## **RSC** Advances



View Article Online

View Journal | View Issue

## PAPER

Check for updates

Cite this: RSC Adv., 2021, 11, 5080

# Mechanically induced solvent-free esterification method at room temperature†

Lei Zheng,<sup>a</sup> Chen Sun,<sup>a</sup> Wenhao Xu,<sup>ab</sup> Alexandr V. Dushkin,<sup>c</sup> Nikolay Polyakov, <sup>b</sup><sup>c</sup> Weike Su<sup>b</sup> and Jingbo Yu<sup>b</sup>

Herein, we describe two novel strategies for the synthesis of esters, as achieved under high-speed ballmilling (HSBM) conditions at room temperature. In the presence of  $I_2$  and  $KH_2PO_2$ , the reactions afford the desired esterification derivatives in 45% to 91% yields within 20 min of grinding. Meanwhile, using KI and P(OEt)<sub>3</sub>, esterification products can be obtained in 24% to 85% yields after 60 min of grinding. In addition, the  $I_2/KH_2PO_2$  protocol was successfully extended to the late-stage diversification of natural products showing the robustness of this useful approach. Further application of this method in the synthesis of inositol nicotinate was also discussed.

Received 6th November 2020 Accepted 12th January 2021

DOI: 10.1039/d0ra09437d

rsc.li/rsc-advances

## Introduction

Mechanochemistry has been a popular topic in recent decades because it is a solution-free and energy-efficient approach, which complies with green chemistry. High-speed ball-milling (HSBM) is a green mechanical technique used in organic synthesis and has opened unique opportunities in synthetic organic chemistry.1 HSBM facilitates reactions with insoluble reactants, and enables solvent-free synthetic procedures to successfully avoid the use of environmentally harmful and toxic solvents, among other advantages.<sup>2</sup> What's more, HSBM can directly break or stretch chemical bonds because the role of mechanical action is usually to provide better contact between the reagents.3 More experimental results indicate that solventfree milling can lead to different product compositions or catalyst combinations compared to those obtained by analogous solution-based protocols. The Faraday Discussion Mechanochemistry which took place 2014 in Montreal have indicated that the importance of mechanical processing in the context of pharmaceuticals materials science.<sup>3b</sup> For these reasons, much catalysts can be used in high-speed ball-milling to optimize organic reactions.4

The ester bond is found in a plethora of naturally occurring and pharmaceutically relevant molecules.<sup>5</sup> This bond occupies

<sup>c</sup>Institute of Solid State Chemistry and Mechanochemistry, Novosibirsk, Russia

an important role in pharmaceutical chemistry with esterification reactions being among the most commonly used reactions in medicinal chemistry.<sup>6</sup> Natural products modified by esterification reactions show better biological activity than before modification, but these reactions often require large amounts of environmentally harmful solvents and catalysts.<sup>7</sup>

In recent years, many researchers have devoted themselves to exploring green and efficient esterification reactions. Common strategies of transition metal catalysis and prefunctionalization are reported for the synthesis of ester groups. Previously, Buchwald et al. described a method for the preparation of phenyl esters from aryl chlorides via palladiumcatalysed carbonylation (Scheme 1a).8 In 2011, Robles et al. demonstrated a mild and regioselective method of esterification amidation using Gregg-Samuelson-type conditions and (Scheme 1b).9 In 2018, Szostak and coworkers reported an alternative transition-metal-free method for esterification of amides (Scheme 1c).10 Recently, Lee and coworkers developed a new disconnection approach for the formation of aryl esters by a nickel-catalysed radical cross-coupling reaction of a redoxactive ester with an aryl zinc reagent (Scheme 1d).<sup>11</sup> When transition metals are used in drug synthesis, the purification of the reaction becomes tedious. Prefunctionalization will reduce atom economy and increase the experimental procedures. We have currently been focusing on the development of novel, atom-economical, environmentally friendly methods for esterification under HSBM conditions.

