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Extracellular vesicles (EVs) are small membrane-bound particles, which include exosomes, micro vesicles (MVs) and various-sized vesicles, released by healthy and diseased cells. EVs also include other vesicular structures, such as large apoptotic bodies (1–5 μm), as well as membrane particles (50–80 nm) originating from the plasma membrane. However, exosomes are nanosize (≈30–100 nm) extracellular vesicles of endocytic origin that are bud-off by most types of cells and circulate in bodily fluids. Extracellular nanovesicles contain a large variety of biomolecules, including miRNA, RNA, DNA, proteins, signaling peptides and lipids, that can have diagnostic and therapeutic value. The spectrum of the existing scientific interest in extracellular nanovesicles is comprehensive, which ranges from understanding their functions and pathways to their potential clinical usage. EVs can be obtained from different body fluids with minimally invasive techniques (e.g., urine, plasma, serum), so they are most useful in disease diagnosis. High yield and purity contribute to the accurate diagnosis of various diseases, but damaged EVs and impurities can cause misinterpreted results. Over the last decade, a plethora of approaches have been developed for examining EVs using optical and non-optical tools. However, EV isolation methods have different yields and purities. Moreover, the isolation method that is most appropriate to maximize EVs recovery depends on the different experimental situations. This review explores the emerging use of micro and nano-technologies to isolate and characterize exosomes and microvesicles (MVs) from different biological samples, and the application of these technologies for the monitoring and diagnosis of different pathological conditions.

1. Introduction

Many cell types actively secrete extracellular vesicles (EVs). EVs are released from cells, including tumor cells, immune cells, and others, into their surrounding environment by budding from the cells’ plasma membrane or upon fusion of a multivesicular body (MVB) with the plasma membrane. 1,2 EVs exhibit a wide diversity in terms of their diameter size (e.g., exosomes (30–100 nm), MVs (100–1000 nm), apoptotic bodies (1000–5000 nm)) with respect to the outer phospholipid membrane, and contain growth factors, receptors, cytokines, as well as lipids, RNAs and metabolites. 3 EVs are biological nanoparticles found in extracellular fluids, such as saliva, milk, blood, and tears. 4 Although research on EVs such as exosomes, microvesicles and others has been ongoing for more than 30 years, 5 their significance in the discovery and development of disease-related biomarkers has come on the heels very recently. 6,7 EVs perform the role of mediators of intercellular communication by conveying biological information between cells.

Two major types of EVs (exosomes and MVs) have been focused in the current research field. The term “exosomes” is often used to entitle the EVs evaluated. Thus, the term exosome is commonly used to denote a diverse population of small EVs (sEVs) deprived of further demonstration of their intracellular origin. In fact, functions allocated to exosomes may reflect generic EV activity. 8 Exosome secretion was reported for the first time in reticulocytes through their differentiation pathways. 9

EVs also have been shown to participate in the propagation of cancer cells, and many groups have defined that tumor- and stroma-derived EVs are intricate in the different phases of the metastatic cascade. 10,11 More recently, hematopoietic cells (including dendritic cells (DCs), 12–14 B and T lymphocytes, 15–18 and mast cells 19,20) have been shown to release exosomes. Several studies have reported that non-hematopoietic cells, such as intestinal epithelial cells, 21 neuroglial cells 22 and tumor cells, 23,24 also are competent to release exosomes.

The International Society of Extracellular Vesicles (ISEV), the Food and Drug Administration (FDA), the International Council for Harmonization (ICH) of Technical Requirements for Pharmaceuticals for Human Use, and the European Medicines Agency (EMA) offer extensive guidance for the enlargement and generation of novel biological medicines with regard to donor/patient care, product safety, and
quality.25–28 EV-based therapeutics has opened up a whole new area of research opportunities for treating diseases related to inflammation. Researchers have been trying to categorize the roles of EVs, contained proteins and nucleic acids, and their release mechanisms. Over the studies of exosomes, they proposed that these could be deliberated as novel diagnostic markers and therapeutic targets for cancer. EVs are also produced by healthy cells and play important roles in physiological functions. It has been reported that many different types of cancer (such as prostate cancer,29 breast and ovarian cancer) patients contain higher concentrations of exosomes than healthy individuals.30–32 Therefore, in the recent year’s standardized and accurate isolation, the quantification and exploration of cancer-specific exosomes have gained more attention.

Due to the intricate property of the sample matrix and physiochemical properties, the isolation of EVs poses significant challenges.33,34 Conventional methods, such as differential and density gradient centrifugation, chromatography and immunological-based separation, have been affianced to isolate exosomes.35–39 In recent time, the putative procedure for the isolation of exosomes includes ultracentrifugation, consistently in combination with sucrose density gradients or sucrose cushions to float the comparatively low-density exosomes,40 and using a novel class of synthetic peptide (Vn96) with specific affinity towards heat shock proteins (Hsps) and efficiently capture Hsp-containing exosomes from biological fluids.41 The recently released commercial kits co-isolate different types of EVs. At present, numerous commercial Exosome Isolation Kits are available. These kits elude the time-consuming variance steps by precipitating the vesicles with polyethylene glycol or similar components, while the isolation of non-vesicles has also been perceived.42 The size exclusion chromatography (SEC) method was also evaluated to isolate extracellular vesicles from plasma and cell culture.43 Although advances in nano-size particle detection approaches have been achieved, the routine detection and quantification of EVs are still challenging and clumsy,44,45 which is partly due to the lack of rapid, sensitive, reproducible and low-cost methodologies.

