Facile preparation of $\text{Zn}_x\text{Cd}_{1-x}\text{S}/\text{ZnS}$ heterostructures with enhanced photocatalytic hydrogen evolution under visible light
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Hydrogen evolution from water using solar energy is regarded as a most promising process, thus, exploring efficient photocatalysts for water splitting is highly desirable. To avoid the rapid recombination of photogenerated electrons and holes in CdZnS semiconductors, $\text{Zn}_x\text{Cd}_{1-x}\text{S}/\text{ZnS}$ composites were synthesized via a one-step hydrothermal method and then annealed at 400 °C for 60 min under argon flow. $\text{Zn}_x\text{Cd}_{1-x}\text{S}/\text{ZnS}$ composites are composed of ZnS nanosheets decorated with $\text{Zn}_x\text{Cd}_{1-x}\text{S}$ nanorods, and TEM and UV-vis absorption spectra confirm the formation of the heterostructure between $\text{Zn}_x\text{Cd}_{1-x}\text{S}$ nanorods and ZnS nanosheets. Because of the well-matched band alignment, stronger optical absorption and larger carrier density, $\text{Zn}_0.2\text{Cd}_{0.8}\text{S}/\text{ZnS}$ has the highest hydrogen production, with a photocatalytic hydrogen production rate up to 16.7 mmol g⁻¹ h⁻¹ under visible light irradiation. Moreover, the photocatalyst also exhibits high stability and good reusability for hydrogen production reaction. The facile and efficient approach for ZnS based heterostructures could be extended to other metal compound materials.

Introduction

In consideration of the global energy crisis and environmental issues, much effort has been made to replace exhaustible fossil fuels with clean energy sources. Hydrogen, with a fuel value (~143 kJ g⁻¹) three times higher than that of gasoline and only non-polluted water as a by-product, has been regarded as one of the most important green energy carriers to replace fossil fuels. Among various strategies for hydrogen evolution, photocatalytic hydrogen evolution is regarded as the most promising way from water splitting using solar energy, because of the direct utilization of solar energy to achieve H₂ generation from water splitting, as well as its much simpler and more economically competitive systems.1

Ternary ZnCdS nanomaterials were regarded as a promising substitute for noble-metal co-catalysts in photocatalytic reactions because of their tunable bandgap energy and lattice constant between 0.331 (100) of ZnS) and 0.359 nm (<100) of CdS) in comparison with ZnS or CdS materials.2,3 Recently some studies on ZnCdS-related photocatalytic hydrogen reactions have been reported. Such as, Jin et al.7 reported that ZnCdS catalysts with a (Zn + Cd):S molar ratio of 1 : 3.5 has much higher photocatalytic activity and exhibited an excellent stability after six cycles. And the H₂ production of (CdS-ZnS)-TiO₂ supported photocatalytic system was studied by Tambwekar et al.8 The hydrogen evolution rate of ZnCdS-CdS heterostructure was obtained from 25.46 to 72.82 and 52.82 μmol h⁻¹, and the value increased to 192.28 μmol h⁻¹ after the adoption of VS₂ to the heterostructure surface.9 GQDs/ZnCdS/PdS exhibited a H₂ evolution rate of 517 μmol h⁻¹, which is 15, 7 and 1.7 times higher than that of pure ZnCdS, GQDs/ZnCdS, and ZnCdS/PdS, respectively.10 $\alpha$-Fe₂O₃/Zn₀.₂Cd₀.₈S heterostructure has visible light photocatalytic H₂ production of 536.8 μmol h⁻¹.11 The ZnCdS-CH solid solution without noble metal loading achieved a superior photocatalytic H₂ activity rate of 0.971 mmol h⁻¹ under visible light irradiation ($\lambda$ ≥ 420 nm), which exceeds those of coprecipitated Zn₀.₅Cd₀.₅S samples by more than 13 times.12 The hydrogen production rate of Fe₀.₃Pt₀.₇-ZnCdS NPs had a significant enhancement over the pure ZnCdS (740 μmol g⁻¹ h⁻¹). The hydrogen production activity of ZnCdS-NiCoP composite catalyst was improved greatly, which reached 5.2 times that of pure ZnCdS.13 The highest hydrogen production rate of 2.265 mmol g⁻¹ h⁻¹ was achieved by the 0.5 wt% Fe₀.₃Pt₀.₇-ZnCdS nanocomposites, which was even better than that of 0.5 wt% Pt-ZnCdS (1.626 mmol g⁻¹ h⁻¹) under the same condition.14

