



 Cite this: *RSC Adv.*, 2021, 11, 5763

Research on the mechanisms of polyacrylamide nanospheres with different size distributions in enhanced oil recovery

 Shuo Wang,^a Zhongli Tang,^b Jin Qu,^a Tongbo Wu,^b Yuxing Liu,^a Jing Wang,^a Xiaofei Liu,^a  *^a Ye Ju^c and Fenggang Liu^c

Crosslinked polyacrylamide microspheres are widely used as in-depth flooding agents in petroleum development due to their unique properties of thickening, salt-resistance, high-temperature resistance, low cost, etc. To solve the problem of their injections in heterogeneous reservoirs, polyacrylamide nanospheres were synthesized. However, mechanisms of polymer nanospheres in enhanced oil recovery were not investigated comprehensively. In this study, we synthesized polymer nanospheres with different size distributions and studied their mechanisms in enhancing the oil recovery. First, the effects of polyacrylamide nanospheres in enhanced oil recovery of heterogeneous sand-packed tubes was explored by sand-packed tube oil displacement experiments. Second, the rheological properties of polyacrylamide nanosphere dispersion were explored using a rheometer. Third, through the visual microchannel experiment, the mechanism of polymer nanosphere emulsion on the removal of the residual oil film was explored. Finally, through the crude oil removal experiment, it was found that polymer nanospheres with a particle size of about 54 nm can cooperate with surfactants to accelerate the removal of oil droplets.

 Received 3rd November 2020
 Accepted 11th January 2021

DOI: 10.1039/d0ra09348c

rsc.li/rsc-advances

Introduction

There is a noticeable increasing demand for oil because it is still the most powerful energy source in the world. Among the available conventional resources, the amount of oil recovered *in situ* is about 30%. Therefore, enhanced oil recovery (EOR) needs to be developed. At present, the heterogeneity of reservoirs is one of the important reasons that hinders the further improvement of oil recovery.¹

The reservoir heterogeneity is a serious issue, which causes water to go around small pores and low permeability layers, and then flow along large pores and high permeability layers. Therefore, the remaining oil in low permeability layers cannot be exploited and a valid water cycle cannot be formed.^{2,3} The profile control technology expands the sweep efficiency of the injected fluid by plugging high permeability layers.^{4,5} Colloidal dispersion gel,⁶ weak gel,⁷ foam,⁸ alkaline soil,⁹ oily sludge,¹⁰ and microbial,¹¹ have been proposed for the same. In order to further improve the deep profile control technology, scholars

have put forward the application of polymer microspheres for deep profile control and flooding. Polymer microspheres, which possess the characteristics of migration, plugging, remigration, and re-plugging, can effectively plug water channelling and reduce water cut in heterogeneous reservoirs.^{12–14} Simultaneously, these microspheres have excellent characteristics such as thickening properties, salt-resistance, high-temperature resistance, and low cost.^{15–17} There are numerous studies on the migration and plugging mechanisms of polymer microspheres.^{18–21} However, a contradiction was found between the injection and plugging performance in the microsphere profile control technology. To solve this problem, polyacrylamide nanospheres were proposed as a solution. Yu *et al.*²² synthesized heat and salt-resistant amphiprotic polyacrylamide microspheres *via* inverse microemulsion polymerization. Compared with the initial diameter of 50 nm, the average diameter of the microspheres increased up to 634 nm after aging for 7 days at 90 °C in synthetic brine. Hua *et al.* synthesized and studied the clogging characteristics and morphology control mechanism of polyacrylamide nanospheres.²³

Polymer nanospheres have a good effect on EOR. Polymer nanospheres have been applied to low-permeability oilfields in China and have shown good applicability in CNPC Changqing Oilfield. According to Changqing's 2017–2018 statistics, polymer nanospheres were used in 3807 well times, corresponding to a cumulative oil increase of 419 401 tons and cumulative precipitation of 464 396 m³. In addition to the traditional

^aDepartment of Materials Science and Engineering, Tianjin University, No.135, Yaguan Road, Jinnan District, Tianjin 300350, China. E-mail: liuxf315@aliyun.com; Fax: +86 285356417; Tel: +86 18802202697

^bDepartment of Chemical Engineering and Technology, Tianjin University, No.135, Yaguan Road, Jinnan District, Tianjin 300350, China

^cChina Oilfield Services Limited, No.1581, Haichuan Road, Binhai New District, Tianjin 300459, China



migration and plugging mechanisms of polymer microspheres, the contribution of other mechanisms to the excellent effects of polymer nanospheres is the focus of attention.

