


 Cite this: *RSC Adv.*, 2021, 11, 19551

Received 11th May 2021

Accepted 17th May 2021

DOI: 10.1039/d1ra03685h

rsc.li/rsc-advances

# Turn-folding in fluorescent anthracene-substituted cyclopenta[*d*]isoxazoline short peptides†‡

 Marco Leusciatti,<sup>a</sup> Barbara Mannucci,<sup>b</sup> Teresa Recca<sup>b</sup> and Paolo Quadrelli<sup>\*,a</sup>

Cyclopenta[*d*]isoxazoline aminols were used for the synthesis of  $\beta$ -turn mimics. The peptide chain choice ascertained the influence of their structural features on the applicability/reliability/robustness of these scaffolds as  $\beta$ -turn inducers and their limitations. The amino acid selection as well as steric demands can favor or disfavor the structure folding and the correct design of the peptide chains deeply influences the potential use of these nitrosocarbonyl-based compounds as turn-inducers.

## Introduction


Reverse turns are a major class of protein secondary structures and represent chain reversal sites; thus they are places where the globular character of a protein is created.<sup>1</sup> Turns are local features, pivotal motifs of peptide strands and helices<sup>2</sup> and it is therefore not surprising that their structural properties are extensively studied using different models. Turns occur within protein binding sites, at protein–protein interfaces and in bioactive peptides, playing a central role in recognition.<sup>3</sup> Hence, the efforts in this area<sup>4</sup> are directed to the designing and developing novel structures for specific applications<sup>5</sup> and using classical and uncommon scaffolds for promising new synthetic targets.<sup>6</sup>

$\beta$ -Turn motifs, commonly observed in small peptides and proteins,<sup>7</sup> take advantage of conformationally constrained molecules. The key point is the correspondence among conformations in solution and those determined *in silico*.<sup>8</sup> For these reasons, three-dimensional interactions, spatial orientation determination derived from a conformational analysis, based on spectroscopic techniques and molecular modeling, are essential for the design of analogues in the drug discovery process.<sup>7</sup>  $\beta$ -Turns criteria rely upon intramolecular hydrogen bonds between the carbonyl oxygen of the first residue (*i*) and the amide NH proton of the fourth residue (*i* + 3), within a pseudo-ten-membered ring.<sup>2,9</sup> Critical distances between the C $\alpha$  carbon atoms ( $d_{\text{crit}} < 7 \text{ \AA}$ ) must be respected as well as the one between the carbonyl oxygen of the first amino acid and the amide hydrogen of the fourth one ( $< 4 \text{ \AA}$ ) (Fig. 1). Turns are capable of initiating productive structure formation in proteins without a large loss in chain entropy since the interactions involved in turn formation are largely local.<sup>10</sup> The turn becomes a nucleation point that

facilitates cooperative formation of neighboring interactions. Turns can also act passively in folding, serving only as flexible structural connectors. In this case, other folding events can promote structure formation, and turns form only as a consequence of structure consolidation from other regions of the protein. Fig. 1 shows the  $\beta$ -turn formation initiated at the turn corner residues (red box). Turn formation can promote cooperative favorable interactions in the other regions of peptide sequence (green or pink circles).<sup>11</sup>

Recently, we presented and developed our original approach towards the design of non-peptidic turn-inducers<sup>12</sup> based on easily synthesized cyclopenta[*d*]isoxazoline aminols through the nitrosocarbonyl intermediates (RCONO, 3) chemistry (Scheme 1).<sup>13</sup> The mild oxidation of nitrile oxides 1 with tertiary amine *N*-oxides is a valuable alternative to the periodate oxidation of hydroxamic acids 2 for the generation of the fleeting intermediates 3; the efficient trapping with cyclopentadiene (or other dienes), affords the hetero Diels–Alder (HDA) cycloadducts 4 that are synthetically elaborated to furnish the conformationally restricted carbocyclic aminols 6 through amide hydrolysis and reductive N–O bond cleavage of the cycloadducts 5.<sup>14,15</sup> Cycloadducts 5 were prepared by using nitrile oxides of type 1 and in particular benzonitrile oxide (R = Ph), isolated as regioisomers in comparable amounts.

On pursuing our research work on the synthesis of novel turn motifs, we developed this topic through further investigations



**Fig. 1**  $\beta$ -Turn criteria (left inset).  $\beta$ -Turn formation at the turn corner (red box, connection in blue). Cooperative favorable interactions in other regions of peptide sequence (green or pink circles) (right).


<sup>a</sup>Department of Chemistry, University of Pavia, Viale Taramelli 12, 27100 – Pavia, Italy. E-mail: paolo.quadrelli@unipv.it

<sup>b</sup>Centro Grandi Strumenti (CGS), University of Pavia, Via Bassi 21, 27100 – Pavia, Italy

† Dedicated to the memory of Professor Rolf Huisgen, “Maestro” of pericyclic reactions.

‡ Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: 10.1039/d1ra03685h





Scheme 1 Synthetic pathway of cyclopenta[d]isoxazoline aminols through nitrosocarbonyl intermediates chemistry.

in order to verify the influence of the two side chains on the type of turn, gaining a better understanding of applicability/reliability/robustness of aminol scaffolds of type **6** as  $\beta$ -turn inducers. We wish to present here the peptide chain effect on the turn formation through the characterizations of the diastereoisomeric turn structures through NMR and circular dichroism (CD) techniques. Furthermore, we took advantage of the stability and fluorescence properties of the anthracenenitrile oxide ( $R = \text{anthryl}$  in Scheme 1) to investigate the spectroscopic features of the synthesized turns, aiming to finalize them as fluorescent tags, for imaging studies at the protein turn points.


## Results and discussion

The regioisomeric aminols **6a,b** were prepared according to the previously reported methodology,<sup>13–17</sup> using anthracenenitrile oxide in the 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition step. The 1,3-dipole was prepared from the corresponding commercially available oxime upon oxidation with a NCS/Py/DCM protocol.<sup>18</sup> The hydroxy group protection was performed under standard procedure affording the amines **7a,b** (85%) that were fully characterized (Scheme 2).<sup>12,16</sup>

Compounds **7a,b** were coupled with the commercially available Fmoc-Gly-Tyr(*t*Bu)-OH and the diastereoisomeric adducts **8a** and **9a** were isolated in good yields as well as compounds **8b** and **9b**. The hydroxy functionalities deprotection was secured by standard *n*-Bu<sub>4</sub>NF treatment; during this step the Fmoc protection is also removed without detrimental effects in the subsequent synthetic step. The corresponding alcohols were not isolated but immediately coupled with Boc-Ala-Gly-OH affording the diastereoisomeric double strand peptides **10a/11a** and **10b/11b**. All the compounds reported were separated from unreacted starting materials or decomposition products and fully characterized (Scheme 2).

Structurally similar to previous synthesized  $\beta$ -turn mimics,<sup>12,16</sup> compounds **10a,b** and **11a,b** remarkably differs from two relevant aspects: the presence of the fluorescent anthracene moiety on the isoxazoline ring moiety and the two peptide side arms bearing aminoacid residues with different steric demands, namely a tyrosine and alanine residues coupled with simple glycine.

