation of the carbon atoms from the least-squares planes by 0.008(2) Å and 0.014(1) Å for 1,3,4-oxadiazole ring and 0.014(1) Å for isoxazole ring,

Table 1 Crystal data and structure refinement for ED

Identification code	3e
Empirical formula	C <sub>7</sub> H <sub>10</sub> BrN <sub>5</sub> O <sub>2</sub>
Formula weight	276.10
Temperature	100(2) K
Wavelength	1.54184 Å
Crystal system	Monoclinic
Space group	<i>P</i> 2 <sub>1</sub> / <i>c</i>
Unit cell dimensions	<i>a</i> = 15.2177(2) Å $\alpha$ = 90° <i>b</i> = 6.76340(10) Å $\beta$ = 109.445(2)° <i>c</i> = 11.0057(2) Å $\gamma$ = 90°
Volume	1068.13(3) Å <sup>3</sup>
<i>Z</i>	4
Density (calculated)	1.717 Mg m <sup>−3</sup>
Absorption coefficient	5.209 mm <sup>−1</sup>
<i>F</i> (000)	552
Crystal size	0.192 × 0.104 × 0.088 mm <sup>3</sup>
Theta range for data collection	3.080 to 75.438°
Index ranges	−18 ≤ <i>h</i> ≤ 19, −8 ≤ <i>k</i> ≤ 7, −13 ≤ <i>l</i> ≤ 13
Reflections collected	25 170
Independent reflections	$[R_{\text{int}}] = 0.0242]$
Completeness to theta = 67.684°	100.0%
Absorption correction	Analytical
Max. and min. transmission	0.917 and 0.816
Refinement method	Full-matrix least-squares on <i>F</i> <sup>2</sup>
Data/restraints/parameters	2189/0/157
Goodness-of-fit on <i>F</i> <sup>2</sup>	1.119
Final <i>R</i> indices [ <i>I</i> > 2σ( <i>I</i> )]	<i>R</i> <sub>1</sub> = 0.0197, <i>wR</i> <sub>2</sub> = 0.0541
Largest diff. peak and hole	0.314 and −0.458 e Å <sup>−3</sup>

Table 2 Bond lengths [Å] and angles [°] for ED

N(11)–C(12)	1.299(2)
N(11)–N(12)	1.3921(18)
N(12)–C(11)	1.303(2)
N(12)–C(13)	1.458(2)
N(13)–C(12)	1.319(2)
N(21)–C(22)	1.3015(18)
N(21)–O(21)	1.4407(16)
N(22)–C(23)	1.3333(19)
O(11)–C(11)	1.3481(19)
O(11)–C(12)	1.3818(18)
O(21)–C(23)	1.3436(17)
C(11)–C(21)	1.431(2)
C(21)–C(23)	1.3848(19)
C(21)–C(22)	1.431(2)
C(22)–C(24)	1.4854(19)
C(12)–N(11)–N(12)	102.59(13)
C(11)–N(12)–N(11)	111.64(14)
C(11)–N(12)–C(13)	129.02(14)
N(11)–N(12)–C(13)	119.30(13)
C(22)–N(21)–O(21)	106.02(11)
C(11)–O(11)–C(12)	104.39(12)
C(23)–O(21)–N(21)	108.69(10)
N(12)–C(11)–O(11)	108.20(13)
N(12)–C(11)–C(21)	130.31(15)
O(11)–C(11)–C(21)	121.48(13)
N(11)–C(12)–N(13)	129.72(15)
N(11)–C(12)–O(11)	113.14(13)
N(13)–C(12)–O(11)	117.13(14)
C(23)–C(21)–C(11)	127.35(14)
C(23)–C(21)–C(22)	104.78(12)
C(11)–C(21)–C(22)	127.76(13)
N(21)–C(22)–C(21)	111.40(12)
N(21)–C(22)–C(24)	119.91(12)
C(21)–C(22)–C(24)	128.68(12)
N(22)–C(23)–O(21)	117.33(12)
N(22)–C(23)–C(21)	133.62(13)
O(21)–C(23)–C(21)	109.05(12)

respectively. Moreover, as expected, the amino and methyl groups lie in the planes of these heterocyclic rings, with the torsion angles in the range of 1.1(1) to 5.7(3)° (Table 3). These observations confirm the presence of the electron delocalization through the chemical bonds. This delocalization of the electron, also support shortening the bond length between the 1,3,4-oxadiazole and isoxazole rings (C(11)–C(21) 1.431(2) Å). In addition, these rings are twisted about 75.5(3)°. The crystal structure of the analyzed compound is stabilized by hydrogen bonds and the presence of other weak intermolecular interactions (Fig. 2). The percentages of main interactions, quantified by Hirshfeld surface analysis is shown in Fig. 3.

