


 Cite this: *RSC Adv.*, 2021, 11, 26063

A three-dimensional nano-network $\text{WO}_3/\text{F-TiO}_2\text{-}\{001\}$ heterojunction constructed with OH-TiOF_2 as the precursor and its efficient degradation of methylene blue

Chentao Hou * and Jing Hao

In this study, three-dimensional nested $\text{WO}_3/\text{F-TiO}_2\text{-}\{001\}$ photocatalysts with different WO_3 loadings were prepared by a hydrothermal process and used to degrade methylene blue (MB). The photocatalysts with various ratios of WO_3 to OH-TiOF_2 can be transformed into a three-dimensional network $\text{WO}_3/\text{F-TiO}_2$ hetero-structure with $\{001\}$ surface exposure. The results showed that the composite catalyst with 5% WO_3 , denoted as FWT5, had the best comprehensive degradation effect. FWT5 has a limited band gap of 2.9 eV, which can be used as an advanced photocatalyst to respond to sunlight and degrade MB. The average pore diameter of the composite catalyst is 10.3 nm, and the multi-point specific surface area is $56 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$. Compared with pure TiOF_2 , the average pore size of the composite catalyst decreased by 8.44 nm and the specific surface area increased by $51.2 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$, which provides a larger contact space for the catalytic components and pollutants. Moreover, TiO_2 on the $\{001\}$ surface has higher photocatalytic activity and methylene blue can be better degraded. Under the irradiation of 0.03 g FWT5 composite catalyst with a simulated solar light source for 2 h, the degradation rate of 10 mg L^{-1} methylene blue can reach 82.9%. The trapping experiment showed that photo-generated holes were the principal functional component of $\text{WO}_3/\text{F-TiO}_2\text{-}\{001\}$ photo-catalysis, which could capture OH^- and form hydroxyl radical ($\cdot\text{OH}$) and improved the photocatalytic degradation performance. Kinetic studies show that the photocatalytic degradation of MB fits with the quasi-first order kinetic model.

Received 21st June 2021

Accepted 12th July 2021

DOI: 10.1039/d1ra04809k

rsc.li/rsc-advances

1. Introduction

Over the past decades, with the rapid development of the world's industry and economy, human beings are extremely dependent on various chemicals, which threaten our living environment. The main pollutants in wastewater are organic colored dyes with relatively stable performance and complex molecular structures. Among them, MB is one of the most common dyes in wastewater, which can be harmful to human health, and can lead to eye burning, dyspnea, accelerated heart-rate, emesis, sweatiness, insanity, nausea and methemoglobinemia.^{1,2} Due to the difficulty of biodegradation, it is very difficult to remove this organic matter from wastewater, which requires enormous resources. The commonly used methods to remove the dye from wastewater include precipitation, ion exchange, solvent extraction, coagulation flocculation, filtration, electrochemistry, and adsorption. However, these methods require high energy consumption, high costs, low concentration, and have insufficient degradation rate.^{3,4} Among other technologies for the treatment of wastewater,

photocatalytic technology has attracted more attention due to its environmental friendliness, mild reaction conditions, low price, clean process and high efficiency, especially in its application to the degradation of MB.⁵

Recently, a variety of photocatalytic materials have been developed to degrade organic pollutants, such as Ag-based nanocomposites, alveolate Cu_{2-x}Se microsheets, MoS nanosheets, $\text{MoS}_2/\text{sepiolite}$, and $\text{MoS}_2/\text{sepiolite}$.⁶⁻¹⁰ In this paper, TiO_2 is used, as one of the most common semiconductor photocatalysts, which has the advantages of usability, corrosion resistance, nontoxicity and good chemical stability.¹¹⁻¹⁴ However, TiO_2 has a wide band gap of 3.0–3.2 eV, which causes it to respond only under ultraviolet radiation, and its photo-carriers recombine rapidly, which seriously hinders its application and development in practice.^{15,16} In order to solve this problem, many researchers used metal doping,¹⁷⁻¹⁹ nonmetal doping,²⁰ precious metal deposition,^{21,22} *etc.* The introduction of dopants can effectively reduce the band gap width of TiO_2 , which makes TiO_2 absorb in the visible region, and increases the internal electron acceptor, thus slowing down the carrier recombination rate.

In addition, the surface properties of TiO_2 can be greatly enhanced by adding F ions. Tong *et al.*²³ discovered that the

Department of Environmental Engineering, Xi'an University of Science and Technology, Xi'an 710054, China. E-mail: houtc@xust.edu.cn



photocatalytic performance of powdered TiO₂ or TiO₂ film doped with F ions was obviously superior to that of pure TiO₂, the crystal form of TiO₂ is changed by addition of F ions, and the crystallinity of anatase is increased, which led to the formation of the high-energy {001} surface. Therefore, the photocatalytic performance is improved.²⁴ In another study, Han *et al.*²⁵ developed TiO₂ nanosheets with 89% TiO₂{001} crystal faces by adding hydrofluoric acid to tetra-butyl titanate under hydrothermal treatment, which showed that the photocatalytic performance of F-TiO₂ nanosheets was significantly better than that of P25. It should be noted that TiO₂ can form TiOF₂ when the F ion concentration is high²⁶ and interestingly, TiOF₂ can be converted back to TiO₂ under different conditions.²⁷ Zhang *et al.*^{28,29} studied the formation process of TiO₂{001} nanosheets by adding different amounts of hydrofluoric acid and controlling different reaction times and temperatures. Shi *et al.*³⁰ studied the conversion process of cubic TiOF₂ to TiO₂{001/101} at different calcination temperatures, and put forward a probable conversion mechanism of TiOF₂ to TiO₂{001/101}. Zhang *et al.*³¹ found that TiOF₂ acts as an unstable intermediate during the transformation of TiO₂ nanoparticles into TiO₂{001} nanosheets. TiO₂{001} nanosheets due to their higher hydrogen production,^{32–35} and photocatalytic reaction can efficiently degrade MB and RhB. The synergistic effect of TiO₂ and TiOF₂ nano-particles further improves the photocatalytic activity of TiO₂{001} nanosheets. In our previous study we have found that TiO₂{001/101} nanosheets can be derived from TiOF₂ under hydrothermal conditions.