Herein, we report two novel strategies for the synthesis of ester groups under solvent-free milling (Scheme 1e). Through modification of the reaction conditions, modes of reactivity have been observed that are different from those in solution. Compared with the preceding procedures of esterification, I<sub>2</sub>/ KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>2</sub> system and KI/P(OEt)<sub>3</sub> system are solvent-free, transition-metal-free and prefunctionalization no need.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Key Laboratory for Green Pharmaceutical Technologies and Related Equipment of Ministry of Education, College of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Zhejiang University of Technology, Hangzhou 310014, P. R. China. E-mail: pharmlab@zjut.edu.cn

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>National Engineering Research Center for Process Development of Active Pharmaceutical Ingredients, Collaborative Innovation Center of Yangtze River Delta Region Green Pharmaceuticals, Zhejiang University of Technology, Hangzhou 310014, P. R. China

<sup>†</sup> Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Experimental procedures, characterization data, NMR spectra. See DOI: 10.1039/d0ra09437d



Scheme 1 Mechanically induced synthetic of derivatives containing an ester group. (a) Buchwald's work; (b) Robles's work; (c) Szostak's work; (d) Lee's work; (e) this work; (f) mechanosynthesis of inositol nicotinate.

Moreover,  $KH_2PO_2$  and  $P(OEt)_3$  can be easily removed as effective substitutes of PPh<sub>3</sub>. In addition, we discuss the advantages of this method in the synthesis of inositol nicotinate (Scheme 1f).

## **Results and discussion**

At the outset, reactions between benzoic acid 1a and phenol 2a were evaluated with different combinations of additives and phosphine, where anhydrous sodium sulfate was chosen as a helpful grinding auxiliary for better substrate mixing on the basis of our previous research; the selected results were summarized in Table 1. To our delight, both I<sub>2</sub> and KI additives could afford desired product 3aa in good yields after 30 min of grinding, where  $I_2$  worked better than KI (Table 1, entries 1–7). We optimized both the I<sub>2</sub> and KI strategies in order to discuss the different reaction efficiencies and reaction mechanisms of similar additives under the mechanochemistry process. Next, several kinds of phosphines were tested (Table 1, entries 8-16). The  $I_2$  with  $KH_2PO_2$  gave the best result of 91%, while other phosphines gave lower yields under the same conditions (Table 1, entries 2–10). For KI, the reaction with  $P(OEt)_3$  as phosphine gave the most satisfactory result, while others were inferior (Table 1, entries 13-15). To further optimize the reaction conditions, we tested the amount of additives and phosphines. The best yield of 75% was given by adding 0.75 mmol KI and 0.5 mmol P(OEt)<sub>3</sub> (Table 1, entry 17). Decreasing additive and phosphate loadings led to erosion in yield (Table 1, entries 11-13, 19 and 20). From the experimental results, the corresponding products were difficultly obtained without iodine or potassium iodide as additives (Table 1, entries 2 and 18). Furthermore, a simple comparison of the KI/P(OEt)<sub>3</sub> induced reaction under solvent-based conditions was conducted (Table 1, entries 19 and 22), and the reaction could hardly be promoted

 Table 1
 Optimization of the reaction conditions<sup>a</sup>



Entry	Additive	Phosphines	$\operatorname{Yield}^{b}(\%)$
1	$I_2$	_	n.d.
2	_	PPh <sub>3</sub>	n.d.
3	$I_2$	PPh <sub>3</sub>	84
4	KI	PPh <sub>3</sub>	66
5	NaI	$PPh_3$	52
6	CuI	PPh <sub>3</sub>	n.d.
7	$FeI_2$	$PPh_3$	12
8	$I_2$	$K_3PO_4$	n.d.
9	$I_2$	NaH <sub>2</sub> PO <sub>2</sub>	34
10	$I_2$	$KH_2PO_2$	91
11 <sup>c</sup>	$I_2$	$KH_2PO_2$	67
$12^d$	$I_2$	$KH_2PO_2$	83
13	KI	$P(OEt)_3$	63
14	KI	$P(OMe)_3$	32
15	KI	$P(OBut)_3$	41
16	$I_2$	$P(OEt)_3$	83
17	KI	_	n.d.
18	_	$P(OEt)_3$	Trace
$19^e$	KI	$P(OEt)_3$	75
$20^{f}$	KI	$P(OEt)_3$	44
$21^g$	KI	$P(OEt)_3$	33
$22^h$	KI	$P(OEt)_3$	n.d.

