Cite this: *RSC Adv.*, 2021, 11, 10767

Synthesis, structure and the Hirshfeld surface analysis of three novel metal-tiron coordination complexes†

Ranjay K. Tiwari,^{abcd} Ipsa Shruti^{abd} and J. N. Behera ^{*abd}

Three novel metal-tiron (4,5-dihydroxy-1,3-benzenedisulfonic acid disodium salt) and other pillared ligand bpy (4,4'-bipyridyl)-centered coordination polymers of the formulae $[\text{Cd}(\text{tiron})(\text{bpy})_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2] \cdot 0.5(\text{H}_2\text{O})$, **1**, $[\text{Co}_3(\text{tiron}-\text{bpy})_2(\text{bpy})(\text{H}_2\text{O})_8] \cdot (\text{H}_2\text{O})_2$, **2**, and $[\text{Ba}_2(\text{tiron}-\text{bpy})_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})_4][\text{solvent}]$, **3**, were successfully synthesized under hydrothermal conditions. The as-synthesized materials were well characterized by complimentary techniques such as single-crystal X-ray diffraction, powder X-ray diffraction, Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy and thermogravimetric analysis techniques. The as-synthesized coordination polymers of **1** and **2** featured 1D chains, while **3** shows a layered structure. Co-based **2** shows linear trinuclear Co(II) ions and these Co(II) ions have antiferromagnetic interactions among themselves. The structure of **1** features a zig-zag chain formed by the linkage between monodentate tiron ligands and octahedral Cd(II) ions, interconnected by a twisted bpy ligand, **2** shows a linear chain constructed from corner-sharing trinuclear octahedral Co(II) ions and coordinated with a tridentate tiron-bpy adduct ligand, whereas **3** shows nona-coordinated Ba(II) ions sharing edges with other Ba(II) ions and connected by hexadentate tiron-bridged structures resulting in a layered structure. In **2** and **3**, the bpy nitrogen attacks at the *ortho* position of the tiron ligand and forms an *in situ* ligand adduct. The central metal ions show an octahedral geometry in **1** (Cd(II) ions) and **2** (Co(II) ions), but nona-coordination of Ba(II) ions in **3**. The short interatomic interactions in the crystal structures were evaluated by mapping the Hirshfeld surface process using pseudo-mirrored 2D fingerprint plots. The major short interatomic interactions H...H, O...H and C...H cover the Hirshfeld surfaces.

Received 10th January 2021
Accepted 15th February 2021

DOI: 10.1039/d1ra00207d

rsc.li/rsc-advances

Introduction

The incalculable research of crystalline materials such as coordination polymers (CPs) MOFs, PCMOFs, and COFs has attracted considerable attention in the last decade owing to their diverse architectures, topological structures and their prospective applications for gas storage,^{1–3} dielectrics,^{4,5} electrical conductivity,⁶ catalysis,^{7,8} magnetism,^{9,10} luminescence,^{11,12} *etc.* Generally, the crystallinity of materials provides important information on the nature of bonding between the metal and the organic linker, the functional group attached to

the organic linker, void details, H-bonding and other interactions between molecules, the possibility of proton conduction, *etc.*, and helps in understanding the stability of materials and the feasible applications of materials.^{13,14}

Nevertheless, the assortment of the functional organic chelating ligand is an essential step towards crystal engineering, rational design and synthesis of the functional coordination polymers. Hence, the carboxylate and phosphonate group-containing ligands are the most effective functional ligands and flooded research based on metal carboxylate and metal phosphonate polymers.^{15–18} The structures of phosphonate and sulfonate groups are analogous to each other. However, the studies of metal-sulfonate-based polymers investigating their excellent applications in fuel cells are noticeably fewer than those investigating metal-carboxylate and metal-phosphonate based polymers.¹⁹

It is recognized that the organosulfonate-based ligands are weaker ligands as sulfonate-containing ligands are easily soluble in water, the bonding interaction between metal-sulfonate ligands is weaker and the solvent (water) cannot be dislocated from the primary coordination sphere of metal ions. Hence, sulfonate-based ligands provide controlled availability of spatial arrangement of bonding sites on metal ions, which

^aSchool of Chemical Sciences, National Institute of Science Education and Research (NISER), Bhubaneswar, P.O. Jatni, Khurda, Odisha-752050, India. E-mail: jnbehera@niser.ac.in; Web: <http://niser.ac.in/jnbehera>

^bHomi Bhabha National Institute, Mumbai, India

^cDepartment of Chemistry, University of Delhi Faculty of Science, North Campus, Delhi-110007, India

^dCentre for Interdisciplinary Sciences (CIS), NISER, 752050, Jatni, Odisha, India

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: BVS calculation, PXRD data as pictures and, H-bonding interactions, complete bond lengths and bond angles as tables. CCDC 1969467 (1); 1969468 (2); and 1969469 (3). For ESI and crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: 10.1039/d1ra00207d

facilitates a constraint in the progress of network structures to engender low-dimensional crystalline materials and low-dimensional structural predictability instead of extended networks. This can be attributed to the flexible connectivity of the sulfonate anions to the metal ions or amorphous structure formation^{20–22} although the sulfonate anions show strong Lewis acidity.²¹ Shimizu and coworker reported the first metal-sulfonate coordinate polymer in 2001.²² Keeping all these facts in mind, we selected tiron (4,5-dihydroxy-1,3-benzenedisulfonic acid disodium salt) as a ligand for the preparation of metal-sulfonate-phenol polymers. The ligand tiron is preferred in chelating and bridging ligation due to the following typical points (1) the tiron ligand encompassing two sulfonate and two phenol (catechol) functional groups have been less known; (2) the coordination reaction between the metal ions and tiron ligand can straightforwardly help in the formation of novel structures and topology; and (3) the sulfonate group settled at the *ortho*, *meta* and *para* positions of a benzene ring with respect to the phenol group and can display various coordination modes of ligands *via* their prospective oxygen donors.^{23–25}

Our aim is to check the consequence of the crystal structures and their fascinating properties of metal coordination polymers by varying different metal ions with sulfonate-phenol-based tiron ligands and 4,4'-bipyridyl (bpy) ligands. Herein, we present a mixed-linker approach to synthesize metal-sulfonate-phenol-centered coordination polymers possessing a tiron-bpy adduct ligand with a zig-zag structure. The coordinate polymers were synthesized under hydrothermal conditions and characterized by single-crystal X-ray diffraction, powder X-ray diffraction, FTIR spectroscopy, thermogravimetric analysis (TGA). The magnetic properties of **2** owes the antiferromagnetic interaction amongst adjacent Co(II) ions. Interestingly, in case of **2** and **3**, the *in situ* formation of an adduct of tiron-bpy ligand (Fig. 1) takes place in the presence of metal nitrate salts (Co(II) and Ba(II) ions), during the course of the reaction. However, **1** and **2** featured 1D chain, whereas **3** showed a layered structure.

