


 Cite this: *RSC Adv.*, 2021, **11**, 24095

# Discovery of quinolone derivatives as antimycobacterial agents†

 Kun-Lin Liu,‡<sup>a</sup> Fei Teng,‡<sup>a</sup> Lu Xiong,<sup>a</sup> Xiao Li,<sup>a</sup> Chao Gao\*<sup>b</sup> and Luo-Ting Yu <sup>\*a</sup>

Tuberculosis (TB), an infectious disease caused by *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* (*M. tuberculosis*), is an important public health issue. Current first-line drugs administered to TB patients have been in use for over 40 years, whereas second-line drugs display strong side effects and poor compliance. Additionally, designing effective regimens to treat patients infected with multi- and extremely-drug-resistant (MDR and XDR) strains of TB is challenging. In this report, we screened our compound library and identified compound **1** with antituberculosis activity and a minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC) against *M. tuberculosis* of 20  $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ . Structure optimization and the structure–activity relationship of **1** as the lead compound enabled the design and synthesis of a series of quinolone derivatives, **6a1–6a2**, **6b1–6b36**, **6c1**, **6d1–6d14**, **7a1–7a2**, **7b1–7b2**, **7c1**, **8a1–8a5**, **9a1–9a4** and **10a1–10a6**. These compounds were evaluated *in vitro* for anti-tubercular activity against the *M. tuberculosis* H<sub>37</sub>Rv strain. Among them, compounds **6b6**, **6b12** and **6b21** exhibited MIC values in the range of 1.2–3  $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$  and showed excellent activity against the tested MDR-TB strain (MIC: 3, 2.9 and 0.9  $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ , respectively). All three compounds were non-toxic toward A549 and Vero cells (>100 and >50  $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ , respectively). In addition, an antibacterial spectrum test carried out using compound **6b21** showed that this compound specifically inhibits *M. tuberculosis*. These can serve as a new starting point for the development of anti-TB agents with therapeutic potential.

Received 30th October 2020

Accepted 28th June 2021


DOI: 10.1039/d0ra09250a

[rsc.li/rsc-advances](http://rsc.li/rsc-advances)

## 1. Introduction

Tuberculosis (TB) is caused by the pathogen *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* (*M. tuberculosis*) and is a leading cause of human fatalities by an infectious microorganism.<sup>1</sup> The World Health Organization (WHO) has estimated that approximately one quarter of the total world population is infected with TB. In 2018, the WHO reported that 10 million people were infected with TB and 1.2 million fatalities were caused by this pathogen.<sup>2</sup> Moreover, TB control is threatened by the emergence and spread of multi- and extremely-drug-resistant (MDR and XDR) strains of TB.<sup>3–8</sup> Approximately half a million people were estimated to be infected by rifampicin-resistant TB (RR-TB) in 2018, among which 78% had MDR-TB.<sup>2</sup> The absence of new drugs hampers efforts to improve the treatment outcomes of MDR-TB infection, and the development of novel anti-tuberculosis drugs with new action mechanisms are urgently needed.<sup>9–11</sup>

TB drug discovery follows two main routes: target-based approaches or cell-based approaches. One challenge with target-based approaches is that compounds identified in target-based screens often fail to find useful minimum inhibitory concentrations (MIC) against TB.<sup>12–16</sup> Current drugs and drug candidates in clinical trials have been discovered by using whole cell activity assays, thereby highlighting the importance of this approach. From a cell-based screening campaign of commercially available libraries and our laboratory compound collection, the hexahydroisoquinoline compound **1** was identified as a promising anti-tubercular hit with a MIC of 20  $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$  against *M. tuberculosis* H<sub>37</sub>Rv.



**Scheme 1** General synthesis of acetyl cyclohexanone and its analogues. <sup>a</sup>Reagents and conditions: (i) toluene, morpholine, *p*-toluenesulfonic, reflux, overnight. (ii) DCM, triethylamine, –5 °C; C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>5</sub>ClO, rt, overnight.


<sup>a</sup>State Key Laboratory of Biotechnology and Cancer Center, West China Hospital, Sichuan University, Collaborative Innovation Center, Chengdu, 610041, China. E-mail: yuluot@scu.edu.cn

<sup>b</sup>Laboratory of Human Diseases and Immunotherapies, West China Hospital, Sichuan University, Chengdu, 610041, China. E-mail: gaobao527@hotmail.com

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: 10.1039/d0ra09250a

‡ Authors contributed equally.






Scheme 2


The structural skeleton of compound **1** was first used in quinolones, which are broad-spectrum antibacterial drugs first discovered in the 19th century. Fluoroquinolones are a class of very potent, broad-spectrum synthetic antimicrobial agents that are in clinical use. Currently, fluoroquinolones such as ciprofloxacin, ofloxacin and levofloxacin are recommended as second-line drugs for the treatment of TB.<sup>17</sup> Generally, all fluoroquinolones function by targeting DNA gyrase in Gram-negative bacteria and topoisomerase IV in Gram-positive bacteria.<sup>18</sup> In 2014, Panda *et al.* report a novel pyrazolopyridone class of inhibitors, which were identified from whole cell screening against *M.*

*tuberculosis*.<sup>19</sup> Evaluation of these compounds by an over-expression assay revealed that these compounds are selective against decaprenylphosphoryl- $\beta$ -D-ribose oxidase (DprE1). Naik *et al.* reported a novel scaffold of 4-aminoquinolone piperidine amides that were discovered by using a whole cell assay. Approximately 320 000 compounds from the AstraZeneca Corporate collection were screened.<sup>20</sup> These compounds were found to bind non-covalently to DprE1 and were reversible DprE1 inhibitors. OPC167832, a dihydroquinolone developed by Otsuka, has entered clinical stage II trials. Thus, the quinolone scaffold shows potential for targeting *M. tuberculosis* because many antibacterial compounds based on



Scheme 3 <sup>a,b</sup> Reagents and conditions: (i) ethanol, 2-cyanoacetamide, triethylenediamine, 40 °C, 10 hours. (ii) methanol and ammonia (1 : 1), H<sub>2</sub>, RANEY®-nickel, 80 °C, 36 h. (iii) DCM, EDCI, HOBT, and 4-methylmorpholine, rt, 10 hours.






**Scheme 4** <sup>a</sup> Reagents and conditions: (i) ammonium acetate, 120 °C, 8 hours. (ii) H<sub>2</sub>, RANEY®-nickel, 80 °C, overnight. (iii) DCM, EDCI, HOBT, and 4-methylmorpholine, rt, 10 hours. (iv) DIEA, **5b**, –30 °C, 30 min; 4-(trifluoromethyl)benzyl bromide, –30 °C, over 4 hours. (v) DCM, 0 °C, 4-(trifluoromethyl)benzene-1-sulfonyl chloride slowly drops, triethylamine, 30 min. (vi) Methanol, NaOH, sealed tube, 120 °C, overnight. (vii) DCM, thionyl chloride, *N,N*-dimethylformamide, 0 °C, 3 hours. (viii) 4-(Trifluoromethyl)benzhydrazide, pyridine 4-dimethylaminopyridine, rt, 6 hours, getting intermediate. (ix) Dried DCM, trifluoromethanesulfonic anhydride, triphenylphosphine oxide, stirred for 5 min, intermediate was added, rt, 7 hours.

this structure show antibacterial activity. Although the structure of the lead compound **1** we screened is similar to the structure of the compound reported above, the target of compound needs further verification.


In this report, the hit-to-lead campaign for quinolone derivatives was driven by MIC-based structure–activity relationships (SAR). Initially, we explored and simplified the chemical structure of the compound **1** side chain. The SAR














**Fig. 1** The design strategy for compound 1.



Table 1 Effect of the side chain biphenyl on anti-TB activity. Antimycobacterial activities of the target compounds against *M. tuberculosis* H<sub>37</sub>Rv



**6b1-6b11**

Compound no.	R	% inhibition <sup>a</sup> 25 µg mL <sup>-1</sup>	MIC <sup>b</sup> (µg mL <sup>-1</sup> )	ClogP <sup>c</sup>
<b>6b1</b>		NA	NA	4.26
<b>6b2</b>		NA	NA	4.05
<b>6b3</b>		NA	NA	4.28
<b>6b4</b>		98.3%	25	4.05
<b>6b5</b>		43.6%	>25	4.05
<b>6b6</b>		99.8%	3	4.11
<b>6b7</b>		54.7%	NA	3.76
<b>6b8</b>		36.9%	NA	5.16
<b>6b9</b>		93.7%	7.2	4.55
<b>6b10</b>		93.1%	15	4.26
<b>6b11</b>		64.3%	NA	4.29
<b>Isoniazid</b>	—	98.9%	0.25	-0.67


<sup>a</sup> The inhibition rate is the average of the three measurements. <sup>b</sup> MIC<sub>90</sub> is the average value of three measurements. <sup>c</sup> ClogP is calculated by ChemBioDraw Ultra.










between the linker and the parent nucleus was then explored by using the pharmacological principles of bioelectronic isosteric, skeletal transition and homologues transformation. Finally, to identify substitutions and establish a link with a target whose inhibition leads to an anti-tubercular effect, a more active lead was required. Herein, we report on the

discovery of hexahydroisoquinolines as potential anti-tubercular agents, and synthesize a number of analogues that have improved anti-tubercular potency over the original lead compound 1.



Table 2 Effect of the side chain phenyl on anti-TB activity



Compound no.	R	% inhibition 25 $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$	MIC ( $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ )	ClogP
6b12		99.3%	2.5	3.42
6b13		33.3%	NA	2.21
6b14		45.1%	NA	3.42
6b15		64.2%	NA	3.50
6b16		13.3%	NA	2.39
6b17		NA	NA	2.53
6b18		26.9%	NA	2.55
6b19		12.6%	NA	2.36
6b20		34.5%	NA	3.58
Isoniazid		98.9%	0.25	-0.67

## 2. Results and discussion

### 2.1 Chemistry

The key intermediates **3a–3c** were prepared as described in Scheme 1<sup>21</sup> for the synthesis of important intermediates **5a–5d**. Compounds **2a–2c** were reacted with morpholine in toluene under reflux conditions after adding *p*-toluenesulfonic acid. Acetylation of the intermediate enamines to the corresponding ketones was then carried out in the presence of triethylamine at  $-5\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ .

The title compounds **6a1–6a2**, **6b1–6b36**, **6c1** and **6d1–6d13** were synthesized *via* the pathway shown in Scheme 2. The compounds **4a–4d** were synthesized by cyclizing the intermediates **3a–3b** using 2-cyanoacetamide and triethylenediamine at  $40\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$  for 10 h.<sup>22</sup> The mixture of **4b** and **4d** was dissolved in ethanol and stirred and refluxed for more than 1 h. Solids in the reaction mixture were filtered and then washed with hot ethanol while the solution was hot. Pure **4b** and **4d** were obtained before above solids washed several times. **4a–4d** was catalyzed by RANEY®-nickel under 11 427 torr  $\text{H}_2$  to get the intermediates **5a–5d**, which reacted with various organic acids in the presence of EDCI and HOBT at room

temperature to afford compounds **6a1–6a2**, **6b1–6b36**, **6c1** and **6d1–6d14**. The target compounds **7a1–7a2**, **7b1–7b2**, **7c1** and **8a1–8a5** were obtained by the route described in Scheme 3.<sup>23–25</sup> The butanedione derivatives were cyclized and reduced to amines under  $\text{H}_2$ , which then condensed with carboxylic acid at room temperature to afford target compounds **7a1–7a2**, **7b1–7b2**, **7c1** and **8a1–8a5**.

The intermediate **9a** was synthesized by the reaction of amine transesterification and Knoevenagel between 2-aminoacetophenone and ethyl cyanoacetate.<sup>26</sup> Hydrogenation of **9a** to its corresponding amine, which condensed with carboxylic acid afforded target compounds **9a1–9a4**. Compound **10a1** was obtained by nucleophilic substitution reaction of **5b**. Compounds **10a2–10a6** were synthesized as described in Scheme 4.

### 2.2 Structure activity relationship (SAR)

The synthesized compounds were tested for anti-mycobacterial activity against *M. tuberculosis* H<sub>37</sub>Rv using the Microplate Alamar Blue Assay and isoniazid was used as the reference drug (Fig. 1). The substituent group was modified at the *para*- or *meta*-position of R to explore the SAR (Table 1). The introduction of  $-\text{F}$ ,  $-\text{OCF}_3$  and *N,N*-dimethylamino at the *para* position resulted in a clear loss of activity (compounds **6b1–6b3**). However, compound **6b4** had potent activity compared with those of **6b1–6b3**. Moreover, **6b4** displayed better potency than 3-methoxy **6b5**. All results above indicated that the methoxy group at the *para* position may be crucial in single substituents. Comparison of **6b4** with **6b7** showed that the addition of one methoxy group at the *meta*-position of the phenyl ring resulted in lower activity for **6b7**. Exploration of dimethoxy substituted groups (**6b6–6b9**) revealed that compound **6b6** with two methoxy group substitutions at the *meta*-position yielded greatest activity. **6b8–6b9** gave varying degrees of reduced antimycobacterial activity compared with **6b6**. Keeping two methoxy groups like **6b6** and adding a hinge  $-\text{CH}_2-$  or  $-\text{O}-$  to get **6b10–6b11**, which only resulted in a slight reduction in potency compared with that of **6b6**, thus revealing the importance of the methoxy groups as mentioned above. Overall, double methoxy substituted compounds possessed better anti-tubercular activity than single substituted (**6b5** vs. **6b7** and **6b10**), and the activity of the 1,3-dimethoxybenzene derivative **6b6** was 7-fold higher than that of compound **1**. Comparison between compounds **6b8** and **6b9** demonstrated that the methoxy group played a key role in improving the anti-tubercular activity. Moreover, compared with **6b6**, **6b10** and **6b11** reduced or even abolished the antibacterial activity, indicating **6b6** had a dominant conformation that two methoxy groups may serve as hydrogen bond acceptor interaction with active sites on some key proteins, however, the hinge  $-\text{O}-$  of **6b11** may act as another hydrogen bond acceptor interaction with inactive sites other than active sites on some key proteins, leading to loss of activity.

