# RSC Advances



# PAPER

Cite this: RSC Adv., 2021, 11, 10727

# Webbing a network of reliable thermochemistry around lignin building blocks: tri-methoxybenzenes†

Sergey P. [V](http://orcid.org/0000-0003-1578-5106)erevkin, D<sup>\*ab</sup> Vladimir V. Turovtsev,<sup>c</sup> Irina V. Andreeva,<sup>a</sup> Yurij D. Orlov<sup>d</sup> and Aleksey A. Pimerzin<sup>Db</sup>

Methoxy-substituted benzenes are the simplest fragments from the lignin separation feedstock. Extensive experimental thermochemical studies of these compounds were carried out, including vapor pressure measurements, combustion and differential scanning calorimetry. These data were evaluated using empirical, semi-empirical and quantum chemical methods. The consistent sets of evaluated thermodynamic data were used to design the method for predicting enthalpies of vaporisation and enthalpies of formation of di- and tri-substituted benzenes. It has been found that the agglomeration of substituents on the benzene ring has dramatic consequences for the energetics of the molecule (in terms of the enthalpy of formation), as well as for the energetics of intermolecular interactions (in terms of the enthalpy of vaporisation). These observations are essential to reliably assess the energetics of the molecules that appear in reaction products of lignin transformations in value-adding chemicals and materials. PAPER<br> **EXERCISE CONSULTER SERVIEW AND SERVIEW SURFACE SERVIEW SURFACE SERVIEW SURFACE SERVIEW SURFACE SERVIEW SURFACE SERVIEW AND SERVIE** 

Received 26th January 2021 Accepted 5th March 2021

DOI: 10.1039/d1ra00690h

rsc.li/rsc-advances

### 1. Introduction

Lignin has received considerable attention as a renewable source of aromatics.<sup>1</sup> Catalytic processing or pyrolysis of lignin is important for a sustainable production of platform chemicals and biofuels. A better understanding of lignin processing at the molecular level is indispensable for developing process control strategies to optimize the product formation.<sup>2</sup> In order to understand the energetics and mechanisms that control the entire monomer formation and distribution, reliable thermodynamic data must be collected and evaluated for building blocks that result from the thermal conversion of lignin. The basic properties such as enthalpies of formation and enthalpies of phase transitions (vaporisation, sublimation and fusion) are required for energy balance calculations and dynamic equilibrium determinations in valorization reactions.<sup>3-6</sup>

The correlation of thermodynamic properties of lignin constituting monomers with their molecular structures can open a window of opportunity for reliable predicting the energetics of large lignin building blocks. Such a prediction is crucial for optimizing the lignin conversion into value-adding and sustainable platform chemicals.

The aim of this work is to use experimental and computational methods to obtain reliable thermodynamic information for the development of quantitative structure–property relationships in molecules that are relevant for the valorization of lignin. In order to facilitate the understanding of the reactions of the lignin conversion and to control the chemical processes, "model compounds" (which imitate the structural units present in lignin) can be examined instead.<sup>7-9</sup> The tri-methoxysubstituted benzenes modelling lignin structural units examined in this work are shown in Fig. 1.

The thermochemical information available on trimethoxybenzenes is scarce.<sup>8,9</sup> Enthalpies of sublimation and enthalpies of formation of 1,2,3-trimethoxy and 1,3,5-trimethoxy-benzene were determined by using drop and combustion calorimetry by Matos et al.<sup>8</sup> The enthalpy of vaporisation of 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene is reported from the correlation gas-chromatography.<sup>9</sup>

This paper continues our previous systematic studies $5-7,10-12$ on the investigation of model compounds relevant to the valorization of biomass. Here we present results on enthalpies of formation, phase transitions, and vapor pressures of a series of tri-methoxy-benzenes of general formulas given in Fig. 1. The data available in the literature and new experimental results were evaluated and checked for internal consistency. The consistent thermochemical data sets for tri-methoxy-benzenes have been used for the design and the development of a "centerpiece" group-contribution approach being necessary for appraisal of enthalpies of formation and enthalpies of vaporisation of substituted benzenes important for downstream processing of lignin-derived feedstock into end products.

a Department of Physical Chemistry, University of Rostock, 18059 Rostock, Germany. E-mail: sergey.verevkin@uni-rostock.de

b Chemical Department, Samara State Technical University, 443100 Samara, Russia c Department of Physics, Tver State Medical University, 170100 Tver, Russia

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup>Physical-Technical Department, Tver State University, 170100 Tver, Russia

<sup>†</sup> Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: 10.1039/d1ra00690h



Fig. 1 Tri-methoxy-benzenes studied in this work: 1,2,3-tri-methoxy-benzene, 1,2,4-tri-methoxy-benzene, 1,3,5-tri-methoxy-benzene, 3,4,5 tri-methoxy-toluene.

### 2. Experimental procedure

#### 2.1 Materials

Commercially available samples of tri-methoxy-substituted benzenes (see Table S1†) with purities of 0.98 to 0.99 mass fraction were additionally purified. Solid samples were purified using fractional sublimation under reduced pressure. Liquid samples were purified using fractional vacuum distillation. Purities were determined using a gas chromatograph equipped with a capillary column HP-5 and a flame ionization detector. No impurities (greater than the mass fraction 0.0009) were detected. We used the Mettler Toledo DL38 Karl Fischer Titrator for analyzing the water content in samples. A negligible mass fraction of water close to the detection level was detected by using the HYDRANAL™ as the reagent.

#### 2.2 Experimental and theoretical thermochemical methods

The gas-phase standard molar enthalpy of formation,  $\Delta_f H_{\text{m}}^{\text{o}}(g)$ , of a compound under study is the comprehensive experimental thermochemical property that includes all enthalpies of phase transitions (sublimation, evaporation, and fusion) in combination with combustion enthalpy. Vapor pressures of tri-methoxy-benzenes at different temperatures were measured by the transpiration.<sup>13-15</sup> The standard molar enthalpies of sublimation,  $\Delta_{\rm cr}^{\rm g} H_{\rm m}^{\rm o}$ , and the standard molar enthalpies of vaporisation,  $\Delta_l^g H_m^o$ , were derived from vapor pressures temperature dependences. A high-precision selfmade combustion calorimeter equipped with a static bomb was used to derive liquid and crystalline phase standard molar enthalpies of formation,  $\Delta_f H_{\text{m}}^{\text{o}}(cr)$  or liq), of tri-methoxybenzenes. The experimental procedures have been described elsewhere.<sup>16,17</sup> The standard molar heat capacity  $C_{\rm p,m}^{\rm o}(\text{cr})$  of 1,2,3-trimethoxybenzene and the standard molar enthalpies of fusion,  $\Delta^{\rm l}_{\rm cr}H_{\rm m}^{\rm o}$  of tri-methoxy-benzenes were measured by using differential scanning calorimetry (DSC).<sup>18</sup>

We used quantum-chemical calculations to get theoretical standard molar gas-phase enthalpies of formation of trimethoxy-benzenes. Conformational analysis was performed with the ADF program<sup>19</sup> with the methods M06L/TZ2P and M06/QZ4P based on the Slater–Cartesian functions. The convergence of energy was better than  $10^{-6}$  a.u. and for the gradients (forces) not more than 10<sup>-6</sup> a.u.  $\AA^{-1}$ . The fundamental harmonic frequencies of methoxy-substituted benzenes were obtained using M06L/TZ2P and corrected to anharmonic using a cubic polynomial. For this we found the harmonic frequencies of benzoquinone and methylbenzoquinone<sup>20</sup> according to the M06L/TZ2P method, which contain a similar amount of atoms and well-established spectra,

and the parameters of the third degree approximation polynomial were calculated based on the total set of frequencies available for the two molecules compared to the experimental values. The composite  $G4<sub>1</sub><sup>21</sup>$  and  $G3MP2$  (ref. 22) methods from Gaussian 09 software<sup>23</sup> as well as the M06/QZ4P<sup>24</sup> method were used for calculations of enthalpies  $H_{298}$ -values, which were finally converted to the  $\Delta_f H_{\text{m}}^{\text{o}}(g)$  and discussed. Calculations were performed for the most stable conformer of each compound.