Nanofluid is one of the emerging technologies to improve oil displacement efficiency.^{24,25} A series of oil drop removal experiments have found that high concentrations of sodium lauryl sulphate micelles or silica nanoparticles can lead to the formation of aqueous films between rapeseed oil drops and the solid surface, which facilitates the separation of the oil drops from the solid surface.^{26–29} Zhao *et al.* found that the synergy between 0.1 wt% silica nanoparticles and 0.1 wt% non-ionic surfactant TX-100 resulted in a higher oil recovery compared with only 0.1 wt% TX-100.³⁰ Bila *et al.* used polymer-coated silica nanoparticles to improve the oil recovery from 2.6% to 5.2% by reducing the interfacial tension.³¹ In addition, some studies have found that silica nanofluids can change the core surface from oil-wet to water-wet, thus increasing the number of capillaries and enhancing oil recovery.³² Besides Maurya and Mandal pointed out that nanoparticles can stabilize surfactant emulsions and obtain better rheological properties.³³ However, the research objects of the above studies are all inorganic nanoparticles. To the best of our knowledge, the effects of the polyacrylamide nanosphere emulsion on the removal of crude oil from rock pores and the function of polyacrylamide nanospheres and surfactants in emulsion have not been studied yet.

This paper will synthesize polymer nanospheres with different size distributions and investigate the mechanisms of polymer nanospheres in enhanced oil recovery.

Materials and methods

Materials

Acrylamide (AM), 2-acrylamide-2-methylpropane sulfonic acid (AMPS), and methylene-bis-acrylamide (MBA), ammonium persulfate ((NH₄)₂S₂O₈), sodium bisulphite (NaHSO₃) were provided by Shanghai Aladdin Biochemical Technology Co., Ltd. Sodium dodecyl sulphate (SDS), kerosene, sorbitol fatty acid ester (Span-80) and alkylphenol polyoxyethylene ether (TX-10) were provided by Tianjin Jiangtian Chemical Co., Ltd. Sodium fluorescein was provided by Tianjin Yuanli Chemical Co., Ltd. Crude oil was provided by the Institute of Oil & Gas Technology Research of PetroChina Changqing Oilfield Company and had a density of 0.853 g cm⁻³ and a viscosity of 53 mPa s at 50 °C. The T-shaped microchannel, made of an acrylic material with a 200 ± 10 μm inner diameter, was provided by Tianjin Shuangtuo Technology Co., Ltd. The simulated oil used in the flooding experiment was prepared with kerosene and crude oil at a ratio of 5 : 1.

Synthesis and purification

Fig. 1 shows the preparation flow chart and structural formula of polymer nanospheres. Span-80 and TX-10 were dissolved at a known ratio in white oil. Monomer AM, AMPS, MBA, and NaOH were dissolved in water. Then, the oil phase was stirred at 350 rpm, and the water phase was slowly added dropwise to form a water-in-oil system. In this experiment, polymerization

was initiated by an (NH₄)₂S₂O₈–NaHSO₃ redox system. The temperature of the water bath was set to 50 °C for 3 h. Finally, a polyacrylamide nanosphere emulsion was obtained.

The emulsion was added to ethanol at a volume ratio of 1 : 14 and mixed well to remove any remaining surfactant and other substances to obtain the polyacrylamide nanosphere powder. After stirring for 30 min, a white nanosphere cake was obtained *via* suction filtration. Then, the obtained filter cake was washed twice with ethanol. Finally, the wet filter cake was fully dried to obtain the solid powder of polyacrylamide nanospheres.

Preparation of nanofluids

A polyacrylamide nanosphere emulsion (0.5 g) was dispersed in distilled water (100 g). Mechanical stirring and ultrasonic dispersion were used to disperse the nanoparticles evenly. Then, the dispersion was placed at 50 °C for 5 days to obtain a swelled nanoparticle dispersion.