Experimental

Materials and methods

All the chemicals were purchased from standard chemical suppliers and used without further purification. Physical measurements like thermogravimetric analyses were carried out at a ramp rate of 10 °C min^{−1} under a continuous flow of

nitrogen gas using a Discovery TGA by TA Instruments-Waters Lab. Powder X-ray diffraction data were collected using a Bruker D8 Advance instrument with a DAVINCI design fitted to an HTK 16 temperature chamber X-ray powder diffractometer using Cu K α radiation ($\lambda = 1.5418$ Å). Single-crystal structure determination by X-ray diffraction was performed using a Bruker AXS KAPPA-Apex II diffractometer equipped with an Oxford Cryostream low-temperature device and a fine focus sealed-tube X-ray source (Mo K α radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å, graphite monochromated) operating at 50 kV and 30 mA.

Synthesis of [Cd(tiron)(bpy)₂(H₂O)₂]·0.5(H₂O), **1**

First, 4,5-dihydroxy-1,3-benzenedisulfonate disodium salt [C₆H₄O₈S₂Na₂] (0.166 g, 0.5 mmol) and 4,4'-bipyridyl [C₁₀H₈N₂] (0.078 g, 0.5 mmol) were weighed into a 20 mL capacity beaker. Then, 10 mL of double distilled water was added into the 20 mL beaker. The resulting solution was kept under constant stirring for 30 minutes followed by the addition of Cd(NO₃)₂·4H₂O (0.154 g, 0.5 mmol) to the reaction mixture. The reaction mixture was further stirred at room temperature for another 30 minutes. The reaction mixture (white ppt.) was then transferred into a 15 mL Teflon lined stainless steel autoclave. Finally, the stainless steel autoclave was kept in a preheated hot air oven at 140 °C for 72 h. This reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature over 24 h and gave needle-shaped transparent colorless crystals at the bottom of the Teflon container with a light brown powder. These crystals were filtered and washed with distilled water and dried under vacuum for further characterization (yield 30% with respect to Cd). IR (KBr, cm^{−1}): $\nu(\text{O-H}) = 3436$, $\nu(\text{C=N}) = 1637$, $\nu(\text{C=C}) = 1589, 1544, 1477$, $\nu(\text{C=N}) = 1423$, $\delta(\text{OH}) = 1375$, $\nu(\text{C-O}) = 1288$, $\nu(\text{SO}_3^{2-}) = 1222, 1159, 1097, 1027, 946$, $\delta(\text{C-H}) = 846, 771, 732$, $\nu(\text{C-S}) = 601$. Anal. Calc.: C, 41.80; H, 3.51; N, 7.50; S, 8.58%. Found: C, 41.91; H, 3.47; N, 7.58; S, 8.78%.

Synthesis of [Co₃(tiron-bpy)₂(bpy)(H₂O)₈]·(H₂O)₂, **2**

First, 4,5-dihydroxy-1,3-benzenedisulfonate disodium salt [C₆H₄O₈S₂Na₂] (0.166 g, 0.5 mmol) and 4,4'-bipyridyl [C₁₀H₈N₂] (0.078 g, 0.5 mmol) were weighed into a 20 mL capacity beaker. Then, 10 mL of double distilled water was added into the 20 mL beaker. The resulting solution was kept under constant stirring for 30 minutes followed by the addition of Co(NO₃)₂·6H₂O (0.146 g, 0.5 mmol) to the reaction mixture. The reaction mixture was further stirred at room temperature for another 30 minutes and transferred into a 23 mL Teflon lined stainless steel autoclave. Finally, the stainless-steel autoclave was kept in a preheated hot air oven at 140 °C for 72 h. This reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature over 24 h, which gave plate-shaped transparent orange color crystals at the bottom of the Teflon container. These crystals were filtered and washed with distilled water and dried under vacuum for further characterization (yield 60% with respect to Co). IR (KBr, cm^{−1}): $\nu(\text{O-H}) = 3438$, $\nu(\text{C=N}) = 1612$, $\nu(\text{C=C}) = 1544, 1518, 1448$, $\nu(\text{C=N}) = 1409$, $\delta(\text{OH}) = 1310$, $\nu(\text{C-O}) = 1265$, $\nu(\text{SO}_3^{2-}) = 1209, 1143, 1085, 1037, 962$, $\delta(\text{C-H}) = 858, 762, 721$, $\nu(\text{C-S}) = 609$. Anal.

Fig. 1 Schematic diagram of the tiron-bpy adduct ligand.

Table 1 Crystallographic structure refinement parameters table for 1–3

Parameter	1	2	3
Formula	[Cd(tiron)(bpy) ₂ (H ₂ O) ₂]·0.5(H ₂ O)	[Co ₃ (tiron-bpy) ₂ (bpy)(H ₂ O) ₈]·(H ₂ O) ₂	[Ba ₂ (tiron-bpy) ₂ (H ₂ O) ₄][solvent]
Radiation source	Mo Kα	Cu Kα	Mo Kα
<i>M_r</i>	1474.02	1355.88	595.75
Crystal system	Monoclinic	Triclinic	Monoclinic
Space group	<i>P</i> 2 ₁ / <i>c</i>	<i>P</i> 1̄	<i>P</i> 2 ₁ / <i>c</i>
<i>a</i> /Å	7.3724(2)	9.0487(4)	15.8633(18)
<i>b</i> /Å	33.4103(9)	10.2616(3)	17.2055(19)
<i>c</i> /Å	11.7744(3)	16.0633(4)	8.9423(11)
α/°	90	75.272(2)	90
β/°	104.009(2)	89.528(3)	100.403(8)
γ/°	90	66.293(4)	90
<i>V</i> /Å ³	2813.94(13)	1313.35(9)	2400.6(5)
<i>Z</i>	2	1	4
ρ _{calc} (g cm ^{−3})	1.740	1.714	1.648
λ(Mo Kα) [Å]	0.71073	1.54184	0.71073
μ/mm ^{−1} μ (cm ^{−1})	0.992	9.657	1.878
θ range (deg)	1.884 to 26.372	5.573 to 66.593	1.762 to 25.349
Reflections collected	43 935	11 712	21 507
Unique reflections [<i>R</i> (int)]	5747 [0.0800]	4603 [0.0758]	4372 [0.0834]
Data/restraints/parameters	5747/20/440	4603/4/380	4372 /6 /285
GOF on <i>F</i> ²	1.030	1.097	0.981
<i>R</i> 1 and <i>R</i> 2 [<i>I</i> > 2σ(<i>I</i>)]	0.0373, 0.0708	0.0852, 0.2365	0.0384, 0.0825
<i>R</i> 1 and <i>R</i> 2 (all data)	0.0602, 0.0796	0.0906, 0.2435	0.0541, 0.0902
Largest residual peaks (e Å ^{−3})	0.50 and −0.59	1.66 and −0.90	1.20 and −0.83
CCDC no.	1969467	1969468	1969469

Calc.: C, 37.09; H, 3.71; N, 6.18; S, 9.43%. Found: C, 37.20; H, 3.43; N, 6.09; S, 9.19%.