The amino group of the cation and the bromide anion are connected to form a ring R24(8) motif (Fig. 4). These ring motifs are arranged along the *b*-axis of the unit cell. Moreover, cations of the analyzed compound are connected each other *via* N(22)–H(3)⋯N(21)[−*x* + 1, *y* − 1/2, −*z* + 3/2] hydrogen bonding generates C(8) chains of cations propagating in [010] with adjacent ions related by the screw axis (Fig. 5). This C(8) chains are stabilized by additional the N(22)–H(4)⋯Br(1)[*x*, −*y* + 1/2, *z* + 1/2] interaction.



Table 3 Torsion angles [°] for ED

C(12)–N(11)–N(12)–C(11)	–1.93(13)
C(12)–N(11)–N(12)–C(13)	176.10(11)
C(22)–N(21)–O(21)–C(23)	0.02(14)
N(11)–N(12)–C(11)–O(11)	1.64(13)
C(13)–N(12)–C(11)–O(11)	–176.15(11)
N(11)–N(12)–C(11)–C(21)	–179.43(13)
C(13)–N(12)–C(11)–C(21)	2.8(2)
C(12)–O(11)–C(11)–N(12)	–0.65(13)
C(12)–O(11)–C(11)–C(21)	–179.69(12)
N(12)–N(11)–C(12)–N(13)	–177.98(14)
N(12)–N(11)–C(12)–O(11)	1.50(13)
C(11)–O(11)–C(12)–N(11)	–0.61(14)
C(11)–O(11)–C(12)–N(13)	178.94(12)
N(12)–C(11)–C(21)–C(23)	41.3(2)
O(11)–C(11)–C(21)–C(23)	–139.88(14)
N(12)–C(11)–C(21)–C(22)	–134.27(15)
O(11)–C(11)–C(21)–C(22)	44.5(2)
O(21)–N(21)–C(22)–C(21)	1.44(15)
O(21)–N(21)–C(22)–C(24)	–178.33(12)
C(23)–C(21)–C(22)–N(21)	–2.33(16)
C(11)–C(21)–C(22)–N(21)	174.04(14)
C(23)–C(21)–C(22)–C(24)	177.41(13)
C(11)–C(21)–C(22)–C(24)	–6.2(2)
N(21)–O(21)–C(23)–N(22)	178.65(11)
N(21)–O(21)–C(23)–C(21)	–1.50(15)
C(11)–C(21)–C(23)–N(22)	5.7(3)
C(22)–C(21)–C(23)–N(22)	–177.92(15)
C(11)–C(21)–C(23)–O(21)	–174.13(13)
C(22)–C(21)–C(23)–O(21)	2.26(15)




Fig. 2 Packing diagram of crystal ED showing the hydrogen bonds and intermolecular interactions.

### ESI-MS analysis

The obtained heterocycle was also analyzed by ESI-MS method and the obtained spectrum is presented below (Fig. 6).

On the presented mass spectrum obtained in the positive ion mode (Fig. 6) high intense signal at  $m/z$  196.0830 corresponding to the  $M^+$  ion of model compound is observed. The obtained  $m/z$  value is in good agreement with calculated  $m/z$  ratio which is equal to 196.0829. The enlarged isotope pattern confirms the ion charge and low amount of carbon atom in the analyzed




Fig. 3 The Hirshfeld surface analysis of the compound ED.




Fig. 4 Characteristic ring motif formed by the amino group of the cation and the bromide anion.




Fig. 5 Chains of cations formed by hydrogen bonding network.

cation. Other signal have not been identified. The observed even  $m/z$  value is in agreement with the nitrogen rule for even-electron ions in ESI-MS mode. Ionization of this compound is the consequence of its chemical structure containing positive charge. To determine the chemical structure of the analyzed compound the ESI-MS/MS analysis under different collision energies was performed (Fig. 7).






