Structural characterisation of amyloid-like fibrils formed by an amyloidogenic peptide segment of β-lactoglobulin†
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Protein nanofibrils (PNFs) represent a promising class of biobased nanomaterials for biomedical and materials science applications. In the design of such materials, a fundamental understanding of the structure–function relationship at both molecular and nanoscale levels is essential. Here we report investigations of the nanoscale morphology and molecular arrangement of amyloid-like PNFs of a synthetic peptide fragment consisting of residues 11–20 of the protein β-lactoglobulin (β-LG11-20), an important model system for PNF materials. Nanoscale fibril morphology was analysed by atomic force microscopy (AFM) that indicates the presence of polymorphic self-assembly of protofibrils. However, observation of a single set of 13C and 15N resonances in the solid-state NMR spectra for the β-LG11-20 fibrils suggests that the observed polymorphism originates from the assembly of protofibrils at the nanoscale but not from the molecular structure. The secondary structure and inter-residue proximities in fibrils were probed using NMR experiments of the peptide with 13C- and 15N-labelled amino acid residues at selected positions. We can conclude that the peptides form parallel β-sheets, but the NMR data was inconclusive regarding inter-sheet packing. Molecular dynamics simulations confirm the stability of parallel β-sheets and suggest two preferred modes of packing. Comparison of molecular dynamics models with NMR data and calculated chemical shifts indicates that both packing models are possible.

Introduction

Amyloid fibrils are filamentous protein or peptide aggregates with a β-sheet secondary structure in which the β-strands run perpendicular to the fibril axis (cross-β structure). Apart from their vital role in the pathogenesis of certain diseases, including Alzheimer’s disease, Parkinson’s disease, and type II diabetes, amyloid-like protein nanofibrils (PNFs) have also gained interest as functional nanomaterials and innovative biomaterials. Over the years, great efforts have been made to generate PNFs from readily available sources such as whey, eggs, and legume products. The increased interest in PNF materials is linked to their tuneable mechanical properties, high chemical and thermodynamic stabilities as well as inherent biocompatibility and biodegradability. Protein based nanofibrils have found many applications, among others, in thin films, foams, composites and gels e.g., in biomedical applications such as drug delivery systems, biosensors and scaffolds for tissue regeneration.

Our understanding of the nature of fibrillar aggregates has largely evolved in recent years, particularly, through the structure determination of their elementary β-strand building blocks and the characterisation of their assembly into cross-β steric zippers. However, a thorough understanding of the hierarchical assembly of these individual structural elements into mature fibrils requires both a complete knowledge of the cross-β structures at the atomic level and a characterisation of the nanoscale morphology. Often, PNFs display polymorphism, which further complicates their structure elucidation. In particular, the structure–function relationship of polymorphic forms of nanofibrils is of interest not only for disease related studies but also for the possibility to control the
nanomechanical properties of the functional materials. Polymorphism in cross-β fibrils can occur at different structural levels due to (i) different number of protofilaments forming the fibril, (ii) relative arrangements of protofilaments in mature fibrils, and (iii) variations in the protofilament substructure. Molecular structures of fibrils formed by a given protein/peptide can vary depending on the aggregation conditions, and multiple distinct structures (polymorphs) often coexist under a single set of conditions. It is challenging to establish which of the distinct morphologies, observed for example in AFM images, also contain distinct molecular structures as the polymorphic samples can, in some cases, produce uniform spectroscopic signatures (e.g., chemical shifts), indicating that the fibril morphologies present in such a sample consist of similarly structured building blocks or protomers. Conversely, although a uniform spectral characteristic does not always guarantee a monomorphemic sample, the observation of spectroscopic heterogeneity implies the presence of several polymorphs in the sample. To our favour, magic-angle-spinning (MAS) solid-state nuclear magnetic resonance (ss-NMR) forms the capability of providing detailed structural information, sufficient for the development of full molecular models of fibrils from proteins or peptides.

The β-lactoglobulin (β-LG) is the main protein component of the whey fraction of bovine milk. It is one of the most frequently used model systems for exploring PNFs in materials applications. In many ways, whey PNFs closely resemble lightweight and elastic properties of silk fibers, and they possess functional properties as foaming and emulsifying agents, hydrogel formation, and increasing viscosity. Also, whey PNFs, have become a promising candidate in the field of food research for being biocompatible nanomaterial. In contrast to the random aggregates that are formed when whey protein isolate (WPI) is heated at a pH value close to the isoelectric point, fibrils are formed when WPI is heated at pH 2, 80–90 °C and low ionic strength. The single fibrils derived from β-LG typically have a length between 1 and 10 μm, a thickness of about 2–4 nm, with a periodicity of 30–40 nm. Although WPI is a mixture of different proteins, previous studies have shown that PNFs formed under the applied conditions are built from β-LG-derived peptides. To explain the molecular basis of fibril formation of β-LG proteins, which represents about 60% of the protein content in WPI, it has been proposed that a critical early step is the partial unfolding of the protein, resulting in flexible conformers that expose aggregation-prone regions of the sequence. It is also known that hydrolysis of the polypeptide chain into shorter segments plays a central role in the fibrillation process (Scheme 1). In the current study we investigate PNFs formed by a short peptide segment derived from β-LG, particularly, the residues 11–20 of the β-LG protein (β-LG11–20). The segment is the central part of the major PNF-forming segment in β-LG (i.e., residues 1–32/33, Scheme 1). It has also been shown to form amyloid-like fibrils on its own in presence of 3–5 M urea at 37 °C and pH 7.0. Here, we use an approach to seed fibril growth of β-LG11–20 monomers by β-LG PNF seeds. It is well-known that fibrils with a given structure can be amplified by seeded growth protocols. Typically, the seeds represent 5–10% of the total peptide or protein in the solution, producing amplification factors of 10 or more in each round of seeded growth. It has been observed that seeding with structurally homogeneous fibrils produces morphologically homogeneous fibrils at the molecular level. The AFM images of the nanofibrils formed by the β-LG11–20 peptide display different morphologies. Based on statistical analysis of the AFM images in combination with ss-NMR and molecular dynamics (MD) simulations we are addressing the question whether the polymorphism originate from the molecular structure or from the assembly of protofilaments at the nanoscale. We present a first structural description for amyloid-like fibrils from a β-LG derived segment.