The UV-vis and fluorescent spectra revealed an interesting behavior for all the analyzed compounds and referred to the presence of the anthracene moiety. Fig. 2 (top) shows UV-vis



Scheme 2 Synthesis of compounds **10a,b** and **11a,b**: (a) TBDMSCl, imidazole, DCM, r.t., 18 h. (b) Fmoc-Gly-Tyr(*t*Bu)-OH, HBTU, DIEA, DCM, r.t., 48 h. (c) *n*-Bu<sub>4</sub>NF, THF, r.t., 3 h. (d) Boc-Ala-Gly-OH, DIC, DMAP, DCM, r.t., 48 h. Yields (%) and  $[\alpha]_D$  (MeOH) (see also Experimental section).

spectra recorded in methanol with the typical absorbance of the phenyl ring of the tyrosine moiety at 253 nm and the aromatic vibrational transition pattern between 300–400 nm. The fluorescence at 355 nm is shown in Fig. 2 (middle) and reports similar maxima around 418 and 438 nm for all the compounds but with different intensities. The fluorescence intensities in fact are remarkably increased when the irradiation was done at the tyrosine phenyl ring band at 253 nm indicating a charge transfer from the phenyl ring to the anthracene moiety.

The conformational analysis of both the series of compounds<sup>19</sup> relies upon CD analyses coupled with NMR experiments.<sup>20,21</sup>

Collection of the CD spectra was obtained from MeOH 10<sup>-5</sup> M solutions of diastereoisomers **10a/11a** and **10b/11b**






Fig. 2 UV-vis (top) and fluorescent spectra (bottom) in MeOH as solvent upon irradiation at 355 nm (middle) and at 253 nm (bottom) of diastereoisomers **10a,b** and **11a,b**.

within a wavelength range of 200–300 nm (Fig. 3). Compound **10a** shows a CD profile with a positive absorption band at 202 nm and two negative bands centered at 220 and 254 nm, indicating a left  $\beta$ -




Fig. 3 Experimental CD spectra (MeOH as solvent) of diastereoisomers **10a,b** and **11a,b**. In the inset: calculated CD of the model molecules reported in the cited ref. 12 for comparison with experimental CD spectra of molecules in the present work.

turn in accordance with the previously data reported in literature.<sup>22</sup> The CD spectrum of **11a** shows a comparable and specular trend with a negative absorption band at 202 nm and positive bands centered at 230 and 248 nm, consistent for a right  $\beta$ -turn. A further negative band is also found at 276 nm.

In a similar way, compound **10b** CD profile has a negative absorption band at 214 nm followed by a second positive band at 244 nm. Negative and positive absorption bands also follow at 258 and 272 nm. This profile can be referred to a right  $\beta$ -turn.<sup>19</sup> Finally, the CD spectrum of **11b**, although more “corrugated” compared with the others, shows two positive absorptions at 212 and 222 nm; the absorption turns into negative with a maximum at 232 nm to become strongly positive at 256 nm. Noteworthy, these values are remarkably close to those observed for the model malonic derivatives (see calculated CD of model molecules<sup>12</sup> in the inset of Fig. 3) and in general with previous observations.<sup>16</sup> Previously reported simulations of the CD absorption profiles of the model malonic derivatives<sup>12</sup> done by TD-DFT calculations<sup>23</sup> at the B3LYP/6-31g(d,p) level in methanolic solution nicely fitted with the experimental profiles indicating that the configuration inversions at the isoxazoline-norbornane moieties correspond to an inversion of the chiroptical properties of the products at hand.

This fitting allowed assigning the products conformations in spite of the interference effect in the CD spectra of the anthracene moiety due to its large UV absorption and fluorescence. The assigned conformations are the same for all the new synthesized diastereoisomers because of a good overlapping of CD spectra.<sup>12</sup> However, here the CD profiles indicate that when the aminoacid residues are somewhat sterically demanding and the strands are structurally elaborated the turn mimic shows difficulties in maintaining the correct shape and perfect folding.

H-bonding in diastereoisomers **10a/11a** and **10b/11b** in CDCl<sub>3</sub> and DMSO-d<sub>6</sub> was evaluated through temperature coefficients ( $t_c$ ) of the amide, NH<sub>2</sub> and NHBoc protons of the



aminoacidic residues at 298.15 and 318.15 K for CDCl<sub>3</sub> and up to 348.15 K for DMSO-d<sub>6</sub> as well as DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>-titration experiments.<sup>22</sup> Typically, low  $t_c$  values in CDCl<sub>3</sub>  $\leq 2.4$  ppb K<sup>-1</sup> are related not only to shielded protons but also to accessible ones and only values significantly larger than 2.4 ppb K<sup>-1</sup> in CDCl<sub>3</sub> can be unambiguously assigned to NH protons initially shielded, which become exposed to the solvent upon increasing temperature.<sup>3,24</sup> Conversely, low  $t_c$  in DMSO-d<sub>6</sub> (<5 ppb K<sup>-1</sup>) are related to inaccessible protons to the solvent.

Solutions (10<sup>-3</sup> M) of the compounds **10a/11a** and **10b/11b** in the deuterated solvent of choice were used to record the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra. Table 1 reports the  $t_c$  values expressed in  $\Delta$ ppb/ $\Delta$ K highlighted in different colors for CDCl<sub>3</sub> and DMSO-d<sub>6</sub> for the related diastereoisomers. The results indicate that in CDCl<sub>3</sub> diastereoisomers **10a/11a** and **10b/11b** display somewhat a uniform borderline situation; the values of the NH protons indicated as **1**, and corresponding to the aminolic NH protons, are in the range 0.0–4.0  $\Delta$ ppb/ $\Delta$ K and similarly happens for the NH protons **2-Tyr** found in the range 0.5–3.5  $\Delta$ ppb/ $\Delta$ K. Marked higher values are found for protons **3-Gly**, **NH<sub>2</sub>** and **NHBoc** (4.0–11.0  $\Delta$ ppb/ $\Delta$ K) denoting that these protons are totally accessible, as it derives from their structural location.

On the other hand, the  $t_c$  values in DMSO-d<sub>6</sub> indicate that the NH protons **1** have values ranging at 0.8–3.2  $\Delta$ ppb/ $\Delta$ K for the four substrates and are clearly inaccessible to the solvent. Same behavior is shown in the NH protons **2-Tyr** whose  $t_c$  values range from 4.0 to 5.6  $\Delta$ ppb/ $\Delta$ K, a borderline situation. Higher threshold values (up to 8.2  $\Delta$ ppb/ $\Delta$ K) are found for the protons

**3-Gly**, **NH<sub>2</sub>** and **NHBoc** indicating the accessibility of those protons to the solvent. The main novelty here is the low accessibility of the **2-Tyr** protons along with the expected behavior of the aminolic NH protons **1**.


