Cite this: RSC Adv., 2021, 11, 5361

Doping strategy, properties and application of heteroatom-doped ordered mesoporous carbon

Yuan Gao,^{ID}*^{ab} Qing Wang,^a Guozhao Ji,^a Aimin Li^{ID}^a and Jiamin Niu^a

To date, tremendous achievements have been made to produce ordered mesoporous carbon (OMC) with well-designed and controllable porous structure for catalysis, energy storage and conversion. However, OMC as electrode material suffers from poor hydrophilicity and weak electrical conductivity. Numerous attempts and much research interest have been devoted to dope different heteroatoms in OMC as the structure defects to enhance its performance, such as nitrogen, phosphorus, sulphur, boron, and multi heteroatoms. Unfortunately, the "how-why-what" question for the heteroatom-doped OMC has not been summarized in any published reports. Therefore, this review focuses on the functionalization strategies of heteroatoms in OMC and the corresponding process characteristics, including *in situ* method, post treatment method, and chemical vapor deposition. The fundamentally influencing mechanisms of various heteroatoms in electrochemical property and porous structure are summarized in detail. Furthermore, this review provides an updated summary about the applications of different heteroatom-doped OMC in supercapacitor, electrocatalysis, and ion battery during the last decade. Finally, the future challenges and research strategies for heteroatom-doped OMC are also proposed.

Received 22nd October 2020

Accepted 6th January 2021

DOI: 10.1039/d0ra08993a

rsc.li/rsc-advances

1. Introduction

Nowadays, the global environmental pollution and energy crisis have driven the urgent development of sustainable and renewable energy, such as solar energy, geothermal energy, wind energy, tidal energy, and biological energy.¹ To solve their unstable and intermittent problems, it is necessary to develop energy storage and conversion systems with high efficiency and

cycling stability.² Supercapacitors, lithium-ion batteries, and electrocatalysis are three common systems for energy storage and conversion, which can be significantly affected by the electrode materials. The carbon-based materials, including graphene, carbon nanotube, activated carbon, and carbon aerogel, have been paid a great deal of attention due to their cost-effective, chemical stability, and high specific surface area (SSA).³⁻⁶ However, most of these carbon materials suffer from disordered and narrow porous structure as well as excess micropores, leading to high mass transfer resistance of electrolyte ions.⁷ It has been acknowledged that the ordered mesoporous carbon (OMC) with tunable diameters ranging from 2 to 50 nm has been identified as a potential candidate electrode

^aKey Laboratory of Industrial Ecology and Environmental Engineering (Ministry of Education), School of Environmental Science and Technology, Dalian University of Technology, Linggong Road 2, Dalian 116024, P. R. China. E-mail: gaoyuan1988@dlut.edu.cn

^bNational Marine Environmental Monitoring Center, Dalian 116023, P. R. China

Dr Yuan Gao majored in environmental engineering and received her PhD degree in 2017 at Shandong University, China. She currently works in School of Environmental Science and Technology at Dalian University of Technology in China as an Associate Professor. Her current research interests primary focus on the preparation of activated carbon, ordered mesoporous carbon, and biochar for adsorption and supercapacitor.

Qing Wang received her bachelor degree in 2019 at Nanjing Technology University, China. She currently studies as a master candidate in School of Environmental Science and Technology at Dalian University of Technology under the supervision of Dr Yuan Gao. Her current research interests focus on the preparation of ordered mesoporous carbon and its application in catalysis and supercapacitor.

material.^{8,9} The well-designed mesoporous structure could shorten ion transport pathways and favor the diffusion of ions into the inner pores.^{10,11} In 1999, Ryoo's group used MCM-48 as template, sucrose as carbon precursor, and sulfuric acid as catalyst to prepare OMC with a SSA of 1380 m² g⁻¹. Afterwards, the synthesis and application of OMC in contaminant adsorbent, catalyst, lithium battery, supercapacitor, and hydrogen storage have been performed by many outstanding researchers, such as Sheng Dai,¹² Nishiyama,¹³ and Dongyuan Zhao.¹⁴

Recently, several previous reviews have been conducted on the synthesis and application of carbon-based material. For example, Gang *et al.* reported the adsorption performance of various modified OMC to inorganic, organic, and emerging water pollutants.¹⁵ Kim *et al.* provided a summary on the layered metal decorated carbon nano-composites for energy storage.¹⁶ Liu *et al.* introduced the recent advances in block copolymer-based porous carbons for supercapacitor.¹⁷ However, to the best of our knowledge, a comprehensive summary on unique electrochemical characteristics of doped-OMC is still lacking. Many previous studies indicated that the application of pure OMC as electrode material suffered from some limitations, such as poor hydrophilicity, few activate sites, and weak electrical conductivity. Therefore, more and more attentions have

been paid on the investigation of modified OMC *via* physical or chemical methods. The doping of heteroatoms, nitrogen,^{18,19} phosphorus,^{20,21} sulphur,^{22,23} and boron,^{24,25} can not only change the surface chemical structure but also affect the electron distribution of the carbon network, thus leading to the improvement of electrochemical properties.^{26–29}

In this review, we systematically summarize the latest progresses in the doping strategies and the involved reaction mechanisms of heteroatom-doped ordered mesoporous carbon. Furthermore, an overview on the effects of different dopants on the electrical properties and porous structures are also been performed. The representative samples about the application of doped-ordered mesoporous carbon in catalysis, energy storage and conversion are also presented. Finally, we highlight the main challenges of future prospects to improve the properties and apply in the industry.

2. Doping strategies

Table 1 summarizes the synthesis parameters and the physicochemical property of the heteroatom-doped OMC. The methods for the heteroatom-doping in OMC mainly include *in situ*, post treatment, and chemical vapor deposition (CVD). The final objective of each method is to synthesize doped-OMC with ordered crystalline structure, high SSA, homogeneous heteroatom incorporation, and appropriate doping level.

2.1 *In situ* method

In situ doping method, also called direct synthesis approach, is usually thermal treated under the protection of inert gas, during which the precursor containing natural heteroatoms or the compounds containing heteroatoms are thermally treated with templates to form well-ordered intermediate composite, followed by carbonization at the temperature of 500–900 °C.³⁰ The synthesis procedures are shown in Fig. 1. The intrinsic feature of the *in situ* method is the synchronous generation of heteroatom doping and graphitization porous structure. Therefore, the heteroatoms prefer to incorporate inside the carbon skeleton in the form of structural type, which are more stable. In

Dr Guozhao Ji majored in chemical engineering and received his PhD degree in 2014 at the University of Queensland, Australia. He currently works in School of Environmental Science and Technology at Dalian University of Technology in China as an Associate Professor. His research interests are computational fluid dynamic application in chemical engineering processes, modelling of

gas transport in microporous material, gas separation by inorganic membrane, high temperature CO₂ capture, solid waste gasification and kinetic modelling of thermochemical conversions.

Dr Aimin Li majored in engineering thermophysics and received his PhD degree in 1999 at Zhejiang University, China. He currently works in School of Environmental Science and Technology at Dalian University of Technology in China as a Professor. His current research interests are pollution prevention and thermochemical conversion as well as solidification of solid waste.

Jiamin Niu is a third-year undergraduate majoring in environmental science at Dalian University of Technology. She currently participates in the innovative practice projects on the reuse of solid waste for carbon-based electrode material under the supervision of Dr Yuan Gao.

Table 1 Summary of the synthesis parameters and the physicochemical property of the heteroatom-doped OMCs

Methods	Precursor	Physical property					Chemical property			
	Heteroatom	Carbon	Template	S_{BET} ($\text{m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$)	V_{col} ($\text{cm}^3 \text{ g}^{-1}$)	D_{ave} (nm)	Heteroatom	Doping content (%)	Application	Ref.
In situ	Ammonium peroxydisulfate	Aniline	KIT-6	778	0.71	4.85	N	6.90	SCs	125
	Benzoxazine	Benzoxazine	SBA-15	840	1.148	—	N	3.34	SCs	148
	Cyanamide	Phenolic resin	SBA-15	1741	1.48	4.10	N	15.1	SCs	67
	Ethidene diamine	CCl_4	SBA-15	682	0.93	4.71	N	3.90	ORR	149
	Gelatin	Gelatin	Silica	474	1.186	12.0	N	7.40	SCs	129
	Honey	Honey	SBA-15	1273	1.00	3.71	N	0.93	ORR	41
	Melamine	Furfuryl alcohol	KIT-6	725	0.57	3.10	N	12.6	SCs	124
	Polyvinyl alkanone	Polyvinyl alkanone	KIT-6	1403	1.29	—	N	11.06	SCs	150
	Quinoline	Quinoline	SBA-15	1420	1.21	—	N	5.90	SCs	52
	Urea	Phenolic resin	F127	640	0.40	2.50	N	3.00	ORR	151
	Methanamine	M-Aminophenol + methenamine	F127	1207	0.77	11.0	N	3.14	SCs	152
	Pyrrole + urea	Phenolic resin	F127	1386	1.63	4.73	N	5.30	SCs	130
	Triphenylphosphine	Phenol	SBA-15	1182	1.87	—	P	1.36	ORR	80
	Triphenylphosphine	Furfural	SBA-15	1730	3.20	3.40	P	0.89	ORR	20
	Phosphoric acid	Phenolic resin	F127	438	0.42	3.80	P	2.80	Catalyst	21
	Triphenylphosphine	Sucrose	SBA-15	1339	1.36	3.80	P	0.64	Catalyst	84
	Phosphoric acid	Phenolic resin	Polystyrene	1137	1.11	—	P	3.18	SCs	131
	Benzyl disulfide	Sucrose	SBA-15	1261	1.383	4.553	S	1.505	ORR	21
	Toluenesulfonic acid	Acetone toluene sulfonate	SBA-15	1030	—	5.0	S	2.3	SCs	153
	Boric acid	Furfural	KIT-6	957	0.89	4.96	B	2.9	SCs	25
	Boric acid	Phenolic resin	F127	690	0.49	5.00	B	1.96	SCs	154
	Boric acid	Phenolic resin	F127	558	0.51	3.62	B	1.17	ORR	47
	Boric acid	Sucrose	SBA-15	470	0.49	—	B	0.60	SCs	104
	Boric acid	Phenolic resin	F127	462	0.36	3.7	B	1.01	SCs	103
	Boric acid	Phenolic resin	F127	539	0.73	—	B	1.78	SCs	9
	Hydroxyphenyl boronic acid	Phenol	SBA-15	1392	1.23	3.29	B	1.3	ORR	102
	Hydroxyphenylboronic acid	Phenolic resin	F127	568	0.38	4.79	B	3.96	SCs	113
	Hydroxyphenylboronic acid	Sucrose	SBA-15	900	1.24	6.4	B	1.3	ORR	111
	Urea + phytic acid	Saccharose	KIT-6	1968	2.47	4.1	N + P	3.2 + 1.7	SCs	7
	Urea + thiourea	Sucrose	SBA-15	838	—	4.2	N + P	20.32 + 0.82	SIBs	92
	Ammonium fluoride	Phenolic resin	SBA-15	671	1.27	6.2	N + F	2.25 + 0.35	ORR	155
	$\text{H}_3\text{BO}_3 + \text{H}_3\text{PO}_4$	Phenolic resin	F127	692	0.62	5.0	B + P	2.23 + 0.69	SCs	101
Saccharin	Saccharin	SBA-15	743	—	—	N + S	3.4 + 5.06	ORR	37	
Splharic acid	Pyrrole	SBA-15	1021	1.13	3.24	N + S	7.4 + 0.81	SCs	36	
Polypyrrole + polythiophene	CHCl_3	SBA-15	602	—	—	N + S	5.72 + 5.641	ORR	6	
Pyrrole + phosphoric acid	Pyrrole	SBA-15	1073	1.17	11.0	N + P	5.18 + 3.42	SCs	122	
Glucosamine + <i>p</i> -toluenesulfonic acid	Sucrose	SBA-15	1060	1.85	—	N + S	3.04 + 2.58	ORR	116	
Pyrrole + H_2SO_4	Pyrrole	KIT-6	693	0.75	3.27	N + S	10.08 + 0.94	SCs	133	
$\text{H}_3\text{BO}_3 + \text{H}_3\text{PO}_4$	Phenolic resin	F127	692	0.62	5.0	P + B	0.69 + 2.23	SCs	101	
Melamine	Phenolic resin	F127	1166	1.37	5.5	N	2.90	ORR	27	
Ammonia gas	Phenolic resin	F127	1923	2.11	4.0	N	4.0	ORR	12	
Sublimed sulfur	Phenolic resin	SiO_2	450	0.7	—	S	59.4	ORR	140	

Table 1 (Contd.)

Methods	Precursor		Physical property			Chemical property		
	Heteroatom	Carbon	Template	S_{BET} ($\text{m}^2 \text{g}^{-1}$)	V_{tot} ($\text{cm}^3 \text{g}^{-1}$)	D_{ave} (nm)	Heteroatom	Doping content (%) Application Ref.
CVD	Sulfur	Furfuryl alcohol	Silica	440	—	—	S	67 Li-S 97
	Sulphur	Sucrose	SBA-15	46	0.028	1.1	S	69.3 Li-S 142
	Sulphur	Sucrose	SBA-15	1013	1.26	—	S	60.6 Li-S 141
	Sulphur	Furfuryl alcohol	SBA-15	304	0.62	3.6	S	53 Li-S 156
	Sulphur + CS ₂	Phenolic resin	SBA-15 + F127	119	0.50	—	S	76.4 Li-S 157
	Sulphur + CS ₂	Phenolic resin	SiO ₂	94	0.40	—	S	63.6 Li-S 93
	Triphenylphosphine + dicyandiamide	Phenolic resin	SBA-15	801	0.604	—	N + P	3.61 + 0.49 ORR 121
	Pyrrrole	Pyrrrole	SBA-15	661	0.75	5.1	N	5.36 ORR 65
	Acetonitrile	Acetonitrile	Zeolite	1322	0.84	—	N	5.2 ORR 34
	Acetonitrile	Acetonitrile	SBA-15	885	1.70	8.47	N	11.3 Catalyst 63
In situ + Post treatment	Acetonitrile	Acetonitrile	TUD-1	533	0.4	—	N	4.8 — 57
	Acetonitrile	Acetonitrile	SBA-15	570	1.18	—	N	8.68 — 64
	Pyrimidine + thiophene	Pyrimidine + thiophene	SBA-15	680	0.70	3.2	N + S	4.6 + 2.1 ORR 62
	Tri(p-tolyl) phosphine	Phenolic resin	PS- <i>b</i> -PEO	1090	—	43.0	N + P	0.69 + 0.24 ORR 158
	Dicyandiamide + ammonia gas	Polyfurfuryl alcohol	SBA-15	1580	2.2	2.25	N	11.9 SCs 127

addition, the *in situ* doping method is beneficial for embedding entire carbon matrix with homogeneous foreign atoms.