 $^a$  Unless otherwise noted, all reactions were carried out with 1a (0.5 mmol), 2a (0.6 mmol), additive (0.5 mmol), phosphine (0.5 mmol), and anhydrous sodium sulfate (0.4 g) at 25 Hz for 30 min, using two stainless steel balls ( $\phi = 1.2 \text{ cm}, \Phi_{\rm MB} = 0.036$ ) in 50 mL stainless steel vial.  $^b$  Yield based on 1a.  $^c$  0.5 equiv. I<sub>2</sub> was used.  $^d$  0.5 equiv. KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>2</sub> was used.  $^e$  1.5 equiv. KI was used.  $^f$  0.5 equiv. KI was used.  $^g$  0.5 equiv. F(Det)<sub>3</sub> was used.  $^h$  Yield of the comparative experiment: 1a (0.5 mmol), 2a (0.6 mmol), KI (0.5 mmol), P(OEt)<sub>3</sub> (0.5 equiv.), and CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (20 mL) at room temperature.

at room temperature, which verified our hypothesis that HSBM may induce high activity when using  $KI/P(OEt)_3$ . To the best of our knowledge, there was no report of inorganic iodine salts or inorganic phosphorus salts promoted esterification reactions, which inspired our interest in probing these two systems.

The mechanochemistry process parameters usually have a strong influence on the outcomes, as previously shown by us and others.<sup>12</sup> Thus, a combined assessment of the grinding time and vibration frequency was carefully carried out; the results of



Fig. 1 Influence of milling time and frequency on the reaction. (a) Path a; (b) path b.

 Table 2
 Esterification between carboxylic acid and alcohol<sup>ab</sup>



<sup>*a*</sup> Path A reaction conditions: **1** (0.5 mmol), **2** (0.6 mmol), **I**<sub>2</sub> (0.5 mmol), KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>2</sub> (0.5 mmol) and anhydrous sodium sulfate (0.4 g) at 25 Hz in mixer mill, using two stainless steel balls ( $\phi = 1.2 \text{ cm}$ ,  $\Phi_{\text{MB}} = 0.036$ ) in 50 mL stainless steel vial. Yield based on **1**. <sup>*b*</sup> Path B reaction conditions: **1** (0.5 mmol), **2** (0.6 mmol), KI (0.75 mmol), P(OEt)<sub>3</sub> (0.5 mmol) and anhydrous sodium sulfate (0.4 g) at 25 Hz in mixer mill, using two stainless steel balls ( $\phi = 1.2 \text{ cm}$ ,  $\Phi_{\text{MB}} = 0.036$ ) in 50 mL stainless steel balls ( $\phi = 1.2 \text{ cm}$ ,  $\Phi_{\text{MB}} = 0.036$ ) in 50 mL stainless steel balls ( $\phi = 1.2 \text{ cm}$ ,  $\Phi_{\text{MB}} = 0.036$ ) in 50 mL stainless steel balls ( $\phi = 1.2 \text{ cm}$ ,  $\Phi_{\text{MB}} = 0.036$ ) in 50 mL stainless steel balls ( $\phi = 1.2 \text{ cm}$ ,  $\Phi_{\text{MB}} = 0.036$ ) in 50 mL stainless steel balls ( $\phi = 1.2 \text{ cm}$ ,  $\Phi_{\text{MB}} = 0.036$ ) in 50 mL stainless steel vial. <sup>*c*</sup> **1** (2.0 mmol). <sup>*d*</sup> **2** (1.8 mmol).

 $I_2/KH_2PO_2$  system strategy are summarized in Fig. 1a. The yields increased gradually as the frequency was increased from 15 to 20 Hz. However, in contrast to the apparent influence of frequency, variation in the grinding time did not show any obvious difference from 20 to 30 Hz, where the best result could

be obtained by milling at 30 Hz within 30 min (93% yield). However, the yield under optimal conditions did not change much from that conducted at 25 Hz with 20 min. For comprehensive energy consumption and environmental friendliness, we chose 25 Hz and 20 min as the subsequent experimental conditions. When we recorded the results of the  $KI/P(OEt)_3$ strategy (Fig. 1b), the best result was obtained by milling at 25 Hz within 60 min (82% yield). Of note, further increase the vibration frequency (30 Hz) led to a decrease of yield (76%). Since the reaction substrates are the same in these two systems, we speculate that distinct intermediates with inconsistent sensitivity to reaction energy would be presence.