Furthermore, we performed DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>-titration experiments with gradual DMSO-d<sub>6</sub> addition to CDCl<sub>3</sub> solutions ( $\sim 3 \times 10^{-2}$  M) of the four diastereoisomers **10a/11a** and **10b/11b** (Fig. 4). A first set of results concerns the NH protons indicated as **1**, **2-Tyr** and **3-Gly** whose structural correspondence can be seen in the scheme of Table 1. The protons of type **1** maintain their chemical shifts almost unchanged or slightly waving around a narrow range of chemical shifts over the addition of increasing amounts of DMSO-d<sub>6</sub> to the CDCl<sub>3</sub> solutions for compounds **10a,b** and **11a**. Larger variations of chemical shifts are found in compound **11b**. Variation  $\Delta$ NH within 0.50 ppm indicate a very low accessibility of the amide proton **1**, engaged in H-bonding with the ester oxygen atom and that is the case for all the titrated compounds.

A similar trend is nicely observed for **2-Tyr** protons but not for the **3-Gly** protons that are somewhat involved in H-bonding with the added DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>. This can be observed for compounds **10a,b** and **11a,b**, where a contained chemical shift variation for the **2-Tyr** proton is the opposite trend with respect to the **3-Gly** protons.

The **NH<sub>2</sub>** and **NHBoc** protons, located at the end of the peptide chains, are free to be involved in H-bonding with DMSO-d<sub>6</sub> and the titration data confirm these behaviors, correctly showing large chemical shift variations.

To further confirm the secondary structures of the four diastereoisomers **10a**, **10b**, **11a** and **11b**, 2D proton NMR experiments were performed. Complete sequence-specific assignments of resonances of the five residues in each compound was achieved using a combination of TOCSY experiments to identify the spin systems and ROESY experiments to identify the near-neighbour connectivities. In fact, because of their short rotational correlation times, these products gave extremely weak cross peaks in a NOESY spectrum.<sup>25,26</sup> Hence, to investigate the connectivities characteristic of the residues involved in each turn structure, ROESY spectra were collected.

Table 1 Temperature coefficients ( $t_c$ ) of amides NH, NH<sub>2</sub> and NHBoc protons  $\Delta$ ppb/ $\Delta$ K in CDCl<sub>3</sub> (298.15–318.15 K) and  $\Delta$ ppb/ $\Delta$ K in DMSO-d<sub>6</sub> (298.15–348.15 K) for diastereoisomers **10a/11a** and **10b/11b**



| Compounds  | <b>1</b>         | <b>2-Tyr</b>     | <b>3-Gly</b>      | <b>NH<sub>2</sub></b> | <b>NHBoc</b>     |
|------------|------------------|------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|------------------|
| <b>10a</b> | 3.0 <sup>a</sup> | 1.5 <sup>a</sup> | 7.5 <sup>a</sup>  | 8.0 <sup>a</sup>      | 4.0 <sup>a</sup> |
|            | 3.0 <sup>b</sup> | 5.4 <sup>b</sup> | 5.0 <sup>b</sup>  | 4.2 <sup>b</sup>      | 8.2 <sup>b</sup> |
| <b>10b</b> | 0.0 <sup>a</sup> | 0.5 <sup>a</sup> | 9.0 <sup>a</sup>  | 7.5 <sup>a</sup>      | 9.5 <sup>a</sup> |
|            | 1.8 <sup>b</sup> | 5.6 <sup>b</sup> | 4.6 <sup>b</sup>  | 3.8 <sup>b</sup>      | 7.8 <sup>b</sup> |
| <b>11a</b> | 4.0 <sup>a</sup> | 0.5 <sup>a</sup> | 11.0 <sup>a</sup> | 7.0 <sup>a</sup>      | 7.5 <sup>a</sup> |
|            | 0.8 <sup>b</sup> | 4.0 <sup>b</sup> | 4.6 <sup>b</sup>  | 3.6 <sup>b</sup>      | 8.0 <sup>b</sup> |
| <b>11b</b> | 0.5 <sup>a</sup> | 3.5 <sup>a</sup> | 7.5 <sup>a</sup>  | 7.0 <sup>a</sup>      | 6.5 <sup>a</sup> |
|            | 3.2 <sup>b</sup> | 5.6 <sup>b</sup> | 4.2 <sup>b</sup>  | 3.6 <sup>b</sup>      | 8.0 <sup>b</sup> |

<sup>a</sup> In CDCl<sub>3</sub>. <sup>b</sup> In DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>.




Fig. 4 DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>-titration of compounds **10a/11a** and **10b/11b**.



Fig. 5 reports the region of the ROESY spectrum relative to compound **11b**, best representative of the general behavior of all the synthesized turns. As can be seen, in the  $H_{\alpha}H_N$  and in the  $H_NH_N$  region of the ROESY spectrum of the compound **11b**, a sequential ROE connection  $H_N-H_N(i, i + 1)$  as well as a  $H_{\alpha}H_N(i, i + 2)$  ROE cross peaks were observed, involving the tyrosine residue.

Similar cross peaks were observed for the other three compounds, with the different intensities of the cross peaks; diastereoisomers belonging to the **b** series showed more intense peaks than those of the **a** series. The complete spectra can be seen in the ESI.†

The presence of these dipolar couplings, together with the results from CD analysis, the values of temperature coefficients and the NMR titration data, suggest that the entire cyclopenta[*d*]isoxazoline peptide functionalized-derivatives adopt a turn-like conformation in a significant fraction of the time in the NMR scale.

The reported results further enforce the indication that the oxazanorbornenes chemistry gives the possibility to synthesize stereo-ordinated constrained aminols holding the geometrical




Fig. 5  $H_{\alpha}H_N$  region (top) and  $H_NH_N$  region (bottom) of the ROESY spectrum of the **11b** sample: red circles highlight the tyrosine cross peaks characteristic of the turn-like structure.




Fig. 6 Envelope conformation of the isoxazoline aminol structure and development of the turn conformation.

features to be used as turn inducers, transferring these constraints to the entire molecules they belong to. The driving force that makes these products induce  $\beta$ -turns very efficiently is strictly related to the presence of a fused isoxazolinic ring to the cyclopentane moiety (Fig. 6). This latter usually adopts an envelope conformation with the flap directed toward the isoxazoline ring, thus showing a boat like appearance to the bicyclic system.<sup>12,16</sup>

In the present work the insertion of the peptide chains and the introduction of aminoacids with different steric demand shine further light on the use of isoxazoline-cyclopentane aminols of type **6a,b** as key structures for the synthesis of turn inducers. In the previous papers,<sup>12,16</sup> we outlined the methodology to synthesize and to study the turn conformations of this type of systems and in the present work our results are an evolution of the set-up protocol.

From the synthetic point of view the methodology is again found to be robust and reliable. The attachment of the Fmoc-Gly-Tyr(*t*Bu)-OH fragment to the protected amines **7a,b** gave the expected products in good yields. The subsequent deprotection step liberates the OH group that is coupled with the Boc-Ala-Gly-OH to afford the expected diastereomeric products **10a,b** and **11a,b** in very good yields.

Another valuable feature of these new compounds relies upon the change of the nitrile oxide portion in the 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition reaction conducted to prepare the aminol **6a,b**.




Fig. 7 Folded compounds structure with fluorescent tag.