### 3. Results and discussion

#### 3.1 Standard molar heat capacity,  $C_{p,m}^{\text{o}}$ , measurements

Experimental heat capacity measurements are important in order to calculate heat balances in technological processes. In addition, heat capacities are required for adjustment sublimation/vaporisation enthalpies to any temperature of interest, and to reduce the results of combustion calorimetry to the standard conditions. From our experiences, the empirical group contribution method developed by Chickos et al.<sup>25</sup> (see Table S2†) can be reliably applied for capacity  $C_{p,m}^{\circ}(\mathbf{r})$  and capacity  $C_{p,m}^{\circ}(\mathbf{r})$  (i.e.) ortimations. However, the volidity of this capacity  $C_{p,m}^{\text{o}}(\text{liq})$  estimations. However, the validity of this approach towards tri-methoxy-benzenes have to be checked. In this work we measured heat capacity of 1,2,3-trimethoxybenzene. Primary experimental results are given in Table S2 in the ESI.<sup>†</sup> The experimental value  $C_{p,m}^{\circ}$ (cr, 298.15 K) = 240.9 J<br> $V^{-1}$  mal<sup>-1</sup> (see Table S<sup>2+)</sup> is in expellent expressed with the  $K^{-1}$  mol<sup>-1</sup> (see Table S3†) is in excellent agreement with the estimate  $C_{\text{p,m}}^0(\text{cr}, 298.15 \text{ K}) = 243.3 \text{ J K}^{-1} \text{ mol}^{-1}$  (see Table S2†). Hence, the heat capacities at the reference temperature  $T =$ 298.15 K estimated according to Chickos et al.<sup>25</sup> (see Table S2 $\dagger$ ) have been used for the temperature adjustments of experimental vaporisation enthalpies as it shown in Section 3.2. Post<br>
CH<sub>3</sub> COH<sub>3</sub><br>
CH<sub>3</sub>

#### 3.2 Thermodynamics of sublimation/vaporisation

The experimental vapor pressures  $p_i$  for the tri-methoxybenzenes (see Table S4†) at different temperatures were measured with help of the transpiration method. They were fitted with the following equation:<sup>13</sup>

$$
R\ln(p_i/p_{\text{ref}}) = a + \frac{b}{T} + \Delta_{\text{cr},l}^g C_{p,m}^o \ln\left(\frac{T}{T_0}\right),\tag{1}
$$

where  $\Delta_{\rm cr, I}^{\rm g} C_{\rm p,m}^{\rm o}$  is the difference of the molar heat capacities of the gas and the crystal (or liquid) phases respectively (see Table S2†), a and b are adjustable parameters,  $R = 8.31446$  J K<sup>-1</sup> mol<sup>-1</sup> is the molar gas constant, and the reference pressure  $p_{ref}$  $=$  1 Pa. The temperature  $T_0$  appearing in eqn (1) is generally an arbitrarily chosen reference temperature. In this work it was chosen to be  $T_0 = 298.15$  K.

Table 1 Results from the transpiration method: coefficient a and b of eqn (1), standard molar sublimation/vaporisation enthalpies  $\Delta^g_{cr,}H^o_{mr}$ standard molar sublimation/vaporisation entropies  $\Delta^g_{\rm cr, l} S^{\rm o}_{\rm cm}$  and standard molar vaporisation Gibbs energies  $\Delta^g_{\rm cr, l} G^{\rm o}_{\rm cm}$  at the reference temperature  $T = 298.15 K^a$ 

	a	$-b$	$-\Delta_{\rm l}^{\rm g} C_{{\rm p,m}}^{\rm o}$ , J ${\rm K}^{-1}$ ${\rm mol}^{-1}$	$\Delta_{\rm l}^{\rm g} H_{\rm m}^{\rm o\ c},$ kJ mol $^{-1}$	$\Delta^{\rm g}_{\rm l}S^{\rm o~d}_{\rm m},$ J $\rm K^{-1}$ mol $^{-1}$	$\Delta_1^g G_m^{\rm o}$ , kJ mol <sup>-1</sup>
1,2,3-Tri-methoxy-benzene (cr)	348.4	103 494.0	37.2	$92.4 \pm 0.7$	$215.5 \pm 1.3$	$28.2 \pm 0.1$
1,2,3-Tri-methoxy-benzene (liq)	348.3	102 212.3	97.9	$73.0 \pm 0.5$	$154.6 \pm 1.2$	$26.9 \pm 0.1$
1,2,4-Tri-methoxy-benzene (liq)	350.8	104 844.6	97.9	75.7 $\pm$ 0.5	$157.2 \pm 1.2$	$28.8 \pm 0.1$
1,3,5-Tri-methoxy-benzene (cr)	333.9	101 366.0	37.2	$90.3 \pm 0.5$	$201.0 \pm 1.1$	$30.4 \pm 0.1$
1,3,5-Tri-methoxy-benzene (liq)	329.3	97 850.6	97.9	$68.7 \pm 0.4$	$135.7 \pm 0.5$	$28.2 \pm 0.1$
3,4,5-Tri-methoxy-toluene (liq)	366.9	109 273.7	105.8	$77.7\pm0.5$	$165.3 \pm 1.0$	$28.4 \pm 0.1$
following equation: $\Delta_{\rm cr,l}^{\rm g} H_{\rm m}^{\rm o}(T) = -b + \Delta_{\rm cr,l}^{\rm g} C_{\rm p,m}^{\rm o} \times T$			(2)	tainties of the vaporisation/sublimation enthalpies includes uncer- tainties from the experimental conditions of transpiration, uncertainties in vapor pressure and uncertainties due to the temperature adjustment to $T = 298.15$ K as described elsewhere. <sup>14,15</sup>		
Sublimation/vaporisation entropies at temperatures $T$ were				Vapor pressures of tri-methoxy-benzenes have been		
				measured for the first time. However, the sublimation		
also derived from the vapor pressures temperature depen-						
dences using eqn (3):						
$\Delta_{\rm cr, l}^{\rm g} S_{\rm m}^{\rm o}(T) = \Delta_{\rm cr, l}^{\rm g} H_{\rm m}^{\rm o}/T + R \ln(p_i/p_{\rm ref})$			(3)	enthalpies of 1,2,3-tri-methoxy- and 1,3,5-trimethoxy-benzenes were measured at 375 K (see Table 2) using the "vacuum sublimation" drop microcalorimetric method. <sup>8</sup> The observed enthalpies of sublimation were adjusted to the reference		

$$
\Delta_{\text{cr},l}^{\text{g}}H_{\text{m}}^{\text{o}}(T) = -b + \Delta_{\text{cr},l}^{\text{g}}C_{\text{p,m}}^{\text{o}} \times T \tag{2}
$$

$$
\Delta_{\text{cr,I}}^{\text{g}} S_{\text{m}}^{\text{o}}(T) = \Delta_{\text{cr,I}}^{\text{g}} H_{\text{m}}^{\text{o}}/T + R \ln(p_i/p_{\text{ref}}) \tag{3}
$$

Compound/CAS	Method <sup>a</sup>	$T$ -Range, K	$\Delta_{\rm cr,l}^{\rm g} H_{\rm m}^{\rm o}(T_{\rm av})$ , kJ mol <sup>-1</sup>	$\Delta_{\rm cr,l}^{\rm g} H_{\rm m}^{\rm o}(298.15 \text{ K})^b$ , kJ mol <sup>-1</sup>	Ref.
1,2,3-Tri-methoxy-benzene (cr)	DC	375	$113.4 \pm 0.8$	$(98.0 \pm 3.2)$	8
	T	288.2-314.3	$92.3 \pm 0.4$	$92.4 \pm 0.7$	This work
	$\cal F$			$92.0 \pm 0.7$	Table 5
				$92.2 \pm 1.0^c$	Average
1,2,3-Tri-methoxy-benzene (liq)	$\mathbf T$	318.1-346.3	$69.7 \pm 0.3$	$73.0 \pm 0.5$	This work
	$J_x$			$72.9 \pm 0.5$	Table 3
				73.0 $\pm$ 0.7 <sup>c</sup>	Average
1,2,4-Tri-methoxy-benzene (liq)	T	309.7-350.1	$72.5 \pm 0.3$	$75.7 \pm 0.5$	This work
	$J_x$			$76.0 \pm 0.5$	Table 3
				75.9 $\pm$ 0.7 $^{c}$	Average
1,3,5-Tri-methoxy-benzene (cr)	DC	375	$116.0 \pm 1.9$	$(100.6 \pm 3.6)$	8
	T	288.0-326.2	$89.9 \pm 0.3$	$90.3 \pm 0.5$	This work
	$\cal F$			$90.7 \pm 0.7$	Table 5
				$90.4 \pm 0.8$ <sup>c</sup>	Average
1,3,5-Tri-methoxy-benzene (liq)	CGC	298		$68.2 \pm 2.0$	9
	Т	330.5-375.3	$63.4 \pm 0.2$	$68.7 \pm 0.4$	This work
	$J_x$			$69.0 \pm 1.0$	Table 4
				$68.7 \pm 0.8$ <sup>c</sup>	Average
3,4,5-Tri-methoxy-toluene (liq)	Т	$327.2 - 366.2$	$72.7 \pm 0.3$	77.7 $\pm$ 0.5	This work
	$J_x$			77.6 $\pm$ 0.5	Table 3
				77.7 $\pm$ 0.7 <sup>c</sup>	Average

