# **RSC** Advances



View Article Online

View Journal | View Issue

# PAPER

Check for updates

Cite this: RSC Adv., 2021, 11, 16834

Received 2nd April 2021 Accepted 21st April 2021 DOI: 10.1039/d1ra02614c

rsc.li/rsc-advances

# Introduction

Nanostructured Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub> composite particles with enhanced NIR reflectance for application to LiDAR detectable cool pigments

Hyeon Ju Lee,<sup>a</sup> Kyeong Youl Jung<sup>1</sup><sup>a</sup> and Young-Seok Kim<sup>b</sup>

Nanostructured Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub> composite pigments with improved NIR reflectance were prepared by a homogeneous precipitation method using urea and NH<sub>4</sub>OH. The optical and morphological properties of the resulting pigment were investigated by varying the weight ratio of Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> to TiO<sub>2</sub> and the calcination temperature. The resulting composite pigment has a nanostructure in which Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> nanoparticles of 20–30 nm size are well coated on the surface of TiO<sub>2</sub> (~100 nm) and the reflectance is greatly improved in the wavelength range of 620–1350 nm. The ratio of Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> to TiO<sub>2</sub> and the calcination temperature were optimized to provide both high NIR reflectance and red color, which were 0.1 and 700 °C. As a result, compared with pure Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> ( $E_g = 2.06 \text{ eV}$ ,  $a^* = 22.6$ ), the optimized Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub> composite pigment ( $E_g = 2.09 \text{ eV}$ ,  $a^* = 24.8$ ) showed similar color properties and improved NIR reflectance by about 23.8%. In addition, the Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub> composite pigment showed about 62.7% larger reflectance at 905 nm than Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>. According to a temperature rise test under IR illumination, the Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/ TiO<sub>2</sub> composite pigment was confirmed to have improved heat shielding properties. Therefore, the nanostructured Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub> powder could be potentially applied as a LiDAR detectable cool red pigment for autonomous vehicles.

Energy consumption has gradually increased with the advent of various high-tech devices and an increase in the population. As a result, the use of fossil fuels required for energy production and human activities has increased, resulting in many social and environmental problems.1-3 Thus, a lot of effort is being devoted to technology development that can effectively reduce energy consumption.4-6 In particular, the heat island phenomena caused by urbanization increases the energy consumed by the building's heating and cooling.7,8 Sunlight consists of 5% ultraviolet light, 43% visible light, and 52% near infrared (NIR) light.9 Among them, many NIR lights are known to cause urban heat islands and increase the indoor temperature of buildings in the summer. Accordingly, paints with high infrared reflectance are attracting much attention in terms of reducing the energy use for maintaining indoor temperature in the summer by preventing heat islands in cities and improving the heat shielding properties of buildings.<sup>10-12</sup> In addition, infrared reflective paints are required for rapidly developing autonomous driving cars. LiDAR (Light Detection and Ranging,

LiDAR) is a core sensor for autonomous vehicles.<sup>13,14</sup> The LiDAR sensor shoots a laser of a specific wavelength (905 nm or 1550 nm) that is invisible to the eye and then detects the light returned by colliding with an object to measure the distance, movement direction, and shape of the object. In order for LiDAR to work effectively, the paint applied to a vehicle or a road sign must have good infrared reflection properties. Accordingly, in order to improve the sensing performance of LiDAR installed in autonomous vehicles, the development of pigments with excellent reflective properties at 905 nm near-infrared rays is receiving great interest.

Inorganic pigments are small particulate substances that do not dissolve in solvents and have excellent hiding power, heat resistance, and light resistance properties. TiO<sub>2</sub> and Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> are representative white and red inorganic pigments used in various applications.<sup>15</sup> In particular, TiO<sub>2</sub> is used as a pigment for cosmetics and heat shielding paints because it has excellent ultraviolet absorption ability and high reflectance of infrared as well as visible light.<sup>16</sup> Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> pigments show differences in optical properties according to the particle size and shape,17 and are used in a variety of applications including photocatalysts, ceramics, cosmetics, and industrial paints by improving chromaticity and NIR reflectance.18-20 Referring to recent literature,20,21 when SiO2 or TiO<sub>2</sub> is coated on the surface of Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, NIR reflectance can be improved. On the contrary, there is a report that the NIR reflectance of the red pigment is improved by coating Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Department of Chemical Engineering, Kongju National University, 1224-24 Cheonan-Daero, Seobuk-gu, Cheonan, Chungnam 31080, Republic of Korea. E-mail: kyjung@kongju.ac.kr

