


Cite this: *RSC Adv.*, 2021, 11, 9290

# A 3,5-dinitropyridin-2-yl substituted naphthalimide-based fluorescent probe for the selective detection of biothiols and its application in cell-imaging†

Yihua Zhuo,<sup>a</sup> Yanyu Zhang,<sup>a</sup> Yadong Feng,<sup>ab</sup> Yuqing Xu,<sup>\*c</sup> Qihua You,<sup>ab</sup> Lei Zhang,<sup>d</sup> Huabin Huang<sup>a</sup> and Lili Lin<sup>\*ab</sup>

Received 1st January 2021  
Accepted 8th February 2021

DOI: 10.1039/d1ra00010a

rsc.li/rsc-advances

A naphthalimide-based fluorescent probe was developed for the sensitive and selective detection of biothiols. The fluorescence of the probe was quenched by the electron-withdrawing 3,5-dinitropyridin-2-yl group via the photoinduced electron transfer process, and turned on by biothiol-triggered nucleophilic aromatic substitution. The sensing mechanism was confirmed by HPLC analysis and theoretical calculations. The probe shows a satisfactory response time of 30 min with low detection limits (Cys: 0.32  $\mu$ M; Hcy: 0.88  $\mu$ M; GSH: 0.46  $\mu$ M). Furthermore, the probe was successfully utilized to detect endogenous and exogenous biothiols in HeLa cells.

## 1. Introduction

Biological thiols (biothiols), including cysteine (Cys), homocysteine (Hcy), and glutathione (GSH), are found to be closely associated with many diseases.<sup>1,2</sup> For example, an abnormal level of Cys is relevant to skin lesions, liver damage, brain injury, and Parkinson's disease.<sup>3</sup> A high concentration of Hcy has been reported to be linked to cardiovascular disease, osteoporosis, and Alzheimer's disease.<sup>4–6</sup> As the most abundant biothiol and an important antioxidant in cells, the decrease of GSH is associated with neurodegeneration, inflammation, and so forth.<sup>7–9</sup> Therefore, the development of highly selective and sensitive detection methods for biothiols is important for the early diagnosis of diseases.

Fluorescent probes have attracted wide attention due to their high selectivity and sensitivity, real-time detection, non-invasiveness, and biocompatibility characteristics.<sup>10–13</sup> In the development of a fluorescent probe for biothiol sensing, the design strategies are mainly focused on Michael addition,<sup>14–16</sup> nucleophilic cleavage-cyclization,<sup>17–19</sup> metal coordination complex-displacement,<sup>20–22</sup> and nucleophilic aromatic substitution (SNAr).<sup>23–26</sup> Giving the strong nucleophilicity of biothiols, especially their corresponding deprotonated thiolate anion, it is

desirable to introduce a strong electron-withdrawing group as a biothiol recognition site to a fluorophore platform. The introducing group also provides a quenching effect via photo-induced electron transfer (PET) mechanism. Hence, a probe can be designed with high reactivity and sensitivity toward biothiols. Recently, 3,5-dinitropyridin-2-yl was chosen as a biothiol recognition site due to its higher electron-withdrawing ability than other commonly used groups such as 2,4-dinitrophenyl, 2,4-dinitrobenzenesulfonyl and 7-nitro-2,1,3-benzoxadiazole group.<sup>24</sup> This biothiol recognition group exhibited satisfactory selectivity and sensitivity toward biothiols in aqueous buffer and living cells. Therefore, it is necessary to extend the application of this recognition group to improve its sensing ability in the design of a biothiol-targeting fluorescent probe.

In this work, we report a new fluorescent probe for the detection of biothiols based on the nucleophilic aromatic substitution. *N*-Butyl-4-hydroxy-1,8-naphthalimide (**NAP-OH**) was selected as the fluorophore due to its high photostability, and good biocompatibility.<sup>27,28</sup> **NAP-DNP** exhibits high selectivity and sensitivity for biothiols with low detection limits (0.32  $\mu$ M, 0.88  $\mu$ M and 0.46  $\mu$ M for Cys, Hcy and GSH, respectively) and medium response (30 min). The proposed recognition mechanism was corroborated by HPLC analysis and theoretical calculations. The low cell cytotoxicity indicates that **NAP-DNP** is suitable for the sensing of biothiols in living cells.