Fig. 6 ESI-MS spectrum of compound ED in positive ion mode.  $m/z$  range from 50–1000.




Fig. 7 ESI-MS/MS spectra of analyzed compound obtained at different collision energy. Parent ion  $m/z$  196.0830, collision energy (A) 10 eV, (B) 20 eV, (C) 30 eV.

The obtained MS/MS spectra present a small amount of signals corresponding to the parent ion even under higher collision energy (Fig. 7). The most common signals are at  $m/z$  154.0615,  $m/z$  127.0507,  $m/z$  110.0242 and  $m/z$  82.0287. The fragmentation pathways and the possible structure of formed fragment ions are presented in the Fig. 8. The obtained  $m/z$  values of fragment ions are in a good agreement with the calculated ones and meet the nitrogen rule for even-electron ions.

### NMR analysis

$^1\text{H}$  NMR spectroscopy shows only the presence of signals characterized the hydrogen atoms in the methyl and amino groups. In the  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR spectrum we identified the signals corresponding to all of the carbon atoms presented in the molecule with typical chemical shifts. However, the chemical shift for the C7 is not reflected in an upfield shift typical for carbocations, we did not observe any hydrogen atom bonded to this carbon. Due to the large difference in chemical shifts characterizes carbon atoms from methyl groups (6 and 14) it can be assumed that a quaternary nitrogen atom is present in




Fig. 8 Schematic presentation of fragmentation pathways of parent ion at  $m/z$  196.0830.

the molecule. Additionally,  $^{13}\text{C}$  DEPT135 analysis confirmed that there is no attached hydrogens to C7 carbon (Table 4) in oxadiazole ring due to the presence of signals corresponding to the carbon atom from methyl groups: 11.24 ppm and 38.09 ppm for C6 and C14 carbons, respectively. To proof clearly the charge location in the analyzed molecule the computational analysis was performed.

### Computational studies

Computational methods of theoretical chemistry have been used as useful tool to predict structure and properties of organic and inorganic compounds.<sup>15–19</sup> The molecular orbital studies on isolated molecule has been done on the DFT level of theory.

Table 4  $^1\text{H}$  NMR,  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR,  $^{13}\text{C}$  DEPT135 and HMQC correlations of analyzed compound (DMSO- $d_6$ )

No	$^1\text{H}$ NMR	$^{13}\text{C}$ NMR	$^{13}\text{C}$ DEPT 135
	$\delta_{\text{H}}$	$\delta_{\text{C}}$	$\delta_{\text{C}}$
1			
2			
3		159.38 C	
4		73.90 C	
5		162.37 C	
6	2.22 (s) $\text{CH}_3$	11.25 $\text{CH}_3$	11.24 $\text{CH}_3$
7		151.80 $\text{C}^+$	
8			
9		170.35 C	
10			
11			
12	8.25 (s) $\text{NH}_2$		
13	8.51 (s) $\text{NH}_2$		
14	3.72 (s) $\text{CH}_3$	38.09 $\text{CH}_3$	38.08 $\text{CH}_3$






Fig. 9 The structure of ED.

Gaussian 16 C.01 (ref. 20) suite of programs using the  $\omega$ B97X-D<sup>21</sup> long-range corrected hybrid density functional with damped atom–atom dispersion corrections was used with triple- $\zeta$  6-311G(2d,2p) basis set. The presented structure was fully optimized with demanding convergence criteria (RMS force =  $1 \times 10^{-5}$ , RMS displacement =  $4 \times 10^{-5}$ , max force =  $2 \times 10^{-5}$ , max displacement =  $6 \times 10^{-5}$ ) predefined as “opt = tight” in the Gaussian package, in atomic units. The atomic charges have been calculated on base of full NBO (Natural Bond Orbital) analysis, graphic has been done with GaussView 6.0.16 (ref. 22) program.

The analyzed compound is thermodynamically stable dual-ring ion (see Fig. 9) with enthalpy  $H = -696.461666$  hartree.

Compound consists of one oxadiazole and one oxazole rings, connected by C–C bond (1.413 Å). Please note that the rings planes are twisted, as expected, and the N6–C2–C15–C4 dihedral angle equals 35.2°.