We then synthesized compounds **6b12–6b20** to assess the effect of different moieties placed at R on the potency toward



Table 3 Effect of the side chain heterocycle on anti-TB activity

**6b21-6b36**

Compound no.	R	% inhibition 20 $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$	MIC ( $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ )	Solubility ( $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ )	ClogP
<b>6b21</b>		100%	1.2	132.4	2.77
<b>6b22</b>		22.3%	NA	102.2	2.42
<b>6b23</b>		12.3%	NA	145.6	1.57
<b>6b24</b>		98.3%	4.9	213.4	2.23
<b>6b25</b>		98.9%	3.2	239.0	2.37
<b>6b26</b>		94.1%	1.6	113.5	1.66
<b>6b27</b>		98.6%	1.3	184.0	1.93
<b>6b28</b>		98.9%	2.3	144.2	1.32
<b>6b29</b>		100.0%	2.8	203.5	1.34
<b>6b30</b>		78.2%	NA	178.3	1.54
<b>6b31</b>		97.7%	3.4	101.0	2.43
<b>6b32</b>		96.4%	5.4	129.6	2.10
<b>6b33</b>		98.3%	2.0	100.2	2.60
<b>6b34</b>		NA	NA	97.4	1.72
<b>6b35</b>		94.6%	14	245.7	1.13
<b>6b36</b>		25.1%	—	279.5	0.97
Isoniazid		98.9%	0.25	NA	-0.67

*M. tuberculosis*. Compound **6b12** had the lowest MIC ( $2.5 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ ) in the single substituted trifluoromethyl compounds. Subsequently, other single substituted compounds **6b15–6b20** were prepared to further explore the SAR of this class of compounds. These compounds were found to lose potency. Only compound **6b12** showed suitable activity based on this

SAR investigation, indicating that after simplifying the substituted groups, a single substituted trifluoromethyl group at the *para*-position of the phenyl was significant for maintaining activity (Table 2).

The phenyl ring was replaced with aromatic heterocycles to further explore the anti-tuberculosis activity of different



Table 4 Effect of the quinolone derivatives parent nucleus on Anti-TB Activity


























Compound no.	R	% inhibition 50 $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$	MIC ( $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ )	ClogP
<b>6d1</b>		NA	>50	2.37
<b>6d2</b>		NA	>50	1.97
<b>6d3</b>		NA	>50	1.88
<b>6d4</b>		NA	>25	4.06
<b>6d5</b>		100%	5.5	2.05
<b>6d6</b>		100%	24.1	2.37
<b>6d7</b>		99%	26.3	2.28
<b>6d8</b>		NA	>50	3.41
<b>6d9</b>		18%	>50	3.70
<b>6d10</b>		NA	>50	3.23
<b>6d11</b>		NA	>50	2.33
<b>6d12</b>		NA	>50	1.61
<b>6d13</b>		NA	>50	2.18
<b>6d14</b>		NA	>50	1.49
<b>9a1</b>		2%	>50	2.18



Table 4 (Contd.)

Compound no.	R	% inhibition 50 $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$	MIC ( $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ )	ClogP
6d1-6d14				
9a1-9a4				
6a1-6a2				
6c1				
9a2		15%	>50	3.87
9a3		13%	>50	3.51
9a4		3%	>50	4.79
6a1		53%	>50	1.54
6a2		14%	>50	1.09
6c1		33%	>50	2.93
Isoniazid		98.9%	0.25	-0.67

types of aromatic rings in the R group (Table 3). Most of compounds **6b21–6b36** showed better activity than compounds **6b13–6b20**. Comparison of compounds **6b21** and **6b22** indicated that the position of the nitrogen atom in the pyridine ring is crucial in defining anti-tuberculosis activity. Based on this limited search, we selected the 2-substituted pyridine as the preferred group. Substitution on the pyridine with an electron-withdrawing or electron donor displayed good potency (**6b21**, **6b24–6b28**). We then introduced a five-membered heterocyclic ring (**6b29–6b36**) in an effort to identify simpler compounds with relatively good hydrophilic profiles. Compounds **6b29–6b36** generally showed weaker bacteriostatic activity when compared with that of the six-member heterocyclic compounds. Overall, the best substitution group on the pyridine ring and furan ring was trifluoromethyl (**6b21**, **6b33**). The solubility of this series of compounds was greatly improved when compared with compounds with the phenyl group substitution.

In the process of synthesizing 4-(aminomethyl)-1-methyl-5,6,7,8-tetrahydroisoquinolin-3(2H)-one, intermediate **5b**, we also obtained the isomer of this compound, *i.e.*, intermediate **5d**. Examination of the activity of compounds **6d1–6d4** revealed that the activity of the isomers were mostly lost,

indicating that the position of the six-membered ring was important in defining bactericidal activity. Only compounds **6d5–6d7** (Table 4) displayed activity. Alternatives to the piperidine ring were then explored. Replacing the aliphatic ring with an aromatic ring resulted in a clear loss of activity (**9a1–9a4**). We next explored the effect of replacing the piperidine ring with a five and seven-membered saturated aliphatic ring. These analogues (**6a1–6a2**, **6c1**) all showed reduced or no activity.

We then replaced 4 and 6 positions on the six-membered pyridone ring with alkyl, cyclopropyl or phenyl moiety (Table 5). Substitution of the ternary ring and benzene ring reduced anti-tuberculosis activity (**7a1–7a2**, **7b1–7b2**, **7c1**). The reduced activity may be caused by the increasing freedom of rotatable bond making it more difficult for the compounds to enter the cavity of the target. An increase in the activity of compounds (**8a3**, **8a5** vs. **8a1–8a2**) was found to correlate with lipophilicity (ClogP), indicating that substitution with a long alkyl side chain at positions 2 and 4 of the pyridine moiety improves the lipophilicity and activity of the compounds when compared with compounds with short alkyl side chain substitutions. However, compared with alicyclic rings, the activity of compounds after ring opening decreased (**8a1–8a2** vs. **6b29**; **8a4** vs. **6b12**),





Table 5 Effect of the opening of parallel ring on anti-TB activity

**7a1-7a2, 7b1-7b2, 7c1 and 8a1-8a5**

Compound no.	R	R <sub>1</sub>	R <sub>2</sub>	% inhibition 20 μg mL <sup>-1</sup>	MIC (μg mL <sup>-1</sup> )	ClogP
7a1				5%	>20	1.74
7a2				21%	>20	3.43
7b1				NA	>12.5	2.90
7b2				33%	>20	4.58
7c1				NA	>20	2.03
8a1				75.3%	NA	0.41
8a2				98.2%	NA	0.94
8a3				98.1%	19.8	1.47
8a4				87.6%	NA	2.30
8a5				94.4%	6.7	2.36
Isoniazid				99.4%	0.25	-0.67

presumably because of the decrease in lipophilicity of the compounds, making it difficult for the compounds to cross the cell membrane.

The influence of the amide bond linker on anti-mycobacterial activity was then investigated. Replacing amide bonds with sulfamide, 1,3,4-oxadiazole and formamyl moieties *via* an isosteric modification (compounds **10a2**, **10a3** and **10a4**) resulted in loss of activity to varying degrees. By adding or reducing the carbon chains, a decrease in antibacterial activity was observed, possibly because of the increase in rotatable bonds hampers antibacterial activity. Overall, the SAR for the amide bond revealed that there was limited scope for improving antibacterial activity (Table 6).

Among these compounds, compounds **6b6**, **6b12**, **6b21** showed potential anti-mycobacterial activity against the tested MDR-MTB strains with MIC ranging 0.9 to 3.0 μg mL<sup>-1</sup> (Table 7).

### 2.3 Cytotoxicity assay

The cytotoxicity of compounds with the highest anti-tuberculosis activity was tested to eliminate the possibility that the anti-tuberculosis activity of the synthesized compounds arises from general toxicity. Human lung adenocarcinoma A549 cells and Vero cells from the African green monkey kidney were used to measure cytotoxicity and growth inhibition. Cell activity was measured by the MTT assay. The results showed that these compounds had no *in vitro* cytotoxicity (Table 8).

### 2.4 Antibacterial *in vitro* assay

The potential broad-spectrum antibacterial activity of compound **6b21** was tested against *Escherichia coli* (*E. coli*) Esbls, *Klebsiella pneumoniae* Esbls, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Enterobacter cloacae* and *E. coli* ATCC25922. Levofloxacin was



Table 6 Effect of the linker moiety on the anti-TB activity











Compound no.	% inhibition 20 $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$	MIC ( $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ )	ClogP	
 <b>10a1-10a6</b>				
<b>10a1</b>		65.7%	NA	2.91
<b>10a2</b>		92.7%	3.5	2.67
<b>10a3</b>		NA	NA	3.5
<b>10a4</b>		36.8%	NA	3.69
<b>10a5</b>		99.3%	20	2.96
<b>10a6</b>		NA	>50	2.32
Isoniazid		99.4%	0.25	-0.67

Table 7 Activity of 6b6, 6b12, 6b21 against drug-resistant strains

Compound no.	Structure	MDR-MTB <sup>a</sup> MIC ( $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ )
<b>6b6</b>		3.0
<b>6b12</b>		2.9
<b>6b21</b>		0.9

<sup>a</sup> MDR-MTB: resistant to INH and RIF.



**Table 8** Cytotoxic activity of quinolone derivatives against A459 and Vero cell line

Compound no.	IC <sub>50</sub> (μg mL <sup>-1</sup> )		Selectivity index <sup>a</sup>	
	A549	Vero	A549	Vero
<b>6b6</b>	>100	>50	>33	>16
<b>6b12</b>	>100	>50	>40	>20
<b>6b21</b>	>100	>50	>111	>55
<b>6b26</b>	>100	>50	>63	>31
<b>6b29</b>	>100	>50	>35	>17
<b>6b33</b>	>100	>50	>50	>25

<sup>a</sup> IC<sub>50</sub>(A549/Vero)/MIC *M. tb.*

used as the control. The MIC value of compound **6b21** toward other bacteria was >128 μg mL<sup>-1</sup>, indicating that compound **6b21** is specific toward *M. tuberculosis* (Table 9).

### 3. Conclusion

There have been many articles and patent reports describing the anti-tuberculosis activity of quinolone derivatives. Initially, SAR analysis of compound **1** analogues that had substitutions on the phenyl side chains revealed that the 3,5-dimethoxy substitution (*i.e.*, compound **6b6**) gave the lowest MIC of 3 μg mL<sup>-1</sup> against *M. tuberculosis*, which is seven-fold lower than the MIC reported for compound **1**. With reference to the active structure of other quinolone compounds, a simple side chain modification to obtain compound **6b21** reduced the MIC to 1.2 μg mL<sup>-1</sup>, with an MIC of 0.9 μg mL<sup>-1</sup> against MDR-MTB. The isomer of the **6b** analogue (**6d1–6d14**) resulted in a decrease in activity. No activity was observed when the cyclohexane group was replaced with a benzene ring (**6d10–6d14**). Compounds **6a1–6a2** and **6c1** where the cyclohexane group were expanded or reduced did not show an improvement in anti-tuberculosis activity. We also carried out a preliminary SAR of the linker by using the principles of bioisosterism and homologous conversion. Here, activity was highest when the amide bond was used, and any extension or shortening of the junction structure resulted in a significant decrease in anti-tuberculosis activity (**10a1–10a6**). The activity was not maintained when the doublet

ring was replaced or when the ring was opened. Finally, the optimal compound **6b21** was not cytotoxic and displayed specific anti-tuberculosis activity.

## 4. Experimental section

### 4.1 Experimental

**4.1.1 General synthetic chemistry methods.** Solvents, reagents and intermediates that were commercially available were purchased and used as received. Dry solvents were purchased in Sure Seal bottles stored over molecular sieves. <sup>1</sup>H NMR and <sup>13</sup>C spectra were measured on a Bruker Avance 400 spectrometer at 25 °C using DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub> and CDCl<sub>3</sub> as solvents, and chemical shifts are reported as ppm downfield from Me<sub>4</sub>Si with the number of protons, multiplicities and coupling constants in hertz indicated parenthetically. Signal splitting patterns are described as singlet (s), doublet (d), triplet (t), multiplet (m), broadened (b), or a combination thereof. Mass spectroscopy (MS) data were collected using a Waters Q-TOF Premier MS with electron spray ionization. Analytical thin-layer chromatography (TLC) was performed on 0.20 mm silica gel F-254 plates (Qingdao Haiyang Chemical, China). Silica gel 60 with a 300–400 mesh (Qingdao Haiyang Chemical, China) was used and visualization was performed under a UV lamp (254/365 nm). The purity of the final compound was assessed at a wavelength of 210 nm, 254 nm, 268 nm, 230 nm by HPLC analysis. The system was equipped with a DAD UV detector and an Dionex SN: 005581 acclaim @ 120 C18 column (5 μm, 120 Å, 4.6 × 250 mm), and the separations were achieved at rt. The HPLC gradient program utilized 60% MeCN in H<sub>2</sub>O over 16 min with a 1 mL min<sup>-1</sup> flow rate. The purity of target compound was >95% by HPLC.

#### 4.1.2 Synthesis of intermediates

**Synthesis of intermediates 4b and 4d.** To a solution of cyclohexanone (29.50 g), morpholine (31.45 g), toluene and *p*-toluenesulfonic acid (0.53 g) were added, and the reaction stirred at 120 °C. The water generated by the reaction and distilled by Dean–Stark apparatus. The reaction was stopped when 6.00 mL of water was distilled. The reaction system was gradually cooled to room temperature, and a dark yellow viscous liquid was

**Table 9** Antibacterial spectrum test of compound **6b21**

Bacterial strains <sup>a</sup>	MIC (μg mL <sup>-1</sup> )		
	Compound <b>6b21</b>	Levofloxacin tablets	Bacteria control+/-
<i>Escherichia coli</i> Esbls <sup>-</sup> 16-23	>128	16	+
<i>Escherichia coli</i> Esbls <sup>-</sup> 16-24	>128	<0.008	+
<i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i> Esbls <sup>-</sup> 17-11	>128	0.03	+
<i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i> Esbls <sup>-</sup> 17-12	>128	<0.008	+
<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> 17-1	>128	0.25	+
<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> 17-2	>128	16	+
<i>Enterobacter cloacae</i> 17-11	>128	<0.008	+
<i>Enterobacter cloacae</i> 17-12	>128	64	+
<i>Escherichia coli</i> ATCC25922	>128	<0.008	+

<sup>a</sup> *Escherichia coli* ATCC25922 was the standard strain, and the rest were clinical bacteria.

obtained by vacuum distillation to remove the solvent. After dissolving the liquid in dichloromethane, 50.00 mL triethylamine was added to the mixture at 0 °C and the mixture stirred at 0 °C for 5 min. Then, 24.00 mL acetyl chloride diluted with 100 mL dichloromethane was dropwise added to the reaction with a large amount of white smoke generated. The reaction was then stirred at 0 °C for 30 min before stirring at room temperature for 10 h. After completion of the enamine reaction, as determined by TLC analysis, 47 mL HCl (concentrated hydrochloric acid/water 1/1) was dropwise added to the reaction at 0 °C with the reaction solution gradually becoming black and cloudy.

Acetyl cyclohexanone (31.23 g) and cyanoacetamide (20.00 g) were dissolved in anhydrous ethanol (50 mL), and triethylenediamine (41.27 g) was added to the mixture. After the addition of triethylenediamine, the reaction was stirred at 40 °C for 10 h with a large amount of white precipitant formed. In TLC analysis, a ferric chloride color indicated that the acetylcyclohexanone reaction was completed. This was immediately followed by filtration and the filter precipitant was washed with anhydrous ethanol (20 mL). After separating the compounds by column chromatography, compounds **4b** and **4d** were obtained as yellow solids (38.21 g; yield: 75%) and **4d** (10.32 g; yield: 20%). Compounds **4a** and **4c** were prepared by the same procedure described above.