## 2. Results and discussion

### 2.1. The synthesis of NAP-DNP

Probe **NAP-DNP** was synthesized by the reaction of **NAP-OH** with 2-chloro-3,5-dinitropyridine in a 72% yield, as shown in Scheme 1. The product was fully characterized by <sup>1</sup>H NMR, <sup>13</sup>C NMR, and HRMS analysis. Detailed synthetic procedure and structure

<sup>a</sup>College of Environment and Public Health, Xiamen Huaxia University, 288 Tianma Road, Jimei District, Xiamen 361024, P. R. of China. E-mail: jyyqh@hxxu.edu.cn


<sup>b</sup>Biochemical Pharmacy Engineering Research Center of Fujian Province University, 288 Tianma Road, Jimei District, Xiamen 361024, P. R. of China

<sup>c</sup>School of Physics and Optoelectronics Engineering, Ludong University, Yantai 264025, P. R. of China

<sup>d</sup>Biology Institute of Shanxi, 50 Shifan Road, Xiaodian District, Taiyuan 030006, P. R. of China

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: 10.1039/d1ra00010a





Scheme 1 Synthetic route to probe NAP-DNP.

characterizations are given in the experimental section and the ESI (Fig. S1–S3†).

## 2.2. The selectivity of NAP-DNP for biothiols

The absorption and emission responses of **NAP-DNP** (10  $\mu\text{M}$ ) toward various amino acids were investigated in Tris buffer (20 mM, pH 7.4, containing 10% DMSO, v/v). As shown in Fig. 1, **NAP-DNP** exhibited a weak absorption band centered at 450 nm in the visible range and a weak emission band centered at 550 nm. Upon addition of biothiols (Cys, Hcy and GSH), the absorbance and fluorescence intensity increase to some extent (7.0-, 2.8- and 3.4-fold enhancement in fluorescence intensity for Cys, Hcy and GSH, respectively). Meanwhile, the solution of **NAP-DNP** changed from colorless to pale yellow (inset of Fig. 1a) and emitted distinct lemon-yellow fluorescence under UV lamp (inset of Fig. 1b). In contrast, other amino acids including Ala, Asn, Asp, Arg, Gln, Glu, Gly, His, Ile, Leu, Lys, Met, Phe, Pro, Ser, Thr, Trp, Tyr and Val, induced negligible change in absorbance and fluorescence intensity of **NAP-DNP**. Also, the presence of the abovementioned amino acids (200  $\mu\text{M}$ ) has no significant effect on the sensing ability of **NAP-DNP** to biothiol (Fig. 2). These results indicate that **NAP-DNP** is a highly selective fluorescent probe for biothiols.

## 2.3. The sensitivity of NAP-DNP for biothiols

To investigate the sensing ability of **NAP-DNP** for biothiols (Cys as the representative), titration experiments were conducted with UV-Vis and fluorescence spectroscopy. As shown in Fig. 3a and 4a, upon addition of Cys, the absorption peak at 450 nm and fluorescence intensity at 550 nm increased gradually and reached a plateau after the addition of 200  $\mu\text{M}$  of Cys (Fig. 3b and 4b). A linear relationship ( $R^2 = 0.994$ ) was found between the fluorescence intensity at 550 nm and Cys concentration in




Fig. 2 Fluorescence intensity changes of **NAP-DNP** (10  $\mu\text{M}$ ) for Cys in the presence of various amino acids (200  $\mu\text{M}$ ) in DMSO–Tris buffer (1 : 9, v/v, 20 mM, pH = 7.4) for 30 min ( $\lambda_{\text{ex}}/\lambda_{\text{em}} = 450/550$  nm). Black bars represent the addition of a single analyte including (1) Ala; (2) Arg; (3) Asn; (4) Asp; (5) Gln; (6) Glu; (7) Gly; (8) His; (9) Ile; (10) Leu; (11) Lys; (12) Met; (13) Phe; (14) Pro; (15) Ser; (16) Thr; (17) Trp; (18) Tyr; (19) Val. Red bars represent the subsequent addition of Cys (200  $\mu\text{M}$ ) to the mixture.

