


Cite this: *RSC Adv.*, 2021, **11**, 13316

Received 22nd June 2020
Accepted 28th August 2020

DOI: 10.1039/d0ra05468b

rsc.li/rsc-advances

Recent advances in Pt-based electrocatalysts for PEMFCs

Xuewei Zhang,^{†ab} Haiou Li,^{ab} Jian Yang,^{†a} Yijie Lei,^a Cheng Wang,^{ID}^{*a}
Jianlong Wang,^{ID}^a Yaping Tang^a and Zongqiang Mao^a

In order to reduce the cost and improve the performance of proton exchange membrane fuel cells (PEMFCs), it is imperative to further enhance the activity and durability of Pt based electrocatalysts for the oxygen reduction reaction (ORR). This article analyzes the latest advances in Pt-based ORR electrocatalysts, including the Pt alloys, Pt–M core–shell structures, particle size effects, support effects, doping in Pt/PtM and post treatment. In addition, the performance of some of the developed novel electrocatalysts in membrane electrode assemblies (MEA) is also included for comparison, as they are rarely available and the superior activity and durability exhibited in RDE frequently doesn't translate into MEA.

1. Introduction

Following the Paris Climate Agreement, countries around the world are gearing up their efforts to accelerate the decarbonization of vehicular transportation. Many countries and regions have announced the countdown for the ban of vehicles with internal combustion engines (ICE). With the development of lithium ion battery technology, light-weight battery electric vehicles (BEV) have been successfully commercialized in regions of mild climate.^{1,2} However, issues on energy density, cycle life, environmental compatibility, cost and safety, *etc.*, restrict their wide-spread diffusion.³ Compared with lithium

ion batteries, PEMFCs are energy conversion devices with high power density and superior climate compatibility.^{4–6} Fuel cell systems with high pressure H₂ tanks have much higher energy density than the battery system. All these properties make PEMFCs particularly suitable as the main power source for cars, buses and trucks in all climates. Hence, fuel cell vehicles (FCVs) are widely regarded as the ultimate solution for the automotive industry.^{7,8} Toyota's Mirai, officially launched in Japan on December 15, 2014, is the first mass-produced FCV. Due to its excessive cost, global sales have only exceeded 9000 after more than five years. One of the main reasons for the high price of Mirai is the high loading of Pt-based electrocatalyst in the fuel cell stack.^{9,10}

The electrocatalyst at cathode side determines the overall performance of PEMFCs, because the oxygen reduction reaction (ORR) at cathode is the most sluggish process.^{11–13} Although platinum-free electrocatalysts, such as non-noble transition metals, metal nitrides and nano carbon-based metal free

^aWeichai Power Intelligent Manufacturing Joint Research Institute, INET, Tsinghua University, Beijing, China

^bWeichai Power Co., Ltd., Weifang, 261061, Shandong, China

[†]X. W. Zhang and J. Yang contribute equally to this work.


Mr Xuewei Zhang graduated from Harbin Institute of Technology with PhD degree in Applied Chemistry in 2007. He has been engaged in chemical power source such as lead acid battery, dye-sensitized solar cells, vanadium redox flow battery, all-solid-state lithium battery and proton exchange membrane fuel cell. He is currently engaged in chemical power source related work at

Weichai power Co., Ltd.



Mr Haiou Li graduated from Henan University of Technology with a major in Applied Chemistry in 2008. After graduation, he has been engaged in fuel cell related research for a long time, mainly engaged in the preparation of fuel cell key material catalysts. The fuel cell catalyst has been studied in depth and detail. Currently engaged in fuel cell related work in Weichai Power Co., Ltd.



electrocatalysts, have been studied for a long time, their poor performance and stability makes them unsuitable for commercial application in fuel cell for transportation for the foreseeable future.^{14–18} Platinum (Pt) is a critical element in catalysts used in many industrial applications because of its unique property to accelerate oxidation and reduction reactions. Platinum has also been widely studied as an electrocatalyst for hydrogen oxidation reaction (HOR) and ORR in fuel cell technology.^{19,20} At present, platinum nanoparticles, usually supported on carbon particles, are the only practical choice for PEMFCs because of their highest activity among all pure metals.^{21–25} Although platinum is an ideal electrocatalytic material, its scarcity and high cost limit large-scale commercialization.^{26–28} Therefore, in order to reduce the platinum loading without affecting the performance of the fuel cell, it is imperative to improve the activity and durability of electrocatalyst based on platinum. Some researchers have developed platinum group metal catalysts for proton exchange membrane fuel cells from catalyst design to electrode structure optimization, and also emphasized the influence of Pt alloy catalysis on performance.^{29,30} In addition, many efforts have focused on the




Fig. 1 Main strategies for improving the activity and durability of Pt-based electrocatalysts.

optimization of platinum materials, including core-shell structure, morphology control, and optimization of the support *etc.*^{31,32}

While rotating disc electrode (RDE) is the most widely used method to test the activity and durability of newly developed ORR catalyst, it is an unfortunate fact that the superior performance exhibited in RDE frequently does not translate to the performance in membrane-electrode-assembly (MEA), which are the final assessment. The discrepancy may be attributed to the differences in the electrolyte, the catalyst-electrode interface, the test protocol, or even the stability of the catalyst.^{33,34} To give a complete judgement of the merits of any newly developed catalysts, it is highly desirable to include the tests both in RDE and MEA environment.

This article summarizes the latest advances in activity and durability of Pt-based catalysts in PEMFCs, with emphasis on Pt alloys, core-shell structures, particle size effects, support effects, PtM-MOF or MOF-derived, doping, post treatment and other factors (Fig. 1). The performance of some of the developed novel electrocatalysts in MEA is also included whenever it is available.



Mr Jian Yang received his bachelor degree in Chemistry from Anqing Normal University in 2016. Then he started his master degree study under the supervision of Prof. Huang at College of Chemistry, Chemical Engineering and Materials Science, Soochow University from 2016. His major research interest is on the design of high-performance metal-based nanomaterials for electrochemical overall water splitting.

He is currently engaged in fuel cell related work at Tsinghua University.



Mr Yijie Lei graduated from Nanjing University with a master's degree in condensed matter physics in 2011. Since September 2008, he has been engaged in fuel cell research and has comprehensive and in-depth research in fuel cell key materials, key components, stack design analysis and system control, during which period, 8 academic papers were published and 49 patents were applied. He

is currently engaged in fuel cell related work at Tsinghua University.



Dr Cheng Wang: (associate researcher, PhD supervisor, director of the Hydrogen Fuel Cell Laboratory of Tsinghua University, member and liaison of the National Fuel Cell Standards Committee, and member of the National Hydrogen Energy Standards Committee). He graduated from Tsinghua University in 2003 with a PhD in chemical engineering and stayed at the school. In 2006, he was

a visiting scholar at ENEA CASSACIA Rome Center, Italy. Currently, he is mainly engaged in the research of fuel cells and systems.






Fig. 2 Comparison of the kinetic volcano (based on microkinetic modeling) with the limiting potential volcano (based on thermodynamic analyses), showing that both approaches are successful at predicting the experimentally observed activity trends for a range of materials. Reproduced with permission from ref. 37. Copyright © 2018, American Chemical Society.

