RSC Advances



PAPER

View Article Online
View Journal | View Issue



Cite this: RSC Adv., 2021, 11, 21029

Hyperacmosins K-M, three new polycyclic polyprenylated acylphloroglucinols from Hypericum acmosepalum†

Mingxia Sun, ‡^a Xue Wang, ‡^a Tingting Zhu, ^a Xinyue Suo, ^a Jiajia Wang, ^a Tengfei Ji and Bo Liu *\overline{0}\sigma^{*c}

Hyperacmosins K–M (1–3), three new polycyclic polyprenylated acylphloroglucinol (PPAPs) derivatives, were isolated from the air-dried aerial parts of *Hypericum asmosepalum*. Compounds 1 and 2 both possessed a rare 5,5-spiroketal subunit with the loss of C-2' carbonyl in the phloroglucinal ring, while compound 3 featured an unusual 1,2-seco-bicyclo[3.3.1] PPAP skeleton. Their structures were confirmed by NMR, HRESIMS, and CD spectra. The plausible biogenetic pathways of 1–3 were proposed, which gave an insight for future biomimetic synthesis of the novel compounds.

Received 6th May 2021 Accepted 1st June 2021

DOI: 10.1039/d1ra03533a

rsc.li/rsc-advances

Introduction

Polycyclic polyprenylated acylphloroglucinols (PPAPs) are a group of structurally fascinating natural products, due to their highly oxygenated and various acylphloroglucinol-derived core structures that are decorated with prenyl substituents. To date, more than 800 natural occurring PPAPs with diverse carbon skeletons have been isolated. PPAPs exhibit a broad range of biological activities, such as antidepressant, anti-tumor, anti-inflammatory, anti-inflammatory, anti-inflammatory, anti-neurodegenerative, 3,7,8 which have attracted great attention from the phytochemical and organic synthetic communities. 9-13

Hypericum acmosepalum is distributed in Guangxi, Yunnan, Sichuan and Guizhou provinces in China. As a kind of traditional chinese medicine, it has been used to treat hepatitis and relieve swelling and inflammation. Our previous phytochemical investigations on this plant have resulted in the isolation of many bioactive PPAPs with diverse carbon scaffolds. ¹⁴⁻¹⁶ In our current study, three new PPAPs (1-3) (Fig. 1) were isolated from H. acmosepalum. Herein, we describe the isolation, structure and stereochemistry elucidation, as well as the plausible biosynthetic ways of the new compounds.

2. Results and discussion

Hyperacmosin K (1) was obtained as colorless oil. The molecular formula was established as C34H52O5 according to its HRESIMS data $(m/z 541.3888, [M + H]^+, \text{ for } C_{34}H_{53}O_5, \text{ calcd})$ 541.3888), indicating 9 degrees of unsaturation. The IR spectrum displayed strong absorption bands due to carbonyls (1774 cm⁻¹) and hydroxyl groups (3491 cm⁻¹). The ¹H NMR spectrum (CDCl3) exhibited two characteristic doublet methyls of isopropyl [$\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.11 (d, J=4.6 Hz, H₃-17), 1.13 (d, J=4.6 Hz, H_3 -16)], three singlet methyls [δ_H 1.42 (H_3 -12), 1.24 (H_3 -13) and 1.18 (H₃-18)], six singlet isopentenyl methyls [$\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.51 (H₃-23), 1.62 (H₃-24), 1.61 (H₃-28), 1.72 (H₃-29), 1.61 (H₃-33), 1.72 (H₃-34)], and three olefinic protons [$\delta_{\rm H}$ 4.91 (t, J = 6.8 Hz, H-21), 5.06 (m, H-26), 5.02 (m, H-31)] (Table 1). The ¹³C NMR spectrum of compound 1 indicated a total of 34 carbon signals, including two carbonyl carbons $[\delta_C 206.2 \text{ (C-7)}, 207.6 \text{ (C-14)}]$, eight olefinic carbons $[\delta_C 154.5 \text{ (C-1)}, 126.3 \text{ (C-2)}, 124.3 \text{ (C-21)}, 131.5 \text{ (C-22)},$ 122.8 (C-26), 132.9 (C-27), 117.2 (C-31), 136.9 (C-32)], one ketal carbon $[\delta_{\rm C}$ 110.2 (C-8)], two oxygenated carbons $[\delta_{\rm C}$ 76.8 (C-10), 90.6 (C-11)]. In addition, the aforementioned functionalities accounted for 6 of 9 degrees of unsaturation, which implied the existence of three rings in the structure of 1 (Fig. 1).