In recent years, various detection methodologies have been broadly developed to evaluate exosomes and MVs for both research and clinical purposes.46–48 For example, enzyme-linked immune-sorbent assay (ELISA),49 nanoparticle tracking analysis (NTA),50 tunable resistive pulse sensing (TRPS), flow cytometry51 and fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS),52 a modified ELISA assimilated with a lab-on-a-chip microfluidic device offer a modest, fast and robotic investigation of exosomes53 and some developing strategies based on microfluidics and electrochemical biosensors.54–57

The main aim of this review is to deliberate the current advances in EVs isolation and quantification methods that would be helpful in the future to identify various diseases, including cancer and neurodegenerative disorders and others in their early stages, and also discuss the limitation and some technical troubles of various methods used in the purification and detection of EVs.

2. Methods of EVs isolation

Subsequently, research in the study of extracellular vesicles has grown rapidly in the last few years, and there has been a vast development in the number of techniques for the isolation and characterization of extracellular vesicles, although many of them are poorly standardized. EVs are not homogeneous in size, origin and molecular constituents with a substantial overlap in the size and phenotype between diverse populations of EVs.6,42

Prominent methods are shown in Table 1 for EVs isolation from different sources, such as the cell culture medium, serum, plasma, milk, urine and others. Different isolation methods of exosomes to isolate from different types of cancer in clinical application are also mentioned in Table 3.

2.1. Exosome isolation by ultracentrifugation

Centrifugation-based techniques are being considered as the best method to isolate the exosomes.11,40 Centrifugation is used for the sedimentation of particulate matter, such as vesicles in solution. Various types of vesicles present in a sample are

Table 1 Sources of exosomes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sources of exosomes</th>
<th>Prominent methods of isolation</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Blood plasma</td>
<td>(1) Size exclusion chromatography</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(2) Ultracentrifugation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNS fluid</td>
<td>(1) Differential ultracentrifugation</td>
<td>60 and 82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(2) Precipitation-based technology</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(3) Size exclusion chromatography</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saliva</td>
<td>(1) Differential centrifugation</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(2) Gel filtration</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milk (human &amp; bovine)</td>
<td>(1) Density gradient centrifugation</td>
<td>109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(2) Size exclusion chromatography</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cell culture filtrate</td>
<td>(1) Ultracentrifugation</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(2) Immunomagnetic extraction</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(3) Immunoblotting techniques</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urine</td>
<td>(1) Ultracentrifugation</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(2) Nanomembrane ultrafiltration</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
separated based on the size and mass, so bigger and denser components migrate away from the axis of the centrifuge and minor and less-dense components migrate toward the axis of the centrifuge. Ultracentrifugation is a classical technique that is the most commonly used method for the purification of exosomes, making use of the high centrifugal force for studying the properties of small biological particles. Ultracentrifugation can be characterized based on the principles of separation: (a) differential ultracentrifugation and (b) density gradient ultracentrifugation (Fig. 1).

2.1.1. Differential centrifugation. Differential ultracentrifugation includes multiple sequential centrifugation to eliminate components other than extracellular vesicles: centrifugation at (a) 300 × g for 10 min to remove live cells; (b) at 2000 × g for 10 min to remove dead cells; (c) at 10 000 × g to 20 000 × g to isolate vesicles larger than 100 nm (microparticles); then finally (d) at 100 000 × g to 200 000 × g to isolate vesicles smaller than 100 nm (small EVs: exosomes). This is the most commonly used protocol for the isolation of exosomes from cell culture media, but must be altered when the EVs are suspended in viscous fluids, such as plasma or saliva. The fluid (such as blood serum, plasma, urine, saliva) is simply collected by the usual means, then stored for up to 5 days at 4 °C in a falcon tube or glass bottle up to succeed with the exosome isolation process. Because of the viscosity of some fluids, it is obligatory to dilute them and to exceed the speed and lengths of centrifugations. Small EVs are pelleted at low speed at the bottom of the tube, whereas the top of the tube contains the larger vesicles, with the possible co-precipitation of protein aggregates, apoptotic bodies, and other types of EVs with a high-speed spin. The co-precipitation results in less sample purity and contamination of exosomes with other particles. One potential solution is the use of multiple resuspending and recentrifuging steps of each pellet in a PBS (phosphate-buffered saline) buffer to remove some of these contaminants (Fig. 1).

2.1.2. Density gradient centrifugation. Density-gradient separation delivers an enhancement in the purity and recovery rate over differential centrifugation. In an effort to reduce the protein contamination from large protein aggregates that co-sediment with exosomes during ultracentrifugation, researchers next appraised the ability to purify the exosome with the achievement of its buoyant density, although sucrose density gradient centrifugation has been applied widely to purify exosomes. In density-gradient separation, a sample that may be a viscous material can spin in a tube and separate based on its buoyant density. Although this technique can achieve a higher pureness and retrieval rate than conventional differential centrifugation, it cannot separate exosomes from viruses or microvesicles because of their parallel buoyant density. The run time for density gradient separation is analogous to conventional ultracentrifugation, and requires the same ultracentrifugation equipment, making it unfeasible for many clinical applications. Therefore, this method permits the proteins or other contaminations to be pelleted at the bottom of the tube, which can effortlessly be detached to enable the aggregate-free separation of small EVs (Fig. 1).