Material and methods

Materials

Bovine β-LG, fluorenylnmethoxycarbonyl (F-moc) protected amino acid residues, and all other chemicals used for solid-phase peptide synthesis (SPPS) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Unlabelled β-LG11–20 peptide (sequence Ac-DIQKVAGTWY-NH2) was purchased from AlexoTech AB (Umeå, Sweden). The labelled peptides were synthesised using standard F-moc protocols with H-benzotriazol-1-yl-tetramethyluronium hexafluorophosphate activation on an automated peptide synthesiser (Applied Biosystems model 433A). The F-moc N-protected C-terminal amino acid residue (Y20) was anchored via carboxyl group to an amino resin (ring amide) to yield amide-linked peptide that will ultimately produce a C-terminal amide peptide. Two uniformly 13C- and 15N-labelled peptides were prepared by placing residues at positions (i) I2 and T8, and (ii) V5, A6, G7. After loading the first
amino acid (here, Y10), the desired peptide sequence was assembled in a linear fashion from the C-terminus to the N-terminus (the C–N strategy) by repetitive cycles of N\textsuperscript{3} deprotection and amino acid coupling reactions. Before starting the solid phase synthesis, the resin was swollen in DCM for 30 min. A large excess of the activated amino acid was used (typically 2–10 times excess compared to the resin functionality). Hexa-fluorophosphate H-benzotriazol-1-yl-tetramethyluronium (HBTU) coupling reagent was used for the in situ activation of carboxylic functional groups of the N-protected amino acids. Removal of the F-moc protecting group from the N-terminus of the peptideyl-resin was achieved by treatment with 20% piperidine in DMF. The N-terminal acetylation of the peptide was performed with 10% acetic anhydride in DMF. Trifluoroacetic acid was used for the simultaneous cleavage of the peptide from the resin and removal of sidechain protecting groups in ice-cold diethyl ether with a standard mixture of scavengers of free radicals (phenol and sulphur-containing compounds) to avoid spurious reactions and by-products.

The peptides were purified via reversed-phase high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) using semi-preparative columns, which had been pre-equilibrated in 20% acetonitrile, a flowrate of 3 mL min\textsuperscript{-1} at 50 °C. The peptides were dissolved in 20% acetonitrile in 0.1% TFA (0.5 mg mL\textsuperscript{-1}). Elution was carried out by running a linear gradient of 20–55% acetonitrile in 0.1% aq. TFA over 30 minutes with Sepax polyRP column (5 μm, 300 Å, 4.6 × 150 mm). The eluent was monitored at 214 and 254 nm using a UV absorbance detector. The recovered fractions at a retention time of 14.5–16.5 min were lyophilised to get the purified peptides in powder form. The peptide identities were confirmed by MALDI-TOF. The peptide was mixed 1 : 1 with matrix solution (150 mg mL\textsuperscript{-1} DHB in 1 : 1 water : acetonitrile), deposited on a gold-plated MALDI target, air dried, and subjected to MALDI-TOF analysis.

**Fibrillation of pure β-lactoglobulin**

β-LG fibrils were prepared using the protocol from Akkerman C. et al.\textsuperscript{14} About 120 mg of β-LG was dissolved in 4 mL MilliQ H\textsubscript{2}O. The pH was adjusted to 2 using one drop of 3 M HCl and fine adjusted with 0.1 M HCl. The solution was centrifuged for 30 min at 1500 × g and filtrated (0.45 μm) to remove undisolved protein. The protein solution was incubated at 90 °C for 2 days. The fibrils were purified either by spin-filtration or dialysis (both with 100 kDa cut off) and then lyophilised.

**Preparation of β-lactoglobulin seeds**

The lyophilised β-LG fibrils were dissolved in 10 mM HCl to a final concentration of 30 mg mL\textsuperscript{-1} and diluted to a concentration of 1.0 mg mL\textsuperscript{-1} using MilliQ water. The sample was sonicated 2 × 2 minutes in water bath. The solution was diluted to 0.05 mg mL\textsuperscript{-1}, lyophilised, and stored at –20 °C.