Observation with TEM

The solid powder of polyacrylamide nanospheres was ultrasonically dispersed in ethanol for 5 min, and 0.5 μL ethanol dispersed system of the solid powder was dropped on copper meshes. After the sample was dried, it was observed using a TEM Jem-2100f manufactured by Japan Electronics.

Laser particle size analysis

The polyacrylamide nanosphere emulsion was dispersed into *n*-hexane to get the initial particle size. Also, the nanofluid was diluted in distilled water to obtain the swelling particle size. The size distribution of the nanospheres was investigated using a Nano-ZS90 analyzer produced by the Malvern company (UK) based on laser diffraction. This analysis was performed under a constant temperature (25 °C) with He–Ne lasers at 630 nm.

Interfacial tension tests

The interfacial tensions of nanofluids and kerosene were measured with an OCA15EC interfacial tension metre produced by the Dataphysics company (GER).

Rheological properties

The shear rate was set at 0.01–100 s⁻¹ and increased in a logarithmic mode. The data points were obtained in a stable state. The rheological properties of the samples were tested using an MCR302 rheometer made by Anton Paar company.

Sand packed tube displacement experiment

The sand packed tube displacement experiments were carried out as described in ref. 33. The experiments were carried out at approximately 50 °C and the flow was 0.50 mL min⁻¹ when the oil-, water- and nanosphere-flooding occurred. The tubes used were 60 cm long. The crude oil with a viscosity of 53 mPa s at 50 °C and the water at pH 7.5 were used in the experiment. Throughout the experiment, the sand packed tubes were first saturated with crude oil and water was injected until the water-






Fig. 3 TEM ((a) sample 1; (b) sample 2; (c) sample 3).

removal (in top view), a little amount of sodium fluorescein was added into the water dispersion.

The crude oil removal experiment device is shown in Fig. 2. The crude oil removal experiment device was built by following the procedure in ref. 27. In this experiment, crude oil was

deposited on a glass plate and placed upside down in the polyacrylamide nanosphere water dispersion. The temperature was maintained at approximately 50 °C by placing a heating device below the fluid. Top and side views of the crude oil were obtained through a microscope placed above and to the left of




Fig. 4 Particle size chart ((a) original particle size chart of polyacrylamide nanospheres in *n*-hexane; (b) swelled particle size chart of sample 1 in water; (c) swelled particle size chart of sample 2 in water; (d) swelled particle size chart of sample 3 in water).



Table 1 Key parameters of the heterogeneous double-tube sand pack model and EOR of polymer microspheres in this model

Sample no.	Sank pack type	Porosity (%)	Permeability (μm^2)	Initial oil saturation (%)	R_W (%)	R_T (%)	EOR (%)
1	High-permeability tube	38.96	2.82	80.15	51.97	76.35	24.38
1	Low-permeability tube	40.31	0.29	79.05	28.35	51.44	23.09
2	High-permeability tube	39.45	2.95	81.32	54.29	70.07	15.78
2	Low-permeability tube	41.90	0.33	78.56	22.94	50.80	27.86
3	High-permeability tube	37.95	2.89	82.13	50.19	67.36	17.17
3	Low-permeability tube	42.30	0.31	80.42	29.05	51.23	22.18

the oil drops to monitor the process of the crude oil removal from the surface. The image analysis software (Imageview) was utilized to analyse the obtained images, changes in the position of the contact line and the shape of the crude oil with time were also obtained. A pixel/length calibration was performed using a known length. A plot of the position of the contact line over time was plotted. Each experiment was repeated three times.

Results and discussion

Conformation and size of the polyacrylamide nanospheres

Fig. 3 shows the morphology of the polymer nanospheres under TEM and illustrates that nanospheres had a heterogeneous size distribution. Spherical shapes were observed in the nanosphere solid powder. Adhesion and agglomeration of the nanospheres were observed due to the surface effect of nanoparticles and Brownian motion. Fig. 4a shows the initial particle size distribution of the three samples dispersed in *n*-hexane. The particle sizes of the three samples were different. The average particle diameters of the three samples were 54, 93, and 295 nm, respectively.

Fig. 4b–d show the particle size distributions of polyacrylamide nanospheres swelled in water for 5 days. There are two peaks in each particle size distribution graph, which indicate that the particle size of the swelled polyacrylamide nanospheres is classified. As the nanospheres hydrated and expanded, some adjacent nanospheres gradually fused to form multiple agglomerated polymer microsphere particles at the micrometre level.