**4b.** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>) δ 2.73 (d, *J* = 3.2 Hz, 2H), 2.37 (d, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 2H), 2.21 (s, 3H), 1.68 (m, *J* = 3.1 Hz, 4H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>) δ 160.37, 160.21, 150.39, 116.61, 113.41, 98.34, 29.42, 23.80, 22.18, 21.46, 17.31; ESI-MS *m/z* 211.1 [M + Na]<sup>+</sup>.

**4d.** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>) δ 12.06 (s, 1H), 2.53 (d, *J* = 5.2 Hz, 2H), 2.40–2.32 (m, 2H), 2.27 (s, 3H), 1.80–1.54 (m, 4H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>) 160.45, 160.19, 149.07, 116.89, 113.54, 100.71, 27.49, 23.65, 22.11, 21.05, 18.86; ESI-MS *m/z* 211.1 [M + Na]<sup>+</sup>.

**Synthesis of intermediates 5b and 5d.** Compound **4b** (38.21 g) or **4d** (10.32 g) was mixed with RANEY®-nickel (35.74 g), ethanol and ammonia solution (50.00 mL). The reaction was stirred under 11 427 torr H<sub>2</sub> at 40 °C for 10 h. Completion of the reaction was detected by TLC. The reaction was gradually cooled to room temperature and the RANEY®-nickel material was carefully filtered. The pressure was reduced to remove solvent, yielding a dark green oily substance. Compound **5b** or **5d** (30.46 mg) was obtained by column chromatography as a white solid. ESI-MS *m/z* 215.1 [M + Na]<sup>+</sup>.

**Synthesis of intermediate 9a.** 2-Aminoacetophenone (5 g, 36.99 mmol), ethyl cyanoacetate (4.18 g, 36.96 mmol) and ammonium acetate (2.85, 36.99 mmol) were added into a round-bottom flask. The mixture was stirred at 90 °C for 2 h. The solvent was removed by rotary evaporation and the solid material dissolved in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> and MeOH. The residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography to yield compound **9a**. ESI-MS *m/z* 207.1 [M + Na]<sup>+</sup>.

Compound **9a** was added to a solution of ammonium hydroxide and RANEY®-nickel in MeOH. The mixture was stirred under 11 427 torr H<sub>2</sub> at 80 °C for 36 h. After cooling, the

reaction was filtered to remove white insoluble material. The filtrate was concentrated by rotary evaporation and the reduced product (**9a**) was obtained by silica gel column chromatography. ESI-MS *m/z* 211.1 [M + Na]<sup>+</sup>.

**Synthesis of intermediates 7a, 7b and 7c.** One equivalent of the corresponding ketone (1-cyclopropyl-1,3-butanedione, 1-phenyl-1,3-butanedione, 1,1,1-trifluoro-2,4-pentanedione) and 1 eq. cyanoacetamide were added to a 25 mL solution of aqueous ethanol (ethanol : H<sub>2</sub>O = 4 : 1) with stirring. One equivalent of triethylenediamine was then added and the reaction was gradually heated to 40 °C for 10 h. A significant amount of white solid was formed, which was filtered and washed with small portions of cold ethanol to remove any remaining residues.

**7a yield: 75%.** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>) δ 12.40 (s, 1H), 5.94 (s, 1H), 2.27 (s, 3H), 1.87 (tt, *J* = 8.4, 5.0 Hz, 1H), 1.13–1.08 (m, 2H), 0.96–0.91 (m, 2H).

**7b yield: 62%.** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>) δ 12.56 (s, 1H), 7.84–7.74 (m, 2H), 7.58–7.50 (m, 3H), 6.75 (s, 1H), 2.42 (s, 3H).

**7c yield: 82%.** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>) δ 13.34 (s, 1H), 6.65 (s, 1H), 2.38 (s, 3H).

**Synthesis of intermediate 8a.** The corresponding ketone (0.1 mol of either acetylacetone or 3,5-heptanedione) and cyanoacetamide (0.12 mol) were dissolved in 50 mL ethanol/H<sub>2</sub>O (at a ratio of 4 : 1). Potassium carbonate (0.1 mol) was added. The system was refluxed for 3 h and a significant amount of white solid was formed during the reflux. The solid was filtered and washed with petroleum ether. A white solid was produced after drying. The remaining intermediate 3-cyano-4,6-dimethyl-2-hydroxypyridine were acquired from the Bidepharm.

**8a, n = 0, n = 1** ESI-MS *m/z* 163.1 [M + H]<sup>+</sup>.

**8a, n = 1, n = 0** ESI-MS *m/z* 163.1 [M + H]<sup>+</sup>.

**8a, n = 1, n = 1** ESI-MS *m/z* 177.1 [M + H]<sup>+</sup>.

**Hydrolysis of intermediate 4b.** Compound **4b** was added to a 25 mL aqueous ethanol (ethanol : H<sub>2</sub>O = 5 : 1) solution saturated with potassium hydroxide and placed in a sealed tube. Under nitrogen protection, the system was sealed and stirred at 120 °C for 24 h. The reaction mixture was cooled to ambient temperature. The reaction solution was poured into a beaker and 5 mL H<sub>2</sub>O was added. Concentrated HCl was slowly added under agitation and the pH was monitored. A large amount of white precipitant appeared when the pH reached 8–9. The reaction was terminated and the precipitant discarded. Dilute HCl (4 M) was then added dropwise to the filtrate until the pH reached 3. A large amount of precipitant formed, which was washed with excess (three times) H<sub>2</sub>O to afford a grey solid. Yield: 82%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>) δ 16.06 (s, 1H), 13.09 (s, 1H), 3.20 (t, *J* = 5.9 Hz, 2H), 2.46 (d, *J* = 6.2 Hz, 2H), 2.30 (s, 3H), 1.74–1.55 (m, 4H).

#### 4.1.3 Syntheses of the end products

**General procedures for the syntheses of compounds 6b1–6b36, 6d1–6d14, 9a1–9a4, 6a1–6a2, 6c1, 7a1–7a2, 7b1–7b2, 7c1 and 8a1–8a5.** The intermediates, carboxylic acid analogues, or benzoic acid derivative (190.12 mg), HOBT (202.68 mg) and EDCI (287.55 mg) were weighed and dissolved in 20 mL



dichloromethane or DMF. One milliliter *N*-methyl morpholine was added to the mixture and the reaction system changed to a pale yellow clarified solution. After stirring at room temperature for 30 min, the intermediate **5b** or amino analogue (211.34 mg) was dissolved in 10 mL dichloromethane and dropwise added to the reaction. The reaction turned yellow and cloudy, with stirring continued at room temperature for 7 h. The reaction was monitored by TLC. The completed reaction was filtered and the filtrate washed with H<sub>2</sub>O (20 mL × 1). The aqueous phase was extracted with dichloromethane (10 mL × 2), combined with the organic phase, washed with dilute HCl (1 M; 15 mL × 1), washed with saturated NaCl (15 mL × 1) and dried overnight with anhydrous sodium sulfate. After filtration, the pressure was reduced to remove the solvent, and column chromatography ( $V_{\text{dichloromethane}}/V_{\text{methanol}} = 50/1$ ) was used to separate and obtain the target product as a solid powder (**6b1**, 326.73 mg) with a yield of 80–90%.

*4'-Fluoro-N-((1-methyl-3-oxo-2,3,5,6,7,8-hexahydroisoquinolin-4-yl)methyl)-[1,1'-biphenyl]-4-carboxamide (6b1)*. Yield 81% (white solid). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>) δ 11.48 (s, 1H), 8.35 (t, *J* = 4.8 Hz, 1H), 7.90 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 2H), 7.69 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 2H), 7.73 (dd, *J* = 7.6, 1.2 Hz, 2H), 7.70–7.64 (m, 2H), 4.30 (d, *J* = 4.9 Hz, 2H), 2.71 (s, 2H), 2.38 (s, 2H), 2.11 (s, 3H), 1.64 (s, 4H). ESI-MS: *m/z* [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> calculated for 413.2, found 413.1.

*N-((1-Methyl-3-oxo-2,3,5,6,7,8-hexahydroisoquinolin-4-yl)methyl)-4-(trifluoromethoxy)-[1,1'-biphenyl]-4-carboxamide (6b2)*. Yield 79% (white solid). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>) δ 11.51 (s, 1H), 8.49 (t, *J* = 4.7 Hz, 1H), 8.11 (d, *J* = 1.8 Hz, 2H), 7.91–7.75 (m, 4H), 7.47 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 2H), 4.36 (d, *J* = 4.6 Hz, 2H), 2.70 (s, 2H), 2.38 (s, 2H), 2.11 (s, 3H), 1.63 (s, 4H). ESI-MS: *m/z* [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> calculated for 479.2, found 479.1.

*4-(Dimethylamino)-N-((1-methyl-3-oxo-2,3,5,6,7,8-hexahydroisoquinolin-4-yl)methyl)-[1,1'-biphenyl]-4-carboxamide (6b3)*. Yield 89% (white solid). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>) δ 11.51 (s, 1H), 8.37 (t, *J* = 4.8 Hz, 1H), 7.90 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 2H), 7.69 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 2H), 7.27 (t, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 7.00–6.91 (m, 2H), 6.76 (dd, *J* = 8.4, 2.4 Hz, 1H), 4.36 (d, *J* = 4.7 Hz, 2H), 2.96 (s, 6H), 2.72 (s, 2H), 2.38 (s, 2H), 2.12 (s, 3H), 1.63 (s, 4H). ESI-MS: *m/z* [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> calculated for 438.2, found 438.2.

*4'-Methoxy-N-((1-methyl-3-oxo-2,3,5,6,7,8-hexahydroisoquinolin-4-yl)methyl)-[1,1'-biphenyl]-4-carboxamide (6b4)*. Yield 82% (white solid). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>) δ 11.46 (s, 1H), 8.77 (d, *J* = 5.5 Hz, 1H), 7.92 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 7.69 (dd, *J* = 11.2, 8.6 Hz, 4H), 7.04 (d, *J* = 8.9 Hz, 2H), 4.40 (d, *J* = 4.8 Hz, 2H), 3.80 (s, 3H), 2.82 (s, 2H), 2.44 (s, 2H), 2.21 (s, 3H), 1.67 (t, *J* = 3.5 Hz, 4H). ESI-MS: *m/z* [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> calculated for 425.1, found 425.1.

*3'-Methoxy-N-((1-methyl-3-oxo-2,3,5,6,7,8-hexahydroisoquinolin-4-yl)methyl)-[1,1'-biphenyl]-4-carboxamide (6b5)*. Yield 80% (white solid). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>) δ 12.43 (s, 1H), 8.44 (d, *J* = 5.5 Hz, 1H), 7.95 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 7.74 (dd, *J* = 11.2, 8.6 Hz, 2H), 7.44 (d, *J* = 8.9 Hz, 1H), 7.29 (dd, 1H), 7.13 (m, 2H), 4.40 (d, *J* = 4.8 Hz, 2H), 3.82 (s, 3H), 2.80 (s, 2H), 2.42 (s,

2H), 2.25 (s, 3H), 1.64 (t, *J* = 3.5 Hz, 4H). ESI-MS: *m/z* [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> calculated for 425.2, found 425.1.

*3',5'-Dimethoxy-N-((1-methyl-3-oxo-2,3,5,6,7,8-hexahydroisoquinolin-4-yl)methyl)-[1,1'-biphenyl]-4-carboxamide (6b6)*. Yield 84% (white solid). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>) δ 11.51 (s, 1H), 8.40 (t, *J* = 4.8 Hz, 1H), 7.91 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.73 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 6.82 (d, *J* = 2.2 Hz, 2H), 6.53 (t, *J* = 2.2 Hz, 1H), 4.35 (d, *J* = 4.8 Hz, 2H), 3.81 (s, 6H), 2.71 (s, 2H), 2.38 (s, 2H), 2.12 (s, 3H), 1.63 (s, 4H). ESI-MS: *m/z* [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> calculated for 455.2, found 455.2; HPLC purity at 254 nm, 97.1%.

*3',4'-Dimethoxy-N-((1-methyl-3-oxo-2,3,5,6,7,8-hexahydroisoquinolin-4-yl)methyl)-[1,1'-biphenyl]-4-carboxamide (6b7)*. Yield 82% (white solid). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>) δ 11.56 (s, 1H), 8.08 (d, *J* = 4.5 Hz, 1H), 7.87 (m, *J* = 4.4 Hz, 3H), 7.73 (s, *J* = 4.4 Hz, 1H), 7.63 (m, *J* = 4.4 Hz, 3H), 4.32 (d, *J* = 4.7 Hz, 2H), 3.62 (s, 3H), 3.54 (s, 3H), 2.67 (s, 2H), 2.37 (d, *J* = 6.2 Hz, 2H), 2.12 (s, 3H), 1.63 (s, 4H). ESI-MS: *m/z* [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> calculated for 455.2, found 455.2.

*3'-Methoxy-N-((1-methyl-3-oxo-2,3,5,6,7,8-hexahydroisoquinolin-4-yl)methyl)-5-(trifluoromethyl)-[1,1'-biphenyl]-4-carboxamide (6b8)*. Yield 92% (white solid). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>) δ 11.51 (s, 1H), 8.45 (t, *J* = 4.8 Hz, 1H), 7.95 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 2H), 7.83 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 2H), 7.60–7.52 (m, 2H), 7.27 (s, 1H), 4.36 (d, *J* = 4.8 Hz, 2H), 3.92 (s, 3H), 2.72 (s, 2H), 2.39 (s, 2H), 2.12 (s, 3H), 1.64 (s, 4H). ESI-MS: *m/z* [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> calculated for 493.2, found 493.1.

*3'-Methoxy-5'-methyl-N-((1-methyl-3-oxo-2,3,5,6,7,8-hexahydroisoquinolin-4-yl)methyl)-[1,1'-biphenyl]-4-carboxamide (6b9)*. Yield 92% (white solid). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>) δ 11.51 (s, 1H), 8.38 (t, *J* = 4.8 Hz, 1H), 7.91 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 2H), 7.71 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 2H), 7.10 (s, 1H), 7.02 (t, *J* = 1.9 Hz, 1H), 6.79 (s, 1H), 4.35 (d, *J* = 4.7 Hz, 2H), 3.31 (s, 1H), 2.71 (s, 2H), 2.37 (d, *J* = 13.5 Hz, 5H), 2.12 (s, 3H), 1.63 (t, *J* = 3.5 Hz, 4H). ESI-MS: *m/z* [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> calculated for 439.2, found 439.2; HPLC purity at 254 nm, 95.7%.