the range of 0–40  $\mu\text{M}$  (inset of Fig. 4b), suggesting that **NAP-DNP** is capable of sensing biothiols both qualitatively and quantitatively. The detection limit ( $3\sigma/k$ ) of **NAP-DNP** for Cys, Hcy and GSH was measured to be 0.32  $\mu\text{M}$ , 0.88  $\mu\text{M}$  and 0.46  $\mu\text{M}$ , respectively (inset of Fig. 4b, S4 and S5†). The values are lower than that of many reported biothiol probes, indicating that **NAP-DNP** is highly sensitive to biothiols.

## 2.4. The effect of pH and time-dependent response

To evaluate the sensing pH range for **NAP-DNP**, fluorescent detection of Cys at different pH values was investigated. As shown in Fig. 5, **NAP-DNP** exhibits a very weak fluorescence under strong or moderate acidic conditions (pH < 5), and a slight enhancement in fluorescence intensity over a pH range from 6 to 8. However, a significant fluorescence enhancement was observed at pH over 9. These results may be ascribed to the strong electron-withdrawing 3,5-dinitropyridin-2-yl group facilitating the nucleophilic attack by  $\text{OH}^-$ . In the presence of Cys (200  $\mu\text{M}$ ), the fluorescence intensity of **NAP-DNP** increased




Fig. 1 UV-Vis absorption (a) and fluorescence (b) spectra of **NAP-DNP** (10  $\mu\text{M}$ ) in DMSO–Tris buffer (1 : 9, v/v, 20 mM, pH = 7.4) upon addition of different amino acids (200  $\mu\text{M}$ ). Inset: color of solution (a) and fluorescence (b) changes of **NAP-DNP** without and with different biothiols (Cys, Hcy and GSH).




Fig. 3 (a) UV-Vis absorption titration of **NAP-DNP** (10  $\mu\text{M}$ ) in DMSO–Tris buffer (1 : 9, v/v, 20 mM, pH = 7.4) upon addition of Cys. (b) Plot of absorbance at 450 nm of **NAP-DNP** (10  $\mu\text{M}$ ) as a function of Cys concentration.




Fig. 4 (a) Fluorescence titration of **NAP-DNP** (10  $\mu$ M) in DMSO–Tris buffer (1 : 9, v/v, 20 mM, pH = 7.4) upon addition of Cys. Excitation wavelength is set at 460 nm. Excitation/emission wavelength slit = 5/3 nm. (b) Plot of fluorescence intensity at 550 nm of **NAP-DNP** (10  $\mu$ M) as a function of Cys concentration. Inset: plot of the linear relationship ( $R^2 = 0.994$ ) between the fluorescence intensity at 550 nm of **NAP-DNP** (5  $\mu$ M) and Cys concentration (0, 0.5, 1.0, 1.5, 2.0, 2.5, 3.0, 4.0, 5.0, 6.0, 7.0, 8.0 equiv.).




Fig. 5 Fluorescence intensity at 550 nm of probe **NAP-DNP** (10  $\mu$ M) in DMSO–Tris buffer (1 : 9, v/v, 20 mM, pH = 7.4) without (red circle) and with (black square) addition of Cys (200  $\mu$ M) as a function of pH.

gradually when the pH increased from 5 to 9 and reached a steady reading at pH over 9. Considering the ratio of fluorescence intensity of **NAP-DNP** with Cys to the fluorescence intensity of **NAP-DNP** at different pH values (Fig. S6†) and further application in cell-imaging, physiological pH at 7.4 was selected throughout the experiments. The time-dependent responses of **NAP-DNP** to biothiols were also investigated (Fig. 6). It was found that the fluorescence output of **NAP-DNP** became steady after 30 min addition of biothiols at pH 7.4. Therefore, all of the experiments were measured after the addition of biothiols for 30 min.