Synthesis of [Ba₂(tiron-bpy)₂(H₂O)₄][solvent], 3

First, 4,5-dihydroxy-1,3-benzenedisulfonic acid disodium salt [C₆H₄O₈S₂Na₂] (0.166 g, 0.5 mmol) and 4,4'-bipyridyl [C₁₀H₈N₂] (0.078 g, 0.5 mmol) were weighed into a 20 mL capacity beaker. Then, 10 mL of double distilled water was added into the 20 mL beaker. The resulting solution was kept under constant stirring for 30 minutes followed by the addition of Ba(NO₃)₂·xH₂O (0.131 g, 0.5 mmol) to the reaction mixture. The reaction mixture was further stirred at room temperature for another 1 h and transferred into a 15 mL Teflon-lined stainless steel autoclave. Finally, the stainless steel autoclave was kept in a pre-heated hot air oven at 140 °C for 144 h. This reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature over 24 h, and plate-shaped transparent brown color crystals were obtained at the bottom of the Teflon container. These crystals were filtered and washed with distilled water and dried under vacuum for further characterization (yield 68% with respect to Ba). IR (KBr, cm^{−1}): ν(O–H) = 3488, ν(C=N) = 1632, ν(C=C) = 1568, 1525, 1439, ν(C≡N) = 1415, δ(OH) = 1305, ν(C–O) = 1270, ν(SO₃^{2−}) = 1216, 1151, 1079, 1045, 973, δ(C–H) = 862, 762, 731, ν(C–S) = 605. Anal. Calc.: C, 35.43; H, 3.40; N, 5.90; S, 9.01%. Found: C, 35.63; H, 3.64; N, 5.93; S, 9.12%.

Crystallography

A suitable single crystal of 1–3 was carefully selected under a polarizing microscope and mounted at the tip of a thin glass fiber using cyanoacrylate (super glue) adhesive. The single-

crystal structure determination of 1 and 3 was performed by X-ray diffraction using a Bruker AXS-KAPPA Apex II diffractometer equipped with a normal focus, 2.4 kW sealed-tube X-ray source (Mo-Kα radiation = 0.71073 Å) operating at 50 kV and 30 mA, whereas the single crystal structure determination of 2 was performed using a SuperNova, Dual, Cu at home/near, Pilatus 200 K diffractometer equipped with a microfocus, 2.4 kW sealed-tube X-ray source (Cu-Kα radiation = 1.5418 Å) operating at 40 kV and 40 mA. Using the Olex2 software, the structures were solved by the direct method using SHELXT-2014 and refined on *F*² by a full-matrix least-squares technique using the SHELXL-2014 program package.²⁶ An empirical absorption correction based on symmetry-equivalent reflections was applied using SADABS.²⁷ The graphics programs DIAMOND²⁸ and ORTEP²⁹ were used to draw the structures. Non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically. In the crystallographic refinement, the hydrogen atoms were treated as riding atoms using the SHELXL default parameters. In the case of 1, the lattice water hydrogen atoms were not positioned on Fourier map, and the oxygen atom O3W of water is present as an isolated oxygen atom, one of the sulfonate group of tiron ligand being disordered, at two places, namely, S1 and S1a with occupancy of 55.45% and 44.55% respectively; and the oxygen atoms of sulfonate group is O2, O3 and O2A, O3A with occupancy 55.86 and 44.14% respectively, which was solved by PART instruction, which is also echoed in the check-cif report of 1 having associated 'A' level alert. However, in the refinement, hydrogen atoms were added. We show only the highest occupancy atoms in the diagram. In 3, the crystal lattice contains the highly disordered bpy guest molecule in the structure.

Therefore, the high electron density peaks around the guest molecules (two water and half bpy units in the asymmetric unit) were squeezed using the PLATON program package.³⁰ However, the surrounding of aqua ligand O2W has been squeezed, and this is resounded in the check-cif report of 3 (B alert). The details of crystal structure refinement parameters for 1–3 are given in Table 1, whereas the hydrogen bonding interaction and other short interactions are given in Table S1.†

Hirshfeld surface analysis and 2D fingerprint plot

The short contact between the neighbouring molecules in the crystal lattice structures was computed using Crystal Explorer 17.5.³¹ Crystal Explorer 17.5 was used to investigate the Hirshfeld surfaces³² of the crystal structures and envisaged their associated two-dimensional (2D) fingerprint plot³³ of short interatomic contacts and the interaction energy of the lattice. The inalterable refined crystallographic information file (.cif) is imported into the CrystalExplorer 17.5 (ref. 31) software as an input file. The normalized contact distance d_{norm} is described in the term of the van der Waals radii (vdW) of atoms, d_i and d_e parameters, and represented over the colour gradient from red to blue. The d_{norm} contact distance was calculated from the following equation:

$$d_{\text{norm}} = \frac{d_i - r_i^{\text{vdW}}}{r_i^{\text{vdW}}} + \frac{d_e - r_e^{\text{vdW}}}{r_e^{\text{vdW}}}$$

where the parameter d_i is the distance between the Hirshfeld surface and the closest nucleus inside the surface, and the other parameter d_e is the distance from the Hirshfeld surface to the nearby nucleus outside the surface. In addition, r_i^{vdW} and r_e^{vdW} are the van der Waals radii of the atoms. The normalised short contact d_{norm} was plotted between d_i and d_e and the surface displayed by the colour gradient from red, white to blue. The relative influence of the short interatomic contact above the Hirshfeld surface is envisaged by the colour gradient (red to blue) in the fingerprint plots. The set of interaction contact of precise atomic pairs is emphasised by analysing the pseudo-mirrored 2D fingerprint plots. The 2D fingerprint plots between d_i and d_e were established in the range 0.6–2.8 Å containing the reciprocal contact. The dark-red spots on the d_{norm} surface arise as a result of the short interatomic contacts, while the other intermolecular interactions appear as light-red spots. Blue colour spot shows the porous region or long-range intermolecular interaction or non-interacting region. The parameters used on 2D fingerprint plots between d_i and d_e shows the distances among the Hirshfeld surface from the nearby atomic centres, about the relative van der Waals radii [vdW] and the details are given in Table S3.†

Results and discussion

Polymers 1–3 were obtained by the hydrothermal reaction, taking an equimolar amount of metal ions, tiron ligands and 4,4'-bpy, where these reactants were mixed in water and heated up to 140 °C. In particular, the tetra-functional tiron ligand contains a rigid benzene ring having two adjacent hydroxyl

Fig. 2 One-dimensional zigzag chain formation due to coordination of Cd-tiron-bpy and running along the c-axis in 1.

groups, which can be chelated with metal ions and other two meta-positioned sulfonates can bind with the metal or take part in the H-bonding and construction of the coordination polymers with assorted structures, topology and important applications. Here, the formation of an *in situ* adduct of tiron-bpy ligands is noteworthy. All the details of the crystallographic refinement of unit cells, data collection and reduction for 1–3 are provided in Table 1. The molecular formulae of 1–3 are [Cd(tiron)(bpy)₂(H₂O)₂]·0.5(H₂O), 1, [Co₃(tiron-bpy)₂(bpy)(H₂O)₈]·(H₂O)₂, 2, and [Ba₂(tiron-bpy)₂(H₂O)₄][solvent] 3.