*4-(3,5-Dimethoxybenzyl)-N-((1-methyl-3-oxo-2,3,5,6,7,8-hexahydroisoquinolin-4-yl)methyl)benzamide (6b10)*. Yield 89% (white solid). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>) δ 11.51 (s, 1H), 8.40 (t, *J* = 4.8 Hz, 1H), 7.84 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.42 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 6.82 (d, *J* = 2.2 Hz, 2H), 6.53 (t, *J* = 2.2 Hz, 1H), 4.35 (d, *J* = 4.8 Hz, 2H), 4.07 (s, 2H), 3.81 (s, 6H), 2.71 (s, 2H), 2.38 (s, 2H), 2.12 (s, 3H), 1.63 (s, 4H). ESI-MS: *m/z* [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> calculated for 469.2, found 469.2.

*4-(3,5-Dimethoxyphenoxy)-N-((1-methyl-3-oxo-2,3,5,6,7,8-hexahydroisoquinolin-4-yl)methyl)benzamide (6b11)*. Yield 75% (white solid). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>) δ 11.48 (s, 1H), 8.26 (t, *J* = 4.8 Hz, 1H), 7.91–7.82 (m, 2H), 7.06–6.97 (m, 2H), 6.34 (t, *J* = 2.2 Hz, 1H), 6.20 (d, *J* = 2.2 Hz, 2H), 4.32 (d, *J* = 4.8 Hz, 2H), 3.71 (s, 6H), 2.70 (s, 2H), 2.38 (s, 2H), 2.11 (s, 3H), 1.63 (t, *J* = 3.4 Hz, 4H). ESI-MS: *m/z* [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> calculated for 471.1, found 471.1.

*N-((1-Methyl-3-oxo-2,3,5,6,7,8-hexahydroisoquinolin-4-yl)methyl)-4-(trifluoromethyl) benzamide (6b12)*. Yield 90% (white



solid).  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  11.50 (s, 1H), 8.58 (t,  $J$  = 4.8 Hz, 1H), 8.02 (d,  $J$  = 8.1 Hz, 2H), 7.80 (d,  $J$  = 8.2 Hz, 2H), 4.34 (d,  $J$  = 4.7 Hz, 2H), 2.70 (s, 2H), 2.38 (s, 2H), 2.11 (s, 3H), 1.63 (s, 4H). ESI-MS:  $m/z$   $[\text{M} + \text{Na}]^+$  calculated for 387.1, found 387.1; HPLC purity at 254 nm, 100%.

*N*-((1-Methyl-3-oxo-2,3,5,6,7,8-hexahydroisoquinolin-4-yl)methyl)-2-(trifluoromethyl) benzamide (**6b13**). Yield 84% (white solid).  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  11.49 (s, 1H), 8.07 (dd,  $J$  = 5.5, 3.6 Hz, 1H), 7.95–7.89 (m, 1H), 7.81–7.73 (m, 3H), 4.32 (d,  $J$  = 5.3 Hz, 2H), 2.61–2.54 (m, 2H), 2.30 (d,  $J$  = 6.0 Hz, 2H), 2.05 (s, 3H), 1.63–1.52 (m, 4H). ESI-MS:  $m/z$   $[\text{M} + \text{Na}]^+$  calculated for 387.1, found 387.1.

*N*-((1-Methyl-3-oxo-2,3,5,6,7,8-hexahydroisoquinolin-4-yl)methyl)-3-(trifluoromethyl) benzamide (**6b14**). Yield 89% (white solid).  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  8.66 (s, 1H), 8.20 (s, 1H), 8.15 (d,  $J$  = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.88 (d,  $J$  = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.69 (t,  $J$  = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 4.35 (d,  $J$  = 4.4 Hz, 2H), 2.70 (s, 2H), 2.39 (s, 2H), 2.12 (s, 3H), 1.63 (s, 4H). ESI-MS:  $m/z$   $[\text{M} + \text{Na}]^+$  calculated for 387.1, found 387.1.

*N*-((1-Methyl-3-oxo-2,3,5,6,7,8-hexahydroisoquinolin-4-yl)methyl)-4-(trifluoromethoxy) benzamide (**6b15**). Yield 92% (white solid).  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  11.50 (s, 1H), 8.02 (t,  $J$  = 4.9 Hz, 1H), 7.64 (d,  $J$  = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.46 (d,  $J$  = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 3.51 (s, 2H), 2.54 (t,  $J$  = 6.3 Hz, 2H), 2.35 (t,  $J$  = 6.3 Hz, 2H), 2.10 (s, 3H), 1.64–1.49 (m, 4H). ESI-MS:  $m/z$   $[\text{M} + \text{Na}]^+$  calculated for 403.1, found 403.1.

4-Methoxy-*N*-((1-methyl-3-oxo-2,3,5,6,7,8-hexahydroisoquinolin-4-yl)methyl)benzamide (**6b16**). Yield 94% (white solid).  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz, chloroform- $d$ )  $\delta$  7.16–7.11 (m, 2H), 6.83–6.78 (m, 2H), 4.34 (d,  $J$  = 5.9 Hz, 2H), 3.72 (s, 3H), 2.89–2.82 (m, 2H), 2.44 (d,  $J$  = 5.9 Hz, 2H), 2.13 (s, 3H), 1.72 (t,  $J$  = 3.4 Hz, 4H). ESI-MS:  $m/z$   $[\text{M} + \text{Na}]^+$  calculated for 349.1, found 349.1.

4-Fluoro-*N*-((1-methyl-3-oxo-2,3,5,6,7,8-hexahydroisoquinolin-4-yl)methyl)benzamide (**6b17**). Yield 95% (white solid).  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz, chloroform- $d$ )  $\delta$  12.10 (s, 1H), 8.29 (s, 1H), 7.89 (d,  $J$  = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.69 (d,  $J$  = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 4.59 (d,  $J$  = 5.6 Hz, 2H), 2.92 (s, 2H), 2.46 (s, 2H), 2.24 (s, 3H), 1.65 (s, 4H). ESI-MS:  $m/z$   $[\text{M} + \text{Na}]^+$  calculated for 337.1, found 337.1.

4-(Dimethylamino)-*N*-((1-methyl-3-oxo-2,3,5,6,7,8-hexahydroisoquinolin-4-yl)methyl) benzamide (**6b18**). Yield 90% (white solid).  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  11.48 (s, 1H), 7.94 (t,  $J$  = 4.9 Hz, 1H), 7.68 (d,  $J$  = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 6.66 (d,  $J$  = 8.7 Hz, 2H), 4.29 (d,  $J$  = 4.8 Hz, 2H), 2.95 (s, 6H), 2.70 (s, 2H), 2.37 (s, 2H), 2.10 (s, 3H), 1.62 (s, 4H). ESI-MS:  $m/z$   $[\text{M} + \text{Na}]^+$  calculated for 362.2, found 362.1.

*N*-((1-Methyl-3-oxo-2,3,5,6,7,8-hexahydroisoquinolin-4-yl)methyl)-4-nitrobenzamide (**6b19**). Yield 92% (white solid).  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  11.53 (s, 1H), 8.72 (t,  $J$  = 4.7 Hz, 1H), 8.28 (d,  $J$  = 2.0 Hz, 2H), 7.81 (d,  $J$  = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 4.34 (d,  $J$  = 4.7 Hz, 2H), 2.68 (s, 2H), 2.38 (s, 2H), 2.11 (s, 3H), 1.63 (s, 4H). ESI-MS:  $m/z$   $[\text{M} + \text{Na}]^+$  calculated for 364.1, found 364.1.

2-Methyl-*N*-((1-methyl-3-oxo-2,3,5,6,7,8-hexahydroisoquinolin-4-yl)methyl)-4-(trifluoromethyl)benzamide (**6b20**). Yield 83% (white solid).  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz, chloroform- $d$ )  $\delta$  7.75 (dd,  $J$  = 1.5, 0.8 Hz, 1H), 7.50 (d,  $J$  = 1.7 Hz, 2H), 4.50 (d,  $J$  = 5.7 Hz, 2H), 2.86 (t,  $J$  = 6.0 Hz, 2H), 2.41 (s, 3H), 2.37 (t,  $J$  = 5.9 Hz, 2H), 2.09 (s, 3H), 1.68 (d,  $J$  = 4.8 Hz, 4H). ESI-MS:  $m/z$   $[\text{M} + \text{Na}]^+$  calculated for 401.1, found 401.1.

*N*-((1-Methyl-3-oxo-2,3,5,6,7,8-hexahydroisoquinolin-4-yl)methyl)-6-(trifluoromethyl) nicotinamide (**6b21**). Yield 92% (white solid).  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  11.52 (s, 1H), 8.82–8.76 (m, 1H), 8.52 (t,  $J$  = 5.3 Hz, 1H), 8.26 (dd,  $J$  = 8.1, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 7.72–7.66 (m, 1H), 4.33 (d,  $J$  = 5.3 Hz, 2H), 2.73 (s, 2H), 2.38 (s, 2H), 2.11 (s, 3H), 1.63 (t,  $J$  = 3.5 Hz, 4H). ESI-MS:  $m/z$   $[\text{M} + \text{Na}]^+$  calculated for 388.1, found 388.1; HPLC purity at 254 nm, 99.4%.

*N*-((1-Methyl-3-oxo-2,3,5,6,7,8-hexahydroisoquinolin-4-yl)methyl)-5-(trifluoromethyl) picolinamide (**6b22**). Yield 81% (white solid).  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  11.52 (s, 1H), 8.82–8.76 (m, 1H), 8.52 (t,  $J$  = 5.3 Hz, 1H), 8.26 (dd,  $J$  = 8.1, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 7.72–7.66 (m, 1H), 4.33 (d,  $J$  = 5.3 Hz, 2H), 2.73 (s, 2H), 2.38 (s, 2H), 2.11 (s, 3H), 1.63 (t,  $J$  = 3.5 Hz, 4H). ESI-MS:  $m/z$   $[\text{M} + \text{Na}]^+$  calculated for 388.1, found 388.1.

*N*-((1-Methyl-3-oxo-2,3,5,6,7,8-hexahydroisoquinolin-4-yl)methyl)-2-(trifluoromethyl) pyrimidine-5-carboxamide (**6b23**). Yield 78% (white solid).  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  12.67 (s, 1H), 9.03 (m, 1H), 8.83 (t,  $J$  = 4.6 Hz, 1H), 8.68 (dd,  $J$  = 6.8, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 4.43 (d,  $J$  = 5.4 Hz, 2H), 2.89 (s, 2H), 2.55 (s, 2H), 2.12 (s, 3H), 1.54 (t,  $J$  = 3.6 Hz, 4H). ESI-MS:  $m/z$   $[\text{M} + \text{Na}]^+$  calculated for 389.1, found 389.1.

6-Chloro-*N*-((1-methyl-3-oxo-2,3,5,6,7,8-hexahydroisoquinolin-4-yl)methyl)nicotinamide (**6b24**). Yield 86% (white solid).  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  11.42 (s, 1H), 8.67 (s, 1H), 8.58 (s, 1H), 8.38 (s, 1H), 7.24 (d,  $J$  = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 4.33 (s, 2H), 2.70 (s, 2H), 2.38 (s, 2H), 2.11 (s, 3H), 1.63 (s, 4H).  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (101 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  163.94, 162.03, 150.93, 147.98, 147.82, 141.11, 129.15, 120.81, 112.16, 109.80, 109.43, 35.57, 26.99, 24.60, 22.56, 22.37, 16.43. ESI-MS:  $m/z$   $[\text{M} + \text{Na}]^+$  calculated for 354.1, found 354.1; HPLC purity at 254 nm, 99.6%.

6-Bromo-*N*-((1-methyl-3-oxo-2,3,5,6,7,8-hexahydroisoquinolin-4-yl)methyl)nicotinamide (**6b25**). Yield 76% (white solid).  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  11.51 (s, 1H), 8.77 (d,  $J$  = 2.5 Hz, 1H), 8.62 (t,  $J$  = 4.8 Hz, 1H), 8.11 (dd,  $J$  = 8.3, 2.6 Hz, 1H), 7.73 (d,  $J$  = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 4.32 (d,  $J$  = 4.8 Hz, 2H), 2.68 (d,  $J$  = 5.7 Hz, 2H), 2.37 (d,  $J$  = 6.1 Hz, 2H), 2.11 (s, 3H), 1.63 (t,  $J$  = 3.4 Hz, 4H).  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (101 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  164.11, 161.97, 150.91, 149.96, 144.12, 141.13, 138.80, 130.06, 128.15, 120.73, 112.10, 35.61, 27.00, 24.60, 22.57, 22.37, 16.44. ESI-MS:  $m/z$   $[\text{M} + \text{Na}]^+$  calculated for 398.1, found 398.1; HPLC purity at 254 nm, 97.8%.

6-Fluoro-*N*-((1-methyl-3-oxo-2,3,5,6,7,8-hexahydroisoquinolin-4-yl)methyl)nicotinamide (**6b26**). Yield 75% (white solid).  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  11.50 (s, 1H), 8.80 (d,  $J$  = 2.4 Hz, 1H), 8.61 (t,  $J$  = 4.7 Hz, 1H), 8.23 (dd,  $J$  = 8.3, 2.5 Hz, 1H), 7.59 (d,  $J$  = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 4.33 (d,  $J$  = 4.7 Hz, 2H), 2.69 (s, 2H), 2.38 (s, 2H),



2.11 (s, 3H), 1.63 (t,  $J = 3.5$  Hz, 4H).  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (101 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  164.00, 161.98, 152.73, 150.90, 149.55, 141.12, 139.16, 129.80, 124.37, 120.75, 112.09, 35.61, 27.00, 24.60, 22.57, 22.37, 16.44. ESI-MS:  $m/z$   $[\text{M} + \text{Na}]^+$  calculated for 338.1, found 338.1; HPLC purity at 254 nm, 99.8%.

*6-Methyl-N-((1-methyl-3-oxo-2,3,5,6,7,8-hexahydroisoquinolin-4-yl)methyl)nicotinamide (6b27)*. Yield 85% (white solid).  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  11.50 (s, 1H), 8.85 (d,  $J = 2.3$  Hz, 1H), 8.45 (t,  $J = 4.8$  Hz, 1H), 8.06 (dd,  $J = 8.1, 2.4$  Hz, 1H), 7.30 (d,  $J = 8.0$  Hz, 1H), 4.33 (d,  $J = 4.8$  Hz, 2H), 2.70 (d,  $J = 6.0$  Hz, 2H), 2.37 (d,  $J = 6.1$  Hz, 2H), 2.11 (s, 3H), 1.63 (t,  $J = 3.4$  Hz, 4H).  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (101 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  165.08, 162.04, 160.93, 150.76, 148.45, 140.99, 135.71, 127.61, 122.96, 120.99, 112.11, 35.50, 27.00, 24.61, 24.44, 22.58, 22.38, 16.43. ESI-MS:  $m/z$   $[\text{M} + \text{Na}]^+$  calculated for 334.1, found 334.1; HPLC purity at 230 nm, 100%.