## 2.5. Sensing mechanism

To test the hypothesis on the biothiol-triggered activation of **NAP-DNP**, the reaction of **NAP-DNP** with Cys was analyzed *via* HPLC. As shown in Fig. 7, **NAP-DNP**, **NAP-OH** and 2-chloro-3,5-dinitropyridine displayed a single peak with a retention time at 11.07, 4.38 and 3.50 min, respectively. Upon the addition of Cys to the solution of **NAP-DNP** for 15 min, the peak at 11.34 min




Fig. 6 Time-dependent fluorescence enhancement at 550 nm of **NAP-DNP** (10  $\mu$ M) in DMSO–Tris buffer (1 : 9, v/v, 20 mM, pH = 7.4) upon the addition of various Cys, Hcy and GSH (200  $\mu$ M), respectively.

weakened while peaks at 1.43 and 4.43 min emerged (Fig. 7e). After reaction for 30 min, the peak at 11.34 min disappeared while peaks at 1.75 and 4.45 min strengthened (Fig. 7f). The peak at 1.75 min is in accordance with the result of the reaction of 2-chloro-3,5-dinitropyridine with Cys (Fig. 7d). This result confirmed the proposed sensing mechanism (Scheme 2) that the fluorescence enhancement of **NAP-DNP** in the presence of Cys is ascribed to the release of molecule **NAP-OH** from **NAP-DNP**.

## 2.6. Theoretical calculations

To prove our speculation of the proposed sensing mechanism, density functional theory (DFT)-based theoretical calculations





Fig. 7 The reversed-phase HPLC with absorption (254 nm) detection. (a) Probe **NAP-DNP**. (b) Compound **NAP-OH**. (c) 2-Chloro-3,5-dinitropyridine. (d) The reaction mixture of 2-chloro-3,5-dinitropyridine and Cys. (e) The reaction mixture of **NAP-DNP** and Cys for 15 minutes. (f) The reaction mixture of **NAP-DNP** and Cys for 30 minutes.





Scheme 2 Proposed mechanism for sensing of biothiols.

were carried out using Gaussian 16, C.01 program.<sup>29</sup> The energy-optimized geometries and electronic structure of **NAP-DNP** and **NAP-OH** were generated by using B3LYP/6-31G(d) basis set, respectively. The computational results revealed that in **NAP-DNP**, the highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO) was mainly located on the electron-donating naphthalimide moiety, whereas the lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO) was located primarily on the 3,5-dinitropyridin-2-yl moiety (Fig. 8). The energy level of LUMO and HOMO demonstrated that electrons could transfer from the naphthalimide moiety to the 3,5-dinitropyridin-2-yl moiety when **NAP-DNP** was excited, which corresponds to the fluorescence quenching of **NAP-DNP**. However, when **NAP-DNP** was converted to **NAP-OH** by biothiol, both the HOMO and LUMO were mainly located on the naphthalimide moiety. Therefore, the electron transfer process was inhibited and the fluorescence was in the “turn-on” state. These results are in reasonable agreement with the experimental results.

## 2.7. Imaging of biothiols in living cells

Encouraged by the above experimental results, a further application of **NAP-DNP** for the sensing of biothiols in living cells was conducted. Initially, we evaluated the cytotoxicity of **NAP-DNP** at various concentrations using the CCK-8 assay (Fig. S7†). Living HeLa cells were incubated with different concentrations of **NAP-DNP** (0, 5, 10, 20, 50  $\mu\text{M}$ ) for 24 h at 37  $^{\circ}\text{C}$ , with the results




Fig. 8 Electron density distributions in the HOMO and LUMO states of **NAP-DNP** and **NAP-OH** calculated by DFT in Gaussian 16, C.01 program.