The structure of compound 1 was subsequently confirmed by inspecting its 2D NMR spectra. The HMBC correlations from H₂-5 to C-1/C-3/C-4/C-6/C-7/C-30, from H₂-30 to C-1/C-5/C-6/C-7/, from H₂-25 to C-3/C-4/C-5, from H₃-18/H₂-19 to C-2/C-3/C-4 (Fig. 2), combining with the chemical shifts of C-1 and C-2, established the fragment of cyclohexene. Moreover, a 2,2-dimethyl-3-hydroxy-furan unit, was constructed by the HMBC correlations from H₂-9 to C-7/C-8/C-10/C-11, from H-10 to C-8/C-9/C-11, from H₃-12/H₃-13 to C-10/C-11, as well as the presence of three oxygenated carbons C-8 ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 110.2), C-10 ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 76.8) and C-11 ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 90.6). The HMBC cross-peaks from H₂-5/H₂-30/H₂-9 to the

[&]quot;State Key Laboratory of Bioactive Substance and Function of Natural Medicines, Institute of Materia Medica, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences and Peking Union Medical College, Beijing, 100050, China

^bKey Laboratory of Tibetan Medicine Research, Northwest Institute of Plateau Biology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Xining, 810008, China

^{&#}x27;School of Pharmacy, Shenyang Medical College, Shenyang, 110034, China

 $[\]dagger$ Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: $10.1039/\mathrm{d}1 \mathrm{ra}03533\mathrm{a}$

[‡] M. S. and X. W. contributed equally.

[§] Corresponding author E-mail: liubopaul@163.com (Bo Liu); jitf@imm.ac.cn (Tengfei Ji)

RSC Advances

Fig. 1 Structures of compounds 1-3.

carbonyl carbon C-7 ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 206.2) indicated that C-7 was both connected to C-6 and C-8. Finally, considering one remaining unsaturation, as well as the chemical shifts of C-8 ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 110.2) and C-1 ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 154.5), it is speculated that C-8 should be connected with C-1 via an oxygen atom. Moreover, the HMBC correlations from H-15 to C-2/C-14/C-16/C-17 revealed that C-14 was attached to C-2. These data indicated 1 shared the same planar structure with Hyperscabin A as shown in Fig. 1.17

The relative configuration of 1 was confirmed by the key ROESY spectrum. The ROESY correlations of H₂-30/H-5a, H₂-30/ H-18, and H₃-18/H₂-25 revealed that Me-18 and those two isopentenyl moieties attached to C-4/C-6 were in the same βorientation (Fig. 2). The correlations of H-4/H-5b and H-4/H₂-20 also indicated the H-4 and the isopentenyl linked to C-3 were α orientation. The MM2-optimized structure for 1 revealed that those two five-member rings divided by C-8 were almost perpendicular to each other. By comparison with Hyperscabin A, the lack of ROESY correlation of H2-30/H2-9 indicated compound 1 possessed different stereogenic configuration of C-8 with the former as shown in Fig. 1. It could be further supported by some differences of their chemical shifts. [compound 1: H-9 ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 2.15/2.64), C-7 ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 206.2), C-8 ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 110.2), C-9 ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 41.4); Hyperscabin A: **H-9** ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 2.30/2.67), **C-7** ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 208.0), **C-8** ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 109.6), C-9 ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 43.2)]. However, the relative configuration of C-10 could not be established by ROESY correlations.

Hyperacmosin L (2) displayed the same molecular formula of C₃₄H₅₂O₅ as 1 based on the HRESIMS data. The UV and IR spectral data of 2 were identical to those of 1, as shown in the ESI.† Moreover, the NMR data of 2 was very close to that of 1 except for few slight shifts (1: $\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.42, s, H₃-12; 1.24, s, H₃-13; 2: $\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.35, s, H₃-12; 1.31, s, H₃-13) (Table 1). It was estimated that stereoisomerism of C-10 might cause these differences. Like 1, the relative configurations of C-3, 4, 6 and 8 in 2 could be determined via the ROESY correlations of H₂-30/H-5a, H₂-30/H-18, H₃-18/H₂-25, as well as the lack of ROESY correlation of H₂-30/H₂-9 (Fig. 2). And the relative configuration of C-10 could not be established by ROESY correlations.