*N-((1-Methyl-3-oxo-2,3,5,6,7,8-hexahydroisoquinolin-4-yl)methyl)-6-nitronicotinamide (6b28)*. Yield 85% (white solid).  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz, chloroform- $d$ )  $\delta$  8.78 (d,  $J = 2.5$  Hz, 1H), 8.34 (t,  $J = 5.6$  Hz, 1H), 8.10 (dd,  $J = 8.3, 2.4$  Hz, 1H), 7.36 (d,  $J = 8.3$  Hz, 1H), 4.57 (d,  $J = 5.4$  Hz, 2H), 2.90 (d,  $J = 8.7$  Hz, 2H), 2.44 (d,  $J = 3.3$  Hz, 2H), 2.24 (s, 3H), 1.75 (d,  $J = 5.8$  Hz, 5H).  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (101 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  164.00, 161.98, 152.73, 150.90, 149.55, 141.12, 139.16, 129.80, 124.37, 120.75, 112.08, 35.61, 27.00, 24.60, 22.57, 22.37, 16.44. ESI-MS:  $m/z$   $[\text{M} + \text{Na}]^+$  calculated for 365.1, found 365.1; HPLC purity at 230 nm, 99.4%.

*N-((1-Methyl-3-oxo-2,3,5,6,7,8-hexahydroisoquinolin-4-yl)methyl)-5-nitrofur-2-carboxamide (6b29)*. Yield 75% (white solid).  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  11.56 (s, 1H), 8.74 (t,  $J = 5.0$  Hz, 1H), 7.71 (d,  $J = 3.9$  Hz, 1H), 7.45 (d,  $J = 3.9$  Hz, 1H), 4.32 (d,  $J = 5.0$  Hz, 2H), 2.68 (d,  $J = 5.2$  Hz, 2H), 2.38 (s, 2H), 2.11 (s, 3H), 1.70–1.58 (m, 4H). ESI-MS:  $m/z$   $[\text{M} + \text{Na}]^+$  calculated for 354.1, found 354.1; HPLC purity at 230 nm, 99.4%.

*N-((1-Methyl-3-oxo-2,3,5,6,7,8-hexahydroisoquinolin-4-yl)methyl)-4-nitrofur-2-carboxamide (6b30)*. Yield 75% (white solid).  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  12.37 (s, 1H), 8.98 (t,  $J = 5.4$  Hz, 1H), 7.83 (d,  $J = 3.6$  Hz, 1H), 7.65 (d,  $J = 3.6$  Hz, 1H), 4.68 (d,  $J = 5.4$  Hz, 2H), 2.76 (d,  $J = 5.2$  Hz, 2H), 2.68 (s, 2H), 2.33 (s, 3H), 1.89–1.75 (m, 4H). ESI-MS:  $m/z$   $[\text{M} + \text{Na}]^+$  calculated for 354.1, found 354.1.

*5-Bromo-N-((1-methyl-3-oxo-2,3,5,6,7,8-hexahydroisoquinolin-4-yl)methyl)fur-2-carboxamide (6b31)*. Yield 79% (white solid).  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  11.55 (s, 1H), 8.23 (t,  $J = 5.1$  Hz, 1H), 7.16 (d,  $J = 3.5$  Hz, 1H), 6.71 (d,  $J = 3.5$  Hz, 1H), 4.27 (d,  $J = 5.1$  Hz, 2H), 2.74–2.62 (m, 2H), 2.36 (d,  $J = 6.0$  Hz, 2H), 2.11 (s, 3H), 1.62 (p,  $J = 3.2$  Hz, 4H).  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (101 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  162.06, 156.86, 150.47, 150.11, 141.07, 124.63, 120.87, 116.09, 114.28, 112.18, 34.83, 26.97, 24.60, 22.55, 22.35, 16.43. ESI-MS:  $m/z$   $[\text{M} + \text{Na}]^+$  calculated for 387.0, found 387.0; HPLC purity at 230 nm, 96.9%.

*N-((1-Methyl-3-oxo-2,3,5,6,7,8-hexahydroisoquinolin-4-yl)methyl)-5-nitrothiophene-2-carboxamide (6b32)*. Yield 81% (white solid).  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  8.15–8.01 (m, 1H), 7.86 (d,  $J = 4.5$  Hz, 1H), 4.32 (s, 2H), 2.67 (s, 3H), 2.38 (s, 2H), 2.11 (s,

3H), 1.63 (d,  $J = 3.5$  Hz, 5H). ESI-MS:  $m/z$   $[\text{M} + \text{Na}]^+$  calculated for 370.1, found 370.1; HPLC purity at 254 nm, 99.4%.

*N-((1-Methyl-3-oxo-2,3,5,6,7,8-hexahydroisoquinolin-4-yl)methyl)-5-(trifluoromethyl)fur-2-carboxamide (6b33)*. Yield 80% (white solid).  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  12.24 (s, 1H), 8.04 (t,  $J = 4.6$  Hz, 1H), 7.32 (d,  $J = 3.2$  Hz, 1H), 6.40 (d,  $J = 3.2$  Hz, 1H), 4.26 (d,  $J = 5.6$  Hz, 2H), 2.74 (m, 2H), 2.34 (d,  $J = 6.0$  Hz, 2H), 2.21 (s, 3H), 1.52 (p,  $J = 3.4$  Hz, 4H). ESI-MS:  $m/z$   $[\text{M} + \text{Na}]^+$  calculated for 377.1, found 377.1; HPLC purity at 230 nm, 96.1%.

*5-(Dimethylamino)-N-((1-methyl-3-oxo-2,3,5,6,7,8-hexahydroisoquinolin-4-yl)methyl)fur-2-carboxamide (6b34)*. Yield 85% (white solid).  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  12.33 (s, 1H), 7.23 (t,  $J = 4.5$  Hz, 1H), 7.12 (d,  $J = 4.4$  Hz, 1H), 7.04 (d,  $J = 3.4$  Hz, 1H), 3.45 (d,  $J = 5.8$  Hz, 2H), 2.84 (m, 2H), 2.76 (d, 2H), 2.26 (s, 3H), 1.53 (p,  $J = 3.6$  Hz, 4H). ESI-MS:  $m/z$   $[\text{M} + \text{Na}]^+$  calculated for 352.2, found 352.1.

*N-((1-Methyl-3-oxo-2,3,5,6,7,8-hexahydroisoquinolin-4-yl)methyl)-1H-pyrazole-4-carboxamide (6b35)*. Yield 84% (white solid).  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  8.13 (s, 1H), 7.81 (s, 1H), 4.25 (s, 2H), 2.64 (s, 2H), 2.37 (s, 2H), 2.10 (s, 3H), 1.61 (s, 4H). ESI-MS:  $m/z$   $[\text{M} + \text{Na}]^+$  calculated for 286.1, found 286.1.

*N-((1-Methyl-3-oxo-2,3,5,6,7,8-hexahydroisoquinolin-4-yl)methyl)isoxazole-5-carboxamide (6b36)*. Yield 85% (white solid).  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  11.56 (s, 1H), 9.04 (d,  $J = 1.6$  Hz, 1H), 8.52 (s, 1H), 6.89 (d,  $J = 1.6$  Hz, 1H), 4.33 (d,  $J = 5.2$  Hz, 2H), 2.72 (s, 2H), 2.37 (s, 2H), 2.11 (s, 3H), 1.63 (s, 4H).  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (101 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  162.08, 161.82, 158.44, 158.28, 150.22, 141.19, 120.59, 112.22, 104.57, 35.31, 27.02, 24.61, 22.53, 22.35, 16.44. ESI-MS:  $m/z$   $[\text{M} + \text{Na}]^+$  calculated for 310.1, found 310.1.

*N-((4-Methyl-2-oxo-1,2,5,6,7,8-hexahydroquinolin-3-yl)methyl)-6-(trifluoromethyl)nicotinamide (6d1)*. Yield 79% (white solid).  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  11.31 (s, 1H), 9.12 (d,  $J = 2.1$  Hz, 1H), 8.81 (t,  $J = 4.8$  Hz, 1H), 8.45 (dd,  $J = 8.2, 2.1$  Hz, 1H), 7.99 (d,  $J = 8.2$  Hz, 1H), 4.41 (d,  $J = 4.8$  Hz, 2H), 2.46 (s, 2H), 2.35 (s, 2H), 2.11 (d,  $J = 4.6$  Hz, 3H), 1.65 (dd,  $J = 9.7, 4.0$  Hz, 4H).  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (101 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  163.87, 162.13, 150.54, 149.54, 137.92, 133.49, 122.40, 120.91, 112.56, 36.14, 26.99, 24.30, 22.79, 21.55, 15.81. ESI-MS:  $m/z$   $[\text{M} + \text{Na}]^+$  calculated for 388.1243, found 388.1246.

*N-((4-Methyl-2-oxo-1,2,5,6,7,8-hexahydroquinolin-3-yl)methyl)thiophene-2-carboxamide (6d2)*. Yield 80% (yellow solid).  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  11.27 (s, 1H), 8.34 (t,  $J = 5.1$  Hz, 1H), 7.79 (dd,  $J = 3.8, 1.2$  Hz, 1H), 7.70 (dd,  $J = 5.0, 1.1$  Hz, 1H), 7.09 (dd,  $J = 5.0, 3.7$  Hz, 1H), 4.34 (d,  $J = 4.9$  Hz, 2H), 2.44 (d,  $J = 5.3$  Hz, 2H), 2.37–2.31 (m, 2H), 2.08 (s, 3H), 1.64 (dd,  $J = 11.4, 4.7$  Hz, 4H).  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (101 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  162.23, 161.34, 150.44, 140.98, 140.49, 130.96, 128.50, 128.29, 122.74, 112.74, 35.79, 26.97, 24.29, 22.78, 21.54, 15.77. ESI-MS:  $m/z$   $[\text{M} + \text{Na}]^+$  calculated for 325.0981, found 325.0983.

*6-Methyl-N-((4-methyl-2-oxo-1,2,5,6,7,8-hexahydroquinolin-3-yl)methyl)nicotinamide (6d3)*. Yield 79% (white solid).  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  11.31 (s, 1H), 8.86 (d,  $J = 2.3$  Hz, 1H), 8.47



(t,  $J = 4.8$  Hz, 1H), 8.07 (dt,  $J = 8.1, 2.5$  Hz, 1H), 7.29 (d,  $J = 8.0$  Hz, 1H), 4.38 (d,  $J = 4.8$  Hz, 2H), 2.45 (d,  $J = 4.9$  Hz, 2H), 2.34 (d,  $J = 5.3$  Hz, 2H), 2.09 (s, 3H), 1.65 (dq,  $J = 10.4, 5.0, 4.2$  Hz, 4H).  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (101 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  165.04, 162.20, 160.96, 150.34, 148.47, 140.92, 135.70, 127.58, 122.94, 122.74, 112.58, 35.95, 26.98, 24.37 (d,  $J = 15.5$  Hz), 22.80, 21.56, 15.76. ESI-MS:  $m/z$  [M + Na] $^+$  calculated for 334.1526, found 334.1541.

3',5'-Dimethoxy-N-((4-methyl-2-oxo-1,2,5,6,7,8-hexahydroquinolin-3-yl)methyl)-[1,1'-biphenyl]-4-carboxamide (**6d4**). Yield 71% (orange solid).  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  11.35 (s, 1H), 8.40 (t,  $J = 4.7$  Hz, 1H), 7.92 (dq,  $J = 8.4, 1.8$  Hz, 2H), 7.76–7.69 (m, 2H), 6.83 (d,  $J = 2.2$  Hz, 2H), 6.54 (t,  $J = 2.2$  Hz, 1H), 4.41 (d,  $J = 4.8$  Hz, 2H), 3.82 (s, 6H), 2.46 (d,  $J = 5.2$  Hz, 2H), 2.33 (d,  $J = 5.8$  Hz, 2H), 2.11 (s, 3H), 1.71–1.59 (m, 4H).  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (101 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  166.02, 162.28, 161.37, 150.19, 142.90, 141.87, 140.83, 133.96, 128.29, 127.00, 122.95, 112.64, 105.43, 100.36, 55.79, 36.10, 26.98, 24.31, 22.81, 21.56, 15.75. ESI-MS:  $m/z$  [M + Na] $^+$  calculated for 455.1941, found 455.1948.

N-((4-Methyl-2-oxo-1,2,5,6,7,8-hexahydroquinolin-3-yl)methyl)-5-nitrothiophene-2-carboxamide (**6d5**). Yield 79% (yellow solid).  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  11.32 (s, 1H), 8.90 (dt,  $J = 10.8, 4.8$  Hz, 1H), 8.08 (d,  $J = 4.4$  Hz, 1H), 7.87 (dd,  $J = 4.4, 1.8$  Hz, 1H), 4.39–4.30 (m, 2H), 2.45 (d,  $J = 5.2$  Hz, 2H), 2.40–2.31 (m, 2H), 2.08 (s, 3H), 1.69–1.60 (m, 4H). ESI-MS:  $m/z$  [M + Na] $^+$  calculated for 370.0832, found 370.0835; HPLC purity at 254 nm, 98.3%.

3,5-Dimethoxy-N-((4-methyl-2-oxo-1,2,5,6,7,8-hexahydroquinolin-3-yl)methyl)benzamide (**6d6**). Yield 94% (white solid).  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  11.28 (s, 1H), 8.32 (d,  $J = 4.6$  Hz, 1H), 7.00 (dd,  $J = 5.2, 2.3$  Hz, 2H), 6.60 (q,  $J = 1.9$  Hz, 1H), 4.33 (dd,  $J = 18.6, 4.7$  Hz, 2H), 3.76 (s, 6H), 2.45 (s, 2H), 2.34 (s, 2H), 2.08 (s, 3H), 1.73–1.55 (m, 4H).  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (101 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  165.90, 160.68, 150.23, 137.00, 105.62, 103.63, 55.87, 36.10, 26.97, 22.81, 21.56, 15.75. ESI-MS:  $m/z$  [M + Na] $^+$  calculated for 379.1628, found 379.1628.

2-Chloro-N-((4-methyl-2-oxo-1,2,5,6,7,8-hexahydroquinolin-3-yl)methyl)-6-(trifluoromethyl)nicotinamide (**6d7**). Yield 70% (yellow solid).  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  11.29 (s, 1H), 8.72–8.61 (m, 1H), 8.11 (d,  $J = 7.8$  Hz, 1H), 7.97 (d,  $J = 7.8$  Hz, 1H), 4.38 (d,  $J = 5.0$  Hz, 2H), 2.45 (s, 2H), 2.38–2.30 (m, 2H), 2.14 (s, 3H), 1.71–1.62 (m, 4H). ESI-MS:  $m/z$  [M + Na] $^+$  calculated for 422.0854, found 422.0853.