The photocatalytic H₂ evolution rate of Zn₀.₅Cd₀.₅S nanorods was improved from 517.4 to 3310.1 μmol g⁻¹ h⁻¹ by loading a suitable amount of Ni₃C NPs as co-catalyst under visible light irradiation.15 Hollow Zn₀.₅Cd₀.₅S cage material exhibited the highest hydrogen production rate of 5.68 mmol g⁻¹ h⁻¹ under cocatalyst-free and visible-light irradiation conditions.16 The
addition of NiB greatly improved the photocatalytic performance of CdZnS, and the hydrogen production of NiB/CdZnS catalysts reached 8.137 mmol g⁻¹ h⁻¹, which is 17 times that of pure CdZnS. A typical “type II” band alignment forms at Zno₋₀.5Cd₋₀.5S hybridized with carbon materials lead to the improved H₂ generation rate of 10.8 mmol g⁻¹ h⁻¹, exceeding the Zno₋₀.5Cd₋₀.5S nanosheets (6.4 mmol g⁻¹ h⁻¹) and QDs (3.4 mmol g⁻¹ h⁻¹). A controlled ZnCdS QDs developed by a simple ZIF-8 templating method significantly exhibited enhanced photocatalysis performance with a H₂ production rate of 3.70 mmol h⁻¹ g⁻¹ [Zn₋₀.5Cd₋₀.5s QDs], and the highest hydrogen production rate of Zno₋₀.5Cd₋₀.5S/dodecadhedor ZIF-67 composite reached 23.264 mmol g⁻¹ h⁻¹ under visible light irradiation, which was explained as the matched valence band position for ZIF-67 and ZCS and the exposure of rich active sites. The WO₋₀.5ZnCdS compoud catalysts showed the hydrogen production activity of the 35 wt% WO₋₀.5ZnCdS to 98.68 μmol mg⁻¹, about 9.6 times that of pure ZnCdS (10.28 μmol mg⁻¹).

Although many efforts have been done to develop efficient photocatalytic systems and photocatalysts by using ZnCdS materials and their composites, it is still an urgent task to develop low-cost co-catalysts for advancing photocatalytic H₂ production. In this paper, simple hydrothermal and annealing reaction methods were developed for preparation of pure ZnS nanosheets, CdS nanorods and Zn₋₀.5Cd₋₀.5S/ZnS composites. The photocatalytic hydrogen production rate of Zno₋₀.5Cd₋₀.5S/ZnS (3) up to 16.7 mmol g⁻¹ h⁻¹ has been obtained under visible light irradiation with a good stability. This value is larger than the Zn 0.5Cd0.5S nanosheets (6.4 mmol g⁻¹ h⁻¹) and QDs (3.4 mmol g⁻¹ h⁻¹) with irradiation with a good stability. This value is larger than the Zn 0.5Cd0.5S nanosheets (6.4 mmol g⁻¹ h⁻¹) and QDs (3.4 mmol g⁻¹ h⁻¹). A controlled ZnCdS QDs developed by a simple ZIF-8 templating method significantly exhibited enhanced photocatalysis performance with a H₂ production rate of 3.70 mmol h⁻¹ g⁻¹ [Zn₋₀.5Cd₋₀.5s QDs], and the highest hydrogen production rate of Zno₋₀.5Cd₋₀.5S/dodecadhedor ZIF-67 composite reached 23.264 mmol g⁻¹ h⁻¹ under visible light irradiation, which was explained as the matched valence band position for ZIF-67 and ZCS and the exposure of rich active sites. The WO₋₀.5ZnCdS compoud catalysts showed the hydrogen production activity of the 35 wt% WO₋₀.5ZnCdS to 98.68 μmol mg⁻¹, about 9.6 times that of pure ZnCdS (10.28 μmol mg⁻¹).