Oil displacement effect of polymer nanospheres

To investigate the displacement effects of the polymer nanospheres, a heterogeneous double-tube sand pack model was

used in the oil displacement experiments. Table 1 provides the key parameters of sand pack models. Because the injected water preferentially flowed along the high permeability path, the oil recovery of the high permeability path was much higher than the low permeability path. This result shows that a large amount of crude oil still remained in the low permeability tube, which could not be driven out. Simultaneously, remaining crude oil in the high permeability tube still adhered to the rock surface. For the oil displacement experiment of sample 1, the oil recovery was 68.46% for the high permeability model and 58.38% for the low permeability model after injecting 3.5 PV water. When 0.5 PV of polymer nanospheres at 5000 mg L^{-1} was injected, the oil recovery of the high permeability tube was enhanced by 24.38%, while the oil recovery of the low permeability tube was enhanced by 23.09%. This indicated that the polymer nanospheres could plug the high permeability tube, reduce its permeability, and change the direction of the injected water during the water flooding stage after injecting polymer nanospheres. Simultaneously, the residual oil of the high permeability tube was further driven out, which showed that the polymer nanosphere emulsion could also improve the displacement efficiency. As shown in Fig. 5 and Table 1, the displacement effect of polymer nanospheres was also different for different particle sizes. Sample 1 had the highest EOR for the high permeability tube. However, for the low permeability tube, sample 2 had a better displacement effect, which may be related to the plugging performance.

Mechanism

Plugging mechanism of polymer nanospheres. Fig. 6 shows the changes in apparent viscosity with the shear rate for the




Fig. 5 The oil recovery curves of the heterogeneous double-tube sand pack model.






Fig. 6 γ - η curve of the nanosphere solution at indicated mass concentration.




Fig. 7 Schematic of the plugging and wettability change mechanisms of polymer nanospheres.

polymer nanospheres dispersed at different concentrations. The viscosity of the dispersed system was affected by the shear rate. The apparent viscosities of the dispersed systems of the

three samples all decreased steadily at the beginning with the increase in the shear rate, then fluctuated sharply, and finally stabilized at 1 mPa s. A three-dimensional network structure in the dispersed system was observed.

When the shear rate was low, the network structure moved as a whole. Therefore, the viscosity of the system decreased steadily. When the shear rate was increased above the CSR (Critical Shear Rate; above the rate, the rheological properties of the dispersed system changed from shear-thinning to shear thickening), the network structure was destroyed, the flow resistance was reduced; however, the fluid still showed shear-thinning behavior. Therefore, when the shear rate was 0.1–1 s^{-1} , the apparent viscosity fluctuated sharply. With further increase in the shear rate, the nanospheres in the dispersion system showed two behaviours: first, the nanospheres overcame the Brownian motion to be oriented, and second, the microspheres aggregated. Finally, when these two behaviours were




Fig. 8 The residual oil displacement phenomenon of polymer nanospheres in the microchannels ((a) water, (b) sample 1, (c) sample 2, (d) sample 3).



Table 2 Interfacial tension, oil film thickness and density polymer nanospheres

Sample	Interfacial tension (mN m ⁻¹)	Density (g cm ⁻³)	Oil film thickness (μm)
Water	25.47 ± 0.036	0.9982 ± 0.00008	47.0 ± 0.14
Sample 1	13.42 ± 0.036	0.9984 ± 0.00008	6.9 ± 0.08
Sample 2	12.11 ± 0.029	0.9983 ± 0.00014	36.5 ± 0.08
Sample 3	10.89 ± 0.029	0.9981 ± 0.00008	Too thin to be measured

balanced, the apparent viscosity stabilized at 1 mPa s and exhibited the shear-thickening behaviour when the nanospheres aggregated further.³⁴