We used the anthracenenitrile oxide, known to be a stable as well as fluorescent 1,3-dipole (Fig. 7).<sup>25</sup> The fluorescence properties of the 1,3-dipole were easily transferred to the isoxazoline derivatives as the UV-vis studies coupled with the fluorescence spectra clearly demonstrate. The interesting and potentially valuable fluorescence activation studies are that irradiation at the tyrosine phenyl group enhance the fluorescence of the compounds. In this way, turn mimics assume the characteristic of fluorescent tags (Fig. 7).

The CD spectra somewhat differ from previous observation in reported works due to the presence/interference of the anthracene moieties.<sup>27</sup> The Cotton effects are much weaker for compounds **10a,b** and **11a,b** with respect to the products previously described<sup>12,16</sup> bearing simpler aminoacidic residues.<sup>28</sup> Therefore, it seems that compounds **10a,b** and **11a** have some population of folded conformers because their Cotton effects in the range 248–258 nm are significantly large. However, the population of unfolded conformers is the majority and in one case, **11b**, the CD profile seems to indicate a more critical folding behaviour. For this reasons NMR ROESY experiments positively corroborated the compounds turn arrangements.

The behavior and trend depicted by the CD is confirmed by the NMR titration experiments where the expected behavior of the NH **1** and **2-Tyr** protons indicated the existence of strong H-bonding for compounds **10a,b** and **11a,b**.

From the structurally point of view the existence of a second important H-bonding at the tyrosine level of the peptide chain, together with the ROE cross peak  $H_{N(2-Tyr)}-H_{N(1-anth)}$ , configure a structure of the folded compounds as shown in Fig. 8. All the other protons in this model are free or eventually involved in intramolecular H-bonding or intermolecularly linked when in solution.

In summary, the introduction of aminoacids in the side chains of cyclopenta[*d*]isoxazoline aminol structures produce some changes in the abilities of the isoxazoline ring to maintain the turn conformation in the synthesized compounds, mainly because of steric effects. Nevertheless, additional H-bonding interactions and the results collected by NMR and CD experiments somewhat enforce the use of this aminolic model to produce folding processes in this type of peptide motifs.




Fig. 8 Folded compounds structure.

Elongation of the peptide chains with a larger number of aminoacids (>4 units) is in our synthetic plans, extending the length of their beta strand mimics and presenting more beta strand like hydrogen bonds that can be observed in regions far from that of the engineered  $\beta$ -turn. In this way we will offer a clearer picture of the turn inducing ability of isoxazoline-aminol structures.

## Conclusions

In conclusion, the synthesized diastereoisomeric compounds represent the reliable “proof” for the use of aminols, simply and easily prepared, for the synthesis of non-peptidic turn-inducers. We confirmed the crucial role of the cyclopenta[*d*]isoxazoline aminol structures in the formation of the  $\beta$ -turn arrangement. The performed experiments ascertained the influence of the two side chains features of on the applicability/reliability/robustness of these scaffolds as  $\beta$ -turn inducers and the limitations in terms of amino acid choice determined by the strong conformational preference of the bi-dimensional heterocyclic aminols. The choice of aminoacid can favor or disfavor the structure folding and the correct design of the peptide chains deeply influence the potential use of these nitrosocarbonyl-based compounds as turn-inducers. A decisive step forward in the evolution of this study on the ability of isoxazoline aminol structures to induce turns will be given by replacing the cyclopentane ring based compounds with the larger cyclohexane derivatives obtained from the same nitrosocarbonyl chemistry, thoroughly investigated by our group both from the theoretical and synthetic grounds.<sup>29,30</sup> In this latter work, we prepared the same type of aminols that are ready to be proposed as turn-inducers. Indeed, the results here presented call for the introduction of variations on the region of engineered beta turn and these compounds, ready available from our consolidated chemistry, will be promptly used as potential turn inducers. Finally, the spectroscopic features of the synthesized turns will be finalized to design proper fluorescent tags, for imaging studies at the protein turn points and activity-based protein profiling (ABPP) investigations.<sup>31,32</sup>

## Experimental section

Melting points (mp) are uncorrected and were determined by the capillary method. HRMS were done on a X500D QTOF system (ABSciex) available at the CGS of the University of Pavia. <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra were recorded at 298 K on a AVIII 400 MHz Bruker NMR spectrometer (Bruker Corporation, Billerica, MA, USA) equipped with a z-gradient coil probe, available at the CGS of the University of Pavia. All 1D and 2D NMR spectra were acquired using the standard pulse sequences available with Bruker Topspin 3.6. Solvents are specified in the text. Chemical shifts ( $\delta$ ) are expressed in ppm and referred to the solvent signal ( $\delta_H$  2.50 ppm for DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub> and 7.28 ppm for CDCl<sub>3</sub>), and coupling constants (*J*) are in hertz (Hz): b, broad; s, singlet; bs, broad singlet; d, doublet; t, triplet; m, multiplet. ROESY spin-lock was set to 200 ms. IR spectra (nujol mulls) were recorded on a Perkin-Elmer RX-1 spectrophotometer and absorptions ( $\nu$ )



are in  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ . The UV-vis spectra and Fluorescence spectra were recorded on a Perkin-Elmer LS-55 spectrophotometer. CD spectra were recorded on a Jasco J1500 spectropolarimeter at the Centro Grandi Strumenti (CGS) of the University of Pavia equipped with Spectra Analysis program. Column chromatography, TLC and MPLC: silica gel H60 and GF<sub>254</sub>, respectively; eluants: from cyclohexane/ethyl acetate 9 : 1 to pure ethyl acetate or chloroform/methanol when needed.

### Starting and reference materials

Aminols **6a,b** were prepared according to the established procedures.<sup>14,15</sup> TBDMSiCl, Imidazole, Fmoc-Gly-Tyr(*t*Bu)-OH, HBTU, DIEA, *n*-Bu<sub>4</sub>NF, Boc-Ala-Gly-OH, DIC, DMAP were purchased from chemical suppliers. Solvents and other reagents were also purchased and used as they were, without any further purification. The protected amines **7a,b** were prepared according to the well-established procedure reported in literature and were found identical to authentic samples available in our laboratories.<sup>12,16</sup>

### Synthesis of compounds **7a,b**

In a 100 mL flask, 956 mg (3 mmol) of aminols **6a,b** were dissolved in 50 mL of anhydrous DCM along with 450 mg (6.61 mmol) of imidazole. TBDMSiCl (498 mg, 3.30 mmol) was then added under stirring at room temperature and the solution was left to react for 48 h under open air. After this period of time, the solution was diluted with DCM and the washed with brine (3 × 20 mL). The organic phase was dried over anhydrous Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and filtered. Upon evaporation of the solvent, the pale yellow oils relative to the protected compounds **7a,b** were obtained and fully characterized.