2. Pt alloys

Noble metal Pt nanoparticles have excellent ORR performance in fuel cells, but their low content and high cost seriously hinder their practical application. One of the potential strategies to solve this huge challenge is alloying platinum nanoparticles with transition metals. Compared with pure Pt, the ORR properties of Pt alloy NPs can be greatly improved due to the good electronic properties of transition metals and Pt alloying and the increase of the number of useful Pt sites.^{35,36} It has been widely reported that the formation of Pt-based alloys using various transition metals (such as Mn, Fe, Co, Ni, Cu, Zn) can enhance the activity of ORR. The specific activity of PtM (M

= transition metal) has a volcanic relationship with the center of the d-band (Fig. 2). In PtM alloys, the electronic structure of Pt is modified by transition metal, tailoring its oxygen binding energy, thereby adjusting its ORR activity. Through DFT calculations and experimental studies, it is shown that the ORR activity of PtM (M = Co and Ni) is much better than any other PtM or Pt catalysts.^{37–41} At present, Pt₃Co alloy catalyst is already used in Toyota's commercial fuel cell vehicle Mirai, while Pt₃Ni alloy catalyst is being actively tested by General Motors. Further improvement in activity can be achieved through additional treatment of the alloy, such as dealloying, morphology control, multi-component, *etc.*

2.1 Porous Pt alloys

Porous Pt alloy structure, having a large number of exposed active sites, highly accessible surfaces and rapid mass transport, is a very effective structure.^{42,43} Dealloying is one of the most effective methods to prepare porous Pt alloy. In this process, amount of non-noble metals is selectively dissolved from the alloy by chemical or electrochemical methods. The dissolution of non-noble metals on the alloy surface produces defects and vacancies, exposing more active sites, making these sites more accessible.^{44,45} In several structure, for example, nanotubes, nanowire, nanofilm, nanocages, *etc.* can improve catalytic activity by the dealloying method.

However, porous metal structures, usually existing in the form of nanoparticles, tend to aggregate or separate from supports (usually carbon), therefore showing poor stability.^{46–48} Porous one-dimensional nanostructures can solve these problems well.⁴⁹ One dimensional nanostructure has anisotropic characteristics, which can have greater surface contact with the carbon support, resulting in high stability.⁵⁰ Pippel *et al.*⁵¹ treated PtCo₉₉, Pt₄Ni₉₆ and Pt₃₀Co₅₆Au, Pt₅Cu₇₆Co₁₁Ni₈ alloy nanotubes in 10 wt% H₃PO₄ with mild dealloying, and synthesized nanoporous Pt–Co, Pt–Ni, ternary Pt–Co–Au and quaternary Pt–Cu–Co–Ni alloy nanotubes (Fig. 3). These nanotubes, though ultra-low in Pt content, have significantly enhanced catalytic activity for ORR compared with commercial Pt black and Pt/C catalysts. In addition to the PtCo alloy nanowires, the PtFe alloy nanowires have also been extensively studied. Li *et al.*⁵² synthesized nano-porous Pt–Fe alloy nanowires by dealloying and studied their catalytic properties for ORR. The inhomogeneous composition of PtFe₅ alloy nanowires prepared by electrospinning is helpful to the formation of nanoporous structure by dealloying, which exhibit more active and more durable than commercial Pt/C catalysts.

The increase of the activity can be attributed to the lattice strain of the platinum skin surface formed by the surface dealloying and the modified electronic structure, which weakens the interaction between the atoms on the Pt surface and the intermediate species. Kim *et al.*⁵³ relied on dealloying method to synthesize 3D nano-porous film structure catalyst. Since the dealloying has rich structural behaviors such as stress relief, the mass activity and specific activity of the nano-porous film structure catalyst are 1.7 times and 2.7 times higher than that of commercially available Pt/C.




Fig. 3 Structural characterization of the Pt₅Cu₇₆Co₁₁Ni₈ nanotubes. (a) Representative SEM micrograph of the nanotubes released from AAO membranes. (b and c) Typical TEM micrographs. Inset of (b): electron diffraction pattern. (d) EDX spectrum. (e and f) High-resolution TEM images. Reproduced with permission from ref. 51. Copyright © 2011 Wiley-VCH.






Fig. 4 (a–e) Images for Pt₃Ni octahedra. (f–j) Images for Pt₃Ni cubes. (a and f) Field-emission SEM images. (b and g) High-resolution SEM images. (c) 3D image of an octahedral. (d and i) TEM images. (e and j) High-resolution TEM images of single NCs. (h) 3D image of a cube. (k) Polarization curves for ORR on Pt₃Ni octahedra, Pt₃Ni cubes, and Pt cubes. (l) comparison of the ORR activities on the three types of catalysts. Specific activity and mass activity were all measured at 0.9 V vs. RHE at 295 K. Reproduced with permission from ref. 58. Copyright © 2010 American Chemical Society.

Since the ORR performance of catalyst in half-cell is not enough to reflect its performance on single cell, it is necessary to design the MEA for testing. A bunched PtNi alloy nanocages (NCs) electrocatalyst was synthesized by Xia *et al.*⁵⁴ The activity and stability of Pt–Ni bunched NCs (BNCs) were greatly improved compared with traditional catalysts. In addition, PtNi-BNCs/C is also tested in single cell, and the experimental results show that the peak power density is as high as 920 mW cm⁻². The reason for this high performance is due to the combination of hollow structure and dimensional architecture in the bunched PtNi alloy NCs. In addition, More *et al.*⁵⁵ also synthesized PtCo electrocatalyst, tested it in MEA, and made in-depth characterization before and after the accelerated stress test using electron microscopy, spectroscopy and 3D scanning electron microscopy. It is found that the morphology of PtCo nanoparticles with small sizes, which are usually rich in Pt under fresh conditions, had little change, while the PtCo nanoparticles with medium and large sizes had porous “sponge” morphology, initially with high Co content, which is due to the catalyst caused by continuous leaching of Co, converted to hollow shell.

2.2 Shape-controlled Pt alloys

The shape-controlled Pt alloys show excellent catalytic activity in PEMFCs. Due to the different geometry and electronic states of different crystal faces of nanoparticles, they have different catalytic properties for the same reaction. The surface structure of metal catalyst can be obtained by controlling the shape of metal nanoparticles. Synthesis conditions, such as reactant type and concentration, reaction time and temperature, can control the morphology of the final products.⁵⁶ Based on this finding, Marković *et al.*⁵⁷ showed that the crystal surface of Pt₃Ni (111)

can enhance the ORR activity, which is 10 times higher than that of Pt (111) and 90 times higher than that of commercial Pt/C catalyst. However, Zou *et al.*⁵⁸ reported the synthesis of Pt₃Ni (111) octahedra and Pt₃Ni (100) cube with a high-temperature organic solution chemistry approach. The results show that the ORR activity of Pt₃Ni octahedra is significantly higher than that of Pt₃Ni cube (Fig. 4). According to the theoretical research, compared with (111) crystal surface, high index crystal surface such as (211) and (311) crystal surface is the most active surface with the best oxygen adsorption energy. PtFe nanodendrites with high index crystal surface were synthesized by Chen *et al.*⁵⁹ They found that the ORR catalytic activity of nanostructures increased in the order of Pt/C < FePt nanospheres < FePt nanocubes < FePt nanodendrites. High resolution transmission electron microscope (HRTEM) images show that FePt nanodendrites have a high density of atomic steps with high-index {311} facet.