Generally, the *in situ* doping method can be divided into two different synthesis routes, namely hard template and soft template. The hard template route, also named as nanocasting, involves in three steps: (i) first preparing the silica-based, metal oxide-based, or zeolite-based template; (ii) second mixing the template with fluidic carbon precursors; (iii) finally removing the silica-based template through concentrated hydrofluoric acid or hot sodium hydroxide solution.^{31–34} The characteristic of this method is the perfect replication of hard template accompanied by a controllable and regular ordered structure, but complicated synthesis processes and overlong operation time. For example, Le *et al.* mixed 3 g of alkali fused kaolin clay, 30 mL of DI water, 30 mL of HCl solution, and 2 g of Pluronic P123 to fabricate hard template SBA-15 through hydrothermal treatment at 100 °C for 24 h. Afterwards, the SBA-15, sucrose, melamine, and sulfuric acid were mixed homogeneously and then heated at 900 °C for 3 h to obtain N-doped OMC.³⁵ Zhang *et al.* applied the pyrrole as a carbon precursor as well as a nitrogen source and the sulphuric acid as a catalyst as well as a sulfur source to synthesis N, S co-doped OMC. TEM images in Fig. 2 illustrate a stripe-like and hexagonally arranged pore structure with a wall thickness of 6 nm.³⁶ Hua *et al.* used saccharin as a three-in-one precursor of C, N, and S source, SBA-15 as a hard template, and FeCl₃ as a catalyst to prepare N, S co-doped OMC.³⁷ The natural biomasses, such as polydopamine, dopamine, crab shell, and honey, were also applied as low-cost, renewable, and heteroatom-enriched source.^{38–40} Zhang *et al.* used honey as the carbon and nitrogen sources to fabricate N-doped OMC *via* an *in situ* hard template.⁴⁰ All the as-prepared N-doped OMC had a SSA over 600 m² g^{−1} and a high mesopore to total pore ratio of 93%. The nitrogen content decreased from 4.32 wt% to 1.38 wt% with the increasing carbonization temperature from 700 to 900 °C. Lu *et al.* also used honey as a precursor and similar synthesis route to produce N-doped OMC.⁴¹ On the one hand, the honey was composed of carbohydrates, proteins, enzymes, and aminoacids, which served as *in situ* carbon and nitrogen source. On the other hand, the honey contained 15–17% of water, which served as a natural solvent for its homogeneous mixing with the template. Furthermore, the honey was hydrophilic and semitransparent, which also contributed to the mixing process. Zhou *et al.* reported a dual template route to produce OMC nanofiber, during which the crab shell and triblock copolymer F127 were used as the hard and soft template, respectively. Additionally, a mixed solvent of ethanol and water was required to impregnate the templates, followed by a thermal curing at 100 °C for 24 h. The pore size of the as-obtained N-doped OMC by a dual-template (15 nm) was larger than that of traditional EISA method (4.1 nm).⁴²

During the soft template route, the block copolymer (*e.g.* anionic, cationic, or nonionic-type surfactants) as the template are normally reacted with polymerizable precursors with heteroatoms by using evaporation induced self-assembly (EISA) or hydrothermal carbonization (HTC), during which the ordered intermediate product are formed due to hydrogen

Fig. 1 Synthesis process of the *in situ* method.

bonding. After pyrolysis above 400 °C, the soft template are volatilized and removed from the intermediate product to produce heteroatom-doped OMC.^{43–45} The template removal process by HF or hot NaOH solution was replaced by the direct volatilization of block copolymer above 400 °C. Therefore, one-step synthesis of soft template route is much simpler than that of hard template route. It should be mentioned that the heating rate should be slow in the range of 1–5 °C min^{−1} and maintained for 1–5 h in order to avoid the breakdown and shrinkage of the ordered structure. More importantly, the ordered porous structure is highly related to the interaction of the heteroatom precursor, the carbon precursor and the structure directing template during the polymerization process before carbonization.⁵ For example, Zhang *et al.* applied phenol as a carbon

source, 4-hydroxythiophenol as a sulphur source, triblock copolymer Pluronic F127 as a soft template, and DI water as a solvent to form an order intermediate mixture, which was hydrothermally treated at 130 °C for 24 h and then pyrolyzed at 800 °C for 3 h to produce S-doped OMC nanospheres.³ Wei *et al.* demonstrated that the hydrogen bonding and electrostatic interaction between resol carbon source, F127 template, and dicyandiamide nitrogen source resulted in the formation of ordered mesostructures with various symmetries and high N content (13.1%).⁴⁶ Su *et al.* summarized a three-step synthesis of B-doped OMC by soft template route, including evaporation induced self-assembly, polymerization, and pyrolysis.⁴⁷ A time-saving sol-gel approach was developed by Sui's group to strengthen the self-assembly between carbon precursor and soft

Fig. 2 SEM and TEM images of N–S co-doped OMC by a hard template method. Reproduced with permission from ref. 35. Copyright 2013, Royal Society of Chemistry.

Fig. 3 Synthesis process of N-doped OMC via a one-pot mechanochemical assembly. Reproduced with permission from ref. 49. Copyright 2020, Elsevier.

template.¹⁰ During the synthesis process, the phenolic resin was used as the carbon precursor, and pyrrole monomer as well as amino trimethylene phosphonic acid served as the N and P sources. A binary template was applied by introducing both F127 and tetraethyl orthosilicate as structural reinforcing agent. Interestingly, the mechanical or grinding mixing of template and precursor without using any solvent has been demonstrated a fast, green and scalable synthesis method for doped-OMC.^{28,48,49} As shown in Fig. 3, Zhao *et al.* mechanically ground the mixture of tannin, F127, metal acetates and nitrogen source (*e.g.* amino acids, urea, melamine, and guanine) in a ball miller for 1 h to generate a metal–organic coordination polymer, followed by vacuum heated at 40 °C for 12 h, and carbonized at

800 °C for 2 h.⁵⁰ Zhu and co-workers also accomplished the N-doped OMC by using melamine, phenolic resin and F127 as the N source, C source and template *via* a solvent-free ball-milling method.¹⁸

2.2 Post treatment method

During the post treatment process, the heteroatoms are grafted to the original OMC through oxidation reaction, thermal polymerization, and replacement reaction. How to prevent the ordered mesostructural arrangement from destroying due to post treatment has been paid significant attention.^{51,52} Furthermore, how to embed the heteroatoms throughout the whole bulk rather than the partial surface is also very important.

Fig. 4 Synthesis process by the post treatment method.

The post treatment method can be classified to dried modification and wet modification (Fig. 4). For the dried modification, OMC is exposed to gas atmosphere containing heteroatoms under high temperature without any solvents. Generally, ammonia gas is used to produce N-doped OMC.^{53,54} For example, Dai's group synthesized the N-doped OMC *via* a thermal treatment of 2D hexagonal OMC under a NH_3 atmosphere in the temperature range of 950–1050 °C. It was deduced that the substitution of the oxygen species by the nitrogen species and the corrosion of carbon skeleton by the nitrogen-based radicals contributed to the formation of N species.¹² For the wet modification, OMC is normally impregnated in the heteroatom-contained chemical solutions for several minutes, followed by drying and heating treatment. For instance, Maluta *et al.* impregnated the as-prepared OMC nanoparticles with thiophenol at a mass ratio of 1 : 0.94. After drying at 70 °C, the samples were carbonized at 600 or 900 °C for 7 h under the protection of argon.⁵⁵ Wu *et al.* ground the pristine OMC with melamine at a mass ratio of 1 : 1.5, followed by mixing with 20 mL of methanol solution and evaporating to obtain powder mixture. After pyrolysis at 500–900 °C, the N-doped OMC was obtained.⁵¹ Yang *et al.* used ionic liquid as heteroatom source to produce N-S co-doped OMC. Typically, 70 μL of 1-butyl-1-methyl-pyrrolidinium bisimide was mixed with OMC by grinding for several minutes, followed by carbonizing at 850 °C for 2 h.⁵⁶

2.3 Chemical vapor deposition

During a typical chemical vapor deposition, the hard template is placed onto a quartz boat in a tube furnace, and heated up to 700–900 °C under an argon/nitrogen gas flow for several minutes. Next, the foreign gases, such as acetonitrile, thiophene, and pyrimidine, are purged into the reactor and replaced the original gas flow in hours (Fig. 5). After cooling down naturally, the template can be filled with carbon and heteroatom elements simultaneously.^{34,37,58} The doped OMC are produced by the inverse replica of the pore arrangement of hard template. Some previous studies have found that the OMC with high graphitization and heteroatom contents could be prepared by the chemical vapor deposition.^{59–61} However, the shortcomings are the special requirements for precursors, excessively high reaction temperature, and rigorous operation procedures. Xu *et al.* used mesoporous silica SBA-15 as template, and steam mixtures of thiophene and pyrimidine as the sulphur and nitrogen source to fabricate 2-D hexagonal $P6mm$ N-S co-doped OMC. The introduction of ferrocene not only improved the graphitization degree, but also facilitated the doping of the foreign atoms into the carbon framework. Besides, the pore blockings were weakened by

using low-molecular weight foreign source. The optimal OMC possessed a high SSA of $1100 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$ with the bimodal peaks at 2.5 and 3.5 nm.⁶² Ravat *et al.* selected acetonitrile as the carbon and nitrogen source, and SBA-15 as the template, which were reacted in a quartz reactor at 900 °C for 2 h. The as-made OMC possessed a SSA of $885 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$ and nitrogen contents of 11.3%.⁶³ Sánchez-Sánchez found that the nitrogen content on the surface and in the bulk of the N-doped OMC by CVD were different, which was 7.26% and 9.42%, respectively. Moreover, the nitrogen and oxygen contents were in an opposite trend.⁶⁴ Except the aforementioned traditional routes, some modified CVD methods were also developed by the researches.^{65,66} For example, a novel vaporization–capillary condensation method was developed by Tsiakaras's group.⁶⁵ In a typical process, the pyrrole/aniline together with SBA-15 was heated at 133/183 °C for 2 h under vacuum to guarantee full evaporation of the liquid monomer around the template. During the cooling down step, the phlegma homogeneously permeated into the mesopores of the template. The SSA of N-doped OMC by this new method was $661 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$, which was larger than that of traditional wet method ($292 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$). It has also been noticed in this study that the contents and species of heteroatom was negative correlation with the pyrolysis temperature. Li *et al.* developed a three-step vaporization–condensation–conversion method to incorporate red P into carbon skeleton of OMC.⁶⁶ The whole synthesis process was carried in a sealed tube under the protection of argon. First, the red P transformed from powder to vapor above the sublimation temperature. Then, the P atoms deposited into the nanopores of OMC in the form of white P. Finally, the amorphous red P was obtained due to the transformation of white P at the temperature of 260 °C. The most outstanding characteristic of this process was that the P element was anchored into the mesopore channels without creating any bonding.

3. Electrical properties of doped-OMC

The heteroatoms in carbon skeleton, including nitrogen (N), phosphorus (P), sulphur (S), boron (B), and co-doping heteroatoms, could change the electron distribution, electronegativity, and produce structural defects of the OMC.^{23,40} Fig. 6 summarize the heteroatom species in doped-OMC and their corresponding binding energies in XPS based on literature review.

3.1 N-Doped OMC

Nitrogen is one of the most popular doped-elements for OMC. Generally, the doped-N existed in four forms, namely pyrrolic N, pyridinic N, graphitic/quaternary N, and oxidized N.^{29,44} The

Fig. 5 Synthesis process by the chemical vapor deposition.

