


 Cite this: *RSC Adv.*, 2021, **11**, 21685

## Surface modification of Ni-rich $\text{LiNi}_{0.8}\text{Co}_{0.1}\text{Mn}_{0.1}\text{O}_2$ with perovskite $\text{LaFeO}_3$ for high voltage cathode materials

 Hong Ki Kim,<sup>a</sup> Hyeong Seop Kang,<sup>a</sup> P. Santhoshkumar,<sup>b</sup> Jae Woo Park,<sup>a</sup> Chang Won Ho,<sup>a</sup> Gyu Sang Sim<sup>a</sup> and Chang Woo Lee<sup>ID \*ab</sup>

Ni-rich  $\text{LiNi}_{0.8}\text{Co}_{0.1}\text{Mn}_{0.1}\text{O}_2$  (NCM811) is regarded as a potential cathode material due to its higher capacity. However, the severe capacity fading which occurs above 4.2 V vs.  $\text{Li}/\text{Li}^+$  needs to be addressed to enhance the electrochemical performance. Herein, we report the surface modification of NCM811 cathodes with a perovskite material, *i.e.*, lanthanum iron oxide ( $\text{LaFeO}_3$ ), which has drawn attention for various research areas due to its non-toxicity, electric conductivity, chemical stability, and low cost and systematically investigate the influence of the  $\text{LaFeO}_3$  coating on NCM811. The  $\text{LaFeO}_3$  coating layer significantly protects the cathode material from corrosion due to the HF formation and restrains the dissolution of other ions into liquid electrolyte during high voltage charge–discharge processes. Even after 80 cycles, 0.5 wt%  $\text{LaFeO}_3$ -coated NCM811 cathode material shows about 13% higher cycling stability when compared to the bare NCM811 and other ratios of coated materials. Furthermore, the 0.5 wt%  $\text{LaFeO}_3$ -coated NCM811 delivers excellent rate capability and demonstrates improved structural stability at 4.6 V vs.  $\text{Li}/\text{Li}^+$  under high voltage conditions with Ni-rich cathode active materials.

Received 1st February 2021

Accepted 1st June 2021

DOI: 10.1039/d1ra00857a

rsc.li/rsc-advances

### 1. Introduction

Lithium ion batteries (LIBs) with a high energy density and power density have been used for electric vehicles (EVs) and hybrid electric vehicles (HEVs) as renewable energy systems.<sup>1–4</sup> They are also anticipated to provide electrochemical energy storage for solar energy and wind power sources.<sup>5</sup> A high capacity retention and high energy density are the main points for making commercialized LIBs in electric vehicles.<sup>6</sup> Improving the electrode active material is a way to achieve these purposes, especially for cathode active materials. In order to improve the cathode materials, many efforts are being made such as changing the structure or synthesizing materials.<sup>7</sup> Among the various materials used in LIBs, high nickel (Ni) compounds such as  $\text{LiNi}_{1-x-y}\text{Co}_x\text{Mn}_y\text{O}_2$  (NCM,  $1 - x - y \geq 0.5$ ) are promising materials for EVs and HEVs.<sup>8,9</sup> The high Ni content in NCM helps to result in a high capacity and the content of manganese (Mn) increases the structural stability. Furthermore, the content of cobalt (Co) plays a role in improving the rate capability. In particular, NCM811 is one of the most promising Ni-rich cathode materials which have a higher capacity than conventional  $\text{LiCoO}_2$  cathode material.<sup>10,11</sup>

However, some factors like thermal stability and structural change induce performance degradations in Ni-rich layered oxide NCM811 materials. At high voltages, unstable  $\text{Ni}^{4+}$  on the surface of the cathode materials is reduced to  $\text{Ni}^{2+}$  and is accompanied by the formation of a spinel phase or rock salt phase.<sup>12–15</sup> This structural rearrangement hinders the diffusion of lithium ions and leads to rapid capacity fading. In addition, NCM811 cathode materials are charged at high voltage ( $\geq 4.5$  V vs.  $\text{Li}/\text{Li}^+$ ), causing oxidation of the electrolyte.<sup>16,17</sup> The decomposition of organic electrolytes produces a variety of by-products.<sup>18</sup> As shown in eqn (1) and (2), these by-products form a cathode electrolyte interface (CEI) film on the cathode material surface, which leads to decreasing capacity and rate capability because of low ionic and electric conductivities.<sup>19</sup>



From the above factors, it is important to prevent the side reactions between the cathode electrode material and electrolyte. To prevent such degradation, various methods have been adopted including morphology control, surface modification, and substitution of elements.<sup>20</sup> Among the various methods, surface modification is considered as the most effective method for improving the electrochemical performances of Ni-rich cathode material. There are a lot of inorganic materials *e.g.*,  $\text{LiYO}_2$ ,  $\text{SiO}_2$ ,  $\text{WO}_3$ ,  $\text{Li}_2\text{MnO}_3$ ,  $\text{Li}_3\text{PO}_4$ ,  $\text{CaO}$ , *etc.*, which have been reported to be used as