Table 2 Compilation of enthalpies of sublimation/vaporisation  $\Delta_{\rm cr, l}^{\rm g}$ H/ $_{\rm m}^{\rm o}$  of tri-methoxy-benzenes

<sup>a</sup> Techniques: DC = drop calorimetry; T = transpiration method;  $J_x$  – derived from correlation of experimental vaporisation enthalpies with Kovats's indices (see text); CGC – correlation gas-chromatographic method (see <sup>b</sup> Uncertainties of the vaporisation and sublimation enthalpies is expressed as the standard uncertainty (0.683 level of confidence,  $k = 1$ ). They were calculated according to a procedure described elsewhere.<sup>21,22</sup> Uncertainties include uncertainties from the experimental conditions and the fitting equation, vapor pressures, and uncertainties from adjustment of vaporisation/sublimation enthalpies to the reference temperature  $T =$ 298.15 K.  $\degree$  Weighted mean value (the uncertainty was taken as the weighing factor). Uncertainty of the vaporisation/sublimation enthalpy is expressed as the expanded uncertainty (0.95 level of confidence,  $k = 2$ ). Values in brackets were not included in the averaging. Values highlighted in bold were recommended for thermochemical calculations.

Table 3 Correlation of vaporisation enthalpies,  $\Delta_1^0H_m^o(298.15$  K), of 1,2-di-methoxy-substituted benzenes with their Kovats's indices (J<sub>x</sub>)<sup>4</sup>

Compound	$J_x^{\nu}$	$\Delta_1^g H_m^o(298.15 \text{ K})_{\text{exp}}$ , kJ mol <sup>-1</sup>	$\Delta_1^8 H_m^{\circ} (298.15 \text{ K})_{\text{calc}}^c$ , kJ mol <sup>-1</sup>	$\Delta^d$ , kJ mol <sup>-1</sup>
1,2-Di-methoxy-benzene	1146	$64.5 \pm 0.3$ (ref. 5)	64.5	0.0
1,2,3-Tri-methoxy-benzene	1315	73.0 $\pm$ 0.5 <sup>e</sup>	72.9	0.1
1,2,4-Tri-methoxy-benzene	1378	75.7 $\pm$ 0.5 <sup>e</sup>	76.0	$-0.3$
3,4,5-Tri-methoxy-toluene	1410	77.7 $\pm$ 0.5 <sup>e</sup>	77.6	0.1
2,3,5-Tri-methoxy-toluene	1527		83.3	

<sup>a</sup> Uncertainty is given as the standard uncertainty (0.683 level of confidence,  $k = 1$ ). <sup>b</sup> Kovats's indices,  $J_x$ , on standard non-polar columns from ref. 29. Calculated using eqn (4).  $^d$  Difference between experimental and calculated by eqn (4) values (columns 3 and 4).  $^e$  Experimental data measured by using the transpiration method from (see Table 1).

values from Stull et al.<sup>26</sup> These results are listed in Table 2 for the sake of comparison with values derived in this work. However, in contrast to our transpiration results, the original uncertainties reported for the calorimetric values do not include the uncertainties due to the temperature adjustments. In order to get a fair comparison, we assumed that the uncertainties in the temperature adjustment of vaporisation/sublimation enthalpies from the experimental  $T$  to the reference temperature add 20% to the total adjustment.<sup>27</sup> The calorimetric results for 1,2,3-tri-methoxy- and 1,3,5-trimethoxy-benzenes with the re-assessed uncertainties are listed in Table 2 (column 5). As can be seen from this table, our "indirect" transpiration values are in disagreement with the "direct" calorimetric results even taking into account large experimental uncertainties of the latter values. There is no plausible explanation for this disagreement and we decided to validate our new experimental results as follows in Section 3.3. **PSC Advances**<br>
Table 3 Correlation denotes Article. 2.1 Correlation denotes Article. Published on 12 March 2021. A Philosophysics Article is licensed under the component of the common access Article is licensed under a C

#### 3.3 Kovats's retention indices for validation of experimental vaporisation enthalpies

The disagreement of sublimation enthalpies observed for 1,2,3 tri-methoxy-benzene and 1,3,5-tri-methoxy-benzene have prompted the search for additional methods to validate our new transpiration results. In this context, we have applied our experiences with the Correlation Gas-Chromatographic (CGC) method.<sup>6,7,9</sup> This method is a valuable option to establish the consistency of the experimental results for investigated compounds by correlating the experimental  $\Delta_{\text{I}}^{\text{g}}H_{\text{m}}^{\text{o}}(298.15 \text{ K})$ -values with their Kovats's indices<sup>28</sup> (see details in the ESI<sup>†</sup>). The literature data available on the Kovats's retention indices,  $J_x$ , for methoxy substituted benzenes were taken for correlation with the  $\Delta_{\rm I}^{\rm g}H_{\rm m}^{\rm o}$ (298.15 K)-values measured in this work (see Table 1). Results from these correlations are given in Tables 3 and 4.

It is known, that the  $\Delta^g_\text{F}H^0_\text{m}(298.15\text{ K})$ -values correlate linearly with Kovats's indices in various homologous series of alkylbenzenes, alkanes, aliphatic ethers, alcohols, or in a series of structurally similar compounds.<sup>31</sup> As expected, the  $\Delta_1^g H_m^o(298.15 \text{ K})$ values correlated linearly with  $J_x$  values of methoxy-substituted benzenes, but it has turned out that the 1,2-dimethoxysubstituted species (see Table 3) and the 1,3- together with the 1,4-dimethoxy-substituted species (see Table 4) belong to the different lines. For the set of the methoxy-benzenes collected in Table 3, the following linear correlation was obtained:

$$
\Delta_{\rm P}^{\rm g} H_{\rm m}^{\rm o}(298.15 \text{ K})/(\text{kJ mol}^{-1}) = 7.9 + 0.0494 \times J_x \text{ with } (R^2 = 0.999)
$$
\n(4)

For the set of the methoxy-benzenes collected in Table 4, the following linear correlation was obtained:

$$
\Delta_{\text{F}}^{\text{F}} H_{\text{m}}^{\text{o}}(298.15 \text{ K})/(\text{kJ mol}^{-1}) = 24.3 + 0.0318 \times J_x \text{ with } (R^2 = 0.953) \tag{5}
$$

The results of the correlations with Kovats's indices are in a good agreement with those of the transpiration method (see Table 1). Such good agreement can be seen as additional validation of the experimental data measured in this work by using the transpiration method (see Tables 1 and 2). It can be seen from Table 3, that differences between experimental and calculated according to eqn (4) are mostly below 0.5 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>. Results given in Table 4, show that differences between experimental and calculated according to eqn (5) are mostly below 1.0 kJ mol $^{-1}$ . Hence, the uncertainties of enthalpies of vaporisation which are estimated from the correlation the  $\Delta_{\rm P}^{\rm g} H_{\rm m}^{\rm o}(298.15 \text{ K}) - J_x$  are evaluated with these uncertainties of  $\pm 0.5$  kJ mol<sup>-1</sup> (see Table 3) and of  $\pm 1.0$  kJ mol<sup>-1</sup> (see Table 4).





<sup>*a*</sup> Uncertainties are given as the standard uncertainty (0.683 level of confidence,  $k = 1$ ). <sup>*b*</sup> Kovats's indices,  $J_x$ , on standard non-polar columns from ref. 29.  $\degree$  Calculated using eqn (5).  $\degree$  Difference between experimental and calculated by eqn (5) values (columns 3 and 4).  $\degree$  Experimental data measured by using the transpiration method from (see Table 1).