Fig. 6 Schematic representation of heteroatom species in (a) N-doped OMC,^{10,30,35,40,44,52} (b) P-doped OMC,^{7,21} (c) S-doped OMC,^{23,90,93} (d) B-doped OMC,^{25,29,47,111,114,115} (e) N, S co-doped OMC,^{36,117} and (f) B, P co-doped OMC.¹⁰¹

electrochemical properties of N-doped OMC are highly affected by the types of doped-N species. The pyrrolic N and pyridinic N at the edge site of the carbon framework are negatively charged,

which can transfer extra free electrons or delocalized electrons. They can also participate in the pseudo-capacitive reaction, which has a major impact on the improvement of the electrode

capacitance.⁶⁷ On the other hand, the graphitic N and pyridinic N at the bottom of the carbon framework are positively charged, which can activate the electrode surface, and improve the charge transfer rate at high current density.⁶⁸

The reasons why N-doped OMC owns excellent electrochemical performance are summarized as follows. First, the conductivity of the N-doped electrode materials can be enhanced due to the extra lone pair electrons in N atoms, which can provide a negative charge for the sp^2 hybridized carbon skeleton of the delocalized π system, and facilitate the transport of the electrons.⁶⁹ Second, the surface hydrophilicity of the electrode materials is increased owing to the nitrogen doping. This is because the nitrogen functional groups are hydrophilic functional groups, which can facilitate the contact between the electrolyte ions and the electrodes.^{70,71} Third, the pore structure of OMC after N-doping is increased. The increase of the pore size promotes contact between the electrolyte and the electrode, thereby enhancing electrochemical performance.⁷² Fourth, the nitrogen atoms have higher electronegativity (3.0) than that of carbon atoms (2.5), leading to positive charge density around the adjacent C atoms.⁶

The N percentages of four different species and the porous structures are related to the process parameters (*e.g.* carbonization temperature, carbonization time, heating rate, type of nitrogen source, mass ratio of nitrogen source to carbon precursor).^{73,74} Wang *et al.* found that the peaks of pyrrolic N, pyridinic N, graphitic N, and oxidized N located around the binding energy of 398.2, 399.7, 400.8, and 403.2 eV, respectively.^{10,30,35,40,44,51,52} The total N content of the as-prepared OMC increased from 6.3 to 9.2% with the mass ratio of urea to sucrose ranging from 4 to 16. Interestingly, the dominant N species was graphitic N due to the transformation of pyridinic N and pyrrolic N at high temperatures. However, there was no obvious changing pattern for the SSA and average pore diameter.³⁰

To improve the property of doped-OMC, how to increase the doping dose has gained a lot of attention.^{75–77} EL-Mahdy *et al.* found that the direct using of N-containing resol polymer as carbon and inherent nitrogen source was an effective method to yield OMC with high N percentage (up to 13%). It has been also discovered that the nanostructures of the as-obtained N-doped OMC transformed between gyroid and cylinder with the N-containing resol concentration increasing from 50 to 70% due to the hydrogen bonding interaction and induced micro-phase separation.⁷⁶ Chen *et al.* produced N-doped OMC with a maximum content of 11.64% by varying the mass ratios of phenolic resin to melamine.⁷⁷ The weakened hysteresis loop and capillary condensation in the nitrogen adsorption-desorption isotherms of OMC indicated the degradation of ordered mesostructure after N-doping. The N species consisted of 47.1% of pyridinic-N, 33.9% of pyrrolic-N, and 19.0% of quaternary-N. The high percentage of pyridinic-N and pyrrolic-N were attributed to the vulnerable replacement of the carbon atoms around edges or defect sites by the nitrogen atoms.

Another challenge is how to improve the SSA without reducing the nitrogen content and destroying the ordered pore structure.^{53,67} A one-pot simple method combining carbonization,

foreign element doping, and activation was carried out to fabricate N-doped OMC with a desirable SSA of $1415\text{ m}^2\text{ g}^{-1}$ and high nitrogen content of 9.3%.⁵³ The synthesis process was performed based on a preferential reaction between the active oxygen sites of the carbon precursor and ammonia gas during the thermal treatment. More importantly, the ordered structure barely changed with the pyrolysis time and temperature, except a slight shrinkage of the unit cell. The nitrogen content increased from 7.1 to 9.3% with the pyrolysis time increasing from 1 to 4 h, and the SSA increased from 643 to $1415\text{ m}^2\text{ g}^{-1}$ with the pyrolysis temperature increasing from 700 to 850°C . The aforementioned results indicated that the nitrogen content was more sensitive to pyrolysis time than temperature. On the contrary, the SSA was more related to the pyrolysis temperature rather than time. Similar results were also found by Ahn *et al.*, in which the acrylonitrile was thermally polymerized to polyacrylonitrile as *in situ* nitrogen source.⁷⁸ The SSA increased from 690 to $1092\text{ m}^2\text{ g}^{-1}$ along with the decrease of nitrogen content from 22.2 to 5.85% with the pyrolysis temperature increasing from 600 to 900°C . Shi *et al.* produced N-doped OMC with a SSA $1741\text{ m}^2\text{ g}^{-1}$ and nitrogen content of 15% by the combined modification of ammonia and cyanamide-resol.⁶⁷ The pyrolysis temperature, cyanamide-resol ratio, and precursor-template ratio all affected the porous structure and nitrogen content as well as species distribution. For example, the SSA and average pore size increased from 1147 to $1741\text{ m}^2\text{ g}^{-1}$ and 3.7 to 4.1 nm with the cyanamide-to-resol ratio increasing from 0 to 2, respectively. After that, much higher cyanamide-to-resol ratio led to the collapse of the ordered mesopore structure and the shrinkage of pore volume and average size. The percentage of the pyridine nitrogen species was positively associated with the cyanamide-to-resol ratio. However, the percentage of the quaternary N was negatively connected with the cyanamide-to-resol ratio due to the different anchoring locations of N atoms inside or outside the carbon atoms. A N-doped OMC with a relatively high SSA of $2023\text{ m}^2\text{ g}^{-1}$ was prepared with aminophenyl-functionalized polyhedral oligosilsesquioxanes as carbon source by using a soft template route.⁷⁹ The amino groups involved in the formation of hydrogen bond, which facilitated the following micro-phase separation process. Additionally, the stable Si-C bond from the condensation and the rearrangement of the oligosilsesquioxanes also contributed to the uniform porous structure.

3.2 P-Doped OMC

Phosphorus atoms own same valence electrons with nitrogen atoms, but higher atomic radius and electron-donating nature.^{7,80} Therefore, the phosphorus doping is another choice to improve the electrochemical properties of OMC, which can be explained in following aspects. First of all, the doped phosphorus atoms can incorporate into the carbon framework in the form of P-C bond. The charge density and spin density of the carbon layer are redistributed owing to the interaction between the phosphorus atoms and surrounding C atoms.⁸¹ Besides, the asymmetric spin density in the adjacent carbon atoms will be enhanced due to the effective electron pair in 3p orbitals of the

phosphorus atoms. The electron delocalization is increased due to the good electron-donating properties of phosphorus atoms.⁸⁰ Accordingly, the P-doped OMC produce more active sites for the electrochemical performance. Second, the phosphorus elements can be also doped with carbon layer in the form of P–O bond. The phosphorus elements incorporate around the edge plane sites of the carbon graphitic framework due to its larger atomic size (0.106 nm) than that of carbon elements (0.077 nm), which can increase the electrochemical performance. Third, the changes of the physical structures, including SSA, pore size distribution, and pore volume, also affect the electrochemical performance. It has been proved that the P-doped OMC may possess larger SSA and pore volume than those of N-doped OMC owing to the larger atomic size of phosphorus atoms.⁷ The interlayer spacing between carbon graphite layers of P-doped OMC are enlarged, which can be attributed to the creation of more vacancies or defects.⁸² Actually, the electrons transfer much easier and faster in the enlarged carbon interlayer space.⁸³ Last but not least, the induced local defects by P doping in carbon matrix could improve the amount of active sites due to the different bonding length and bonding angle of C and P atoms.^{84,85}

The inorganic phosphate acids were commonly used as P source for the modification of OMC. The hydroxyl groups in resol, triblock copolymer, and phosphoric acid favored the homogeneous dispersion of P in the carbon matrix *via* the polycondensation reaction.^{21,86} Xue *et al.* proved that the aromatization and graphitization of the P-doped OMC was promoted due to the cyclization, dehydration and condensation of phosphoric acid.²¹ The peaks at 1170 and 1430 cm⁻¹ in the FTIR spectra corresponded to the stretching vibration of P–O–C and P–C band, respectively. The previous works also revealed that the doping contents of P element and ordered mesoporous structure were related to the pyrolysis temperature, acid dose, and other additives.^{21,86,87} Lower pyrolysis temperature generated more P–O–C band through the dehydration of the surface hydroxyl groups, while higher pyrolysis temperature resulted in the formation of more P–C band.⁸⁶ The small-angle X-ray scattering (SAXS) and transmission electron microscope (TEM) results indicated the overdosed phosphoric acid could destroy the ordered porous structure by breaking the organic–organic self-assembly.^{21,88}

Except the inorganic acid, the organic P-contained chemicals were also used to produce P-doped OMC. Yang *et al.* produced P-doped OMC with a thin film-type platelet by using triphenylphosphine as P source.²⁰ The P content reduced from 1.41 to 0.23% with the pyrolysis temperature increasing from 700 to 1000 °C. The XPS results displayed that the P element presented in the form of P–C and P–O at 130.6 and 132.3 eV, respectively. Song and Zhou *et al.* also applied triphenylphosphine as P source to produce P-doped OMC by an *in situ* method.^{84,85} They both found that P-doping could improve the SSA of OMC, but lead to a slight deterioration of ordered porous structure. Furthermore, a positive shift of P_{2p} peak about 2.1 ± 0.1 eV occurred, which was ascribed to the interaction of P_t particles, P, O and C atoms. The XPS results in Song's study indicated that

the P-doping improved the amounts of oxygen-contained functional groups and zero-valent P_t.

3.3 S-Doped OMC

Sulfur element is also used as a foreign element to improve the electrochemical performance of OMC. The reasons why S-doped OMC has special electrochemical property are concluded in the following aspects. Although the electronegativity value of sulfur (2.58) and carbon element (2.55) is similar, the atomic radius of sulfur element (102 pm) is larger than that of carbon (75 pm) and nitrogen element (77 pm).²² On the one hand, the larger atomic radius of sulfur element and the activation role of sulfur-contained chemical result in a larger interlayer spacing, which could preserve the structural stability during the ions intercalation/extraction process.²³ On the other hand, a larger atomic radius leads to a higher SSA and pore volume of the as-obtained OMC, which can improve the contact interface with the electrolyte ions and shorten the electrons/ions diffusion pathway.⁸⁹ The replacement of carbon atoms by sulfur atoms leads to the increase of more vacancies and defects, which could accommodate more electrolyte ions during the charge–discharge process.⁹⁰ Second, the sulfur functionalities usually present in the form of C–S–C, C=S, –C–O–S, and SO_x bond.^{90,91} The thiophene-type sulfur element, especially the C–S–C bond can serve as active sites for the oxygen reduction activity, thereby enhancing the electrocatalytic activity and cycle stability.⁹² Generally, the S 2p_{3/2} peaks of C–S–C groups correspond to the binding energy of 163.7 ± 0.1 eV. The S 2p_{1/2} peaks of C–S–C groups are located around the binding energy of 164.7 eV. The SO_x peaks is normally around 168.3 ± 0.3 eV.^{90,93} Third, the larger covalent radius of sulfur atom (0.102 nm) than carbon atom (0.077 nm) contributes to more defects of the S-doped OMC. Furthermore, the lower internal energy of sulfur atom (2.85 eV) than carbon atom (7.37 eV) reduces the ions transfer resistance, but improves the mutual interaction between the electrolyte ions and electrode.⁹⁴

It should be mentioned that the S-doping may result in the reducing SSA of OMC, especially for the post treatment method.^{95–97} For example, Wang *et al.* found that the SSA and pore volume of OMC decreased from 1.21 cm³ g⁻¹ and 1345 m² g⁻¹ to 0.41 cm³ g⁻¹ and 335 m² g⁻¹ after 25% sulfur embedding, respectively. In Liu's study, the SSA and pore volume of OMC also reduced from 1.671 cm³ g⁻¹ and 1234 m² g⁻¹ to 0.97 cm³ g⁻¹ and 867 m² g⁻¹ after 2.5% sulfur doping, respectively. In Song's work, the SSA and pore volume of S-doped OMC decreased about 14 times and 7 times than those of the original OMC. The changes of SSA before and after doping are highly depended on the precursors and reaction parameters.

Some researchers demonstrated that the doping of sulfur element could enhance the stability of metal nanoparticles through spatial confinement and electron donor–acceptor interaction.^{98,99} The binding energy of P_t in pure OMC was 71.3 eV, which was positively shifted to 71.6 eV in S-doped OMC.⁹⁸ Meanwhile, the sulfur peaks exhibited a negative shift of 0.8 eV due to the electron transfer reaction between sulfur element and P_t particles. Furthermore, the particle size of P_t in

S-doped OMC was only 1 nm, which was 4 times lower than that in pure OMC.⁹⁹

3.4 B-Doped OMC

First, the carbon atoms (0.077 nm) and boron atoms (0.082 nm) have similar sizes of atomic radius, leading to a relatively facile replacement of carbon atoms by boron atoms in theory.¹⁰⁰ However, the doping content of boron species in OMC is relatively low (<2%) in experiment,^{101–104} because the boron species volatilize above 500 °C during the pyrolysis and the inevitable competition between boric acid and template toward hydrogen bond of phenolic resin leading to the weakened assemble.¹⁰⁵ Another reason is that the boron species prefer to incorporate inside sp^2 carbon lattice, which is harder than the bonding with carbon atoms at the defects or edges.¹⁰⁶ Second, the electronegativity of carbon atoms is higher than that of boron atoms. Moreover, the boron atoms may play a role as electron acceptor for carbon lattice due to its three valence electrons. Hence, the B atoms in the CB_3 structure are positively charged with stronger interaction with electrolyte anions. The redistribution of π -system in the carbon lattice due to the B-doping can improve the van der Waals interactions between electrolyte cations and π -system.¹⁰⁷ Third, the graphitization degree and wettability between the electrolyte and the electrode can be improved due to the B-doping, which could enhance the electrical conductivity.¹⁰⁸ Fourth, the previous studies indicated that the boron element in OMC usually presents in the form of B–C and B–O, corresponding to the peaks at 188 ± 1 and 191.5 ± 0.1 eV.^{109,110} Besides, another peak around 192.1 eV is ascribed to the bonding of B–O–C.^{31,105} The presence of the B–C bond in the form of B_4C can reduce the Fermi energy level of graphite carbon at the trigonal sites, thus modifying the electronic structure of OMC.^{102,110} The B–C bond in the form of B_4C or B_3C can enhance the charge density and charge carriers of the nearby carbon atoms, producing highly electrical conductivity.⁴⁷

It is worth noting that the binding of boron element can synchronously contribute to the incorporation of more oxygen groups into the carbon skeleton, providing an extra pseudo capacitance.^{9,25,111,112} This is because the redistribution of π -system in the B-neighbouring carbon lattice boosts the intensity of C–O bond as well as weakens the intensity of C–C bond at the same time.^{9,104} In addition, the oxygen atoms serve as the bridge between the carbon and boron atoms.¹¹³ And the boron atoms nearby the carbon atoms with the lower electronegativity prefer positive charged, promoting the capture of the O_2 molecule. The boron oxide could play a role as an oxygen retardant for the pyrolysis process, during which the thermally stable quinones and carbonyl groups are preserved and the thermally active carboxyl groups are burn-off.¹⁰³ Guo *et al.* found that the contents of oxygen groups increased from 12.33 to 16.06% with the contents of doped-B increased from 0.64 to 2.11%.¹¹¹ Wang *et al.* also discovered that the contents of oxygen groups increased from 8.5 to 10.3% with the mass ratio of boric acid to furfuryl alcohol increasing from 0.02 to 0.08.²⁵

Another characteristic is that the existence of boron atoms could facilitate the uniform dispersion of Pd nanoparticles due

to their more defective sites and stronger interaction with Pd nanoparticles.^{24,33,114} The positive shift of 0.7 eV in the XPS spectra of Pd 3d between the B-doped OMC and the original OMC demonstrated the electron transfer between the Pd nanoparticles and boron atoms.²⁴ The TEM tests by Nsabimana *et al.* display that the Pd nanoparticles on the original OMC preferred to aggregate into large particle clusters with an average size of 4.36 nm. Nevertheless, the Pd nanoparticles on the B-doped OMC formed more homogeneous and smaller particle with an average size of 2.62 nm.¹¹⁴ Similar results can be also found in Zhou's study.³³ The average diameter of Pd on the B-doped OMC was 2.5 nm, which was much lower than that of the original OMC (4.1 nm).