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>Display Research Center, Korea Electronics Technology Institute, 25, Saenari-ro, Bundang-gu, Seoungnam-si, Kyounggi-do, 13509, Korea

on the surface of the plate-shaped mica particles having excellent NIR reflecting properties, *i.e.*, the NIR reflectance of the red pigment can be increased by coating a material having high NIR reflectance on the surface of  $Fe_2O_3$  or, conversely, coating  $Fe_2O_3$  on the surface of a large NIR reflective material. Nevertheless, as far as the authors know, there is no optical study of a red composite pigment when  $Fe_2O_3$  nanoparticles are coated on the TiO<sub>2</sub> surface.

The main goal of this work is to design a novel nanostructured red pigment that shows improved performance for 905 nm LiDAR light reflection and heat shielding properties. To do this, in this study, nanostructured  $Fe_2O_3/TiO_2$  composite pigment particles were prepared by coating  $Fe_2O_3$  particles having a size in the range of tens of nanometer on the surface of about 100 nm TiO<sub>2</sub> using a homogeneous precipitation method. The optical properties of the composite pigment was investigated with changing the synthetic temperature and the weight ratio of  $Fe_2O_3$  to TiO<sub>2</sub>.

### Experimental

A homogenous precipitation method was applied to prepare a nanostructured Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub> composite inorganic pigment (FT-X). TiO<sub>2</sub> (anatase, AVENTION) nanoparticles of about 100 nm size, Fe(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>·9H<sub>2</sub>O (Sigma-Aldrich, 98%), urea (JUNSEI, 98%), and NH<sub>4</sub>OH (Aldrich) were used as the starting precursors. The procedure of coating Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> on the surface of TiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles is as follows. In the first, the Fe precursor solution was prepared to dissolve  $Fe(NO_3)_3 \cdot 9H_2O$  in a 500 mL beaker containing 150 mL of distilled water. Next, TiO<sub>2</sub> colloidal solution was prepared by adding 5 g of  $TiO_2$  and urea (16.5 g) to a 500 mL three-necked flask containing 150 mL distilled water and ultrasonically dispersed for 30 min. When the TiO<sub>2</sub> colloidal solution was heated and reached 85 °C, the prepared Fe precursor aqueous solution was injected into the TiO<sub>2</sub> colloidal solution at a flow rate of 1.5 mL min<sup>-1</sup> using a peristaltic pump and maintained for 4 h. Thereafter, the temperature of the resulting pigment solution was lowered to room temperature and then, diluted aqueous ammonia (10% v/v) was added to complete the precipitation of all the Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> nanoparticles on the TiO<sub>2</sub> surface. The resulting precipitate was withdrawn by vacuum filtration. The amount of Fe(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>·9H<sub>2</sub>O was adjusted so that the weight ratio of Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> to TiO<sub>2</sub> was 0.5 (FT-1), 1.0 (FT-2), and 1.5 (FT-3). The withdrawn precipitate was dried in a convection oven at 100 °C and calcined at different temperatures in the range of 500 to 800 °C for 3 h in a tubular furnace flowing 200 mL min<sup>-1</sup> of air.

The microstructure and the size of the prepared powder were confirmed through SEM (Scanning Electron Microscope System, Hitachi S-4800) and FE-TEM (Field Emission Transmission Electron Microscope, JEM-2100F, JEOL) analysis. To identify the crystalline phase of the synthesized pigment, XRD (X-ray diffraction, MiniFlex600, Rigaku) was measured from  $2\theta = 20^{\circ}$  to 70° at a scan speed of 2° min<sup>-1</sup>. The reflectance of the prepared pigment powder was measured with a UV-visible-NIR spectrophotometer (Cary 5000 UV-vis-NIR, Agilent). Using the measured reflectance and standard solar irradiation (ASTM



Fig. 1 Schematic diagram showing the experimental setup for the temperature rise test of the prepared pigments.

G173-03), infrared reflectance ( $R_{NIR}$ ) and total reflectance ( $R_{total}$ ) were calculated using the following eqn (1) and (2), respectively.

$$R_{\rm NIR} = \frac{\int_{700}^{2500} r(\lambda)i(\lambda)d\lambda}{\int_{700}^{2500} i(\lambda)d\lambda}$$
(1)

$$R_{\text{total}} = \frac{\int_{280}^{2500} r(\lambda)i(\lambda)d\lambda}{\int_{280}^{2500} i(\lambda)d\lambda}$$
(2)

where  $i(\lambda)$  is the standard solar irradiation (ASTM G173-03) and  $r(\lambda)$  is the reflection value of the pigment.