Fig. 9 Confocal microscopy images of HeLa cells. (a–c) HeLa cells incubated with probe **NAP-DNP** (10  $\mu\text{M}$ ) for 30 min; (d–f) HeLa cells pretreated with NEM (5 mM) for 30 min and further incubated with **NAP-DNP** (10  $\mu\text{M}$ ); (g–i) HeLa cells pretreated with NEM (5 mM) for 30 min and then incubated with **NAP-DNP** (10  $\mu\text{M}$ ) and Cys (10 mM) for 30 min (a, d and g): bright field image; (b, e and h): fluorescence image collected in the range of 500–600 nm; (c, f and i): overlap of bright field and fluorescence image. Excitation wavelength: 488 nm scale bar: 25  $\mu\text{m}$ .

suggesting that **NAP-DNP** has very low cytotoxicity to HeLa cells, even at high concentration.

The reaction time of **NAP-DNP** for biothiols was determined to be 30 min, which indicated that **NAP-DNP** is suitable for the real-time detection of biothiols in living cells. When HeLa cells were incubated with **NAP-DNP** (10  $\mu\text{M}$ ) at 37  $^{\circ}\text{C}$  for 30 min, strong fluorescence was observed (Fig. 9a–c). Considering that the high concentrations of intracellular biothiols (Cys: 30–200  $\mu\text{M}$ ; Hcy: 5–12  $\mu\text{M}$ ; GSH: 1–20 mM),<sup>30,31</sup> it could be concluded that the fluorescence enhancement was mainly caused by the reaction of **NAP-DNP** with intracellular biothiols. As a control, no fluorescence was observed for cells pre-incubated with *N*-ethylmaleimide (NEM, a thiol scavenger) (Fig. 9d–f). Furthermore, when HeLa cells were successively treated with NEM, **NAP-DNP** and Cys, a bright fluorescence was observed (Fig. 9g–i). These experiments demonstrated that **NAP-DNP** can be utilized as an efficient tool to monitor exogenous and endogenous biothiols in living cells.

## 3. Conclusions

In summary, we have successfully developed a turn-on fluorescent probe **NAP-DNP** for the sensing of biothiols based on the nucleophilic aromatic substitution mechanism. The probe displays high selectivity to discriminate between biothiols and other amino acids. Moreover, the probe shows a satisfactory response time of 30 min with low detection limits (Cys: 0.32  $\mu\text{M}$ ;

Hcy: 0.88  $\mu\text{M}$ ; GSH: 0.46  $\mu\text{M}$ ). In particular, the sensing mechanism of the probe to biothiols was investigated *via* HPLC analysis and theoretical calculations. Furthermore, the probe shows very low cytotoxicity to living cells and has been successfully used for the detection of endogenous and exogenous biothiols.

## 4. Experimental

### 4.1. Synthesis of probe NAP-DNP

To a solution of compound **NAP-OH** (270 mg, 1.0 mmol) and 2-chloro-3,5-dinitropyridine (205 mg, 1.0 mmol) in anhydrous  $\text{CH}_3\text{CN}$  (10 mL) was added  $\text{K}_2\text{CO}_3$  (280 mg, 2.0 mmol) in one portion. Then the reaction mixture was stirred overnight at room temperature under  $\text{N}_2$  atmosphere. The reaction mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure, and the residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography ( $\text{PE}/\text{EtOAc} = 5 : 1$ ) as a yellow solid (315 mg, yield 72%). Mp: 169.0–169.5  $^\circ\text{C}$ .  $^1\text{H}$  NMR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ , 400 MHz)  $\delta$  = 9.26 (d,  $J$  = 2.5 Hz, 1H), 9.10 (d,  $J$  = 2.5 Hz, 1H), 8.69–8.66 (m, 2H), 8.27 (d,  $J$  = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 7.79 (dd,  $J$  = 7.4 Hz,  $J$  = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.62 (d,  $J$  = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 4.20 (t,  $J$  = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 1.76–1.69 (m, 2H), 1.51–1.41 (m, 2H), 0.99 (t,  $J$  = 7.4 Hz, 3H) ppm.  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ , 100 MHz)  $\delta$  = 163.8, 163.2, 157.8, 152.2, 147.5, 140.0, 132.1, 131.7, 131.5, 129.6, 128.0, 127.4, 125.1, 123.2, 121.5, 119.4, 40.4, 30.2, 20.4, 13.8 ppm. HRMS (ESI):  $m/z$  [ $\text{M} + \text{H}^+$ ] calcd for  $\text{C}_{21}\text{H}_{17}\text{N}_4\text{O}_7^+$ : 437.1092; found: 437.1086.