Since TiO₂ has a large band gap of 3.2 eV and can only absorb ultraviolet radiation, it is necessary to synthesize a photocatalyst that can absorb visible light. Another type of semiconductor oxidizer is tungsten trioxide (WO₃) with a narrow band gap (2.4–2.8 eV), and due to its low energy band gap, it can respond under visible light. Therefore, due to the enhanced ability of visible light photons, WO₃ shows significant photocatalytic activity,³⁶ and has attracted more attention since it is non-toxic, economical, and has promising characteristics.³⁷

In order to separate the charges effectively, researchers combined TiO₂ with a semiconductor metal oxide with a suitable band gap, which resulted in a reduction of recombination rate of electrons–holes, and improvement of the photocatalytic performance of TiO₂. For example, TiO₂ is combined with CeO_x,³⁸ V₂O₅ (ref. 39) and WO₃ (ref. 40) which effectively separates the charges. Recently, the application of WO₃/TiO₂ composites and the heterojunction of WO₃/TiO₂ composites are widely studied by many researchers.^{41–43} The WO₃–TiO₂ nanocomposite is an energy storage photocatalyst, which can store electrons generated under light, and release these electrons in the absence of light, which occurs in electron-mediated reactions.^{44,45} For instance, Yang *et al.*⁴¹ used a WO_{3-x}/TiO₂ film as a new photoanode which reduced CO₂ to form formic acid through photocatalysis. Wang *et al.*⁴² found that the prepared WO₃/TiO₂ nanotube composite film showed a significant increase in the degradation rate of volatile organic compounds under a photocatalytic degradation process.

In our previous research, Hou *et al.*⁴⁶ washed the prepared TiOF₂ with an alkali which significantly improved the catalytic

performance of the catalysts, since TiOF₂ has more O–H associations on its surface. Therefore, in this paper, we combined WO₃ with OH-TiOF₂ at high temperature and high pressure. In this research, after one-step hydrothermal treatment, WO₃ with different proportions and OH-TiOF₂ transformed into a three-dimensional network WO₃/F-TiO₂{001} hetero-structure. According to FTIR measurements there were more associated O–H on the surface of TiO₂, which could significantly enhance the catalytic activity of TiO₂ for MB oxidation under visible light. This catalyst has good photocatalytic activity for methylene blue. In addition, more importantly, the photocatalyst has excellent stability and reusability, which has a good prospect for the practical application of dye wastewater degradation. Interestingly we have found that there are few published research studies using WO₃ with OH-TiOF₂ as a photocatalyst for degradation of dyes.

2. Experimental

2.1 Synthesis of composite photocatalysts

Preparation of OH-TiOF₂: first 34 mL of butyl titanate was magnetically stirred, and 30 mL of glacial acetic acid was added dropwise slowly into butyrate titanate. Then 12.5 mL of hydrofluoric acid (HF) was added to the mixture and continuously stirred at room temperature for 0.5 h. Following that, the obtained mixture was placed in a PTFE lined reactor for 15 h at 160 °C. Next, the reactor was cooled at room temperature, and the solid product was obtained by centrifugation and washed with absolute ethanol and ultrapure water three times respectively. Finally, the product was obtained by drying in an oven at 90 °C. Then, 6 g of the prepared TiOF₂ powder was added into a 100 mL glass beaker, 10 mol L⁻¹ sodium hydroxide solution was added over the powder, and then the mixture was stirred at a constant speed at room temperature for 2 h; afterward, the mixture was centrifuged and washed with anhydrous ethanol plus ultrapure water three times respectively. Then the product (OH-TiOF₂) was dried at 80 °C in an oven and ground before use.

Preparation of the WO₃/F-TiO₂{001} composite catalyst: different dosages (0.1056 g; 0.1759 g; 0.2815 g; 0.3519 g; 0.62084 g; 1.5521 g; 3.58 g) of sodium tungstate dihydrate were dissolved in 30 mL ultrapure water, and magnetically stirred for 10 min at low speed until the sodium tungstate completely dissolved. After that, 20 mL of 2 mol L⁻¹ HCl solution was added to the above mixture and stirred at 30 °C for 2 h. Then 1 g of OH-TiOF₂ powder was added and continuously stirred for 30 min until the OH-TiOF₂ powder entirely dissolved. Next, the solution was transferred to a reactor and placed in a drying oven at 160 °C for 4 h. After the reactor cooled at room temperature, the mixture was centrifuged and the product was obtained by washing the centrifuged sediment with ultrapure water 3 times. The WO₃/F-TiO₂{001} composite catalyst was obtained by drying at 100 °C in an oven and then ground and denoted as FWT3, FWT5, FWT8, FWT10, FWT20, FWT50, and FWT100 before use.

2.2 Characterization

An X-ray diffractometer (XRD, p-XD-2, China) was used to observe the crystal characteristics of the catalyst under a 36 kV,



20 mA, Cu- α X-ray source ($\lambda = 0.15418$ nm). The element distribution and surface morphology of the samples were analyzed by EDS and SEM (Japan JSM7500F). A nitrogen adsorption and desorption specific surface area analyzer (BET, micrometrics ASAP2020, USA) was used to determine the specific surface area and porosity of the catalyst using BET and BJH methods. The chemical valence states of the sample were analyzed by X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS). Absorption characteristics were measured over the 200–800 nm scanning range using a UV-Vis DRS (Shimadzu, UV-2600, Japan). Fluorescence spectrometry (PL, Shimadzu-rf-6000, Japan) was used to measure the electron–hole recombination at an excitation wavelength of 300 nm in a scanning range of 250–650 nm. The measurement range of Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FT-IR) is 4000–400 cm^{-1} , and it is carried out in the KBr particles using a Nicolet IS5 spectrometer of the United States to identify the functional groups on the catalyst surface.