**Compound 7a.** 1.1 g (85%), mp 120–122 °C from *n*-hexane. IR:  $\nu = 3375, 3312$  (NH), 1260 (C–O), 1626 (C=N)  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ . <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>, 25 °C):  $\delta = 0.16$  (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 0.21 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 0.92 (s, 9H, *t*Bu), 1.67 and 2.16 (m, 1H + 1H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.08 (m, 1H, CH–N), 4.21 (d, 1H, *J* = 8 Hz, H<sub>4<sub>isox.</sub></sub>), 4.54 (bs, 1H, CH–O), 5.32 (d, 1H, *J* = 8 Hz, H<sub>5<sub>isox.</sub></sub>), 7.62 (m, 5H, anthr. and NH), 7.99 (d, 2H, anthr.), 8.19 (d, 3H, anthr. and NH), 8.78 (s, 1H, anthr.). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>, 25 °C):  $\delta = -4.5, -4.4, 18.2, 26.2, 41.8, 56.9, 68.3, 79.5, 92.4, 123.5, 125.3, 126.2, 127.6, 129.3, 130.3, 131.3, 156.7$ . C<sub>26</sub>H<sub>32</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>Si (432.64): HRMS: calcd (2 MW + H) 865.454; found 865.4515.

**Compound 7b.** 1.1 g (85%), mp 58–60 °C from *n*-hexane. IR:  $\nu = 3380, 3308$  (NH), 1259 (C–O), 1625 (C=N)  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ . <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>, 25 °C):  $\delta = -1.18$  (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>),  $-0.92$  (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 0.11 (s, 9H, *t*Bu), 1.39 and 1.78 (m, 1H + 1H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.31 (s, 1H, CH–N), 3.76 (s, 1H, CH–O), 4.05 (d, 1H, *J* = 8 Hz, H<sub>4<sub>isox.</sub></sub>), 5.05 (d, 1H, *J* = 8 Hz, H<sub>5<sub>isox.</sub></sub>), 7.41 (m, 5H, anthr. and NH), 7.80 (d, 2H, anthr.), 7.97 (d, 3H, anthr. and NH), 8.67 (s, 1H, anthr.). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>, 25 °C):  $\delta = -6.0, -5.5, 17.5, 25.3, 31.1, 41.5, 59.7, 67.4, 75.9, 93.6, 123.1, 126.1, 127.6, 129.2, 129.4, 131.3, 155.3$ . C<sub>26</sub>H<sub>32</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>Si (432.64): HRMS: calcd (2 MW + H) 865.454; found 865.4515.

### Coupling of amines **7a,b** with Fmoc-Gly-Tyr(*t*Bu)-OH

In a 100 mL flask, 222 mg (0.51 mmol) of amines **7a,b** were dissolved in 50 mL of anhydrous DCM along with 214 mg (0.56

mmol) HBTU and 360  $\mu\text{L}$  of DIPEA. Fmoc-Gly-Tyr(*t*Bu)-OH (273 mg, 0.51 mmol) were then added under stirring at room temperature and the solution was left to react for 48 h under inert atmosphere. After this period of time, the organic phase is washed with brine and dried over anhydrous Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and filtered. Upon evaporation of the solvent, oily residues were collected and the products **8a,b** and **9a,b** were isolated from column chromatography and fully characterized.

**Compound 8a.** 155 mg (45%), mp 98–102 °C from ethyl acetate. IR:  $\nu = 3411, 3285$  (NH), 1776, 1715 (C=O), 1668 (C=N)  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ . <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>, 25 °C):  $\delta = -0.03$  (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>),  $-0.01$  (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 0.73 (s, 9H, *t*Bu), 1.08 (s, 9H, *t*BuO), 1.51 and 1.89 (m, 1H + 1H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.74 and 2.02 (m, 1H + 1H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.29 (m, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.84 (bs, 1H, CH–N), 3.75 (m, 1H, CO–CH–N), 4.03 (m, 1H + 2H, CH<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>4<sub>isox.</sub></sub>), 4.35 (bs, 1H, CH–O), 4.99 (d, 1H, *J* = 5 Hz, H<sub>5<sub>isox.</sub></sub>), 6.41 (m, 4H, arom. Tyr), 7.11 (m, 2H, Fmoc), 7.21 (m, 2H, Fmoc), 7.40 (m, 4H, anthr.), 7.46 (m, 5H, Fmoc, NH<sub>Fmoc</sub>, NH<sub>Tyr</sub> and NH), 7.68 (d, 2H, Fmoc), 7.77 (d, 2H, anthr.), 7.97 (d, 2H, anthr.), 8.56 (s, 1H, anthr.). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>, 25 °C):  $\delta = -4.6, -4.4, 18.3, 26.3, 29.0, 37.0, 43.5, 47.0, 66.2, 78.0, 79.0, 91.9, 120.6, 123.6, 125.2, 125.7, 126.1, 127.5, 128.1, 129.3, 129.7, 131.3, 132.0, 141.1, 144.2, 156.3, 156.7, 169.6, 174.2, 174.9, 177.3$ . C<sub>56</sub>H<sub>62</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>7</sub>Si (931.22): HRMS: calcd 931.446; found 931.4433.

**Compound 8b.** 141 mg (48%), mp 105–108 °C from ethyl acetate. IR:  $\nu = 3398, 3309$  (NH), 1728, 1712 (C=O), 1680 (C=N)  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ . <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>, 25 °C):  $\delta = -1.36$  (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>),  $-0.94$  (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 0.01 (s, 9H, *t*Bu), 1.01 (s, 9H, *t*BuO), 1.88 (m, 1H, CH), 2.61 and 2.71 (m, 1H + 1H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.20 (m, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.46 (m, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.77 (m, 1H, CH–N), 4.07 (m, 4H, CH<sub>2</sub>, CO–CH–N and H<sub>4<sub>isox.</sub></sub>), 4.22 (m, 1H, CH–O), 5.11 (dd, 1H, *J* = 5, 1 Hz, H<sub>5<sub>isox.</sub></sub>), 6.60 (AA'BB' syst., 2H, arom. Tyr), 6.89 (AA'BB' syst., 2H, arom. Tyr), 7.12 (m, 2H, Fmoc), 7.21 (m, 2H, Fmoc), 7.38 (m, 5H, anthr., Fmoc and NH<sub>Tyr</sub>), 7.52 (m, 2H, Fmoc), 7.69 (d, 2H, *J* = 8 Hz, anthr.), 7.79 (d, 2H, *J* = 8 Hz, anthr.), 7.97 (m, 3H, anthr. and NH<sub>Fmoc</sub>), 7.99 (d, 1H, NH), 8.57 (s, 1H, anthr.). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>, 25 °C):  $\delta = -6.4, -5.4, 17.3, 25.3, 29.0, 38.7, 43.8, 47.1, 54.9, 55.9, 60.3, 63.4, 66.3, 66.6, 73.9, 78.0, 88.6, 120.6, 122.5, 123.8, 125.7, 126.1, 126.9, 127.1, 127.6, 128.1, 128.5, 129.2, 129.5, 130.2, 131.3, 132.3, 141.2, 144.3, 154.0, 155.9, 157.0, 169.4, 170.8$ . C<sub>56</sub>H<sub>62</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>7</sub>Si (931.22): HRMS: calcd 931.446; found 931.4445.