<sup>a</sup>Department of Chemical Engineering (Integrated Engineering), College of Engineering, Kyung Hee University, 1732 Deogyeong-daero, Giheung, Yongin, Gyeonggi, 17104, South Korea. E-mail: cwlee@khu.ac.kr; Fax: +82-31-204-8114; Tel: +82-31-201-3825

<sup>b</sup>Center for the SMART Energy Platform, College of Engineering, Kyung Hee University, 1732 Deogyeong-daero, Giheung, Yongin, Gyeonggi, 17104, South Korea



coating materials.<sup>21–25</sup> Certain requirements must be met when choosing candidates such as: (1) the coating materials need good electrical conductivity which facilitates the electron transfer rate and (2) an HF scavenger, it should be able to improve the high voltage performance of LIBs by suppressing the interfacial side reactions between electrode and electrolyte.<sup>26,27</sup>

Recently,  $ABO_3$ -type perovskite oxides have received much attention because of their physical/chemical properties including high electric/ionic conductivity and good structural stability.<sup>28–32</sup> In particular,  $\text{LaFeO}_3$  is a non-toxic material and has good dielectric properties which can be used in water treatment, solar cells, photocatalysis, and biosensors.<sup>33,34</sup> The structural properties of  $\text{LaFeO}_3$  with oxygen vacancies increase the ionic conductivity by enabling charge transfer.<sup>35</sup>

In this study, we've adopted  $\text{LaFeO}_3$  with the above-mentioned intrinsic merits of structural and thermal stability to make a coating layer on the surface of NCM811 and diagnosed its validity of improving the electrochemical performances, particularly at high voltages. This coating material has been expected to increase the ionic and electric conductivities and could offer protection from side reactions between the electrode and electrolyte at high voltages. Moreover, it could be an environmentally friendly way to improve battery performances. The  $\text{LaFeO}_3$ -coated NCM811 cathode materials were synthesized by a wet chemical method and we investigated the physical and electrochemical properties of the as-prepared electrode materials.

## 2. Experimental

### 2.1. Synthesis of $\text{LaFeO}_3$ -coated NCM811

$\text{LaFeO}_3$ -coated NCM811 electrode materials were fabricated by a wet chemical synthesis technique. To prepare the coating solution, the proper stoichiometric ratio of precursor materials such as lanthanum nitrate hexahydrate (Junsei Chemical Co., Ltd,  $\text{La}(\text{NO}_3)_3 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$ , 98.0%) and iron nitrate nonahydrate (Dae-Jung Co., Ltd,  $\text{Fe}(\text{NO}_3)_3 \cdot 9\text{H}_2\text{O}$ , 98.0%) were dissolved in ethyl alcohol (Dae-Jung Co., Ltd, 99.9%) under stirring. Subsequently, commercially available NCM811 (L&F chemicals co., Ltd) cathode active material was added into the above-prepared solution at a specific weight ratio and the solution was maintained at 60 °C under stirring. Ethyl alcohol was then evaporated from the above-mixed solution. The resultant products were collected and dried in a convection oven at 120 °C for 5 h and finally, the product was sintered at 600 °C for 2 h in air to obtain a series of  $\text{LaFeO}_3$ -coated NCM811 cathode active materials. The coating ratios of 0.5 and 1.0 wt%  $\text{LaFeO}_3$ -coated NCM811 were compared to bare NCM811.

### 2.2. Materials characterizations

X-ray diffraction (XRD, D8 Advance, Bruker) using  $\text{Cu-K}\alpha$  radiation was employed to identify the crystalline structure of the bare and  $\text{LaFeO}_3$ -coated samples in the  $2\theta$  range of 10° to 80° at a scanning rate of 6°  $\text{min}^{-1}$  at room temperature. Fourier




Fig. 1 (a) XRD patterns of bare, 0.5, and 1.0 wt%  $\text{LaFeO}_3$ @NCM811 cathode electrode materials; (b) crystal structure of  $\text{LaFeO}_3$ ; (c–f) magnified view of XRD patterns of bare, 0.5, and 1.0 wt%  $\text{LaFeO}_3$ @NCM811 cathode electrode materials.



transform infrared spectroscopy (FT-IR, Spectrum One System, Perkin-Elmer) was used to confirm the formation of the coating layer of the sample with a wide range of wavenumbers. To investigate the electronic valance state and surface elemental compositions of bare and coated materials, a X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy system (XPS, Multilab2000, Thermo VG Scientific System) with a monochromatic Al X-ray source (Al-K $\alpha$  line, 1486.6 eV) was utilized. The morphologies and intrinsic properties of the bare and LaFeO<sub>3</sub>-coated Ni-rich NCM811 cathode materials were evaluated by field-emission scanning electron microscopy (FE-SEM, LEO SUPRA 55, Carl Zeiss) and field-emission transmission electron microscopy (FE-TEM, JEM-2100F, JEOL).