The absolute configuration of C-10 in 1 and 2 were validated by Mosher's experiment. The prepared (S)- and (R)-MTPA esters of 1 and 2 were subjected to ¹H NMR analysis, and the distinct values of the ¹H NMR chemical shifts ($\Delta \delta = \delta_{\text{S-MTPA-ester}} - \delta_{\text{R-}}$ MTPA-ester) were summarized for the proton signals adjacent to C-10, as shown in Fig. 3. According to these results, the absolute configurations of C-10 in 1 and 2 were confirmed to be R and S, respectively. The absolute configurations of 1 and 2 were further elucidated by the comparison of their CD spectra with Hyperscabin A (Fig. 4), whose absolute configuration had been determined as 3R,4S,6S,8S,10R by experimental and calculated ECD. Thus, the absolute configuration of 1 was further determined as 3R,4S,6S,8R,10R and 2 was determined as 3R,4S,6S,8R,10S.

Hyperacmosin M (3) was obtained as colorless oil. The molecular formula was established as C40H56O6 according to its HRESIMS data (m/z) 655.3967, $[M + Na]^+$, for $C_{40}H_{56}O_6Na$, calcd 655.3969), indicating 13 degrees of unsaturation. The IR spectrum displayed strong absorption bands due to hydroxyl groups (3357 cm⁻¹) and carbonyls (1760, 1717 cm⁻¹). The ¹H NMR spectrum (CDCl₃) showed characteristic signals assignable to a benzoyl [$\delta_{\rm H}$ 7.45 (2H, t, J = 7.0 Hz); 7.54 (1H, t, J = 7.0 Hz); 7.99 (2H, d, J = 7.0 Hz)], four olefinic protons [$\delta_{\text{H}} 5.01 \text{ (m, H-33)}, 5.14$ (t, J = 6.8 Hz, H-28), 5.33 (m, H-18), 5.55 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, H-23)],nine singlet methyls $[\delta_{\rm H} \ 0.87 \ (H_3-38), \ 1.18 \ (H_3-37), \ 1.56 \ (H_3-37),$ 36), 1.61 (H₃-31), 1.66 (H₃-21), 1.68 (H₃-30), 1.70 (H₃-35), 1.71 (H_3-26) , 1.73 (H_3-20)], and one triplet methyl $[\delta_H 1.22 (t, J =$ 7.0 Hz, H_3 -40] (Table 1). The 13 C NMR spectrum of compound 3 indicated a total of 40 carbon signals, including three carbonyl carbons [$\delta_{\rm C}$ 170.9 (C-2), 200.3 (C-10), 211.2 (C-4)], eight olefinic carbons [$\delta_{\rm C}$ 116.9 (C-18), 136.4 (C-19), 119.6 (C-23), 138.0 (C-24), 124.2 (C-28), 131.8 (C-29), 123.2 (C-33), 132.6 (C-34)], one hemiketal carbon [$\delta_{\rm C}$ 105.7 (C-9)], two oxygenated carbons [$\delta_{\rm C}$ 87.7 (C-3), 63.1 (C-39)]. The aforementioned data suggested that 3 was also a PPAP derivative.

The planar structure of 3 was established by extensive analyses of its HMBC spectrum (Fig. 5). The cyclohexane ring was constructed by HMBC correlations from H-1 to C-5/C-7/C-8/C-9, from H₃-37/H₃-38 to C-1/C-7/C-8, from H-7 to C-6/C-8/C-32, and from H-22 to C-4/C-5/C-6/C-9. Moreover, the presence of a tetrahydrofuran ring between C-3 and the hemiketal carbon was elucidated based on their downfield shifted chemical shifts $[\delta_{\rm C}$ 87.7 (C-3) and 105.7 (C-9)], as well as the HMBC correlations

Table 1 ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR data for compounds 1–3^a