2.3 Photocatalysis experiment

Herein, photocatalytic degradation of methylene blue was used to evaluate the activity of the catalyst. 100 mL of methylene blue solution with a concentration of 10 mg L^{-1} was added into a reaction tube and then 30 mg of semiconductor photocatalytic material was added. A 300 W xenon lamp was used to simulate sunlight for the photocatalytic degradation of dye solution. Then the lamp was turned off and kept for 30 min to reach the adsorption equilibrium; next, the light was turned on and 7 mL of the reaction solution was taken out every 15 min to measure the simulated pollutants. The supernatant was centrifuged at a high speed (10 000 rpm), and then measured using a UV-Vis spectrophotometer with a wavelength of 662 nm. The absorbance of the dye solution was measured before and after the reaction, and the concentration of pollutants before and after the reaction was determined by the standard curve, and the dye removal rate was calculated according to the change of the concentration. The photocatalytic degradation of dye solution and antibiotic solution was investigated. Methylene blue was used as the simulated degradation product to investigate the active substances which play a major role in the $\text{WO}_3/\text{F-TiO}_2\text{-}\{001\}$ photocatalytic reaction. For degradation of MB, 30 mg of $\text{WO}_3/\text{F-TiO}_2\text{-}\{001\}$ composite catalyst with the optimal photocatalytic performance ratio of 5%, and 100 mL of methylene blue solution with a concentration of 10 mg L^{-1} were studied under simulated sunlight and the experiment was repeated three times.

The three active substances (hydroxyl radicals, holes, and superoxide radicals) were captured in degradation processes. For this purpose, 0.3 mmol of *tert*-butanol (*t*-BuOH) was used to take hydroxyl radicals, following that, 0.3 mmol of methanol (MT) was applied to capture holes and in the third step, 0.1 mmol of benzoquinone was added to catch the superoxide radicals. The rest of the condition was kept unchanged through the entire experiment. Consequently, the photocatalyst was separated from the dye solution in order to study its durability and reusability.

3. Experimental results and discussion

3.1 Characterization of the catalyst

3.1.1 Morphological analysis of the catalyst. The morphology and composition of WO_3 (a), OH- TiOF_2 (b) and WO_3 : Ti = 5% composites (c) were analyzed by SEM. The sizes of the composite catalyst and alkali-washed TiOF_2 are 100 nm and 1 μm , respectively, the scale of WO_3 is 200 nm, and the space between grains is 200–400 nm, with a uniform size and good dispersion. From the comparison of (a)–(c), the large sheet should be TiO_2 , and the small sheet is WO_3 . In Fig. 1b, the alkali washed TiOF_2 shows a more complex network shape, and the alkali washed TiOF_2 shows phase assembly along a certain direction. The net structure makes the photocatalytic surface area larger. From the FWT5 (c) diagram, WO_3 and TiO_2 are mutually doped and finally present a three-dimensional net-like structure. Due to the relatively low proportion of doped WO_3 , we can observe the scaly shape of TiO_2 with a non-uniform surface size and the lamellar WO_3 hidden under the TiO_2 surface. The network structure formed in this way provides a more catalytic area for photocatalysis. Fig. 1d shows the EDS spectrum of the $\text{WO}_3/\text{F-TiO}_2$ composite catalyst. Samples containing mainly O, F, W and Ti show four characteristic peaks, and their contents (weight percentage) are 41.64%, 11.21%, 5.67% and 41.48%, respectively. Furthermore, no excess impurity peak was seen, and the morphology of the prepared sample was analyzed using a high resolution transmission electron microscope (HRTEM),




Fig. 1 SEM images of (a) WO_3 , (b) OH- TiOF_2 , and (c) WO_3 : Ti = 5%, (d) EDS spectrum of WO_3 : Ti = 5%, and (e) and (f) HRTEM images of WO_3 : Ti = 5%.






Fig. 2 XRD spectra of TiO_2 , WO_3 and $\text{WO}_3/\text{F-TiO}_2\text{-}(001)$ catalysts with different ratios of W to Ti.

as shown in (e) and (f). The lattice fringes are 0.352 nm and 0.235 nm respectively, corresponding to the lattice distances of the anatase type $\text{TiO}_2(101)$ plane and (001) plane.^{47,48} It can be seen that the (001) crystal is exposed on the surface of F-TiO_2 .

3.1.2 Crystal structure. The XRD patterns of each sample are shown in Fig. 2. Compared with the JCPDS standard card (no. 211272), the 2θ values of XRD curves of seven kinds of photocatalysts with different composite ratios were 25.32° , 38° , 47.96° , 53.98° and 62.9° respectively, corresponding to anatase type titanium dioxide {101}, {004}, {200}, {211}, and {204} crystal faces. This indicates that in these photocatalysts doped with different proportions of tungsten trioxide, only anatase phase exists, and no other phase of titanium dioxide exists. From the diffraction patterns of FWT8 and the percentage less than 8%, it was observed that the peak position of WO_3 is not obvious at 23.1° , but it also increases with the increase of content, which is due to the low WO_3 content. The diffraction pattern of $\text{WO}_3 : \text{TiO}_2 = 100\%$, 50% and 20% composite catalysts shows that the peak at 23.1° is enhanced and expanded, which

corresponds to the main peak of WO_3 in the standard card of XRD (no. 321395). However, with the increase of WO_3 content, when the 2θ values are 25.32° , 38° , 47.96° , 53.98° and 62.9° , the characteristic peak intensity of TiO_2 gradually weakens.