In order to measure the  $L^*a^*b^*$  color coordinates and heat shielding properties of the prepared powder, a pigment film (10 cm  $\times$  10 cm) having a thickness of about 40–50  $\mu$ m was prepared on an aluminum plate using the doctor blade method. The prepared Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub> pigment (0.5 g), resin (4.5 g), and curing agent (1.0 g) were uniformly mixed in a planetary mixer to make a pigment paste. From the measured  $L^*a^*b^*$  values, the chroma ( $C^*$ ) value, the hue angle ( $h^\circ$ ), and the color difference  $(\Delta E)$  of each pigment were calculated using the formula  $C^* =$  $(a^{*2} + b^{*2})^{1/2}$ ,  $h^{\circ} = \tan^{-1}(b^{*}/a^{*})$ , and  $(\Delta E)^{2} = (\Delta L^{*})^{2} + (\Delta a^{*})^{2} + (\Delta a^{*})^{2}$  $(\Delta b^*)^2$ , respectively. In order to check the NIR reflection performance of the Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub> (FT-X) pigments, the temperature of the film formed on the aluminium plate was measured using a thermocouple for 50 minutes at an interval of 5 seconds under irradiation with an infrared lamp (IR 250 RH IR2 230 V 250 W, PHILIPS). A simple schematic diagram of the experimental setup for measuring the heat shielding performance is shown in Fig. 1.

#### **Results and discussion**

Fig. 2 shows the photos of the prepared  $Fe_2O_3/TiO_2$  pigment nanoparticles, in which the horizontal direction shows the change in the heat treatment temperature and the vertical direction shows a change in the weight ratio of  $Fe_2O_3$  to  $TiO_2$ . When the amount of  $Fe_2O_3$  increases from sample FT-1 to FT-3 at the same heat treatment temperature, the color of the  $Fe_2O_3$ . TiO<sub>2</sub> powder approaches the color of pure  $Fe_2O_3$ . When observed with naked eye at a fixed  $Fe_2O_3/TiO_2$  ratio (FT-*X*, *X* = 1, 2, 3), the color of the pigment did not change significantly as the heat treatment temperature increased from 500 °C to 700 °C. However, at 800 °C, the FT-2 and FT-3 powder colors showed a darker red color than that of the samples calcined at low temperature, and FT-1 and pure  $Fe_2O_3$  changed to completely **RSC** Advances



Fig. 2 Photos of the  $Fe_2O_3$  and  $Fe_2O_3/TiO_2$  composite (FT-X) pigments prepared at different  $Fe_2O_3$  content (vertical axis) and calcination temperature (horizontal axis).

different colors. Therefore, in order for the Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub> pigment powder to have a color similar to that of pure Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, the weight ratio of Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> to TiO<sub>2</sub> should be 1.0 or higher and the post-heat treatment temperature should be 700 °C or lower.

Fig. 3 shows the XRD results of the  $Fe_2O_3$  and  $Fe_2O_3/TiO_2$ (FT-2) pigments prepared by the homogeneous precipitation method and calcined at different temperatures. The diffraction peaks observed in Fig. 3(a) are consistent with  $\alpha$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (JCPDS # 01-080-2377). In the XRD result of the Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> sample, no other change was observed except that the intensity of the diffraction peak increased as the calcination temperature changed from 500 °C to 800 °C. Fig. 3(b) is the XRD diffraction patterns of the  $Fe_2O_3/TiO_2$  (FT-2) composite pigment, and the observed peaks agree well with the anatase TiO<sub>2</sub> (JCPDS # 01-078-2486) and  $\alpha$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>. As the calcination temperature increases from 500 °C to 800  $^{\circ}C\text{, the TiO}_2$  and  $\alpha\text{-Fe}_2\text{O}_3$  crystal phases coexist, and no diffraction peaks were observed for the specific impurity phase. From the XRD result for pure Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, magnetite Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, which is a dark source, was not formed as an impurity phase. Nevertheless, even if the XRD peak is not detected, there is still a possibility of the presence of FeO or Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> on the surface of the hematite  $Fe_2O_3$  particles, *i.e.*, a part of the surface of  $Fe_2O_3$ can be reduced by itself at 800 °C, which is thought to be the reason for making the particles appear dark red. From the measured XRD diffraction information, the crystal size (D) of Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> was calculated using Scherrer's equation