2.2. Precipitation based EVs isolation
Membrane particle precipitation-based EV isolation is an interesting method because of the modest procedure and high yield. Presently, there are numerous methods for isolating plasma EVs. Several commercial EV Isolation Kits, such as the ExoQuick and Invitrogen Total Exosome isolation reagent, miRCURY™ Exosome Isolation Kit, are based on the
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Fig. 1 Ulcentrifugation methods: differential centrifugation and density gradient centrifugation.
precipitation of membrane particles. According to a worldwide survey accomplished in 2015 by the ISEV, precipitation-based methods are used particularly for biological samples with a small volume.79 Generally, the polymeric precipitation method has been focused on the estimation of RNA and protein content.80 The polymeric precipitation methods are established on the creation of a mesh-like network that embeds EVs with a size ranging from 60 to 180 nm. Precipitation based methods are applicable to body fluids and culture media at large scale. In particular, these methods may have an advantage in the exposure of biomarkers in vesicles derived from small biological samples.81 The purity of EVs remains a question in this method, as it may also precipitate the viruses and few proteins in the sample.

2.3. Chromatography-based method

2.3.1. Size exclusion chromatography. Size-exclusion chromatography (SEC) is extensively performed to isolate composite mixtures of particles of different sizes. SEC has been consistently used in protocols to enhance EVs from plasma or serum samples.48 Therefore, parallel to ultracentrifugation, SEC results in a good retrieval of vesicles with nearly complete removal of impurities. In a single step method for purifying EVs, the platelet-free supernatant, imitative from platelet concentrates, is loaded onto a sepharose CL-2B column to execute size-exclusion chromatography (Fig. 2), and no costly equipment is required. Hence, the segregation of vesicles by SEC is a rapid, low-cost and easy method.82 Fractions are collected and analyzed by NTA, resistive pulse sensing and flow cytometry and transmission electron microscopy. It has been shown that exosomes can be isolated by SEC without significant albumin content; however, this study has limitations.83 Although albumin is the most abundant serum protein, the purity of the isolated exosomes by SEC can be assessed by the presence of albumin alone, and other soluble proteins might be allocated differentially. The latest development has been in SEC automation, i.e., automated fraction collector (AFC). A substantial development to the qEV platform, the Izon AFC allows for the fast, precise, automated isolation of EVs.84

2.3.2. Ultrafiltration coupled with size exclusion chromatography. In this technique, a large volume of samples is concentrated using an ultrafiltration membrane of 100 kDa cutoff (a centrifugal ultrafiltration or lateral flow ultrafiltration device, which is based on the volume of the sample), and the resulting samples are then layered over the SEC column and the EVs are eventually eluted based on their size.85,86 This technique is far superior than the classical ultracentrifugation techniques in that it is less time-consuming with higher yield and purity. This kind of dual technique approach is very handy in dealing with a larger sample volume, and it can be easily scaled up for larger bulk production, particularly when EVs are intended for use for therapeutic purposes.

2.3.3. Affinity membrane-based column chromatography. In this novel spin method, the RNA integrity and size distribution are evaluated by Bioanalyzer electrophoresis; and the purity, yield and composition by quantitative reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR). The method also allows for complete vesicles to be eluted from the column material, which can be used for examination of the isolated EVs by electron microscopy. The procedure is effortlessly adjusted to clinical laboratory workflows, and enables the recovery of total RNA content of the EVs. The method is being distributed by QIAGEN as the exoRNeasy Serum/Plasma Maxi Kit.

2.4. Isolation of exosomes by immunological separation technique

For the specific isolation of exosomes, there is an alternate method based on numerous proteomic studies of the molecular composition of exosomes that can be used as affinity purification with antibodies to CD63, CD81, CD82, CD9, Alix, annexin, EpCAM and Rab5.34,87 Johnstone et al., 1991 reported that during the late stage of erythrocyte differentiation, exosomes

Fig. 2 Illustrative description of separation in SEC.
were initially originated and predicted as functioning in shedding the transferrin receptor (CD71). Various studies of exosomal constituents revealed the presence of numerous proteins on the exosomal membrane. These proteins can be ultimate markers for the extraction of exosomes due to immunoaffinity interactions between the proteins and antibodies, which should be specific for a particular marker present on the exosomal membrane. Current advances in the exosome purification field have shown that antibody-coated magnetic beads can be efficiently employed to extract exosomes from antigen-presenting cells [APC]. For this purpose, selecting a proper exosomal membrane marker is one of the most significant steps in these immunoassays. It was revealed that members of the tetraspanin family, such as CD81, CD9, and CD63 that exist on the exosomal membrane, can be used for the efficient immuno-capture of exosomes.

2.4.1. Isolation by coated magnetic beads with antibodies.

A bead-based approach is a versatile method for using the specific capture of small EVs in terms of its compatibility with downstream applications, such as western blotting, electron microscopy, and flow cytometry. Separation using nano-sized magnetic beads is an alternative to latex beads for capturing and isolating a more homogenous population of vesicles in terms of the size and morphology. The characteristics of the
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**Fig. 3** Immunoaffinity based separation of exosomes with streptavidin magnetic beads.

**Fig. 4** DSPE-PEG-biotin-labeled exosomes captured by magnetic beads.
antibody–antigen interaction, sample feature, nature and structure of the target molecule, ratio and concentration of magnetic beads and target molecules will have an effect on the achievement of the separation. Clayton et al., 2001 described a modest and fast method appropriate for the rapid isolation and analysis of exosomes based on the immuno-magnetic extraction of exosomes that carry human MHC (major histocompatibility complex) class II molecules (Fig. 3). Epithelial cell adhesion molecules were expressed successfully with prepared immune-affinity beads with zwitterionic moieties. These novel beads are very useful for isolating specific protein molecules from body fluids, such as human blood. These beads can be used for exosome isolation to reduce the time-consuming centrifugation steps. This method was discovered by the use of antibody-coated magnetic beads for isolating breast cancer-specific exosomes with HER-2.