3.1.3 BET specific surface area and pore size. The pore size distribution and specific surface area of the composite materials with different proportions were analyzed by nitrogen adsorption desorption technology. From Fig. 3, we can see the N_2 adsorption desorption curves of catalysts with different ratios and the corresponding BJH pore size distribution. We can see from Fig. 3a that the catalysts we studied exhibit a typical type IV N_2 adsorption and desorption isotherm, and the H3 type hysteresis loops of all catalysts show obvious opening, which indicates that the catalysts have mesopore formation.⁴⁹ The adsorption capacity of the catalyst increases with the increase of P/P_0 . In addition, according to Table 1, the average pore size of the composite catalyst decreased from 18.74 nm to 10.30 nm, and the specific surface area increased from $4.80 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$ to $56.00 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$. Compared with WO_3 and other composite catalysts, FWT5 has a larger specific surface area than WO_3 , FWT3 and FWT8 and a larger mesoporous size relative to FWT10. In order to examine the expansion of FWT5 surface area, BET and FESEM figures were combined together; it is speculated that the increase of specific surface area of FWT5 is due to the loose accumulation of particles during the process of TiO_2 covering the WO_3 surface, which forms many gaps and increases the surface area of the catalyst. As a result, more active sites are exposed to the composite photocatalyst to generate sufficient electron-hole pairs with REDOX capacity, and the active factor of the catalyst can come into contact with MB molecules more fully, thus improving the photocatalytic activity of the material. In addition, mesopores also play an important role in the photocatalytic activity of the material, as the catalyst with a developed pore structure can make light refraction and scattering in the material many times, and stimulate more active sites. Hence, the catalyst can further stimulate more electron-hole pairs, resulting in enhancement of the photocatalytic activity.^{50,51} Moreover, larger mesopores also facilitate fast mass transport, which improved the catalytic performance.⁵²⁻⁵⁵ The smaller the average pore size, the larger the specific surface




Fig. 3 (a) Nitrogen adsorption/desorption isotherm of FWT3, FWT5, FWT8, FWT10 and WO_3 . (b) The pore size distribution corresponding to each catalyst.



Table 1 Characteristics of samples analyzed by N₂ adsorption desorption experiments

Sample	Specific surface area (m ² g ⁻¹)	Pore volume (cm ³ (STP) g ⁻¹)	Average pore size (nm)
WO ₃	12.06	0.056	15.81
TiO ₂	4.80	0.02	18.74
FWT3	49.21	0.17	14.00
FWT5	56.00	0.16	10.30
FWT8	41.06	0.18	17.23
FWT10	75.04	0.17	8.80


area, the richer the catalytic active sites of the catalyst, and the faster the mass transfer of the reactants and products which lead to improvement of the photocatalytic activity. Fig. 3b shows the pore size distribution diagram of the catalysts. It can be seen from the figure that the pore diameter of the prepared catalysts is mostly concentrated in 2–6 nm, which is the distribution range of the catalyst particle size. Based on the figure, FWT5 has the largest pore size and adsorption capacity.

3.1.4 The light absorption characteristics. The light absorption properties of the composite materials were characterized by ultraviolet-visible diffuse reflectance absorption spectroscopy (UV-Vis DRS). It can be clearly seen from Fig. 4a that different photo-catalysts and the same catalyst with different recombination ratios have different absorption properties in the UV region. The light absorption capacity increases in the order of FWT5 < WO₃ < FWT3 < FWT8 < FWT10 in the ultraviolet region. The absorption threshold of the FWT5 photocatalyst at 491 nm corresponds to an energy band gap of 2.9 eV. It can be seen that the FWT5 composite catalyst can greatly improve the utilization of simulated sunlight. The red shift phenomenon of the composite catalyst in varying degrees indicates that its response to simulated sunlight is significantly enhanced, which might be due to the formation of more electron hole pairs.⁵⁶ The smaller the band gap, the wider the response range.⁵⁷ Therefore, it is easier for the FWT5 composite photocatalyst to use visible light. The band gap energy (E_g) of

the FWT3, FWT5, FWT8, FWT10 and WO₃ was calculated according to the Tauc plots. Based on Fig. 7b, the band gap energies of FWT5, FWT3, FWT8, FWT10 and WO₃ are calculated to be 2.9 eV, 3.3 eV, 3.25 eV, 3.1 eV and 2.7 eV, respectively.

3.1.5 Surface element analysis. The elemental composition and valence states on the surface of the sample at a depth of 3–5 nm were investigated by X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS). All data were corrected by the binding energy (284.6 eV) of C 1s. Fig. 5a is the fitting spectrum of Ti 2p; the peaks at 459.0 eV and 464.7 eV belong to the 2p_{3/2} and 2p_{1/2} signals of Ti⁴⁺ in TiO₂ respectively.⁵⁸ Fig. 5b shows the fitting spectrum of W 2p. The peaks at 35.5 eV and 37.8 eV belong to the 4f_{7/2} and 4f_{5/2} signals of W⁶⁺ in WO₃, and the peaks at 37.1 eV belong to the signals of Ti⁴⁺ 3p.⁵⁹ Fig. 5c is the fitting spectrum of O 1s, the peak at 530.2 eV in TiO₂ and WO₃ belongs to the lattice oxygen signal, and the peak at 531.5 eV is attributed to –OH adsorbed on the material surface.⁶⁰ From Fig. 5d we can get the fitting spectrum of F 1s, and the signal at 684.6 eV belongs to the signal of F 1s.⁶¹ The results of XPS analysis showed that the WO₃/TiO₂ composite catalyst was successfully prepared, but F ions were doped and –OH was adsorbed on the surface of the material after alkali washing. This also explains why TiO₂ disappears and transforms into TiO₂ after alkali washing. Although the presence of WO₃ could not be seen from the SEM of 5% of the composites, it may be due to the low content of WO₃, but the existence of F was confirmed by XPS, and the transformation of TiO₂ to TiO₂ could be seen by XRD.