**Compound 9a.** 188 mg (47%), mp 83–87 °C from ethyl acetate. IR:  $\nu = 3402, 3285$  (NH), 1728, 1714 (C=O), 1652 (C=N)  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ . <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>, 25 °C):  $\delta = -0.01$  (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>),  $-0.04$  (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 0.78 (s, 9H, *t*Bu), 1.00 (m, 1H, CH), 1.07 (s, 9H, *t*BuO), 1.56 and 2.05 (m, 1H + 1H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.25 and 2.40 (m, 1H + 1H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.29 (m, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.84 (bs, 1H, CH–N), 3.91 (m, 1H, CO–CH–N), 4.09 (m, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 4.30 (dd, 1H, *J* = 5, 1 Hz, H<sub>4<sub>isox.</sub></sub>), 4.41 (bs, 1H, CH–O), 5.18 (d, 1H, *J* = 5 Hz, H<sub>5<sub>isox.</sub></sub>), 6.57 (AA'BB' syst., 2H, arom. Tyr), 6.72 (AA'BB' syst., 2H, arom. Tyr), 7.17 (m, 2H, Fmoc), 7.29 (m, 2H, Fmoc), 7.46 (m, 5H, anthr., Fmoc and NH<sub>Fmoc</sub>), 7.55 (m, 2H, Fmoc), 7.64 (d, 1H, *J* = 7 Hz, NH), 7.76 (d, 3H, *J* = 8 Hz, anthr. and NH<sub>Tyr</sub>), 7.84 (d, 2H, *J* = 8 Hz, anthr.), 8.03 (d, 2H, *J* = 8 Hz, anthr.), 8.63 (s, 1H, anthr.). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>, 25 °C):  $\delta = -4.5, 18.4, 26.3, 29.0, 29.5, 37.1, 43.5, 47.0, 52.9, 54.2, 63.5, 66.2, 78.0, 78.9, 92.3, 120.6, 122.7, 123.6, 125.1,$



125.7, 126.2, 127.5, 127.7, 128.1, 129.3, 129.6, 129.9, 130.3, 131.2, 132.2, 141.2, 144.3, 153.8, 156.0, 156.8, 169.0, 169.6.  $C_{56}H_{62}N_4O_7Si$  (931.22): HRMS: calcd 931.446; found 931.4454.

**Compound 9b.** 146 mg (44%), mp 120–124 °C from ethyl acetate. IR:  $\nu = 3398, 3308$  (NH), 1724, 1712 (C=O), 1652 (C=N)  $cm^{-1}$ .  $^1H$  NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ , 25 °C):  $\delta = -1.28$  (s, 3H,  $CH_3$ ),  $-0.90$  (s, 3H,  $CH_3$ ), 0.01 (s, 9H, *t*Bu), 1.01 (m, 1H, CH), 1.05 (s, 9H, *t*BuO), 1.43 and 1.97 (m, 1H + 1H,  $CH_2$ ), 2.63 and 2.78 (m, 1H + 1H,  $CH_2$ ), 3.43 (m, 2H,  $CH_2$ ), 3.78 (m, 1H, CH-N), 3.96 (m, 1H, CO-CH-N), 4.05 (m, 3H,  $CH_2$  and  $H_{4isox.}$ ), 4.21 (m, 1H, CH-O), 4.89 (d, 1H,  $J = 5$  Hz,  $H_{5isox.}$ ), 6.67 (AA'BB' syst., 2H, arom. Tyr), 6.93 (AA'BB' syst., 2H, arom. Tyr), 7.11 (m, 2H, Fmoc), 7.20 (m, 2H, Fmoc), 7.41 (m, 6H, anthr., Fmoc and  $NH_{Fmoc}$ ), 7.50 (d, 2H,  $J = 8$  Hz, anthr.), 7.55 (d, 1H,  $J = 7$  Hz, NH), 7.67 (d, 2H,  $J = 8$  Hz, anthr.), 7.97 (d, 2H,  $J = 8$  Hz, anthr. and  $NH_{Tyr}$ ), 8.57 (s, 1H, anthr.).  $^{13}C$  NMR (100 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ , 25 °C):  $\delta = -6.2, -5.3, 17.4, 25.4, 27.3, 27.9, 29.0, 43.8, 47.1, 54.8, 55.3, 56.4, 63.4, 66.3, 66.8, 74.7, 78.1, 89.3, 120.6, 122.5, 123.9, 124.0, 125.0, 125.7, 126.1, 126.9, 127.1, 127.6, 128.1, 128.5, 129.3, 129.5, 130.2, 131.3, 132.4, 141.2, 142.9, 144.3, 154.1, 155.6, 157.0, 169.4, 170.7$ .  $C_{56}H_{62}N_4O_7Si$  (931.22): HRMS: calcd 931.446; found 931.4446.

### Coupling of compounds 8a,b/9a,b with Boc-Ala-Gly-OH

In a 100 mL flask, 100 mg (0.12 mmol) of compounds **8a,b/9a,b** were dissolved in 50 mL of anhydrous DCM. DIC (31 mg, 0.24 mmol) were added along with a catalytic amount of DMAP and Boc-Ala-Gly-OH (33.2 mg, 0.13 mmol) under stirring at room temperature and the solution was left to react for 48 h under inert atmosphere. After this period of time, the organic phase is washed with a saturated solution  $NaHCO_3$  and brine and dried over anhydrous  $Na_2SO_4$  and filtered. Upon evaporation of the solvent, oily residues were collected and the products **10a,b** and **11a,b** were isolated from column chromatography and fully characterized.

**Compound 10a.** 77 mg (60%), mp 137–140 °C from ethyl acetate.  $[\alpha]_D = -10.6$  ( $c = 0.85$ , MeOH). IR:  $\nu = 3309$  (NH), 1666, 1608 (C=O), 1530 (C=N)  $cm^{-1}$ .  $^1H$  NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ , 25 °C):  $\delta = 1.18$  (d, 3H,  $CH_3$ ), 1.35 (s, 9H, *t*Bu), 1.26 (s, 9H, *t*BuO), 1.72 and 2.18 (m, 1H + 1H,  $CH_2$ ), 2.36 and 2.22 (m, 1H + 1H,  $CH_{2Tyr}$ ), 3.51 (m, 2H,  $CH_{2Gly}$ ), 3.67 (m, 2H,  $CH_{2Gly}$ ), 3.97 (m, 3H, CH-N,  $CH_{Ala}$  and  $CH_{Tyr}$ ), 4.14 (m, 1H,  $H_{4isox.}$ ), 4.43 (bs, 1H, CH-O), 5.20 (d, 1H,  $J = 9$  Hz,  $H_{5isox.}$ ), 6.70 (m, 4H, arom. Tyr), 6.97 (d, 1H,  $J = 7$  Hz,  $NH_{Ala}$ ), 7.58 (1H, NH), 7.60 (m, 4H, anthr.), 7.78 (d, 1H,  $J = 8$  Hz,  $NH_{Tyr}$ ), 7.83 (t, 2H,  $J = 6$  Hz,  $NH_2$ ), 7.94 (d, 2H, anthr.), 8.02 (t, 1H,  $J = 6$  Hz,  $NH_{Gly}$ ), 8.17 (d, 2H, anthr.), 8.77 (s, 1H, anthr.).  $^{13}C$  NMR (100 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ , 25 °C):  $\delta = 18.4, 24.2, 24.8, 28.6, 29.0, 29.5, 36.8, 38.1, 42.0, 42.4, 48.1, 49.7, 50.2, 52.6, 54.1, 64.6, 77.3, 78.0, 78.3, 78.7, 91.7, 99.8, 123.1, 123.7, 125.2, 126.1, 127.5, 129.3, 129.4, 129.8, 130.4, 131.3, 132.4, 153.8, 155.7, 156.1, 168.6, 169.5, 173.5, 185.1, 192.5$ .  $C_{45}H_{54}N_6O_9$  (822.96): HRMS: calcd 845.384 (MW + Na); found 845.3853.