It can be seen from these examples that in recent years, the synthesis of shape-controlled alloy nanocrystals has made great progress in the RDE test. However, the destruction of the structural integrity, especially in the preparation of membrane electrode, remain a major setback in MEA test. Yan *et al.*⁶⁰ used microwave-assisted solvothermal method to synthesize PtNi polyhedral alloy nanoparticles for PEMFCs. MEA tests were carried out in the Greenlight (G20) under H₂/Air (1.8/2.5), cell temperature of 80 °C and active areas of 50 cm² at 0.8 MPa, it is found that the power density can reach 400 mW cm⁻² with the Pt loading of anode and cathode is 0.2 and 0.4 Pt mg cm⁻². After 1000 and 5000 potential cycles, the decay rates of MEA prepared with PtNi/C were 1.5% and 3.0%, respectively.

2.3 Binary and ternary Pt alloys

The ORR activity of many kinds of binary PtM alloy nanoparticles was 2–10 times higher than that of pure Pt. For example, the hyperbranched nanostructures of PtNi alloy synthesized by Shen *et al.*⁶¹ can greatly improve the catalytic performance of ORR. Zhang *et al.*⁶² synthesized PtCo alloy catalyst with high active ORR by DMF coordination assisted electrodeposition. Ma *et al.*⁶³ synthesized micro hexagonal nano PtFe alloy by simple synthesis method, which improved the electrocatalytic activity and durability of ORR. In addition to bimetallic alloy catalysts, ternary alloy catalysts have also been studied in recent years.^{64,65} The synergism of multi-component, strain and electronic effect can improve the catalytic activity. Abundant experiments have proved that compared with bimetallic metal, ternary catalysts based alloy catalysts have further improved the catalytic performance of ORR.^{66,67} Iwasawa *et al.*⁶⁸ synthesized PtCu and PtNiCu multinanorods. The specific activity and mass activity of PtNiCu multi-nanorods are 5 and 2 times higher than those of Pt/C respectively, which are also better than those of bimetallic PtCu catalysts. Due to the addition of Cu and Ni, the center of Pt d-band and the effect of compression strain (bond distance) are changed, which contribute to improve the ORR kinetics. Similarly, Zhang *et al.*⁶⁹ synthesized PtCu, PtCuAg catalysts, of which PtCuAg/C (3 : 10 : 1) catalyst had the best ORR activity. The main reason



for the increase of activity is that the addition of Ag causes the crystal distortion of Pt; CV cycle test dissolves CuO and Ag on the surface of alloy particles, which makes Pt atoms more exposed on the surface of alloy particles. In addition to traditional fcc PtM alloy catalysts, The design of highly ordered intermetallic compounds also has great application prospects in improving the activity and stability.⁷⁰

The dissolution of non-noble transition metals will lead to the degradation of PEMFCs performance, and the stability of PtM alloys may be lower than that of pure platinum. One solution to this problem is to alloy platinum with other precious metals such as palladium (Pd). Since Pd and Pt have similar crystal structure and electronic properties, yet the cost of Pd is relatively low, therefore Pd and Pt alloying is expected to significantly reduce the load of platinum while maintaining high catalytic activity, hence constitute a promising candidate for the ORR catalyst.⁷¹ Park *et al.*⁷² reported octahedra Pt₃Pd₁/C has exhibited much improved ORR electrocatalytic activity and stability. The increase of activity is due to the change of electronic structure of PtPd alloy catalyst compared with pure Pt. Moreover, the {111} plane is dominant in the octahedral structure formed by Pt and Pd. In PtPd–M system, the catalytic property of ternary alloy is also better than that of single and binary metal catalyst. Pd and M atoms jointly modify the electronic structure of Pt and regulate the morphology of catalyst, so as to improve the catalytic activity. Li *et al.*⁷³ designed the best ternary catalyst Pt₄₅Pd₃₀Cu₂₅/C with mass activity of 0.47 A mg_{Pt+Pd}^{−1}, which is 2.35 times of that of commercial Pt/C (0.2 A mg_{Pt}^{−1}). Coutanceau *et al.*⁷⁴ synthesized ternary Pt₇₀Pd₁₅Au₁₅/C and Pt₅₀Pd₂₅Au₂₅/C by adding Pd and Au into Pt. These catalysts improved the catalytic activity of ORR.

The same strategy for alloying Pt is applied to MEA test. In many alloy strategies, PtCo alloy optimization may be applied to commercialization. Kim *et al.*⁷⁵ used current pulse electrodeposition technology to synthesize PtCo cathode catalyst with the best ratio in PEMFCs. The chemical composition of the electrode can be controlled by changing the concentration of Co precursor, so as to find the best component ratio of catalytic activity. The current density of pulse electrodeposition electrode and conventional electrode at 0.6 V is 1.051 A cm^{−2} and 0.858 A cm^{−2}, respectively. Hacker *et al.*⁷⁶ proposed an economical PtCo/C alloy catalyst preparation method. In contrast, the PtCo/C MEA at a high temperature showed the same performance as the commercial Pt/C MEA at 600 h under constant load, while the PtCo catalyst had a significantly lower Pt loading.

3. Structural influence

3.1 Pt–M core–shell

Under acidic conditions, non-platinum metals in Pt alloys are easily dissolved from the surface of the alloy under electrochemical tests, which leads to the instability of the platinum alloys catalyst structure and affects the activity of the catalyst. For core–shell structure, the platinum or platinum alloy thin shell is deposited on the non-platinum NP core, which greatly reduces the amount of platinum. More importantly, the activity and durability of platinum shell can be adjusted by controlling

the composition, size and shape of core–shell.^{77–79} Sugimoto *et al.*⁸⁰ used monatomic metal nanoparticles as the core to make the highest utilization rate and the smallest nuclear size possible, resulting in a higher surface area than typical nanoparticle catalysts. The combination of large surface area and core–shell structure provides high ORR activity, hydrogen oxidation activity and CO tolerance.

Adjusting the synthesis shell thickness of noble metal core–shell nanoparticles is an effective way to improve catalyst activity. For example, Sun *et al.*⁸¹ compared the activity by precisely adjusting the size of Pd core and the thickness of FePt shell. Petkov *et al.*⁸² synthesized Mn core of about 3 nm to adjust the thickness of Pt shell. Electrocatalytic measurement showed that the activity of ORR was 14–16 times higher than that of pure Pt. In addition to the influence of shell thickness on the activity, the structure of core materials, including the shape and particle size, also has an important influence on the ORR activity of core–shell catalysts. Xia *et al.*⁸³ found that the specific activity of Pd octahedra rich in {111} is one order of magnitude lower than that of Pd octahedra rich in {100} in HClO₄ solution. The specific activity of Pt shell on Pd octahedra is 28 times higher than that of Pd octahedra. The deposition of Pt shell did not improve the specific activity of Pd cube.