With the optimal conditions in hand, there was a large difference in yield between the two different reaction systems, so substrate expansion was divided into two parts for elucidation. The scopes and limitations of this I<sub>2</sub>/KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>2</sub> promoted methodology were investigated first by using various benzoic acids. The results are presented in Table 2. The electronic properties of the phenyl ring substituents of the carboxylic acids had some effect on the reaction. Generally, carboxylic acids possessing electron-withdrawing groups produced esters with lower yields (3ad, 3ae, and 3af). Carboxylic acids possessing electron-donating groups produced esters with higher yields (3ag, 3ah, and 3ai). The procedure tolerated a range of functional groups, such as chloro, bromo, naphthalene, and pyridine groups. The compatibility of halogen groups was synthetically useful since the products could easily be further modifiable (3ab and 3ac). Notably, 2-naphthoic acid and nicotinic acid ran smoothly under the standard conditions, producing esters in 85 and 83% yields, respectively (3aj and 3ak). Furthermore, butyric acid was explored. This reaction generated 3al in moderate yields; the reason for the lower yield might be the volatility of fatty acids. Then, the esterification of benzoic acid with several phenols and alcohols was investigated. Gratifyingly, p-cresol and 4-(tert-butyl) phenol produced p-tolyl benzoate 3ba and 4-(tert-butyl) phenyl benzoate 3bb in 87 and 76% yields, respectively. As expected, the trifluoromethyl and halogen groups on the phenyl ring of phenol derivatives were well compatible under the standard procedure (3bc-3bf). The ortho steric hindrance will reduce the yield of the product, even with a prolonged reaction time (3bj and 3bk). Phenolic compounds with electron withdrawing groups can produce corresponding esters in medium to good yields (3bg, 3bh, and 3bi). Methanol, ethanol, and butyl alcohol afforded the ester in 63%, 72% and 75% yield, respectively (3ca-3cc). Notably, the solketal could obtain the product 3cd in a medium yield.

Next, the  $KI/P(OEt)_3$  promoted esterification reaction was examined. Unfortunately, it was observed that the  $KI/P(OEt)_3$ 



Scheme 2 Mechanosynthesis of inositol nicotinate.

8

#### Paper

#### Table 3 Chiral substrate<sup>4</sup>



<sup>a</sup> See Table 1. <sup>b</sup> 1 (1.0 mmol), 2 (0.5 mmol), I<sub>2</sub> (1.0 mmol), KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>2</sub> (1.0 mmol) and anhydrous sodium sulfate (0.4 g) at 15 Hz within 60 min in mixer mill. <sup>c</sup> 1 (1.0 mmol), 2 (0.5 mmol), KI (1.50 mmol), P(OEt)<sub>3</sub> (1.0 mmol) and anhydrous sodium sulfate (0.4 g) at 15 Hz within 120 min in mixer mill.

did not show better reaction efficiency than the I<sub>2</sub>/KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>2</sub> system. As shown in Scheme 2, the varied substrate scope was evaluated. Compared with I2/KH2PO2, the yield of esterification reaction through KI/P(OEt)<sub>3</sub> is reduced. Compared with that under I<sub>2</sub>/KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>2</sub> conditions, the activity of methanol, ethanol and n-butanol in the conversion to corresponding esters was greatly weakened under KI/P(OEt)<sub>3</sub> conditions (3ca-3cc). The



<sup>*a*</sup> See Table 1. <sup>*b*</sup> 1 (2.0 mmol). <sup>*c*</sup> 2 (3.0 mmol).

solketal could not afforod product 3cd under these conditions, and butyric acid failed to deliver the desired ester. The KI/ P(OEt)<sub>3</sub> esterification reaction had serious substrate restrictions, which may be related to its possible reaction mechanism.

After that, the chiral molecule phenylethanol was tried. Phenylethyl benzoate could be obtained under two



Scheme 3 Control experiments. (a) Mechanistic study of KI/P(OEt)3strategy; (b) mechanistic study of I<sub>2</sub>/KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>2</sub> strategy; (c) the synthesis of N-benzamide; (d) the sythesis of S-(m-tolyl) benzothioate; (e) the capture of possible intermediates in the I2/KH2PO2 strategy; (f) verification of radical mechanism; (g) reaction kinetics.

esterification conditions, but it was interesting that the configurations of the product were different (Table 3, 3da). Whatever, the expansion of amino acid substrates was not smooth, even if we reduced the milling frequency and prolonged the reaction time, poor yield was achieved (Table 3, 3db and 3dc).