### 2.3. Electrochemical measurements

The working electrode was fabricated by blending the bare or LaFeO<sub>3</sub>-coated NCM811 electrode materials, Denka black conductive agent, and polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF) at a weight ratio of 90 : 5 : 5. In sequence, the powder mixture was dispersed in *N*-methyl-2-pyrrolidone (NMP) to form a homogeneous slurry. The prepared slurry was laminated onto aluminium (Al) foil, which acts as a current collector, and the

prepared electrode was allowed to dry in an air atmosphere for 12 h at room temperature and then dried in a convection oven at 120 °C for 5 h. Then, the prepared electrodes were cut into a disc shape having a diameter of 14 mm and dried again at 120 °C for 5 h under vacuum to remove the residual solvents. After drying, the electrodes were assembled into a CR2032-type coin cell in an Ar filled glove box (KIYON, Korea) to evaluate the electrochemical performance of the bare and LaFeO<sub>3</sub>-coated NCM811 cathode materials using a lithium metal sheet as the counter electrode and Celgard 2340 as the separator. The electrolyte used was 1 M LiPF<sub>6</sub> dissolved in a mixture of ethylene carbonate and diethyl carbonate (EC/DEC, 1 : 1 by volume). All fabricated coin cells were rested at room temperature for about 12 h for the aging process prior to analysing the electrochemical performance. The electrochemical performances of each coin cell made by bare and LaFeO<sub>3</sub>-coated NCM811 cathode materials were evaluated by cycling on a battery testing system (BaTester 05001, HTC Instruments) in the voltage range of 3.0–4.6 V with different current rates. Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) was performed by a multichannel electrochemical analyzer (COMPACTSTAT, IVVIM technologies).




Fig. 2 (a) FT-IR spectra of bare, 0.5, and 1.0 wt% LaFeO<sub>3</sub>@NCM811 cathode electrode materials. XPS spectra of (b) Survey scan, (c) Li 1s, (d) Ni 2p, (e) Co 2p, (f) Mn 2p, (g) La 3d, (h) Fe 2p, and (i) O 1s for LaFeO<sub>3</sub>@NCM811 cathode electrode materials.

### 3. Results and discussion

#### 3.1. Structural and chemical characterizations

Fig. 1 shows the XRD patterns of bare, 0.5, and 1.0 wt% of LaFeO<sub>3</sub>-coated NCM811 electrode materials. It can be observed that the peaks of all of the samples are matched to a hexagonal  $\alpha$ -NaFeO<sub>2</sub> layered structure with a space group of *R*3*m*. For 0.5, and 1.0 wt% LaFeO<sub>3</sub>@NCM811 samples, no clear diffraction peaks belong to orthorhombic LaFeO<sub>3</sub> with an ABO<sub>3</sub>-type perovskite structure (JCPDS card no. 88-641) can be detected because of the low coating content. The Li atom occupies the 3a position, the transition metal (Ni, Co, Mn) atoms occupy the 3b position, and the O atom occupies the 6c position. As shown in Fig. 1(c–f), the double splitting of the (006/102) and (008/110) peaks appeared in all of the samples matches with the layer structure and increasing the amount of coating material does not affect the layered structure of NCM811.<sup>36–38</sup>

The FT-IR spectra of the bare and LaFeO<sub>3</sub>-coated NCM811 electrode materials are shown in Fig. 2(a). The results suggest that there is an appearance of two different peak positions in the coated materials. The band at 870 cm<sup>–1</sup> is attributed to the metal oxide stretching vibration. In particular, the appearance of this Fe–O peak confirms the presence of octahedral FeO<sub>6</sub> in LaFeO<sub>3</sub>.<sup>39</sup> Symmetric stretching of the carboxyl C=O bond can be observed at 2360 cm<sup>–1</sup> due to atmospheric carbon dioxide, which proceeds without atmospheric correction. The electronic valance state and elemental composition of the as-prepared bare and coated electrode materials were characterized by XPS analysis and the results are shown in Fig. 2(b–i). Fig. 2(b) depicts the wide scan or survey scan spectrum of bare and 0.5 wt% LaFeO<sub>3</sub>@NCM811, which suggests the presence of the elements of Li 1s, Ni 2p, Co 2p, Mn 2p, La 3d, Fe 2p, and O 1s. Fig. 2(c) shows the Li 1s spectrum with deconvolution of the peaks can be detected at 55.2 eV. Fig. 2(d) represents the core




Fig. 3 Typical morphological evaluation of FE-SEM images of (a) bare, (b) 0.5, and (c) 1.0 wt% of LaFeO<sub>3</sub>@NCM811 cathode materials; (d–f) magnified images of (a), (b), and (c), respectively; (g–l) EDS mapping and (m) EDS elemental spectrum of 0.5 wt% LaFeO<sub>3</sub>@NCM811 cathode material.