However, some previous reports show that the incorporation of boron atoms into the carbon skeleton may lead to the decline of the SSA and the collapse of ordered mesoporous structure.^{25,103,114,115} The SSA and pore volume of B-doped OMC decreased from 1258 to 780 $m^2 g^{-1}$ and 1.56 to 0.78 $cm^3 g^{-1}$ with the boron percentage increasing from 0 to 4%, respectively. Meanwhile, the low-angle X-ray diffraction results show that the gradual disappearance of 3D cubic 1a 3d peaks after B-doping. Analogously, the strength weakening of (100) peak in B-doped OMC at the boron content of 3.6% also indicated a deterioration of ordered structure.¹⁰⁸ The negative influence of B-doping on the SSA and pore volume were also investigated by Zhang *et al.*, in which the SSA and pore volume decreased about 3-fold and 2.5-fold in comparison with the original OMC as a result of transformation of the exiting micropores.¹⁰³ Fig. 7 summarized effects of heteroatom doping on the electrical properties of OMC.

3.5 Heteroatom co-doped OMC

Many publications have demonstrated that the synergistic effects of co-doping heteroatoms could provide more active sites

Fig. 7 Effects of heteroatoms doping on the electrical properties of OMC.

and additional functional groups as well as promote the surface wettability for OMC compared to the single doping, which create excellent electrochemical behavior.^{10,11} In most of co-doping cases, the hard template is preferred than the soft template, because the binary or ternary foreign atoms could weaken the driving force and prevent the carbon precursor and soft template from organic-organic self-assembly.^{6,7,10,29,92,116,117} The remarkable characteristics of co-doping include the increase of structural defects, the change of SSA, and ordered mesoporous structure.

The defect degrees due to the doping of various heteroatoms can be revealed by the Raman tests. The Raman spectra of OMC are normally consist of two peaks at approximately 1330 ± 20 and $1600 \pm 20 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, corresponding to the D band and G band.^{10,29,92,118,119} The D band represents the stretching vibration of the lattice distortion, vacancy, topological defects, while the G band stands for the E_{2g} symmetry vibration of interplane sp^2 -hybridized carbon. Therefore, the defect degree of OMC is commonly evaluated according to the intensity ratio of the D band and G band (I_D/I_G). In Ye's research, the I_D/I_G value were 1.08, 1.01, 1.0 for the N-S co-doped OMC, N-doped OMC, and original OMC, respectively.⁹² In Deng's study, N-P binary-doped OMC (0.95) exhibited higher I_D/I_G value than that of N-doped OMC (0.92) and P-doped OMC (0.86).¹¹⁹ In Zeng's report, the N-B co-doped OMC possessed the highest I_D/I_G value (1.31) compared to B-doped OMC (1.25), N-doped OMC (1.20), and original OMC (1.16).²⁹ The above-mentioned data suggested that more defects were generated from the synergistic contribution of various heteroatoms, which may provide more activate sites for electrochemical process.

The co-doping of heteroatoms not only improved the defects in the resultant OMC, but also affected the SSA and average pore size. In some studies, the SSA of OMC increased after doping.^{7,11,29,119,120} Panja *et al.* reported the SSA and pore volume of pure OMC and N-P co-doped OMC were 1127, 1968 $\text{m}^2 \text{g}^{-1}$ and 1.43 and 2.47 $\text{cm}^3 \text{g}^{-1}$, respectively.⁷ Almost two-fold increases of the SSA and pore volume were ascribed to the bond cleavage reactions and crosslink condensations between the carbon atoms at the edge-plane sites and phosphate compounds from the pyrolyzation of phytic acid. Additionally, the interlayer spacing of [002] plane peaks expanded from 0.334 to 0.363 nm after N-P doping. However, the SSA of doped-OMC also decreased in some cases.^{10,56,92,117,121} Zhang *et al.* demonstrated the corrosion role of sulfuric acid towards carbon channels resulted in a decrease of SSA from 869 to 772 $\text{m}^2 \text{g}^{-1}$ and an increase of average pore size from 4.1 to 6.4 nm with the increasing amount of sulfuric acid.¹¹⁷ The expansion of the pore size was also shown in Xin's study.¹⁰ The unit cell values calculated from small-angle X-ray scattering (SAXS) of OMC and N-P co-doped OMC were 11.16 and 12.07 nm. Ye *et al.* found that the SSA of N-S co-doped OMC even reduced 2.4-fold at the highest mass ratio of thiourea to OMC by the attack of released pyrolysis gases.⁹² Feng *et al.* reported the N-doping with cyanamide as N source lead to a decreasing SSA about 231 $\text{m}^2 \text{g}^{-1}$. Nevertheless, the P-doping with phosphoric acid as P source resulted in an increasing SSA about 533 $\text{m}^2 \text{g}^{-1}$. More interestingly, the N-P co-doped OMC had a SSA of 1300 $\text{m}^2 \text{g}^{-1}$,

which was higher than that of the single N-doped OMC, but lower than that of P-doped OMC.¹¹ Deng *et al.* carried out a study to produce N-P co-doped OMC with ammonia gas and triphenylphosphine as N and P source in a soft-template strategy.¹¹⁹ The as-prepared P-doped OMC ($448 \text{ m}^2 \text{g}^{-1}$) and N-doped OMC ($903 \text{ m}^2 \text{g}^{-1}$) possessed a lower SSA than that of N-P co-doped OMC ($1118 \text{ m}^2 \text{g}^{-1}$). Overall, the most important factor for the improvement or reduction of SSA is heteroatom sources. X-ray diffraction (XRD), small angle X-ray scattering (SAXS), and transmission electron microscopy (TEM) are useful tools to observe the ordered porous structure before and after doping.^{29,92,117} The broader peaks at 24° and 43° in the XRD spectra, corresponding to (002) and (100) planes of the graphitic carbon, indicated the decrease of ordered degree.^{29,92} The deteriorated channels in TEM images and weakened peaks in SAXS patterns all reflected the increase of the disordered degree.^{29,117} Hence, the preservation of ordered mesoporous structure after heteroatoms doping should be paid much attention in the future study.

Except the porous structure, the influences of process parameters, such as reaction temperature, aging time, template amount, acid amount, and pyrolysis temperature, on the doping content and dopant species were observed during the binary or ternary doping process.^{36,101} Zhao *et al.* found that the effects of the parameters on the amount of foreign elements were different in solo-case and co-doping case.¹⁰¹ The involved mechanism was deduced according to Fig. 8. The organic-organic self-assembly of carbon precursor, template, and B/P anions were performed based on $I^+X^-S^+$ coulombic interactions. During this process, the protonation of precursor needed to be motivated under acidic condition. Therefore, the formation of borophosphate anions like $[B_xP_yO_z]^{n-}$ in the co-doping case facilitated the improvement of doping amount in the as-obtained OMC. And the competition of hydrochloric acid and borophosphate anions were responsible for the decrease of doping content under the condition of high hydrochloric acid concentrations. The research confirmed that the co-doping of N and P element not only improved the contents of each foreign element in OMC, but also promoted the development of mesoporous structure. The pyrolysis temperature is one of the most significant parameter for the changing of doping content, which is also changed with the various foreign elements.^{36,122,123} Zhang *et al.* found a tremendous reduction of nitrogen content from 10.0 to 4.8% and a slight increase of sulfur content from 0.69 to 0.92% with the pyrolysis temperature increasing from 650 to 950 $^\circ\text{C}$.³⁶ What's more, the relative percentage of quaternary-N enhanced from 75.8 to 95.2%, while the pyridine-N decreased from 24.2 to 4.8%. A study by Zhang *et al.* shows that the relative percentage of N and P element decreased from 5.18 to 2.06% and 3.42 to 0.88%, respectively. On the contrary, the relative percentage of O element increased from 8.74 to 13.44%.¹²² In another N-P co-doped case, the increasing of heating temperature from 650 to 950 $^\circ\text{C}$ lead to N decrease of 10%, but S increase of 0.63%.¹¹⁷ This is because the thermally unstable C-N groups were easily oxidized to produce small-molecular gases under the simultaneous engagement of high temperature and sulfuric acid. In addition, the sulphonation of

Fig. 8 Proposed mechanism of B, P co-doped OMC in a soft template. Reproduced with permission from ref. 100. Copyright 2010, American Chemical Society.

sulfuric acid generated sulfur oxides on the carbon surface at high temperature and the capillary effect of pores prolonged the residence time of these oxides. Liu *et al.* also reported a decrease of C/N ratio from 0.085 to 0.02, but an increase trend of S/C ratio from 0.033 to 0.076 with the pyrolysis temperature increasing from 600 to 1000 °C.¹²³ Zhao *et al.* found that the doping sequence of N and P source could cause the differences in doping content and dopant species.¹²¹ The contents and species percentage of synchronized doping N–P co-doped OMC and first-P-second-N OMC were comparable, which were larger than those of first-N-second-P OMC. For example, the contents of pyridinic-N, pyrrolic-N, graphitic-N, and pyridinic-N in N–P co-doped OMC and first-N-second-P OMC were 38.2, 23.4, 27.6, 10.8%, and 22.1, 11.0, 51.9, 15.0, respectively.

4. Applications as electrodes in supercapacitors

4.1 N-Doped OMC in supercapacitors

The original researches led by Ryoo developed a N-doped OMC for electric double layer capacitor (EDLC) electrodes in 2008.¹²⁴ In the pioneering studies, the SSA of N-doped OMC and the corresponding specific capacitance were not perfect.^{124,125} The largest SSA of N-doped OMC by Ryoo and Kim *et al.* were only 778 and 725 m² g^{−1}, respectively. The highest capacitance of the electrodes was 182 F g^{−1} at 10 mV s^{−1}, which was higher than that of pure OMC (147 F g^{−1}). Afterwards, the SSA and pore volume of N-doped OMC were improved to 1420 m² g^{−1} and 1.11 cm³ g^{−1}. However, the electrochemical properties were still very poor with a low specific capacitance of 108 F g^{−1} at 1 mV s^{−1}.¹²⁶

N-Doped OMC with excellent electrochemical properties were gradually synthesized by the scholars in the next decades. Huang *et al.* applied dicyandiamide and ammonia gas as double N source to prepare N-doped OMC with a large SSA of 1580 m² g^{−1} and N content of 11.9% *via* CVD coupled with dried post treatment method.¹²⁷ The N-doped electrode exhibited a pronouncedly high specific capacitance of 855 F g^{−1} at the current density of 1 A g^{−1}, and maintained 615 F g^{−1} at the high current density of 40 A g^{−1}, which was derived from its high hydrophilicity, low dimensionality, ultrathin carbon layer, and redox reactions of the graphitic nitrogen-associated defects.

Zhao *et al.* produced a N-doped OMC with SSA of 1741 m² g^{−1} and N content of 15.1% using cyanamide as N dopant in a simple hard method.⁶⁷ The resultant electrode displayed a good rate capability with capacitance retention of 77.4% in 6 M KOH electrolyte. They also proved that the N-doped OMC electrode owned comparable capacitance in 1 M H₂SO₄ electrolyte.⁴⁶ A hybrid device was assembled with N-doped OMC as negative electrode and MnO₂ decorated activated carbon as positive electrode by Qu *et al.*¹²⁸ A homogeneous electron–proton hopping due to the additional N-groups and ordered mesoporous structure contributed to a good cycling stability for 150 cycles.