2.4.2. Isolation by lipid nanoprobe system. Instead of the much slower and bulkier method of ultracentrifugation, a lipid-nanoprobe system (LNP) facilitates the spontaneous labeling of EVs for consequent magnetic enrichment in 15 minutes, with similar purified composition and isolation efficiency to what can be achieved by ultracentrifugation or other conventional methods. A labelling probe (LP) and capture probe (CP) are the main configurations of an LNP. The LP is composed of a polyethylene glycol (PEG) spacer for accumulative reagent solubility, a biotin tag for the successful isolation of the labelled EVs, and a lipid tail for the EV membrane enclosure (Fig. 4). The labeled EVs can then be collected by NeutrAvidin (NA)-coated magnetic sub-micrometre particles (MMPs) for the successful isolation and analyses of the EV cargo. The labeling probe is 10 nm in size, and the surface-modified magnetic beads are 400 to 500 nm in diameter. The NA-coated MMPs serve as CP to enable the enrichment and segregation of EVs in suspension (Fig. 5).

This method is applicable for the downstream analysis of nucleic acids and proteins, enabling the identification of EGFR (epidermal growth factor receptor) exon 19 and 21 and KRAS codons 12 and 13 mutations, following the isolation of extracellular vesicles from the blood plasma of non-small-cell lung-cancer (NSCLC) patients.

2.5. Rapid isolation with a novel synthetic peptide

A new method has been reported, which has the capability to aggregate the exosomes from the medium within 30 minutes.
Ghosh et al., (2014) synthesized and validated a novel class of peptides named as venceremin (Vn), showing specific affinity for canonical heat shock proteins that cover the surface of exosomes and EVs. The Vn peptides were shown to efficiently capture Hsp-containing EVs from cell culture growth media, plasma and urine by electron microscopy, atomic force microscopy, proteomic profiling, and NTA. This technology is a more efficient isolation method than current methods. The peptide Vn 96 can isolate EVs derived from cells due to their high content of Hsps under stress conditions, such as cancer, infection, cardiovascular, neurodegenerative and metabolic diseases in human or animal body fluids. After the examination of purified EVs, this method follows the recent clinical diagnostic trend for biomarker screening with non-invasive measures envisioned for early disease detection.41

2.6. Isolation by microfluidic device

Microfluidics technology considered by the engineered manipulation of fluids at the nanoscale level has revealed significant potential for improving diagnostics and biological research. Certain properties of microfluidic technologies, such as fast sample processing and the accurate control of fluids in an assay, have made them attractive applicants to substitute traditional experimental methodologies.42 Microfluidic devices enable the manipulation of minor amounts of samples and components in their channels, and allow for the rapid and low-cost separation and detection of targets. So, microchip devices are a verified technology for cellular handling as they can offer accurate spatial and temporal control in a significantly miniaturized platform. These devices can be simply made by employing standard micro-fabrication tools, which lowers the production cost and makes it commercially viable. Based on these properties, various methods have been implicated to extract and detect the exosomes.

2.6.1. Microfluidic chip. A facile and rapid microfluidic method is based on immunoaffinity to separate microvesicles from small volumes of both serum blood samples and cultured cell medium of GBM patients.44 In this method, the freshly fabricated device’s surface was modified with NeutrAvidin, biotinylated anti-CD63, control IgG, or anti-CD4 solution in PBS containing BSA and sodium azide. In addition, more RNAs were extracted from exosomal lysates using anti CD63-coated chips than from both GBM patient and normal control sera directly. Another type of engineered microfluidic device named as

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2</th>
<th>Comparison of isolation techniques for exosomes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Isolation techniques</td>
<td>Purity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Differential centrifugation</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density-gradient centrifugation</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immunoaffinity-based separation (surface-modified)</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size exclusion chromatography</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microfluidic device (ExoChip, ciliated nanowire-on-microvillar, microfluidic filtration)</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
“ExoChip” is used to perform the isolation, detection, and quantification of exosomes directly on-chip. To isolate specific exosomes that expressed CD63 on its surface, a chip was fabricated in polydimethyl siloxane (PDMS) and functionalized with CD63 antibodies (Fig. 6). A fluorescent carbocyanine dye (DiO) has been used for detection, which specifically labels the exosomes, and the relative fluorescence intensities were measured at the excitation and emission wavelengths of 485 nm and 510 nm, respectively, using a BioTek-Synergy Neo multipurpose plate reader for the quantification of the exosome levels.\(^\text{46}\) Wang et al. (2013) reported the application of ciliated micropillars to separate nanoscale lipid vesicles. They demonstrated that the prototype device can preferentially capture exosome-like lipid vesicles, while concurrently filtering out proteins and cell debris. This microfluidic device, consisting of ciliated micropillars with a combination of silicon microfabrication processes, was assembled with PDMS for the rapid and efficient isolation of exosome-like nanoscale vesicles.\(^\text{75}\) A microfluidic filtration system has also been developed, using the membrane as a size exclusion filter to isolate extracellular vesicles from blood. This method was applied to filter out nanosized elements from blood, allowing for the segregation of intact extracellular vesicles, escaping the necessity for laborious and potentially damaging centrifugation steps or excessively specific antibody-based affinity purification.\(^\text{82}\)