54.5 26.3 40.7 40.0 27.9 49.8 96.2 10.2 411.4 76.8 90.6 22.8 27.0 97.6	δ _H (<i>J</i> in Hz) 1.20 m 1.32 d (8.2); 2.30 dd (14.4, 4.8) 2.15 dd (14.6, 1.4); 2.64 dd (14.6, 5.6) 4.05 dd (5.6, 1.4) 1.42 s 1.24 s	δ_{C} 156.6 124.7 41.0 39.9 28.0 49.9 208.9 109.2 42.4 77.4 90.0 26.8	δ _H (<i>f</i> in Hz) 1.23 m 1.33 m; 2.31 m 2.26 dd (14.8, 2.0); 2.62 dd (14.8, 5.4) 4.03 brs	δ _C 56.7 170.9 87.7 211.2 55.6 31.9 44.4 38.7 105.7 200.3 141.1	δ _H (<i>f</i> in Hz) 3.98 s 1.39 m; 1.70 m 1.51 m
26.3 40.7 40.0 27.9 49.8 96.2 10.2 41.4 76.8 90.6 22.8 27.0 97.6 41.4	1.32 d (8.2); 2.30 dd (14.4, 4.8) 2.15 dd (14.6, 1.4); 2.64 dd (14.6, 5.6) 4.05 dd (5.6, 1.4) 1.42 s	124.7 41.0 39.9 28.0 49.9 208.9 109.2 42.4 77.4 90.0	1.33 m; 2.31 m 2.26 dd (14.8, 2.0); 2.62 dd (14.8, 5.4) 4.03 brs	170.9 87.7 211.2 55.6 31.9 44.4 38.7 105.7	1.39 m; 1.70 m
40.7 40.0 27.9 49.8 96.2 10.2 41.4 76.8 90.6 22.8 27.0 97.6 41.4	1.32 d (8.2); 2.30 dd (14.4, 4.8) 2.15 dd (14.6, 1.4); 2.64 dd (14.6, 5.6) 4.05 dd (5.6, 1.4) 1.42 s	41.0 39.9 28.0 49.9 208.9 109.2 42.4 77.4 90.0	1.33 m; 2.31 m 2.26 dd (14.8, 2.0); 2.62 dd (14.8, 5.4) 4.03 brs	87.7 211.2 55.6 31.9 44.4 38.7 105.7	,
40.0 27.9 49.8 66.2 10.2 41.4 76.8 90.6 22.8 27.0 97.6 41.4	1.32 d (8.2); 2.30 dd (14.4, 4.8) 2.15 dd (14.6, 1.4); 2.64 dd (14.6, 5.6) 4.05 dd (5.6, 1.4) 1.42 s	39.9 28.0 49.9 208.9 109.2 42.4 77.4 90.0	1.33 m; 2.31 m 2.26 dd (14.8, 2.0); 2.62 dd (14.8, 5.4) 4.03 brs	211.2 55.6 31.9 44.4 38.7 105.7	,
27.9 49.8 96.2 10.2 41.4 76.8 90.6 22.8 27.0 97.6 41.4	1.32 d (8.2); 2.30 dd (14.4, 4.8) 2.15 dd (14.6, 1.4); 2.64 dd (14.6, 5.6) 4.05 dd (5.6, 1.4) 1.42 s	28.0 49.9 208.9 109.2 42.4 77.4 90.0	1.33 m; 2.31 m 2.26 dd (14.8, 2.0); 2.62 dd (14.8, 5.4) 4.03 brs	55.6 31.9 44.4 38.7 105.7 200.3	,
49.8 06.2 10.2 41.4 76.8 90.6 22.8 27.0 07.6 41.4	2.30 dd (14.4, 4.8) 2.15 dd (14.6, 1.4); 2.64 dd (14.6, 5.6) 4.05 dd (5.6, 1.4) 1.42 s	49.9 208.9 109.2 42.4 77.4 90.0	2.31 m 2.26 dd (14.8, 2.0); 2.62 dd (14.8, 5.4) 4.03 brs	31.9 44.4 38.7 105.7	
06.2 10.2 111.4 76.8 90.6 22.8 27.0 07.6 111.4	2.15 dd (14.6, 1.4); 2.64 dd (14.6, 5.6) 4.05 dd (5.6, 1.4) 1.42 s	208.9 109.2 42.4 77.4 90.0	2.26 dd (14.8, 2.0); 2.62 dd (14.8, 5.4) 4.03 brs	44.4 38.7 105.7 200.3	,
06.2 10.2 111.4 76.8 90.6 22.8 27.0 07.6 111.4	2.64 dd (14.6, 5.6) 4.05 dd (5.6, 1.4) 1.42 s	208.9 109.2 42.4 77.4 90.0	2.62 dd (14.8, 5.4) 4.03 brs	44.4 38.7 105.7 200.3	,