N-((4-Methyl-2-oxo-1,2,5,6,7,8-hexahydroquinolin-3-yl)methyl)-5-phenylfuran-2-carboxamide (**6d8**). Yield 77% (white solid).  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  11.63 (s, 1H), 8.94–8.83 (m, 2H), 8.50 (tt,  $J = 7.8, 1.7$  Hz, 1H), 8.09 (s, 3H), 8.02–7.94 (m, 2H), 3.85 (d,  $J = 5.7$  Hz, 2H), 2.49 (s, 2H), 2.37 (d,  $J = 5.4$  Hz, 2H), 2.13 (s, 3H), 1.69–1.66 (m, 2H), 1.65–1.61 (m, 2H).  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (101 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  161.84, 151.90, 145.76, 142.72 (d,  $J = 9.1$  Hz), 127.48, 118.74, 117.01, 113.07, 112.80, 35.57, 27.01 (d,  $J = 11.8$  Hz), 24.56, 24.35, 22.66, 22.38, 22.16, 21.38, 16.56, 15.98. ESI-MS:  $m/z$  [M + Na] $^+$  calculated for 385.1523, found 385.2598.

N-((4-Methyl-2-oxo-1,2,5,6,7,8-hexahydroquinolin-3-yl)methyl)-4-(6-(trifluoromethyl)pyridin-3-yl)benzamide (**6d9**). Yield 70%

(white solid).  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  11.28 (s, 1H), 9.14 (s, 1H), 8.52–8.37 (m, 2H), 8.04–7.86 (m, 5H), 4.41 (s, 2H), 2.35 (s, 4H), 2.11 (s, 3H), 1.66 (s, 4H).  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (101 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  165.81, 162.25, 150.30, 148.83, 140.90, 138.34, 136.78, 135.19, 128.68, 127.63, 121.34, 36.14, 26.97, 24.31, 22.80, 21.56, 15.77. ESI-MS:  $m/z$  [M + Na] $^+$  calculated for 464.1556, found 464.1562.

4-Methyl-3-(((1-(4-(trifluoromethoxy)benzoyl)piperidin-4-yl)amino)methyl)-5,6,7,8-tetrahydroquinolin-2(1H)-one (**6d10**). Yield 35% (white solid).  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  7.51 (d,  $J = 8.2$  Hz, 2H), 7.42 (d,  $J = 8.2$  Hz, 2H), 3.60 (s, 2H), 3.04 (s, 2H), 2.68 (d,  $J = 7.6$  Hz, 2H), 2.43 (d,  $J = 5.4$  Hz, 1H), 2.34 (q,  $J = 7.3, 6.2$  Hz, 2H), 2.07 (s, 3H), 1.90 (s, 4H), 1.73–1.55 (m, 4H), 1.25 (d,  $J = 14.9$  Hz, 2H).  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (101 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  172.53, 168.05, 162.30, 149.21 (d,  $J = 13.6$  Hz), 140.21, 136.13, 129.36, 125.00, 121.41, 112.55, 53.73, 42.09, 26.93, 24.31, 22.82, 21.66, 21.57, 15.54. ESI-MS:  $m/z$  [M + H] $^+$  calculated for 464.2156, found 464.2163.

6-Bromo-N-((4-methyl-2-oxo-1,2,5,6,7,8-hexahydroquinolin-3-yl)methyl)nicotinamide (**6d11**). Yield 79% (white solid).  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  11.27 (s, 1H), 8.77 (d,  $J = 2.4$  Hz, 1H), 8.63 (t,  $J = 5.0$  Hz, 1H), 8.11 (dt,  $J = 8.3, 2.3$  Hz, 1H), 7.73 (d,  $J = 8.3$  Hz, 1H), 4.37 (d,  $J = 4.8$  Hz, 2H), 2.45 (d,  $J = 5.3$  Hz, 2H), 2.33 (d,  $J = 5.2$  Hz, 2H), 2.09 (s, 3H), 1.69–1.61 (m, 4H).  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (101 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  164.06, 162.13, 150.48, 149.95, 144.13, 141.05, 138.80, 130.05, 128.15, 122.49, 112.55, 36.07, 26.98, 24.30, 22.80, 21.55, 15.79. ESI-MS:  $m/z$  [M + Na] $^+$  calculated for 398.0475, found 398.0467.

6-Fluoro-N-((4-methyl-2-oxo-1,2,5,6,7,8-hexahydroquinolin-3-yl)methyl)nicotinamide (**6d12**). Yield 69% (orange solid).  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  11.28 (s, 1H), 8.67 (d,  $J = 2.5$  Hz, 1H), 8.57 (t,  $J = 5.0$  Hz, 1H), 8.38 (tt,  $J = 8.0, 2.3$  Hz, 1H), 7.24 (dd,  $J = 8.6, 2.7$  Hz, 1H), 4.38 (d,  $J = 4.8$  Hz, 2H), 2.45 (d,  $J = 5.2$  Hz, 2H), 2.39–2.31 (m, 2H), 2.09 (s, 3H), 1.74–1.56 (m, 4H).  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (101 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  163.86, 150.45, 147.98, 147.82, 141.97, 141.88, 141.02, 122.57, 112.55, 109.80, 109.43, 36.03, 26.99, 24.30, 22.80, 21.56, 15.79. ESI-MS:  $m/z$  [M + Na] $^+$  calculated for 338.1275, found 338.1280.

6-Chloro-N-((4-methyl-2-oxo-1,2,5,6,7,8-hexahydroquinolin-3-yl)methyl)nicotinamide (**6d13**). Yield 79% (yellow solid).  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  11.30 (s, 1H), 8.81 (d,  $J = 2.4$  Hz, 1H), 8.63 (t,  $J = 5.1$  Hz, 1H), 8.23 (dt,  $J = 8.3, 2.2$  Hz, 1H), 7.59 (d,  $J = 8.3$  Hz, 1H), 4.38 (d,  $J = 4.8$  Hz, 2H), 2.45 (d,  $J = 5.2$  Hz, 2H), 2.35 (q,  $J = 8.3, 6.6$  Hz, 2H), 2.09 (s, 3H), 1.74–1.59 (m, 4H).  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (101 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  163.95, 162.14, 152.75, 150.48, 149.54, 141.05, 139.15, 129.78, 124.37, 122.50, 112.56, 36.07, 26.99, 24.60, 24.30, 22.80, 21.55, 15.79. ESI-MS:  $m/z$  [M + Na] $^+$  calculated for 354.0980, found 354.0991.

N-((4-Methyl-2-oxo-1,2,5,6,7,8-hexahydroquinolin-3-yl)methyl)-5-nitrofuran-2-carboxamide (**6d14**). Yield 79% (yellow solid).  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  11.32 (s, 1H), 8.74 (t,  $J = 5.1$  Hz, 1H), 7.71 (d,  $J = 3.9$  Hz, 1H), 7.45 (d,  $J = 3.9$  Hz, 1H), 4.37 (d,  $J = 5.1$  Hz, 2H), 2.45 (d,  $J = 4.8$  Hz, 2H), 2.35 (d,  $J = 5.4$  Hz, 2H), 2.09 (s, 3H), 1.66 (q,  $J = 7.5, 6.9$  Hz, 4H).  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (101 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  162.14, 156.28, 150.38, 148.72, 115.82, 113.82, 35.70, 26.99,





24.30, 22.78, 21.53, 15.79. ESI-MS:  $m/z$   $[M + K]^+$  calculated for 370.0800, found 370.0802.

*N*-((4-Methyl-2-oxo-1,2-dihydroquinolin-3-yl)methyl)-6-(trifluoromethyl)nicotinamide (**9a1**). Yield 49% (white solid).  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  11.78 (s, 1H), 9.13 (d,  $J = 2.0$  Hz, 1H), 8.92 (t,  $J = 4.8$  Hz, 1H), 8.46 (dd,  $J = 8.1, 2.1$  Hz, 1H), 8.00 (d,  $J = 8.2$  Hz, 1H), 7.81 (dd,  $J = 8.2, 1.3$  Hz, 1H), 7.50 (ddd,  $J = 8.3, 7.1, 1.3$  Hz, 1H), 7.32 (dd,  $J = 8.3, 1.2$  Hz, 1H), 7.21 (ddd,  $J = 8.3, 7.1, 1.3$  Hz, 1H), 4.57 (d,  $J = 4.8$  Hz, 2H), 2.53 (s, 3H).  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (101 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  163.99, 161.94, 149.55, 146.23, 138.23, 137.94, 133.40, 130.56, 126.88, 125.54, 122.27, 120.94, 120.29, 115.70, 36.30, 15.45. ESI-MS:  $m/z$   $[M + \text{Na}]^+$  calculated for 384.0930, found 384.0930.

3',5'-Dimethoxy-*N*-((4-methyl-2-oxo-1,2-dihydroquinolin-3-yl)methyl)-[1,1'-biphenyl]-4-carboxamide (**9a2**). Yield 42% (white solid).  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  11.75 (s, 1H), 8.48 (s, 1H), 7.93 (d,  $J = 7.7$  Hz, 2H), 7.80 (d,  $J = 8.2$  Hz, 1H), 7.73 (d,  $J = 7.9$  Hz, 2H), 7.49 (t,  $J = 7.7$  Hz, 1H), 7.32 (d,  $J = 8.2$  Hz, 1H), 7.21 (t,  $J = 7.8$  Hz, 1H), 6.82 (s, 2H), 6.53 (s, 1H), 4.55 (d,  $J = 4.8$  Hz, 2H), 3.81 (s, 6H), 2.67 (s, 3H).  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (101 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  164.03, 162.07, 152.76, 150.09, 149.53, 139.19, 129.79, 124.39, 36.45, 31.88, 26.99 (d,  $J = 10.5$  Hz), 25.97, 17.00. ESI-MS:  $m/z$   $[M + \text{Na}]^+$  calculated for 451.1628, found 451.1632; HPLC purity at 254 nm, 96.8%.

*N*-((4-Methyl-2-oxo-1,2-dihydroquinolin-3-yl)methyl)-4-(6-(trifluoromethyl)pyridin-3-yl)benzamide (**9a3**). Yield 45% (white solid).  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  11.75 (s, 1H), 9.14 (s, 1H), 8.57 (s, 1H), 8.42 (d,  $J = 8.2$  Hz, 1H), 8.02 (d,  $J = 8.1$  Hz, 3H), 7.90 (d,  $J = 8.0$  Hz, 2H), 7.81 (d,  $J = 8.2$  Hz, 1H), 7.50 (t,  $J = 7.8$  Hz, 1H), 7.32 (d,  $J = 8.2$  Hz, 1H), 7.21 (t,  $J = 7.7$  Hz, 1H), 4.57 (d,  $J = 4.8$  Hz, 2H), 2.52 (s, 3H).  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (101 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  165.88, 162.04, 148.85, 138.43, 136.79, 130.44, 128.71, 127.66, 125.48, 120.38, 15.42. ESI-MS:  $m/z$   $[M + \text{Na}]^+$  calculated for 460.1243, found 460.1251; HPLC purity at 254 nm, 98.5%.

*N*-((4-Methyl-2-oxo-1,2-dihydroquinolin-3-yl)methyl)-2-(trifluoromethyl)pyrimidine-5-carboxamide (**9a4**). Yield 44% (white solid).  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  11.80 (s, 1H), 9.37 (s, 2H), 9.07 (t,  $J = 4.9$  Hz, 1H), 7.85–7.80 (m, 1H), 7.50 (ddd,  $J = 8.3, 7.1, 1.3$  Hz, 1H), 7.32 (dd,  $J = 8.2, 1.2$  Hz, 1H), 7.22 (ddd,  $J = 8.4, 7.2, 1.3$  Hz, 1H), 4.59 (d,  $J = 4.9$  Hz, 2H), 2.54 (s, 3H). ESI-MS:  $m/z$   $[M + \text{Na}]^+$  calculated for 485.0883, found 485.0883.

*N*-((1-Methyl-3-oxo-3,5,6,7-tetrahydro-2H-cyclopenta[c]pyridin-4-yl)methyl)-5-nitrothiophene-2-carboxamide (**6a1**). Yield 44% (white solid).  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  11.31 (s, 1H), 9.00 (dt,  $J = 58.9, 5.1$  Hz, 1H), 8.09 (t,  $J = 4.0$  Hz, 1H), 7.87 (t,  $J = 4.2$  Hz, 1H), 4.28 (dd,  $J = 36.4, 5.0$  Hz, 2H), 2.77 (dt,  $J = 38.4, 7.5$  Hz, 2H), 2.60 (dt,  $J = 17.7, 7.3$  Hz, 2H), 2.14 (s, 3H), 1.96 (dq,  $J = 27.8, 7.5$  Hz, 2H).  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (101 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  163.40, 159.73, 158.72, 153.21, 147.10, 137.56, 130.60, 127.86, 127.81, 119.48, 37.03, 31.65, 31.28, 28.98, 28.34, 25.08, 22.00, 16.87, 16.75. ESI-MS:  $m/z$   $[M + \text{Na}]^+$  calculated for 356.0657, found 356.0681.

6-Fluoro-*N*-((1-methyl-3-oxo-3,5,6,7-tetrahydro-2H-cyclopenta[c]pyridin-4-yl)methyl)nicotinamide (**6a2**). Yield 39% (white solid).

$^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  11.65 (s, 1H), 7.96 (d,  $J = 8.5$  Hz, 2H), 7.41 (t,  $J = 6.8$  Hz, 2H), 4.33 (d,  $J = 4.9$  Hz, 2H), 2.81 (t,  $J = 7.5$  Hz, 2H), 2.64–2.56 (m, 2H), 2.14 (s, 3H), 1.96 (dt,  $J = 22.5, 7.4$  Hz, 2H). ESI-MS:  $m/z$   $[M + \text{Na}]^+$  calculated for 324.1119, found 324.1120.

6-Bromo-*N*-((1-methyl-3-oxo-3,5,6,7,8,9-hexahydro-2H-cyclohepta[c]pyridin-4-yl)methyl)nicotinamide (**6c1**). Yield 67% (white solid).  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  11.43 (s, 1H), 8.78 (d,  $J = 2.5$  Hz, 1H), 8.63 (t,  $J = 4.8$  Hz, 1H), 8.12 (dd,  $J = 8.3, 2.5$  Hz, 1H), 7.73 (d,  $J = 8.3$  Hz, 1H), 4.39 (d,  $J = 4.7$  Hz, 2H), 2.72–2.64 (m, 2H), 2.56–2.52 (m, 2H), 2.15 (s, 3H), 1.75 (p,  $J = 5.7$  Hz, 2H), 1.54 (q,  $J = 5.4$  Hz, 2H), 1.46 (p,  $J = 5.5$  Hz, 2H).  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (101 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  164.11, 162.04, 149.99 (d,  $J = 5.4$  Hz), 144.13, 138.83, 130.07, 128.15, 121.65, 36.44, 32.32, 31.90, 27.01 (d,  $J = 9.9$  Hz), 25.98, 17.00. ESI-MS:  $m/z$   $[M + \text{Na}]^+$  calculated for 412.0631, found 412.0634.