The broad synthetic utility of this process is further highlighted by the late-stage diversification of natural products. To our delight, thymol, paeonol and eugenol were tolerated, producing the resulting esters in medium yields (**3da**, **3db**, and **3dc**).<sup>13-15</sup> We were pleased to find that the direct esterification of cholesterol (**3dd**),<sup>16</sup> a cyclopentane polyhydrophenanthrene derivative necessary for the human body; and oleanolic acid (**3de**),<sup>17</sup> a medicine for treating infectious acute jaundice hepatitis, furnished the esterification products in low to medium yields, demonstrating the synthetic advantage of the I<sub>2</sub>/KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>2</sub> protocol (Table 4). However, the KI/P(OEt)<sub>3</sub> protocol, delivered the esterification products only in trace amounts.

Afterwards, we explored the practicability of this method, which was exemplified through the synthesis of the antihyperlipidaemic agent inositol nicotinate (Scheme 2).<sup>18</sup> This method can afford inositol nicotinate in moderate yield without purification by column chromatography.

To shed light on the pathways of the two system dehydration platforms, several control experiments were performed. Firstly, we carried out mechanistic studies beginning with an isotope labelling experiment, whereby benzoic acid and <sup>18</sup>O enriched phenol were subjected to the reaction conditions (Scheme 3a).<sup>19</sup> In the presence of KI and P(OEt)<sub>3</sub>, the ester product was obtained with high <sup>18</sup>O incorporation, which indicated oxygen transfer from the alcohol to the ester. In contrast, using I<sub>2</sub> and KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>2</sub>, the ester product was obtained with high <sup>16</sup>O



b Plausible reaction mechanism of path B



Scheme 4 Plausible reaction mechanism: (a) path A; (b) path B.

incorporation, demonstrating oxygen transfer from the acid to the ester (Scheme 3b). After that, we verified the reaction mechanism by aniline and *m*-methylthiophenol. In the presence of KI and P(OET)<sub>3</sub>, the yields of N-benzamide and S-(mtolyl) benzothioate were higher than that of  $I_2/KH_2PO_2$  strategy (Scheme 3c and d). Next, we examined changes to the additive under HSBM conditions. After HSBM of I2 and KH2PO2, the <sup>31</sup>P chemical shift of KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>2</sub> at 7.00 ppm was vanished, while a new peak at 1.00 ppm was formed, which was regarded as complex A, but not available in solution (Scheme 3e). We hypothesize that complex A (<sup>31</sup>P 1.00 ppm) can be produced in the HSBM environment, which is not available in solution. Both esterification methods were completely still underwent in the presence of radical scavengers, 2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidine-1-oxyl (TEMPO) or 2,6-di-tert-butyl-4-methyl-phenol (BHT), to give 3aa in good yield (Scheme 3f). The difference of reaction kinetics was further studied by sampling in the first 15 minutes (the amount of 3aa was detected by HPLC). KI/P(OEt)3 reaction was almost carried out at a uniform rate. In the first 4 min, there was no obvious product formation in I2/KH2PO2 reaction, and the formation of 3aa product was accelerated after 6 min (Scheme 3g).

Based on the above results and Denton's work,<sup>20a</sup> a possible mechanistic pathway is proposed for the I<sub>2</sub>/KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>2</sub> strategy (Path A). First, activated additive A is formed by the mechanochemical reaction of potassium hypophosphite and iodine. Then, as in the classical Mitsunobu reaction,<sup>20b,c</sup> the counter anion associated with phosphonium salt B may engage in nonproductive, reversible bonding and exchange at the phosphorus atom, but ultimately, intercepting phenol 1b to afford the conventional intermediate, the phenoxyphosphoniumnucleophile ion pair C. Subsequent nucleophilic substitution between phenoxyphosphonium salt C and intermediate B afforded the substitution product 3aa (Scheme 4a). Next, the mechanism of the KI/P(OEt)<sub>3</sub> strategy is proposed through the results of GC-MS (Fig. S3<sup>†</sup>). The benzoic acid in the KI/P(OEt)<sub>3</sub> system undergoes Michel-Arbuzov reaction to obtain diethyl benzoylphosphonate.<sup>21a,b</sup> According to previous reports, diethyl benzoylphosphonate can easily react with phenol to obtain the target product 3aa (Scheme 4b).21c

### Conclusions

In conclusion, we have disclosed two protocols under HSBM conditions that facilitated facile esterification of carboxyl and hydroxyl groups. The  $I_2/KH_2PO_2$  strategy successfully replaced organic phosphorus (PPh<sub>3</sub>) with inorganic phosphorus salts (KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>2</sub>), while KI/P(OEt)<sub>3</sub> strategy enabled the esterification with an easily removable P(OEt)<sub>3</sub>. Both methods are solvent-free and transition metal-free. Additionally, the feasibility of  $I_2/KH_2PO_2$  strategy was demonstrated by application to the esterification of natural products and the synthesis of inositol nicotinate. In addition, we discussed the different reaction mechanisms of the two systems through control experiment. Further investigation of environmentally friendly green chemistry reaction under HSBM conditions is underway in our lab.

## Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

## Acknowledgements

We gratefully acknowledge the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 21978270).

## Notes and references

- 1 (a) E. Boldyreva, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2013, 42, 7719–7738; (b)
  L. Takacs, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2013, 42, 7649–7659; (c)
  J. L. Howard, Q. Cao and D. L. Browne, Chem. Sci., 2018, 9, 3080–3094.
- 2 (a) J. G. Hernández and C. Bolm, J. Org. Chem., 2017, 82, 4007–4019; (b) S. Tabasso, D. Carnaroglio, E. C. Gaudino and G. Cravotto, Green Chem., 2015, 17, 684–693; (c) R. B. N. BaigandR and S. Varma, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2012, 41, 1559–1584.
- 3 (a) L. Takacs, *Prog. Mater. Sci.*, 2002, 47, 355–414; (b)
  T. Friscić, S. L. James, E. V. Boldyreva, C. Bolm, W. Jones,
  J. Mack, J. W. Steedh and K. S. Suslick, *Chem. Commun.*, 2015, 51, 6248–6256.
- 4 (a) J. B. Yu, Y. Zhang, Z. J. Jiang and W. K Su, J. Org. Chem., 2016, 81, 11514–11520; (b) H. C Cheng, J. G. Hernández and C. Bolm, Org. Lett., 2017, 19, 6284–6287; (c) H. Xu, H. W Liu, K. Chen and G. W Wang, J. Org. Chem., 2018, 83, 6035–6049.
- 5 (a) A. Zapf and M. Beller, *Top. Catal.*, 2002, **19**, 101–109; (b)
  J. Stetter and F. Lieb, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2000, **39**, 1724–1728; (c) N. Marion, S. Diez-Gonzalez and S. P. Nolan, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2007, **46**, 2988–3000.
- 6 (a) J. S. Carey, D. Lafffan, C. Thomson and M. T. Williams, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2006, 4, 2337–2347; (b) R. W. Dugger, J. A. Ragan and D. H. B. Ripin, Org. Process Res. Dev., 2005, 9, 253–258; (c) K. C. K. Swamy, N. N. B. Kumar, E. Balaraman and K. V. P. P. Kumar, Chem. Rev., 2009, 109, 2551–2651; (d) T. Yuen, S. B. Sze and P. H. Toy, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2006, 128, 9636–9637.
- 7 (a) K. Ishihara, S. Ohara and H. Yamamoto, *Tetrahedron*, 2002, 58, 8179–8188; (b) N. Bhatt, A. Patel, P. Selvam and K. Sidhpuria, *J. Mol. Catal.*, 2007, 275, 14–24; (c) N. Sanchez, M. Martinez, J. Aracil and A. Corma, *J. Am. Oil Chem. Soc.*, 1992, 69, 1150–1153; (d) E. Heykants, W. H. Verrelst, R. F. Parton and P. A. Jacobs, *Stud. Surf. Sci. Catal.*, 1997, 105, 1277–1284.
- 8 D. A. Watson, X. X. Fan and S. L. Buchwald, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2008, **73**, 7096–7101.
- 9 N. Iranpoor, H. Firouzabadi, D. Khalili and S. Motevalli, J. Org. Chem., 2011, 76, 2277–2281.
- 10 G. C. Li, P. Lei and M. Szostak, *Org. Lett.*, 2018, **20**(18), 5622–5625.
- 11 B. H. Shih, R. S. Basha and C. F. Lee, ACS Catal., 2019, 9, 8862-8866.
- 12 (*a*) C. F. Bagamboula, M. Uyttendaele and J. Debevere, *Food Microbiol.*, 2004, **21**, 33–42; (*b*) M. H. Havasia, A. J. Resslera,

E. L. Parksa, A. H. Cocolasa, A. Weavera, N. P. Seeramb and G. E. Henrya, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 2020, **505**, 119495–119502; (*c*) Y. Yua, Y. Liub, R. Shic, D. Zhanga, C. Lia and J. Shib, *Bioorg. Chem.*, 2020, **98**, 103644–103649.