Recently, the synthesis of N-doped OMC with green and environmental friendly sources in a scalable and mass production process is a promising research field. Han *et al.* prepared gelatin-based N-doped OMC without polymerization and chemical activation procedure. The electrode exhibited a capacitance of 252 F g^{−1} at the current density of 0.2 A g^{−1}, with a high retention rate of 99.1% after 10 000 cycles.¹²⁹ Sui *et al.* used pyrrole and carbamide as double N sources to prepare N-doped OMC with a large SSA of 1451 m² g^{−1} and high nitrogen content of 5.83%.¹³⁰ The electrode possessed a capacitance as high as 310 F g^{−1} at 1 A g^{−1}, and remained 245 F g^{−1} even at 20 A g^{−1} in a three-electrode system. The solution resistance and charge-transfer resistance of the N-doped OMC based symmetrical supercapacitor were only 0.55 Ω and 0.72 Ω after 5000 cycles. The synergetic effect of pyrrole and carbamide created more active N species (pyridinic N and pyrrolic N) at the edges of carbon matrix, leading to the enhancement of electrochemical performance.

4.2 P-Doped OMC in supercapacitors

The studies of P-doped OMC are relatively few in comparison with N-doped OMC, especially in the field of supercapacitors. An *in situ* soft method was used to prepare P-doped OMC with a SSA of 644 m² g^{−1} and P content of 2.19% at 800 °C for 2 h. The capacitance of P-doped OMC was 146 F g^{−1} at 0.2 A g^{−1} in 6 M KOH electrolyte, which was much higher than that of pure OMC (98 F g^{−1}).¹⁰¹ In another study, an *in situ* hard method was applied to synthesize P-doped OMC with saccharose as carbon source, KIT-6 as template, and phytic acid as phosphorus source.⁷ The SSA and capacitance of as-obtained P-doped OMC

were $2282 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$ and 162 F g^{-1} , which were almost 2 times and 1.5 times higher than those of the original OMC. A P-doped three-dimensionally OMC with better electrochemical performance as supercapacitor electrode was produced by using polystyrene sphere as a novel template by an *in situ* soft method.¹³¹ The 3D OMC-based electrode possessed a capacitance of 282 F g^{-1} at a current density of 0.1 A g^{-1} with an excellent rate retention capability of 85%.

4.3 B-Doped OMC in supercapacitors

As early as in 2008, the B-doped OMC with a doping content of 0.6% and SSA of $470 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$ was synthesized by co-pyrolysis of sucrose, boric acid, and SBA-15 silica template.¹³¹ The capacitance of B-doped OMC electrode was 98.7 and 145.7 F g^{-1} at 10 mV s^{-1} in $1 \text{ M H}_2\text{SO}_4$ and 6 M KOH electrolyte, which improved about 1.5 times than those of the pristine OMC. The introduction of B dopants increased the amount of oxygen chemical groups, providing exchangeable proton for redox reaction. Moreover, the B dopants were of great importance for the increase of charge densities and Fermi level density of states. The enhancement of pseudocapacitance and charge transfer by boron doping in OMC was also reported by Enterria.⁹ In their study, an innovative method combining soft template and hydrothermal carbonization was developed to design the contents and chemical states of boron species by adjusting the mass ratio, hydrothermal temperature, and duration time. The self-generated pressures facilitated the permeation of H_3BO_3 into the organic phase and transformation of boron oxide to B-C component. More interestingly, the boron doping content was positive correlation with the intensity of redox peaks and the boron species had an effect on the positions of redox peaks. The capacitance of B-doped OMC with a content of 1.78% was 156 F g^{-1} at 1 A g^{-1} with a cycling ability of 78% after 10 000 cycles. To obtain high-performance electrode materials for supercapacitors, some researchers also investigated the strategy to improve the doping content.^{25,113} In Zhang's study, the replacement of boric acid by 4-hydroxyphenylboronic acid could prevent the shrinkage of the well-ordered mesoporous structure *via* strengthening the solubility of the carbon source and hydrogen bond interaction of the reactants.¹¹³ The capacitance of as-prepared B-doped OMC electrode with a doping content of 3.96% was 183 F g^{-1} at 1 A g^{-1} , which was three times more than that of pure OMC. In Gao's research, the B-doped OMC electrode with a doping content of 2.9% delivered a high capacitance 250 F g^{-1} at 1 A g^{-1} and a low internal resistance of 1.05Ω .²⁵

4.4 Heteroatom co-doped OMC in supercapacitors

Many researchers explored the multifunctional effect of dual or ternary dopants on the electrochemical properties. Early in 2010, an *in situ* EISA approach was used to prepare B or P solo-incorporated and co-incorporated OMC with a SSA ranging from 500 to $700 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$.¹⁰¹ The capacitance of B-P co-doped OMC was 173 F g^{-1} , which was higher than that of solo B-doped OMC (134 F g^{-1}) and P-doped OMC (146 F g^{-1}). In 2011, a hydrothermal carbonization was used to fabricate B-P

co-doped OMC with boric acid and phosphoric acid as heteroatom sources under different synthesis temperatures.¹³² The boron and phosphorus content of the resultant carbon material increased from 0.77 to 2.15% and 1.20 to 3.30% with the hydrothermal temperature increasing from 50 to 150°C , respectively. Meanwhile, the capacitance of B-P co-doped OMC electrode for supercapacitor was slightly increased from 158 to 177 F g^{-1} , in spite of the decreasing SSA from 659 to $590 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$. In the following ten years, the incorporation of foreign atoms in OMC mainly focused on the doping of nitrogen element with other heteroatoms, especially sulphur^{36,117,133} and phosphorus element.^{7,10,11,122} Professor Zhang's group synthesized N-S co-doped OMC with a high SSA of $1021 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$ and capacitance of 180 F g^{-1} at 1 A g^{-1} by using pyrrole and sulphuric acid as heteroatom sources.³⁶ Afterwards, they also fabricated a 3D cubic N-S co-doped OMC with much higher capacitance of 320 F g^{-1} using KIT-6 as hard template.¹³³ After 1000 cycles, the capacitance remained 255 F g^{-1} at 1 A g^{-1} with a retention rate of 79.7%. The outstanding performance rooted from synergistic effect of electrical double-layer capacitance and pseudocapacitance of N-S co-doped OMC electrode with super conductivity and hydrophilicity to aqueous electrolyte. This research group also used pyrrole and phosphoric acid as heteroatom sources to obtain N-P co-doped OMC with a highest specific area of $1073 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$. The maximum capacitance of the electrode reached up to 327 F g^{-1} at 0.5 A g^{-1} , which was 1.9 times higher than that of the un-doped OMC. The capacitance retention rates of N-P co-doped OMC at pyrolysis temperature of 650, 800, and 950°C were 93.6, 93.9, and 100% after 1000 cycles. In Xin's study, a N-P dual-doped OMC with much higher capacitance 392 F g^{-1} at 1 A g^{-1} and capacitance retention of 95% after 5000 cycles were synthesized using a novel sol-gel strategy.¹⁰

5. Applications as electrocatalyst

The use of metal-free electrocatalyst can avoid the sintering and agglomeration of metal or metal oxide catalysts, reduce production cost, and improve the long term stability.^{20,80} The well-designed mesoporous structure in OMC can provide short transfer pathway for the electrons or ions, and the defects by heteroatoms incorporation can serve as active sites for the oxidation-reduction process. The onset potential, number of transferred electrons, kinetic current density, and half-wave potential are the important information of the electrocatalyst.

5.1 N-Doped OMC as electrocatalyst

It is well known that the N-doped OMC has also been widely applied as promising oxygen reduction catalyst due to its cost-effective and corrosion resistance.^{134,135} The cyclic voltammetry and linear sweep voltammogram tests in N_2 and O_2 -saturated are usually used to evaluate the ORR performance.^{26,27,136} The earliest application of N-doped OMC in oxygen reduction reaction (ORR) can be dated from 2009.¹³⁴ The as-obtained N-doped OMC with a SSA of $1132 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$ and nitrogen percentage of 6.88% exhibited a 0.88 V onset potential *vs.* standard hydrogen electrode for oxygen reduction, which was comparable to the

commercial Pt/C catalyst (0.996 V). The authors proposed that the pyridinic-N at the edge or vacancy of the carbon framework promoted the electron-donating ability and oxygen chemisorption for ORR. Similar catalyst result was obtained by Dai's group, in which the OMC was post-treated with NH_3 at 950–1050 °C.¹³⁵ More efficient N-doped OMC-based catalyst was successfully synthesized by the later researchers.^{27,136} *In situ* doping by melamine associated with post treatment of NH_3 at 900 °C was performed to prepare Fe–N co-doped OMC with a SSA of 1643 $\text{m}^2 \text{g}^{-1}$ and nitrogen percentage of 2.90%. The highest onset potential and diffusion-limited current density were 1.018 V and 5.98 mA cm^{-2} , respectively. Additionally, the attenuation of half-wave potential after 5000 cycles was only 22.3 mV, indicating a favourable durability of the Fe–N co-doped OMC.²⁷ An *in situ* self-assembly carbonization process was used to prepare Co nanoparticles inserted N-doped OMC with an onset potential located at 0.961 V, a half-wave potential located at 0.815, and a good retention rate of 89.2% after 50000 cycles.¹³⁶ Han *et al.* applied KIT-6 as a template and 2-methylimidazole as the C, Fe, and N three-in-one source to fabricate a three-dimensional Fe–N–OMC composite.²⁶ The XPS, XANES, and EXAFS results implied that the Fe atoms coordinated with four adjacent pyridinic-N atoms to form a planar FeN_4

structure. The onset and half-wave potential of Fe–N co-doped OMC composite were 0.93 and 1.08 V, which were higher than those of commercial Pt/C. The decrease of onset potential for bare OMC catalyst without FeN_4 and the lower Tafel slope of OMC after the removal of template indicated the synergistic effect of FeN_x active sites along with 3D ordered mesoporous structure. The theoretical calculation based on density functional theory (DFT) also proved the above-mentioned reaction mechanism (Fig. 9). The free energy diagram in Fig. 9c shows a jump of rate-limiting step along with a decreasing overpotential of 0.11 V after N-doping, demonstrating the intrinsic activity of doping-N towards ORR. Fig. 9f displays an increasing Fermi level of 0.18 eV and the occupation of Fe- d_{yz} and Fe- d_{zx} peaks, implying the accommodation of more charges into electron orbitals after N-doping.

5.2 P-Doped OMC as electrocatalyst

The most important field for the application of P-doped OMC was catalyst, especially for ORR.^{20,80,84–87} A P-doped OMC was produced by the co-pyrolysis of triphenylphosphine, phenol, and SBA-15 in an *in situ* hard method.⁸⁰ It was found that only one-step process with a low onset potential and high current

Fig. 9 Optimized configuration of (a) Fe–N–C/OMC, (b) Fe–N–C/N–OMC, iron (yellow), nitrogen (blue) and carbon (brown), (c) free energy diagram for the four-electron oxygen reduction pathway on Fe–N–C/OMC (blue) and Fe–N–C/N–OMC (red) in alkaline media, (d) and (e): Bader charge for adsorbed OOH^* and OH^* on the FeN_4 sites of Fe–N–C/OMC (blue) and Fe–N–C/N–OMC (red), (f) density of states and Fermi levels for Fe–N–C/OMC (blue) and Fe–N–C/N–OMC (red). Reproduced with permission from ref. 26. Copyright 2021, Elsevier.

density occurred in the linear sweep voltammetry curves with an O_2 -saturated 0.1 M KOH solution. Besides, the charge transfer resistance reduced from 29.7 to 10.5 Ω , while the rod length of P-doped OMC shortened from 1.5 to 0.7 μm , resulting in a better ORR performance. Another published literature also displayed the linear relation between rod lengths and the capacitance performance.¹³⁷ It was proved that the coupling effect of high P content and SSA played a positive role in good activity of P-doped OMC catalyst.²⁰ Specifically, the charge transfer resistance of P-doped OMC at pyrolysis temperature of 800 $^{\circ}C$ was 2.11 Ω , which was much lower than that of P-doped OMC at pyrolysis temperature of 700 $^{\circ}C$ with higher P content but lower SSA (9.25 Ω). Other literatures proved that the P-doped OMC could be also used a solid acid catalyst for the esterification of oleic acid and methanol⁸⁶ and electrooxidation of methanol.⁸⁴

5.3 S-Doped OMC as electrocatalyst

The reports on the S-doped OMC as oxygen reduction catalyst were few, and most of the studies were focused on its application in Li-S batteries. Wang *et al.* used an *in situ* hard method to prepare S-doped OMC with different doses of sucrose and benzyl disulfide as carbon and sulphur sources.¹³⁸ The S-doped OMC with a lower SSA of 1099 $m^2 g^{-1}$ showed a higher current of 376.4 μA than that of original OMC with a higher SSA of 1357 $m^2 g^{-1}$ (158.7 μA), indicating the dominating role of sulphur dopants, especially the C-SO_x-C species. During the tolerance tests, the relative current of S-doped OMC electrocatalyst maintained 81.56% after 10 000 s, which was higher than that of commercial Pt-C electrocatalyst (27.61%). Titirici *et al.*

prepared the S-doped OMC *via* an all-in-one hydrothermal carbonization of the mixture of glucose, thiophenecarboxy, TEOS and P123 block copolymer.¹³⁹ The hydrothermal carbonization not only increased the doping content of tenfold by preventing the volatilization of S dopants into gases, but also avoided the traditional pre-step for the formation of silica template. The high electron transfer numbers calculated from Koutecky-Levich equation implied the four-electron reaction mechanism of S-doped OMC during the ORR process.