*N*-((6-Cyclopropyl-4-methyl-2-oxo-1,2-dihydropyridin-3-yl)methyl)-6-(trifluoromethyl)nicotinamide (**7a1**). Yield 68% (white solid).  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  11.45 (s, 1H), 9.12 (d,  $J = 2.1$  Hz, 1H), 8.83 (t,  $J = 5.0$  Hz, 1H), 8.45 (dd,  $J = 8.1, 2.1$  Hz, 1H), 7.99 (d,  $J = 8.1$  Hz, 1H), 5.72 (s, 1H), 4.33 (d,  $J = 5.0$  Hz, 2H), 2.18 (d,  $J = 3.9$  Hz, 3H), 1.81 (dt,  $J = 13.5, 8.5, 4.2$  Hz, 1H), 0.98 (t,  $J = 7.1$  Hz, 2H), 0.79 (td,  $J = 5.7, 5.1, 2.3$  Hz, 2H).  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (101 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  163.91, 163.31, 150.57, 149.53, 137.91, 133.51, 120.93, 36.01, 19.53, 12.90, 8.93. ESI-MS:  $m/z$   $[M + \text{Na}]^+$  calculated for 374.1087, found 374.1092.

*N*-((6-Cyclopropyl-4-methyl-2-oxo-1,2-dihydropyridin-3-yl)methyl)-3',5'-dimethoxy-[1,1'-biphenyl]-4-carboxamide (**7a2**). Yield 61% (white solid).  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  11.49 (s, 1H), 8.40 (t,  $J = 5.0$  Hz, 1H), 7.97–7.88 (m, 2H), 7.72 (d,  $J = 8.4$  Hz, 2H), 6.83 (d,  $J = 2.3$  Hz, 2H), 6.53 (d,  $J = 2.2$  Hz, 1H), 5.71 (s, 1H), 4.31 (d,  $J = 4.8$  Hz, 2H), 3.81 (s, 6H), 2.17 (s, 3H), 1.79 (tt,  $J = 8.4, 5.1$  Hz, 1H), 0.99–0.88 (m, 2H), 0.82–0.73 (m, 2H). ESI-MS:  $m/z$   $[M + \text{Na}]^+$  calculated for 441.1785, found 441.1790.

*N*-((4-Methyl-2-oxo-6-phenyl-1,2-dihydropyridin-3-yl)methyl)-6-(trifluoromethyl)nicotinamide (**7b1**). Yield 61% (white solid).  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  11.71 (s, 1H), 9.14 (d,  $J = 2.1$  Hz, 1H), 8.93 (t,  $J = 4.9$  Hz, 1H), 8.47 (dd,  $J = 8.1, 2.2$  Hz, 1H), 8.00 (d,  $J = 8.2$  Hz, 1H), 7.81–7.62 (m, 2H), 7.48 (h,  $J = 3.4$  Hz, 3H), 6.50 (s, 1H), 4.42 (d,  $J = 4.8$  Hz, 2H), 2.32 (s, 3H). ESI-MS:  $m/z$   $[M + \text{Na}]^+$  calculated for 410.1087, found 410.1094.

3',5'-Dimethoxy-*N*-((4-methyl-2-oxo-6-phenyl-1,2-dihydropyridin-3-yl)methyl)-[1,1'-biphenyl]-4-carboxamide (**7b2**). Yield 65% (white solid).  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  11.71 (s, 1H), 8.51 (s, 1H), 7.94 (d,  $J = 8.3$  Hz, 2H), 7.80–7.68 (m, 4H), 7.52–7.42 (m, 3H), 6.83 (d,  $J = 2.2$  Hz, 2H), 6.56–6.45 (m, 2H), 4.41 (d,  $J = 4.9$  Hz, 2H), 3.81 (s, 6H), 2.32 (s, 3H). ESI-MS:  $m/z$   $[M + K]^+$  calculated for 493.1524, found 493.1533; HPLC purity at 254 nm, 98.3%.

*N*-((6-Methyl-2-oxo-4-(trifluoromethyl)-1,2-dihydropyridin-3-yl)methyl)-6-(trifluoromethyl)nicotinamide (**7c1**). Yield 62% (white solid).  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  12.38 (s, 1H), 9.09 (d,  $J = 2.0$  Hz, 1H), 8.79 (t,  $J = 4.3$  Hz, 1H), 8.41 (dd,  $J = 8.3, 2.1$  Hz, 1H), 8.02 (d,  $J = 8.2$  Hz, 1H), 6.31 (s, 1H), 4.44–4.39 (m, 2H), 2.27 (s,



3H). ESI-MS:  $m/z$   $[M + Na]^+$  calculated for 402.0648, found 402.0651.

*N*-((4,6-Dimethyl-2-oxo-1,2-dihydropyridin-3-yl)methyl)-5-nitrofur-2-carboxamide (**8a1**). Yield 83% (white solid).  $^1H$  NMR (400 MHz, chloroform-*d*)  $\delta$  8.82 (t,  $J = 5.1$  Hz, 1H), 7.71 (d,  $J = 3.9$  Hz, 1H), 7.48 (d,  $J = 3.9$  Hz, 1H), 5.87 (s, 1H), 4.28 (d,  $J = 5.1$  Hz, 2H), 2.16 (s, 3H), 2.12 (s, 3H).  $^{13}C$  NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$  163.44, 156.32, 151.84, 150.34, 148.72, 143.65, 121.25, 115.90, 113.86, 107.98, 35.48, 19.39, 18.67. ESI-MS:  $m/z$   $[M + Na]^+$  calculated for 314.1, found 314.0.

*N*-((6-Ethyl-4-methyl-2-oxo-1,2-dihydropyridin-3-yl)methyl)-5-nitrofur-2-carboxamide (**8a2**). Yield 81% (white solid).  $^1H$  NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$  11.55 (s, 1H), 8.75 (t,  $J = 5.1$  Hz, 1H), 7.71 (d,  $J = 3.9$  Hz, 1H), 7.45 (d,  $J = 3.9$  Hz, 1H), 5.94–5.90 (m, 1H), 4.31 (d,  $J = 5.2$  Hz, 2H), 2.53 (d,  $J = 7.7$  Hz, 2H), 2.14 (d,  $J = 0.9$  Hz, 3H), 1.08 (t,  $J = 7.6$  Hz, 3H).  $^{13}C$  NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$  163.75, 156.17, 155.64, 151.86, 148.70, 144.04, 120.53, 115.87, 113.83, 106.19, 35.02, 25.83, 18.79, 14.71. ESI-MS:  $m/z$   $[M + Na]^+$  calculated for 328.1, found 328.1.

*N*-((4,6-Diethyl-2-oxo-1,2-dihydropyridin-3-yl)methyl)-5-nitrofur-2-carboxamide (**8a3**). Yield 86% (white solid).  $^1H$  NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$  11.59 (s, 1H), 8.82 (t,  $J = 5.1$  Hz, 1H), 7.73 (d,  $J = 3.9$  Hz, 1H), 7.49 (d,  $J = 3.9$  Hz, 1H), 5.95 (s, 1H), 4.32 (d,  $J = 5.1$  Hz, 2H), 2.55 (d,  $J = 7.5$  Hz, 2H), 2.44 (q,  $J = 7.5$  Hz, 2H), 1.14 (t,  $J = 7.5$  Hz, 3H), 1.09 (t,  $J = 7.6$  Hz, 3H).  $^{13}C$  NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$  163.81, 156.19, 155.79, 151.84, 149.53, 148.70, 120.79, 115.91, 113.85, 104.71, 35.05, 25.94, 25.85, 14.72, 13.52. ESI-MS:  $m/z$   $[M + Na]^+$  calculated for 342.1, found 342.1.

*N*-((4,6-Dimethyl-2-oxo-1,2-dihydropyridin-3-yl)methyl)-4-(trifluoromethyl)benzamide (**8a4**). Yield 81% (white solid).  $^1H$  NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$  11.51 (s, 1H), 8.64 (t,  $J = 4.9$  Hz, 1H), 8.03 (d,  $J = 8.1$  Hz, 2H), 7.80 (d,  $J = 8.2$  Hz, 2H), 4.32 (d,  $J = 4.9$  Hz, 2H), 2.69 (s, 1H), 2.18 (s, 3H), 2.12 (s, 3H). ESI-MS:  $m/z$   $[M + Na]^+$  calculated for 347.1, found 347.1.

*N*-((4,6-Diethyl-2-oxo-1,2-dihydropyridin-3-yl)methyl)-6-(trifluoromethyl)nicotinamide (**8a5**). Yield 81% (white solid).  $^1H$  NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$  11.50 (s, 1H), 9.12 (d,  $J = 2.0$  Hz, 1H), 8.85 (t,  $J = 4.9$  Hz, 1H), 8.44 (dd,  $J = 8.0, 2.1$  Hz, 1H), 7.99 (dd,  $J = 8.2, 0.8$  Hz, 1H), 5.94 (s, 1H), 4.36 (d,  $J = 4.9$  Hz, 2H), 2.59–2.52 (m, 2H), 2.43 (q,  $J = 7.5$  Hz, 2H), 1.12 (dt,  $J = 19.6, 7.5$  Hz, 6H).  $^{13}C$  NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$  163.80, 155.92, 149.52, 149.39, 137.89, 133.51, 122.06, 121.08, 120.90, 104.60, 35.50, 25.96, 25.87, 14.74, 13.50. ESI-MS:  $m/z$   $[M + Na]^+$  calculated for 376.1, found 376.1.

**Synthesis of compound 10a1.** The intermediate **5b** was dissolved in 30 mL dichloromethane and stirred and cooled to  $-30$  °C. DIEA (1.8 mL) was added dropwise to the reaction and stirred at  $-30$  °C for 30 min. 4-(Trifluoromethyl)benzyl bromide (2.00 g) was weighed and added to the reaction in three batches at a ratio of 2 : 1 : 1 within 4 h. The reaction was stirred for a further 4 h at  $-30$  °C. TLC was used to monitor the completion of the reaction with 4-trifluoromethyl benzyl bromide. After the reaction was completed, the reaction system was warmed gradually to room temperature. After washing with H<sub>2</sub>O (15 mL

$\times 1$ ), the aqueous phase was extracted with dichloromethane (10 mL  $\times 2$ ) and combined with the organic phase. The sample was washed with dilute HCl (1 M) (15 mL  $\times 1$ ) and saturated NaCl (15 mL  $\times 1$ ) and dried with anhydrous sodium sulfate overnight. The sample was filtered and the solvent removed by reducing the pressure. This was followed by column chromatography to yield the desired product as a white solid.

**1-Methyl-4-(((4-(trifluoromethyl)benzyl)amino)methyl)-5,6,7,8-tetrahydroisoquinolin-3(2H)-one (10a1).** Yield 63% (white solid).  $^1H$  NMR (400 MHz, chloroform-*d*)  $\delta$  7.55 (d,  $J = 8.1$  Hz, 2H), 7.49 (d,  $J = 8.1$  Hz, 2H), 3.87 (s, 2H), 3.71 (s, 2H), 2.69–2.61 (m, 2H), 2.42 (d,  $J = 6.0$  Hz, 2H), 2.19 (s, 3H), 1.71 (t,  $J = 3.4$  Hz, 4H). ESI-MS:  $m/z$   $[M + Na]^+$  calculated for 373.1, found 373.1.

***N*-((1-Methyl-3-oxo-2,3,5,6,7,8-hexahydroisoquinolin-4-yl)methyl)-6-(trifluoromethyl)pyridine-3-sulfonamide (10a2).** The synthesis method as same as **6b1**, yield 50% (white solid).  $^1H$  NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$  11.41 (s, 1H), 7.95 (d,  $J = 8.3$  Hz, 2H), 7.89 (d,  $J = 8.3$  Hz, 2H), 7.79 (t,  $J = 5.5$  Hz, 1H), 3.90 (d,  $J = 5.2$  Hz, 2H), 2.51 (s, 2H), 2.34–2.22 (m, 2H), 2.01 (s, 3H), 1.56 (t,  $J = 3.4$  Hz, 4H). ESI-MS:  $m/z$   $[M + Na]^+$  calculated for 424.1, found 424.1; HPLC purity at 254 nm, 99.7%.

**Synthesis of compound 10a3.** The hydrolysate of intermediate **4b** was dissolved in 25 mL dichloromethane, cooled to 0 °C, stirred and 3 mL sulfoxide chloride was added dropwise. A large amount of irritating gas was produced. Two drops of *N,N*-dimethylformamide were added and the reaction was left at room temperature for 3 h. TLC was used to monitor the completion of the reaction. The solvent was removed following completion of the reaction to afford a yellowish oil. Ten milliliters dichloromethane was added and the sample redissolved. 4-Trifluoromethyl benzoyl hydrazine (2.04 g), pyridine (0.5 mL), 4-dimethylaminopyridine (0.5 g) and 20 mL dichloromethane were added dropwise with stirring. The reaction was stirred at room temperature for 6 h with TLC used to monitor completion of the reaction. The sample was washed with dilute HCl (1 M; 15 mL  $\times 1$ ), and the aqueous phase extracted with dichloromethane (5 mL  $\times 2$ ) and combined with the organic phase. The sample was dried with anhydrous sodium sulfate. The solvent was removed by reducing the pressure to afford a pale yellow solid with a yield of 84%.

**1-Methyl-3-oxo-*N*'-(4-(trifluoromethyl)benzoyl)-2,3,5,6,7,8-hexahydroisoquinoline-4-carbohydrazide ESI-MS:  $m/z$  416.1  $[M + Na]^+$ .** Trifluoromethanesulfonic anhydride (100  $\mu$ L) was slowly added dropwise to an ultra-dry dichloromethane solution of triphenylphosphine oxide (334.00 mg) at 0 °C with stirring for 5 min. 1-Methyl-3-oxo-*N*'-(4-(trifluoromethyl)benzoyl)-2,3,5,6,7,8-hexahydroisoquinoline-4-carbohydrazide was then added at room temperature and the reaction stirred for 7 h. After the reaction was completed, the pressure was reduced to remove solvent. Dichloromethane was extracted and the organic phase combined. The desired product as a white solid was obtained by column chromatography.

**1-Methyl-4-(5-(4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)-1,3,4-oxadiazol-2-yl)-5,6,7,8-tetrahydroisoquinolin-3(2H)-one (10a3).** Yield 76% (white



solid).  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  12.13 (s, 1H), 8.27–8.21 (m, 2H), 8.00 (d,  $J = 8.3$  Hz, 2H), 2.65 (t,  $J = 6.3$  Hz, 2H), 2.45 (t,  $J = 6.5$  Hz, 2H), 2.24 (s, 3H), 1.70 (t,  $J = 5.8$  Hz, 2H), 1.60 (dd,  $J = 7.8, 3.7$  Hz, 2H). ESI-MS:  $m/z$   $[\text{M} + \text{Na}]^+$  calculated for 398.1, found 398.1.