**Seeding of peptide solution with β-LG and 1\textsuperscript{st} generation PNF seeds**

4 mg of β-LG\textsubscript{11–20} peptide was dissolved in 4 mL 10 mM HCl containing 5% β-LG seeds. The solution was centrifuged at 15 655 × g and the supernatant solution was incubated at 50 °C and 500 rpm for 48 h in a Thermomixer (Thermo Scientific). A lower temperature than for the β-LG fibrillation was used to avoid hydrolysis. The incubated solution was kept at 50 °C for two more days. The fibrils were collected by centrifugal spin filtration using 10 kDa cut-off filter.

**Preparations of 2\textsuperscript{nd} generation isotopically labelled PNFs**

Peptide PNF seeds were obtained by sonication of the 1\textsuperscript{st} generation fibrils (unlabelled), lyophilised, and were stored under –20 °C. About 2 mg of β-LG\textsubscript{11–20} peptide (isotopically labelled) was dissolved in 2 mL 10 mM HCl solution. The 2\textsuperscript{nd} generation fibrils were obtained by seeding the above peptide solution with ~5% of peptide seeds. The solution was incubated for two days at 50 °C at 500 rpm in the Thermomixer. The solution was left without agitation for two more days. The fibrils were collected by spin filtration using 10 kDa cut-off filter.

**Thioflavin T (ThT) fluorescence**

ThT fluorescence of protein/fibril samples were measured on a Cary Eclipse Spectrofluorometer (Varian) using a quartz cuvette according to Nilsson.\textsuperscript{47} About 50 μL of protein/fibril solutions were mixed with 150 μL of ThT solution (0.005 mg mL\textsuperscript{-1}). The emission spectrum from 460 to 600 nm was obtained at an excitation wavelength of 440 nm; the excitation and emission slits were 5 and 10 nm, respectively. 10 mM HCl solution was deducted as a background.

**Atomic force microscopy (AFM)**

PNF morphology was investigated using a Dimension FastScan atomic force microscope (Bruker) operating in tapping mode. The peptide PNFs were diluted between 1 : 10 and 1 : 100 in 10 mM HCl and applied on a freshly cleaved mica surface. Periodicity and height profiles of the fibrils were measured from AFM images using Gwyddion software.\textsuperscript{48} Between 50 and 100 fibrils were measured for each fibril morphology.

**NMR spectroscopy**

All \textsuperscript{1}H and \textsuperscript{13}C NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker AV III (500 MHz, B$_0$ = 11.4 T) spectrometer operating at 500.13 MHz and 125.76 MHz for \textsuperscript{1}H and \textsuperscript{13}C, respectively.

**Solution-state NMR measurements**

The solution-state NMR spectral measurements for the synthetic peptides were measured in H$_2$O + 10% D$_2$O solutions. Suppression of water signals during \textsuperscript{1}H acquisitions were achieved by the WET (water suppression enhanced through $T_1$ effects) pulse sequence. The spectral parameters for 1D (1-dimensional) \textsuperscript{1}H/\textsuperscript{13}C experiments were as follows: π/2 pulse lengths 7.0/11.5 μs, recycle delay 2.5 s, number of transients 16/10 240. Both \textsuperscript{1}H and \textsuperscript{13}C spectra were referenced to TSP (0.0 ppm). For two-dimensional \textsuperscript{1}H–\textsuperscript{1}H TOCSY and \textsuperscript{1}H–\textsuperscript{13}C HSQC experiments the following parameters were used: 2048 data points in the \textsuperscript{1}H dimension and 256 increments in the indirect ($F_1$) dimension ($F_1$ incremental delays for TOCSY (\textsuperscript{1}H) and...
HSQC \(^{13}\text{C}\) were 153.81 and 37.86 \(\mu\)s, respectively); TOCSY spin-lock (mixing) delay was 80 ms.

**Solid-state NMR measurements**

The short-peptide PNFs were spin-filtered using 10 kDa membrane, pelleted fibrils were resuspended in deionized water and then lyophilised. Typical ss-NMR samples contained 2–3 mg of peptide fibrillar material. Lyophilisation of fibril samples allowed more material to be placed into the rotor, resulting in higher signal-to-noise ratios in the solid-state NMR spectra. The magic angle for the rotor was adjusted using powder KBr \(^{79}\text{Br} NMR). The \(^{13}\text{C}\) CP-MAS NMR chemical shifts were externally referenced to the downfield peak of powder adamantane (38.48 ppm with respect to TMS, 0 ppm). However, for easy comparison with Wishart reference chemical shifts, the chemical shifts are recalibrated for DSS as secondary reference using the formula

\[
\delta_{\text{MAS}} = \delta_{\text{DSS in water}} - 2.67 = \delta_{\text{solid adamantane}} + 38.48
\]

where a correction factor of 2.67 ppm was added to all \(^{13}\text{C}\) chemical shifts that obtained with respect to adamantane as the external reference.