2.6.2. Microfluidic filtration system. A microfluidic filtration system is a representative of a modest approach using a filtration arrangement to isolate vesicles from whole blood samples. This method can be a realistic approach to purify nano-sized particles from blood, allowing for the isolation of intact extracellular vesicles. This approach escapes the need for laborious and potentially detrimental centrifugation steps or overly specific antibody-based affinity purification. These protocols are also relatively protracted, with the fastest procedure taking several hours. EVs can ultimately be purified or isolated from different biological fluids, but the current complications in using them for analysis lie in recovering quickly, without sophisticated trappings and from small amounts of biological sample. This new system has shown the development of a microfiltration system for the purification of EVs from whole blood. In situ, for a micro device, photo-patterned porous polymer monoliths (PPM) were employed as filter membranes, which have found many unique and versatile applications in microfluidic platforms. These membranes are suitable for removing cells and large contaminating debris from blood, although they allow for small vesicle-sized particles to pass through and be examined downstream. The unique porous properties of the PPM could be customized, and were adjusted to a size proper for this application. For the fabricated PPM membrane, both pressure-driven and electrophoresis-driven filtration were employed for vesicle isolation. Electrophoresis-driven filtration could selectively eliminate some soluble proteins to extract EVs with higher purity, while pressure-driven filtration could collect EVs from small samples in a short time.\(^\text{77}\) Another method also fabricated a microfluidic device consisting of ciliated micropillars, establishing a porous silicon nanowire-on-micropillar structure. This method demonstrates that the prototype device can specially trap exosome-like lipid vesicles, concurrently filtering out proteins and cell debris. Stuck lipid vesicles can be recovered intact by dissolving the porous nanowires in PBS buffer.\(^\text{78}\)

All of these techniques have some advantages over other techniques, and also have some limitations with the time of isolation, purity of sample, and handling errors, which are mentioned in Table 2. So, for the high purity and quick isolation of EVs, we have to rectify these limitation with some new methods or have to do more work for better results on those described methods.

3. Characterization of EVs

The most commonly used conventional methods for the detection and quantification of EVs that use micro- and nano-based techniques to improve these EVs detection have been recently developed. The advantages and disadvantages of the detection methods for these given techniques are highlighted in Table 4.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Biological fluid</th>
<th>Disease</th>
<th>Method of isolation</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cell culture medium</td>
<td>Lung cancer</td>
<td>Centrifugation with magnetic bead capture</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Human-prostate cancer</td>
<td>Sequential centrifugation and antibody-conjugated magnetic microbeads</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ovarian cancer</td>
<td>Modified magnetic activated cell sorting (MACS) procedure</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Breast cancer</td>
<td>Immuno-based separation with HER2 antibody-coated paramagnetic beads</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasma</td>
<td>Melanoma cancer</td>
<td>Immunoaffinity-based method</td>
<td>63 and 64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Size exclusion chromatography</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urine</td>
<td>Prostate cancer</td>
<td>Ultracentrifugation followed by filtration</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Prostate cancer</td>
<td>Vn-96-peptide-based EV isolation</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum</td>
<td>Non-small cell lung cancer (NSCL)</td>
<td>Commercial Kit (Invitrogen)</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Immunoaffinity-based microfluidic device</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasma</td>
<td>Brain tumor-glioblastoma multiforme (GBM)</td>
<td>Liquid nanoprobe system (LNP)</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.1. ELISA

ELISA is an **in vitro** technique widely used for the detection and quantification of small EVs. Here, exosomes are directly immobilized on micro-well plates and the plates are blocked with blocking agents. An antibody (e.g., anti-CD9) is added to the wells, which recognizes and binds to certain antigens (e.g., CD9) that are available on the external surface of the exosomes. Subsequently, an HRP-linked detection antibody is applied via an enzymatic signal amplification process and specific read out.\(^7\) TMB (3,3′,5,5′-tetramethylbenzidine), a colorimetric substrate, is used for the assay read out (Fig. 7).\(^8,9\) Logozzi et al. (2009) designed a sandwich ELISA to detect and quantify the exosomes in cell culture media, as well as in plasma samples with tiding proteins CD63, Rab-5b and caveolin-1 (tumor-associated marker).\(^9\)

An ExoView instrument from Nanoview uses a Tetraspanin Kit functionalized with antibodies against CD9, CD63, CD81, CD68, CD9, and CD133. The anti-CD9 antibody is immobilized on the micro-well plate, and the HRP-linked detection antibody is applied. The TMB substrate is added and the absorbance at 450 nm is measured to determine the concentration of exosomes.