It can be found that the rheological behaviour was different among the nanospheres with varying particle sizes. Sample 2 had the highest viscosity at a low shear rate, followed by sample 1, and sample 3 having the lowest viscosity. This phenomenon was determined by two factors: the volume and surface characteristics of the polymer nanospheres. From the perspective of the volume of polymer nanospheres, the dispersion system with larger particle size had good deformability and was easier to form a three-dimensional network structure, which leads to an increase in the viscosity.³⁴ Therefore, the viscosity of the dispersion of sample 2 was the largest. However, from the perspective of the surface characteristics of nanospheres, when the particle size reaches the nanometer scale, particularly when it is much smaller than 100 nm, the fluid viscosity increases significantly. This phenomenon can be explained as follows: the viscosity of the liquid is mainly caused by the interaction force between the micelles. According to the calculation by Koblinski *et al.*,³⁵ at the same concentration, as the particle size decreases, the particle spacing is greatly reduced. Simultaneously, the surface force between the particles increases sharply, and the

entire suspension system forms a grid-like structure due to the mutual restraint of the forces between the particles, which leads to an increase in the viscosity in the system. This structure is more obvious at high concentrations. Therefore, for sample 1 and sample 2, as the particle concentration increases, the viscosity of the system becomes significantly larger. As shown in Fig. 7, this rheological property could expand the swept volume and improve the oil displacement effects of the low permeability tube.

Mechanism of the polyacrylamide nanosphere emulsion for promoting the removal of crude oil from the solid surface. Fig. 8 shows the microscopic oil displacement effect of polyacrylamide nanospheres. As shown in Fig. 8a, during the water flooding process, water did not enter the branch pipe and only flushed some part of oil out of the main channel. After water flooding, there was a large amount of residual oil in the branch and an oil film remained on the wall surface of the main channel. Fig. 8b shows the flooding result of the 5 wt dispersed system for sample 1. During the flooding process, the water dispersion entered the branch channel to flush out the oil phase, and it had a better effect in removing oil at the wall surface. Compared with water flooding, the thickness of the oil film decreased from 47 μm to 6.9 μm or 36.5 μm after water dispersed systems flooding of sample 1 or sample 2. For sample




Fig. 9 The wettability change of the microchannel wall.







Fig. 10 The processes of crude oil removal experiments ((a) top view of optical microscope, (b) side view of optical microscope, (c) graph depicting the position of contact line with time).

3, the oil film on the microchannel wall was too thin to be measured. As shown in Table 2, the interfacial tension reduced to 13.42 mN m^{-1} , 12.11 mN m^{-1} , and 10.89 mN m^{-1} by three samples. When the interfacial tension of the oil-water mixture is reduced, the residual oil and the mixture are easier to gather to

form a fluid, and the fluidity of the oil-water mixture after aggregation enhanced, which could explain the decrease in the residual oil film on the microchannel wall.

Fig. 9 shows the deposition and agglomeration of polymer nanospheres on the surface of the microchannel in the flooding



① Water film ② Oil-water mixture ③ Direct contact region

Fig. 11 Schematic of the residual oil displacement mechanism of crude oil detachment from glass plates.



process of sample 1. The phenomena of the other two samples were similar. The microchannel was made of an oleophobic organic glass. However, in the process of oil displacement, a layer of polyacrylamide nanospheres was reunited and attached to the wall surface, which made the oil no longer adhere to the wall by capillary force. The change in wettability further improved the oil displacement efficiency. Combined with the experimental results of sand pack model oil displacement tests, it could be found that the addition of polymer nanosphere emulsion could enhance oil recovery by reducing interfacial tensions and changing the wettability.

The synergy between polymer nanospheres and surfactants for EOR

Fig. 10 shows the processes of crude oil removal experiment experiments.

As observed in the side view, the formation of oil drop occurred quickly due to the low interfacial tension caused by the surfactant, as shown in Fig. 10b. Fig. 10a shows that outer and inner contact lines appeared between the oil drops and the glass plate. A water film emerged between the outer contact line and the inner contact line, which could not be observed from the side view. Simultaneously, there was a white ring inside the inner contact line. Direct contact of the crude oil with the glass plate contact occurred in the innermost black area. With the increase in time, both the outer contact line and the inner contact line gradually moved inward; the inner contact line moved faster than the outer contact line, which gradually increased the water film area, and finally, the oil drop was separated from the glass plate by a layer of water. Therefore, the polyacrylamide nanosphere emulsion promoted the removal of crude oil through the formation of a water film between the oil drops and the glass plate.