3.1.6 Electron hole recombination degree. Photoluminescence (PL) measurements can effectively detect the recombination process of photo-generated carriers and their separation efficiency; the increase in luminescence intensity is caused by the increase in the recombination rate of photo-generated electron-hole pairs. Fig. 6 shows the photo emission spectra of P25 and FWT composite catalysts. We can observe from Fig. 6 that the lowest peak intensity of FWT5 is much lower than that of the P25 sample, and the fluorescence peak intensity is the lowest, which indicates that it is more difficult to capture photo-generated electrons, which leads to

**Fig. 4** (a) UV-Vis absorption spectroscopy and (b) the corresponding band gap energy of WO₃ and FWTX (X = 3, 5, 8, and 10).




Fig. 5 Fine spectrum of FWT5L: (a) Ti 2p, (b) W 4f, (c) O 1s, and (d) F 1s.

a reduction in the recombination of holes and photo-generated electrons, thereby improving the photocatalytic activity. The broad emission band (350–450 nm) of the peak located in the ultraviolet region is linked with the titanate group between the defects trapping free excitons, which is caused by the emission of bound excitons.⁶² Due to the existence of oxygen vacancies,⁶³ a wide band (450–500 nm) will be emitted in the visible region, which means that the composite catalyst has more oxygen vacancies than single TiO_2 .

3.1.7 FT-IR. FT-IR was used to analyze and characterize the structure of the composite catalyst. It can be seen from Fig. 7 that the corresponding absorption peaks were generated near 1607 cm^{-1} and 3388 cm^{-1} due to the bending vibration and

stretching of the water molecules and O–H attached to the surface of the catalyst.^{64–66} The band at 2300 cm^{-1} corresponds to the vibration of atmospheric carbon dioxide,⁶⁷ and absorption peaks near 584 cm^{-1} are caused by Ti–O–Ti vibration stretching.⁶⁸ The catalyst only produced a slight absorption peak near 912 cm^{-1} , which was caused by the stretching vibration of the Ti–F bond formed by F– TiO_2 .⁶⁹

3.2 Degradation effect on dye wastewater

3.2.1 Degradation effect of the catalyst. In order to study the photocatalytic performance of the samples, the typical printing and dyeing wastewater methylene blue in sewage was taken as the research object, and the solar photocatalytic degradation test was simulated. Fig. 8 shows the degradation curves of methylene blue by photo-catalysts under simulated sunlight. As can be seen from Fig. 8a, when the system reaches the equilibrium of adsorption and desorption, $\text{WO}_3/\text{F-TiO}_2\{001\}$ has good adsorption performance, and changes with the ratio of W : Ti. This is due to the irregular accumulation of WO_3 particles on the surface of TiO_2 , resulting in an increase in specific surface area and porosity, and the highly exposed $\{001\}$ surface, making the FWT10 sample have the strongest MB adsorption capacity. This is also consistent with the obtained BET characterization results. Although the adsorption capacity of FWT3 for MB is weaker than that of FWT10, its total removal rate (78.4%) is higher than that of FWT10 (70.6%). This phenomenon shows that the photocatalyst's ability to adsorb MB cannot determine its ability to degrade MB. The total degradation rate of FWT5 to MB reached 82.9% within two hours under simulated sunlight, which was higher than the 74% of WO_3 and 62.2% of commercially available P25.




Fig. 6 Band gap width of TiO_2 and FWT5 composite catalysts.






Fig. 7 FT-IR spectra of WO_3 and $\text{W} : \text{Ti} = x : 100$ ($x = 3, 5, 8,$ and 10) with different $\text{Ti}(x)$ molar ratios.

Fig. 8b shows the variation of the MB absorption spectrum with light time in the presence of $\text{WO}_3/\text{F-TiO}_2\{-001\}$ under visible light irradiation. At 664 nm (the characteristic absorption peak of MB), the peak intensity of MB showed an obvious

decreasing trend, indicating that MB was photodegraded under visible light irradiation. Moreover, the photocatalytic degradation effect of the catalyst with the best composite ratio (FWT5) on MB at a wavelength of 664 nm almost completely disappeared after 2 h of irradiation. At the same time, no new absorption bands were observed during the photodegradation process, which confirms the complete photodegradation of the MB aqueous solution.

By studying the kinetic model of $\text{WO}_3/\text{F-TiO}_2\{-001\}$ photocatalytic degradation of MB, the photocatalytic performance of the sample was further evaluated. It can be seen from Fig. 8c and Table 2 that the R^2 of the composite photocatalyst is close to 1, which indicates that the process of degrading methylene blue meets the first-order reaction kinetic model, and the reaction constant K value (0.0126) of the 5% composite catalyst is slightly higher than that of the others. The K value of the composite catalyst is twice that of the single catalyst (0.0054), indicating that the degradation rate of the 5% composite catalyst has increased.

3.2.2. Analysis of the $\text{WO}_3/\text{F-TiO}_2$ photocatalytic mechanism. Generally, there are three active substances in the process of photocatalytic degradation of MB solution: hydroxyl radicals ($\cdot\text{OH}$), superoxide radicals ($\cdot\text{O}_2^-$) and holes (h^+). Due to this phenomenon, we introduced trapping agents such as 1,4-benzoquinone (PBQ, $\cdot\text{O}_2^-$),⁷⁰ methanol (MT, h^+), *tert*-butyl alcohol




Fig. 8 (a) Photo-degradation of MB by different samples under simulated sunlight (the dosage of the catalyst is 30 mg); (b) UV-Vis absorption spectrum of FWT5; (c) kinetic curve transformation of photo-degradation of MB solution with different samples.