$$D = \frac{K\lambda}{\beta\cos\theta} \tag{3}$$

where *K* is the Scherrer constant (K = 0.9),  $\lambda$  is the wavelength of the X-ray used (0.15406 nm),  $\beta$  is the Full Width at Half Maximum (FWHM) of  $\alpha$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, and  $\theta$  is the diffraction angle. Fig. 3(c) shows the calculated Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> crystallite size in pure Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub> (FT-2) composite pigment powder as a function of temperature. In the case of pure Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, the crystallite size increases linearly as the calculation temperature increases. The increase in the Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> crystallite size in the Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub> (FT-2) composite pigment be the Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub> (FT-2) composite pigment provide the fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub> (FT-2) composite pigment be the fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> crystallite for the pure fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> crystallite for the fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> crystallite for the pure fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> crystallite for the pure fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> crystallite for the fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> crystallite for the pure fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> crystallite for the pure fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> crystallite for the fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> crystallite for the pu



Fig. 3 XRD results of (a)  $Fe_2O_3$  and (b)  $Fe_2O_3/TiO_2$  (FT-2) calcined at different temperatures from 500 °C to 800 °C. (c) Crystallite size of  $Fe_2O_3$  as a function of temperature.

due to the increase in the calcination temperature, and in particular, the crystallite size decreased at 800 °C. In other words, the growth of  $Fe_2O_3$  crystals depending on the heat treatment temperature is suppressed when coating on the  $TiO_2$  surface, and  $Fe_2O_3$  crystals cannot grow anymore at 800 °C or higher.

As shown in Fig. 2, the color of the  $Fe_2O_3/TiO_2$  (FT-1) composite pigment shows a big difference at the calcination temperature of 700 °C and 800 °C but there is no significant difference in the color of the FT-2 and FT-3 samples. To investigate why this color dependence differs with the calcination temperature, XRD analysis was performed on the FT-X composite pigments and the resulting XRD pattern is shown in Fig. 4. At 700 °C (Fig. 4(a)), as the amount of Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> increases, the intensity of the anatase TiO<sub>2</sub> peak decreases and the α-Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> peak intensity increases. In addition, there is no significant difference in the position of the diffraction peak regardless of the amount of Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, and the observed peaks are in good agreement with the anatase  $TiO_2$  and  $\alpha$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> peaks. At 800 °C (Fig. 4(b)), the peaks observed in the FT-2 and FT-3 samples agree well with the anatase  $TiO_2$  and  $\alpha$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> peaks. However, in the case of the FT-1 sample, a strong diffraction peak of TiO<sub>2</sub> anatase was observed but the peak corresponding to  $\alpha$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> was weakened; instead, strong peaks corresponding to rutile  $TiO_2(\Delta)$  and  $FeTiO_3(\clubsuit)$  appeared. Also, the FT-1 sample calcined at 800 °C has new weak peaks corresponding to Fe2- $TiO_5(\blacklozenge)$ , which is the result of the formation of iron titanate

Paper

compound through solid reaction between  $Fe_2O_3$  and  $TiO_2$  at 800 °C, as shown below.

$$Fe_2O_3 + 2TiO_2 \rightarrow 2FeTiO_3 + 1/2O_2$$
 (4)

$$Fe_2O_3 + TiO_2 \rightarrow Fe_2TiO_5$$
 (5)

In FT-2 and FT-3 samples containing relatively large amounts of Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, no diffraction peaks of iron titanate compounds are observed even at 800 °C. These results indicate that for the FT-2 and FT-3 samples with enough Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> nanoparticles compared to the TiO<sub>2</sub> surface, most of the Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> nanoparticles do not react with TiO<sub>2</sub> but with other neighboring Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> particles, first participating in particle growth. On the other hand, in the case of FT-1, since the particle size of Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> formed on the TiO<sub>2</sub> surface is small and its number concentration is low, it seems that Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> reacts with TiO<sub>2</sub> first to form an iron titanate compound rather than react with other Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> particles to grow.