For comparison, one group containing only 6 mmol L⁻¹ SDS (below the critical micelle concentration), and other group containing 6 mmol L⁻¹ SDS and 1 wt% polyacrylamide nanosphere solid powder were set. In this experiment, the inner and outer contact lines between the oil drop and the glass plate both appeared in the two experimental groups. Fig. 10c illustrates the change in the contact line with time for the two experimental groups. The 6 mmol L⁻¹ SDS solution required 52.65 min to remove the oil drop, while the 6 mmol L⁻¹ SDS solution with 1 wt% polyacrylamide nanosphere solid powder was able to remove the oil drop after 21 min. Polyacrylamide nanospheres, therefore, had a significant acceleration effect on the oil drop removal. The acceleration mechanism of the oil drop removal by polyacrylamide nanospheres is related to the rate at which the water film is formed. As shown in Fig. 11, the inner contact line of the crude oil drop in the 1 wt% polyacrylamide nanosphere water dispersion moved significantly faster than the inner contact line of the 6 mmol L⁻¹ SDS solution. The SDS concentrations of the two groups were the same, and the rate at which the water film advanced was solely related to the polyacrylamide nanospheres. This indicated that polyacrylamide nanospheres played an important role in the internal contact line, which was the three-phase contact line of water, oil, and

solid. This acceleration may depend on the structural disjoining pressure of the polyacrylamide nanoparticles.

Conclusions

The addition of polymer nanosphere emulsion can enhance the oil recovery in the heterogeneous packed tube, and the effect is related to the particle size. The oil displacement mechanisms of polymer nanospheres include the following aspects: first, the shear viscosity of polymer nanospheres changes significantly with the shear rate, which could adjust the water inflow in the hypertonic tube and the hypotonic tube, and sample 2 with an average particle size of 93 nm worked best. Second, polymer nanospheres can change the wettability of the channel surface through deposition and adsorption to improve the oil displacement efficiency. Finally, the polymer nanospheres with a particle size of about 54 nm and surfactants had a synergistic effect, which accelerated the removal of crude oil from the solid surface.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

This work was financially funded by China Oilfield Services Limited (G1917B-1120T046).

References

- 1 Z. K. Xiao, W. L. Ding, S. Y. Hao, A. D. Taleghani, X. Y. Wang, X. H. Zhou, Y. X. Sun, J. S. Liu and Y. Gu, *J. Pet. Sci. Eng.*, 2019, **182**, 11.
- 2 C. L. Zhang, G. D. Qu and G. L. Song, *Int. J. Anal. Chem.*, 2017, **2017**, 9.
- 3 X. C. Chen, Q. H. Feng, W. Liu and K. Sepehrnoori, *Fuel*, 2017, **194**, 42–49.
- 4 Y. F. Liu, C. L. Dai, K. Wang, M. W. Zhao, M. W. Gao, Z. Yang, J. C. Fang and Y. N. Wu, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.*, 2016, **55**, 6284–6292.
- 5 G. Zhao, C. L. Dai and M. W. Zhao, *PLoS One*, 2014, **9**, 10.
- 6 H. L. Chang, X. Sui, L. Xiao, Z. Guo, Y. Yao, Y. Xiao, G. Chen, K. Song and J. C. Mack, *SPE Reservoir Eval. Eng.*, 2006, **9**, 664–673.
- 7 W. U. Ruikun, K. Wanli, M. Lingwei, W. U. Xiaoyan and C. A. I. Xiaojun, *J. Northeast Norm. Univ.*, 2011, **43**, 97–100.
- 8 A. Haugen, M. A. Ferno, A. Graue and H. J. Bertin, *SPE Reservoir Eval. Eng.*, 2012, **15**, 218–228.
- 9 Z. Shousong, G. U. O. Jianjun, L. I. U. Qingwang and Z. Bai, *J. Oil Gas Technol.*, 2011, **33**, 124–128.
- 10 X. Luo, H. Gong, Z. He, P. Zhang and L. He, *J. Hazard. Mater.*, 2020, **399**, DOI: 10.1016/j.jhazmat.2020.123137.
- 11 M. Bao, T. Liu, Z. Chen, L. Guo, G. Jiang, Y. Li and X. Li, *Energy Sources, Part A*, 2013, **35**, 2141–2148.
- 12 C. Yao, D. Wang, J. Wang, J. Hou, G. Lei and T. Steenhuis, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.*, 2017, **56**, 8158–8168.