- 13 (a) K. M. Adki and Y. A. Kulkarni, *Life Sci.*, 2020, 250, 117544–117561; (b) Y. S. Hu, X. Han, P. J. Yu, M. M. Jiao, X. H. Liu and J. B. Shi, *Bioorg. Chem.*, 2020, 98, 103735–103748; (c) H. Zhang, X. Geng, Z. Li, Y. Li, K. Xu, H. Wu, J. Xie, P. Sun, S. Wei and M. Qiao, *Front. Psychiatr.*, 2020, 11, 295–308; (d) Y. Peng, X. Zheng, Z. Fan, H. L. Zhou, X. X. Zhu, G. J. Wang and Z. H. Liu, *Phytomedicine*, 2019, 68, 1–48.
- 14 (a) C. S. Jia, D. D. Cao, S. P. Ji, X. M. Zhang and B. Muhoza, Food Hydrocolloids, 2020, 104, 105717–105769; (b)
  H. G. Bilgicli, A. Kestane, P. Taslimi, O. Karabay, A. Bytyqi-Damoni, M. Zengin and I. Gulcin, *Bioorg. Chem.*, 2019, 88, 102931–102937; (c) M. Govindarajan, M. Rajeswary, S. L. Hoti, A. Bhattacharyya and G. Benelli, *Parasitol. Res.*, 2016, 115, 807–815.
- (a) A. Rohatgi, A. Khera, J. D. Berry, E. G. Givens, C. R. Ayers, K. E. Wedin, I. J. Neeland, I. S. Yuhanna, D. R. Rader, J. A. de Lemos and P. W. Shaul, *N. Engl. J. Med.*, 2014, **371**, 2383– 2393; (b) S. R. Pfeffer, *J. Biol. Chem.*, 2019, **294**, 1706–1709; (c) B. M. Castellano, A. M. Thelen, O. Moldavski, M. Feltes, R. E. N. Van der Welle, L. Mydock-McGrane, X. Jiang, R. J. Van Eijkeren, O. B. Davis, S. M. Louie, R. M. Perera, D. F. Covey, D. K. Nomura, D. S. Ory and R. Zoncu, *Science*, 2017, **355**, 1306–1311.
- 16 (a) T. B. Ayeleso, M. G. Matumba and E. Mukwevho, *Molecules*, 2017, 22, 1915–1930; (b) J. Pollier and A. Goossens, *Phytochemistry*, 2012, 77, 10–15; (c) L. Žiberna, D. Šamec, A. Mocan, S. F. Nabavi, A. Bishayee, A. A. Farooqi, A. Sureda and S. M. Nabavi, *Int. J. Mol. Sci.*, 2017, 18, 643–658.
- 17 I. O. Poon, D. S. L. Chow and D. Liang, Am. J. Health-Syst. Pharm., 2006, 63, 2128-2134.
- 18 (a) S. Tanii, M. Arisawa and M. Yamaguchi, *Chem. Commun.*, 2019, 55, 14078–14080; (b) A. N. Holding and J. B. Spencer, *ChemBioChem*, 2008, 9, 2209–2214.
- 19 (a) R. H. Beddoe, K. G. Andrews, V. Magné, J. D. Cuthbertson, J. Saska, A. L. Shannon-Little, S. E. Shanahan, H. F. Sneddon and R. M. Denton, *Science*, 2019, 365, 910–914; (b) O. Mitsunobu, *Synthesis*, 1981, 1–28; (c) O. Mitsunobu and M. Yamada, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.*, 1967, 40, 2380–2382; (d) J. An, R. M. Denton, T. H. Lambert and E. D. Nacsa, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2014, 12, 2993–3003.
- 20 (a) P. J. Garegg and B. Samuelsson, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1979, 978–980; (b) E. D. Matveeva, T. A. Podrugina, N. G. Sandakova and N. S. Zefifirov, Russ. J. Org. Chem., 2004, 40, 1469–1472; (c) C. A. Tolman, Chem. Rev., 1977, 77, 313–348.
- 21 (a) S. M. A. Kedrowski and D. A. Dougherty, *Org. Lett.*, 2010,
  12, 3990–3993; (b) A. K. Bhattacharya and G. Thyagarajan, *Chem. Rev.*, 1981, 81, 415–430; (c) M. Sekine, A. Kume and
  T. Hata, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1981, 22, 3617–3620.