10.2 11.4 76.8 90.6 22.8 27.0 07.6 11.4	2.64 dd (14.6, 5.6) 4.05 dd (5.6, 1.4) 1.42 s	109.2 42.4 77.4 90.0	2.62 dd (14.8, 5.4) 4.03 brs	38.7 105.7 200.3	1.31 III
76.8 90.6 22.8 27.0 97.6	2.64 dd (14.6, 5.6) 4.05 dd (5.6, 1.4) 1.42 s	42.4 77.4 90.0	2.62 dd (14.8, 5.4) 4.03 brs	105.7 200.3	
76.8 90.6 22.8 27.0 07.6 11.4	2.64 dd (14.6, 5.6) 4.05 dd (5.6, 1.4) 1.42 s	77.4 90.0	2.62 dd (14.8, 5.4) 4.03 brs	200.3	
90.6 22.8 27.0 07.6 41.4	4.05 dd (5.6, 1.4) 1.42 s	90.0	4.03 brs		
90.6 22.8 27.0 07.6 41.4	1.42 s	90.0			
22.8 27.0 07.6 11.4					
27.0 07.6 11.4		26.8	1 05		7 00 4 (7 0)
07.6 11.4	1.24 8	22.2	1.35 s	128.5	7.99 d (7.0)
11.4		22.2	1.31 s	128.6	7.45 t (7.0)
	2.02	206.9	0.44	132.6	7.54 t (7.0)
	3.02 m	41.7	3.11 m	128.6	7.45 t (7.0)
17.9	1.13 d (4.6)	18.5	1.14 d (6.6)	128.5	7.99 d (7.0)
19.8	1.11 d (4.6)	20.0	1.11 d (6.6)	36.5	3.06 dd (14.6, 9.2) 2.59 dd (14.6, 5.6)
22.6	1.18 s	22.7	1.17 s	116.9	5.33 m
36.5	1.67 m; 1.41 m	36.0	1.84 m; 1.40 m	136.4	
22.7	1.80 m; 1.45 m	22.7	1.80 m; 1.45 m	26.1	1.73 s
24.3	4.91 t (6.8)	124.4	4.93 m	18.3	1.66 s
31.5		131.4		29.5	2.45 m
17.9	1.51 s	17.9	1.52 s	119.6	5.55 t (8.0)
25.8	1.62 s	25.8	1.63 s	138.0	
28.0	2.15 m; 1.67 m	28.0	2.16 m; 1.67 m	40.4	2.09 m
22.8	5.06 m	122.8	5.06 t (7.0)	16.6	1.71 s
32.9		132.8		26.9	2.15 m
18.1	1.61 s	18.1	1.60 s	124.2	5.14 t (6.8)
26.2	1.72 s	26.3	1.72 s	131.8	, ,
37.2	2.46 dd (14.4, 7.8); 2.59 dd (14.4, 7.8)	38.4	2.47 dd (14.4, 7.4); 2.76 dd (14.4, 7.4)	26.1	1.68 s
17.2		116.8	, , ,	17 9	1.61 s
36.9	0.02 III		3.00 (7.1)		1.53 m
	1 61 s		1.60 s		5.01 t (6.0)
					3.01 ((0.0)
-0.2	1., 2 0	20.0	1.72 0		1.70 s
					1.56 s
					1.18 s
					0.87 s
					0.87 s 4.16 m
					4.16 m 1.22 t (7.0)
26	5.2 7.2 7.2	5.2 1.72 s 7.2 2.46 dd (14.4, 7.8); 2.59 dd (14.4, 7.8) 7.2 5.02 m 5.9 3.3 1.61 s	5.2 1.72 s 26.3 7.2 2.46 dd (14.4, 7.8); 38.4 2.59 dd (14.4, 7.8) 7.2 5.02 m 116.8 5.9 137.2 8.3 1.61 s 18.4	5.2 1.72 s 26.3 1.72 s 7.2 2.46 dd (14.4, 7.8); 38.4 2.47 dd (14.4, 7.4); 2.59 dd (14.4, 7.8) 2.76 dd (14.4, 7.4) 7.2 5.02 m 116.8 5.00 t (7.4) 5.9 137.2 8.3 1.61 s 18.4 1.60 s	5.2 1.72 s 26.3 1.72 s 131.8 7.2 2.46 dd (14.4, 7.8); 38.4 2.47 dd (14.4, 7.4); 26.1 2.59 dd (14.4, 7.8) 2.76 dd (14.4, 7.4) 7.2 5.02 m 116.8 5.00 t (7.4) 17.9 5.9 137.2 27.9 8.3 1.61 s 18.4 1.60 s 123.2