Crystallographic analysis of [Cd(tiron)(bpy)₂(H₂O)₂]·0.5(H₂O), 1

Polymer 1 was synthesized by a hydrothermal method, in which the Cd(II) ions, tiron and bpy were mixed in water and heated at 140 °C. The single-crystal X-ray analysis data suggested that the colorless needle-shaped crystals were crystallized in a monoclinic system having the *P*2₁/*c* achiral space group. It was formulated as [Cd(tiron)(bpy)₂(H₂O)₂]·0.5(H₂O) and formed a one-dimensional chain structure. Each asymmetric unit constructed by one Cd(II) ion, one tiron ligand, two bpy ligands, two aqua ligands and a half unit of disordered water molecule trapped in the lattice with all the atoms has 100% occupancy (O3W disorder water molecule has 50% occupancy), as shown in Fig. S1.†

The Cd(II) ions were connected with three nitrogen atoms of three bpy ligands, one oxygen atom of the sulfonate tiron ligand, and two aqua ligands, which collectively form octahedral structures around Cd(II) ions. In CdO₃N₃ octahedra, all the bond lengths are in the usual range with the average Cd–N bond length of 2.336 Å, for Cd–O_{sulfonate} it is 2.335 Å and for Cd–O_{aqua} it is 2.314 Å.³⁴ The selected Cd–O bond lengths are given in Table 2; complete bond lengths and bond angles are listed in Table S2.† The Cd atoms in the octahedral unit have +2 oxidation states, which are intended by the bond valence sum calculations.^{34,35} The total charge on the tiron ligand is –2.

The lattice of 1 is depicted in Fig. 3, the sulfonate oxygen atom of tiron ligand is bonded to Cd(II) ions *via* η¹, μ¹ manner (Fig. S5(a)†), whereas the other sulfonate and two phenolate oxygen atoms of the tiron ligand remain non-bonded. The tiron ligand bonded with the octahedral CdO₃N₃ unit, and these octahedra were further bridged by a bpy unit and results in the infinite one-dimensional zigzag chain, running along the c-axis,

Fig. 3 H-bonding network pattern in the lattice of **1**, (a–c) viewing from different positions of lattice and showing as dashed lines.

as depicted in Fig. 2. Furthermore, one of the bpy ligands connected with Cd(II) ions, and the other end of bpy is free. One of the sulfonate group of tiron ligands is located in the inter-chain space of the crystal lattice and will provide a path for proton conduction, because of free sulfonate and the hydroxyl group of tiron ligands.^{14,36} Lattice water molecules are lying in between the chains. The non-bonded sulfonate group, phenolate group, aqua ligand and water molecules were involved in the strong intricate H-bonding and provide strength to the molecule. The H-bonds are listed in Table S1,[†] and they range between 2.486 (11) and 3.438 (12) Å. Unfortunately, the H-bonding is confined within the chain, thus there is no increase in the dimensionality of **1**.

Crystallographic analysis of [Co₃(tiron-bpy)₂(bpy)(H₂O)₈](H₂O)₂, **2**

Polymer **2** was synthesized similarly to **1**, where the Cd salt was replaced by the cobalt salt. The single-crystal X-ray diffraction dataset of **2** suggested that the plate-shaped orange colored crystals belong to an achiral $P\bar{1}$ space group in the triclinic crystal system. From Fig. S2,[†] it can be observed that the

asymmetric unit of **2** is made up of one full and one half occupied units of Co(II) ions, one unit of tiron-bpy adduct ligands (*in situ* formation), a half unit of bpy ligands, four units of aqua ligands and one water molecule trapped in the lattice. Co1(II) ions are present in the special position with 50% occupancy. The coordination environment of Co1(II) is constructed from four phenolate oxygen atoms from two tiron-bpy adduct ligands present at an equatorial plane and it forms a basal unit; the axial position of Co1(II) ions was occupied by two aqua ligands, resulting in the octahedral environment around Co1(II) ions. The Co2(II) ions are coordinated with one phenolate oxygen atom and one sulfonate oxygen atom from the same tiron-bpy adduct ligand, and one N1 nitrogen atom of bpy, whereas the remaining three sites of Co2(II) ions are coordinated with three aqua ligands and form an octahedra structure around Co2(II) ions. Each of the Co(II) ions has octahedral geometries. The tiron-bpy adduct ligand has -3 charge, which collectively comes from two adjacent deprotonated phenolate groups (catecholate group), and provides -1 charge and -2 charge from two sulfonate groups. Thus, the -3 charge on the tiron-bpy adduct ligand is neutralized by the 1.5 unit Co(II) ions. In the Co1O₆, the Co–O bond length ranges from 2.000 to 2.203 Å, which fall in the normal range. In another octahedral unit of Co2O₅N, the Co–O_{phenolate} bond length is 2.058 Å, the Co–O_{sulfonated} bond length is 2.058 Å, the Co–N bond length is 2.174 Å, and the average Co–O_{aqua} bond length is 2.0993 Å. All the bond lengths fall in the normal range, as reported in the literature.⁵ The selected Co–O bond lengths are given in Table 2; complete bond lengths and bond angles are listed in Table S2.[†]

Furthermore, the tiron-bpy adduct ligand shows chelating nature towards Co(II) ions as well as bridging coordination modes, as shown in Fig. S5(b),[†] where O3 oxygen atoms of sulfonate coordinated with one Co(II) ion, and show $\mu^1-\eta^1:\eta^1$ coordination mode. Another sulfonate group of the same tiron-bpy adduct ligand does not take part in bonding. Furthermore, the O1 and O2 phenolic oxygen atoms of the same ligand chelate to one Co1(II) ion, and O1 phenolic oxygen atom also connected to another Co2(II) ion *via* a μ^2 -bridging linkage. In general, the C–O bond lengths in metal-catecholates range from 1.34 to 1.36 Å. The average bond length of C–O is found to be 1.3095 Å in **2**, which is slightly less than this range. The tiron-bpy adduct ligands are anchored to the Co(II) ions and observed in **2**, which suggests that the presence of variable connectivity modes of tiron ligands of some metal-tiron complexes is based on the phenolic and sulfonate groups in various deprotonated states, as previously reported in the

Table 2 Selected M–O bond lengths [Å] for **1–3**^a

[Cd(tiron)(bpy) ₂ (H ₂ O) ₂](H ₂ O) ₂ , 1					
Cd1–O1	2.335(3)	Cd1–O2W	2.333(3)	Cd1–N2a	2.316(3)
Cd1–O1W	2.290(3)	Cd1–N1	2.333(3)	Cd1–N3	2.358(3)
[Co ₃ (tiron-bpy) ₂ (bpy)(H ₂ O) ₈](H ₂ O) ₂ , 2					
Co1–O1	2.203(3)	Co1–O1W	2.121(4)	Co2–O2W	2.132(4)
Co1–O1 ^b	2.203(3)	Co1–O1W ^b	2.121(4)	Co2–O3W	2.062(4)
Co1–O2	2.000(3)	Co2–O1	2.142(3)	Co2–O4W	2.104(5)
Co1–O2 ^b	2.000(3)	Co2–O3	2.058(4)	Co2–N3	2.174(4)
[Ba ₂ (tiron-bpy) ₂ (H ₂ O) ₄][solvent], 3					
Ba1–O1	2.699(3)	Ba1–O4 ^c	2.864(4)	Ba1–O1W	2.831(4)
Ba1–O1 ^c	2.724(3)	Ba1–O6 ^d	2.796(4)	Ba1–O1W ^c	2.872(4)
Ba1–O3	2.861(4)	Ba1–O7 ^e	2.877(4)	Ba1–O2W	2.878(7)

^a Symmetry transformations used to generate equivalent atoms: $a = -1 + x, 3/2 - y, -1/2 + z$; $b = 1 - x, 2 - y, 1 - z$; $c = x, 3/2 - y, -1/2 + z$; $d = 1 - x, 1 - y, -z$; $e = 1 - x, 1/2 + y, 1/2 - z$.