The OCNNC oxadiazole ring builds interatomic distances 1.362 Å, 1.294 Å, 1.380 Å, 1.310 Å and 1.343 Å where atoms number 14, 1, 3, 6 and 2 are used respectively (see Table 5). The second, smaller in diameter oxazole ring, builds interatomic distances 1.412 Å, 1.312 Å, 1.388 Å, 1.442 Å and 1.291 Å between N, O, C, C, C of the ring atoms as presented in Table 5.

Each ring is substituted with methyl and amino group. Due to different bond type between methyl groups and rings only

Table 5 The distances between heavy atoms of analyzed cation in Å

Atoms	DFT	Exp.
C1–N11	1.329	1.319
C1–O14	1.362	1.382
C1–N3	1.294	1.299
N3–N6	1.380	1.392
O14–C2	1.343	1.348
N6–C7	1.452	1.458
C2–C15	1.413	1.431
C15–C5	1.442	1.431
C5–C18	1.488	1.486
C5–N17	1.291	1.301
N17–O16	1.412	1.441
O16–C4	1.312	1.343
C4–C15	1.388	1.385
C4–N22	1.343	1.333

Table 6 The NBO charges for neutral and +1 charged cation in e

Atom	Charge		
	0	+1	Delta
C 1	0.72	0.74	0.02
C 2	0.36	0.64	0.28
N 3	−0.41	−0.36	0.05
C 4	0.53	0.64	0.12
C 5	0.22	0.25	0.04
N 6	−0.26	−0.17	0.09
C 7	−0.35	−0.36	−0.01
H 8	0.18	0.22	0.04
H 9	0.21	0.23	0.01
H 10	0.20	0.24	0.04
N 11	−0.81	−0.78	0.04
H 12	0.41	0.43	0.02
H 13	0.40	0.42	0.02
O 14	−0.52	−0.46	0.06
C 15	−0.32	−0.38	−0.06
O 16	−0.36	−0.32	0.04
N 17	−0.19	−0.12	0.06
C 18	−0.60	−0.61	−0.01
H 19	0.21	0.23	0.01
H 20	0.21	0.22	0.01
H 21	0.22	0.25	0.03
N 22	−0.82	−0.78	0.04
H 23	0.39	0.42	0.03
H 24	0.38	0.40	0.02

comparison involving amino groups is accessible here. Interestingly the C1–N11 distance  $\sim 1.33$  Å is shorter than C4–N22  $\sim 1.34$  Å that suggests increase of the double bond character between C1–N11 in comparison to C4–N22 bond.

The DFT calculated parameters of the structure are in good agreement with presented experimental values.

**The atomic charges.** In order to localize the charge on the carbocation we have used full NBO analysis for neutral and +1 charged compound. As presented in the Table 6 the positive charge is distributed almost on whole cation. With C7, C15 and C17 exceptions, all atoms lose electron density. The greatest part of the positive charge is accumulated on C2 atom (+0.28e) as presented in Table 6.

The NBO analysis describes the C2 atom as the most electrophilic with 28% positive charge accumulated.

## Conclusions

In summary, we synthesized new water soluble and stable heterocyclic molecule owing the local positive charge at the carbon atom of oxadiazole moiety. The structure of this new stable cation was confirmed by using  $^1\text{H}$  NMR,  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR,  $^{13}\text{C}$  DEPT135, HMQC, X-ray, mass spectrometry and computational studies.

## Experimental

### Materials and methods

Melting point was determined on Büchi apparatus (Laboratoriums-Technik AG, Flawil, Switzerland), heated table