\(^{1}\text{H}-^{13}\text{C}\) cross-polarisation (CP) was optimised with following parameters: the MAS rate was 9000 Hz, \(^{1}\text{H}\) 90-degree pulse 3.0 \(\mu\)s at 100 W \((\omega_{1}(^{1}\text{H}) = 83.3 \text{ kHz})\), \(^{13}\text{C}\) 90-degree pulse 3.0 \(\mu\)s at 131.83 W, relaxation delay was 2.5 s, CP contact time was 700–1500 \(\mu\)s, \(^{1}\text{H}-^{13}\text{C}\) CP nutation frequency \(\omega_{1}(^{1}\text{H}) = 40.3 \text{ kHz (50 W)},\) broadband spinal64 decoupling at protons was \(\omega_{1}(^{1}\text{H}) = 83.3 \text{ kHz.}\)

To obtain interatomic correlations that extend to longer length scales, additional 2D \(^{13}\text{C}-^{13}\text{C}\) DARR (Dipolar Assisted Rotational Resonance) spectra were measured at 298 K. Mixing time was 250–500 ms and the MAS spinning rate was 12 kHz. The spectral widths of both the direct \((F_2)\) and indirect \((F_1)\) dimensions were 24 kHz. A total of 256 \(t_1\) slices and 80 scans per slice were measured, resulting in an experimental time of 15 hours.

**TALOS+- secondary structure prediction**

Experimentally derived \(^{13}\text{C}\) and \(^{15}\text{N}\) chemical shifts were used for TALOS+- prediction. Based on secondary chemical shifts and sequence information, TALOS+- empirically predicts backbone torsion angles and a measure of rigidity expressed as RCI S2 value. Dihedral angles were predicted for all but first and last residues in the sequence. Resulting from the analysis were 8 pairs of dihedral angles \(\phi\) and \(\psi\), which were classified as strong predictions. Residues were designated as part of a \(\beta\)-strand if TALOS+- predicted the secondary structure with a probability higher than 85%.

**Molecular dynamics (MD) simulations**

Classical MD simulations were carried out using Amber16 package with the protein and solvent molecules described using ff14SB\(^{52}\) force field and the TIP3P\(^{53}\) water model, respectively. Topology and initial coordinates of a linear form of the peptide (\(\beta\text{-LG}_{11-20}\), Ac-DIQKVAGTWY-NH\(_2\), from N-terminus to C-terminus) was created using the LEaP program. Note that this peptide also has modifications of the N-terminus (acetylation) and C-terminus (amidation). After neutralising the system using chloride ions, the MD simulations ran at 300 K using Langevin dynamics and constant pressure with an average pressure of 1 atm and with isotropic constant scaling using the Berendsen barostat. The simulations ran for up to 80 ns (unless stated otherwise) with a time step of 2 fs per step. The trajectories were recorded every 5000 step and the cut-off were set to 12 Å for non-bonded interactions. All analyses of our MD trajectories were performed using CPTRAJ.\(^{55}\)

**Building different systems/arrangements for MD**

First, we built smaller systems containing one to up to four peptides, and we ran the simulations up to 80 ns to identify possible inter/intra-molecular interactions. From these, we observed that the peptides tend to form \(\pi\)-stacking interaction among individual tryptophan moieties, and individual tyrosine moieties. Moreover, the system is stabilised by hydrogen bonding interactions of the neighbouring peptides (part between \(W\) and \(Y\)). The rest of the peptide forms \(\beta\)-sheet alignment. Based on these observations, we manually replicated this 4-peptide system in one of the axes resulting in the 20-peptide system, still making sure the previously observed interactions are still held in place. From here, we extended the system to a two-layer assembly, where one layer is formed from 20 peptides in the \(\pi\)-stacking and H-bonding fashion as described above (see all considered possibilities in Fig. S7 in the ESI†). Such arrangements ran for 30 ns.

**DFT calculations**

Both geometry optimisation and NMR calculations of the monomer \(\beta\text{-LG}_{11-20}\) were performed using Gaussian 16, Revision A.03 (ref. 56) program. For geometry optimisation of the monomer, B3LYP\(^{57}\) exchange correlation functional was used together with the D3 dispersion correction\(^{58}\) and the 6-31G(d,p)
basis set. In order to capture the solvation effects, a solvation model based on density (SMD) was adopted using water as solvent. To ensure well-converged local minimum geometry, extremely tight convergence criteria were used along with an ultrafine integration grid. The $^{13}$C NMR shielding constants were calculated based on the gauge-independent atomic orbitals (GIAO) approach. The NMR shielding constants were calculated at the DFT level employing a hybrid functional PBE0 (with 25% exact exchange). Molecular dynamics ensembles of peptides, both in parallel and anti-parallel conformations, were also assessed based on their back-calculated $\alpha$, $\beta$, and $\gamma$ chemical shifts in comparison with NMR experiments. However, due to large ensemble size, the DFT/NMR shifts were averaged over a small molecular ensemble containing four molecules from the final snapshots after 30 ns MD simulations, which were selected from the centre part of the β-sheets.