^a Recorded in CDCl₃ (¹H NMR 400 MHz, ¹³C NMR 125 MHz).

from H₂-17 to C-2/C-3/C-4, and from H₂-22 to C-4/C-5/C-6/C-9. Thus, the planar structure of 3 was confirmed as shown in Fig. 1.

The relative configuration of 3 was elucidated by ROESY spectrum (Fig. 5). The ROESY correlations from H-1 to H-7, H₂-22, and H₃-38 revealed that they possessed same orientation, which was supposed to be β . Due to the lack of valuable ROESY correlation, the orientation of the hydroxyl at C-9 could not be determined directly. However, the W-coupling of H-1 and C-3 could be observed in HMBC spectrum, because the presence of W-coupling is strictly depended on the specific confirmation.

Only cis-fusion of the cyclohexane and the tetrahydrofuran rings could provide such a conformation with the five atoms of H-1, C-1, C-9, O-C-3, and C-3 in a plane and the four bonds between them to construct a "W" as shown in Fig. 5.18

The absolute configuration of 3 was confirmed by comparing its CD spectrum with that of Hyperhexanone A, whose absolute configuration has been unambiguously determined as 1R,3R,5S,7S,9S by experimental and calculated ECD.18 The CD curve of 3 was in good agreement with that of Hyperhexanone A (Fig. 6). Therefore, the absolute configuration of 3 was determined as 1R,3R,5S,7S,9S.




Fig. 2 Key HMBC and ROESY correlations of 1-2.

Fig. 3 $\Delta\delta$ values (in ppm) = $\delta_{\text{S-MTPA-ester}} - \delta_{\text{R-MTPA-ester}}$ of 1 and 2.

3. Conclusion

In summary, hyperacmosins K–M (1–3), three new polycyclic polyprenylated acylphloroglucinol derivatives were isolated from the air-dried aerial parts of *H. asmosepalum*. Particularly, compounds 1–2 shared the same planar constructure, which possessed a rare 5,5-spiroketal subunit with the loss of C-2′ carbonyl in the phloroglucinal ring, while compound 3 featured an unusual 1,2-seco-bicyclo[3.3.1] PPAP skeleton. In addition, the plausible biosynthetic pathways of compounds 1–3 was proposed in Scheme 1. Compounds 1–2 was considered to be generated from the representative [3.3.1]-type PPAPs via the retro-Claisen reaction, followed by decarboxylation, oxidation,




Fig. 4 The comparison of the CD spectra of 1, 2, and Hyperscabin A.

Paper **RSC Advances**

Key HMBC and ROESY correlations of 3 and molecular modeling showing the W-coupling from H-1 to C-3 of 3




Fig. 6 The comparison of the CD spectra of 3 and Hyperhexanone A.

keto-enol tautomerization, and intramolecular cyclization successively. Similarly, compound 3 could be formed from [3.3.1]-type PPAPs through oxidation, retro-Claisen reaction, and esterification.

Experimental section 4.

General experimental procedures

Optical rotations were measured with a JASCO P-2000 polarimeter (Jasco, Tokyo, Japan). UV spectra were recorded on a JASCO V-650 spectrophotometer (Jasco, Tokyo, Japan). ECD spectra were recorded on a JASCO J-810 spectrometer (Jasco, Tokyo, Japan). IR spectra recorded on a Nicolet 5700 IR spectrometer (Thermo Nicolet, Waltham, MA, USA). NMR data were measured on a Varian Inova-500 spectrometer (Varian Inc., Palo Alto, CA, USA) and a Mercury-400 spectrometer (Varian Inc., Palo Alto, CA, USA) using TMS as an internal standard.

HRESIMS spectra were collected using an Agilent 1100 LC/MSD Trap-SL mass spectrometer (Agilent Technologies Ltd, Santa Clara, CA, USA). Column chromatography (CC) was carried out using silica gel (200-300 mesh), silica gel H (Qingdao Haiyang Chemistry Company, Qingdao, China), and MCI gel CHP20P (35-75 μm, Mitsubishi Chemical Corp., Tokyo, Japan). HPLC experiments were carried out on a preparative YMC-Pack ODS-A column (250 \times 10 mm, 5 μ m; YMC, Tokyo, Japan).

Biological materials

The air-dried aerial parts of *H. acmosepalum* were collected from Li jiang, Yunnan Province (100°11′ E; 26°11′ N), People's Republic of China, in July 2016. Associate Prof. Lin Ma was responsible for the identification of the plant. A voucher specimen (No ID-S-2764) was deposited in the Institute of Materia Medica, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences.

Scheme 1 Plausible biosynthetic pathways of 1-3.