Table 5 Phase transitions thermodynamics of tri-methoxy-benzenes (in kJ mol $^{-1})^a$ 

			$\Delta_{\rm cr}^{\rm l} H_{\rm m}^{\rm o}{}^{\ b}$	$\Delta_{\mathrm{I}}^{\mathrm{g}}H_{\mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{o} c}$	$\Delta_{\rm cr}^{\rm g} H_{\rm m}^{\rm o}{}^d$
Compounds	$T_{\text{fus}}$ , K	$\Delta_{\rm cr}^{\rm l} H_{\rm m}^{\rm o}$ at $T_{\rm fus}$		298.15 K	
1	2	3	5	6	7
1,2,3-Tri-methoxy-benzene 1,3,5-Tri-methoxy-benzene	$318.3 \pm 0.5$ $329.4 \pm 0.5$	$20.2 \pm 0.3$ $23.9 \pm 0.3$	$19.0 \pm 0.5$ $22.0 \pm 0.6$	$73.0 \pm 0.5$ $68.7 \pm 0.4$	$92.0 \pm 0.7$ $90.7 \pm 0.7$
Another valuable option for establishing the consistency of experimental data on phase transitions (liquid-gas, solid-gas, and solid-liquid) for the compound examined is the common thermochemical equation:			mation enthalpy $\Delta_{cr}^g H_m^0(298.15 \text{ K}) = 90.7 \pm 0.7 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ (see Table 5) is an excellent agreement with the transpiration experiment $\Delta_{\rm cr}^{\rm g}H_{\rm m}^{\rm o}(298.15 \text{ K}) = 90.3 \pm 0.5 \text{ kJ} \text{ mol}^{-1}$ (see Table 1). The consistency of the solid-liquid, solid-gas, and liquid-gas		
$\Delta_{\rm cr}^{\rm g} H_{\rm m}^{\rm o}(298.15 \text{ K}) = \Delta_{\rm l}^{\rm g} H_{\rm m}^{\rm o}(298.15 \text{ K}) + \Delta_{\rm cr}^{\rm l} H_{\rm m}^{\rm o}(298.15 \text{ K})$		(6)	phase transitions for 1,2,3-tri-methoxy-benzene and 1,2,3-tri- methoxy-benzene derived in this work could be regarded as an indirect support of the reliability of our new transpiration results.		
We have used this option for 1,2,3-tri-methoxy-benzene and 1,3,5-tri-methoxy-benzene. Indeed, for 1,2,3-tri-methoxy- benzene, the sublimation enthalpy $\Delta_{cr}^g H_m^o(298.15 \text{ K}) = 92.4 \pm$ 0.7 kJ mol <sup>-1</sup> was measured using the transpiration method below the melting point (see Table 1) and the vaporisation enthalpy $\Delta_1^8 H_m^0(298.15 \text{ K}) = 73.0 \pm 0.5 \text{ kJ} \text{ mol}^{-1}$ was derived			3.5 Standard molar enthalpies of formation from combustion calorimetry Specific energies of combustion, $\Delta_c u^{\circ}$ , of highly pure samples of		

#### 3.4 Thermodynamics of liquid–gas, solid–gas, and solid– liquid phase transitions

$$
\Delta_{\rm cr}^{\rm g} H_{\rm m}^{\rm o}(298.15 \text{ K}) = \Delta_{\rm f}^{\rm g} H_{\rm m}^{\rm o}(298.15 \text{ K}) + \Delta_{\rm cr}^{\rm l} H_{\rm m}^{\rm o}(298.15 \text{ K}) \tag{6}
$$

 $\Delta_{\text{ST}}^{\text{B}} H_{\text{m}}^{\text{o}}(298.15 \text{ K}, 1, 2, 3 \text{-} \text{tri-methoxy-benzene}) = 73.0 + 19.0 = 92.0 + 19.0 = 97.0$  $\pm$  0.7 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup> (7)

This estimate is in an excellent agreement with the transpiration experiment  $\Delta_{\rm cr}^{\rm g}H_{\rm m}^{\rm o}(298.15\;{\rm K}) = 92.4\pm0.7\;{\rm kJ}\;{\rm mol}^{-1}$  (see Table 1). In the same way we checked the consistency of phase

#### 3.5 Standard molar enthalpies of formation from combustion calorimetry

Specific energies of combustion,  $\Delta_c u^\circ$ , of highly pure samples of 1,2,3-tri-methoxy-benzene, and 1,2,4-tri-methoxy-benzene, 3,4,5-tri-methoxy-toluene were measured by using combustion calorimetry. Auxiliary data required for the reduction of the combustion results are presented in Table S5.† Typical examples of combustion experiments are given in Table S6.† The standard molar enthalpies of combustion  $\Delta_c H_{\text{m}}^{\text{o}}(\text{cr})$  and the standard molar enthalpies of formation  $\Delta_f H_{\text{m}}^{\text{o}}(\text{cr})$  were derived (see Table 6) from the specific energies of combustion. Combustion results for  $\Delta_c u^{\circ}$  and  $\Delta_c H_{\rm m}^{\rm o}$  are referenced to the reaction for the tri-methoxy-benzenes:

$$
C_9H_{12}O_3(cr,l) + 10.5 \times O_2(g) = 9 \times CO_2(g) + 6 \times H_2O(liq)
$$
 (8)

and for the 3,4,5-trimethoxy-toluene:

$$
C_{10}H_{14}O_3(liq) + 12 \times O_2(g) = 10 \times CO_2(g) + 7 \times H_2O(liq) \tag{9}
$$





 $a$  Uncertainty of combustion energy is expressed as standard deviation of the mean.  $b$  Uncertainties are expressed as the twice standard deviation of the mean.

The conventional procedure<sup>32</sup> was used for reduction of the combustion energy to the standard state. Uncertainties related to combustion experiments are calculated according to the guidelines developed by Olofsson.<sup>33</sup> The  $\Delta_f H_{\text{m}}^{\text{o}}(\text{cr},\text{l})$ -values of methoxy-substituted benzenes were obtained (see Table 6) applying the Hess's law to eqn (8) or (9) with the help of recommended by CODATA<sup>34</sup> standard molar enthalpies of formation of H<sub>2</sub>O(liq) and CO<sub>2</sub>(g).

Compilation of thermochemical data for methoxysubstituted benzenes is given in Table 7.

The  $\Delta_f H_{\rm m}^{\rm o}$  (liq)-values of 1,2,4-tri-methoxy-benzene and 3,4,5tri-methoxy-toluene were measured for the first time. The  $\Delta_f H_{\text{m}}^{\text{o}}(\text{cr},\text{l})$ -values for 1,2,3-tri-methoxy-benzene and 1,3,5-trimethoxy-benzene were previously reported by Matos et al.<sup>8</sup> (see Table 7). Our new result for 1,2,3-tri-methoxy-benzene agrees well with their result. Nevertheless, it is reasonable to validate all available results for the methoxy-substituted benzenes with help of quantum-chemical calculations as it shown in Section 3.6.

#### 3.6 Gas-phase enthalpies of formation: experiment and theory

The experimental gas-phase standard molar enthalpies of formation  $\Delta_{\text{f}}H_{\text{m}}^{0}(\text{g})_{\text{exp}}$  are derived from experimental results on sublimation/vaporisation enthalpies (see Table 2) and results from the combustion calorimetry (see Table 6) according to the common thermochemical equations:

$$
\Delta_{\rm f} H_{\rm m}^{\rm o}(\text{g}, 298.15 \text{ K})_{\rm exp} = \Delta_{\rm cr}^{\rm g} H_{\rm m}^{\rm o}(298.15 \text{ K}) + \Delta_{\rm f} H_{\rm m}^{\rm o}(\text{cr}, 298.15 \text{ K})_{\rm exp}
$$
(10)

$$
\Delta_{\rm f} H_{\rm m}^{\rm o}(\text{g}, 298.15 \text{ K})_{\rm exp} = \Delta_{\rm f}^{\rm g} H_{\rm m}^{\rm o}(298.15 \text{ K}) + \Delta_{\rm f} H_{\rm m}^{\rm o}(\text{liq}, 298.15 \text{ K})_{\rm exp}
$$
(11)

Results derived with help eqn (10) and (11) are listed in Table 7, column 5.