*1-Methyl-3-oxo-N-(4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)-2,3,5,6,7,8-hexahydroisoquinoline-4-carboxamide (10a4)*. The synthesis method as same as **6b1**, yield 60% (white solid).  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  12.50 (s, 1H), 11.34 (s, 1H), 8.43 (t,  $J = 4.8$  Hz, 1H), 7.43 (d,  $J = 8.1$  Hz, 2H), 7.22 (d,  $J = 8.2$  Hz, 2H), 2.88 (s, 2H), 2.54 (s, 2H), 2.33 (s, 3H), 1.90 (s, 4H). ESI-MS:  $m/z$   $[\text{M} + \text{Na}]^+$  calculated for 373.1, found 373.1.

*N-((1-Methyl-3-oxo-2,3,5,6,7,8-hexahydroisoquinolin-4-yl)methyl)-2-(4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)acetamide (10a5)*. The synthesis method as same as **6b1**, yield 51% (white solid).  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  11.49 (s, 1H), 7.98 (t,  $J = 5.0$  Hz, 1H), 7.36 (d,  $J = 8.7$  Hz, 2H), 7.27 (dt,  $J = 7.8, 1.1$  Hz, 2H), 4.09 (d,  $J = 5.0$  Hz, 2H), 3.43 (s, 2H), 2.54 (t,  $J = 6.2$  Hz, 2H), 2.35 (t,  $J = 6.3$  Hz, 2H), 2.10 (s, 3H), 1.64–1.49 (m, 4H). ESI-MS:  $m/z$   $[\text{M} + \text{Na}]^+$  calculated for 401.1, found 401.1.

*N-(1-Methyl-3-oxo-2,3,5,6,7,8-hexahydroisoquinolin-4-yl)-6-(trifluoromethyl)nicotinamide (10a6)*. Yield 49% (white solid).  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  11.74 (s, 1H), 9.89 (s, 1H), 9.25 (s, 1H), 8.57 (d,  $J = 8.1$  Hz, 1H), 8.08 (d,  $J = 8.2$  Hz, 1H), 2.52 (d,  $J = 7.3$  Hz, 2H), 2.42 (t,  $J = 6.4$  Hz, 2H), 2.15 (s, 3H), 1.71–1.54 (m, 4H).  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (101 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  162.83, 159.32, 149.76, 148.57, 140.67, 138.23, 133.27, 123.22, 121.05, 121.04, 111.86, 55.38, 26.33, 24.36, 22.64, 21.88, 16.35. ESI-MS:  $m/z$   $[\text{M} + \text{Na}]^+$  calculated for 374.1, found 374.1.

**4.1.4 Determination of kinetic solubility.** Configuration of the sample to be tested: 200  $\mu\text{L}$  DMSO was added to 2.00 mg of the compound to be tested and the sample fully dissolved in DMSO by ultrasonication for 10 min.  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  (800  $\mu\text{L}$ ) was added to the solution to separate the compounds. After centrifugation (6 min,  $5 \times 10^4$  rpm), 100  $\mu\text{L}$  of the supernatant was taken and diluted to obtain a  $200\times$  working solution, which was filtered through a 0.22  $\mu\text{m}$  filter and added to a U-HPLC sample bottle. Blank samples were configured in parallel.

Standard sample configuration: 2.00 mg of the compound to be tested was precisely weighed and 1000  $\mu\text{L}$  DMSO was added. The compound to be tested was dissolved completely in DMSO by ultrasonication for 10 min. After centrifugation (6 min,  $5 \times 10^4$  rpm), 100  $\mu\text{L}$  of the supernatant was taken, and diluted to obtain a  $200\times$  working solution, which was filtered through a 0.22  $\mu\text{m}$  filter and added to a U-HPLC sample bottle. Blank samples were configured in parallel.

A Dionex UltiMate 3000 ultra-high performance liquid chromatography system was used (Dionex Corporation, California, USA). The data processing system used was Analyst software (Applied Biosystems, Inc., version 1.5.1). The kinetic solubility of the compound was calculated according to the Beer–Lambert law.

Liquid condition: column: Gmini column, model: 00f-4435-E0, specification: 5  $\mu\text{m}$  C18 110  $\text{\AA}$  150  $\times$  4.6 nm.

Mobile phase:

Analysis time	Mobile phase	
	A (%)	B (%)
0.00	40	60
10.00	40	60

A: water; B: acetonitrile; column temperature: 40  $^\circ\text{C}$ ; temperature of automatic sampler: 28  $^\circ\text{C}$ ; flow rate: 1  $\text{mL min}^{-1}$ ; injection amount: 20  $\mu\text{L}$ .

**4.1.5 Anti-mycobacterial activity.** The strains used to test the activity of compounds were acquired from the US National Germplasm Preservation Center (ATCC), e.g., *M. tuberculosis* H<sub>37</sub>Rv (ATCC 27294). Strains were stored and tested by Shanghai Wise Chemical Co., Ltd and the University of Illinois, Chicago.

*Microdilution method to determine the minimal inhibitory concentration of M. tuberculosis H<sub>37</sub>Rv (ATCC 27294).* The test strain (*M. tuberculosis* H<sub>37</sub>Rv or the resistant strain) was transferred to liquid medium and, after activation, cultured at 37  $^\circ\text{C}$  for 2 weeks. A small amount of the culture was taken and placed in 4 mL liquid medium. Ten to twenty sterile glass beads with a diameter of 2–3 mm were added, oscillated for 20–30 s and allowed to settle for 10–20 min. The supernatant of the bacterial suspension was absorbed and the turbidities were adjusted to 1 McChrystal unit with liquid medium, which was equivalent to  $1 \times 10^7$  CFU  $\text{mL}^{-1}$ , for standby use. The drug and the positive control were dissolved in the appropriate amount of DMSO to 1  $\text{mg mL}^{-1}$  and filtered through a 0.22  $\mu\text{m}$  filter. The liquid medium was then diluted to the desired experimental concentration of 0.0000125–64  $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ .

At the time of detection, 100  $\mu\text{L}$  of each of the above drug solutions was added to a 96-well microplate, and then 100  $\mu\text{L}$  of the bacterial solution at a concentration of  $10^4$  CFU  $\text{mL}^{-1}$  (diluted from  $10^7$  CFU  $\text{mL}^{-1}$ ) was added. The sample was cultured at 37  $^\circ\text{C}$ . The blank control group was given no drugs, and the same drug dilution series was run in triplicate with parallel controls. The MIC of each drug against *M. tuberculosis* H<sub>37</sub>Rv was determined.

**4.1.6 Cytotoxicity assay.** Vero and A549 cells were used for the *in vitro* cytotoxicity evaluation of the compounds exhibiting anti-tuberculosis activity. The MTT assay was used to determine cell viability. The experimental methods were as follows. Cells in the logarithmic growth stage were inoculated into 96-well cell culture plates at a concentration of  $13 \times 10^3$  cells per well with a volume of 100  $\mu\text{L}$  per well. The 96-well plates were incubated in a 5%  $\text{CO}_2$  incubator at 37  $^\circ\text{C}$  for 72 h after adding 100  $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$  of the drug. Then, 20  $\mu\text{L}$  of a 5  $\text{mg mL}^{-1}$  MTT saline solution was added to each well and incubated for a further 2–4 h. The culture was terminated and the fluid in each well aspirated. DMSO (150  $\mu\text{L}$ ) was added to each well for dissolution of the crystals formed, and the plates were placed on a rotatory shaker for 10 min to ensure that the material was fully dissolved. The absorbance at 570 nm was measured with a microplate reader, and the inhibition rate of proliferation of tumor cells was calculated according to the formula. GraphPad Prism 7 software was used to fit the inhibition rate curve and calculate



the IC<sub>50</sub> of the drug. Proliferation of each tumor cell in the presence of each drug was repeated a minimum of three times.

**4.1.7 In vitro antibacterial test.** *E. coli* Esbls<sup>-</sup>, *Klebsiella pneumoniae* Esbls<sup>-</sup>, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Enterobacter cloacae* and *E. coli* ATCC25922 were clinically isolated pathogens collected from the Chengdu area and were identified with the collection unit by using a VITEK-60 automatic microbial identification instrument. Cells were cultured in conventional MH medium at 35–37 °C for 18–24 h. The MIC was determined by the AGAR double dilution method as recommended by the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute using the following agar medium: 1% peptone, 0.3% beef powder, 0.5% NaCl and 1.2% agar powder.

One milliliter of the test solution was added to a sterile plate and 14 mL of melted MH(A) medium (~50 °C) was added. The final concentrations of the drugs in each plate were 128, 64, 32, 16, 8, 4, 2, 1, 0.5, 0.25, 0.125, 0.06, 0.03, 0.015, 0.008 μg mL<sup>-1</sup>. After cooling, bacteria were inoculated with a multi-point inoculator and the agar plate was covered. The agar plates were incubated at 35–37 °C for 18–24 h. At the end of the incubation period, the minimum concentration of the sample without bacterial growth on the plate was determined to be the minimum bacteriostatic concentration. A control without drugs and a solvent control with DMSO were also prepared and analyzed using the same procedure described above.

## Conflicts of interest

We declare that we do not have any commercial or associative interest that represents a conflict of interest in connection with the manuscript submitted.

## Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Post-Doctoral Research Project, West China Hospital, Sichuan University (2018HXBH036), the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (2019SCU12029, the Postdoctoral Foundation of Sichuan University), Natural Science Foundation of China (81703570) and National Mega-Project for Innovative Drugs (2019ZX09721001, 2018ZX09201018).

## References

- 1 S. T. Cole and G. Riccardi, New tuberculosis drugs on the horizon, *Curr. Opin. Microbiol.*, 2011, **14**, 570–576.
- 2 World Health Organization, *World Health Statistics*, 2018.
- 3 C. Dye, Global epidemiology of tuberculosis, *Lancet*, 2006, **367**(9514), 938–940.
- 4 M. C. DeRycker, B. Baragana, S. L. Duce, *et al.* Challenges and recent progress in drug discovery for tropical diseases, *Nature*, 2018, **559**(7715), 498–506.
- 5 Beena and D. S. Rawat, Antituberculosis drug research: a critical overview, *Med. Res. Rev.*, 2013, **33**(4), 693–764.
- 6 A. Zumla, P. Nahid and S. T. Cole, Advances in the development of new tuberculosis drugs and treatment regimens, *Nat. Rev. Drug Discovery*, 2013, **12**(5), 388–404.
- 7 G. B. Migliori, G. DeIaco, G. Besozzi, *et al.* First tuberculosis cases in Italy resistant to all tested drugs, *Eurosurveillance*, 2007, **12**(20), 5.
- 8 K. E. Dooley, E. A. Obuku, N. Durakovic, *et al.* World Health Organization group 5 drugs for the treatment of drug-resistant tuberculosis: unclear efficacy or untapped potential, *J. Infect. Dis.*, 2013, **207**(9), 1352–1358.
- 9 S. T. Cole and G. Riccardi, New tuberculosis drugs on the horizon, *Curr. Opin. Microbiol.*, 2011, **14**, 570–576.
- 10 W. T. Watford, J. R. Wright, *et al.* Surfactant protein A regulates complement activation, *J. Immunol.*, 2001, **167**, 6593–6600.
- 11 H. Esmail, C. E. Barry, D. B. Young, *et al.* The ongoing challenge of latent tuberculosis, *Philos. Trans. R. Soc., B*, 2014, **369**, 20130437.
- 12 A. Zumla, J. Chakaya, R. Centis, *et al.* Tuberculosis treatment and management—an update on treatment regimens, trials, new drugs, and adjunct therapies, *Lancet Respir. Med.*, 2015, **3**, 220–234.
- 13 S. K. Parida, R. Axelsson-Robertson, M. V. Rao, *et al.* Totally drug-resistant tuberculosis and adjunct therapies, *J. Intern. Med.*, 2015, **277**, 388–405.
- 14 S. T. Cole, R. Brosch, J. Parkhill, *et al.* Deciphering the biology of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* from the complete genome sequence, *Nature*, 1998, **393**, 537–544.
- 15 E. O. Johnson, *et al.* Large-scale chemical-genetics yields new *M. tuberculosis* inhibitor classes, *Nature*, 2019, **571**, 72–78.
- 16 S. W. Park, *et al.* Target-based identification of whole-cell active inhibitors of biotin biosynthesis in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*, *Chem. Biol.*, 2015, **22**, 76–86.
- 17 L. E. Ziganshina, A. F. Titarenko and G. R. Davies, Fluoroquinolones for treating tuberculosis (presumed drug-sensitive), *Cochrane Database Syst. Rev.*, 2013, **6**(6), CD004795.
- 18 A. S. Ginsburg, J. H. Grosset and W. R. Bishai, Fluoroquinolones, tuberculosis, and resistance, *Lancet Infect. Dis.*, 2003, **3**(7), 432–442.
- 19 M. Panda, S. Ramachandran, V. Ramachandran, *et al.* Discovery of pyrazolopyridones as a novel class of noncovalent DprE1 inhibitor with potent antimycobacterial activity, *J. Med. Chem.*, 2014, **57**, 4761–4771.
- 20 M. Naik, V. Humnabdkar, S. J. Tantry, *et al.* 4-Aminoquinolone piperidine amides: noncovalent inhibitors of DprE1 with long residence time and potent antimycobacterial activity, *J. Med. Chem.*, 2014, **57**, 5419–5434.
- 21 J.-J. Chanot, J. Mane and C. Plessis, New Bicyclic Dioxanes, Their Preparation And Their Use As Fragrant Compounds, WO2011013077 (A1), 2011.
- 22 L. Zhang, X. Song, N. Wang, *et al.* Design, synthesis and biological evaluation of novel 1-methyl-3-oxo-2,3,5,6,7,8-hexahydroisoquinolins as potential EZH<sub>2</sub> inhibitors, *RSC Adv.*, 2015, **5**(33), 25967–25978.
- 23 T. Gueden-Silber, K. Klein and M. Seitz, 4,4'-Bis(trifluoromethyl)-2,2'-bipyridine—a multipurpose ligand



- scaffold for lanthanoid-based luminescence/ $^{19}\text{F}$  NMR probes, *Dalton Trans.*, 2013, **42**(38), 13882–13888.
- 24 N.-Y. Wang, W.-Q. Zuo, Y. Xu, *et al.* Discovery and structure-activity relationships study of novel thieno[2,3-*b*]pyridine analogues as hepatitis C virus inhibitors, *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.*, 2014, **24**(6), 1581–1588.
- 25 A. A. Abramov, S. A. Chernenko, A. S. Fisyuk, *et al.* Synthesis and Photophysical Properties of 3-Amino-4-arylpyridin-2(1H)-ones, *Synthesis*, 2020, **52**(2), 227–238.
- 26 C.-S. Jia, Ya-W. Dong, S.-J. Tu, *et al.* Microwave-assisted solvent-free synthesis of substituted 2-quinolones, *Tetrahedron*, 2007, **63**(4), 892–897.