Due to the mismatch of lattice constant, compressive strain or tensile strain will be produced when Pt is deposited on the outer surface. The existence of strain affects the d-band center of Pt. There is a strong correlation between the binding energy of adsorbate and the center of d-band. In addition, the electron coupling between Pt and substrate results in additional electron (ligand) effect. Density functional theory (DFT) confirmed the strain effect and ligand effect, and revealed their role in controlling the electrocatalytic activity of Pt.^{84,85} Nilsson *et al.*⁸⁶ found that surface strain can be used to adjust the activity of Pt based core–shell nanoparticles, providing a general strategy for the regulation of catalyst performance. The strain form of platinum rich surface layer (shell) is supported on the core of alloy particles with smaller lattice parameters. The compression in the shell changes the d-band structure of Pt atom, which weakens the adsorption energy of the reaction intermediate and improves the catalytic activity. The existence of biaxial strain can effectively improve the catalytic activity. Huang *et al.*⁸⁷ latest




Fig. 5 Surface strain on the core/shell nanoparticles. (a) Lateral strain on the (111) facet of the Pt–Cu core/shell and the pure Pt nanoparticles. The lower horizontal line indicates the target strain value for an optimal ORR activity. (b) Correlation between E_0 and the surface strain for the nanoparticles and the Pt flat surface. There exists a universal linear relation between E_0 and the strain. Reproduced with permission from ref. 88. Copyright © 2013 American Chemical Society.



found that biaxial strain PtPb/Pt core-shell nanoplates promote oxygen reduction catalysis. DFT calculations show that the PtPb/Pt nanoplate in the core-shell catalyst exhibit tensile stress in some directions and compressive stress in other directions. Therefore, some surface sites are in proper compression and play a major role in ORR. These catalysts can withstand 50 000 voltage cycles, with negligible activity decay and no significant structural and compositional changes.

It is very necessary to find the best combination of core/shell materials with excellent ORR activity through experiments and theoretical calculations. Lu *et al.*⁸⁸ proposed a multi-scale computing strategy to design Ru@Pt core-shell nanoparticles. The surface strain can be accurately determined by quantum mechanics (QM) calculation, and the general relationship between the surface strain of core/shell nanoparticles and the surface oxygen adsorption energy is established. The Ru@Pt nanocomposite catalyst they synthesized is very stable under both cathode and anode conditions, showing higher durability than typical commercial catalysts (Fig. 5). In addition, Adzic *et al.*⁸⁹ compared the ORR activity of Pt deposited on different substrates (Ir, Ru, Rh, Pd, Au). It was found that the strong correlation between the activity and the d-band center of Pt was mainly determined by the type of substrate.

3.2 Particle size effect

Compared with structural sensitivity, the effect of Pt particle size on ORR activity is a long-standing controversy. Some studies reported that the average particle size of the maximum specific activity of platinum reached 3–5 nm, while others found that the specific activity of platinum increased linearly with the decrease of the particle size.⁹⁰ Su *et al.*⁹¹ for instance, found that the best choice for the activity and durability of Pt/C electrocatalyst is that the average Pt particle size is about 4 nm. Strasser *et al.*⁹² found that PtNi₃ NP with initial particle size of 6–8 nm showed the highest activity under the condition of acid ORR. Some studies even report that there is little or no particle size effect in ORR within a specific size range.⁹³ Wieckowski *et al.*⁹⁴ found that the particle size of platinum synthesized by controlling conditions was 1–5 nm. The results showed that in HClO₄ solution, when the particle size increased to 2.2 nm, the specific activity of ORR increased rapidly, but the further increase

of particle size had little effect on the activity. Other researchers believe that specific activity does not depend on particle size, but on the dispersion between particles. Recent studies by Sonoi *et al.*⁹⁵ show that the specific activity of Pt increases with the decrease of particle size, and the specific activity of 0.9 nm cluster is more than 10 times higher than that of 2.5 nm cluster.

If other parameters (annealing temperature, shape, composition, electrolyte solutions *etc.*) change at the same time besides particle size, it is difficult to draw a meaningful conclusion.^{96–99} Precise control of variables makes the experiment persuasive. Thus, a more accurate relationship between particle size and catalytic performance should be established.¹⁰⁰ Arenz *et al.*¹⁰¹ studied the effect of Pt NPs size on ORR in different electrolyte solutions with different anion adsorption strength. The relationship between activity and particle size is rather independent of the supporting electrolyte. The model predicts that the specific surface area decreases linearly with the increase of ECSA and the decrease of particle size in the two kinds of acid electrolytes. When the particle size is about 2.5 nm and ECSA is about 90 m² g^{−1}, it shows the maximum mass activity (Fig. 6). Peng *et al.*¹⁰² prepared octahedra Pt₃Ni/C and Pt_{1.5}Ni/C by solid-phase chemical method without surfactant, the particle size was between 4 nm and 8 nm, and realized the separated control of particle size and composition. The ORR activity of octahedra Pt₃Ni/C increased monotonously with the increase of particle size, *i.e.*, the ORR activity of 4.5 nm Pt₃Ni/C was 2.47 mA cm^{−2}, and that of 8.1 nm Pt₃Ni/C was 4.06 mA cm^{−2} at 0.9 V vs. RHE. The significant change of ORR activity was attributed to the proportion change of (111) terraces, which was related to the size. Yang *et al.*¹⁰³ synthesized different sizes of Pt₃Co particles and the emphasis of this paper is to report a similar system analysis of Pt₃Co catalyst with almost the same metal, but the average particle size is different, and to compare with Pt catalyst, in order to reveal the influence of alloying and particle size. The cathode performance of 8.1 nm Pt₃Co is better than that of 4.9 nm Pt₃Co.

The influence of Pt particle size and operation conditions on the durability of PEMFCs cathode catalyst was studied by Gummalla *et al.*¹⁰⁴ They found that the maximum particle size (~12 nm) hardly changed the electrochemical surface area or mass activity in 10 K cycle, but the initial performance was lower in the high current region. The smaller Pt particles (~2 nm) show good initial performance, including high mass activity and polarization, but the electrochemical surface area (ECSA) loss rate is also high. At 10 K cycle, the performance of ~2 nm particles are lower than that of ~12 nm particles. Interestingly, the 7 nm Pt particle used for MEA shows very good initial performance and very slow electrode degradation characteristics. The slow degradation is attributed to the stability of the particles, and the good performance is attributed to the proper particle size to increase the particle dispersion and surface area to support ORR.

4. Support effects

4.1 Carbon and graphene support

Carbon and graphene are two kinds of carbon support with enhanced conductivity and metal-support interaction, which




Fig. 6 Simulation of expected SA (a) and MA (b) at 0.9 V RHE as a function of the ECSA for perchloric and sulfuric acid solutions. Reproduced with permission from ref. 101. Copyright © 2011 American Chemical Society.




Fig. 7 (a) Ring currents (up) and corresponding linear sweep curves (down) of commercial Pt/C, Pt/G and Pt/BNG catalysts for ORR, (b) electron-transfer number and calculated H_2O_2 production yields of the catalysts during the ORR, (c) mass activities for the ORR on catalysts, (d and e) polarization curves for the ORR on Pt/BNG and Pt/C electrode before and after 5000 cycles (insets are corresponding CVs of Pt/BNG and Pt/C) and (f) mass activities of the Pt/BNG and Pt/C catalysts after 5000 cycles. Reproduced with permission from ref. 107. Copyright © 2016 Elsevier Ltd.

can improve their catalytic activity and stability. Compared with commercial C, graphene (g) has the advantages of large specific surface area, high conductivity, good stability and strong interaction with nanoparticles. As a new natural support, it has been widely studied to improve the activity and durability of nanoparticles catalysts. At present, various synthesis strategies for preparing graphene supported nano catalysts as ORR catalysts have been examined. For example, the performance and durability of platinum catalyst can be improved by adjusting the balance between graphitization and hierarchical porosity.¹⁰⁵