In the last ten years, the quantum chemical (QC) composite methods have become a valuable tool for obtaining theoretical  $\Delta_{\rm f}H_{\rm m}^{\rm o}({\rm g},\,$ 298.15)-values with "chemical accuracy" $^{35,36}$  at the level of 4-5 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>. However, the QC methods differ considerably in terms of time and computing power. In this work, we tested G4, G3MP2, and M06/QZ4P methods to get the theoretical

 $\Delta_f H_{\rm m}^{\rm o}(\text{g}, 298.15 \text{ K})$ -values of methoxy-substituted benzenes for validation of our new experimental results. A disagreement or agreement between the theoretical and experimental  $\Delta_f H_{\rm m}^{\rm o}(\text{g}, 298.15 \text{ K})$ -values provides a valuable indicator of the data mutual consistency.

Conformational analysis and optimization of structures of methoxy-substituted benzenes were carried out within the framework of molecular mechanics in a force field UFF.<sup>19</sup> In almost all molecules we have located several low-lying conformers, but their energies have been found to be close to each other within  $1-2$  kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>. The subsequent geometry optimization with the M06L/TZ2P method<sup>19</sup> led to some permutations between them. Therefore, the conformers of all structures were also optimized with G3MP2 method.<sup>22</sup> The resulting energy distribution confirmed the M06L/Z2P results. The harmonic and anharmonic frequencies of the most stable conformers of methoxy-substituted benzenes were used to determine the zero point of energy and temperature contributions to enthalpy for M06/QZ4P in the "rigid rotator–anharmonic oscillator" (RRAO) approximation. Enthalpies  $H_{298}$  of the most stable conformers for each isomer (see Fig. S1†) were calculated by using the G4, G3MP2, and M06/QZ4P methods. **PSC Advances**<br>
The conventional procedure<sup>46</sup> was used for reduction of the validation of procedure as control and the common access Article is licensed to commons A fuggered under the subsequence of the subsequence of t

The  $H_{298}$ -values have been converted to the standard molar enthalpies of formation  $\Delta_f H_{\text{m}}^{\text{o}}(g, 298.15 \text{ K})_{\text{theor}}$  using the atomization (AT), as well as using the "well-balanced reactions" (WBR).<sup>36,37</sup> The atomization reaction is given by the following equation:

$$
C_m H_n O_k = m \times C + n \times H + k \times O \qquad (12)
$$

Based on our experience with the quantum chemical calculations, the enthalpies of formation from the atomization reaction sometimes systematically deviate from the experimental values.<sup>38</sup> However, a simple linear correlation could be found between calculated by the atomisation reaction and experimental enthalpies of formation of methoxy substituted benzenes (details are given in Tables S11–S13†):

$$
\Delta_{\rm f} H_{\rm m}^{\rm o}(\text{g})_{\rm theor}/k \text{J} \text{ mol}^{-1} = 1.0023 \times \Delta_{\rm f} H_{\rm m}^{\rm o}(\text{g, AT}) + 4.1 \text{ with } R^2 = 0.9992 \text{ for G4} \tag{13}
$$

$$
\Delta_{\rm f} H_{\rm m}^{\rm o}(g)_{\rm theor}/k \text{J} \text{ mol}^{-1} = 1.0064 \times \Delta_{\rm f} H_{\rm m}^{\rm o}(g, \text{AT}) + 8.7
$$
  
with  $R^2 = 0.9993$  for G3MP2 (14)



 $a$  The uncertainties are given as the twice standard deviation. Value given in bold is the weighted average and it was used for thermochemical calculations.  $\frac{b}{c}$  From Table 2.  $\frac{c}{c}$  Theoretical value calculated as the average from G4, G3MP2, and M06/QZ4P results (see Table 8).  $\frac{d}{c}$  Measured in this work.

Table 8 Comparison of the experimental,  $\Delta_fH_{\rm m}^{\rm o}(g)_{\rm exp}$ , and theoretical,  $\Delta_fH_{\rm m}^{\rm o}(g)_{\rm theor}$ , gas-phase standard molar enthalpies of formation of methoxy-substituted benzenes at  $T = 298.15$  K and  $p^{\circ} = 0.1$  MPa (in kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>)

	$\Delta_{\rm f}H_{\rm m}^{\rm o}(g)_{\rm exp}$	G4	G4	G3MP2	G3MP2	M06/QZ4P	M06/QZ4P	$\Delta_f H_{\rm m}^{\rm o}(g)_{\rm theor}$
Compound	Exp. <sup>a</sup>	$AT^b$	WBR <sup>c</sup>	$AT^b$	WBR <sup>c</sup>	$AT^b$	WBR <sup>c</sup>	Average
1,2,3-Trimethoxybenzene	$-346.0 \pm 2.0$	$-351.8$	$-352.2$	$-350.2$	$-351.5$	$-348.3$	$-349.3$	$-350.6 \pm 2.4$
1,2,4-Trimethoxybenzene	$-360.6 \pm 2.3$	$-360.7$	$-361.0$	$-359.8$	$-361.1$	$-358.5$	$-360.8$	$-360.3 \pm 1.6$
1,3,5-Trimethoxybenzene	$-381.6 \pm 3.2$	$-382.0$	$-382.3$	$-381.1$	$-382.2$	$-381.5$	$-383.4$	$-382.1 \pm 1.2$
3,4,5-Trimethoxytoluene	$-383.2 \pm 2.5$	$-383.4$	$-381.8$	$-382.3$	$-381.2$	$-381.6^{d}$	$-382.1^e$	$-382.1 \pm 1.2$
	with $R^2 = 0.9992$ for M06/Q4P		(15)				theoretical gas-phase enthalpies of formation, $\Delta_f H_{\rm m}^{\rm o}(\text{g})_{\rm theor}$ , are	
Using these correlations, the "corrected" enthalpies of							given in the last column of Table 7. The good agreement between the experimental and theoretical standard molar enthalpies of formation for benzene derivatives can be seen as	
							proof of the internal consistency of the thermochemical results	averaged the theoretical values for each compound and the final
The following general reactions were used for the WBR							evaluated in this work (see Table 7), which can now be recom- mended as reliable benchmark properties for further thermo-	
formation of substituted benzene have been calculated (see Table 8). method (detailed reactions are given in Fig. S2 and S3 in ESI†): $x, x, x$ -trimethoxy-benzene + 2 $\times$ benzene							chemical calculations with substituted benzene.	
$=$ 3 $\times$ methoxybenzene			$(R1-R3)$					
							3.7 Development of a "centerpiece" group-contribution	
x,x,x-trimethoxy-toluene + 2 $\times$ benzene				approach				
$=$ 3 $\times$ methoxy-benzene + toluene			(R4)				The polyphenolic lignin structure is well known as a complex 3D	
							molecular structure that comprises a great variety of bonds with	
Using the reliable experimental gas-phase enthalpies of								
							naturally around 50% ether linkages. <sup>39</sup> An idealized structural	
formation $\Delta_f H_{\text{m}}^{\text{o}}(g, 298.15 \text{ K})$ of benzene, methoxybenzene, and toluene from Table S7, <sup>†</sup> the theoretical enthalpies of formation of substituted benzenes were derived by using the G4, G3MP2,							unit of lignin is given in Fig. 2. It is obvious that all components of this unit are arranged in close proximity and interact ener-	

$$
\Delta_{\rm f} H_{\rm m}^{\rm o}(\text{g})_{\rm theor}/k \text{J} \text{ mol}^{-1} = 0.9739 \times \Delta_{\rm f} H_{\rm m}^{\rm o}(\text{g}, \text{AT}) + 2.1
$$
  
with  $R^2 = 0.9992$  for M06/Q4P (15)

$$
x, x, x-trimethoxy-benzene + 2 \times benzene
$$
  
= 3 \times methoxybenzene (R1–R3)

$$
x, x, x\text{-trimethoxy-toluene} + 2 \times \text{benzene}
$$
  
= 3 × methoxy-benzene + toluene (R4)

Using the reliable experimental gas-phase enthalpies of formation  $\Delta_{\text{f}}H_{\text{m}}^{0}(\text{g, 298.15 K})$  of benzene, methoxybenzene, and toluene from Table S7,† the theoretical enthalpies of formation of substituted benzenes were derived by using the G4, G3MP2, and M06/QZ4P methods. The results of quantum-chemical calculations are summarized in Table 8.