level spectrum of Ni 2p and the two peaks at 856.67 and 872.9 eV correspond to Ni 2p, which index the spin orbital splitting of Ni 2p<sub>3/2</sub> and Ni 2p<sub>1/2</sub>, respectively. Fig. 2(e) represents the deconvoluted spectrum of Co 2p and the peak positions at 780.33 and 794.2 eV are assigned to Co 2p<sub>3/2</sub> and Co 2p<sub>1/2</sub>, respectively.<sup>40,41</sup> Fig. 2(f) depicts the deconvoluted spectrum of Mn 2p and the peaks at 643.44 and 657 eV confirm the splitting of Mn 2p<sub>3/2</sub> and Mn 2p<sub>1/2</sub>, respectively. The spectrum of La 3d in Fig. 2(g) shows two strong peaks at 835.72 and 851.05 eV, which match the spin orbital coupling of La 3d<sub>5/2</sub> and La 3d<sub>3/2</sub>, respectively. In Fig. 2(h), the peak at 716.63 eV corresponds to the satellite peak in oxide form. The spectra of La 3d and Fe 2p show the La and Fe atoms in an oxidation valence state of 3.<sup>42</sup> Lastly, the spectrum of O 1s in Fig. 2(i) shows peaks at 529.69 and 532.67 eV, which indicates O<sub>L</sub> and O<sub>H</sub>, respectively. The O<sub>L</sub> peak is related to the presence of the La–O and Fe–O bonds in LaFeO<sub>3</sub> coating materials and the O<sub>H</sub> peak represents the hydroxyl group, which is moisture absorbed from the air atmosphere.

The morphologies of the bare and different ratios of LaFeO<sub>3</sub>-coated NCM811 electrode materials were characterized by FE-SEM analysis, as shown in Fig. 3(a–f). From the morphological point of view, all of the samples displayed a well-defined spherical particle shape. Fig. 3(a and d) represents the bare NCM811 active material and its magnified image showing a smooth surface with a tiny void space between the secondary particles. Fig. 3(b, e) and (c, f) show the FE-SEM images after surface modification of NCM811 with 0.5 and 1.0 wt% LaFeO<sub>3</sub> and there were no differences observed in the structural appearance, suggesting that a small amount of coating materials would not affect the structure of the materials. In addition, it is found that the coated materials effectively cover the surface of the bare NCM811 by hiding the tiny void spaces. When the coating ratio increases, the surface of the core material became rougher, implying that the LaFeO<sub>3</sub> was coated successfully on the bare NCM811 surface. Furthermore, EDS mapping shows that all elements are uniformly distributed on the surface of 0.5




Fig. 4 FE-TEM images of bare, 0.5, and 1.0 wt% LaFeO<sub>3</sub>@NCM811 cathode electrode materials; (a–c)(i) schematic illustration of bare, 0.5, and 1.0 wt% LaFeO<sub>3</sub>@NCM811 cathode electrode materials; (a–c)(ii and iii) two different magnifications of bare NCM811, 0.5 and 1.0 wt% of LaFeO<sub>3</sub>@NCM811 cathode electrode materials.



wt%  $\text{LaFeO}_3$ @NCM811 cathode material, as shown in Fig. 3(g–l), which is well supported by the EDS elemental spectrum of Fig. 3(m).

FE-TEM analysis was utilized to explore the internal microstructure of the as-prepared electroactive materials. The FE-TEM images of the bare and different weight percentages of  $\text{LaFeO}_3$ @NCM811 are shown in Fig. 4(a–c). Fig. 4(a–c)(i) shows schematic illustrations of the bare and different weight percentage coated electrode materials. From the FE-TEM analysis, there is an existence of  $\text{LaFeO}_3$  over the NCM811 electroactive materials for the different ratios. Also, with increasing coating ratio, the thickness of the coating material increased, as evidenced in the high magnification FE-TEM images of Fig. 4(b)(iii) and (c)(iii).

### 3.2. Electrochemical performance

The electrochemical performances of the bare, 0.5, and 1.0 wt%  $\text{LaFeO}_3$ -coated NCM811 were evaluated based on the differential capacity curve ( $dQ/dV$ ) in the voltage range of 3.0 to 4.6 V. Fig. 5(a–c) represents the differential capacity analysis of the bare, 0.5, and 1.0 wt%  $\text{LaFeO}_3$ @NCM811 for the 1<sup>st</sup>, 2<sup>nd</sup>, and 3<sup>rd</sup> cycle, respectively. From the results, it can be clearly observed

that all electrode materials have three oxidation peaks at 3.76 V, 4.02 V, and 4.22 V with corresponding reduction peaks at 3.72 V, 3.98 V, and 4.16 V, which are similar to previously reported values. However, the potential difference of the 0.5 and 1.0 wt%  $\text{LaFeO}_3$ @NCM811 is apparently smaller than that of the bare sample. The 0.5 wt%  $\text{LaFeO}_3$ @NCM811 maintained the redox peak better than the bare electrode, which validates that 0.5 wt%  $\text{LaFeO}_3$ @NCM811 is very favourable for tumbling the kinetic barrier of the electrochemical redox reaction and consequently, improves the electrochemical reversibility. The potential profiles for the bare, 0.5 and 1.0 wt%  $\text{LaFeO}_3$ @NCM811 electrode materials are shown in Fig. 5(d–f). As shown in the figure, bare NCM811 delivered stable electrochemical performance up to 10 cycles and after that, the capacity started to fade due to high voltage operation. The 0.5 and 1.0 wt%  $\text{LaFeO}_3$ @NCM811 electrode materials delivered relatively stable electrochemical performances compared with the bare after the 10th cycle. Fig. 5(g–i) depicts the cycling performance of the bare NCM811 and different ratios of  $\text{LaFeO}_3$ -coated NCM811 electrode materials. With respect to the cycling performance, the first specific charge and discharge capacities of the 0.5 wt%  $\text{LaFeO}_3$ @NCM811 electrode material were 215.4 and




Fig. 5 (a–c) Differential capacity analysis of bare, 0.5, and 1.0 wt%  $\text{LaFeO}_3$ @NCM811 at a current rate of 0.2C; (d–f) galvanostatic charge–discharge profiles of bare, 0.5, and 1.0 wt%  $\text{LaFeO}_3$ @NCM811 cathode electrode materials; (g–i) cycling stability performances of bare, 0.5, and 1.0 wt% of  $\text{LaFeO}_3$ @NCM811 cathode electrode materials.