**Table 4** Advantages and Disadvantages of different techniques

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of technique</th>
<th>Detectable size</th>
<th>Advantages</th>
<th>Disadvantages</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| ELISA                 | Exosome surface proteins are detected by antibodies | Sensitive and highly specific for exosomal protein  
Quick and convenient  
Required reagents are cheap  
Equipment are widely available & relatively inexpensive | Monoclonal antibodies are required  
Possibility of false positive/negative results  
Nonspecific binding & cross reactivity  
Time-consuming | 36         |
| Flow cytometry        | Size range ≥ 150 nm | Non-specialized laboratory instruments. fluorescently labeled extracellular vesicles | Low detection threshold limit (≥150 nm)                                      | 37         |
| Nanoparticle tracking | Size range: 10 nm to 2 μm | Fluorescent-NTAs are easily available                                                              | Vesicle aggregates are difficult to determine                                 | 88         |
| Analysis (NTA)        | Absolute particle concentration  
Measures the exact size, shows high resolution | Provide absolute EV concentration & size distribution  
Can measure the charge on the particle surface  
Highly sensitive, specific and required low cost of detection  
Required a small volume of sample | Different pore sizes are required for different biological samples  
It can detect particles of exosomal size  
Complicated fabricated steps are involved  
Not easy to handle | 36, 88     |
| qNano (TRPS)           | Size range < 40 nm to 10 μm | Provide absolute EV concentration & size distribution  
Can measure the charge on the particle surface | Different pore sizes are required for different biological samples  
It can detect particles of exosomal size  
Complicated fabricated steps are involved | 36         |
| Electrochemical detection | Size ranges > 4 nm to 10 μm | Provide absolute EV concentration & size distribution  
Can measure the charge on the particle surface | Different pore sizes are required for different biological samples  
It can detect particles of exosomal size  
Complicated fabricated steps are involved | 103        |
| DLS                   | 3 nm to 7 μm | This technique is relatively fast, convenient and mostly used for the study of a colloidal system  
Unable to determine the particle-mixture in large difference in the ratio b/w the diameter of particles  
Raman spectroscopy is considered to be a very inefficient process because it requires a high sample concentration, high laser power and long signal integration time |                                                 | 33         |
| Raman spectroscopy    | Vibrating molecules of the sample interact with photons | Raman spectroscopy (SERS) can distinguish ELVs derived from different origins, the detection of individual vesicles from a colloidal suspension and is used for clinical diagnostics |                                                 | 35         |

**Fig. 7** Diagrammatic representation of the ELISA method: a microtiter plate is immobilized with exosomes particles and the protein suspension. Non-specific binding of the detection antibody is prevented by adding the blocking agent. The detection antibody was added to the wells for binding the special antigens (CD9) present on the exosome surface. A TMB acting as a substrate for HRP is added for the assay read out.
CD41a plus IgG negative control. It uses single particle interferometric reflectance imaging sensing (SP-IRIS) to measure the size of the single EVs with high precision by analyzing the enhanced interferometric signal of the bound EVs when attached to a layered substrate. By using this methodology, EVs as small as 50 nm can be imaged and measured. It has a strong peak-to-peak resolving capability and can more accurately resolve distinct vesicles in a heterogeneous sample. It is easily able to quantify the exosomes present in cell culture supernatants. The presence of the CD63 protein on the exosome surface makes the detection promising in a dose-dependent manner. However, exosome detection and quantification by Exotest displayed a higher sensitivity for the detection of the CD63 protein with respect to western blotting (WB) analysis. Therefore, exosomes can be monitored more specifically by using commercially available pre-coated plates for exosome capture and their quantification by using ELISA. This assay typically employs a primary antibody like (CD9 or CD63) diluted in a sample buffer (0.5% 273 BSA PBS) for 2 h at 4 °C. After extensive washing, the HRP-conjugated secondary antibody is immobilized on the plate, which recognizes the conjugate to the primary ones. Upon addition of the BM Blue POD substrate, the optical densities are recorded at 450 nm using a microplate reader. Quanta Blu Fluorogenic Peroxidase is another substrate that can be used for the specific read out in a non-stopped mode using excitation/emission maxima of 325/420.

3.2. Flow cytometry

This is a well-established technology for the high-throughput examination and quantification of EVs. The flow cytometry approach is primarily based totally at the recording of fluorescence and light scattering through individual small extracellular vesicles, i.e., nanosized particles that are present in the suspension. It provides detected scattering power in arbitrary units, inferring a correlation between the detected scattering power and the diameter of the vesicles. To establish this affiliation, it measures the relative power in arbitrary units (a.u.) and in parallel, and considers the absolute power (mW) of light that is scattered by beads of known diameter and refractive index in the pathway of the detectors. Attributable to the small size of the exosomes, they could be immediately visualized with electron microscopy and not by light microscopy. It was shown that exosomes released from antigen-presenting cells having MHCII molecules, like HLA DP, DQ, DR and monoclonal antibodies, which recognize that the said molecules are attached to specific magnetic beads. With the help of these magnetic beads, exosomes can be isolated from the cell-free supernatant (Fig. 8). Exosomes are likely to be present on the beads and afterward stained with conjoined antibodies, and analysed by flow cytometry. This technique allows for the sorting of exosomal vesicles based on fluorescent labeling. By means of specific antibodies tagged with fluorescent dyes, the target exosomes can be captured and sorted. For instance, exosomes are too tiny to be detected by the current flow cytometry tools. Owing to these detection limitations, the Amnis Cell Stream Flow Cytometer is employed, which contains the Amnis Time Delay Integration (TDI) image capturing system. This detection technology allows the Cell Stream Instrument to combine the advantages of high throughput flow cytometry with high sensitivity to submicron particles. Meanwhile, recent advance techniques like NanoFCM provide a versatile and powerful platform for the quantitative analysis of extracellular vesicles. The Flow NanoAnalyzer platform is distinctively sensitive, and

Fig. 8  Schematic representation of flow cytometry: by means of the specific antibodies tagged with fluorescent dyes, the target exosomes can be captured and sorted. This technique allows for the sorting of exosomal vesicles based on fluorescent labeling.
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enables the quantitative and multiparameter analysis of single EVs down to 40 nm. The NanoFCM-based approach is used for the early diagnosis of colorectal cancer by using the surface protein, which will provide an advanced technique and method for the EVs research, as well as their clinical applications.  