Results and discussions

Fibril formation of the β-LG11–20 peptide

The β-LG11–20 was chosen as a simplistic model for studying β-LG PNF structure as it is a part of one of the larger peptide fragments β-LG12–32 that was identified as part of the β-LG fibrils core (Scheme 1). The shorter peptide β-LG11–20 is also interesting as it has two aromatic residues, one tryptophan and one tyrosine, which could drive amyloid assembly and stabilise the resulting fibrils.

The fibrillation process was monitored using thioflavin T (ThT) fluorescence. ThT fluorescence is frequently used to detect amyloid-like fibrils, because of strongly increased fluorescence of ThT when binding to the β-sheet structure in the fibrils. A clear increase of the ThT fluorescence intensity of the β-LG11–20 samples was observed when incubated up to 96 h, as displayed in Fig. 1. The results indicate that the fibril formation typically reaches a stationary phase within 96 hours of thermal treatment.

AFM analysis of fibril morphologies

We studied the β-LG11–20 fibrils using AFM to characterise the fibrils’ morphology and organisation of the underlying protofilaments. As shown in Fig. 2A, the β-LG11–20 fibrils are composed of multiple strands with ‘twisted nanotube morphologies’, having characteristic periodicity and height values. PNFs that formed mesh-like (Fig. 2B) and web-like (Fig. 2C) structures in areas with a high fibril density were observed. Further details of the fibrils were obtained from statistical analysis of high-resolution images of selected regions. The statistical abundance of fibrils with varying periodicity are depicted as histogram bars in Fig. 2D, while the corresponding heights of various fibrillar filaments are shown in Fig. 2E. There is a linear correspondence between the periodicity and height profiles of the fibrils (Fig. 2E) indicating that the morphological differences may be related to different number of protofilaments. In the investigated samples, fibril lengths up to ca. 10 µm were observed. Most of the fibrils in Fig. 2 comprised of twisted protofilaments with periodicities varying between 30 and 60 nm and maximum heights being from 3 to 6 nm. From here, statistically, there is a dominant fibril morphology with periodicity ranging between 30–40 nm with corresponding heights between 2.5–3.6 nm. It is worth mentioning that the periodicity and heights of the single fibrils of β-LG11–20 are comparable with fibrils from pure β-LG and WPI prepared under similar conditions with the periodicity and the height being 35–40 nm and 3–4 nm, respectively. Hence, it is possible that the use of β-LG seeds during the fibrillation process has templated a cross-β structure in the peptide similar to the core structure of the fibrils formed from the full-length protein.

Solution-structure of monomeric β-LG11–20 peptide

Monomeric β-LG11–20 peptide in solution was investigated by solution-state NMR. The $^1$H and $^{13}$C NMR chemical shift assignment was achieved by the $^1$H–$^1$H TOCSY and the $^1$H–$^{13}$C HSQC, respectively, and are shown in Tables 1 and S1 (ESI†). The $^1$H spectrum for the unlabelled β-LG11–20 peptides is depicted in Fig. S1 (ESI†) and $^{13}$C spectra for the peptides with uniform $^{13}$C/$^{15}$N labelling of selected residues are depicted in Fig. S2 (ESI†). The $^1$H and $^{13}$C spectra for the peptides with random $^{13}$C/$^{15}$N isotopic enrichment of the preferred residues are depicted in Fig. S3A and B (ESI†). The $^1$H and $^{13}$C resonance line widths for the hydrated β-LG12–20 peptide monomers (Fig. S3C in the ESI†) of water may increase the molecular motions, reducing inhomogeneous broadening through motional averaging.

The $^{13}$C CP-MAS spectrum of lyophilised unlabelled β-LG11–20 peptide monomers (i.e., before fibril formation) and fibrils are depicted in Fig. S3A and B (ESI†). The lyophilised samples result in broad lines for both monomer and the fibrils, having line widths up to 4 ppm. A large number of spectral accumulations were required to get a decent signal to noise ratio, which makes the site-specific assignments a challenging task. The broad features of the resonance lines make it difficult to draw any conclusion of the fibril morphologies and potential structural differences between fibrils with different morphologies. However, there is a significant decrease (a factor of 4–5) in the $^{13}$C resonance line widths for the hydrated fibrils (see Fig. S3C in the ESI†).

Solid-state NMR analysis of selectively labelled β-LG11–20 peptide and fibrils

Site-specific isotopic enrichment of the β-LG11–20 peptide fibrils allows site-specific studies and was employed to enhance signal intensity. The $^{13}$C and $^{15}$N chemical shifts are sensitive to
variations in local molecular conformation and structural environment. It has been established that β-strand secondary structure results in simultaneous ‘shielding effects’ on the chemical shifts for the carbonyls and Cα resonances and ‘de-shielding effects’ on the Cβ resonances, relative to the same amino acid residue within a random-coil peptide in aqueous solution.49 The chemical shifts for C', Cα, and Cβ are the most sensitive to secondary structure and relative to random coil
Table 1  The $^{13}$C and $^{15}$N chemical shifts of β-LG11–20 peptide in liquid state (M(aq)) vs. monomer in solid-state (M(s)) and fibrils in solid-state (Fib(s))