210.3  $\text{mA h g}^{-1}$ , whereas bare NCM811 delivered charge and discharge capacities of about 207.4 and 198.9  $\text{mA h g}^{-1}$ , respectively, at a current rate of 0.2C. After 80 cycles, bare NCM811 and 0.5 wt% LaFeO<sub>3</sub>@NCM811 electrode materials showed specific charge and discharge capacities of 108.5 and 101.5, and 136.1 and 135.8  $\text{mA h g}^{-1}$  with capacity retentions of about 51.0% and 64.6%, respectively. Thus, the proper amount of LaFeO<sub>3</sub> coating material could help lead the enhanced durability of the structure during the high voltage operation. It could also suppress the polarization effect during the cycling process. As a result, 0.5 and 1.0 wt% LaFeO<sub>3</sub>@NCM811 electrode materials might be electrochemically more stable than the bare NCM811.

Fig. 6(a) depicts the initial charge–discharge profiles of the bare and different ratio LaFeO<sub>3</sub>-coated NCM811 electrode materials at a 0.2C in the voltage range of 3.0 to 4.6 V. From the results, the initial specific charge and discharge capacities of the 0.5 wt% LaFeO<sub>3</sub>@NCM811 electrode material were about 215.4 and 210.3  $\text{mA h g}^{-1}$ , respectively, with a coulombic efficiency of 97.6%. The bare and 1.0 wt% LaFeO<sub>3</sub>@NCM811 electrode materials showed specific charge and discharge capacities of 207.4 and 198.9  $\text{mA h g}^{-1}$ , and 221.4 and 212.0  $\text{mA h g}^{-1}$  with coulombic efficiencies of 95.4% and 95.8%,

respectively. By comparison, 0.5 wt% LaFeO<sub>3</sub>@NCM811 electrode material exhibited improved electrochemical performance due to the effective coating ratio of LaFeO<sub>3</sub>, which can enhance the electrochemical performance. Additionally, rate capability performance is an important factor to evaluate the structural stability at a high current rate in LIBs. Fig. 6(b) depicts the rate capability performance of the bare and different LaFeO<sub>3</sub>@NCM811 electrode materials. The fabricated coin cells were step-wisely operated from 0.2 to 2C and returned to 0.2C at room temperature. From the results, the delivered discharge capacities of bare NCM811 were 200.8, 161.8, 134.5, and 110.2  $\text{mA h g}^{-1}$  at current rates of 0.2, 0.5, 1.0, and 2.0C, respectively. However, the 0.5 wt% LaFeO<sub>3</sub>-coated NCM811 electrode material delivered discharge capacities of 208.9, 183.2, 159.5, and 143.2  $\text{mA h g}^{-1}$  at current rates of 0.2, 0.5, 1.0, and 2.0C, respectively. However, the 1.0 wt% LaFeO<sub>3</sub>-coated NCM811 electrode material delivered discharge capacities of 212.8, 175.5, 149.5, and 126.7  $\text{mA h g}^{-1}$  at current rates of 0.2, 0.5, 1.0, and 2.0C, respectively. From these results, the 0.5 wt% LaFeO<sub>3</sub>@NCM811 electrode material delivered improved rate capability when compared to the bare and 1.0 wt% LaFeO<sub>3</sub>@NCM811 electrode materials, producing a higher specific capacity with less electrode polarization even




Fig. 6 (a) Initial charge–discharge profiles of bare, 0.5, and 1.0 wt% of LaFeO<sub>3</sub>@NCM811 cathode materials at room temperature; (b) rate capability performances of bare, 0.5, and 1.0 wt% of LaFeO<sub>3</sub>@NCM811 cathode electrode materials at various current rates of 0.2, 0.5, 1.0, 2.0, and 0.2C, respectively; (c) specific discharge capacity behaviors of bare, 0.5, and 1.0 wt% of LaFeO<sub>3</sub>@NCM811 cathode electrode materials at different current densities from 0.2 to 2.0C; (d) Nyquist impedance plots of bare, 0.5, and 1.0 wt% of LaFeO<sub>3</sub>@NCM811 cathode electrode materials.



at higher current rates. Fig. 6(c) shows specific discharge capacity behaviors of the bare, 0.5, and 1.0 wt% of LaFeO<sub>3</sub>@-NCM811 cathode electrode materials at different current densities from 0.2 to 2.0C. The 0.5 wt% withstood the highest specific discharge capacity of 143.2 mA h g<sup>-1</sup> even at a current rate of 2.0C.