Kofler system (Wagner & Munz). Thin layer chromatography (TLC) was carried out on Polygram SIL G/UV 254 nm glass silica gel plates (Macherey-Nagel), using the developing system  $\text{CHCl}_3$ -EtOAc 7:3, and detected with UV Krüss Optronic 254 nm lamp. NMR spectra were recorded on high-field spectrometer Bruker Avance 500 MHz using TMS as the internal standard. Complete  $^1\text{H}$  NMR,  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR,  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR-DEPT135 and HMQC analysis were performed on samples dissolved in  $\text{DMSO}-d_6$ . All ESI-MS experiments were performed on the LCMS-9030 qTOF Shimadzu (Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan) device, equipped with a standard ESI source and the Nexera X2 system. Analysis was performed in the positive ion mode between 50–1000  $m/z$ . LCMS-9030 parameters: nebulizing gas–nitrogen, nebulizing gas flow 3.0  $\text{L min}^{-1}$ , drying gas flow – 10  $\text{L min}^{-1}$ , heating gas flow – 10  $\text{L min}^{-1}$ , interface temperature 300 °C, desolvation line temperature – 400 °C, detector voltage – 2.02 kV, interface voltage 4.0 kV, collision gas–argon, collision energy was optimized between 10 and 30 eV. The injection volume was optimized depending on the intensity of the signals observed on the mass spectrum within the range of 0.1 to 1  $\mu\text{L}$ . All obtained signals had a mass accuracy error in the range of 1 ppm.

Single crystals of analyzed compound suitable for X-ray analysis were grown at room temperature by slow evaporation of methanol solution. Summary of structure determination is given in Table 1. Single crystal data collection was performed on a Rigaku XtaLAB Synergy-S single crystal X-ray diffractometer with  $\text{CuK}\alpha$  radiation. at 100 (2) K. Cell refinement, data reduction, analysis, and absorption correction were carried out with CRYSLISPro (Rigaku Oxford Diffraction, Wrocław, Poland) software. Cell refinement, data reduction, analysis, and absorption correction were carried out with CRYSLISPro (Rigaku Oxford Diffraction, Wrocław, Poland) software. The structures were solved by direct methods with SHELXS<sup>23</sup> and refined with full-matrix least-squares techniques on F2 with SHELXL.<sup>24</sup> The C-bonded hydrogen atoms were calculated in idealized geometry riding on their parent atoms.

The elemental analysis was performed on the elemental analyzer Vario EL III CHNS (Elementar, Germany).

### Synthetic procedure

A mixture of 5-amino-*N*,3-dimethyl-isoxazolo-4-carbohydrazide ( $n = 0.0176$  mol, 3 g) and cyanogen bromide (3 g) in ethanol (30 ml) was refluxed for 2 h. The completion of reaction was monitored by TLC. Then the reaction mixture was cooled to the room temperature and the suspension was filtered. The crude product was recrystallized from water to get 5-amino-2-(5-amino-3-methyl-1,2-oxazol-4-yl)-3-methyl-2,3-dihydro-1,3,4-oxadiazol-2-ylum bromide. Water solubility is 45  $\text{mg ml}^{-1}$ . Yield 90.9%, mp 242 °C.

### Elemental analysis

**Analysis.** Calcd for  $\text{C}_7\text{H}_{10}\text{BrN}_5\text{O}_2$ : C, 30.45%; H, 3.65%; N, 25.37%;

Found: C, 31.06%; H, 3.58%; N, 25.78%.

## Author contributions

E. D. performed the chemical synthesis. U. B. and RB made MS and NMR analysis. L. J performed X-ray analysis. R. W. performed computational studies. U. B. wrote the manuscript. M. M. revised the manuscript. All authors discussed the results and commented on the manuscript.

## Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

## Acknowledgements

This research was financially supported by the Wrocław Medical University (grant numbers: SUB.D090.21.065). The authors would like to thank Andrzej Reszka (Shim-Pol, Poland) for providing the access to Shimadzu LCMS-9030 instrument.