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>C′</th>
<th>Cα</th>
<th>Cβ</th>
<th>Cγ</th>
<th>Cγ1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>M(aq)</td>
<td>M(s)</td>
<td>Fib(s)</td>
<td>M(aq)</td>
<td>M(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>53.81</td>
<td>53.1</td>
<td>53.5</td>
<td>42.41</td>
<td>45.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>176.61</td>
<td>175.0</td>
<td>175.1</td>
<td>39.11</td>
<td>42.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q</td>
<td>56.41</td>
<td>57.0</td>
<td>56.5</td>
<td>30.31</td>
<td>33.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>54.11</td>
<td>55.3</td>
<td>55.3</td>
<td>33.41</td>
<td>36.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>176.31</td>
<td>176.1</td>
<td>175.6</td>
<td>62.41</td>
<td>61.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>178.41</td>
<td>177.7</td>
<td>176.8</td>
<td>53.11</td>
<td>50.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>174.51</td>
<td>174.0</td>
<td>173.2</td>
<td>45.71</td>
<td>45.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>174.41</td>
<td>172.7</td>
<td>172.3</td>
<td>62.61</td>
<td>62.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W</td>
<td>58.01</td>
<td>58.5</td>
<td>58.4</td>
<td>30.11</td>
<td>34.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y</td>
<td>57.71</td>
<td>58.7</td>
<td>58.2</td>
<td>39.31</td>
<td>43.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 3  Secondary $^{13}$C (A), $^{13}$Cα (B), $^{13}$Cβ (C), and $^{15}$N (D) chemical shifts of the β-LG11–20 fibrils. The secondary shifts were calculated as $\Delta \delta = \delta(\text{exp}) - \delta(\text{X})$, where $\delta(\text{exp})$ is the experimentally observed chemical shift of either the monomer values in liquid, M(aq), monomer in solid state, M(s), or for the fibrils, F(s), whereas $\delta(\text{X})$ represents the Wishart random coil values in ppm. The secondary $^{13}$C and $^{15}$N shifts for D1, Q3, K4, W9, Y10 could not be calculated as the residues were not labelled in any of the samples.
values, the C' and Cα move down-field in α-helices and up-field for β-strand and vice versa for Cβ.

We prepared two separate β-LG11-20 samples labelled uniformly with 13C and 15N at (underscored) residues DİQVAGTWY and DIQKVAGTWY to make spectral assignments, and to characterise selectively the intermolecular packing of adjacent β-sheets in the fibrils. The 1D 13C CP-MAS NMR spectra of the IT and VAG labelled β-LG11-20 peptides are depicted in Fig. S4 (ESI†). The corresponding 2D 13C-13C DARR spectra of IT and VAG labelled β-LG11-20 peptides are depicted in Fig. S5 (ESI†). The 13C resonance line widths for the labelled non-fibrillar peptides are between 1.5 and 2.5 ppm, while the fibrils have around 40% smaller linewidths. This supports a more well-defined structure in the fibrils compared to the monomer although parts of the effect may be due to different degrees of sample hydration.

For the monomeric lyophilised peptide, intra and inter-residue cross peaks are distinct and show no overlap except for the Cα of I2, (V5) and T8 residues. A DARR mixing time of 250 and 500 ms was used to assign intra- and inter-residue backbone and sidechain cross peaks, respectively. As expected, no inter-residue cross peaks between the far apart residues I2 and T8 were observed. On the other hand, at 500 ms mixing time, A6 shows both i – 1 and i + 1 cross peak. In addition, i + 2 cross peaks were observed between V5 and G7.

Fibril samples with selective labelling were prepared from the respective peptide monomers. The resulting fibrils from the individual monomers were named after the labelling scheme viz. Fib-IT and Fib-VAG. 2D 13C-13C CP-DARR experiments with a mixing time of 500 ms were recorded for both fibril samples. All DARR spectra contain peaks corresponding to a single spin system for each amino acid residue, indicating that all residues exist in one unique structure. With the assignment of the labelled residues available, additional peak assignments in the 1D 13C spectrum of the unlabelled fibrils were made. Secondary C', Cα, Cβ and N chemical shifts for the monomer in solution-state (Liq.), monomer in solid-state (M-s) and fibrils (Fib) were calculated according to Wishart et al.⁴⁹ (Fig. 3). The C' and some of the Cα chemical shifts in the fibrils shifted upfield, while Cβ and N shifted downfield relative to the random coil values. The positive Cα secondary shifts (shifted downfield) are small and therefore it can be concluded that the fibrils contain the expected β-sheet structure. Notably, the secondary shifts of the monomeric peptide obtained by solid-state NMR are, with a few exceptions, similar to those in the fibrils and it can be speculated that the peptide adopts an extended structure with some resemblance of a β-strand while packing in a solid-state powder. The monomeric peptide in solution, however, display smaller secondary shifts, which is in agreement with a more random coil-like structure.