Fig. 4 A polyhedral view of 1D polymeric chain running along the *a*-axis, in a lattice of **2**.

literature. The bond valence sum calculations of Co atoms were found to be 2.01 in the compound, and hence, the Co ions are present in +2 oxidation states.^{35,41} Tiron ligand shows only one kind of coordination modes in **2**, a tridentate ligand as shown in Fig. 4, S2 and S5(b),† which gives one sulfonate group adjoining to the phenolic group to chelate Co2(II) ions. The remaining non-coordinated sulfonate group take part in the H-bonding, and one phenolic oxygen atom bridges Co1 and Co2(II) ions, while other phenolic oxygen atoms coordinated to Co1(II) ions.

In the crystal lattice, the tiron adduct ligand anchored to the Co1(II) ions and formed Co1O₆ octahedra, these Co1O₆ octahedra further linked with Co2(II) ions *via* a common O1 oxygen atom in a μ^2 -bridging fashion and resulted in a Co₃O₁₄ cluster, as shown in Fig. 4. Furthermore, these Co₃O₁₄ clusters were bridged by bpy ligands *via* nitrogen atoms and formed a linear tri-nuclear Co cluster (Co₃O₁₄N₂). Furthermore, the two trinuclear Co₃O₁₄N₂ are bridged by the bpy ligand and extended along the *c*-axis resulting in a 1D polymeric chain structure. The Co₃O₁₄N₂ are present in the center of the chain. The inter chain distances fall in the range of 9.0487–10.6072 Å. The lattice water molecule O5W is inhabited in the inter-chain space. Polymer **2** gets extra stability from the H-bonding, which occurs between the oxygen atom of sulfonate, aqua ligand and lattice water molecules and ranges from 2.611 to 3.442 Å, as shown in Fig. 5.

Crystallographic analysis of [Ba₂(tiron-bpy)₂(H₂O)₄][solvent], **3**

Polymer **3** was synthesized by the previous protocol similar to that of **1** and **2**, and the brown color crystal of **3** demonstrated a layered structure and formulated as [Ba₂(tiron-bpy)₂(H₂O)₄](bpy)(H₂O)₄; the crystal of **3** exist in the monoclinic crystal system with the achiral *P*2₁/*c* space group. The asymmetric unit of **3** was constructed from one Ba(II) ion, one tiron-bpy adduct ligand, and two aqua ligands. The crystal lattice contains two lattice water molecules and highly disordered guest bpy molecules. The highly disordered bpy guest molecules and lattice water molecules were squeezed from the crystal structure using the SQUEEZE program of the PLATON software programme

package by fixing the probing radius at 1.20 Å in the PLATON program in this crystal structural simulation, as shown in Fig. S3.†³⁰ In **3**, the Ba(II) ion is nona-coordinated surrounded by nine oxygen atoms coming from four sulfonate oxygen atoms of two different tiron-bpy adduct ligands, two phenolic oxygen atoms from two tiron-bpy adduct ligands, two aqua-bridged oxygen atoms connected to Ba(II) ions and one terminal aqua ligand to provide BaO₉ polyhedra. The tiron-bpy adduct ligand has −2 electrical charge in the compound, the one of the deprotonated phenolate is taking part in the bonding with Ba(II) ions and another phenolate group is protonated and remains non-bonded, whereas two sulfonate groups give −2 charge and each sulfonate is bonded with Ba(II) ions. Hence, the −2 electrical charge on the tiron-bpy adduct ligand is balanced by Ba(II) ions. In BaO₉, the sulfonated Ba–O bond lengths fall in the range of 2.699–2.877 Å, the average bridged aqua ligand Ba–O is 2.831 Å, Ba–O_{phenolic} is 2.699 Å and Ba–O_{aqua} is 2.878 Å, all the bond lengths being in the acceptable range.³⁷ The selected Ba–O bond lengths are given in Table 2; complete bond lengths and bond angles are listed in Table S2.† The bond valence sum calculations of Ba in **3** were accounted to be 2.011, which suggest that Ba has +2 oxidation states.³⁵ Each of the sulfonate group of the tiron-bpy adduct ligand is connected with two Ba(II) ions, whereas the phenolate oxygen is bonded with two Ba(II) ions, the other phenolate group remains non bonded. The tiron-bpy adduct ligands show only one type of connectivity mode in the hexadentate ligand, as shown in Fig. S5(c).† All the sulfonate groups of the tiron-bpy ligand adduct provide their two oxygen atoms toward four Ba(II) ions in a η^2 – μ^2 fashion (one sulfonate group bonded with two Ba(II) ions). Another sulfonate group next to the phenolic group chelates the Ba(II) ion. The protonated phenolic oxygen atom O2 remains non-coordinated. The other phenolic oxygen atom O1 is linked with two Ba(II) ions *via* the μ^2 -coordination mode and perpendicular to the tiron-bpy adduct ligand.

Furthermore, only phenolic oxygen atoms O1 of the tiron-bpy adduct ligand are connected to two Ba(II) ions *via* a μ^2 -

Fig. 5 H-Bonding interaction in the crystal lattice of **2** between the sulfonate oxygen atom, phenolate oxygen, aqua ligand and lattice water molecules along the (a) *a*-axis, (b) *c*-axis and (c) *b*-axis.

Fig. 6 (a) A Ba-tiron-bpy-Ba chain extending along the *c*-axis, (b) a Ba-tiron-bpy layer structure extending in the *bc*-plane in **3** and viewed along the *b*-axis and (c) a view of the Ba-tiron-bpy layer structure of **3** in the crystallographic *c*-axis.

Fig. 7 Schematic diagram showing the intersection of a Z-shaped $[\text{Ba}_2(\text{tiron-bpy})_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})_4]$ layer of **3**, where the Ba–O–Ba chain interconnected with the tiron ligand, in the part the two tiron units linked with Ba(II) ions in V shape with an angle 104.85° (different colours are used for better visualization). The bpy units are removed for clarity.

bridging linkage mode. The tiron-bpy adduct ligands are anchored to four Ba(II) ions, which is observed in the molecular unit of **3**, as shown in Fig. S3.† Hence, the tiron-bpy adduct ligand is connected with four Ba(II) ions, a tetradentate ligand as shown in Fig. S3.†

The crystal lattice of **3** is shown in Fig. 6 and 7, the Ba(II) ions are anchored by the surrounding oxygen atoms and they form a BaO_9 polyhedra. The two BaO_9 polyhedra are connected to each other through a bridging O1W aqua ligand and phenolic oxygen atom O1 *via* edge-sharing and resulted in a $[\text{Ba-O-Ba}]$ 1D chain along the *c*-axis as shown in Fig. 6 and S4.† The adjacent Ba(II) ions are separated by $4.4751 \text{ \AA}$. Furthermore, the $[\text{Ba-O-Ba}]$ 1D chains are interconnected with oxygen atoms of the sulfonate group of the tiron-bpy adduct ligand in the *b*-axis *via* the $\eta^2-\mu^2$ coordination mode (Fig. S5(c)†) and form a zigzag-shaped or Z-shaped 2D metal-sulfonate layered structure extending in the *bc*-plane (Fig. 6a and 7). The two tiron units were bridged *via* Ba(II) ions and form a V-shape, the angle between tiron-Ba-tiron is 104.85° . The adjacent layers are separated by $10.26 \text{ \AA}$. The layers lie in the *bc*-plane with an interlayer-space of $10.26 \text{ \AA}$.