**Compound 10b.** 68 mg (53%), mp 153–155 °C from ethyl acetate.  $[\alpha]_D = -20.0$  ( $c = 1.80$ , MeOH). IR:  $\nu = 3307$  (NH), 1680, 1609 (C=O), 1506 (C=N)  $cm^{-1}$ .  $^1H$  NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ,

25 °C):  $\delta = 1.18$  (d, 3H,  $CH_3$ ), 1.27 (s, 9H, *t*Bu), 1.36 (s, 9H, *t*BuO), 1.58 and 2.16 (m, 1H + 1H,  $CH_2$ ), 2.80 and 2.98 (m, 1H + 1H,  $CH_{2Tyr}$ ), 3.72 (m, 2H,  $CH_{2Gly}$ ), 3.73 (m, 2H,  $CH_{2Gly}$ ), 3.92 (m, 1H, CH-N), 3.99 (m, 1H,  $CH_{Ala}$ ), 4.27 (m, 1H, CH-O), 4.38 (m, 1H,  $CH_{Tyr}$ ), 4.43 (m, 1H,  $H_{4isox.}$ ), 5.16 (d, 1H,  $J = 5$  Hz,  $H_{5isox.}$ ), 6.86 (m, 2H, arom. Tyr), 6.97 (d, 1H,  $J = 7$  Hz,  $NH_{Ala}$ ), 7.14 (m, 2H, arom. Tyr), 7.62 (m, 4H, anthr.), 7.71 (s, 1H,  $J = 8$  Hz, NH), 7.97 (m, 4H, anthr. and  $NH_2$ ), 8.08 (t, 1H,  $J = 5$  Hz,  $NH_{Gly}$ ), 8.20 (m, 3H, anthr. and  $NH_{Tyr}$ ), 8.80 (s, 1H, anthr.).  $^{13}C$  NMR (100 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ , 25 °C):  $\delta = 18.4, 28.7, 29.0, 29.5, 42.3, 42.6, 50.2, 55.0, 56.8, 78.1, 78.7, 123.9, 125.2, 126.2, 127.7, 129.3, 129.4, 130.1, 131.3, 132.7, 153.9, 155.7, 168.9, 169.6, 170.1, 170.3, 170.6, 173.6, 173.7$ .  $C_{45}H_{54}N_6O_9$  (822.96): HRMS: calcd 823.403 (MW + H); found 823.4025.

**Compound 11a.** 102 mg (80%), mp 160–161 °C from ethyl acetate.  $[\alpha]_D = -5.5$  ( $c = 4.15$ , MeOH). IR:  $\nu = 3293$  (NH), 1660, 1634 (C=O), 1506 (C=N)  $cm^{-1}$ .  $^1H$  NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ , 25 °C):  $\delta = 1.16$  (d, 3H,  $CH_3$ ), 1.35 (s, 9H, *t*Bu), 1.23 (s, 9H, *t*BuO), 1.75 and 2.16 (m, 1H + 1H,  $CH_2$ ), 2.41 and 2.59 (m, 1H + 1H,  $CH_{2Tyr}$ ), 3.53 (m, 2H,  $CH_{2Gly}$ ), 3.67 (m, 2H,  $CH_{2Gly}$ ), 3.96 (m, 1H, CH-N), 4.09 (m, 1H,  $CH_{Tyr}$ ), 4.33 (m, 1H,  $H_{4isox.}$ ), 4.44 (bs, 1H, CH-O), 5.34 (d, 1H,  $J = 5$  Hz,  $H_{5isox.}$ ), 6.74 (d, 2H, arom. Tyr), 6.91 (d, 2H, arom. Tyr), 6.97 (d, 1H,  $J = 7$  Hz,  $NH_{Ala}$ ), 7.61 (m, 5H, anthr. and NH), 7.84 (m, 3H,  $NH_2$  and  $NH_{Tyr}$ ), 7.96 (d, 2H, anthr.), 8.02 (t, 1H,  $J = 5$  Hz,  $NH_{Gly}$ ), 8.19 (d, 2H, anthr.), 8.78 (s, 1H, anthr.).  $^{13}C$  NMR (100 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ , 25 °C):  $\delta = 18.4, 21.5, 24.2, 28.6, 29.0, 36.9, 38.2, 42.1, 42.5, 48.1, 50.2, 53.3, 54.3, 64.3, 77.1, 78.1, 78.7, 92.0, 123.1, 123.8, 125.1, 126.2, 127.7, 129.3, 129.5, 129.9, 130.4, 131.2, 132.6, 153.8, 155.7, 155.9, 168.6, 169.5, 169.6, 172.5, 173.6$ .  $C_{45}H_{54}N_6O_9$  (822.96): HRMS: calcd 845.384 (MW + Na); found 845.3837.

**Compound 11b.** 77 mg (60%), mp 122–125 °C from ethyl acetate.  $[\alpha]_D = -3.3$  ( $c = 1.0$ , MeOH). IR:  $\nu = 3307$  (NH), 1660 (C=O), 1508 (C=N)  $cm^{-1}$ .  $^1H$  NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ , 25 °C):  $\delta = 1.18$  (d, 3H,  $CH_3$ ), 1.37 (s, 18H, *t*Bu), 1.72 and 2.21 (m, 1H + 1H,  $CH_2$ ), 2.79 and 2.95 (m, 1H + 1H,  $CH_{2Tyr}$ ), 3.70 (m, 2H,  $CH_{2Gly}$ ), 3.71 (m, 2H,  $CH_{2Gly}$ ), 3.93 (m, 1H, CH-N), 3.98 (m, 1H,  $CH_{Tyr}$ ), 4.15 (m, 1H,  $H_{4isox.}$ ), 4.43 (bs, 1H, CH-O), 5.07 (d, 1H,  $J = 5$  Hz,  $H_{5isox.}$ ), 6.91 (d, 2H, arom. Tyr), 7.00 (m, 1H,  $NH_{Ala}$ ), 7.17 (d, 2H, arom. Tyr), 7.63 (m, 5H, anthr. and NH), 7.95 (d, 4H, anthr. and  $NH_2$ ), 8.06 (m, 1H,  $NH_{Gly}$ ), 8.20 (m, 3H, anthr. and  $NH_{Tyr}$ ), 8.80 (s, 1H, anthr.).  $^{13}C$  NMR (100 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ , 25 °C):  $\delta = 18.4, 19.3, 28.7, 29.0, 29.5, 37.3, 38.0, 42.2, 42.5, 50.3, 54.9, 56.8, 70.0, 74.4, 78.1, 78.7, 90.5, 123.1, 123.9, 125.1, 126.2, 127.7, 129.3, 130.1, 130.2, 131.3, 132.7, 135.1, 154.0, 155.7, 168.9, 169.6, 173.6, 173.7$ .  $C_{45}H_{54}N_6O_9$  (822.96): HRMS: calcd 823.403 (MW + H); found 823.4023.