For a more detailed analysis of secondary structure, the experimentally derived C', Cα, Cβ and N chemical shifts were used for a TALOS+ prediction of backbone torsion angles φ and ψ (Fig. 4 and Table S2 in the ESI†). Satisfactory convergence is obtained for eight of the ten residues, for which the program can predict φ and ψ. All eight residues (the termini excluded) show a high degree of β-sheet secondary structure. Sites for which TALOS+ predicted torsion angles typical of β-sheet region of the Ramachandran plot, i.e., the torsion angles with estimated uncertainties of approximately ±10° are all between 0° – 110° and 130° for φ, and 120° and 140° for ψ.

**Solid-state NMR analysis of β-LG11-20 fibrils – quaternary structure**

Next, the arrangement of the strands within the β-sheets was examined. The labelling scheme of the Fib-IT sample was selected to reveal dipolar interactions between the I2 and T8 residues in potential anti-parallel β-sheets. However, 13C-13C cross-peaks in the 13C 2D DARR experiments result from only the intra-residue resonances but no inter-residue cross-peaks between residues I2 and T8, suggesting that the β-LG11-20 peptide form parallel β-sheets in the fibrils. To further corroborate this conclusion, a fibril sample with 50% mixture of the monomers Fib-VAG-IT was prepared and analysed for long range inter-molecular correlations (Fig. 5). The 13C-13C CP-DARR spectrum contains five C' resonances between ~169.
and 174 ppm associated with different C\textsuperscript{α} chemical shifts (between 43 and 59 ppm). All five C\textsuperscript{α} have dipolar couplings that extend to their side chain carbons (intra-residual) as well as some inter-residue dipolar couplings between VAG in the Fib-VAG-IT. Most importantly, there are inter-molecular cross-peaks between G7 and T8 residues. The cross-peaks were symmetrical about the diagonal peaks. Inter-strand dipolar couplings between G7-C\textsuperscript{α}–T8C\textsuperscript{α}, T8-C\textsuperscript{α}–G7C\textsuperscript{α} and G7-C\textsuperscript{α}–T8C\textsuperscript{α} were observed. No intermolecular cross-peak involving I2 was observed, confirming the presence of a parallel β-sheet arrangement of the fibrils.

Next, we evaluated how the β-sheets pack against each other, although no unambiguous intermolecular cross peaks could be detected in the NMR data to guide this analysis. The parallel β-sheets formed by the peptide display two distinct faces. On the first face (A), the sidechains of I2, K4, A6, T8 and Y10 are displayed while the other face (B) are made up form D1, Q3, V5, (G7) and W9 (see Fig. S6B in the ESI†). Based on knowledge from previous studies of amyloid structures originating form short peptides, we hypothesize that the parallel β-sheets would pack against each other to form a “steric zipper”.\textsuperscript{63-64} With the two distinct faces, the sheets can pack in six different modes (Fig. S6, ESI†). From inspection, the variants involving a buried positively charged lysine side chain seems less likely. Hence, a zipper involving the face B residues would be preferred. This is indeed in agreement with the absence of cross-peaks between I2 and T8 side chains in the NMR spectra as these would not face each other in this arrangement. The B-B zipper packing would potentially involve burying of the Trp side chain.

Molecular dynamics simulations

As the NMR data could not unambiguously reveal the packing of the sheets against each other to form steric zipper structures previously described for other peptide fibrils,\textsuperscript{65-67} we decided to use molecular dynamics simulation to explore the fibril formation of the β-LG\textsubscript{11-20} peptide. One of the first simulations involved a small β-sheet structure consisting of four peptide units in a parallel arrangement. The initial structure was built, based on previous observations, with the π-stacking interactions between W10 moieties, and Y9 moieties. Each peptide unit was placed in a position to form hydrogen bonds with the neighbouring peptide unit (Fig. 6A). During our MD simulation, the system remained very close to the initial arrangement (keeping its π–π stacking and H-bonding interactions); moreover, the peptides formed a β-sheet alignment. Simulations of a longer β-sheet structure with 20 peptide units showed that despite the partly broken π–π stacking interactions between the all Y9 and W10 moieties after 13 ns of the MD simulation, the hydrogen bonding interactions in the β-sheet were still preserved, supporting the previous experimental results of the peptide to form stable parallel β-sheet structures (Fig. 6B).

Subsequently, we explored the relative stability of different steric zipper arrangements by performing 30 ns MD simulations of two parallel β-sheets, each containing 20 peptides. We considered six different arrangements: three parallel orientations (tyrosines of each β-sheet being on opposite sides of each β-sheet) and (i) all lysines’ side chains residues point inward and are packed against each other (AA-PZ), (ii) all lysines’ side...
Fig. 6 (A) A representative structure of four peptides in parallel alignment. For simplicity, only the protein backbone is shown, and the tyrosine and tryptophane moieties are shown in green and grey spheres, respectively. The structure is (i) stabilised by the π–π stacking interactions involving Tyr and Trp moieties, (ii) stabilised by hydrogen bonding interactions within the neighbouring peptides, and (iii) the peptides form β-sheet secondary structure during our MD simulations (as represented by the arrows). (B) In a similar manner to panel A, a 20-peptide sheet was built to study a stability of this more complex structure. Even after ca. 13 ns of MD simulation, the end point of our simulation showed that the π–π stacking and H-bonding interactions are not preserved among all Tyr and Trp moieties (highlighted in green and grey), despite the ability to preserve most of the β-sheets (yellow). (C) MD simulation (30 ns) of 40 peptides in the anti-parallel B–B zipper mode packing (stabilised by 194 ± 9 H-bonding interactions). (D) MD simulation (30 ns) of 40 peptides in the parallel B–B zipper mode packing (stabilised by 192 ± 5 H-bonding interactions). Panels C and D show the most stable arrangements of a 40-peptide double sheets; the non-stable packing variants are shown in Fig. S7 in the ESI.†