Fig. 5 shows the SEM photos of the prepared Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and FT-X composite pigments calcined at 700 °C. The SEM photo of the TiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticle used as the precursor is also included in Fig. 5(a). The particle size distribution of  $TiO_2$  is in the inset of Fig. 5(a), indicating that the average size is about 100 nm and is in good agreement with the size observed in the SEM. Fig. 5(b) is a SEM photograph of pure Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> powder prepared by a homogeneous precipitation method without TiO2. The particle size of the prepared Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> is about 30-40 nm. For the FT-X composite pigment powder, some Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> nanoparticles adhere to the TiO<sub>2</sub> surface or exist independently. Particularly at high Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> content such as FT-3, there are numerous Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> nanoparticles that are separated without attaching to the TiO<sub>2</sub> surface. The size of Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> in the FT-X composite is smaller than that of pure  $Fe_2O_3$ . This supports the suppression of the growth of  $Fe_2O_3$ particles in the FT-X composite pigment particles during the calcination process. Even if the amount of Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> increases, the



Fig. 4 XRD patterns of  $Fe_2O_3$  and FT-X composite pigments calcined at (a) 700 °C and (b) 800 °C.



Fig. 5 SEM photos of (a)  $TiO_2$  nanoparticles, (b)  $Fe_2O_3$ , (c) FT-1, (d) FT-2, and (e) FT-3 pigment powders.

particle size of  $Fe_2O_3$  coated on the  $TiO_2$  surface does not change significantly.

Fig. 6 shows a TEM photo, SAED pattern, and elemental mapping for the FT-2 composite pigment powder calcined at 700 °C. The TEM result indicates that Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> nanoparticles are about 20–30 nm in size and adhere well on the TiO<sub>2</sub> surface. In addition, there is no significant agglomeration between the Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub> composite particles. The SAED pattern indicates that the FT-2 pigment has high crystallinity and the observed bright spots correspond to the (101), (004), (200), and (204) planes of anatase TiO<sub>2</sub> and the (104), (110), (113), and (116) planes of  $\alpha$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>. The elemental mapping results indicate that in the FT-2 composite pigment, the relatively large particles are TiO<sub>2</sub> and the smaller nanoparticles are Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> attached to the TiO<sub>2</sub> surface. Fig. 7 shows the schematic diagram of the



Fig. 6 TEM photos (a–c), SAED pattern (d), and elemental mapping (e) for nanostructured  $Fe_2O_3/TiO_2$  composite pigment (FT-2) calcined at 700 °C.



Fig. 7 Schematic diagram showing the formation mechanism of nanostructured  $Fe_2O_3/TiO_2$  composite pigments through the homogeneous precipitation method using urea and NH<sub>4</sub>OH.

formation mechanism of  $Fe_2O_3/TiO_2$  composite pigments based on XRD, SEM, TEM, and EDS analysis. When the Fe precursor solution including TiO<sub>2</sub> particles and urea is heated to 85 °C, nanoparticles of iron hydroxide (FeOOH) are first formed by the decomposition of urea. Thereafter, the formed FeOOH nanoparticles are further precipitated to the TiO<sub>2</sub> surface by increasing the pH of the solution using NH<sub>4</sub>OH. The resulting FeOOH/TiO<sub>2</sub> is changed to Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub> through calcination.

The  $L^*a^*b^*$  color coordinates were measured for the FT-*X* composite pigments, and the resulting  $L^*$ ,  $a^*$ , and  $b^*$  values are



Fig. 8 Calcination temperature effect on the optical properties of nanostructured  $Fe_2O_3/TiO_2$  (FT-X) pigments: (a) L\*, (b) a\*, (c) b\*, and (d) C\* values.