Although many efforts have been done to develop efficient photocatalytic systems and photocatalysts by using ZnCdS materials and their composites, it is still an urgent task to develop low-cost co-catalysts for advancing photocatalytic H₂ production. In this paper, simple hydrothermal and annealing reaction methods were developed for preparation of pure ZnS nanosheets, CdS nanorods and Zn₋₀.5Cd₋₀.5S/ZnS composites. The photocatalytic hydrogen production rate of Zno₋₀.5Cd₋₀.5S/ZnS (3) up to 16.7 mmol g⁻¹ h⁻¹ has been obtained under visible light irradiation with a good stability. This value is larger than the reported pure Zn₋₀.5Cd₋₀.5S/ZnS composites. Furthermore, the preparation method is simple. The outstanding photocatalytic performance benefited from the efficient charge transfer between ZnCdS nanorods and ZnS nanosheets in the Zn₋₀.5Cd₋₀.5S/ZnS heterojunctions, as well as more active sites on the surface of nanosheets. This study will be helpful to obtain catalysts for photocatalytic H₂ production based on low-priced metal composite materials.

**Experimental section**

**Materials and chemicals**

All chemicals were analytical-grade and used without further purification. Zinc acetate [Zn(OAc)₂·2H₂O], cadmium acetate [Cd(OAc)₂·2H₂O], thiourea [(NH₂)₂CS] and ethanol were purchased from Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd (Shanghai China). Ethylenediamine was purchased from Aladdin Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd (Shanghai).

**Sample preparation**

ZnS nanosheets, CdS nanorods and Zn₋₀.5Cd₋₀.5S/ZnS heterostructure were synthesized according to the following methods. ZnS nanosheets were prepared according to the previous reports with some modifications. Typically, 60 mM Zn(OAc)₂·2H₂O was dissolved in 6 mL deionized water under constant magnetic stirring for approximately 10 min, then, 24 mL ethylenediamine was added to the solution. After the mixed solution was stirred continuously and cooled to room temperature, 0.18 M (NH₂)₂CS was added into the above solution. After stirring for another 30 min, the mixture was transferred into a 50 mL Teflon-lined stainless steel autoclave and keeping sealed under 160°C for 6 h. The product was collected and washed with water and ethanol for several times and dried at 60°C for 24 h. Furthermore, the precursor was annealed in the quartz tube furnace at 400°C for 60 min under argon (Ar) flow. After heat treatment, ZnS nanosheets were achieved, labeled as sample #1. CdS nanorods were prepared with the same procedure, except that 60 mM Cd(OAc)₂·2H₂O was used as cationic precursor (so called as sample #4). For Zn₋₀.5Cd₋₀.5S/ZnS heterostructures, 48 mM Zn(OAc)₂·2H₂O and 12 mM Cd(OAc)₂·2H₂O were used as cationic precursor, labeled as sample #2; 36 mM Zn(OAc)₂·2H₂O and 24 mM Cd(OAc)₂·2H₂O were used as cationic precursor, named as sample #3.

**Characterization**

The crystal structures of the samples were analyzed by powder XRD (D8 Advance, Bruker-AXS) using Cu Kα (λ = 0.154056 nm), and their patterns were collected in the 2θ range from 10° to 80° using a continuous scanning method at a scanning speed of 2° (2θ) min⁻¹. The morphology and microstructure of the as-synthesized samples were characterized using Hitachi S-4800 field emission SEM and Tecnai G2 F30 field emission TEM, operated at an accelerating voltage of 300 kV, the composition of the products was measured by energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX). Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) surface area measurements were performed with N₂ adsorption at 77 K on a BSA-PS (M) instrument. Before analyses, the samples were degassed at 80°C. Absorption measurements were carried out using a UV-vis-NIR spectrophotometer (UV-vis, Cary-5000, Varian). Photoluminescence (PL) measurements were performed using a Britain Renishaw InVia spectrophotometer, with a 325 nm line of a He–Cd laser as the excitation light source in a closed-cycle He cryostat. In addition, the chemical states of Zn, Cd and S elements were analyzed by X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS, Thermo ESCALAB250Xi) which was equipped with a standard monochromatic Al-Kα source (hv = 1486.6 eV), the binding energies were referred to C 1s peak (284.8 eV).