As can be seen from Table 8, a very good agreement with the experimental results is evident for all four quantum-chemical methods (G4, G3MP2, and M06/QZ4P) used, as well as for both atomization and WBR procedures. For this reason we have

#### 3.7 Development of a "centerpiece" group-contribution approach

The polyphenolic lignin structure is well known as a complex 3D molecular structure that comprises a great variety of bonds with naturally around 50% ether linkages.<sup>39</sup> An idealized structural unit of lignin is given in Fig. 2. It is obvious that all components of this unit are arranged in close proximity and interact energetically with each other. The total energetics of the structural unit of lignin consists of enthalpic contributions from structural elements (e.g. OH, OCH<sub>3</sub>,  $C<sub>b</sub>H$  etc.), and the contribution from mutual non-bonded interactions among these structural elements. The first contribution could be approximated with any kind of group-additivity.<sup>40,41</sup> An exact accounting of the nonbonded interactions even for the simplified lignin unit is hardly



Fig. 2 Idealized structural unit of lignin (left) and graphical presentation of the idea of a "centerpiece" group-contribution approach (right).

possible due to the complex 3D molecular structure. The only way to assess the lignin energetics is therefore to develop an approach that requires a certain degree of simplication of the real structure.

In our previous studies $5-7,10-12$  we have already launched the systematic study of the energetics of compounds that can be considered as the renewable feedstock and the lignin-broken bits (e.g. anethole, eugenol, hydroxy-, methoxy- etc.). These compounds are not only relevant for the processing of lignin, but also model the constituent elements of the lignin units. These preliminary studies have helped to envisage a "centerpiece" group-contribution approach which is necessary for a basic evaluation of the energetics (enthalpies of formation and enthalpies of vaporisation) of substituted benzenes, which make the main contributions to the lignin structure units. RSC Advances<br>
possible due to the complex 3D molecule is linear to the original of the recogniser of a singular complex is linear to the commons are complexed under the terms are a singular commons and the communities are

The consistent sets of thermochemical data for tri-methoxybenzenes evaluated in Table 7 have been used for the development of a "centerpiece" group-contribution approach as follows.

3.7.1 Construction of a strain-free theoretical framework. It is obvious from the idealized structural unit of lignin (see Fig. 2, left) that alkoxy-substituted benzenes (see Fig. 2, right) can also be seen as one of the constituent "building blocks". For this work, however, it is more important to consider these molecules as "models" in order to develop an approach for a quick assessment of the energetics of the lignin building blocks.

The idea of this approach is that to select a "centerpiece" molecule (e.g. benzene or methoxybenzene, or toluene or etc.) with the well-established thermodynamic properties. Various substituents (mostly relevant for the lignin are alkoxy, hydroxy and carbonyl substituents) can be attached to these "centerpieces" in different positions on the benzene ring. The enthalpic contributions for these substituents can be easily quantified (see Fig. 3) from the differences between the enthalpy of the substituted benzene and the enthalpy of the benzene itself. Using this scheme, the contributions  $\Delta H(H\rightarrow$ CH<sub>3</sub>) and  $\Delta H(H\rightarrow CH_3O)$  were derived (see Table 9) using the reliable thermochemical data for toluene, methoxybenzene, and benzene compiled in Table S7.† Details on calculations conducted in this section are given in Tables S15 to S16 in ESI.†

These enthalpic contributions  $\Delta H(H \rightarrow CH_3O)$  and  $\Delta H(H \rightarrow$  $CH<sub>3</sub>$ ) can be now applied to construct a framework of any desired methoxy-substituted benzene or toluene (e.g. see 1,2,4-



Fig. 3 Example for the quantification of the enthalpic contributions for the methyl- and methoxy-substituents. The scheme is valid for the gas-phase standard molar enthalpies of formation, as well as for the

Table 9 Parameters and pairwise nearest and non-nearest neighbour interactions of substituents on the "centerpieces" for calculation of thermodynamic properties of substituted benzenes at 298.15 K (in kJ mol $^{-1}$ )

Contribution	$\Delta_f H_{\rm m}^{\rm o}(g)^a$	$\Delta_{\text{l}}^{\text{g}}H_{\text{m}}^{\text{o}b}$
Benzene	82.9	33.9
$\Delta H(H \rightarrow CH_3O)$	$-153.6$	12.5
$\Delta H(H \rightarrow CH_3)$	$-32.8$	4.2
$ortho$ $CH_3O$ - $CH_3O$	14.3	5.6
meta $CH_3O-CH_3O$	$-0.5$	0.8
para $CH3O-CH3O$	7.4	2.7
$ortho$ $CH3O-CH3$	$-3.1$	$-0.4$
meta $CH_3O-CH_3$	0.9	2.2
para CH <sub>3</sub> O-CH <sub>3</sub>	4.5	2.7

 $a$  Values were calculated as given in Tables S15 and S16.  $b$  Values were calculated as given in Tables S17 and S18.

trimethoxy-benzene or 3,4,5-trimethoxy-toluene given in Fig. 2, right), arbitrary starting from the one of the "centerpieces" namely methoxybenzene or toluene, or even starting from the benzene itself. In terms of energy, however, this framework is not perfect due to the lack of energetics of the interactions between the substituents.

3.7.2 Pairwise interactions of substituents on the benzene ring. Nearest (e.g. ortho-interactions) and non-nearest neighbour interactions (e.g. meta- or para-interactions) of substituents on the "centerpieces" (benzene, methoxybenzene, and toluene) are generally stipulating the overall amount of the nonadditive interactions specific for the particular structural unit of the lignin (see Fig.  $2$ , left).

For practical reasons, however, it is first necessary to subdivide the entire non-additive interactions into easily definable pairwise interactions. The mutual enthalpic pairwise interactions of substituents in the benzene ring can be accounted for by the three types of contributions that are specific for the ortho, para, and meta positions of substituents placed in the benzene ring. How the pairwise interactions can be derived is shown in Fig. 4. Indeed, to quantify the enthalpic contribution "meta  $CH_3O-CH_3O$ " for the non-bonded interaction of the  $CH<sub>3</sub>O-groups$  in the *meta*-position on the methoxybenzene (taken as the "centerpiece") we must first construct the "theoretical framework" of the 1,3-dimethoxy-benzene (see Fig. 4, middle). To do that, we simply add the contribution  $\Delta H(H \rightarrow CH_3O)$  from Table 9 to the experimental enthalpy



Fig. 4 Example for a quantification of the enthalpic contributions "CH<sub>3</sub>O–R" for the non-nearest neighbour interactions of the CH<sub>3</sub>Ogroup with the methoxy or methyl substituents attached in the different positions to the "centerpieces". The scheme is valid for the gas-phase standard molar enthalpies of formation, as well as for the standard molar enthalpies of vaporisation.

standard molar enthalpies of vaporisation.



Fig. 5 Agglomeration of the enthalpic contributions for the nearest and non-nearest neighbour interactions in the three and four substituted benzene derivatives.

(enthalpy of formation or enthalpy of vaporisation) of the methoxybenzene from Table S7.† Alternatively, we could add two contributions  $\Delta H(H\rightarrow CH_3O)$  to the benzene as the "centerpiece". This "theoretical framework" of 1,3-dimethoxybenzene does not contain the "meta  $CH<sub>3</sub>O-CH<sub>3</sub>O$ " interaction. However, this interaction is present in the real 1,3-dimethoxybenzene (it is symbolised in Fig. 4 with a blue arrow). The arithmetic difference between the experimental enthalpy (enthalpy of formation or enthalpy of vaporisation) of 1,3 dimethoxy-benzene and the enthalpy of the "theoretical framework" therefore provides the quantitative size of the pairwise interaction "*meta*  $CH_3O-CH_3O$ " directly (see Table 9). Using the same logic, the enthalpic contributions for the "ortho  $CH<sub>3</sub>O CH<sub>3</sub>O<sup>n</sup>$  and "para CH<sub>3</sub>O-CH<sub>3</sub>" shown in Fig. 4 can be derived (see Table 9) by using the parameters  $\Delta H(H\rightarrow CH_3O)$  and  $\Delta H(H\rightarrow CH_3)$ respectively. In the same way, the required enthalpic contributions for the ortho-, meta-, and para-pairwise interactions of substituents were obtained and summarized in Table 9. Paper Maccus Control and Commons Commons Article. This article is licensed under the set

Quantitatively, the strength of the interactions depends strongly on the type of ortho-, meta- or para-pairs. Let us consider the intensity of pairwise interaction in terms of  $\Delta_f H^{\scriptscriptstyle{\mathrm{O}}}_{\scriptscriptstyle{\mathrm{m}}}(\mathrm{g})$ . From Table 9 it can be seen that the *ortho-*dimethoxybenzene shows a strong destabilization of 14.3 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup> due to the sterical repulsions of bulky methoxy groups.<sup>5</sup> In contrast, the ortho-substituted methoxy-toluene is noticeably stabilized of  $-3.1$  kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>, however the reason for such stabilization is not quite apparent.<sup>35</sup> From our experiences, the meta- and parainteractions of substituents on the benzene ring are less profound compared to ortho-interactions.<sup>5,7</sup> Indeed, the metainteractions of the substituents examined can be considered as negligible since they are below 1 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup> (see Table 9). In contrast, the significant destabilization at the level from 4.5 to 7.4 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup> is observed for the *para*-isomers of dimethoxybenzene and methoxy-toluene. These noticeable destabilizing

effects can be explained by the specific electron density distribution within the substituted benzene ring.