Table 2 R^2 and K values of the first order kinetic model fitted by different catalysts

	FWT3	FWT5	FWT8	FWT10	P25	WO ₃
First order dynamics R^2	0.9856	0.9983	0.987	0.9518	0.9955	0.9752
First order kinetic constant K	0.0124	0.0126	0.0111	0.0051	0.0064	0.0054

(*t*-BuOH, $\cdot\text{OH}$)⁷¹ and so on for capturing free radicals in the current experiments. Thus, we can identify the active components which have more effect on the degradation process of MB. The conditions of capture experiment and photocatalytic experiment are the same. From Fig. 9, in the process of dark reaction, the addition of scavengers has no obvious degradation effect on MB, and different scavengers combined with the FWT5 show different adsorption effects.

Adding *tert*-butanol and methanol has little effect on the photocatalytic degradation of MB solution, and the degradation rate decreased by 60% and 70% respectively. The results showed that holes and hydroxyl radicals determined the catalytic degradation activity of WO₃/F-TiO₂-{001} for MB, while the photocatalytic activity of benzoquinone decreased slightly, indicating that hydroxyl radical, photo generated holes and superoxide radical all participated in the photo-catalytic degradation of MB. However, photo-generated holes had more effect. According to the literature reports, the hole recombination rate of TiO₂ is high, while the composite WO₃/F-TiO₂-{001} catalyst prepared in this paper hinders the recombination of more holes and photo-generated electrons.

Based on the experimental results and the position of the band gap, we propose a possible mechanism for the better activity of WO₃/F-TiO₂-{001} photocatalytic materials. First of all, due to its unique network structure, the specific surface area of the catalyst is greatly increased. The large specific surface area is conducive to the adsorption of reactants and can provide more active sites for the photocatalytic reaction.⁷² In addition,

the heterojunction formed by WO₃ and F-TiO₂ is a type II heterojunction,⁷³ which effectively reduces the recombination efficiency of electrons and holes, and hence electrons and holes have more opportunities to react with O₂ and H₂O and generate active materials that can degrade MB. It can be seen from Fig. 10 that the CB potential (−0.3 eV) of anatase TiO₂ is more negative than the CB potential (0.74 eV) of WO₃. A strong driving force is generated between the tight non-uniform interfaces, which promotes the transfer of electrons from the CB of TiO₂ to the CB of WO₃. Since there are some oxygen vacancies in the material, part of the electrons can be captured. Obviously, the directional transfer of electrons and the trapping of electrons by oxygen vacancies effectively reduce the recombination rate of electron-hole pairs, which is also the main reason for the excellent photocatalytic performance of the photocatalyst. Whereas the CB potential of TiO₂ (−0.3 eV) is more negative than the potential of O₂/ $\cdot\text{O}$ (−0.046 eV), O₂ adsorbed on the surface of the photocatalyst is easier to capture electrons on the TiO₂ CB to form $\cdot\text{O}_2^-$. This O₂ can highly oxidize MB and other pollutants. In addition, since the material has some oxygen vacancies, these oxygen vacancies can better adsorb water and play a vital role in the formation of hydroxyl radicals ($\cdot\text{OH}$). As long as the VB potential of WO₃ (3.44 eV) is higher than the VB potential of TiO₂ (2.91 eV), the h⁺ on the WO₃ VB is easier to transfer to the VB of TiO₂, and the VB potential of the two photocatalysts is higher than the standard redox potential (1.99 eV) of $\cdot\text{OH}/\text{OH}^-$, which makes it easy for the adsorbed water on the surface of the photocatalyst to form strong oxidizing $\cdot\text{OH}$. When the active factors such as $\cdot\text{O}_2^-$ and $\cdot\text{OH}$ attack, the aromatic ring will be




Fig. 9 Free scavenging experiment of FWT5 (40 mg of catalyst and 3 mmol L^{−1} scavenger were added).




Fig. 10 Photocatalytic reaction mechanism of degradation of MB by WO₃/F-TiO₂-{001}.



hydroxylated to produce phenolic metabolites. The cationic functional groups of MB molecules may be vertically adsorbed to the surface and eventually produce CO_2 , SO_4^{2-} , NH_4^+ and NO_3^- . Degradation intermediates originated from the initial opening of the central aromatic ring, and the formation of their subsequent metabolites was consistent with the general law of degradation of other complex molecules in water. In addition, it is not negligible that the composite photocatalytic material adsorbs pollutants and the synergy of photocatalysis. The effect makes it have a better degradation effect on MB.

4. Conclusion

In this paper, a new type of $\text{WO}_3/\text{F-TiO}_2\{001\}$ heterostructure semiconductor material with a three-dimensional network structure was successfully prepared by the hydrothermal method. Compared with WO_3 and P25, the $\text{WO}_3/\text{F-TiO}_2\{001\}$ heterojunction constructed with OH-TiOF_2 as the precursor exhibits superior photocatalytic performance for the removal of MB induced by visible light. Among them, the FWT5 heterojunction showed higher photocatalytic degradation efficiency. The significant improvement in photocatalytic performance is due to the combination of OH-TiOF_2 and WO_3 to form a complex network structure, which provides more photocatalytic area. Meanwhile, the formation of a type II heterojunction enhances the photo-induced charge separation. Therefore, $\text{WO}_3/\text{F-TiO}_2\{001\}$ with high photocatalytic efficiency has potential application prospects in the removal of organic pollutants.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Shaanxi Land Engineering Construction Group Co. Ltd. (Grant No. DJNY2021-13) and Shandong Provincial Department of Science and Technology (Grant No. 2019JZZY010343).