4.3 Extraction and isolation

The air-dried aerial parts of H. acmosepalum (15.0 kg) were extracted by 95% ethanol (150 L imes 3 times) under reflux. The crude extract was suspended in H2O and partitioned with petroleum ether. The petroleum ether extract (562.0 g) was separated on a silica gel column (petroleum ether/EtOAc, 100:0 to 0:100) to gain five fractions (Fr.1-5). Fr.3 (95.2 g) was further purified by chromatography on a diol column, eluting with petroleum ether/EtOAc (100:0 to 0:100) to yield fourteen fractions (Fr.3.1-Fr.3.14). Fr.3.11 (36.4 g) was separated over silica gel (petroleum ether/EtOAc, 9:1) to gain 10 fractions (Fr.3.11.1-Fr.3.11.10). Fr.3.11.5 (1.5 g) was purified over ODS (MeOH/H₂O, 80 : 20 to 100 : 0) and finally by semi-preparative HPLC (MeOH/H₂O 90 : 10, 3 ml min⁻¹, 254 nm) to yield 1 (8.4 mg). Fr.3.10 (11.5 g) was purified over ODS (MeOH/ H_2O , 70 : 30 to 100:0) to yield seven fractions (Fr.3.10.1-Fr.3.10.7). Fr.3.10.6 (667.0 mg) was purified by semi-preparative HPLC (MeOH/H2O 95: 5, 3 ml min⁻¹, 254 nm) to yield 2 (5.4 mg). Fr.3.10.1 (200.0 mg) was also purified by semi-preparative HPLC (MeOH/H2O $93:7, 3 \text{ ml min}^{-1}, 254 \text{ nm}) \text{ to yield } 3 \text{ (6.4 mg)}.$

Hyperacmosin K (1). Colorless oil; $[\alpha]_D^{20}$ +109.4 (c 0.1115, MeOH); UV (MeOH) $\lambda_{\rm max}$ (log ε) 203 (4.22) and 268 (3.90) nm; CD (MeOH) $\lambda_{\rm max}$ (Δ ε) 272 (–14.96), 320 (13.50) nm; IR $v_{\rm max}$ 3491,

2971, 2925, 1774, 1640, 1448, 1379 cm $^{-1}$; 1 H NMR (400 MHz) and 13 C NMR (125 MHz) data see Table 1; HRESIMS m/z 541.3888 [M + H] $^{+}$ (calcd for $C_{34}H_{53}O_5$, 541.3888). Hyperacmosin L (2). Colorless oil; $[\alpha]_{\rm D}^{20}$ +133.8 (c 0.068, MeOH); UV (MeOH) $\lambda_{\rm max}$ (log ε) 203 (4.35), 268 (3.99) nm; CD (MeOH) $\lambda_{\rm max}$ ($\Delta\varepsilon$) 271 (-15.15), 319 (14.55) nm; IR $v_{\rm max}$ 3480, 2971, 2930, 1774, 1640, 1447, 1378 cm $^{-1}$; 1 H NMR (400 MHz) and 13 C NMR (125 MHz) data see Table 1; HRESIMS m/z 541.3888 [M + H] $^{+}$ (calcd for $C_{34}H_{53}O_5$, 541.3888).

Hyperacmosin M (3). Colorless oil; $[\alpha]_D^{20}$ –54.5 (*c* 0.044, MeOH); UV (MeOH) λ_{max} (log ε) 204 (4.74), 245 (4.35) nm; CD (MeOH) λ_{max} (Δ ε) 245 (–13.56), 311 (1.96), 346 (0.78) nm; IR ν_{max} 3357, 2967, 2922, 1760, 1717, 1689, 1447, 1374 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz) and ¹³C NMR (125 MHz) data see Table 1; HRESIMS m/z 655.3967 [M + Na]⁺ (calcd for C₄₀H₅₆O₆Na, 655.3969).

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

Acknowledgements

This work was financially supported by Projects of International Cooperation and Exchanges NSFC (NSFC-VR, No 81361138020);

Paper **RSC Advances**

National Science and Technology Major Projects for "Major New Drugs Innovation and Development", Research and Development of New Drug Varieties from Natural Product Sources and Their Kev Innovative Technological Systems (No 2018ZX09711001-001-001, 2018ZX09711001-001-003 2018ZX09711001-008-009); the CAMS Innovation Fund for Medical Sciences (CIFMS); and the CAMS Initiative for Innovative Medicine (No CAMS-I2M 2019-I2M-3-001).