To further investigate the electrochemical performance of the as-prepared bare NCM811 and different ratios of LaFeO<sub>3</sub>-coated NCM811 electrode materials, the EIS study has been elaborately performed. Fig. 6(d) represents the spectra of bare NCM811 and different ratios of LaFeO<sub>3</sub>-coated NCM811 electrode materials in a fully charged state of 4.6 V. The inset in Fig. 6(d) represents the equivalent circuit of the EIS which consists of solution resistance ( $R_s$ ), charge transfer resistance ( $R_{ct}$ ), Warburg impedance ( $Z_w$ ), and constant phase element (CPE). All of the spectra show a semicircle in the higher frequency region, which belongs to the charge transfer region and the slope in the medium frequency region belongs to the Warburg impedance.<sup>43</sup> The  $R_{ct}$  values for the bare, 0.5, and 1.0 wt% LaFeO<sub>3</sub>@NCM811 samples are 20, 10, and 13 Ω, respectively. From Fig. 6(d), the diameter of the semicircle for the 0.5 wt% LaFeO<sub>3</sub>@NCM811 electrode was smaller than those of the bare and 1.0 wt% samples, which demonstrates good contact between the electrode and the electrolyte, elucidating faster kinetics and increased ion tolerability. Further, this smaller  $R_{ct}$  value of the 0.5 wt% LaFeO<sub>3</sub>@NCM811 electrode could deliver better electrochemical performances among the attempted electrode materials.

## 4. Conclusion

We have adopted a simple wet chemical synthesis technique to prepare LaFeO<sub>3</sub>-coated NCM811 electrode materials which were used as a high voltage cathode electrode material in LIBs. Different ratios of LaFeO<sub>3</sub> were coated over NCM811 and we systematically investigated the effects of surface modification on electrochemical performance at high voltage, 4.6 V. From the structural analysis, all of the prepared samples were indexed to a well-crystalline structure. In addition, the morphological evaluation revealed that perovskite LaFeO<sub>3</sub> is well coated over the NCM811 and it could alleviate the side reactions between the electrolyte and electrode materials. From the electrochemical investigation, LaFeO<sub>3</sub>-coated NCM811 electrode materials exhibited excellent electrochemical rate capability and cycling performance compared to the bare NCM811. The 0.5 wt% LaFeO<sub>3</sub>-coated NCM811 electrode material showed better electrochemical performances due to smaller interfacial resistances between electrode and electrolyte during the high voltage operation. These improved properties of LaFeO<sub>3</sub>-coated NCM811 cathode electrode materials allow them to be considered as a strong contender for next-generation and eco-friendly rechargeable LIBs.

## Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

## Acknowledgements

This research was supported by the Next Generation Engineering Researcher Program of National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) funded by the Ministry of Science and ICT (No. 2017H1D8A2031138) and also supported by the Korea Institute for Advancement of Technology (KIAT) and the Ministry of Trade, Industry & Energy (MOTIE) of the Republic of Korea (No. P0017363).

## References

- 1 K. J. Kim, Y. N. Jo, W. J. Lee, T. Subburaj, K. Prasanna and C. W. Lee, Effects of inorganic salts on the morphological, structural, and electrochemical properties of prepared nickel-rich Li [Ni0. 6Co0. 2Mn0. 2] O<sub>2</sub>, *J. Power Sources*, 2014, **268**, 349–355.
- 2 J. Lu, Z. Chen, Z. Ma, F. Pan, L. A. Curtiss and K. Amine, The role of nanotechnology in the development of battery materials for electric vehicles, *Nat. Nanotechnol.*, 2016, **11**(12), 1031.
- 3 E. Sarasketa-Zabala, I. Gandiaga, E. Martinez-Laserna, L. Rodriguez-Martinez and I. Villarreal, Cycle ageing analysis of a LiFePO<sub>4</sub>/graphite cell with dynamic model validations: Towards realistic lifetime predictions, *J. Power Sources*, 2015, **275**, 573–587.
- 4 Y. Kim, Encapsulation of LiNi0.5Co0.2Mn0.3O<sub>2</sub> with a thin inorganic electrolyte film to reduce gas evolution in the application of lithium ion batteries, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2013, **15**(17), 6400–6405.
- 5 L. Wang, Z. Schnepp and M. M. Titirici, Rice husk-derived carbon anodes for lithium ion batteries, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2013, **1**(17), 5269–5273.
- 6 S. H. Kang, Y. N. Jo, K. Prasanna, T. H. Kim, S. J. Do, P. Santhoshkumar, I. N. Sivagami and C. W. Lee, Physical and Electrochemical Properties of CuO-Coated Li [Ni0.8Co0.1Mn0.1] O<sub>2</sub> Cathodes at Elevated Temperature for Lithium Ion Batteries, *J. Nanosci. Nanotechnol.*, 2017, **17**(11), 8093–8099.
- 7 W. Liu, P. Oh, X. Liu, M. J. Lee, W. Cho, S. Chae, Y. Kim and J. Cho, Nickel-rich layered lithium transition-metal oxide for high-energy lithium-ion batteries, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2015, **54**(15), 4440–4457.
- 8 X. Xiong, Z. Wang, X. Yin, H. Guo and X. Li, A modified LiF coating process to enhance the electrochemical performance characteristics of LiNi0.8Co0.1Mn0.1O<sub>2</sub> cathode materials, *Mater. Lett.*, 2013, **110**, 4–9.
- 9 Y. Xia, J. Zheng, C. Wang and M. Gu, Designing principle for Ni-rich cathode materials with high energy density for practical applications, *Nano Energy*, 2018, **49**, 434–452.
- 10 J. Zhang, H. Zhang and J. Xu, Surface-Coated LiNi0.8Co0.1Mn0.1O<sub>2</sub> (NCM811) Cathode Materials by Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, ZrO<sub>2</sub> and Li<sub>2</sub>O-2B<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> Thin-Layers for Improving the Performance of Lithium Ion Batteries, *Frontiers in Materials*, 2019, **6**, 309.
- 11 S. J. Do, P. Santhoshkumar, S. H. Kang, K. Prasanna, Y. N. Jo, C. W. Lee and A.-D. Li, [Ni0. 78Co0. 1Mn0. 1Al0. 02] O<sub>2</sub> for