3.3. Nanoparticle tracking analysis

Nanoparticle Tracking Analysis (NTA) is a technique for the real-time visualization of nanoparticles present in liquids and for their direct analysis. Nanoparticles show Brownian motion, and this technique relates the nanovesicle size with their motion. Using this method, small vesicles are visualized by light scattering and using a light microscope. NTA software tracked the vesicles in motion and a video was taken, and their size and total concentration was calculated. A laser ray interacts with the nanovesicles (exosomal particles), and a charge coupled camera is used for capturing the scattered light by the moving particles. The Stokes–Einstein equation is used by a special type of NTA software, which relates the movement to the particle size (Fig. 9). Gardiner et al. used the human placental exosomes and efficiency of NTA. A large amount of microvesicles and nanovesicles (exosomes) is released by the placenta in maternal blood during pregnancy. The maternal surface of the placenta was perfused with a buffer, and vesicles were prepared by ultracentrifugation for further analysis. Biological vesicles at 50 nm in size can be detected by this technique. Before NTA analysis, placental vesicles stored in PBS are thawed back to room temperature (18–25 °C). An important aspect of NTA is that it can detect a larger amount of cellular vesicles, as compared to several other approaches like electron microscopy. NTA has shown good results in many research programs, but in clinical aspects, NTA has many limitations as well. NTA analysis requires a lengthy procedure for data acquisition. The analysis time was also lengthy, as it took 10 minutes to analyze 1000 nanovesicles, whereas flow cytometry requires less than a second to analyze the same number. However, the extracellular vesicles can be analyzed for the size, concentration and some other parameters via ZetaView fluorescence mode. The particles to be examined ought to be adequately well purified. Furthermore, these vesicles can be specified with membrane dyes, primary and secondary fluorescently labeled antibodies, targeting their surface markers. By using a TWIN-laser machine, even phenotyping experiments may be done on extracellular vesicles with a double-stained pattern. For experimentation, two different fluorescent dyes coupled to the different antibodies are used to bind specifically to tetraspanins at the membrane of vesicles (e.g., CD63-Alexa405 & CD81-Alexa488). In NTA, bleaching problems of the exosomal fluorescent dyes (green fluorescent proteins) were also noticed due to the long analysis time period.

3.4. Tunable resistive pulse sensing

Tunable resistive pulse sensing (TRPS) is a technique developed for sensing the nanoparticles to establish the size of nanovesicles. This allows the measurement of a single particle from a bimolecular analytes or colloids, which are driven over pores one at a time. A polyurethane membrane was used, on which tunable pores were synthesized, and this membrane was also flexible in nature and caused optimization of the pore size. The fabrication technique was used for determining the shape and size of the pore. There was a change in the ionic current transiently inside the pore when a single nanoparticle passed through the pore. qNano proved to be a consistent tool for the detection of extracellular vesicles and quantification, providing an accurate analysis of the vesicles having a size from 40 nm to 10 μm. During the traversing of a particle through the pore, a transient current pulse is generated, which is directly proportional to the particle volume, which allows for the most accurate and repetitive measurement of the size. Particles having the size in the sub nm range can be resolved efficiently by using the TRPS under the ideal conditions. It gives an aligned estimation of the true size and concentration distribution of nanoparticles. It provides an extra layer of essential information by providing the concentration of particles within each size band. It concurrently measures both particle size and zeta potential reproducibly on a particle-by-particle basis with excessive precision and accuracy, presenting an amazing and powerful technique for the life sciences. The above technique can be applied for various applications, like investigating and understanding nano-bio interactions, establishing physicochemical equivalence, and the discrimination of subpopulations within a sample. Robert Vogel et al. (2016) has standardized a method to determine the concentration of EVs using TRPS. The method is based on determining the EV
concentration as a function of a well-defined size range. For this method, blood plasma EVs are isolated and purified using size exclusion columns (qEV) and sequentially measured with tunable resistive pulse sensing (TRPS). The measured mean liposome (which is not filtered with qEV) and EV (i.e., filtered with qEV) concentrations had coefficients of variance (CV) of 23.9% and 52.5%, respectively. The increased variance of the EV concentration measurements could be ascribed to the usage of qEVs and the poly-disperse nature of the EVs. The results of this study determine the feasibility of this standardized methodology to enable analogous and reproducible EV concentration measurements.191

3.5. Electrochemical detection

The electrochemistry-based detection of EVs is considered to be a highly favorable tool for the detection and analysis of nanoparticles and is highly sensitive, specific and required low cost for detection.88 A glucometer is an example of an electrochemical readout sensor recently developed, which is used to detect the glucose level in the body, and the detection of other analytes may be approached by this technique.193 However, the exosome analysis by this technique is quite challenging. Here, an antibody is used, which recognize the antigen present on the exosomal surface, and a measurable electro-active signal is obtained by using the signal transducer, which detects and quantifies the exosome. An integrated magneto-electrochemical sensor (iMEX) is an electrochemical system that was recently developed for exosome analysis. Magnetic selection and electrochemical detection are two main processes that are utilized during the iMEX sensor analysis. Exosomes are captured using magnetic beads coated with anti-CD9, CD63, and CD81, which recognize and bind to tetraspanin proteins (CD9, CD63, CD81) acting like antigen proteins on the exosomal surface, and detection was done by using electrochemical sensing. The iMEX assay used only 10 μl of sample, and results are obtained within 1 hour.189 iMEX is a potential electrochemical method used to detect the EV and exosomes from ovarian cancer cells, showing fast and on-spot analysis, and is accelerating toward routine clinical testing.194 The electrochemical sandwich immune sensor is an alternative method for the detection of exosomes, and relies on the immobilization of the gold electrode with tetraspanin (CD63) and magnetic beads attached with α-CD63 antibodies conjugated with HRP, producing electrochemical signals (Fig. 10).188 α-CD9 antibodies can also be pre-functionalized to the gold electrode, after which the sample is spread and analyzed from different organisms. Finally, the HRP-conjugated α mouse IgG antibody is applied. HRP oxidises the TMB, and electrochemical signals are monitored. Another important aspect of the sandwich electrochemical immuno-assay is that it discriminates between microvesicles (MV) and exosomes, and can detect up to 200 particles per microliter.88 Recently, a new electrochemical method has been designed to quantify the exosomes using quantum dots (QDs) functionalized with a specific type of antibody, showing a high sensitivity detection limit of 100 exosomes per microliter. The QD method shows several hundred times more sensitivity as compared with other bioaffinity-based assays. As compared to conventional techniques of exosome analysis, the electrochemical technique is rapid, cost effective and requires a small volume of sample. However, some complicated fabricated steps are involved during the electrochemical platform setup, which makes it a little typical. The electrochemical technique together with a microfluidic platform can be used in conjugation, and can turn out to be an efficient tool for disease diagnosis applications by using exosomal biomarkers.88