## Author contributions

Y. Zhuo and Y. Zhang contributed equally to this work.

## Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

## Acknowledgements

We gratefully acknowledge financial support from the Scientific Research Foundation of Xiamen Huaxia University (P1001) and Fujian Provincial Colleges and University Engineering Research Center of Biochemical Pharmacy Fund (No. SHZY0001 and No. SHZY201905).

## Notes and references

- 1 Z. A. Wood, E. Schröder, J. Robin Harris and L. B. Poole, *Trends Biochem. Sci.*, 2003, **28**, 32–40.
- 2 S. Zhang, C.-N. Ong and H.-M. Shen, *Cancer Lett.*, 2004, **208**, 143–153.
- 3 V. I. Abkevich and E. I. Shakhnovich, *J. Mol. Biol.*, 2000, **300**, 975–985.
- 4 H. Refsum, P. M. Ueland, O. Nygård and S. E. Vollset, *Annu. Rev. Med.*, 1998, **49**, 31–62.
- 5 S. Seshadri, A. Beiser, J. Selhub, P. F. Jacques, I. H. Rosenberg, R. B. D'Agostino, P. W. F. Wilson and P. A. Wolf, *N. Engl. J. Med.*, 2002, **346**, 476–483.
- 6 W. Wang, O. Rusin, X. Xu, K. K. Kim, J. O. Escobedo, S. O. Fakayode, K. A. Fletcher, M. Lowry, C. M. Schowalter, C. M. Lawrence, F. R. Fronczek, I. M. Warner and R. M. Strongin, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2005, **127**, 15949–15958.
- 7 D. M. Townsend, K. D. Tew and H. Tapiero, *Biomed. Pharmacother.*, 2003, **57**, 145–155.
- 8 T. P. Dalton, H. G. Shertzer and A. Puga, *Annu. Rev. Pharmacol.*, 1999, **39**, 67–101.
- 9 D. A. Dickinson and H. J. Forman, *Biochem. Pharmacol.*, 2002, **64**, 1019–1026.
- 10 L.-Y. Niu, Y.-Z. Chen, H.-R. Zheng, L.-Z. Wu, C.-H. Tung and Q.-Z. Yang, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2015, **44**, 6143–6160.
- 11 L. Yi and Z. Xi, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2017, **15**, 3828–3839.
- 12 J. Dai, C. Ma, P. Zhang, Y. Fu and B. Shen, *Dyes Pigm.*, 2020, **177**, 108321.
- 13 C. Yin, F. Huo, J. Zhang, R. Martinez-Manez, Y. Yang, H. Lv and S. Li, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2013, **42**, 6032–6059.
- 14 Q.-Q. Wu, Z.-F. Xiao, X.-J. Du and Q.-H. Song, *Chem.-Asian J.*, 2013, **8**, 2564–2568.
- 15 S. Wu, Y. Li, T. Deng, X. Wang, S. Hu, G. Peng, X.-a. Huang, Y. Ling and F. Liu, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2020, **18**, 2468–2474.
- 16 B. Babür, N. Seferoğlu, M. Öcal, G. Sonugur, H. Akbulut and Z. Seferoğlu, *Tetrahedron*, 2016, **72**, 4498–4502.
- 17 B. Gao, L. Cui, Y. Pan, G. Zhang, Y. Zhou, C. Zhang, S. Shuang and C. Dong, *RSC Adv.*, 2016, **6**, 43028–43033.
- 18 S. K. Mahato, D. Bhattacharjee and K. P. Bhabak, *Chem. Commun.*, 2020, **56**, 7769–7772.
- 19 K.-H. Hong, D. I. Kim, H. Kwon and H.-J. Kim, *RSC Adv.*, 2014, **4**, 978–982.
- 20 Q. Guo, Y. Zhang, Z.-H. Lin, Q.-Y. Cao and Y. Chen, *Dyes Pigm.*, 2020, **172**, 107872.
- 21 Y. Yang, X. Yang, K. Zhou, M. Jin and W. Li, *J. Photochem. Photobiol., A*, 2019, **383**, 111984.
- 22 W. Zhao, M. Sun, T. Lei, X. Liu, Q. Zhang and C. Zong, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2017, **249**, 90–95.
- 23 Q. Sun, R. Ren, P.-P. Wu, L.-S. Zhuo, H. Dong, H.-T. Peng, Y.-F. Cao, X.-G. Luo and N.-F. She, *Dyes Pigm.*, 2020, **182**, 108702.
- 24 J. Zhou, S. Xu, X. Dong, W. Zhao and Q. Zhu, *Dyes Pigm.*, 2019, **167**, 157–163.
- 25 Y. Li, K.-N. Wang, B. Liu, X.-R. Lu, M.-F. Li, L.-N. Ji and Z.-W. Mao, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2018, **255**, 193–202.
- 26 X. Xie, M. Li, F. Tang, Y. Li, L. Zhang, X. Jiao, X. Wang and B. Tang, *Anal. Chem.*, 2017, **89**, 3015–3020.
- 27 H. Zhu, H. Zhang, C. Liang, C. Liu, P. Jia, Z. Li, Y. Yu, X. Zhang, B. Zhu and W. Sheng, *Analyst*, 2019, **144**, 7010–7016.
- 28 L. Feng, P. Li, J. Hou, Y.-L. Cui, X.-G. Tian, Z.-L. Yu, J.-N. Cui, C. Wang, X.-K. Huo, J. Ning and X.-C. Ma, *Anal. Chem.*, 2018, **90**, 13341–13347.
- 29 M. J. Frisch, G. W. Trucks, H. B. Schlegel, G. E. Scuseria, M. A. Robb, J. R. Cheeseman, G. Scalmani, V. Barone, G. A. Petersson, H. Nakatsuji, X. Li, M. Caricato, A. V. Marenich, J. Bloino, B. G. Janesko, R. Gomperts, B. Mennucci, H. P. Hratchian, J. V. Ortiz, A. F. Izmaylov, J. L. Sonnenberg, D. Williams-Young, F. Ding, F. Lipparini, F. Egidi, J. Goings, B. Peng, A. Petrone, T. Henderson,