## Notes and references

- 1 J. Jampilek, *Molecules*, 2019, **24**, 3839.
- 2 S. Alsalameh, M. Burian, A. G. Mahr, B. G. Woodcock and G. Geisslinger, *Aliment. Pharmacol. Ther.*, 2003, **17**, 489–501.
- 3 R. I. Fox, M. L. Herrmann, C. G. Frangou, G. M. Wahl, R. E. Morris, V. Strand and B. J. Kirschbaum, *Clin. Immunol.*, 1999, **93**, 198–208.
- 4 A. Vaidya, D. Pathak and K. Shah, *Chem. Biol. Drug Des.*, 2021, **97**, 572–591.
- 5 U. Bąchor, S. Ryng, M. Mączyński, J. Artym, M. Kocięba, E. Zaczynska, I. Kochanowska, E. Tykarska and M. Zimecki, *Acta Pol. Pharm.*, 2019, **76**, 251–263.
- 6 M. Zimecki, U. Bąchor and M. Mączyński, *Molecules*, 2018, **23**, 2724.
- 7 M. Mączyński, J. Artym, M. Kocięba, I. Kochanowska, S. Ryng and M. Zimecki, *Pharmacol. Rep.*, 2016, **68**, 894–902.
- 8 M. Akkurt, G. S. Duruskari, F. A. A. Toze, A. N. Khalilov and A. T. Huseynova, *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. E: Crystallogr. Commun.*, 2018, **74**, 1168–1172.
- 9 A. A. Hassan, N. K. Mohamed, A. A. Aly, H. N. Tawfeek, S. Bräse and M. Nieger, *J. Macromol. Sci.*, 2019, **1176**, 346–356.
- 10 P. Yin, J. Zhang, D. A. Parrish and J. M. Shreeve, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2015, **3**, 8606–8612.
- 11 Y. Jia, Q. Ma, Z.-Q. Zhang, W. Geng, J. Huang, W. Yang, G.-J. Fan and S. Wang, *Cryst. Growth Des.*, 2020, **20**, 3406–3412.
- 12 G. A. Olah and P. W. Westerman, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1973, **95**(11), 3706–3709.
- 13 G. A. Olah, L. Heiliger and G. K. S. Prakash, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1989, **111**, 8020–8021.
- 14 G. V. Boyd and S. R. Dando, *J. Chem. Soc. C*, 1970, **10**, 1397–1401.
- 15 Z. Mielke, Z. Latajka, A. Olbert-Majkut and R. Wiczorek, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 2000, **104**, 3764–3769.
- 16 R. Wiczorek, Z. Latajka and J. Lundell, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 1999, **103**, 6234–6239.

- 17 T. K. Olszewski, E. Wojaczyńska, R. Wieczorek and J. Bąkiewicz, *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry*, 2015, **26**, 601–607.
- 18 S. Pedro, R. Wieczorek and J. J. Dannenberg, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2007, **111**, 2398–2403.
- 19 M. Rudowska, R. Wieczorek, A. Kluczyk and P. Stefanowicz, *J. Am. Soc. Mass Spectrom.*, 2013, **24**, 846–856.
- 20 M. J. Frisch, G. W. Trucks, H. B. Schlegel, G. E. Scuseria, M. A. Robb, J. R. Cheeseman, G. Scalmani, V. Barone, G. A. Petersson, H. Nakatsuji, X. Li, M. Caricato, A. V. Marenich, J. Bloino, B. G. Janesko, R. Gomperts, B. Mennucci, H. P. Hratchian, J. V. Ortiz, A. F. Izmaylov, J. L. Sonnenberg, D. Williams-Young, F. Ding, F. Lipparini, F. Egidi, J. Goings, B. Peng, A. Petrone, T. Henderson, D. Ranasinghe, V. G. Zakrzewski, J. Gao, N. Rega, G. Zheng, W. Liang, M. Hada, M. Ehara, K. Toyota, R. Fukuda, J. Hasegawa, M. Ishida, T. Nakajima, Y. Honda, O. Kitao, H. Nakai, T. Vreven, K. Throssell, J. A. Montgomery Jr, J. E. Peralta, F. Ogliaro, M. J. Bearpark, J. J. Heyd, E. N. Brothers, K. N. Kudin, V. N. Staroverov, T. A. Keith, R. Kobayashi, J. Normand, K. Raghavachari, A. P. Rendell, J. C. Burant, S. S. Iyengar, J. Tomasi, M. Cossi, J. M. Millam, M. Klene, C. Adamo, R. Cammi, J. W. Ochterski, R. L. Martin, K. Morokuma, O. Farkas, J. B. Foresman, and D. J. Fox, *Gaussian 16, Revision C.01*, Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford CT, 2016.
- 21 J.-D. Chai and M. Head-Gordon, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2008, **10**, 6615–6620.
- 22 D. Roy, T. A. Keith, and J. M. Millam, *GaussView, Version 6.1*, Semichem Inc., Shawnee Mission, KS, 2016.
- 23 G. M. Sheldrick, *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A: Found. Crystallogr.*, 2008, **64**, 112–122.
- 24 G. M. Sheldrick, *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. C: Struct. Chem.*, 2015, **71**, 3–8.