5.4 B-Doped OMC as electrocatalyst

The incorporation of boron could act as a modifier of the electronic structure and capture assistant for oxygen molecule. Bo *et al.* produced B-doped OMC with 4-hydroxyphenylboronic acid and sucrose as the boron and carbon source in a hard template method.¹¹¹ At the mass ratio of 0.15, the obtained B-doped OMC had a SSA of 900 $m^2 g^{-1}$ and boron content of 1.3%. The current decaying of B-doped OMC electrode was only 16% after 10 000 s, which was lower than that of commercial Pt/C catalyst (42%). Furthermore, the B-doped OMC electrode also exhibited better methanol tolerance than commercial Pt/C catalyst. Zhou *et al.* reported that the initial catalytic rates of Pd supported B-doped OMC over 2,4-dichlorophenol enhanced about 3 times compared with that without B-doping.³³ Nsabi-mana *et al.* reported the onset potentials of H₂O₂ on OMC and B-doped OMC were 0.45 and 0.38 V.¹¹⁴ Besides, the oxidation peak potentials and current densities towards the electrocatalytic oxidation of methanol by Pd supported OMC and B-doped OMC were 0.71, 0.658 V, and 0.360, 0.511 A mg⁻¹, respectively. These results implied a better electrochemical

Fig. 10 (a) Contact potential difference images, (b) energy diagram, (c) correlation of ORR activity, and (d) four-electron selectivity of the doped-OMC with their work function values. Reproduced with permission from ref. 115. Copyright 2014, American Chemical Society.

activity of B-doped OMC electrode due to more active sites and defects after B-doping.

5.5 Heteroatom co-doped OMC as electrocatalyst

Interestingly, the Kelvin probe force microscopy and linear sweep voltammetry (LSV) tests were used to investigate the relationship of heteroatom doping and reaction kinetics for ORR by Cheon.¹¹⁶ Based on LSV curves, the calculated electron number of N–O–S tri-doped OMC was 3.5, which was higher than that of S–O dual-doped OMC (2.7) and O solo-doped OMC (2.5), indicating that the ORR proceeded *via* quasi-four-electron reduction pathway. Fig. 10a and b clearly show the different values of contact potentials of various OMCs. Fig. 10c and d exhibit the linear relationship of kinetic current densities and electron transfer numbers with the work function, indicating that the lower energy barrier in N–O–S tri-doped OMC promoted the donation of electrons to the adsorbed oxygen. Over the last five years, the density functional theory (DFT) calculations were widely used to discuss the electrocatalysis properties of doped-OMC.^{106,121} In Daems' research, the N–O co-doped OMC displayed the electron numbers of 6 and higher current density than OMC, indicating a good selectivity to aniline.¹⁰⁶ The calculated adsorption energy of graphitic-N and pyridinic-N sites were -0.54 and -0.52 eV, which was higher than that of un-doped OMC (-0.38 eV), suggesting that the graphitic-N and pyridinic-N could act as catalytic sites for the reduction of nitrobenzene. As illustrated in Fig. 11, the lowest energy conjugation occurred under the parallel arrangement between

nitrobenzene and carbon plane. In Zhao's study, the DFT results showed that the formation energy of graphitic-N bond nearby P sites was lower than that of un-doped OMC, whether the P embedded inside or outside the carbon framework. And the adsorption energy of N on nearby P sites was 5.68 eV, which was higher than that of un-doped OMC (3.68 eV). The formation of C–N bond was at the cost of C–P bond. The above-mentioned information explained why the former P-doping could affect the later N-doping. Furthermore, the adsorption energy of oxygen on the graphitic-N doped-OMC (0.25 eV) was higher than that of un-doped OMC (0.16 eV), leading to elongation of O–O bond in Yeager mode. Finally, a better activity was performed in a four electron pathway for ORR.

6. Applications in ion batteries

6.1 N-Doped OMC in ion batteries

N-Doped OMC has applied as an attractive alternative for ion batteries, including Li^+ , Na^+ , and K^+ , due to its ordered mesoporous structure, short diffusion pathway, rich edge defects, large interaction interface for the insertion and extraction of the electrolyte ions.^{18,35,40,71,127} Zhu *et al.* prepared N-doped OMC with a high N content from 6.3 to 31.7% by adding different doses of melamine.¹⁸ Remarkably, the N-doped OMC with the highest N content of 31.7%, but low SSA of $33 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$ didn't show the best battery performance, suggesting the battery performance was affected by both surface chemistry and porous structure. The weakened solid–electrolyte-interface resistance and charge transfer resistance of N-doped OMC indicated the

Fig. 11 (A) Optimized configuration of graphitic-N OMC, and (B) pyridinic-N OMC, (C) Stable adsorption geometries of nitrobenzene on graphitic-N OMC, and (D) pyridinic-N OMC (grey spheres represent C atoms, red spheres O atoms, blue spheres N atoms and white spheres H atoms). Reproduced with permission from ref. 105. Copyright 2018, Royal Society of Chemistry.

improvement of interfacial properties after N-doping. The highest reversible capacity was 506 mA h g^{-1} with a coulombic efficiency of 99.4% after 300 cycles. Qiu *et al.* produced N-doped OMC by using a post treatment method under 20% of NH_3 at 700°C for 5 h. The reversible charge capacity of the N-doped electrode was higher than that of the initial one after 450 cycles due to the compensation of released K^+ ions from the active sites of defects and edges.⁷¹ Le *et al.* produced N-doped OMC with a SSA of $971\text{--}1054 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$ and nitrogen content of 2.84 to 8.57% by using melamine as dopant source.³⁵ The highest discharge capacity of 1887 mA h g^{-1} at 0.1 A g^{-1} was rooted from the favourable wettability and conductivity as well as more active sites by N-doping. Furthermore, the associated increasing O element provided more defects and vacancies, leading to the boost of Li insertion and extraction behaviors. Much stronger cathodic peaks appeared at 0.6 V and 1.6 V for N-doped OMC represented the formation of solid electrolyte interphase layer, which could prohibit electrolyte ions from corroding the carbon electrode. It should be noted that the sodium ion batteries (NIBs) have been developed in the battery market due to the widespread and cheap sodium sources.⁴⁰ The optimal N-OMC displayed a reversible capacity of 1359 mA h g^{-1} at 0.1 A g^{-1} and a good cycling stability of 722 mA h g^{-1} after 200 cycles. Much stronger interaction of Na^+ ions and N-doped OMC was observed from XPS analysis as a result of the heterozygosis of lone pair electrons in N atoms and π electrons in C atoms. Additionally, the presence of approximately 2% of hydrogen element played a beneficial role in Li storage through binding the adjacent Li ions. The large interlayer spacing of 0.387–0.395 nm and abundant mesopore pathways also played a positive effect on the electrolyte diffusion.

6.2 P-Doped OMC in ion batteries

A red P incorporated OMC was used as an anode electrode for both lithium-ion and sodium-ion batteries.⁶⁶ Although the as-obtained composite material only had an extraordinarily low specific area of $14 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$, a high initial reversible capacity of 2250 mA h g^{-1} for lithium ion batteries (LIBs) and a good reversible capacity of 2591 mA h g^{-1} for NIBs were obtained, owing to the high electronic conductivity and short diffusion pathway *via* confining the P nanoparticles in the channels. Three cathodic peaks located at 0.65, 0.33, 0.01 V and 0.52, 0.7, 1.42 V were observed for LIBs and NIBs, respectively, which were due to the insertion of lithium/sodium ions into the mesoporous channels and formation of Li_xP or Na_xP . The rate capacity retentions for both LIBs and NIBs were 40.26% and 27.88% with the current density increased from 0.6 to 9.8 A g^{-1} .

6.3 S-Doped OMC in ion batteries

The combining of OMC and sulphur can improve the conductivity of electrons/ions, and mitigate the polysulfide shuttle phenomenon of sulphur cathode through shortening the diffusion pathway of ions and reducing the ion-transport resistance.^{140,141} It should be noticed the post-thermal treatment was widely applied for the synthesis of S-doped OMC for lithium-ion battery.^{92,93,96} A melt-diffusion method was used to

synthesize S-doped OMC with high sulphur element by heating the pristine OMC and sulphur above the melting point of sulphur. The melt sulphur homogeneously filled inside the pore structures through the capillary forces as well as hydrophobicity of both materials, and then precipitated after cooling down.^{140–143} In Nazar' study, the comparison of pore structures before and after sulphur incorporation revealed that about 9% of empty pore volume remained, providing effective space for the accommodation of Li ions.¹⁴² Similar phenomenon was also observed by other researchers.¹⁴⁰ In additional, the electrical conductivity of S-doped OMC maintained as same as that of the pristine one (0.21 S cm^{-1}), indicating that electron transfer pathways were not blocked by S-doping. The obtained electrode showed a reversible capacity of 1400 mA h g^{-1} with a low capacity fading of 20%. Another report also used the melt-diffusion strategy to produce S-doped OMC with a high sulfur doping of 60% and an excellent cycling stability of 54.5% after 400 cycles.¹⁴⁰ It was established that the formation of bulk crystalline sulfur and the excessive coverage of mesopores above the sulfur doping of 60% could weaken the reaction activity and hinder the transfer of Li ions. Moreover, a novel ordered meso-microporous core-shell carbon assembled with sulfur was developed as a cathode of Li-S battery.¹⁴¹ The mesoporous "cores" served as a container for the loading of high-molecular sulphur (S_8), and the microporous "shell" played a role as physical barrier to retard the severe capacity fading over cyclings by anchoring sulphur in the form of small-molecular (S_{2-4}) (Fig. 12). The as-prepared cathode delivered a quite low capacity decay of 19% after 200 cycles. Zheng *et al.* also investigated the performance of S-doped hierarchical hybrid with ordered mesoporous cores and microporous shells for Li-S batteries.¹⁴⁴ It has been confirmed that the space confinement effect of micropores facilitated the accommodation of S_{2-4} molecular and transformation of short-chain polysulfides, thus prohibiting the migration of long-chain polysulfides from inside pores to external surface during the discharge process. The obtained cathode delivered a reversible capacity as high as 1122 mA h g^{-1} with a cycling retention of 92% after 300 cycles. Another study was performed to fabricate spherical S-doped OMC with polyaniline as a protective layer, therefore avoiding the dissolution of polysulfides into electrolyte.¹⁴³ The as-made cathode delivered a capacity of 1338 mA h g^{-1} with a good coulombic efficiency of 98% after 100 cycles due to the synergistic effect of ordered mesopores and the conductive polyaniline.

6.4 Heteroatom co-doped OMC in ion batteries

The previous reports confirmed that the better performance of co-doped OMC was derived from the improved active sites and conductivity from higher SSA and more defects.^{145–147} In Ye's study, the N-S co-doped OMC electrode delivered a reversible capacity of 419 mA h g^{-1} with a retention rate of 91.8% after 150 cycles.⁹² Moreover, N-S co-doped OMC also exhibited lower charge transfer resistance and superior rate capability than the solo-doped and un-doped OMC. DFT calculation was used to investigate the charge transfer and adsorption capability. The

Fig. 12 (a) XPS spectra of S-OMC, (b) XPS spectra of S-MMCS, (c) the proposed charge–discharge process, (d) the discharge profile of a typical electrochemical reaction, (e) TEM images and cycling curves. Reproduced with permission from ref. 140. Copyright 2014, American Chemical Society.

Fig. 13 (a–c) First-principles calculations for Na⁺ adsorption behavior on surface of OMC and (d) corresponding density of states. Reproduced with permission from ref. 91. Copyright 2019, Elsevier.

adsorption energy of N–S co-doped OMC, N-doped OMC, and original OMC was -2.54 , -1.90 , and -1.08 eV, respectively. Fig. 13d shows the increased valence and conduction bands Fermi level, indicating the improved conductivity after heteroatoms doping. In Li's research, a metal–N (metal = Fe, Co, Ni, and Cu) co-doping graphitic OMC was designed to alleviate the shuttle effect of polysulfide anions.⁴ The highest adsorption energies of Li₂S on Fe–N co-doped OMC (-3.01 eV) based on the DFT calculations explained the reason why the Fe–N co-doped OMC owned the strongest binding ability towards long-chain

polysulfides, thus preventing active sulfur decaying. The Fe–N co-doped OMC electrode delivered an initial capacity as high as 1473 mA h g^{-1} with a slight attenuation of 0.075% after 500 cycles.

7. Summary and prospects

Over the last two decade, numerous investigations have been carried out on the synthesis of different heteroatoms-doped OMC from various dopants, such as nitrogen, sulphur,

phosphorus, boron, oxygen, and co-doping of more than one heteroatom. In this review, we have discussed the recent methods for the fabrication of heteroatom-functionalized OMC, including *in situ*, post treatment, and chemical vapor deposition. Among them, the *in situ* method with a hard template is the most common due to its contribution to the reservation of well-ordered mesoporous structure. Additionally, we also summarized how the heteroatoms affected the electrochemical properties and the corresponding performances in various applications, such as supercapacitor, electrocatalysis, and ion battery. The previous findings revealed that the dopant contents and species were highly related to the synthesis parameters, such as the types of heteroatom source, the mass ratio of carbon source to foreign source, reaction temperature, pyrolysis temperature, and retention time. More importantly, the specific surface area and doping content as well as the dopant species played synergistic effect on the electrochemical properties. In summary, the doping of heteroatoms into the carbon network could reduce Fermi energy, redistribute charge density, produce more defects, enlarge interlayer spacing, improve graphitization degree, and lower transfer resistance, thereby providing more active sites, faster electron transfer rate, higher conductivity, and better surface wettability during the electrochemical behaviors.

Although the doped-OMC has displayed promising potential in many fields, some major questions are still required to be addressed: (i) how to simply the complicated synthesis processes without producing any environmental pollutants. According to this review, it could be easy to realize that the silica-based hard template was needed to fabricate firstly, followed by the nanocasting of carbon and heteroatom source, and finally the removal of silica by highly corrosive HF or hot NaOH in a hard-template process. The escaping gases, low-temperature waste heats, and chemical residues should be recycled and harmless disposal in practice. (ii) How to reduce the production cost. To accomplish the large scale application in industry of doped OMC, a cost-effective and economically feasible strategy should be developed. The replacement of traditional phenolic resin carbon source and heteroatom source by environmentally friendly biomass waste with *in situ* dopants is a feasible choice. There is no doubt that the optimization of technology is also a good choice. (iii) How to avoid the decrease of SSA as well as improve the doping content without any destruction of the ordered mesoporous structure, especially for boron and phosphorus dopants. (iv) How to accurately control the dopant species at the molecular level during the preparation process, and adjust the distribution of different species according to the specific requirement and target. Limited research work has been performed on the investigation of the interaction mechanism of carbon precursor, heteroatom source, and template, which could be provided by applying the *in situ* detection instruments.