References

- 1 N. Popa and M. Visa, *Adv. Powder Technol.*, 2017, **28**, 1866–1876.
- 2 S. Zhang, H. Li and Z. Yang, *J. Alloys Compd.*, 2017, **722**, 555–563.
- 3 W. Han, Y. Wang and Y. Zheng, *Adv. Mater. Res.*, 2008, **47**, 1438–1441.
- 4 Y. Fu, Z. Jin, Y. Ni, H. Du and T. Wang, *Thin Solid Films*, 2009, **517**, 5634–5640.
- 5 A. Sandoval, C. H. Ventura and T. E. Klimova, *Fuel*, 2017, **198**, 22–30.
- 6 G. Liao, J. Fang, Q. Li, S. Li, Z. Xu and B. Fang, *Nanoscale*, 2019, **11**, 7062–7096.
- 7 W. Zhong, S. Shen, S. Feng, Z. Lin, Z. Wang and B. Fang, *CrystEngComm*, 2018, **20**, 7851–7856.
- 8 M. Hao, H. Li, L. Cui, W. Liu, B. Fang, J. Liang, X. Xie, D. Wang and F. Wang, *Environ. Chem. Lett.*, 2021, 1–10.
- 9 L. Cui, M. Hao, F. Wang, B. Fang and X. Xie, *Int. J. Photoenergy*, 2020, 1–7.
- 10 F. Wang, M. Hao, W. Liu, P. Yan, B. Fang, S. Li, J. Liang, M. Zhu and L. Cui, *Nano Mater. Sci.*, 2020, DOI: 10.1016/j.nanoms.2020.10.007.
- 11 C. Lv, X. Lan, L. Wang, X. Dai, M. Zhang, J. Cui, S. Yuan, S. Yuan and J. Shi, *Environ. Technol.*, 2021, **42**, 377–387.
- 12 H. Liu, Y. Wang, J. Lv, G. Xu, X. Zhang and Y. Wu, *Nano*, 2019, **14**, 1950111.
- 13 C. Hou, H. Liu and Y. Li, *RSC Adv.*, 2021, **11**, 14957–14969.
- 14 C. Hou, H. Liu and F. Bakhtari Mohammad, *J. Solid State Chem.*, 2021, **300**, 122288.
- 15 Y. Wang, R. Lei, P. Wang, Z. Shi and L. Zhang, *Appl. Catal., B*, 2020, **262**, 118308.
- 16 C. Hou and W. Liu, *R. Soc. Open Sci.*, 2018, **5**, 172005.
- 17 X. Wei, H. Cai, Q. Feng, Z. Liu, D. Ma, K. Chen and Y. Huang, *Mater. Lett.*, 2018, **228**, 379–383.
- 18 M. Malakootian, N. Olama, M. Malakootian and A. Nasiri, *Int. J. Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 2019, **16**, 4275–4284.
- 19 W. Zhong, B. Xiao, Z. Lin, Z. Wang, L. Huang, S. Shen, Q. Zhang and L. Gu, *Adv. Mater.*, 2021, **33**, 2007894.
- 20 H. L. Shindume, Z. Zhao, N. Wang, H. Liu, A. Umar, J. Zhang, T. Wu and Z. Guo, *J. Nanosci. Nanotechnol.*, 2019, **19**, 839–849.
- 21 T. Li, Z. Wang, C. Liu, C. Tang, X. Wang, G. Ding, Y. Ding and L. Yang, *Nanomaterials*, 2018, **8**, 666.
- 22 J. Zhang, X. Li, M. Peng, Y. Tang, A. Ke, W. Gan, X. Fu and H. Hao, *Mater. Res. Express*, 2018, **5**, 065008.
- 23 H. Tong, S. Ouyang, Y. Bi, N. Umezawa, M. Oshikiri and J. Ye, *Adv. Mater.*, 2012, **24**, 229–251.
- 24 C. P. Sajjan, S. Wageh, A. A. Al-Ghamdi, J. Yu and S. Cao, *Nano Res.*, 2016, **9**, 3–27.
- 25 X. Han, Q. Kuang, M. Jin, Z. Xie and L. Zheng, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2009, **131**, 3152–3153.
- 26 M. Jung, Y. Kim and Y. Lee, *J. Ind. Eng. Chem.*, 2017, **47**, 187–193.
- 27 K. Lv, J. Yu, L. Cui, S. Chen and M. Li, *J. Alloys Compd.*, 2011, **509**, 4557–4562.
- 28 Y. Zhang, M. Shang, Y. Mi, T. Xia, P. Wallenmeyer, J. Murowchick, L. Dong, Q. Zhang and X. Chen, *ChemPlusChem*, 2014, **79**, 1159–1166.
- 29 X. Chen, Y. Zhang, Q. Zhang, T. Xia, Y. Chen and D. Zhu, *ChemNanoMat*, 2015, **1**, 270–275.
- 30 T. Shi, Y. Duan, K. Lv, Z. Hu, Q. Li, M. Li and X. Li, *Front. Chem.*, 2018, **6**, 175.
- 31 Y. Zhang, T. Xia, M. Shang, P. Wallenmeyer, D. Katelyn, A. Peterson, J. Murowchick, L. Dong and X. Chen, *RSC Adv.*, 2014, **4**, 16146–16152.
- 32 W. Zhong, Z. Wang, N. Gao, L. Huang, Z. Lin, Y. Liu, F. Meng, J. Deng, S. Jin, Q. Zhang and L. Gu, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2020, **59**, 22743–22748.
- 33 S. Shen, Z. Lin, K. Song, Z. Wang, L. Huang, L. Yan, F. Meng, Q. Zhang, L. Gu and W. Zhong, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2021, **60**, 12360–12365.