chains residues point outward (BB-PZ), (iii) lysines’ side chains of one β-sheets point inwards and the same side chains of the other β-sheets point outward (AB-PZ); and another three antiparallel arrangements (with tyrosines of both β-sheets being on the same side) with the same lysines’ arrangement as described earlier i.e., (iv) all lysines inwards (AA-aPZ), (v) outwards (BB-aPZ) or (vi) one inward and another outward (AB-aPZ). All six packing modes (see Fig. S6 in the ESI†) were explored, and all arrangements with the positively charged lysine side chain packed against the neighbouring sheet (arrangements, where lysine side chains point inwards) were found to be unstable during our MD simulations (Fig. S7 in the ESI†) as the systems failed in holding a perfect β-sheet structure, and some of the π–π stacking and hydrogen bonding interactions broke resulting in the lower stabilisation of the studied systems. The two variants with the B-faces packed against each other, either in a parallel manner (BB-PZ) or antiparallel manner (BB-aPZ), were both found to be very stable within the time of our simulations with preserved π–π stacking and hydrogen-bonded β-sheets (Fig. 6C and D).

Looking to the two MD models closely, we find that the intra β-sheets Cα distance between G7-T8 residues in both parallel- and anti-parallel zipper arrangement are short (<7 Å) and could potentially be detected by the 13C/13C DARR NMR experiment. On the other hand, intra- or inter-β-sheet Cα distances between I2–T8 in both parallel- and anti-parallel zipper arrangements are at least 11 Å, which are not possible to observe in the current 13C/13C DARR experimental setup. As the side chains of both I2 and T8 are located in the face opposite in B–B zipper arrangements, the distances between sidechain atoms are even longer than for Cα contacts.

DFT/PBE0 calculations of 13C chemical shifts

We used DFT approach and calculated the 13C chemical shifts of the two most probable MD models. The computed 13C chemical shifts for the monomer (β-LG11–20) are compared with the experimentally observed values in liquid-state, whereas those calculated for selected molecular ensembles (average of four central peptide units) obtained from our MD simulations are compared with the MAS NMR results for the fibrils. There is a linear correlation between the calculated shielding values and the experimental chemical shifts for Cα and side chain carbons for both

Fig. 7 Experimental vs. DFT/PBE0 calculated 13C Cα and Cβ chemical shifts for monomer (A) in liquid-state, parallel BB-PZ (B), and anti-parallel BB-aPZ (C) molecular ensembles β-sheets. The calculated values for panel B and C were obtained from snapshots taken at the end point of our 30 ns of MD simulations, and the experimental values are taken from solid-state MAS NMR.
monomer and fibrils (Fig. 7). The $^{13}$C shift correlation is not as reliable as they are only few data set points available and the C’ shifts span over a small range of values (data not shown). Based on the comparison, one can conclude that both structural models are in agreement with the NMR data although the parallel packing (BB-PZ) seems to be slightly better ($R^2$ close to unity).

Conclusions

We have explored the structure of the nanofibrils formed by a 10-residue peptide derived from $\beta$-lactoglobulin ($\beta$-LG$_{11-20}$). The presented results show that the peptide forms micrometre-long, amyloid-like fibrils. Although the fibrils appear to be polymorphic when examined by AFM, the further observation of a single set of NMR resonances indicates the presence of a single molecular structure. Hence, the polymorphism observed at the nanoscale originates from packing differences of protofibrils. Secondary chemical shifts derived from peptides with site-specific labelling confirm $\beta$-sheet secondary structure. The intermolecular contacts observed in the NMR data also suggest parallel arrangements of the $\beta$-sheets, but they are inconclusive about the packing of the sheets against each other. We used MD simulations to evaluate the relative stability of different packing models and found that packing most probably involves the face of the peptide that consists of D1, Q3, V5, G7, W9 as it avoids the electrostatic repulsion coming from the two lysine residues (K4) in close proximity. We suggested two possible packing modes: parallel and anti-parallel. Although the energetics is slightly more favourable for the anti-parallel mode, the parallel mode displays slightly better agreement with secondary structure content. Calculated $^{13}$C chemical shifts from the parallel model is also in better agreement with the experimental values than the anti-parallel model.

In comparison with existing structures of short peptides in amyloid-like structures, we find that parallel arrangement of the sheets is rare, at least if the strands are organised into parallel $\beta$-sheets. Only one such model was found in the PDB database (PDB ID 6ODG), confirming that parallel packing is possible. However, the majority of these structures are determined by X-ray diffraction experiments of amyloid-like crystals. Such crystals may have different preferences in the packing mode than amyloid fibrils formed in solution.
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