Authors' contribution

Yuan Gao: Writing the manuscript and drawing the figures.
Qing Wang: Preparing the draft and collecting the primary data;

Guozhao Ji: Revising the language and grammar. Aimin Li: Assisting in the design of the manuscript. Jiamin Niu: Preparing the related references.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare that there are not any competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to affect the reported work in this paper.

Acknowledgements

This work was financial supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (51802035), the China Post-doctoral Science Foundation (2019M661102), and the National Key Research and Development Program of China (2020YFC1807800).

References

- 1 S. Yu, V. M. Hong Ng, F. Wang, Z. Xiao, C. Li, L. B. Kong, W. Que and K. Zhou, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2018, **6**, 9332–9367.
- 2 M. Xu, Q. Yu, Z. Liu, J. Lv, S. Lian, B. Hu, L. Mai and L. Zhou, *Nanoscale*, 2018, **10**, 21604–21616.
- 3 F. Zhang, X. Liu, M. Yang, X. Cao, X. Huang, Y. Tian, F. Zhang and H. Li, *Nano Energy*, 2020, **69**, 104443.
- 4 H. Li, D. Liu, X. Zhu, D. Qu, Z. Xie, J. Li, H. Tang, D. Zheng and D. Qu, *Nano Energy*, 2020, **73**, 104763.
- 5 M. R. Benzigar, S. N. Talapaneni, S. Joseph, K. Ramadass, G. Singh, J. Scaranto, U. Ravon, K. Al-Bahily and A. Vinu, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2018, **47**, 2680–2721.
- 6 T. Jiang, Y. Wang, K. Wang, Y. Liang, D. Wu, P. Tsiakaras and S. Song, *Appl. Catal., B*, 2016, **189**, 1–11.
- 7 T. Panja, D. Bhattacharjya and J. Yu, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2015, **3**, 18001–18009.
- 8 W. Libbrecht, A. Verberckmoes, J. W. Thybaut, P. Van Der Voort and J. De Clercq, *Carbon*, 2017, **116**, 528–546.
- 9 M. Enterría, M. F. R. Pereira, J. I. Martins and J. L. Figueiredo, *Carbon*, 2015, **95**, 72–83.
- 10 X. Xin, H. Kang, J. Feng, L. Sui, H. Dong, P. Zhao, B. Pang, Y. Chen, Q. Sun, S. Ma, R. Zhang, L. Dong and L. Yu, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2020, **393**, 124710.
- 11 J. Feng, W. Song, L. Sun and L. Xu, *RSC Adv.*, 2016, **6**, 110337–110343.
- 12 X. Wang, J. S. Lee, Q. Zhu, J. Liu, Y. Wang and S. Dai, *Chem. Mater.*, 2010, **22**, 2178–2180.
- 13 F. H. Simanjuntak, J. Jin, N. Nishiyama, Y. Egashira and K. Ueyama, *Carbon*, 2009, **47**, 2531–2533.
- 14 J. Wei, D. Zhou, Z. Sun, Y. Deng, Y. Xia and D. Zhao, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2013, **23**, 2322–2328.
- 15 D. Gang, Z. Uddin Ahmad, Q. Lian, L. Yao and M. E. Zappi, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2021, **403**, 126286.
- 16 Y. Kim, T. Park, J. Na, J. W. Yi, J. Kim, M. Kim, Y. Bando, Y. Yamauchi and J. Lin, *Nanoscale*, 2020, **12**, 8608–8625.
- 17 T. Y. Liu and G. L. Liu, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2019, **7**, 23476–23488.

- 18 J. Zhu, J. Yang, R. Miao, Z. Yao, X. Zhuang and X. Feng, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2016, **4**, 2286–2292.
- 19 Y. Qu, M. Guo, X. Wang and C. Yuan, *J. Alloys Compd.*, 2019, **791**, 874–882.
- 20 D. Yang, D. Bhattacharjya, M. Y. Song and J. Yu, *Carbon*, 2014, **67**, 736–743.
- 21 H. Xue, T. Wang, J. Zhao, H. Gong, J. Tang, H. Guo, X. Fan and J. He, *Carbon*, 2016, **104**, 10–19.
- 22 W. Cha, I. Y. Kim, J. M. Lee, S. Kim, K. Ramadass, K. Gopalakrishnan, S. Premkumar, S. Umapathy and A. Vinu, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2019, **11**, 27192–27199.
- 23 S. Liu, C. Lai, B. Li, C. Zhang, M. Zhang, D. Huang, L. Qin, H. Yi, X. Liu, F. Huang, X. Zhou and L. Chen, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2020, **384**, 123304.
- 24 R. Li, J. Zhao, D. Han and X. Li, *Catal. Commun.*, 2017, **97**, 116–119.
- 25 J. Gao, X. Wang, Y. Zhang, J. Liu, Q. Lu and M. Liu, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2016, **207**, 266–274.
- 26 J. Han, H. Bao, J. Wang, L. Zheng, S. Sun, Z. L. Wang and C. Sun, *Appl. Catal., B*, 2021, **280**, 119411.
- 27 H. Tan, Y. Li, X. Jiang, J. Tang, Z. Wang, H. Qian, P. Mei, V. Malgras, Y. Bando and Y. Yamauchi, *Nano Energy*, 2017, **36**, 286–294.
- 28 P. Zhang, L. Wang, S. Yang, J. A. Schott, X. Liu, S. M. Mahurin, C. Huang, Y. Zhang, P. F. Fulvio, M. F. Chisholm and S. Dai, *Nat. Commun.*, 2017, **8**, 15020.
- 29 K. Zeng, J. Su, X. Cao, X. Zheng, X. Li, J. Tian, C. Jin and R. Yang, *J. Alloys Compd.*, 2020, **824**, 153908.
- 30 Z. Wang, W. Han, H. Tang, Y. Li and H. Liu, *Microporous Mesoporous Mater.*, 2019, **275**, 200–206.
- 31 Y. Liu, Y. Xiong, P. Xu, Y. Pang and C. Du, *Sci. Total Environ.*, 2020, **708**, 134918.
- 32 J. R. Maluta, S. A. S. Machado, U. Chaudhary, J. S. Manzano, L. T. Kubota and I. I. Slowing, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2018, **257**, 347–353.
- 33 J. Zhou, K. Wu, W. Wang, Z. Xu, H. Wan and S. Zheng, *Appl. Catal., A*, 2014, **470**, 336–343.
- 34 H. Zhao, L. Li, Y. Liu, X. Geng, H. Yang, C. Sun and B. An, *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 2020, **504**, 144438.
- 35 H. T. T. Le, T. Dang, N. T. H. Chu and C. Park, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2020, **332**, 135399.
- 36 D. Zhang, Y. Hao, L. Zheng, Y. Ma, H. Feng and H. Luo, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2013, **1**, 7584.
- 37 Y. Hua, T. Jiang, K. Wang, M. Wu, S. Song, Y. Wang and P. Tsiakaras, *Appl. Catal., B*, 2016, **194**, 202–208.
- 38 D. S. Yuan, F. L. Zeng, J. Yan, X. L. Yuan, X. J. Huang and W. J. Zou, *RSC Adv.*, 2013, **3**, 5570–5576.
- 39 D. Zhou, W. Li, X. Dong, Y. Wang, C. Wang and Y. Xia, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2013, **1**, 8488.
- 40 Y. Zhang, L. Chen, Y. Meng, J. Xie, Y. Guo and D. Xiao, *J. Power Sources*, 2016, **335**, 20–30.
- 41 J. Lu, X. Bo, H. Wang and L. Guo, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2013, **108**, 10–16.
- 42 D. Zhou, W. Li, X. Dong, Y. Wang, C. Wang and Y. Xia, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2013, **1**, 8488.
- 43 X. Hu, C. Wang, M. Zhang, F. Zhao and B. Zeng, *Talanta*, 2020, **217**, 121032.
- 44 J. Wang, H. Liu, H. Sun, W. Hua, H. Wang, X. Liu and B. Wei, *Carbon*, 2018, **127**, 85–92.
- 45 S. Tanaka, H. Fujimoto, J. F. M. Denayer, M. Miyamoto, Y. Oumi and Y. Miyake, *Microporous Mesoporous Mater.*, 2015, **217**, 141–149.
- 46 J. Wei, D. Zhou, Z. Sun, Y. Deng, Y. Xia and D. Zhao, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2013, **23**, 2322–2328.
- 47 J. Su, X. Cao, J. Wu, C. Jin, J. Tian and R. Yang, *RSC Adv.*, 2016, **6**, 24728–24737.
- 48 S. Liang, J. Mi, F. Liu, Y. Zheng, Y. Xiao, Y. Cao and L. Jiang, *Chem. Eng. Sci.*, 2020, **221**, 115714.
- 49 Y. Z. Zhang, Y. Meng, L. Chen, Y. Guo and D. Xiao, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2016, **4**, 17491–17502.
- 50 J. Zhao, W. Shan, P. Zhang and S. Dai, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2020, **381**, 122579.
- 51 Z. Wu, P. A. Webley and D. Zhao, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2012, **22**, 11379.
- 52 W. Kim, M. Y. Kang, J. B. Joo, N. D. Kim, I. K. Song, P. Kim, J. R. Yoon and J. Yi, *J. Power Sources*, 2010, **195**, 2125–2129.
- 53 X. Wang, C. Liu, D. Neff, P. F. Fulvio, R. T. Mayes, A. Zhamu, Q. Fang, G. Chen, H. M. Meyer, B. Z. Jang and S. Dai, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2013, **1**, 7920.
- 54 N. D. Kim, W. Kim, J. B. Joo, S. Oh, P. Kim, Y. Kim and J. Yi, *J. Power Sources*, 2008, **180**, 671–675.
- 55 J. R. Maluta, S. A. S. Machado, U. Chaudhary, J. S. Manzano, L. T. Kubota and I. I. Slowing, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2018, **257**, 347–353.
- 56 W. Yang, X. Yue, X. Liu, J. Zhai and J. Jia, *Nanoscale*, 2015, **7**, 11956–11961.
- 57 B. Wang, T. P. Ang and A. Borgna, *Microporous Mesoporous Mater.*, 2012, **158**, 99–107.
- 58 Y. Xia and R. Mokaya, *Adv. Mater.*, 2004, **16**, 1553–1558.
- 59 S. Jun, S. H. Joo, R. Ryoo, M. Kruk, M. Jaroniec, Z. Liu, T. Ohsuna and O. Terasaki, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2000, **122**, 10712–10713.
- 60 R. Ryoo, S. H. Joo, M. Kruk and M. Jaroniec, *Adv. Mater.*, 2001, **13**, 677–681.
- 61 P. F. Fulvio, M. Jaroniec, C. Liang and S. Dai, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2008, **112**, 13126–13133.
- 62 J. Xu, Y. Zhao, C. Shen and L. Guan, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2013, **5**, 12594–12601.
- 63 V. Ravat, I. Nongwe and N. J. Coville, *Microporous Mesoporous Mater.*, 2016, **225**, 224–231.
- 64 Á. Sánchez-Sánchez, F. Suárez-García, A. Martínez-Alonso and J. M. D. Tascón, *J. Colloid Interface Sci.*, 2015, **450**, 91–100.
- 65 K. Wan, G. Long, M. Liu, L. Du, Z. Liang and P. Tsiakaras, *Appl. Catal., B*, 2015, **165**, 566–571.
- 66 W. Li, Z. Yang, M. Li, Y. Jiang, X. Wei, X. Zhong, L. Gu and Y. Yu, *Nano Lett.*, 2016, **16**, 1546–1553.
- 67 Q. Shi, R. Zhang, Y. Lv, Y. Deng, A. A. Elzatahry and D. Zhao, *Carbon*, 2015, **84**, 335–346.
- 68 D. Hulicova-Jurcakova, M. Seredych, G. Q. Lu and T. J. Bandoz, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2009, **19**, 438–447.
- 69 X. Sheng, N. Daems, B. Geboes, M. Kurttepel, S. Bals, T. Breugelmans, A. Hubin, I. F. J. Vankelecom and P. P. Pescarmona, *Appl. Catal., B*, 2015, **176–177**, 212–224.