- D. Ranasinghe, V. G. Zakrzewski, J. Gao, N. Rega, G. Zheng, W. Liang, M. Hada, M. Ehara, K. Toyota, R. Fukuda, J. Hasegawa, M. Ishida, T. Nakajima, Y. Honda, O. Kitao, H. Nakai, T. Vreven, K. Throssell, J. A. Montgomery Jr, J. E. Peralta, F. Ogliaro, M. J. Bearpark, J. J. Heyd, E. N. Brothers, K. N. Kudin, V. N. Staroverov, T. A. Keith, R. Kobayashi, J. Normand, K. Raghavachari, A. P. Rendell, J. C. Burant, S. S. Iyengar, J. Tomasi, M. Cossi, J. M. Millam, M. Klene, C. Adamo, R. Cammi, J. W. Ochterski, R. L. Martin, K. Morokuma, O. Farkas, J. B. Foresman and D. J. Fox, *Gaussian 16, Revision C.01*, Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford, CT, 2016.
- 30 I. Ismail, D. Wang, Z. Wang, D. Wang, C. Zhang, L. Yi and Z. Xi, *Dyes Pigm.*, 2019, **163**, 700–706.
- 31 Y. Zhang, X. Shao, Y. Wang, F. Pan, R. Kang, F. Peng, Z. Huang, W. Zhang and W. Zhao, *Chem. Commun.*, 2015, **51**, 4245–4248.