The PEC analysis was carried out on Zahner CIMPS electrochemical workstation (Germany) in a quartz cell, using a three-electrode cell with a Pt wire as the counter electrode, Ag/AgCl electrode as the reference electrode and FTO glass covered with photocatalyst as the working electrode. A 300 W Xe lamp (CEL-HXF 300, Beijing Au-light, China) was employed as an incident light source to study the PEC response of the samples, and Na₂SO₄ (0.2 M) solution was used as the electrolyte.

**Photocatalytic H₂ production**

The photocatalytic reactions were carried out in a Pyrex reaction cell connected to a closed gas circulation and evacuation system. Firstly, 50 mg prepared samples and H₂PtCl₆ solution (0.004 g mL⁻¹) containing 0.5 mg Pt were dispersed in 50 mL of mixed aqueous solution containing 40 mL deionized water and 10 mL sacrificial agent (lactic acid) in a Pyrex reaction cell with constant stirring. Then, the suspension was thoroughly...
degassed and irradiated by a Xe lamp (300 W) with a cutoff filter (λ greater than 420 nm). The amount of H2 was analyzed every 30 min using an online gas chromatography. The setup was designed by Liu et al., as shown in Fig. 1.

Results and discussion

The XRD patterns of the prepared samples are shown in Fig. 2a, where the diffraction peaks can be indexed as the hexagonal ZnS (PDF#36-1450) and hexagonal CdS (PDF#41-1049) for samples #1 and #4, respectively. While for samples #2 and #3, the diffraction peaks are composed with both hexagonal ZnS and hexagonal Zn,Cd1−xS (PDF#40-0836). With the increase of Cd precursor, the diffraction peaks belonging to Zn,Cd1−xS become stronger and the peak positions shift to low-angle side continuously. At the same time, the peak intensity assigned to hexagonal ZnS decreases gradually while its positions is nearly unchanged, indicating that the content of ZnS decreases constantly as shown in the magnified XRD pattern (Fig. 2b).

Moreover, from the typical peak positions and Vegard’s law, the lattice parameters of Zn,Cd1−xS solid solutions varies linearly with composition at constant temperature, from the parameters of sample #2 and #3, we further estimate that x is approximately to 0.3 in #2, and 0.2 in #3. The main lattice parameters were displayed in Table 1. The structure and the chemical composition of sample #3 were characterized using

Table 1  The main lattice parameters for samples #2 and #3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>d</th>
<th>(h k l)</th>
<th>2θ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>#2</td>
<td>3.5005</td>
<td>(100)</td>
<td>25.424</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#2</td>
<td>3.2940</td>
<td>(002)</td>
<td>27.047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#2</td>
<td>3.0912</td>
<td>(101)</td>
<td>28.858</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#3</td>
<td>3.5239</td>
<td>(100)</td>
<td>25.252</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#3</td>
<td>3.3105</td>
<td>(002)</td>
<td>26.910</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#3</td>
<td>3.1107</td>
<td>(101)</td>
<td>28.674</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 1  Scheme of photocatalytic reactor and closed gas circulation and evacuation system made of Pyrex glass.

Fig. 2  (a) and (b) XRD patterns of samples ZnS (#1), Zn,Cd1−xS/ZnS (#2, #3) and CdS (#4); (c) EDX spectrum for sample #3.
EDX spectroscopy, and the EDX spectrum clearly reveals the existence of Zn, Cd and Cu elements in the prepared samples, as shown in Fig. 2c.

The atomic weight percentages of the constituents are given in Table 2, we find that the metal element Zn is dominated in sample #3, and the compositional percentages of (Zn$^{2+}$ + Cd$^{2+}$) and S$^{2-}$ are in the required stoichiometric ratio, but larger than 1. So, there are a lot of sulfur vacancies in the prepared samples.