- 34 Z. Lin, S. Shen, Z. Wang and W. Zhong, *iScience*, 2021, **24**, 102469.
- 35 Z. Lin, B. Xiao, Z. Wang, S. Shen, L. Huang, J. Zhang, F. Meng, Q. Zhang, L. Gu and W. Zhong, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2021, 2102321.
- 36 M. Miyauchi, A. Nakajima, T. Watanabe and K. Hashimoto, *Chem. Mater.*, 2002, **14**, 4714–4720.
- 37 M. B. Tahir, G. Nabi, N. R. Khalid and W. S. Khan, *J. Inorg. Organomet. Polym.*, 2017, **28**, 777–782.
- 38 H. Yang, K. Zhang, R. Shi and A. Tang, *J. Am. Ceram. Soc.*, 2007, **90**, 1370–1374.
- 39 M. A. Rauf, S. B. Bukallah, A. Hamadi, A. Sulaiman and F. Hammadi, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2007, **129**, 167–172.
- 40 S. Stojadinović, N. Radić, R. Vasilic, M. Petković, P. Stefanov, Lj. Zeković and B. Grbić, *Appl. Catal., B*, 2012, **126**, 334–341.
- 41 Y. Yang, R. Xie, H. Li, C. Liu, W. Liu and F. Zhan, *Trans. Nonferrous Met. Soc. China*, 2016, **26**, 2390–2396.
- 42 X. Wang, M. Sun, M. Murugananthan and Y. Zhang, *Appl. Catal., B*, 2020, **260**, 118205.
- 43 A. S. Martins, L. Nuñez and M. Lanza, *J. Electroanal. Chem.*, 2017, **802**, 33–39.
- 44 M. M. Momeni, *Appl. Phys. A: Mater. Sci. Process.*, 2015, **119**, 1413–1422.
- 45 D. Huang, S. Wan, L. Wang and Q. Xue, *Surf. Interface Anal.*, 2011, **43**, 1064–1068.
- 46 C. Hou, W. Liu and J. Zhu, *Catalysts*, 2017, **7**, 243.
- 47 W. Zhao, N. Liu, H. Wang and L. Mao, *Ceram. Int.*, 2017, **43**, 4807–4813.
- 48 M. Zou, H. Liu, L. Feng, F. Xiong, T. Thoma and M. Yang, *Microporous Mesoporous Mater.*, 2017, **240**, 137–144.
- 49 D. C. T. Nguyen, K. Y. Cho and W. C. Oh, *RSC Adv.*, 2017, **7**, 29284–29294.
- 50 B. Fang, A. Bonakdarpour, K. Reilly, Y. Xing, F. Taghipour and D. Wilkinson, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2014, **6**, 15488–15498.
- 51 B. Fang, Y. Xing, A. Bonakdarpour, S. Zhang and D. P. Wilkinson, *ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng.*, 2015, **3**, 2381–2388.
- 52 B. Fang, J. H. Kim, M. S. Kim and J. S. Yu, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2013, **46**, 1397–1406.
- 53 Y. Xing, Y. Wang, C. Zhou, S. Zhang and B. Fang, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2014, **6**, 2561–2567.
- 54 B. Fang, S. Qiang, F. Jung, H. Kim and M. S. Kim, *Langmuir*, 2010, **26**, 11238–11243.
- 55 B. Fang, L. Daniel and A. Bonakdarpour, *Small*, 2021, 2102288.
- 56 L. Rekeb, L. Hamadou, A. Kadri, N. Benbrahim and E. Chainet, *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy*, 2019, **44**, 10541–10553.
- 57 Z. Wang, Z. Lin, J. Deng, S. Shen, F. Meng, J. Zhang, Q. Zhang, W. Zhong and L. Gu, *Adv. Energy Mater.*, 2021, **11**, 2003023.
- 58 J. Zheng, L. Yanhong, R. Wang, X. Chao, H. Zhou, J. Ping and S. Wang, *Nat. Commun.*, 2018, **9**, 3572.
- 59 P. Jia and I. Wan, *Chem. Mater.*, 2006, **18**, 847–853.
- 60 H. K. Yong, Y. L. Su, H. N. Umh, H. D. Song and J. Yi, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2020, **6**, 28–33.
- 61 B. Zhang, K. Jiang, H. Zhang and S. Hu, *Nano Lett.*, 2019, **19**, 530–537.
- 62 A. Fujishima, T. Rao and D. Tryk, *Photochem. Photobiol. Sci.*, 2000, **1**, 1–21.
- 63 X. Bian and J. Yang, *Sci. Bull.*, 2001, **46**, 265–273.
- 64 M. Hu, Y. Cao, Z. Li, S. Yang and Z. Xing, *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 2017, **426**, 734–744.
- 65 Z. Gao, J. Liu, X. Fang, D. Wu, Z. Wu and J. Kai, *Solid State Sci.*, 2012, **14**, 276–280.
- 66 P. Wang, C. Qi, L. Hao, P. Wen and X. Xu, *J. Mater. Sci. Technol.*, 2019, **35**, 285–291.
- 67 X. Zhao, G. Wei, J. Liu, Z. Wang, C. An and J. Zhang, *Mater. Res. Bull.*, 2016, **80**, 337–343.
- 68 Y. Bai, Z. Li, B. Cheng, M. Zhang and K. Su, *RSC Adv.*, 2017, **7**, 21758–21767.
- 69 T. Takirawa, T. Watanabe and K. Honda, *J. Phys. Chem.*, 1978, **82**, 1391–1396.
- 70 D. Wang, F. Jia, H. Wang, F. Chen, Y. Fang, W. Dong, G. Zeng, X. Li, Q. Yang and Z. Yuan, *J. Colloid Interface Sci.*, 2018, **519**, 273–284.
- 71 C. Wang, X. Zhang, H. Qiu, G. Huang and H. Yu, *Appl. Catal., B*, 2017, **205**, 615–623.
- 72 C. Hou, J. Xie, H. Yang, S. Chen and H. Liu, *RSC Adv.*, 2019, **9**, 37911–37918.
- 73 A. Xu, W. Tu, S. Shen, Z. Lin, N. Gao and W. Zhong, *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 2020, **528**, 146949.