High Performance of Lithium Ion Batteries, *Ceram. Int.*, 2019, **45**(6), 6972–6977.

12 Y. Su, S. Cui, Z. Zhuo, W. Yang, X. Wang and F. Pan, Enhancing the high-voltage cycling performance of  $\text{LiNi0.5Mn0.3Co0.2O}_2$  by retarding its interfacial reaction with an electrolyte by atomic-layer-deposited  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ , *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2015, **7**(45), 25105–25112.

13 M. Hu, X. Pang and Z. Zhou, Recent progress in high-voltage lithium ion batteries, *J. Power Sources*, 2013, **237**, 229–242.

14 Y. K. Sun, D. H. Kim, H. G. Jung, S. T. Myung and K. Amine, High-voltage performance of concentration-gradient  $\text{Li}[\text{Ni0.67Co0.15Mn0.18}]_2\text{O}_2$  cathode material for lithium-ion batteries, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2010, **55**(28), 8621–8627.

15 P. Hu, J. Zhao, T. Wang, C. Shang, J. Zhang, B. Qin, Z. Liu, J. Xiong and G. Cui, A composite gel polymer electrolyte with high voltage cyclability for Ni-rich cathode of lithium-ion battery, *Electrochim. Commun.*, 2015, **61**, 32–35.

16 R. Petibon, J. Xia, L. Ma, M. K. Bauer, K. J. Nelson and J. Dahn, Electrolyte system for high voltage li-ion cells, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, 2016, **163**(13), A2571–A2578.

17 W. Li, B. Song and A. Manthiram, High-voltage positive electrode materials for lithium-ion batteries, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2017, **46**(10), 3006–3059.

18 M. Nanthagopal, P. Santhoshkumar, N. Shaji, S. Praveen, H. S. Kang, C. Senthil and C. W. Lee, Nitrogen-doped carbon-coated  $\text{Li}[\text{Ni0.8Co0.1Mn0.1}]_2\text{O}_2$  cathode material for enhanced lithium-ion storage, *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 2019, **492**, 871–878.

19 J. Mou, Y. Deng, L. He, Q. Zheng, N. Jiang and D. Lin, Critical roles of semi-conductive  $\text{LaFeO}_3$  coating in enhancing cycling stability and rate capability of 5 V  $\text{LiNi0.5Mn1.5O}_4$  cathode materials, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2018, **260**, 101–111.

20 N. Nitta, F. Wu, J. T. Lee and G. Yushin, Li-ion battery materials: present and future, *Mater. Today*, 2015, **18**(5), 252–264.

21 K. Meng, Z. Wang, H. Guo, X. Li and D. Wang, Improving the cycling performance of  $\text{LiNi0.8Co0.1Mn0.1O}_2$  by surface coating with  $\text{Li}_2\text{TiO}_3$ , *Electrochim. Acta*, 2016, **211**, 822–831.

22 S. Li, X. Fu, J. Zhou, Y. Han, P. Qi, X. Gao, X. Feng and B. Wang, An effective approach to improve the electrochemical performance of  $\text{LiNi0.6Co0.2Mn0.2O}_2$  cathode by an MOF-derived coating, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2016, **4**(16), 5823–5827.

23 W. Cho, S. M. Kim, J. H. Song, T. Yim, S. G. Woo, K. W. Lee, J. S. Kim and Y. J. Kim, Improved electrochemical and thermal properties of nickel rich  $\text{LiNi0.6Co0.2Mn0.2O}_2$  cathode materials by  $\text{SiO}_2$  coating, *J. Power Sources*, 2015, **282**, 45–50.

24 J. Z. Kong, C. Ren, G. A. Tai, X. Zhang, A. D. Li, D. Wu, H. Li and F. Zhou, Ultrathin  $\text{ZnO}$  coating for improved electrochemical performance of  $\text{LiNi0.5Co0.2Mn0.3O}_2$  cathode material, *J. Power Sources*, 2014, **266**, 433–439.

25 D. Wang, X. Li, Z. Wang, H. Guo, Y. Xu, Y. Fan and J. Ru, Role of zirconium dopant on the structure and high voltage electrochemical performances of  $\text{LiNi0.5Co0.2Mn0.3O}_2$  cathode materials for lithium ion batteries, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2016, **188**, 48–56.