The morphologies of samples #1, #3 and #4 were investigated with SEM images as shown in Fig. 3. From Fig. 3a, sample #1 is composed with nanosheets, each nanosheet has a thickness of about 50 nm (inset of Fig. 3a), and sample #4 is composed with nanorods, each individual nanorod has a diameter of several tens nm and length of several hundred nm (Fig. 3b). Low- and high-magnification images of sample #3 were displayed in Fig. 3c and d, which show that after replacing Zn precursor with Cd, the products are mainly composed with nanosheets with some nanorods sparsely growing on the surface of ZnS nanosheets. Sample #2 has the same morphology as sample #3, but it is thicker and narrower than sample #3.

TEM and HRTEM measurements were carried out to investigate the microstructure features, and HAADF-STEM to determine the elements distribution. TEM images of selected Zn$_x$Cd$_{1-x}$S/ZnS are displayed in Fig. 4a, the nanorods with a diameter of ~20 nm were grown on the nanosheets, which is consistent with the result of SEM. Furthermore, from the HRTEM image (Fig. 4b), one can find that the well-resolved lattice fringes with separations of 3.53 and 3.31 Å matched well with the interplanar spacing of (100) and (002) of Zn$_{0.2}$Cd$_{0.8}$S with a high crystallinity, indicating that the Zn$_{0.2}$Cd$_{0.8}$S nanorods grows along the [001] direction. At the same time, the lattice spacing of 3.13 Å in the nanosheet matched well with the (002) plane of hexagonal ZnS. The result is in good agreement with XRD. From elemental mappings in Fig. 4c–f, it is found that Zn and S were well-distributed, while Cd mainly gathered in nanorod area, the results confirm that #2 and #3 were composed of both ZnS nanosheets and Zn$_x$Cd$_{1-x}$S nanorods, and Zn$_x$Cd$_{1-x}$S nanorods were sparsely distributed on the surface of ZnS nanosheets.

Therefore, from the XRD patterns, SEM and TEM images show that samples #2 and #3 were composed with ZnS/Zn$_x$Cd$_{1-x}$S heterostructures with ZnS nanosheets decorated with Zn$_x$Cd$_{1-x}$S nanorods.

The PL spectra were carried out to study the properties of electrons' recombination light emission. As displayed in Fig. 5a, a wide peak from 400 to 700 nm was observed for all the samples, while ZnS (sample #1) has the strongest PL intensity, which was ascribed to the sulfur-related, zinc-related vacancies and the surface states. A decrease in the fluorescence intensity in samples #2 and #3 implies the suppressed recombination of photogenic electron–hole pairs upon attaching Zn$_x$Cd$_{1-x}$S nanorods on ZnS nanosheets, and an increased photogenerated electron–hole separation, and the shift of peak position probably attributed to the transition at the interface.

UV-vis-NIR absorption spectra (Fig. 5b) reveal that samples #1 and #4 have only a single typical absorption edge, while two
absorption edges can be easily found in samples #2 and #3, one at ~358 nm is ascribed to ZnS, the other at ~500 for sample #2 and ~525 nm for sample #3 can be attributed to the intrinsic bandgap absorption of Zn$_x$Cd$_{1-x}$S. The observed two absorption edges in samples #2 and #3 reveal the formation of the Zn$_x$Cd$_{1-x}$S/ZnS heterostructures. Sample #3 has a stronger absorption and bigger absorption edge than sample #2. Then, the band gap energy is obtained as 3.46, 2.48, 2.35 and 2.19 eV for samples #1–#4, respectively.

The hollow porous structures of the as-prepared samples were elucidated by nitrogen adsorption/desorption measurements to obtain their BET surface areas. As shown in Fig. 5c, the BET surface area was calculated to be 149.3 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$ for pure ZnS nanosheets (#1), and 103.2, 82.3 and 20.9 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$ for #2, #3 and #4, respectively. From the results, one can find that pure CdS nanorods possess a low BET surface area, and sample #3 has larger BET surface than sample #2, which is favorable to adsorb molecules.