shown in Fig. 8 as a function of the calcination temperature. The  $L^*$  value representing the brightness is 100 for white and close to 0 for black.  $a^*$  has a (+) value if the pigment is red and a (-) value if the pigment is green.  $b^*$  has a (+) value if the pigment is yellow and a (-) value if the pigment is blue. For red pigments, the  $a^*$  value should be as large as possible, whereas for the  $b^*$  value, the closer it is to zero, the better. In the case of the FT-1 sample with the lowest  $Fe_2O_3$  content, the  $L^*$ ,  $a^*$ , and  $b^*$  values tend to increase as the calcination temperature increases. For the FT-2 sample, there is no significant change in the  $L^*$  and  $b^*$  values with changing temperature, whereas the *b*\* values increase slightly. In the case of the FT-3 pigment, the dependence of the  $L^*$ ,  $a^*$ , and  $b^*$  values on the calcination temperature is a similar to that of the  $Fe_2O_3$  powder, *i.e.*, the  $L^*$ ,  $a^*$ , and  $b^*$  values increase to a temperature of 700 °C and decrease at 800 °C. The  $L^*a^*b^*$  value of the FT-X composite pigment increases as the Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> content decreases when compared at fixed temperature. The chroma value shows a temperature dependence similar to the  $a^*$  value except for 800 °C. As a result of considering the  $L^*a^*b^*$  color coordinates, the optimum calcination temperature was determined to be 700 °C to obtain the  $Fe_2O_3/TiO_2$  composite red pigment powder. For the Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub> (FT-X) composite pigments calcined at 700 °C, the CIE  $L^*a^*b^*$  color coordinates, chroma (C\*), hue angle  $(h^{\circ})$ , and color difference  $(\Delta E)$  are summarized in Table 1. Pure  $Fe_2O_3$  shows  $L^* = 35.0$ ,  $a^* = 22.6$ ,  $b^* = 17.7$ ,  $C^* = 28.7$ , and  $h^{\circ} = 38.1$ . In the FT-X composite pigments, the  $h^{\circ}$  value steadily increases as the ratio of Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> to TiO<sub>2</sub> decreases. The color difference ( $\Delta E$ ) between the Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and composite pigments ranges from 3.0 to 20.5 depending on the weight ratio of Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> to TiO<sub>2</sub>. In particular, for the FT-1 pigment, the color difference is about 20.5, indicating that the powder color is significantly different from Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, as seen in the photo of each powder in Fig. 2.

Fig. 9 shows the UV-visible-NIR reflectance spectra of the FT-X pigment calcined at 700 °C, indicating that the reflectance is highly dependent on the weight ratio of  $Fe_2O_3$  to TiO<sub>2</sub>. Notably, the reflectance of the FT-3 sample with the highest amount of  $Fe_2O_3$  is similar to that of pure  $Fe_2O_3$  powder at wavelengths less than about 620 nm. This reflects that the hue powder color of FT-3 is close to that of  $Fe_2O_3$ . On the other hand, in the wavelength range from about 550 nm to 610 nm, the FT-1 and FT-2

Table 1  $L^*a^*b^*$  color coordinates, chroma, hue angle, and color difference for nanostructured Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub> (FT-X) pigments calcined at 700 °C

Pigments	FT-1	FT-2	FT-3	Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	
$Fe_2O_3/TiO_2^a$	0.5	1	1.5	_	
$L^*$	46.9	39.6	37.2	35	
a*	31.9	24.8	24	22.6	
<i>b</i> *	31.5	21.9	19.2	17.7	
$C^*$	44.8	33.1	31.2	28.7	
$h^{\circ}$	44.6	41.4	38.7	38.1	
$\Delta E$	20.5	6.6	3	0	

<sup>a</sup> Weight ratio.



Fig. 9 UV-visible-NIR diffused reflectance of nanostructured Fe $_2O_3/$  TiO $_2$  (FT-X) pigments calcined at 700  $^\circ C.$ 

samples show a significant increase in the reflectance at the wavelength range from about 550 nm to 610 nm, as shown in the inset of Fig. 9. At wavelength less than 550 nm, both the FT-1 and FT-2 samples show a slight increase in the reflectance compared with the FT-3 sample.

The NIR reflectance  $(R_{NIR})$  and total reflectance  $(R_{total})$  of the prepared Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and FT-X composite pigments were calculated using the formula (1) and (2), respectively. The resulting reflectance values are shown in Table 2. The calculated NIR reflectance of Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> is about 51.2%. The NIR reflectance of FT-3, FT-2, and FT-1 composite pigments was 55.8%, 63.4%, and 68.2%, respectively, *i.e.*, compared to Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, the NIR reflectance of FT-3, FT-2, and FT-1 pigments were improved by 9.0%, 23.8%, and 33.2%, respectively. Like the NIR reflectance, the total reflectance of the FT-X pigments is higher about 7.4% for FT-3, 25.1% for FT-2, and 37.2% for FT-3 than Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>. To evaluate the LiDAR reflection performance of the prepared FT-X pigments, the reflectance at 905 nm is summarized in Table 2. At 905 nm wavelength, the reflectance of the FT-X pigments is greater than that of Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (28.7%) and increases in the order of FT-3 (35.2%) < FT-2

Table 2 Band gap, NIR reflectance, and total reflectance for nano-structured Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub> (FT-X) pigments calcined at 700  $^{\circ}$ C<sup>a</sup>