The discussion of the magnitudes of the pairwise interactions with respect to  $\Delta_{\text{I}}^{\text{g}}H_{\text{m}}^{\text{o}}$  is rather limited, since these contributions reflect the tightness of the molecular packing in the liquid. However, these contributions are not of negligible size (see Table 9, last column) and they have to be considered as empirical constants for the correct prediction of the vaporisation energetics.

3.7.3 Effect of agglomeration of substituents on the benzene ring. It is apparent (see blue arrows in Fig. 5), that the introduction of the third and fourth substituents in the benzene ring is expected to increase the intensity of the mutual interactions of the substituents compared to two substituents. As can be seen in Fig. 5, all three possible types of ortho-, meta-, and para-interactions are present simultaneously in the 3,4,5 trimethoxy-toluene. Does the energetics of these interactions additive with the growing number of substituents? Can we just summarize the individual contributions given in Table 9? Or are there additional effects due to a perturbation in the electron density within the congested benzene ring?

In order to get the answer in terms of  $\Delta_f H_{\rm m}^{\rm o}(\text g)$ , we calculated the "theoretical framework" for each investigated molecule (see Table S15†). The difference between the  $\Delta_f H_{\text{m}}^{\text{o}}(g)$  of the real molecule and the opthelmy of its model represented by the molecule and the enthalpy of its model represented by the "theoretical framework" (see Tables S16 and S17†) provides the total amount of pairwise nearest and non-nearest neighbour interactions of substituents on the "centerpieces" in terms of  $\Delta_f H_{\rm m}^{\rm o}(\text{g})$ . The numerical results of these differences are compiled in Table 10.

The actual amount of interactions in tri-methoxy-substituted benzenes is given in Table 10, column 3 and it is quite



Fig. 6 Extinguishing of mutual interactions of substituents in trimethoxy-substituted benzenes compared to the strong pairwise interactions in the dimethoxy-substituted benzenes.

Table 10 Analysis of the total amount of pairwise nearest and non-nearest neighbour interactions of substituents on the "centerpieces" in terms of  $\Delta_f H^{\odot}_{\rm m}$ (g) for tri-methoxy-substituted benzenes at 298.15 K (in kJ mol $^{-1}$ )

Compound	$\Delta_{\text{f}}H_{\text{m}}^{\text{o}}(\text{g})^a$	Actual amount of interactions <sup>b</sup>	Theoretical amount of interactions <sup>b</sup>		
1,2,3-Trimethoxybenzene	$-350.6 \pm 2.4$	27.3	28.1	$-0.8$	
1,2,4-Trimethoxybenzene	$-360.3 \pm 1.6$	17.6	21.2	$-3.6$	
1,3,5-Trimethoxybenzene	$-382.1 \pm 1.2$	$-4.2$	$-1.5$	$-2.7$	
3,4,5-Trimethoxytoluene	$-382.1 \pm 1.2$	28.6	34.4	$-5.8$	

<sup>a</sup> Results from Table 7.  $\overline{b}$  Calculated in Tables S16 an S17. <sup>c</sup> Difference between columns 3 and 4.

1 1,2,3-Trimethoxybenzene 1,2,4-Trimethoxybenzene 1,3,5-Trimethoxybenzene 3,4,5-Trimethoxytoluene $^a$ Results from Tables 1 and 2. $^b$ Calculated in Table S17. $^c$ Difference between columns 3 and 4. comparable (within uncertainties ascribed to $\Delta_f H_{\rm m}^{\rm o}(\text{g})$ -values) to the theoretical sum of nearest and non-nearest neighbour interactions of substituents (Table 10, column 4). At first glance, no additional interactions between three substituents in the	$\overline{2}$ $73.0 \pm 0.7$ $75.9 \pm 0.7$ $68.7 \pm 0.8$ 77.7 $\pm$ 0.7	3 1.6 4.5 $-2.7$ 2.1		4 12.0 9.1 2.4 19.1 the building blocks of the lignin. However, this assumption requires further investigation. The parameters and pair-wise interactions of substituents in	5 $-10.4$ $-4.6$ $-5.1$
					$-17.0$
theoretical amount of interactions is systematically over- estimated up to 3.6 kJ mol <sup><math>-1</math></sup> (see Table 10, column 5). This trend is more apparent for 3,4,5-trimethoxytoluene, in which the theoret- ical amount of interactions is already overestimated of $-5.8$ kJ mol <sup>-1</sup> (see Table 10, last column). This observation contradicts our expectations, but it could be explained that the "real" molecule of the tri-methoxy-benzenes becomes noticeably more relaxed compared to the di-methoxy-substituted benzene (see Fig. 6), despite the introduction of the third substituent. To summarize observations regarding the $\Delta_f H_{\rm m}^{\rm o}(\text{g})$ -values we			4.	derivatives resulting from the lignin valorization technologies. Taking into account the complex structure of the lignin frag- ments, the question of the energetic consequences of the agglomeration of substituents in the benzene ring deserves further systematic investigations. This is a comprehensive program and will be reported on in forthcoming publications. Conclusions The consistent sets of standard molar thermodynamic proper-	
can conclude, that overall interactions of three methoxy- substituents placed in the benzene ring are systematically decreasing by 3 to 4 $kJ$ mol <sup><math>-1</math></sup> compared to the theoretical value (collected from the sum of the pairwise interactions). Analysis of the total amount of pairwise nearest and non- nearest neighbour interactions of substituents on the "center- pieces" in terms of $\Delta_1^g H_m^0$ for tri-methoxy-substituted benzenes				ties of formation and phase transitions for tri-methoxy- benzenes were measured in this work. These data were evalu- ated with help of complementary measurements of vapor pressures, fusion and combustion enthalpies, as well as with help of empirical and high-level quantum-chemical calcula- tions. Thermodynamic properties of trimethoxy-substituted benzene derivatives, which modeling lignin structural units,	

Table 11 Analysis of the total amount of pairwise nearest and non-nearest neighbour interactions of substituents on the "centerpieces" in terms of  $\Delta^{\rm g}_\text{t}$ H $_\text{m}^\text{o}$  for trimethoxy-substituted benzenes at 298.15 K (in kJ mol $^{-1}$ )

As can be seen from Table 11 (and more detailed from Tables S18 to S20†), the vaporisation enthalpies of the "real" trimethoxy-benzenes are in most cases only somewhat higher in comparison to those for the "theoretical framework" (see Table 11, column 3). It means, that contrary to our expectations the large values of theoretical amount of interactions (collected from the pairwise interactions given in Table 9) is virtually disappears in the "real" tri-methoxy-benzenes! Most noticeable is the difference of  $-10.4$  kJ mol<sup>-1</sup> (see Table 11, column 5) observed for the 1,2,3trimethoxy-benzene, since the theoretical value for this compound is expected to be 12.0 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup> (see Table 11, column 4). This trend can be seen more profoundly for 3,4,5-trimethoxytoluene, in which the difference of  $-17.0$  kJ mol<sup>-1</sup> (see Table 11, column 5) is observed. This means that the liquid phase for these both compounds is significantly more isotropic compared to the di-methoxy-substituted benzenes due to the less favourable intermolecular networking.