- 13 Z. Wang, M. Lin, S. Jin, Z. Yang, Z. Dong and J. Zhang, *J. Dispersion Sci. Technol.*, 2020, **41**, 267–276.
- 14 H. Yang, W. Kang, X. Tang, Y. Gao, Z. Zhu, P. Wang and X. Zhang, *J. Dispersion Sci. Technol.*, 2018, **39**, 1808–1819.
- 15 H. Frampton, J. C. Morgan, S. K. Cheung, L. Munson, K. T. Chang and D. Williams, *Presented in part at the SPE/DOE Symposium on Improved Oil Recovery*, Tulsa, Oklahoma, 2004/1/1, 2004.
- 16 K. Bybee, *J. Pet. Technol.*, 2005, **57**, 71–72.
- 17 A. Zaitoun, R. Tabary, D. Rousseau, T. R. Pichery, S. Nouyoux, P. Mallo and O. Braun, *Presented in part at the International Symposium on Oilfield Chemistry*, Houston, Texas, U.S.A., 2007/1/1, 2007.
- 18 H. Wang, M. Lin, D. Chen, Z. Dong, Z. Yang and J. Zhang, *Powder Technol.*, 2018, **331**, 310–321.
- 19 X. Nie, J. Chen, Y. Cao, J. Zhang, W. Zhao, Y. He, Y. Hou and S. Yuan, *Polymers*, 2019, **11**, 1993.
- 20 X. Shi and X. a. Yue, *J. Pet. Sci. Eng.*, 2020, **184**, 106458.
- 21 B. Wang, M. Lin, J. Guo, D. Wang, F. Xu and M. Li, *J. Appl. Polym. Sci.*, 2016, **133**(30), DOI: 10.1002/app.43666.
- 22 Z. Yu, Y. Li, O. Sha, Z. Su and W. Zhou, *J. Appl. Polym. Sci.*, 2016, **133**, DOI: 10.1002/app.43366.
- 23 Z. Hua, M. Lin, Z. Dong, M. Li, G. Zhang and J. Yang, *J. Colloid Interface Sci.*, 2014, **424**, 67–74.
- 24 S. Liang, X. R. Deng, Y. Chang, C. Q. Sun, S. Shao, Z. X. Xie, X. Xiao, P. A. Ma, H. Y. Zhang, Z. Y. Cheng and J. Lin, *Nano Lett.*, 2019, **19**, 4134–4145.
- 25 P. S. Liu, L. Y. Niu, X. H. Tao, X. H. Li, Z. J. Zhang and L. G. Yu, *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 2018, **447**, 656–663.
- 26 K. Kondiparty, A. D. Nikolov, D. Wasan and K.-L. Liu, *Langmuir*, 2012, **28**, 14618–14623.
- 27 K.-L. Liu, K. Kondiparty, A. D. Nikolov and D. Wasan, *Langmuir*, 2012, **28**, 16274–16284.
- 28 A. Nikolov, K. Kondiparty and D. Wasan, *Langmuir*, 2010, **26**, 7665–7670.
- 29 D. T. Wasan and A. D. Nikolov, *Nature*, 2003, **423**, 156–159.
- 30 P. Jiang, N. Li, J. Ge, G. Zhang, Y. Wang, L. Chen and L. Zhang, *Colloids Surf., A*, 2014, **443**, 141–148.
- 31 A. Bila, J. Å. Stensen and O. Torsæter, *Nanomaterials*, 2019, **9**(6), 822.
- 32 H. Zhou, Q. Zhang, C. Dai, Y. Li, W. Lv, Y. Wu, R. Cheng and M. Zhao, *Chem. Eng. Sci.*, 2019, **201**, 212–221.
- 33 N. K. Maurya and A. Mandal, *Chem. Eng. Res. Des.*, 2018, **132**, 370–384.
- 34 H. Y. Wang, M. Q. Lin, D. N. Chen, Z. X. Dong, Z. H. Yang and J. Zhang, *Powder Technol.*, 2018, **331**, 310–321.
- 35 P. Keblinski, S. R. Phillpot, S. U. S. Choi and J. A. Eastman, *Int. J. Heat Mass Transfer*, 2002, **45**, 855–863.