		Reflectance [%]							
Pigment	$E_{\rm g} \left[ {\rm eV}  ight]$	R <sub>NIR</sub>	Δ	R <sub>Total</sub>	Δ	R <sub>@905</sub>	Δ		
FT-1	2.13	68.2	+33.2	50.2	+37.2	56.8	97.9		
FT-2	2.09	63.4	+23.8	45.8	+25.1	46.7	62.7		
FT-3	2.04	55.8	+9.0	39.3	+7.4	35.2	22.6		
$Fe_2O_3$	2.06	51.2	0	36.6	0	28.7	0		

<sup>*a*</sup>  $\Delta = (R/R_{\mathrm{Fe}_{2}\mathrm{O}_{3}} - 1) \times 100$ 

(46.7%) < FT-1 (56.8%), *i.e.*, the 905 nm NIR reflectance for LiDAR was improved by 22.6%, 62.7%, and 97.9% for the composite pigments FT-3, FT-2, and FT-1, respectively. From the reflectance results, it was confirmed that the red and NIR reflectance can be greatly improved in the wavelength range of 620 nm to 1350 nm by coating Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> nanoparticles on the TiO<sub>2</sub> surface.

Band gap is an important variable in evaluating the optical properties of nano-sized pigments. The band gap  $(E_g)$  of the prepared FT-*X* composite pigment powder can be calculated from the Kubelka–Munk equation and Tauc plot using the diffuse reflectance data.

$$F(R) = \frac{K}{S} = \frac{(1-R)^2}{2R}$$
(6)

$$F(R)hv = A(hv - E_g)^n \tag{7}$$

where R is the reflectance of pigments, K is the molar absorption coefficient, S is the scattering factor,  $h\nu$  is the photon energy, and A is the absorption constant. In the eqn (7), the exponent is n = 1/2 for direct bandgap and n = 2 for indirect bandgap. Thus, the direct band gap of the prepared pigments can be calculated from the Tauc plot of  $[F(R)hv]^2$ versus hv. Fig. 10 shows the Tauc plots for the Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and FT-X pigments, and the resulting band gaps are summarized in Table 2. Pure Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> nanoparticles have a band gap of about 2.06 eV. The band gaps of the FT-1, FT-2, and FT-3 composite pigments are 2.13 eV, 2.09 eV, and 2.04 eV, respectively, i.e., as the amount of  $Fe_2O_3$  coated on  $TiO_2$  decreases, the band gap of the FT-X composite pigments tends to slightly increase, which is in good agreement with the changes in the powder color and hue values. Based on the results obtained so far, it was concluded that the most suitable composite pigment in terms of improving the near-infrared reflectance with a color similar to pure Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> was the FT-2 sample with a ratio of Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> to TiO<sub>2</sub> of 1.0.



Fig. 10 Tauc plot of nanostructured  $Fe_2O_3/TiO_2$  (FT-X) pigments calcined at 700 °C: (a) FT-1, (b) FT-2, (c) FT-3, and (d)  $Fe_2O_3$ .



Fig. 11 (a) Temperature change as a function of time and (b) equilibrium temperature as a function of total reflectance ( $R_{total}$ ) for the pigment films.

The NIR reflection performance of the prepared FT-X pigments was investigated through a temperature rise test of the pigment film formed on an aluminum plate under the illumination of an IR lamp. Fig. 11(a) shows the temperature of the pigment film as a function of the illumination time of the IR lamp. The temperature of each pigment film rises rapidly and reaches equilibrium after about 30 min. The equilibrium temperature of pure Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> is about 75.7 °C, and the FT-3, FT-2, and FT-1 pigments show equilibrium temperatures of 74.4 °C, 70.3 °C, and 67.9 °C, respectively. Fig. 11(b) is a plot of the equilibrium temperature versus the total reflectance  $(R_{total})$ , indicating that the temperature of the pigment film is inversely proportional to the total reflectance. In other words, the higher the total reflectivity, the lower the temperature rise. From this result, the FT-X composite pigment was proved to have an improved heat shielding property.