Chemical modification of graphene inert surface is necessary for the uniform dispersion of nano particles in electrocatalyst. However, these processes may lead to the partial destruction of graphene structure, thus affecting the catalytic activity. Nitrogen doping in graphene can introduce chemical active sites to improve catalytic activity. For example, Zhang *et al.*¹⁰⁶ prepared a three-dimensional mesoporous nitrogen doped graphene aerogel (NGA) by simple one-step co-assembly. The co-assembly of Pt NCs and NGA has large specific surface area ($1750 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$), abundant mesopores and high nitrogen content (3.93 at%). The unique structure of Pt NCs@NGA not only ensures the exposure of active Pt NCs and reduces the diffusion time of O_2 in the channel, but also increases the adsorption of O_2 in Pt NCs@NGA, thus improving the rate of ORR. Shen *et al.*¹⁰⁷ prepared boron nitrogen double doped graphene (BNG) film by one-step pyrolysis of nitrogen and boron-containing borane-*tert*-butylamine complex impregnated with cobalt ion. BNG skillfully anchored Pt nanoparticles to ensure excellent durability of Pt/BNG. B-N double doped graphene film has a good effect on the electron transport of Pt, which can promote the reduction of O_2 and enhance the BNG/Pt

interaction. Compared with the commercial Pt/C catalyst, the new Pt/BNG catalyst has greatly improved its electrocatalytic activity and stability in 0.1 M HClO_4 with saturated O_2 . The mass activity of Pt/BNG catalyst at 0.9 V vs. RHE is $213.6 \text{ mA mg}_{\text{Pt}}^{-1}$, nearly three times that of the commercial Pt/C catalyst (Fig. 7).

The MEA test of chemical modification of graphene also showed high catalytic activity. Ramaprabhu *et al.*¹⁰⁸ designed a novel synthesis method to obtain nitrogen doping in hydrogen exfoliated graphene (HEG) sheets. At 60°C , the maximum power densities of $\text{Pt}_3\text{Co/C}$ and $\text{Pt}_3\text{Co/N-HEG}$ cathodes were 379 and 805 mW cm^{-2} at 2°C , respectively, without backpressure.

4.2 Titanium nitride support

Titanium nitride, one of the most popular transition metal nitrides, has been recognized as a high hardness and chemical stability due to its high melting point. Its special metal properties are attributed to the fact that a single unpaired electron enters the localized sp hybrid orbit in Ti, resulting in the non-zero electron density at the Fermi level, which makes it have high conductivity. At the same time, it is also a good choice as support. The calculation of DFT also proves the suitability of TiN as a catalyst support. A graded macroporous/mesoporous catalyst support with interconnected macroporous channels will be able to deliver fuel to the mesoporous interior region for reactions. Suntivich *et al.*¹⁰⁹ used block copolymers to synthesize mesoporous TiN, and after 200 cycles of stability tests, TiN maintained conductivity in acidic electrolytes up to 1.4 V vs. RHE. In addition, some research groups can also show excellent stability and durability by using TiN based catalyst support. These reports usually focus on ORR activity and electrochemical stability tests to verify and quantify the potential of TiN as a catalyst support,




Fig. 8 (a) Schematic of fabricating Pt@TiN@CP to form MEA for PEMFCs. MEA performances for home-made anodes with (b) Pt prepared by various cycle numbers of ALD on 500 nm TiN inverse opals and (c) Pt prepared by 100 ALD cycles on TiN inverse opals with different diameters. The commercial E-Tek anode is included for comparison. For all cases, E-Tek electrode is used as the cathode. Reproduced with permission from ref. 110. Copyright © 2017 Elsevier Ltd.

while rarely testing the performance of MEA prepared with TiN as a catalyst support.

There are also a lot of researches on the preparation of MEA about TiN support for testing. Perng *et al.*¹¹⁰ successfully prepared high surface area TiN macroporous/mesoporous structure by sol-gel method. The phase separation and nitriding of 2 h were carried out at 800 °C. The anti-opal structure of TiN was prepared by nitriding method. Polystyrene spheres (PS) multilayer films were prepared on carbon paper with atomic layer deposition (ALD) of nano TiO₂ as template. Pt nanoparticles were prepared on TiN inverse opal by ALD method, covering and dispersing evenly. The loading capacity and particle size of platinum nanoparticles can be directly controlled by the number of ALD cycles. In the single-cell experiment, the specific power density of the Pt@TiN@CP electrode was 13 times higher than that of the commercial E-Tek electrode (Fig. 8).

4.3 Metal oxide support

Metal oxide support is an effective substitute for electrode catalyst because of its excellent mechanical strength, corrosion resistance and higher stability than carbon under various operating conditions. According to K. Ito¹¹¹ theoretical calculation, titanium dioxide (TiO₂) can be used as a candidate material for fuel cell catalyst. However, pure titanium dioxide is called semiconductor. Due to its high band gap and lack of conductivity, it is difficult to obtain the electrons of ORR by absorbing hydroxyl groups (OHs) on its surface. This is considered to be a major obstacle to the direct use of oxide materials as support materials. Therefore, researcher need a new effective cathode structure to induce platinum into active state and improve its conductivity. The surface can be wound by CNTs and Pt/TiO₂ nanofibers. The introduction of TiO₂ nanofibers helps to make it more durable




Fig. 9 (a) Schematic diagram of CNT-Pt/TiO₂ nanofibrous catalyst fabrication procedure and SEM images. From left, each image indicates PVP/Ti, TiO₂, Pt/TiO₂, and CNT-Pt/TiO₂ nanofibers. (b) *I*-*V* curves test of the CNT-Pt/TiO₂ (*T*_{cell} = 120 °C, RH 40%). Reproduced with permission from ref. 112. Copyright © 2016 Elsevier B.V.




Fig. 10 The PEMFCs performance of (a) Nafion/catalyst ratio optimization for PtNiCo/NC, (b) MEAs with NiCo/NC, PtNiCo/NC and Pt/C with/without back pressure, (c) the stability testing with PtNiCo/NC cathode at ambient pressure. (All the data were obtained at 70 °C, 100% relative humidity with H₂ and O₂ at 200 and 300 SCCM with Nafion 212 membrane.) Reproduced with permission from ref. 118. Copyright © 2019 Elsevier B.V.

due to its good stability and strong metal support interaction (SMSI) effect. Shul *et al.*¹¹² studied a new effective structure to induce platinum to enter the active state and improve its conductivity. They have synthesized a composite electrode of Pt/TiO₂ nanofibers (CNT Pt/TiO₂) wound by carbon nanotubes (CNTs) (Fig. 9). The conductivity of TiO₂ can be increased by doping a small amount of donor ions. For example, V. Krstajić *et al.*¹¹³ used Nb doped TiO₂ as the support of Pt and Pt-Ru anode catalysts for PEMFCs. Through the cooperation of TiN and TiO₂, electrical conductivity and corrosion resistance are improved. Besides, Li *et al.*¹¹⁴ also prepared Pt/TiN-TiO₂ catalyst with TiN-TiO₂ as support.