- 70 J. Du, L. Liu, Y. Yu, Y. Zhang, H. Lv and A. Chen, *J. Mater. Sci. Technol.*, 2019, **35**, 2178–2186.
- 71 Z. Qiu, K. Zhao, J. Liu and S. Xia, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2020, **340**, 135947.
- 72 P. Matter, L. Zhang and U. Ozkan, *J. Catal.*, 2006, **239**, 83–96.
- 73 J. Park, Y. Nabae, T. Hayakawa and M. Kakimoto, *ACS Catal.*, 2014, **4**, 3749–3754.
- 74 X. H. Li, K. Wan, Q. B. Liu, J. H. Piao, Y. Y. Zheng and Z. X. Liang, *Chin. J. Catal.*, 2016, **37**, 1562–1567.
- 75 J. H. Lee, H. J. Lee, S. Y. Lim, B. G. Kim and J. W. Choi, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2015, **137**, 7210–7216.
- 76 A. F. M. EL-Mahdy, T. Liu and S. Kuo, *J. Hazard. Mater.*, 2020, **391**, 122163.
- 77 H. Chen, M. Zhou, Z. Wang, S. Zhao and S. Guan, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2014, **148**, 187–194.
- 78 Y. Liu, X. Zhao, G. S. Chauhan and J. Ahn, *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 2016, **380**, 151–158.
- 79 D. Liu, G. Cheng, H. Zhao, C. Zeng, D. Qu, L. Xiao, H. Tang, Z. Deng, Y. Li and B. Su, *Nano Energy*, 2016, **22**, 255–268.
- 80 D. Yang, D. Bhattacharjya, S. Inamdar, J. Park and J. Yu, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2012, **134**, 16127–16130.
- 81 F. Wu, R. Dong, Y. Bai, Y. Li, G. Chen, Z. Wang and C. Wu, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2018, **10**, 21335–21342.
- 82 J. Wang, Y. Xia, Y. Liu, W. Li and D. Zhao, *Energy Storage Mater.*, 2019, **22**, 147–153.
- 83 F. Wu, R. Dong, Y. Bai, Y. Li, G. Chen, Z. Wang and C. Wu, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2018, **10**, 21335–21342.
- 84 P. Song, L. Zhu, X. Bo, A. Wang, G. Wang and L. Guo, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2014, **127**, 307–314.
- 85 Y. Zhou, L. Tang, G. Yang, G. Zeng, Y. Deng, B. Huang, Y. Cai, J. Tang, J. Wang and Y. Wu, *Catal. Sci. Technol.*, 2016, **6**, 1930–1939.
- 86 L. Wang, X. Dong, H. Jiang, G. Li and M. Zhang, *Catal. Commun.*, 2014, **56**, 164–167.
- 87 P. F. Fulvio, R. T. Mayes, J. C. Bauer, X. Wang, S. M. Mahurin, G. M. Veith and S. Dai, *Catal. Today*, 2012, **186**, 12–19.
- 88 Z. Qiang, Y. Xia, X. Xia and B. D. Vogt, *Chem. Mater.*, 2017, **29**, 10178–10186.
- 89 J. R. Maluta, S. A. S. Machado, U. Chaudhary, J. S. Manzano, L. T. Kubota and I. I. Slowing, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2018, **257**, 347–353.
- 90 W. Li, M. Zhou, H. Li, K. Wang, S. Cheng and K. Jiang, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2015, **8**, 2916–2921.
- 91 D. Saha, S. Barakat, S. E. Van Bramer, K. A. Nelson, D. K. Hensley and J. Chen, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2016, **8**, 34132–34142.
- 92 J. Ye, H. Zhao, W. Song, N. Wang, M. Kang and Z. Li, *J. Power Sources*, 2019, **412**, 606–614.
- 93 Y. Song, J. Ren, G. Wu, W. Zhang, C. Zhang and F. Yin, *J. Mater. Res.*, 2019, **34**, 600–607.
- 94 L. Wang, W. Jia, X. Liu, J. Li and M. M. Titirici, *J. Energy Chem.*, 2016, **25**, 566–570.
- 95 S. Liu, C. Lai, B. Li, C. Zhang, M. Zhang, D. Huang, L. Qin, H. Yi, X. Liu, F. Huang, X. Zhou and L. Chen, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2020, **384**, 123304.
- 96 H. Wang, C. Zhang, Z. Chen, H. K. Liu and Z. Guo, *Carbon*, 2015, **81**, 782–787.
- 97 X. Liang, Z. Wen, Y. Liu, H. Zhang, L. Huang and J. Jin, *J. Power Sources*, 2011, **196**, 3655–3658.
- 98 H. I. Lee, S. H. Joo, J. H. Kim, D. J. You, J. M. Kim, J. Park, H. Chang and C. Pak, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2009, **19**, 5934.
- 99 J. G. Kim, B. Lee, N. N. T. Pham, S. G. Lee and C. Pak, *J. Ind. Eng. Chem.*, 2020, **89**, 361–367.
- 100 D. D. Chen, Z. Q. He, M. Wang, D. Wu, X. Y. Chen and Z. J. Zhang, *J. Solid State Electrochem.*, 2020, **24**, 641–654.
- 101 X. Zhao, A. Wang, J. Yan, G. Sun, L. Sun and T. Zhang, *Chem. Mater.*, 2010, **22**, 5463–5473.
- 102 X. Zhai, Y. Song, J. Liu, P. Li, M. Zhong, C. Ma, H. Wang, Q. Guo and L. Zhi, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, 2012, **159**, 177–182.
- 103 X. Zhao, Q. Zhang, B. Zhang, C. Chen, J. Xu, A. Wang, D. S. Su and T. Zhang, *RSC Adv.*, 2013, **3**, 3578.
- 104 D. Wang, F. Li, Z. Chen, G. Q. Lu and H. Cheng, *Chem. Mater.*, 2008, **20**, 7195–7200.
- 105 J. Song, Y. Zhang and Y. Liu, *RSC Adv.*, 2015, **5**, 20734–20740.
- 106 N. Daems, F. Risplendi, K. Baert, A. Hubin, I. F. J. Vankelecom, G. Cicero and P. P. Pescarmona, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2018, **6**, 13397–13411.
- 107 F. Sun, Z. Qu, J. Gao, H. B. Wu, F. Liu, R. Han, L. Wang, T. Pei, G. Zhao and Y. Lu, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2018, **28**, 1804190.
- 108 T. Wang, C. Zhang, X. Sun, Y. Guo, H. Guo, J. Tang, H. Xue, M. Liu, X. Zhang, L. Zhu, Q. Xie and J. He, *J. Power Sources*, 2012, **212**, 1–12.
- 109 J. Zhou, K. Wu, W. Wang, Z. Xu, H. Wan and S. Zheng, *Appl. Catal., A*, 2014, **470**, 336–343.
- 110 S. Ding, S. Zheng, M. Xie, L. Peng, X. Guo and W. Ding, *Microporous Mesoporous Mater.*, 2011, **142**, 609–613.
- 111 X. Bo and L. Guo, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2013, **15**, 2459–2465.
- 112 D. Wang, F. Li, Z. Chen, G. Q. Lu and H. Cheng, *Chem. Mater.*, 2008, **20**, 7195–7200.
- 113 Y. Zhang, F. Qi and Y. Liu, *RSC Adv.*, 2020, **10**, 11210–11218.
- 114 A. Nsabimana, X. Bo, Y. Zhang, M. Li, C. Han and L. Guo, *J. Colloid Interface Sci.*, 2014, **428**, 133–140.
- 115 N. Wickramaratne and M. Jaroniec, *RSC Adv.*, 2012, **2**, 1877.
- 116 J. Y. Cheon, J. H. Kim, J. H. Kim, K. C. Goddeti, J. Y. Park and S. H. Joo, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2014, **136**, 8875–8878.
- 117 D. Zhang, L. Lei, Y. Shang, K. Wang and Y. Wang, *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 2016, **360**, 807–815.
- 118 U. Byambasuren, Y. Jeon, D. Altansukh and Y. Shul, *J. Solid State Electrochem.*, 2016, **20**, 645–655.
- 119 C. Deng, H. Zhong, X. Li, L. Yao and H. Zhang, *Nanoscale*, 2016, **8**, 1580–1587.
- 120 Y. Chang, F. Hong, J. Liu, M. Xie, Q. Zhang, C. He, H. Niu and J. Liu, *Carbon*, 2015, **87**, 424–433.
- 121 G. Zhao, L. Shi, J. Xu, X. Yan and T. S. Zhao, *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy*, 2018, **43**, 1470–1478.
- 122 D. Zhang, L. Lei and Y. Shang, *J. Mater. Sci.: Mater. Electron.*, 2016, **27**, 3531–3539.
- 123 K. Li, S. Jie, Y. Li, X. Lin and Z. Liu, *Catal. Commun.*, 2018, **112**, 39–42.

- 124 J. Kim, M. Choi and R. Ryoo, *Bull. Korean Chem. Soc.*, 2008, **29**, 413–416.
- 125 Y. Kim, I. Kim, B. Min and S. Park, *Res. Chem. Intermed.*, 2010, **36**, 703–713.
- 126 W. Kim, M. Y. Kang, J. B. Joo, N. D. Kim, I. K. Song, P. Kim, J. R. Yoon and J. Yi, *J. Power Sources*, 2010, **195**, 2125–2129.
- 127 T. Lin, I. W. Chen, F. Liu, C. Yang, H. Bi, F. Xu and F. Huang, *Science*, 2015, **350**, 1508–1513.
- 128 D. Qu, J. Wen, D. Liu, Z. Xie, X. Zhang, D. Zheng, J. Lei, W. Zhong, H. Tang, L. Xiao and D. Qu, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2014, **6**, 22687–22694.
- 129 L. Fan, P. Sun, L. Yang, Z. Xu and J. Han, *Korean J. Chem. Eng.*, 2020, **37**, 166–175.
- 130 X. Xin, Z. Wang, R. Jia, C. Gao, L. Sui, H. Dong, J. Feng, S. Ma, B. Pang, Y. Chen, L. Dong and L. Yu, *J. Alloys Compd.*, 2020, **822**, 153627.
- 131 Z. Liu, J. Mi, Y. Yang, X. Tan and C. Lv, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2014, **115**, 206–215.
- 132 X. Zhao, Q. Zhang, B. Zhang, C. Chen, A. Wang, T. Zhang and D. S. Su, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2012, **22**, 4963.
- 133 D. Zhang, L. Zheng, Y. Ma, L. Lei, Q. Li, Y. Li, H. Luo, H. Feng and Y. Hao, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2014, **6**, 2657–2665.
- 134 G. Liu, X. Li, P. Ganesan and B. N. Popov, *Appl. Catal., B*, 2009, **93**, 156–165.
- 135 X. Wang, J. S. Lee, Q. Zhu, J. Liu, Y. Wang and S. Dai, *Chem. Mater.*, 2010, **22**, 2178–2180.
- 136 H. Wang, W. Wang, M. Asif, Y. Yu, Z. Wang, J. Wang, H. Liu and J. Xiao, *Nanoscale*, 2017, **9**, 15534–15541.
- 137 N. Liu, H. Song and X. Chen, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2011, **21**, 5345.
- 138 H. Wang, X. Bo, Y. Zhang and L. Guo, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2013, **108**, 404–411.
- 139 L. Wang, W. Jia, X. Liu, J. Li and M. M. Titirici, *J. Energy Chem.*, 2016, **25**, 566–570.
- 140 S. Chen, Y. Zhai, G. Xu, Y. Jiang, D. Zhao, J. Li, L. Huang and S. Sun, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2011, **56**, 9549–9555.
- 141 Z. Li, Y. Jiang, L. Yuan, Z. Yi, C. Wu, Y. Liu, P. Strasser and Y. Huang, *ACS Nano*, 2014, **8**, 9295–9303.
- 142 X. Ji, K. T. Lee and L. F. Nazar, *Nat. Mater.*, 2009, **8**, 500–506.
- 143 Z. Ding, D. Zhao, R. Yao, C. Li, X. Cheng and T. Hu, *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy*, 2018, **43**, 10502–10510.
- 144 J. Zheng, G. Guo, H. Li, L. Wang, B. Wang, H. Yu, Y. Yan, D. Yang and A. Dong, *ACS Energy Lett.*, 2017, **2**, 1105–1114.
- 145 Y. Qu, Z. Zhang, X. Zhang, G. Ren, Y. Lai, Y. Liu and J. Li, *Carbon*, 2015, **84**, 399–408.
- 146 Y. Fang, R. Liu, L. Zeng, J. Liu, L. Xu, X. He, B. Huang, Q. Chen, M. Wei and Q. Qian, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2019, **318**, 737–745.
- 147 X. Sheng, N. Daems, B. Geboes, M. Kurttepel, S. Bals, T. Breugelmans, A. Hubin, I. F. J. Vankelecom and P. P. Pescarmona, *Appl. Catal., B*, 2015, **176–177**, 212–224.
- 148 L. Wang, J. Sun, H. Zhang, L. Xu and G. Liu, *J. Electroanal. Chem.*, 2020, **868**, 114196.
- 149 K. K. R. Datta, V. V. Balasubramanian, K. Ariga, T. Mori and A. Vinu, *Chem.–Eur. J.*, 2011, **17**, 3390–3397.
- 150 K. Liang, C. Ma, L. Liu, Y. Yu, H. Lv, Y. Zhang and A. Chen, *J. Alloys Compd.*, 2019, **805**, 859–867.
- 151 H. Guo, D. Wen, T. Wang, X. Fan, L. Song, H. Gong, W. Xia, B. Gao, L. Li and J. He, *J. Porous Mater.*, 2019, **26**, 371–379.
- 152 S. Liu, P. Zuo, Y. Wang, X. Li, W. Zhang, S. Xu, P. Huo and Z. Li, *Microporous Mesoporous Mater.*, 2018, **259**, 54–59.
- 153 K. Kwon, S. Jin, C. Pak, H. Chang, S. H. Joo, H. I. Lee, J. H. Kim and J. M. Kim, *Catal. Today*, 2011, **164**, 186–189.
- 154 Y. Zhang, W. W. Dai, Y. J. Liu and B. G. Ma, *RSC Adv.*, 2017, **7**, 8250–8257.
- 155 H. Wang, J. Ding, J. Zhang, C. Wang, W. Yang, H. Ren and A. Kong, *RSC Adv.*, 2016, **6**, 79928–79933.
- 156 C. Weinberger, S. Ren, M. Hartmann, T. Wagner, D. Ş. Karaman, J. M. Rosenholm and M. Tiemann, *ACS Appl. Nano Mater.*, 2017, **1**, 455–462.
- 157 C. Zhang, Z. Zhang, D. Wang, F. Yin and Y. Zhang, *J. Alloys Compd.*, 2017, **714**, 126–132.
- 158 Y. E. Song, S. Lee, M. Kim, J. Na, J. Lee, J. Lee and J. R. Kim, *J. Power Sources*, 2020, **451**, 227816.