## Author contributions

Authors declare equal contribution.

## Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.





## Acknowledgements

University of Pavia is gratefully acknowledged for supporting the research activities that allowed obtaining the reported results. Financial support: We thank “VIPCAT – Value Added Innovative Protocols for Catalytic Transformations” project (CUP: E46D17000110009) for valuable financial support. We also thank for the financial support the project “Scent of Lombardy” (CUP: E31B19000700007).

## References

- 1 A. M. C. Marcelino and L. M. Gierasch, *Biopolymers*, 2008, **889**, 380.
- 2 T. A. Hill, N. E. Shepherd, F. Diness and D. P. Fairlie, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2014, **53**, 13020.
- 3 C. André, B. Legrand, C. Deng, C. Didierjean, G. Pickaert, J. Martinez, M. C. Averlant-Petit, M. Amblard and M. Calmes, *Org. Lett.*, 2012, **14**, 960.
- 4 D. S. Kemp, *Trends Biotechnol.*, 1990, **8**, 249.
- 5 Y. Shi, P. Teng, P. Sang, F. She, L. Wei and J. Cai, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2016, **49**, 428.
- 6 R. F. Hirschmann, K. C. Nicolaou, A. R. Angeles, J. S. Chen and A. B. Smith III, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2009, **42**, 1511.
- 7 G. D. Rose, L. M. Gierash and J. A. Smith, *Adv. Protein Chem.*, 1985, **37**, 1.
- 8 J. B. Ball and P. F. Alewood, *J. Mol. Recognit.*, 1990, **3**, 55.
- 9 K. S. Rotondi and L. M. Gierasch, *Biopolym.*, 2006, **84**, 13.
- 10 H. J. Dyson and P. E. Wright, *Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol.*, 1993, **3**, 60.
- 11 D. E. Kim, C. Fisher and D. Baker, *J. Mol. Biol.*, 2000, **298**, 971.
- 12 M. G. Memeo, M. Mella, V. Montagna and P. Quadrelli, *Chem.–Eur. J.*, 2015, **21**, 16374.
- 13 M. G. Memeo and P. Quadrelli, *Chem. Rev.*, 2017, **117**, 2108.
- 14 P. Quadrelli, M. Mella, A. Gamba Invernizzi and P. Caramella, *Tetrahedron*, 1999, **55**, 10497.
- 15 P. Quadrelli, M. Mella, P. Paganoni and P. Caramella, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 2000, 2613.
- 16 M. G. Memeo, M. Bruschi, L. Bergonzi, G. Desimoni, G. Faita and P. Quadrelli, *ACS Omega*, 2018, **3**, 13551.
- 17 Y. Moggio, L. Legnani, B. Bovio, M. G. Memeo and P. Quadrelli, *Tetrahedron*, 2012, **68**, 1384.
- 18 C. Grundmann and J. M. Dean, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1965, **30**, 2809.
- 19 R. A. Brown, T. Marcelli, M. De Poli, J. Solà and J. Clayden, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2012, **51**, 1395.
- 20 (a) N. Berova, L. Di Bari and G. Pescitelli, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2007, **36**, 914; (b) D. A. Lightner and J. E. Gurst, *Organic Conformational Analysis and Stereochemistry from Circular Dichroism Spectroscopy*, Wiley, J. & Sons, New York, 2000.
- 21 (a) M. C. Manning and R. W. Woody, *Biopolymers*, 1991, **31**, 569; (b) C. Toniolo, A. Polese, F. Formaggio, M. Crisma and J. Kamphuis, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1996, **118**, 2744.
- 22 (a) K.-Y. Jung, K. Vanommeslaeghe, M. E. Lanning, J. L. Yap, C. Gordon, P. T. Wilder, A. D. MacKerell and S. Fletcher, *Org. Lett.*, 2013, **15**, 3234; (b) V. Semetey, D. Rognas, C. Hemmerlin, R. Graff, J.-P. Briand, M. Marraud and G. Guichard, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2002, **114**, 1973.
- 23 M. J. Frisch, G. W. Trucks, H. B. Schlegel, G. E. Scuseria, M. A. Robb, J. R. Cheeseman, G. Scalmani, V. Barone, G. A. Petersson, H. Nakatsuji, X. Li, M. Caricato, A. Marenich, J. Bloino, B. G. Janesko, R. Gomperts, B. Mennucci, H. P. Hratchian, J. V. Ortiz, A. F. Izmaylov, J. L. Sonnenberg, D. Williams-Young, F. Ding, F. Lipparini, F. Egidi, J. Goings, B. Peng, A. Petrone, T. Henderson, D. Ranasinghe, V. G. Zakrzewski, J. Gao, N. Rega, G. Zheng, W. Liang, M. Hada, M. Ehara, K. Toyota, R. Fukuda, J. Hasegawa, M. Ishida, T. Nakajima, Y. Honda, O. Kitao, H. Nakai, T. Vreven, K. Throssell, J. A. Montgomery Jr, J. E. Peralta, F. Ogliaro, M. Bearpark, J. J. Heyd, E. Brothers, K. N. Kudin, V. N. Staroverov, T. Keith, R. Kobayashi, J. Normand, K. Raghavachari, A. Rendell, J. C. Burant, S. S. Iyengar, J. Tomasi, M. Cossi, J. M. Millam, M. Klene, C. Adamo, R. Cammi, J. W. Ochterski, R. L. Martin, K. Morokuma, O. Farkas, J. B. Foresman, and D. J. Fox, *Gaussian 09, Revision A.02*, Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford CT, 2016.
- 24 E. S. Stevens, N. Sugawara, G. M. Bonora and C. Toniolo, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1980, **102**, 7048.
- 25 A. Bax and S. Grzesiek, *Encyclopedia of Magnetic Resonance*, J. Wiley & Sons, Ltd., New York, 2007.
- 26 Y. Wang and D. Shortle, *Folding Des.*, 1997, **2**, 93.
- 27 L. F. Minuti, M. G. Memeo, S. Crespi and P. Quadrelli, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 2016, 821.
- 28 K. Sato, N. Taki, U. Nagai and T. Higashijima, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.*, 1983, **56**, 2476.
- 29 P. Quadrelli, S. Romano and P. Caramella, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 2010, 6600.
- 30 P. Quadrelli, M. Mella, S. Carosso, B. Bovio and P. Caramella, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 2007, 6003.
- 31 M. Moiola, S. Crespi, M. G. Memeo, S. Collina, H. Overkleeft, B. Florea and P. Quadrelli, *ACS Omega*, 2019, **4**, 7766.
- 32 M. Moiola, A. Bova, S. Crespi, M. G. Memeo, M. Mella, H. S. Overkleeft, B. I. Florea and P. Quadrelli, *ChemistryOpen*, 2019, **8**, 770.