4.4 PtM-MOF and MOF-derived support

Through a series of processing methods, PtM (Ni Co Fe) materials can make organic ligands through Ni, Fe Co coordination to form MOFs structure. When the metal organic framework (MOFs) or porous organic polymer is used as the precursor, these catalysts have dense and uniform active sites on the whole electrode, which can easily obtain better O₂ flux. However, its main disadvantage is poor stability under PEMFCs operation.¹¹⁵ The degradation of Pt catalyst is mainly caused by crystal dissolution and agglomeration. Unlike Pt catalyst, the origin of deactivation of platinum group metal (PGM)-free catalyst is poorly understood due to the controversial nature of active sites.¹¹⁶ One possible cause is oxidative degradation of hydrogen peroxide produced in the ORR process.¹¹⁷ If the ultra-low supported platinum and PGM-free metal catalysts compensate each other synergistically, the use of platinum will be greatly reduced, while maintaining good activity and durability. A. M. Kannan *et al.*¹¹⁸ have studied ZIF derived PtNiCo/NC cathode catalyst for PEMFCs. Under the conditions of 150 KPa, 70 °C and 100% RH with H₂ and O₂, the peak power density of PtNiCo/NC electrocatalyst can reach up to 1070 mW cm⁻² in single cell, which is 15% higher




Fig. 11 I - V polarization curves of single-cells for the acid-treated PtCo/C, the Au-doped PtCo/C, and the commercial Pt/C catalysts. The initial performance is shown by solid lines and the performance after 30 000 cycles is shown with dashed lines. The durability tests were conducted by repeating CVs in 0.6–1.0 V at 100 mV s⁻¹ in Ar flow at the cathode with 100% RH. Reproduced with permission from ref. 122. Copyright © 2019 Elsevier B.V.

that of Pt catalyst under the same loading (Fig. 10). Liu *et al.*¹¹⁹ used cobalt or bimetallic cobalt and zinc zeolites imidazolites as precursors to prepare active and stable electrocatalysts containing ultralow-loading platinum.

5. Doped in Pt/Pt–M/support

The doping of foreign atoms will change the oxygen binding energy near the center of the crystal surface. Due to these changes, some sites may become highly catalytic. Doping can fine tune the chemical and electronic properties of its surface layer, thus adjusting its catalytic activity. Chen *et al.*¹²⁰ synthesized iron doped octahedra Pt₃Ni nanocrystals. It was found that after 16 000 potential cycles in acid medium, the octahedra shape was stable, and its mass activity decreased only about 25%. Huang *et al.*¹²¹ reported that the specific surface area activity of molybdenum-doped Pt–Ni octahedra nanoparticles is 10.3 mA cm⁻², and the mass activity is 6.98 A mg_{Pt}⁻¹, the loss of activity after 8000 potential cycles is only 5%. In addition, the application of doping strategy to MEA has also been studied by some researchers. Lee *et al.*¹²² prepared Au doped PtCo/C catalyst by simple gas reduction and current displacement method, and tested its activity and durability in a single cell (Fig. 11). The results show that the current density of Au doped PtCo/C catalyst can reach 1.63 A cm⁻² at 0.6 V, while the current density of acid treated PtCo/C catalyst and commercial Pt/C catalyst are 1.31 and 1.20 A cm⁻² at the same Pt load (0.2 mg cm⁻²), respectively. After the durability test, the current density at 0.6 V was reduced to 1.40, 0.81 and 0.63 A cm⁻² through 30 000 cycles of CV repeated in 0.6–

1.0 V at 100 mV s⁻¹, which can be seen that Au doped PtCo/C catalyst shows high activity and durability.

A large number of studies have shown that the catalytic activity can also be improved by doping the support. The advantages of (P, N)-doped carbon as catalyst support may include: due to the development of pore structure, the dispersion and conductivity of catalyst are increased; due to the charge transfer from Pt to adjacent (P, N) atoms, the adhesion of Pt nanoparticles is enhanced, which is helpful for the decomposition of active intermediates such as hydrogen peroxide.^{123–125} Peng *et al.*¹²⁶ study on oxygen reduction reaction of phosphorus doped carbon nanotubes supported low platinum catalyst in acid fuel cell. The electronic density of Pt atom on P-CNT support changes greatly, which leads to the significant increase of Pt/P-CNTs activity. The electrocatalytic activity of N-doped C supported Pt nanoparticles for oxygen reduction was significantly enhanced by Chen *et al.*¹²⁷ The increase of ORR activity of Pt/NCB catalyst may be due to the spillover effect, which can be explained by the spillover effect of oxygen-containing substances on the migration of Pt to the C–N active center near the nitrogen doped carbon black (NCB), which will reduce the coverage of oxygen-containing substances on platinum. Generally, the redox peaks related to the formation and reduction of oxidation species are more reversible in the lower coverage. Kannan *et al.*¹²⁸ pyrolyzed ZIF-67 with Pt precursor in flowing Ar and H₂ atmosphere to synthesize PtCo@NCNTs (nitrogen doped carbon nanotubes) catalyst. The performance of PtCo@NCNTs in 0.1 M HClO₄ is better than that of Pt/C catalyst. Nafion-212 electrolyte membrane, hydrogen and oxygen (100% RH) were used to evaluate the performance of PtCo@NCNTs and commercial Pt/C catalyst at 70 °C, and their power densities were 630 and 560 mW cm⁻², respectively.

6. Post treatment

The heat treatment or activation of Pt based catalysts is a necessary step in the synthesis of Pt based catalysts, which has an important influence on surface morphology and the dispersion of metals on the supports. The advantage of heat treatment is to remove any undesirable impurities produced in the early preparation stage, so that the metal can be evenly distributed and stably distributed on the support, so as to improve the electrocatalytic activity of the synthetic catalyst. Moreover, heat treatment is considered as an important step to improve the catalytic activity and stability. The PEM fuel cell electrocatalysts are usually prepared by conventional stove/furnace heating, microwave heat treatment, plasma heat treatment and ultrasonic spray pyrolysis. Among them, the traditional stove heating technology is the most widely used. Generally speaking, it includes heating catalyst for 1–5 h in inert (N₂, Ar or He) or reductive (H₂) atmosphere in the temperature range of 80–800 °C.^{129–132}

Heat treatment changes the particle size, morphology, metal dispersion on the support, alloying degree, active center, catalytic activity and catalytic stability of metal catalysts. For example, Tammeveski *et al.*¹³³ prepared platinum nanoparticles supported on multi-walled carbon nanotubes (Pt/MWCNT)






Fig. 12 (a) Representative (left) TEM and (right) particle size distribution of the commercial Pt/C (ETEK) and as-prepared PtCr/C catalysts. (b) Representative current density potential and power density potential curves of the commercial Pt/C (ETEK) and as-prepared PtCr/C catalysts. Reproduced with permission from ref. 139. Copyright © 2018 Elsevier Ltd.

electrode by magnetron sputtering and annealed in nitrogen atmosphere at different temperatures for 30 min. The results show that the nanoparticles size increases with the increase of annealing temperature. Moreover, at 400 °C, particles agglomerate. The results show that 300 °C is the best annealing temperature to improve the ORR activity of Pt/MWCNT electrocatalyst. Heat treatment under (Ar, N₂, H₂) atmosphere can protect the structure from damage to the maximum extent. Liu *et al.*¹³⁴ for instance, prepared Pt NCs@CNTs catalyst and carried out heat treatment. In Ar or 20% H₂–80% Ar atmosphere, the effect of heat treatment at different temperatures on activity and durability was studied. TEM images show that Pt NCS has a particle size of about 6 nm, and the particle size changes with the increase of heat treatment temperature. XPS analysis showed that the binding energy of Pt increased after heat treatment. In addition, 20% H₂–80% Ar atmosphere is more favorable to improve the activity than pure Ar atmosphere. It was found that the oxygen reduction activity and durability of Pt NCs@CNTs catalyst were improved in the atmosphere of 300 °C and 20% H₂–80% Ar. Kim *et al.*¹³⁵ studied the effect of heat treatment at 300–700 °C for 3–9 h in H₂ and N₂ atmosphere on the preparation of 20% Pt/C catalyst. In order to compare, the catalyst was pretreated by repetitive potential cycling. The results show that the catalytic activity of heat treatment is better than that of repetitive potential cycling. In addition, SEM and XRD tests show that the average particle size increases linearly with heating time and exponentially with heating temperature. The surface morphology of the catalyst determines the activity of ORR, while the particle size has little effect on the activity of ORR.