The crystal structure contains 24.87% voids with $596.1 \text{ \AA}^3$ free volume and 54 electrons per asymmetric unit, which

Fig. 8 H-Bonding pattern with the polyhedral representation of the layered structure in **3** viewed along the *c*-axis.

corresponds to two lattice water molecules and half unit of highly disordered bpy guest molecules, which have been conquered by a selecting squeeze command using the PLATON software program. The high electron density resembles the highly disordered one bpy unit of guest molecules and four water molecules.

The coordinated aqua ligands are situated in the interlayer region. The H-bonding interactions show crucial roles for holding layers together in the crystal packing and provide extra stability to **3**. The H-bond lengths of **3** are listed in Table S1.† From Fig. 8, the neighboring $[\text{Ba}_2(\text{tiron-bpy})_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})_4]$ layers are interconnected by an intricate H-bonding, held between sulfonate oxygen atoms, nitrogen atoms of the tiron-bpy adduct ligand and the aqua ligand, which fall in the range of $2.801\text{--}3.270 \text{ \AA}$. The O $\cdots$ O separations range from 2.772 to $2.853 \text{ \AA}$, and the infinite 2D layered transmitted into the H-bonded 3D-network structure due to the intricate H-bonding, as shown in Fig. 8. Together, the H-bonds engender an extensive H-bonded 3D network and add the overall strength and stability to the crystal lattice of **3**.

Magnetic property

The molecular unit of **2** contains linear trinuclear Co(II) ions, which prompted us to investigate the magnetic coupling exchange interaction between adjacent trinuclear Co(II) ions, and we collected the temperature variable and field variable magnetic susceptibility data of a crystalline sample of **2** in the temperature range $2\text{--}300 \text{ K}$ at 1000 Oe and an external applied magnetic field. The curve of χ_M and χ_M^{-1} and $\chi_M T$ against the temperature of **2** is shown in Fig. 9.

The temperature-dependent magnetic susceptibility is shown in Fig. 9a, which revealed that the χ_M and χ_M^{-1} values are $0.0385 \text{ emu per mol}$ at 300 K and $25.94 \text{ mol per emu}$ respectively, as the temperature drops to 2 K from 300 K , the χ_M starts increases and reaches $0.8390 \text{ emu per mol}$ at 2 K ; these data suggest the anisotropic alignment of a Co(II) ion. The plot of $\chi_M T$ against temperature is shown in Fig. 9b; the observed room temperature $\chi_M T$ value is $11.562 \text{ emu per mol K}$, which is much higher than the expected spin only value of $5.625 \text{ emu per mol K}$ at 300 K for the three octahedral magnetism-isolated high spin Co(II) metal ions ($S = 3/2$ and $g = 2$), and this indicates the presence of considerable orbital coupling contribution to the magnetic behaviour. The value of χ_M^{-1} and $\chi_M T$ decreases,

Fig. 9 (a) Thermal dependency magnetic susceptibility and its inverse data of **2** at 1000 Oe external applied magnetic field, and (b) thermal dependent $\chi_M T$ product at 1000 Oe external applied magnetic field.

Fig. 10 Isothermal field-dependent magnetization curve of **2** at 5 K.

as the sample is cooled to 2 K from 300 K. The inverse of magnetic susceptibility of **2** follows the Curie-Weiss law above 120 K; this is due to the occurrence of thermally populated excited states of Co(II) ions. At a higher temperature, the first-order orbital contribution observed where the spin-orbit coupling J , $^4T_{1g}$ state splits into $J = 5/2$, $3/2$ and $1/2$ levels.³⁸ Nevertheless, the χ_M^{-1} data of **2** is fitted into the Curie-Weiss law in the temperature range of 120–300 K with $\theta = -57.0$ K.³⁹ The θ values are large, which can be due to the presence of the ligand-field effect in **2**. The nature of the interactions between two Co(II) ions is antiferromagnetic, which was concluded from the decrease in the $\chi_M T$ product with the temperature and the negative θ value.^{40,41} The magnetic moment of **2** shows 5.57 BM, which is higher than spin value $3/2$ of 3.87 due to the presence of spin as well as orbital momentum.⁴²

Furthermore, with drop down in the temperature, the product of $\chi_M T$ also gradually drops reaching 1.68 emu per mol K at 2 K, and indicates a dominant role of significant antiferromagnetic coupling amongst the octahedral high spin Co(II) ions and thermal depopulation of the excited state of the Kramers doublets of the octahedral high spin Co(II) metal ions and results in the non-Curie behaviour of the curve.^{38,43} At 2 K, the product of χ_M and T is 1.68 emu per mol K, which is much lower than the calculated value for three octahedral magnetism-isolated Co(II) Ising doublets with $S_{\text{eff}} = 1/2$. Thus, the only populated ground state of the Kramers doublets of the octahedral Co(II) ions is observed with $S_{\text{eff}} = 1/2$ (spin effective doublets) at a lower temperature.^{44–46}

Fig. 11 TGA curves of the as-synthesized **1–3**.

The M–H hysteresis loop of **2** was recorded in the magnetic field range -60 k Oe to $+60$ k Oe at 5 K and displayed in Fig. 10. The magnetic field-dependent magnetization data of **2** shows a linear field dependence magnetization curve at low temperatures with $H_{\text{coer}} = 0$ and s-shaped curve. The magnetic saturation point attends around 60 000 Oe. The nature of the curve proposes that **2** is basically a paramagnetic material with antiferromagnetic interactions, demonstrating between the adjacent Co(II) ions.

The phase purity of as-synthesized bulk materials of **1–3** was examined by powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) patterns. All the peaks are obtained from measured experimental PXRD data closely compatible with the simulated patterns obtained from SCXRD at room temperature (Fig. S11†).

Furthermore, **1–3** were examined by FTIR spectra to confirm the presence of signature peaks of sulfonate and phenolic groups present in the crystal structure (Fig. S12†). FTIR spectra **1–3** show the ν_{as} band at $1250\text{--}1170\text{ cm}^{-1}$ and ν_{s} peak in the range of $1110\text{--}1050$, which belongs to a signature peak of the SO_3 groups. The band area in the region $3100\text{--}2860\text{ cm}^{-1}$ corresponds to the aromatic CH stretching mode. In the FTIR spectra, the additional bands in the area $3750\text{--}3200\text{ cm}^{-1}$ could be attributed to the presence of the OH stretching vibration, which belongs to water molecules.