## Conclusions

Nanostructured Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub> composite pigments were synthesized using a homogeneous precipitation method, and the optical and morphological properties of the resulting pigments were investigated. The prepared composite pigments showed a nanostructured form in which Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> nanoparticles with about 20 to 30 nm size were anchored on the surface of TiO<sub>2</sub> of about 100 nm size. When the calcination temperature was below 700 °C, the prepared composite pigments did not form impurities regardless of the ratio of  $Fe_2O_3$  to TiO<sub>2</sub>, and had a highly crystalline  $\alpha$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and anatase TiO<sub>2</sub> phase. Coating Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> nanoparticles on the TiO<sub>2</sub> surface can greatly improve the reflectance of the red pigments in the wavelength range from 620 nm to 1350 nm. In terms of achieving the high near-infrared reflectance and keeping the powder color red, the optimum weight ratio of Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> to TiO<sub>2</sub> and the calcination temperature were 1.0 and 700 °C, respectively. As a result, the total NIR reflectance and 905 nm LiDAR reflectance of Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub> pigments prepared under optimal synthetic conditions were improved by 23.8% and 62.7%, respectively. From the temperature rise test, it was proven that the nanostructured Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub> composite pigment exhibits improved heat shielding properties.

# Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

## Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Industrial Technology Innovation Program (Grant No. 20004663) funded By the Ministry of Trade, Industry & Energy (MI, Korea).

# Notes and references

- 1 I. Khan, F. Hou and H. P. Le, *Sci. Total Environ.*, 2021, 754, 142222.
- 2 M. K. Anser, Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res., 2019, 26, 13453-13463.
- 3 R. B. Jackson, C. Le Quéré, R. M. Andrew, J. G. Canadell, J. I. Korsbakken, Z. Liu, G. P. Peters and B. Zheng, *Environ. Res. Lett.*, 2018, **13**, 120401.
- 4 B. Lin and J. Zhu, Sci. Total Environ., 2019, 659, 1505-1512.
- 5 K. He and L. Wang, *Renewable Sustainable Energy Rev.*, 2017, 70, 1022–1039.
- 6 T. Wang, F. Xiao, X. Zhu, B. Huang, J. Wang and S. Amirkhanian, *J. Cleaner Prod.*, 2018, **180**, 139–158.
- 7 V. Pérez-Andreu, C. Aparicio-Fernández, A. Martínez-Ibernón and J.-L. Vivancos, *Energy*, 2018, **165**, 63–74.
- 8 H. Wang and Q. Chen, Energy Build., 2014, 82, 428-436.
- 9 A. Han, M. Zhao, M. Ye, J. Liao, Z. Zhang and N. Li, *Sol. Energy*, 2013, **91**, 32–36.
- 10 M. Santamouris, A. Synnefa and T. karlessi, *Sol. Energy*, 2011, **85**, 3085–3102.
- 11 M. Santamouris and G. Y. Yun, *Renewable Energy*, 2020, **161**, 792–807.
- 12 M. Mazhar, M. Abdouss, F. Zarifi and M. Zrgaran, *Pigm. Resin Technol.*, 2020, **49**, 265–271.
- 13 Y. Li and J. Ibanez-Guzman, *IEEE Signal Process. Mag.*, 2020, 37, 50–61.
- 14 J. H. Kim, V. Patil, J. M. Chun, H. S. Park, S. W. Seo and Y. S. Kim, *MRS Adv.*, 2020, 5, 515–522; A. Laplaza, E. Jimenez-Relinque, J. Campos and M. Castellote, *Constr. Build. Mater. Constr. Build. Mater.*, 2017, 144, 300–310.
- 15 H. J. Kim, H. J. Lee and D.-S. Kim, *Mater. Des.*, 2018, 150, 188–192.
- 16 H. Katsuki and S. Komarneni, *J. Am. Ceram. Soc.*, 2003, **86**, 183–185.
- 17 C. N. C. Hitam and A. A. Jalil, *J. Environ. Manage.*, 2020, 258, 110050.
- 18 F. Jiang, Y. Li, L. Zhao and D. Cang, Appl. Clay Sci., 2017, 143, 199–204.
- 19 P. Soranakom, N. Vittayakorn, P. Rakkwamsuk, S. Supothina and P. Seeharaj, *Ceram. Int.*, 2021, **47**, 13147–13155.
- 20 S. Sadeghi-Niaraki, B. Ghasemi, A. Habibolahzadeh, E. Ghasemi and M. Ghahari, *Mater. Chem. Phys.*, 2019, 235, 121769.
- 21 H. J. Lee, D. S. Kim, S.-H. Lee, H. Mi Lim, B.-K. Choi, K.-J. Kang, J. I. Jeong and K.-S. Cho, *Korean J. Mater. Res.*, 2015, 25, 61–67.