The length of Pt–Pt bond can change the activity of ORR, and the smaller the Pt–Pt distance, the higher the catalytic activity.¹³⁶ Heat treatment of alloy catalysts at temperatures higher than 700 °C can counteract each other: (1) better Pt–M alloy is formed, which reduces the Pt–Pt distance and affects the d-band vacancy of Pt, thus improving the electrical activity of Pt. (2) The catalyst particle size increases, and the catalyst particle size reduces the active area of Pt, resulting in the decrease of catalyst mass activity. Some studies have shown that the size of

platinum particles changed by heat treatment can change the vacancy of d-band. According to the present study, the hybridization of 5d state with the vacancy state above Fermi level can reduce the number of d electrons. However, as the particle size increases, the hybrid will become less favorable.

Wang *et al.*¹³⁷ realized structural transformation from disordered alloy to ordered intermetallic by heat treatment. Ozturk *et al.*¹³⁸ found that the electronic structure of PtCo was changed and the alloying degree of PtCo was improved by heat treatment of PtCo catalyst at different temperatures. Hunsom *et al.*¹³⁹ systematically studied the effect of heat treatment on the activity and stability of ORR (Fig. 12). It was found that compared with commercial Pt/C catalyst, heat treatment improved the alloying degree of PtCr catalyst, and made Cr atoms embedded in Pt structure, but reduced the dispersion of catalyst. The increase of heat treatment temperature from 500 °C to 900 °C increased the alloying degree, and the prepared catalyst particle size, Cr content and in-plane conductivity decreased, but the dispersion degree and ECSA of catalyst decreased. Among all the prepared catalysts, PtCr/C-500 shows high activity and stability, which can be used as cathode catalyst for fuel cell.

7. Conclusions

Although significant progress has been made in the field of Pt free electrocatalysts, these materials still have some inherent defects in ORR. In the past, great progress has been made in the study of electrocatalysts based on platinum-based nanomaterials. The size, composition, morphology, porosity, surface structure, synthesis and post-treatment of Pt based materials play an important role in their activity and stability. In general, the activity of Pt based electrocatalyst can be improved through the following methods: (1) the alloying of Pt and transition metals can be used to increase the mass and specific activity of Pt atom. (2) Dealloying method could be used to form a porous structure to increase surface area and strain of the alloy catalysts. (3) Core–shell structure was formed to improve the utilization rate of platinum atom, and electronic properties were changed through strain of core and ligand effect. (4) Dispersion and conductivity of catalyst were improved through the optimization of the supports. Fluidity of oxygen and active site were increased through MOF treatment to improve catalytic activity. (5) Heat treatment has an important influence on the size and distribution of metal particles, the surface morphology of particles and the dispersion of metal on the support. Considering the difference between liquid acid electrolytes and MEA used in single cell tests with the solid electrolyte, the superior performance of new catalysts evaluated using half-cell electrochemical measurements does not necessarily translate into improved performance in MEA. Whether these new nanostructures catalysts can be used to prepare fuel cell MEA with superior performance to reduce the Pt load and cost is still challenging.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.



Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the National Key R&D Program of China (No. 2018YFE0202000, No. 2016YFB0101200), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 21773136, No. U1664259).

Notes and references

- 1 D. Larcher and J. M. Tarascon, *Nat. Chem.*, 2015, **7**, 19–29.
- 2 J. R. Szech and S. Jin, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2011, **4**, 56–72.
- 3 X. Pu, H. Wang, D. Zhao, H. Yang, X. Ai, S. Cao, Z. Chen and Y. Cao, *Small*, 2019, **15**, 1805427.
- 4 X. Lü, Y. Qu, Y. Wang, C. Qin and G. Liu, *Energy Convers. Manage.*, 2018, **171**, 1273–1291.
- 5 W. Liu, Q. Ru, S. Zuo, S. Yang, J. Han and C. Yao, *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 2019, **469**, 269–275.
- 6 Y.-J. Wang, W. Long, L. Wang, R. Yuan, A. Ignaszak, B. Fang and D. P. Wilkinson, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2018, **11**, 258–275.
- 7 Y.-F. Guo, H.-C. Chen and F.-C. Wang, *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy*, 2015, **40**, 4630–4640.
- 8 M. Liu, Z. Zhao, X. Duan and Y. Huang, *Adv. Mater.*, 2019, **31**, 1802234.
- 9 T. Yoshida and K. Kojima, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, 2015, **24**, 45–49.
- 10 M. Shao, Q. Chang, J.-P. Dodelet and R. Chenitz, *Chem. Rev.*, 2016, **116**, 3594–3657.
- 11 S. Sui, X. Wang, X. Zhou, Y. Su, S. Riffat and C.-J. Liu, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2017, **5**, 1808–1825.
- 12 A. Morozan, P. Jégou, B. Josselme and S. Palacin, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2011, **13**, 21600–21607.
- 13 Y.-J. Wang, W. Long, L. Wang, R. Yuan, A. Ignaszak, B. Fang and D. P. Wilkinson, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2018, **11**, 258–275.
- 14 A. Morozan, B. Josselme and S. Palacin, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2011, **4**, 1238–1254.
- 15 W.-F. Chen, K. Sasaki, C. Ma, A. I. Frenkel, N. Marinkovic, J. T. Muckerman, Y. Zhu and R. R. Adzic, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2012, **51**, 6131–6135.
- 16 S. Liu, C. Deng, L. Yao, H. Zhong and H. Zhang, *J. Power Sources*, 2014, **269**, 225–235.
- 17 N. Ranjbar Sahraie, J. P. Paraknowitsch, C. Göbel, A. Thomas and P. Strasser, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2014, **136**, 14486–14497.
- 18 T.-F. Hung, M.-H. Tu, C.-W. Tsai, C.-J. Chen, R.-S. Liu, W.-R. Liu and M.-Y. Lo, *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy*, 2013, **38**, 3956–3962.
- 19 J. Chen, B. Lim, E. Lee and Y. Xia, *Nano Today*, 2009, **4**, 81–95.
- 20 V. R. Stamenkovic, B. S. Mun, M. Arenz, *et al.*, *Nat. Mater.*, 2007, **6**, 241–247.
- 21 J. Wu and H. Yang, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2013, **46**, 1848–1857.
- 22 X. Wang, Y. Orikasa and Y. Uchimoto, *ACS Catal.*, 2016, **6**, 4195–4198.
- 23 M. Li, Z. Zhao, T. Cheng, A. Fortunelli, C.-Y. Chen, R. Yu, Q. Zhang, L. Gu, B. V. Merinov, Z. Lin, E. Zhu, T. Yu, Q. Jia, J. Guo, L. Zhang, W. A. Goddard, Y. Huang and X. Duan, *Science*, 2016, **354**, 1414–1419.
- 24 D. van der Vliet, C. Wang, M. Debe, R. Atanasoski, N. M. Markovic and V. R. Stamenkovic, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2011, **56**, 8695–8699.
- 25 X. Zhou, Y. Gan, J. Du, D. Tian, R. Zhang, C. Yang and Z. Dai, *J. Power Sources*, 2013, **232**, 310–322.
- 26 G. Zhang, W. Lu, L. Cao, X. Qin, F. Ding, S. Tang, Z.-G. Shao and B. Yi, *J. Power Sources*, 2016, **326**, 23–34.
- 27 H. T. Chung, D. A. Cullen, D. Higgins, B. T. Sneed, E. F. Holby, K. L. More and P. Zelenay, *Science*, 2017, **357**, 479–484.
- 28 T. Yang, G. Cao, Q. Huang, Y. Ma, S. Wan, H. Zhao, N. Li, F. Yin, X. Sun, D. Zhang and M. Wang, *J. Power Sources*, 2015, **291**, 201–208.
- 29 X. X. Wang, J. Sokolowski, H. Liu and G. Wu, *Chin. J. Catal.*, 2020, **41**, 739–755.
- 30 J. Hou, M. Yang, C. Ke, G. Wei, C. Priest, Z. Qiao, G. Wu and J. Zhang, *EnergyChem*, 2020, **2**, 100023.
- 31 G. E. Ramírez-Caballero, Y. Ma, R. Callejas-Tovar and P. B. Balbuena, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2010, **12**, 2209–2218.
- 32 Y. Zhao, J. Liu, Y. Zhao and F. Wang, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2014, **16**, 19298–19306.
- 33 B. Li, J. Wang, X. Gao, C. Qin, D. Yang, H. Lv, Q. Xiao and C. Zhang, *Nano Res.*, 2019, **12**, 281–287.
- 34 S. Martens, L. Asen, G. Ercolano, F. Dionigi, C. Zalitis, A. Hawkins, A. Martinez Bonastre, L. Seidl, A. C. Knoll, J. Sharman, P. Strasser, D. Jones and O. Schneider, *J. Power Sources*, 2018, **392**, 274–284.
- 35 Y.-J. Wang, W. Long, L. Wang, R. Yuan, A. Ignaszak, B. Fang and D. P. Wilkinson, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2018, **11**, 258–275.
- 36 L. Zhang, Y. Zhao, M. N. Banis, K. Adair, Z. Song, L. Yang, M. Markiewicz, J. Li, S. Wang, R. Li, S. Ye and X. Sun, *Nano Energy*, 2019, **60**, 111–118.
- 37 A. Kulkarni, S. Siahrostami, A. Patel and J. K. Nørskov, *Chem. Rev.*, 2018, **118**, 2302–2312.
- 38 K. Jayasayee, J. A. R. V. Veen, T. G. Manivasagam, S. Celebi, E. J. M. Hensen and F. A. de Bruijn, *Appl. Catal., B*, 2012, **111**, 515–526.
- 39 S. Deshpande, J. R. Kitchin and V. Viswanathan, *ACS Catal.*, 2016, **6**, 5251–5259.
- 40 C. Fu, C. Liu, T. Li, X. Zhang, F. Wang, J. Yang, Y. Jiang, P. Cui and H. Li, *Comput. Mater. Sci.*, 2019, **170**, 109202.
- 41 J. Wu, L. Qi, H. You, A. Gross, J. Li and H. Yang, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2012, **134**, 11880–11883.
- 42 S. M. Alia, G. Zhang, D. Kisailus, D. Li, S. Gu, K. Jensen and Y. Yan, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2010, **20**, 3742–3746.
- 43 S. Fu, C. Zhu, J. Song, M. H. Engelhard, H. Xia, D. Du and Y. Lin, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2016, **8**, 35213–35218.
- 44 T. Fu, J. Fang, C. Wang and J. Zhao, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2016, **4**, 8803–8811.
- 45 D. Wang, P. Zhao and Y. Li, *Sci. Rep.*, 2011, **1**, 37.
- 46 D. S. He, D. He, J. Wang, Y. Lin, P. Yin, X. Hong, Y. Wu and Y. Li, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2016, **138**, 1494–1497.
- 47 L. Zhang, L. T. Roling, X. Wang, M. Vara, M. Chi, J. Liu, S.-I. Choi, J. Park, J. A. Herron, Z. Xie, M. Mavrikakis and Y. Xia, *Science*, 2015, **349**, 412–416.