XPS measurements were used to investigate the surface composition of the four samples, the binding energies have been calibrated using the carbon C 1s peak (285.0 eV) as reference. Zn 2p spectra exhibited two peaks at ~1020.9 eV and 1043.8 eV, which were assigned to Zn 2p$_{3/2}$ and 2p$_{1/2}$ of Zn$^{2+}$, as shown in Fig. 6a. In comparison with sample #1, the Zn 2p binding energy in Zn$_x$Cd$_{1-x}$S/ZnS heterostructures (#2, #3) has a slightly shift to higher energy, indicating the decreased...
electron density around Zn atoms. The Zn 2p3/2 core level spectra can be deconvolved into two peaks, as shown in Fig. 6b, where the lower one is ascribed to zinc in the lattice, while the higher one was related to the Zn$^{2+}$ in the sulfur deficient regions. The relative intensity ratios between the higher one and total zinc are equal to 0.298, 0.280 and 0.285 for sample #1, #2 and #3, respectively. That means, many sulfur vacancies exist in sample #2 and #3, and the concentration is approximate,
consistent with the EDX results. The Cd 3d XPS spectra are shown in Fig. 6c, in which two peaks at 404.4 eV and 411.1 eV correspond to Cd 3d3/2 and 3d5/2, respectively, and there is no obvious peak shift between pure CdS nanorods and Zn0.5Cd0.5S/ZnS heterostructures. For S 2p, the peaks at about 161.0 eV and 162.2 eV belong to S 2p3/2 and S 2p1/2, as shown in Fig. 6d. With the introduction of Cd, the peaks of S shift to a lower binding energy, implying that the introduction of Cd atoms leads to the formation of Zn0.5Cd1−xS. The above XPS analyses demonstrated that the electron transformation from Zn and Cd to S in Zn0.5Cd1−xS/ZnS heterostructures can increase electron density of active sites and beneficial to the various reactivities.36,31

The apparent quantum efficiency was measured using the 300 W xenon lamp with a 420 nm band pass filters and calculated according to eqn (1):

\[
\text{AQE} (\%) = \frac{\text{number of reacted electrons}}{\text{number of incident photons}} \times 100\% = \frac{\text{number of evolved H}_2 \text{ molecules} \times 2}{\text{number of incident photons}} \times 100\% \quad (1)
\]

The photocatalytic H2 production was carried out under visible light irradiation (with a 420 nm cut filter) for samples ZnS, CdS and Zn0.2Cd0.8S/ZnS, as shown in Fig. 7a. From Fig. 7a, we found that pure ZnS nanosheets exhibit no photocatalytic activity due to the poor visible light absorbability, while ZnS nanosheets decorated with Zn0.2Cd0.8S nanorods reveal an enhanced photocatalytic activity. Sample Zn0.5Cd0.5S/ZnS has a hydrogen production rate of 9.2 mmol g\(^{-1}\) h\(^{-1}\), while Zn0.5Cd0.5S/ZnS (#3) achieved a H\(_2\) production rate up to 16.7 mmol g\(^{-1}\) h\(^{-1}\) with an apparent quantum efficiency of 10% at 420 nm, approximately 1.5 times of the former. The value is nearly three times of pure CdS nanorods (5.9 mmol h\(^{-1}\) g\(^{-1}\)).32 This result is larger than recently reported 10.8 mmol g\(^{-1}\) h\(^{-1}\) with Zn0.5Cd0.5S hybridized with carbon materials as catalysts,33 but smaller than the value of 23.264 mmol g\(^{-1}\) h\(^{-1}\) with Zn0.5Cd0.5S/dodecahedron ZIF-67 composite.30 Moreover, different from the reported severe photocorrosion happened in CdS materials,32–35 the as-prepared Zn0.2Cd0.8S/ZnS heterostructures have a relatively stable H\(_2\) reproduction with seven consecutive cycles (Fig. 7b), and the initial low rate of hydrogen production was mainly attributed to the activation process of the catalyst.

EIS measurements was carried out to understand the charge transfer process and the electron–hole separation efficiency occurring at the interface between the photoelectrode and electrolyte, as presented in Fig. 7c, the semicircle in the Nyquist plot of each sample corresponds to the parallel combination of R\(_{ct}\) and CPE1.36 The resultant values for the components of the EEC model corresponding to the best fit are presented in Table 3. The smaller diameter corresponds to the lower charge transfer resistance. One can find that sample #3 has smaller charge transfer resistance.