26 C. Li, H. Zhang, L. Fu, H. Liu, Y. Wu, E. Rahm, R. Holze and H. Wu, Cathode materials modified by surface coating for lithium ion batteries, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2006, **51**(19), 3872–3883.

27 L. Fu, H. Liu, C. Li, Y. P. Wu, E. Rahm, R. Holze and H. Wu, Surface modifications of electrode materials for lithium ion batteries, *Solid State Sci.*, 2006, **8**(2), 113–128.

28 N. N. Toàn, S. Saukko and V. Lantto, Gas sensing with semiconducting perovskite oxide  $\text{LaFeO}_3$ , *Phys. B*, 2003, **327**(2–4), 279–282.

29 U. Russo, L. Nodari, M. Faticanti, V. Kuncser and G. Filoti, Local interactions and electronic phenomena in substituted  $\text{LaFeO}_3$  perovskites, *Solid State Ionics*, 2005, **176**(1–2), 97–102.

30 S. Khetre, H. Jadhav, P. Jagadale, S. Kulal and S. Bamane, Studies on electrical and dielectric properties of  $\text{LaFeO}_3$ , *Adv. Appl. Sci. Res.*, 2011, **2**(4), 503–511.

31 Y. Wang, X. Yang, L. Lu and X. Wang, Experimental study on preparation of  $\text{LaMO}_3$  (M= Fe, Co, Ni) nanocrystals and their catalytic activity, *Thermochim. Acta*, 2006, **443**(2), 225–230.

32 Q. Ming, M. Nersesyan, A. Wagner, J. Ritchie, J. Richardson, D. Luss, A. Jacobson and Y. Yang, Combustion synthesis and characterization of Sr and Ga doped  $\text{LaFeO}_3$ , *Solid State Ionics*, 1999, **122**(1–4), 113–121.

33 T. Vijayaraghavan, R. Sivasubramanian, S. Hussain and A. Ashok, A Facile Synthesis of  $\text{LaFeO}_3$ -Based Perovskites and Their Application towards Sensing of Neurotransmitters, *ChemistrySelect*, 2017, **2**(20), 5570–5577.

34 M. Humayun, F. Raziq, A. Khan and F. Ali, Controllable Synthesis of Pure-Phase  $\text{LaFeO}_3$  with Porous Structure and their Catalytic Performance for Pollutants Degradation, *SF J. Nanochem. Nanotechnol.*, 2018, **1**(1001), 1–5.

35 M. Marezio and P. Dernier, The bond lengths in  $\text{LaFeO}_3$ , *Mater. Res. Bull.*, 1971, **6**(1), 23–29.

36 J. Y. Liao and A. Manthiram, Surface-modified concentration-gradient Ni-rich layered oxide cathodes for high-energy lithium-ion batteries, *J. Power Sources*, 2015, **282**, 429–436.

37 C. Senthil, K. Vediappan, M. Nanthagopal, H. S. Kang, P. Santhoshkumar, R. Gnanamuthu and C. W. Lee, Thermochemical conversion of eggshell as biological waste and its application as a functional material for lithium-ion batteries, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2019, **372**, 765–773.

38 C. Ghanty, B. Markovsky, E. M. Erickson, M. Talianker, O. Haik, Y. Tal-Yossef, A. Mor, D. Aurbach, J. Lampert and A. Volkov, Li<sup>+</sup>-Ion Extraction/Insertion of Ni-Rich  $\text{Li}_{1+x}(\text{NiyCozMnz})_w\text{O}_2$  (0.005 < x < 0.03; y: z = 8: 1, w ≈ 1) Electrodes: In Situ XRD and Raman Spectroscopy Study, *ChemElectroChem*, 2015, **2**(10), 1479–1486.

39 P. V. Gosavi and R. B. Biniwale, Pure phase  $\text{LaFeO}_3$  perovskite with improved surface area synthesized using different routes and its characterization, *Mater. Chem. Phys.*, 2010, **119**(1–2), 324–329.

40 W. Luo and B. Zheng, Improved electrochemical performance of  $\text{LiNi0.5Co0.2Mn0.3O}_2$  cathode material by



double-layer coating with graphene oxide and V<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> for lithium-ion batteries, *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 2017, **404**, 310–317.

41 P. Santhoshkumar, K. Prasanna, Y. N. Jo, I. N. Sivagami, S. H. Kang and C. W. Lee, Hierarchically structured mesoporous bimetallic oxides as a potential anode material for rechargeable lithium batteries, *J. Alloys Compd.*, 2019, **771**, 555–564.

42 L. Xi, L. Xiaoxun, X. Baokun and Z. Muyu, XPS study of adsorbed oxygen of nanocrystalline LaFeO<sub>3</sub> materials, *J. Alloys Compd.*, 1992, **186**(2), 315–319.

43 P. Santhoshkumar, K. Prasanna, Y. N. Jo, I. N. Sivagami, S. H. Kang and C. W. Lee, A facile and highly efficient short-time homogenization hydrothermal approach for the smart production of high-quality  $\alpha$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> for rechargeable lithium batteries, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2017, **5**(32), 16712–16721.