3.6. Dynamic light scattering

Dynamic Light Scattering (DLS) is frequently referred to as photon correlation spectroscopy. This technique is commonly utilized for determining the particle size in a colloidal suspension. Suspended particles in a solvent undergo Brownian motion. The particles present in the liquid caused a localized change in the refractive index. The autocorrelation functional equation can be applied for the measurement of intensity variation produced by the particles.195

The size distribution of EVs is measured by DLS geared up with a strong state He–Ne laser at 633 nm wavelength. The intensity of the scattered light is measured at 173 °C. All

Fig. 10 (a) iMEX platform (b) Biosensor. Exosomes were harvested with antibody-conjugated magnetic beads by a magnet on the electrodes. Exosomes were detected by binding the HRP-labeled antibodies with an exosomal surface protein, i.e., (CD9). HRP oxidized the TMB and electrical signal.194
high laser power and the long time required for signal inte-
sample concentration requirement in combination with the
motion concerning the hydrodynamic diameter
technique is primarily based on the dimensions of Brownian
processing and analysis are performed using the Zeta sizer. This
technique is considered very ine-
composition of the sample. However, the Raman spectroscopy
the Raman spectrum contain the information of the molecular
tering for the estimation of the particle diameter.

13.8 Plasmonic exosome detection (nPLEX)
Hyungsoon Im et al. (2014) designed the nPLEX sensor to attain
the label-free detection of small EVs, where the basic sensing unit consists of a periodic matrix of nanoholes patterned in
a gold (Au) film. The structure of nPLEX, a periodic array of sub-
wavelength gaps in a metal film, produces exceptional surface
plasmons whose termination depth is equivalent to the exo-
some size, making this technique innovative and well-suited for
a sensitive, label-free exosome detection. For clinical applica-
tions, with a further turn of events and approval, nPLEX could
be valuable for investigating exosomes as a malignant growth
biomarker, for diagnostics and for assessing tumor reaction to
therapy. A quick, multiplexed protein investigation of exosomes
could enhance the early ailment detection and treatment
monitoring. A typical nPLEX array has 12 channels, and every
channel is functionalized with unique antibodies for EpCAM,
CD24, CD63 and IgG control. The entire 12 × 3 array is imaged
using the portable imager system (Fig. 11). The extracted exo-
somes can be easily removed from the tool for downstream
examinations, for example, genomic profiling. Together, these
methodologies will facilitate extensive exosomal examinations
by yielding both proteomic and genetic data.

4. Conclusion and future perspective
We have precisely summed up the recent advances in the
isolation and characterization techniques for extracellular
vesicles. Despite progressive advances, it is apparent that none
of the current techniques for exosome isolation is a versatile
model. The major technical challenge in EVs detection in clin-
cal applications is to exactly detect disease-specific EVs from
the pool of EVs that are derived from normal cells. We admit
that by conjoining the outstanding components of different
exosome quantification techniques and by inventive assay
design in a multiplexed system that is adept at the selective
isolation of various EVs subtypes in heterogeneous samples, it
will be possible to open a new path in the detection of extra-
cellular vesicles and related research. Obviously, researchers
have constantly struggled to develop such techniques. The
usage of micro- and nano-technologies designed to overcome

 Fig. 11 Device configuration. A 12-channel fluidic cell (top) was placed on top of a glass slide containing nanohole arrays (bottom). A total of 36 measurement sites were arranged into a 12 × 3 array format (right) with each fluidic channel encompassing three measurement sites (left side). The nPLEX chips were conjugated with either CD63 (a) or IgG control (b) antibodies. Exosomes from human ovarian cancer cells (CaOV3) were subsequently introduced. Transmission spectral shifts associated with the antibody conjugation and specific exosome binding were measured. Similar spectral shifts were observed for both CD63 and the control antibody conjugation, which indicated a similar extent of antibody grafting onto the sensor surface. Exosome binding, however, was only observed with the CD63-specific chip (a); the control chip (b) displayed negligible binding (right side). 47
these technical challenges are ongoing. By aiding the quick sample preparation and molecular evaluation from small sample volumes, these platforms can facilitate and entirely connect the clinical potential of these circulating biomarkers in cancers or different pathological conditions and beyond. We believe that, in the near future, the ongoing endeavor toward the improvement of high-performance exosome detection techniques will result in an idyllic next-generation platform that can be regularly used for EVs examination for both research and clinical purposes.
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