Mott–Schottky (M–S) plots were explored to determine the flatband potential (V\(_{fb}\)) for the four sample, as depicted in Fig. 7d. All curves show positive slopes, corroborating the n-type characteristics. M–S plots can also be used to estimate the electron carrier concentration using the following equation.37

\[
N_d = \left( \frac{2}{e_0 \varepsilon_0 A^2} \right) \left[ \frac{d(1/C_s^2)}{dV} \right]^{-1}, \quad (2)
\]

here \(e_0\) and \(\varepsilon_0\) are the electron charge with a value of 1.6 × 10\(^{-19}\) C, dielectric constant with a value of 10,38 and permittivity of vacuum with a value of 8.85 × 10\(^{-12}\) F m\(^{-1}\), respectively. \(A\), \(V\) and \(C_s\) are the area of the film electrode, the bias applied on the electrode and surface capacitance, respectively. From Fig. 7d, Zn0.2Cd0.8S/ZnS (#3) has the smallest value of slope, so it has the highest carrier concentration up to 2.42 × 10\(^{19}\), larger than the value of 1.95 × 10\(^{19}\), 1.98 × 10\(^{19}\) and 1.88 × 10\(^{19}\) cm\(^{-3}\) for sample #1, #2 and #4, respectively. The higher carrier concentration results from the sulfur vacancy. Therefore, the enhanced H\(_2\) production rate of sample #3 can be ascribed to the improved charge transfer activity. Besides, the flat-band potentials derived from the M–S plots are equal to −0.474, −0.433, −0.558 and −0.568 V versus Ag/AgCl electrode at neutral solution for #1, #2, #3 and #4, respectively, that correspond to the related conduction band versus Ag/AgCl electrode.39

In consideration of band gap energy and the conduction band potential versus Ag/AgCl electrode, the energy band alignment can be obtained for sample #3, as shown in Fig. 8. The figure shows that it is type-II heterojunction for Zn0.2Cd0.8S/ZnS heterostructure. For Zn0.2Cd0.8S/ZnS heterostructure, under visible-light irradiation (\(\lambda > 420\) nm), electrons will be excited from the conduction band of ZnCdS nanorods to the valence band due to the lower band gap energy. As the conduction potential of ZnCdS is more negative than that of ZnS, the excited electrons will transit from the conduction band of ZnCdS nanorods to that of

![Fig. 8](image_url)
ZnS nanosheets, resulting in the separation of electron–hole pair. Due to the existence of internal fields, the potential barrier at the interface of the heterostructure prevents photogenerated back to ZnCdS nanorods, the photogenerated electrons have a longer lifetime. At the same time, sample #3 has higher electron carriers, meaning that the electrons could be rapidly transmitted to start reduction reaction with H2O, producing hydrogen, while the hole sacrificial agent L-lactic acid in the system consumed 16.7 mmol g−1/C0 to reduce sample #3 than sample #2 can be ascribed to shorter charge transfer resistance, and the stronger optical absorption, the lower charge transfer resistance will accelerate electrons' transition to participate the reduction reaction with H2O and the stronger visible light absorption of sample #3 can provide more photogenerated electrons for hydrogen production (2H+ + 2e− → H2).

Conclusion

In summary, pure ZnS nanosheets, CdS nanorods and ZnxCd1−xS/ZnS composites were synthesized via simple hydrothermal and annealing reaction methods. ZnxCd1−xS/ZnS heterostructures were composed with ZnS nanosheets decorated with some ZnxCd1−xS nanorods. Type-II Zn0.2Cd0.8S/ZnS heterostructures have a lower charge transfer resistance, and stronger optical absorption, as well as a well-matched band interface of the heterostructure prevents photogenerated back to 16.7 mmol g−1/C0. But these phenomena effectively promoted the photoinduced electron–hole separation and resulted in the excellent photocatalytic performance. The better photocatalytic H2 reproduction of sample #3 than sample #2 can be ascribed to the lower charge transfer reaction and the stronger optical absorption, the lower charge transfer resistance will accelerate electrons' transition to participate the reduction reaction with H2O and the stronger visible light absorption of sample #3 can provide more photogenerated electrons for hydrogen production (2H+ + 2e− → H2).
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