To summarize observations regarding the  $\Delta^{\rm g}_{\rm I} H_{\rm m}^{\rm o}$  values, we assume that vaporisation enthalpies of substituted benzene derivatives approximately (with an uncertainty of 2 to 3 kJ mol $^{-1}$ ) equal to the value of "theoretical framework". This assumption is very practical for the quick appraisal of vaporisation enthalpies of poly-substituted benzenes considered as

### 4. Conclusions

The consistent sets of standard molar thermodynamic properties of formation and phase transitions for tri-methoxybenzenes were measured in this work. These data were evaluated with help of complementary measurements of vapor pressures, fusion and combustion enthalpies, as well as with help of empirical and high-level quantum-chemical calculations. Thermodynamic properties of trimethoxy-substituted benzene derivatives, which modeling lignin structural units, were recommended as reliable benchmark properties for thermochemical calculations of the energetics of the lignin fragments. The evaluated vaporisation and formation enthalpies were used to design and develop the "centerpiece" approach for prediction of thermodynamic properties of the lignin broken bits. It has been found that the agglomeration of substituents on the benzene ring has dramatic consequences for the energetics of the molecule (in terms of the enthalpy of formation), as well as for the energetics of intermolecular interactions (in terms of the enthalpy of vaporisation). It has turned out that the mutual interactions of substituents in tri-methoxy-substituted benzenes are extinguishing compared to the strong pairwise interactions in the dimethoxy-substituted benzenes. Moreover, the pairwise contributions to the vaporisation enthalpy specific for the di-substituted benzenes also become insignificant with the growing agglomeration of substituents on the benzene ring. These observations are essential to reliably assess the energetics of the molecules that occur during the conversion of lignin into the value-adding platform chemicals and biofuels.

# Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

# Acknowledgements

SPV acknowledges financial support from German Science Foundation (DFG) grant VE 265/12-1. Authors gratefully acknowledge financial support from the Government of Russian Federation (decree no. 220 of 9 April 2010), agreement no. 14.Z50.31.0038. Paper<br> **Acknowledgements**<br> **Occidentify** Society educations (and support from the New Yorks), the National Society of Society and Society are also the National Society and Society and Society and Society and Society and So

## References

- 1 M. Graglia, N. Kanna and D. Esposito, ChemBioEng Rev., 2015, 2, 377–392.
- 2 Y. Furutani, Y. Dohara, S. Kudo, J. Hayashi and K. Norinaga, J. Phys. Chem. A, 2018, 122, 822–831.
- 3 S. P. Verevkin, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 1999, 1, 127–133.
- 4 R. S. Kalb, E. N. Stepurko, V. N. Emel'yanenko and S. P. Verevkin, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 2016, 18, 31904– 31913.
- 5 M. A. Varfolomeev, D. I. Abaidullina, B. N. Solomonov, S. P. Verevkin and V. N. Emel'yanenko, J. Phys. Chem. B, 2010, 114, 16503–16516.
- 6 A. Y. Russo, M. E. Konnova, I. V. Andreeva and S. P. Verevkin, Fluid Phase Equilib., 2019, 491, 45–55.
- 7 S. P. Verevkin, V. N. Emel'yanenko, R. Siewert and A. A. Pimerzin, Fluid Phase Equilib., 2020, 522, 112751.
- 8 M. A. R. Matos, M. S. Miranda and V. M. F. Morais, J. Phys. Chem. A, 2000, 104, 9260–9265.
- 9 G. Nichols, J. Orf, M. S. Reiter, J. Chickos and G. W. Gokel, Thermochim. Acta, 2000, 346, 15–28.
- 10 V. N. Emel'yanenko, A. V. Yermalayeu, M. Voges, C. Held, G. Sadowski and S. P. Verevkin, Fluid Phase Equilib., 2016, 422, 99–110.
- 11 A. Stark, B. Ondruschka, D. H. Zaitsau and S. P. Verevkin, J. Chem. Eng. Data, 2012, 57, 2985–2991.
- 12 V. N. Emel'yanenko, E. Altuntepe, C. Held, A. A. Pimerzin and S. P. Verevkin, Thermochim. Acta, 2018, 659, 213–221.
- 13 S. P. Verevkin and V. N. Emel'yanenko, Fluid Phase Equilib., 2008, 266, 64–75.
- 14 S. P. Verevkin, A. Y. Sazonova, V. N. Emel'yanenko, D. H. Zaitsau, M. A. Varfolomeev, B. N. Solomonov and K. V. Zherikova, J. Chem. Eng. Data, 2015, 60, 89–103.
- 15 V. N. Emel'yanenko and S. P. Verevkin, J. Chem. Thermodyn., 2015, 85, 111–119.
- 16 S. P. Verevkin and C. Schick, J. Chem. Eng. Data, 2000, 45, 946–952.
- 17 V. N. Emel'yanenko, S. P. Verevkin and A. Heintz, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2007, 129, 3930–3937.
- 18 V. N. Emel'yanenko, D. H. Zaitsau, E. Shoifet, F. Meurer, S. P. Verevkin, C. Schick and C. Held, J. Phys. Chem. A, 2015, 119, 9680–9691.
- 19 G. Velde, F. M. Bickelhaupt, S. J. A. van Gisbergen, C. Fonseca Guerra, E. J. Baerends, J. G. Snijders and T. Ziegler, J. Comput. Chem., 2001, 22, 931–967.
- 20 V. N. Emel'yanenko, M. A. Varfolomeev, V. B. Novikov, V. V. Turovtsev and Y. D. Orlov, J. Chem. Eng. Data, 2017, 62, 2413–2422.
- 21 L. A. Curtiss, P. C. Redfern and K. Raghavachari, J. Chem. Phys., 2007, 126, 84108–84112.
- 22 L. A. Curtiss, P. C. Redfern, K. Raghavachari, V. Rassolov and J. A. Pople, J. Chem. Phys., 1999, 110, 4703–4709.
- 23 M. J. Frisch, et al., Gaussian 09, Revision E.01, Gaussian Inc, Wallingford CT, 2009.
- 24 Y. Wang, P. Verma, X. Jin, D. G. Truhlar and X. He, Revised M06 Density Functional for Main-Group and Transition-Metal Chemistry, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., 2018, 115, 10257–10262.
- 25 J. S. Chickos, S. Hosseini, D. G. Hesse and J. F. Liebman, Struct. Chem., 1993, 4, 271–278.
- 26 D. R. Stull, E. F. Westrum and G. C. Sinke, The Chemical Thermodynamics of Organic Compounds, Wiley, New York, 1969.
- 27 W. Acree and J. S. Chickos, J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data, 2016, 45, 033101.
- 28 E. Kovats, Helv. Chim. Acta, 1958, 41, 1915–1932.
- 29 https://pubchem.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/.
- 30 R. M. Stephenson and S. Malanowski, Handbook of the Thermodynamics of Organic Compounds, Elsevier, New York, 1987.
- 31 S. P. Verevkin, J. Chem. Thermodyn., 2006, 38, 1111–1123.
- 32 W. N. Hubbard, D. W. Scott and G. Waddington, Standard States and Corrections for Combustions in a Bomb at Constant Volume, in Experimental Thermochemistry, ed. F. D. Rossini, Interscience Publishers, New York, 1956, pp. 75–128.
- 33 G. Olofsson, Assignment of Uncertainties, in Combustion Calorimetry: Experimental Chemical Thermodynamics, ed. S. Sunner and M. Månsson, Pergamon, New York, 1979, pp. 137–161.
- 34 J. D. Cox, D. D. Wagman and V. A. Medvedev, CODATA Key Values for Thermodynamics: Final Report of the CODATA Task Group on Key Values for Thermodynamics. CODATA Series on Thermodynamic Properties, Hemisphere Publ. Corp., New York, 1989.
- 35 V. N. Emel'yanenko, K. V. Zaitseva, F. Agapito, J. A. Martinho Simões and S. P. Verevkin, J. Chem. Thermodyn., 2015, 85, 155–162.
- 36 K. V. Zaitseva, V. N. Emel'yanenko, F. Agapito, A. A. Pimerzin, M. A. Varfolomeev and S. P. Verevkin, J. Chem. Thermodyn., 2015, 91, 186–193.
- 37 S. E. Wheeler, K. N. Houk, P. v. R. Schleyer and W. D. Allen, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2009, 131, 2547–2560.
- 38 S. P. Verevkin, V. N. Emel'yanenko, A. A. Pimerzin and E. E. Vishnevskaya, J. Phys. Chem. A, 2011, 115, 1992–2004.
- 39 H. Hatakeyama and T. Hatakeyama, Lignin Structure, Properties, and Applications, in Biopolymers, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2009, pp. 1–63.
- 40 S. W. Benson, Thermochemical Kinetics: Methods for the Estimation of Thermochemical Data and Rate Parameters, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1968.
- 41 S. P. Verevkin, V. N. Emel'yanenko, V. Diky, C. D. Muzny, R. D. Chirico and M. Frenkel, J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data, 2013, 42, 033102.