TGA

The thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) of **1–3** was performed in a nitrogen atmosphere in the temperature range of room temperature to $700\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$; the objective of TGA is to study their thermal stability and the structural integrity (Fig. 11). The TGA curve suggests that all **1–3** show continuous weight loss with respect to the increase in temperature. The first weight loss in **1** corresponds to the dehydration step (coordinated and lattice water molecules), which occurs in the temperature range of 30 to $160\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$, and account for the weight loss of 6.36%, (calcd 6.10%, four aqua ligands and one water molecule). Above $260\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$, the tiron and bpy ligands started to decay and the coordination polymers started to collapse. The weight loss was found to be nearly 72.39% (calcd 74.96%), which corresponds to two tiron units and four bpy molecule units. The TGA graph of **2** showed that the weight loss was 15.37% (calculated as 13.28%) in the temperature range of $30\text{--}190\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$, which shows the loss of all water molecules. Above $373\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$, the compound begins to disintegrate due to the removal of one unit of tiron-bpy ligand and half unit of bpy ligand and shows 38.53% weight loss (calculated 37.17%). In Fig. 11, the TGA spectra of **3** depicts a 5.73% weight loss below $120\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ (calculated 7.62%), which belongs to the removal of two water molecules. The second step of weight loss was observed around 45.83% from $250\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ to $540\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$, and this step belongs to the decaying of the tiron-bpy adduct ligand.

Hirshfeld surface analysis

The nature of short interatomic interactions in the crystal lattice has been studied by applying the Hirshfeld surface analysis and associated pseudo-mirror 2D (two-dimensional) fingerprint

Fig. 12 (a) The perspective view of the Hirshfeld surface in the crystal lattice of **1** which is highlighted in the circle and represented the d_{norm} plot in different direction of lattice, (b) the shape-index plot and (c) curvedness plot in the crystal lattice of **1**.

plots over the surface.^{47–50} Normally, the parameter d_{norm} plot was assessed by the calculations of the d_e (external) and d_i (internal) distances to the nearby atoms. The existence of a red colour patch (surfaces) mark on the blue surface represented a fluctuating intensity amongst the interacting atoms in the d_{norm} surface plot, which shows the existence of interactions lesser than or equal to the summation of the van der Waals radii of the two interacting nuclei. The strength of short contact is proportional to the intensity of red patches.

The Hirshfeld surfaces of **1** cover 580.90 Å² area and spread over 676.71 Å³ volume with 0.5 isovalue; the scaled colour patches on the surface were generated in between –0.669 a.u. (red colour) and 1.428 a.u. (blue colour), whereas the shape-index plot and curvedness plot are engendered from –1.00 to 1.00 a.u. and –3.745 to 0.341 a.u., respectively, as shown in Fig. 12. The quantitative and envisage of 2D fingerprint plot are shown in Fig. S6† and suggest that the presence of short contacts, and also take part in H-bonding. The H-bonds plays an important role which provides the extra stability. The short interatomic contacts were incurred in H···H (26.4%), H···O (32.6%), H···C (15.9%), H···N (7.5%), C···C (7.2%), O···C (5.0%), O···O (1.6%), Cd···N (1.6%), C···N (1.4%), N···N (0.3%), O···N (0.3%), H···Cd (0.2%) and H···S (0.1%) respectively. The 2D fingerprint plots of these short interatomic contacts were shown in Fig. S6.† The major supports are from H···H, C···H and O···H compared to other short interatomic contacts.

The Hirshfeld surfaces of **2** store 540.68 Å² area and accumulate is 629.00 Å³ volume with 0.5 isovalue, the scaled colour gradient on the surface generated in the between –1.121 a.u. (red colour) and 1.784 a.u. (blue colour). Whereas the shape-index plot and curvedness plot are engendered from –1.00 to 1.00 a.u. and –4.57 to 0.894 a.u., respectively (Fig. S7†). The 2D fingerprint plot reveals that the interatomic contacts were acquired in between the inside and outside of the surface and shows the percentage of interactions are as follows H···H (25.9%), H···O (37.9%), H···C (21.1%), H···N (4.4%), O···C (4.4%), Co···O (2.2%), C···C (2.1%), O···O (1.7%), O···N (0.9%), H···Co (0.2%), and C···N (0.1%) respectively. The 2D fingerprint plots of interatomic contacts were depicted in Fig. S8.† The major supports arise from H···H, C···H and O···H interatomic interactions.

In the case of **3**, the Hirshfeld surfaces spread over 420.37 Å² area and holds 450.83 Å³ volume with 0.5 isovalue, the varying scaled color code on the surface engendered in the between –0.661 a.u. (red colour) and 1.425 a.u. (blue colour). Whereas the shape-index plot and curvedness plot are engendered from –1.00 to 1.00 a.u. and –3.847 to 0.970 a.u., respectively as shown in Fig. S9.† Similar to **1** and **2** the 2D fingerprint plot of **3** reveals that the interatomic interactions were acquired in between the inside and outside of the surface and shows the percentage of interactions as follows H···H (27.3%), H···O (15.67%), H···C (4.5%), Ba···O (4.7%), O···O (8.0%), O···C (2.3%), H···N (1.7%), C···C (1.9%), H···Ba (0.4%), C···N (0.3%), and O···S (0.1%) respectively. The 2D fingerprint plots of interatomic contacts were depicted in Fig. S10.† The major supports arise from H···H, C···H and O···H interatomic interactions.

Henceforth, the overall Hirshfeld surface and their relative 2D fingerprint plots aid to separate and understand the environment of the assorted molecules as well as the symmetry of the independent molecules in the crystal lattice.

Conclusions

Three novel coordination polymers, [Cd(tiron)(bpy)₂(H₂O)₂]·0.5(H₂O), **1**, [Co₃(tiron-bpy)₂(bpy)(H₂O)₈]·(H₂O)₂, **2**, and [Ba₂(tiron-bpy)₂(H₂O)₄][solvent], **3**, have been successfully synthesized under hydrothermal conditions using tiron and bpy as ligands. These CPs are featured zigzag 1D chain in **1** and **2** and 2D layered coordination polymers in **3**. During the hydrothermal reaction, *in situ* tiron-bpy adduct ligand formation was observed in the presence of cobalt and barium nitrate salts in **2** and **3**. The magnetic properties of **2** depict antiferromagnetic interaction amongst the adjacent Co(II) center. The Hirshfeld surface analysis helps to understand the short interatomic interactions in the crystal structures.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

We thank Single Crystal CCD X-ray facility at NISER-Bhubaneswar. This work is supported by the Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR), Govt. of India for the award of a research grant (01(2808)/14/EMR-II).

Notes and references

- 1 M. Gupta, N. Chatterjee, D. De, R. Saha, P. K. Chattaraj, C. L. Oliver and P. K. Bharadwaj, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2020, **59**, 1810–1822.
- 2 D. Liu, J.-P. Lang and B. F. Abrahams, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2011, **133**, 11042–11045.
- 3 D. Saha, Z. Wei and S. Deng, *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy*, 2008, **33**, 7479–7488.