References

- 1 I. P. Singh and S. B. Bharate, Phloroglucinol compounds of natural origin, Nat. Prod. Rep., 2006, 23, 558-591.
- 2 R. Ciochina and R. B. Grossman, Polycyclic Polyprenylated Acylphloroglucinols, Chem. Rev., 2006, 106, 3963-3986.
- 3 L. Verotta, Are acylphloroglucinols lead structures for the treatment of degenerative diseases?, Phytochem. Rev., 2002, 1, 389-407.
- 4 D. S. Tian, P. Yi, L. Xia, X. Xiao, Y. M. Fan, W. Gu, L. J. Huang, Y. B. David, Y. T. Di, C. M. Yuan, X. J. Hao and Garmultins, Biogenetically Related Polycyclic Acylphloroglucinols from Garcinia multiflora, Org. Lett., 2016, 18, 5904-5907.
- 5 G. M. Raso, M. Pacilio, G. D. Carlo, E. Esposito, L. Pinto and R. Meli, In vivo and in vitro anti-inflammatory effect of Echinacea purpurea and Hypericum perforatum, J. Pharm. Pharmacol., 2002, 54, 1379-1383.
- 6 Z. Saddige, I. Naeem and A. Maimoona, A review of the antibacterial activity of Hypericum perforatum L, J. Ethnopharmacol., 2010, 131, 511-521.
- 7 Y. Guo, N. Zhang, C. M. Chen, J. F. Huang, X. N. Li, J. J. Liu, H. C. Zhu, Q. Y. Tong, J. W. Zhang, Z. W. Luo, Y. B. Xue and Y. H. Zhang, Tricyclic Polyprenylated Acylphloroglucinols from St John's Wort, Hypericum perforatum, J. Nat. Prod., 2017, 80, 1493-1504.
- 8 J. A. Richard, R. H. Pouwer and D. Y. K. Chen, The Chemistry of the Polycyclic Polyprenylated Acylphloroglucinols, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2012, 51, 4536-4561.
- 9 H. P. Pepper, S. J. Tulip, Y. Nakano and J. H. George, Biomimetic Total Synthesis of (\pm) -Doitunggarcinone A and (+)-Garcibracteatone, J. Org. Chem., 2014, 79, 2564-2573.

- 10 X. W. Yang, R. B. Grossman and G. Xu, Research Progress of Polycyclic Polyprenylated Acylphloroglucinols, Chem. Rev., 2018, 118, 3508-3558.
- 11 J. B. Yang, R. D. Liu, J. Ren, Q. Wei, A. G. Wang and Y. L. Su, Two new prenylated phloroglucinol derivatives from Hypericum scabrum, J. Asian Nat. Prod. Res., 2016, 5, 436-442.
- 12 R. D. Liu, J. Ma, J. B. Yang, A. G. Wang and Y. L. Su, Two new polyprenylated acylphloroglucinols from scabrum, J. Asian Nat. Prod. Res., 2014, 7, 717-723.
- 13 W. Gao, J. W. Hu, W. Z. Hou, F. Xu, J. Zhao, F. Xu, H. Sun, J. G. Xing, Y. Peng, X. L. Wang, T. F. Ji, L. Li and Z. Y. Gu, Four new prenylated phloroglucinol derivatives from Hypericum scabrum, Tetrahedron Lett., 2016, 57, 2244-2248.
- 14 X. Wang, J. J. Wang, X. Y. Suo, H. R. Sun, B. Zhen, H. Sun, J. G. Li and T. F. Ji, Hyperacmosins H-J, three new polycyclic polyprenylated acylphloroglucinol derivatives from Hypericum acmosepalum, J. Asian Nat. Prod. Res., 2020, 22, 521-530.
- 15 X. Wang, M. J. Shi, J. J. Wang, X. Y. Suo, H. R. Sun, B. Zhen, H. Sun, J. G. Li and T. F. Ji, Hyperacmosins E-G, three new homoadamantane-type polyprenylated acylphloroglucinols from Hypericum acmosepalum, Fitoterapia, 2020, 142, 104535.
- 16 X. Y. Suo, M. J. Shi, J. Dang, H. L. Yue, Y. D. Tao, B. Zhen, J. J. Wang, X. Wang, H. R. Sun, H. Sun, G. F. Qiang, T. F. Ji Liu, Two new polycyclic polyprenylated derivatives acylphloroglucinol Hypericum from acmosepalum, J. Asian Nat. Prod. Res., DOI: 10.1080/ 10286020.2021.1880395.
- 17 J. Ma, G. Y. Xia, Y. D. Zang, C. J. Li, J. B. Yang, J. W. Huang, J. J. Zhang, Y. L. Su, A. G. Wang and D. M. Zhang, Three new decarbonyl prenylphloroglucinols bearing unusual spirost subunits from Hypericum scabrum and their neuronal activities, Chin. Chem. Lett., 2021, 32, 1173-1176.
- 18 H. C. Zhu, C. M. Chen, J. Yang, D. Y. Li, J. W. Zhang, Y. Guo, J. P. Wang, Z. W. Luo, Y. B. Xue and Y. H. Zhang, Hyperhexanone A, a crucial intermediate from bicyclo [3.3.1]cyclohexanone monocyclic-polycyclic polyprenylated acylphloroglucinols, Tetrahedron, 2016, 72, 4655-4659.