- 4 R. K. Tiwari, J. Kumar and J. Behera, *Chem. Commun.*, 2016, **52**, 1282–1285.
- 5 R. K. Tiwari and J. N. Behera, *CrystEngComm*, 2018, **20**, 6602–6612.
- 6 A. A. Talin, A. Centrone, A. C. Ford, M. E. Foster, V. Stavila, P. Haney, R. A. Kinney, V. Szalai, F. El Gabaly, H. P. Yoon, F. Léonard and M. D. Allendorf, *Science*, 2014, **343**, 66–69.
- 7 M. Sabo, A. Henschel, H. Fröde, E. Klemm and S. Kaskel, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2007, **17**, 3827–3832.
- 8 F.-L. Li, Q. Shao, X. Huang and J.-P. Lang, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2018, **57**, 1888–1892.
- 9 R. K. Tiwari and J. N. Behera, *Dalton Trans.*, 2017, **46**, 5911–5917.
- 10 C. A. Black, J. S. Costa, W. T. Fu, C. Massera, O. Roubeau, S. J. Teat, G. Aromí, P. Gamez and J. Reedijk, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2009, **48**, 1062–1068.
- 11 S. Pal and P. K. Bharadwaj, *Cryst. Growth Des.*, 2016, **16**, 5852–5858.
- 12 A. Ma, J. Wu, Y. Han, F. Chen, B. Li, S. Cai, H. Huang, A. Singh, A. Kumar and J. Liu, *Dalton Trans.*, 2018, **47**, 9627–9633.
- 13 D. D. Borges, S. Devautour-Vinot, H. Jobic, J. Ollivier, F. Nouar, R. Semino, T. Devic, C. Serre, F. Paesani and G. Maurin, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2016, **55**, 3919–3924.
- 14 J. A. Hurd, R. Vaidhyanathan, V. Thangadurai, C. I. Ratcliffe, I. L. Moudrakovski and G. K. Shimizu, *Nat. Chem.*, 2009, **1**, 705–710.
- 15 J. M. Taylor, R. K. Mah, I. L. Moudrakovski, C. I. Ratcliffe, R. Vaidhyanathan and G. K. H. Shimizu, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2010, **132**, 14055–14057.
- 16 J. M. Taylor, K. W. Dawson and G. K. H. Shimizu, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, **135**, 1193–1196.
- 17 A. Clearfield, *Prog. Inorg. Chem.*, 1998, **47**, 371–510.
- 18 B. J. Gunderman and P. J. Squattrito, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1995, **34**, 2399–2406.
- 19 G. Zhang, G. Wei, Z. Liu, S. R. J. Oliver and H. Fei, *Chem. Mater.*, 2016, **28**, 6276–6281.
- 20 G. K. H. Shimizu, R. Vaidhyanathan and J. M. Taylor, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2009, **38**, 1430–1449.
- 21 A. P. Côté and G. K. H. Shimizu, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2003, **245**, 49–64.
- 22 A. P. Côté and G. K. H. Shimizu, *Chem. Commun.*, 2001, 251–252.
- 23 A. P. Côté and G. K. H. Shimizu, *Chem.–Eur. J.*, 2003, **9**, 5361–5370.
- 24 T. S. Sheriff, P. Carr and B. Piggott, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 2003, **348**, 115–122.
- 25 L. Guan and Y. Wang, *Polyhedron*, 2015, **97**, 175–181.
- 26 G. Sheldrick, *SADABS, SHELXS-2008, SHELXL-2014*, University of Göttingen: Göttingen, Germany, 2014.
- 27 G. M. Sheldrick, *SADABS Siemens Area Correction Absorption Program*, University of Göttingen, Göttingen, Germany, 1994.
- 28 W. T. Pennington, *J. Appl. Crystallogr.*, 1999, **32**, 1028–1029.
- 29 L. J. Farrugia, *J. Appl. Crystallogr.*, 1997, **30**, 565.
- 30 A. Spek, *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. C: Struct. Chem.*, 2015, **71**, 9–18.
- 31 M. J. Turner, J. J. McKinnon, S. K. Wolff, D. J. Grimwood, P. R. Spackman, D. Jayatilaka, M. A. Spackman, *Crystal Explorer17*, University of Western Australia, 2017, <http://hirshfeldsurface.net>.
- 32 M. A. Spackman and D. Jayatilaka, *CrystEngComm*, 2009, **11**, 19–32.
- 33 J. J. McKinnon, D. Jayatilaka and M. A. Spackman, *Chem. Commun.*, 2007, 3814–3816.
- 34 R. K. Tiwari, J. Kumar and J. N. Behera, *Dalton Trans.*, 2017, **46**, 15939–15946.
- 35 I. Brown and D. Altermatt, *Acta Crystallogr.*, 1985, **B41**, 244–247.
- 36 T. J. Peckham, J. Schmeisser, M. Rodgers and S. Holdcroft, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2007, **17**, 3255–3268.
- 37 S. A. Dalrymple and G. K. H. Shimizu, *Chem.–Eur. J.*, 2002, **8**, 3010–3015.
- 38 M. Murrie, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2010, **39**, 1986–1995.
- 39 L. Zhang, W. Li, J. Zhang, Z.-J. Li, Y.-Y. Qin, J.-K. Cheng and Y.-G. Yao, *Inorg. Chem. Commun.*, 2008, **11**, 279–282.
- 40 Y. Fan, D.-C. Hu, X.-Q. Yao, Y.-X. Yang and J.-C. Liu, *New J. Chem.*, 2016, **40**, 5010–5018.
- 41 X. Feng, J. L. Chen, R. F. Bai, L. Y. Wang, J. T. Wei and X. X. Chen, *Inorg. Chem. Commun.*, 2016, **66**, 41–46.
- 42 F. Zhao, Z.-P. Dong, Z.-L. Liu and Y.-Q. Wang, *Inorg. Chem. Commun.*, 2019, **107**, 107465.
- 43 X. Liu, L. Sun, H. Zhou, P. Cen, X. Jin, G. Xie, S. Chen and Q. Hu, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2015, **54**, 8884–8886.
- 44 F. Lloret, M. Julve, J. Cano, R. Ruiz-García and E. Pardo, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 2008, **361**, 3432–3445.
- 45 M. I. Mocanu, A. A. Patrascu, M. Hillebrand, S. Shova, F. Lloret, M. Julve and M. Andruh, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 2019, **2019**, 4773–4783.
- 46 J. Vallejo, I. Castro, R. Ruiz-García, J. Cano, M. Julve, F. Lloret, G. De Munno, W. Wernsdorfer and E. Pardo, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2012, **134**, 15704–15707.
- 47 X. Shen, T. Zhang, S. Broderick and K. Rajan, *Mol. Syst. Des. Eng.*, 2018, **3**, 826–838.
- 48 D. Kuriakose and M. R. Prathapachandra Kurup, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 2020, **505**, 119472.
- 49 H. Ghasempour, A. Azhdari Tehrani, A. Morsali, J. Wang and P. C. Junk, *CrystEngComm*, 2016, **18**, 2463–2468.
- 50 K. Rissanen, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2017, **46**, 2638–2648.