- 48 L. Wang and Y. Yamauchi, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, **135**, 16762–16765.
- 49 M. Li, Z. Zhao, T. Cheng, A. Fortunelli, C. Y. Chen, R. Yu and E. Zhu, *Science*, 2016, **354**, 1414–1419.
- 50 C. Koenigsmann, M. E. Scofield, H. Liu and S. S. Wong, *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.*, 2012, **3**, 3385–3398.
- 51 L. Liu and E. Pippel, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2011, **50**, 2729–2733.
- 52 J. L. Shui, C. Chen and J. C. M. Li, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2011, **21**, 3357–3362.
- 53 C.-K. Hwang, J. M. Kim, S. Hwang, J.-H. Kim, C. H. Sung, B.-M. Moon, K. H. Chae, J. P. Singh, S.-H. Kim, S. S. Jang, S. W. Lee, H. C. Ham, S. Han and J. Y. Kim, *Adv. Mater. Interfaces*, 2020, **7**, 1901326.
- 54 X. Tian, X. Zhao, Y.-Q. Su, L. Wang, H. Wang, D. Dang, B. Chi, H. Liu, E. J. M. Hensen, X. W. Lou and B. Y. Xia, *Science*, 2019, **366**, 850–856.
- 55 B. T. Sneed, D. A. Cullen, R. Mukundan, R. L. Borup and K. L. More, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, 2018, **165**, F3078–F3084.
- 56 D. Li, C. Wang, D. S. Strmcnik, D. V. Tripkovic, X. Sun, Y. Kang, M. Chi, J. D. Snyder, D. van der Vliet, Y. Tsai, V. R. Stamenkovic, S. Sun and N. M. Markovic, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2014, **7**, 4061–4069.
- 57 V. R. Stamenkovic, B. Fowler, B. S. Mun, G. Wang, P. N. Ross, C. A. Lucas and N. M. Markovic, *Science*, 2007, **315**, 493–497.
- 58 J. Zhang, H. Yang, J. Fang and S. Zou, *Nano Lett.*, 2010, **10**, 638–644.
- 59 D.-Y. Wang, H.-L. Chou, C.-C. Cheng, Y.-H. Wu, C.-M. Tsai, H.-Y. Lin, Y.-L. Wang, B.-J. Hwang and C.-C. Chen, *Nano Energy*, 2015, **11**, 631–639.
- 60 R. Lin, X. Cai, Z. Hao, H. Pu and H. Yan, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2018, **283**, 764–771.
- 61 W. Gong, Z. Jiang, L. Huang and P. K. Shen, *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy*, 2018, **43**, 18436–18443.
- 62 D. Yang, Z. Yan, B. Li, D. C. Higgins, J. Wang, H. Lv, Z. Chen and C. Zhang, *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy*, 2016, **41**, 18592–18601.
- 63 N. Wang, Y. Li, Z. Guo, H. Li, S. Hayase and T. Ma, *J. Alloys Compd.*, 2018, **752**, 23–31.
- 64 V. Viswanathan, H. A. Hansen, J. Rossmeisl, T. F. Jaramillo, H. Pitsch and J. K. Nørskov, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2012, **116**, 4698–4704.
- 65 S. Ozenler, N. Sahin, B. Akaydin, L. Ovecoglu, A. Genc, J.-M. Léger, T. W. Napporn and F. Kadirgan, *ECS Trans.*, 2011, **41**, 1031–1042.
- 66 M. Ammam and E. B. Easton, *J. Power Sources*, 2013, **236**, 311–320.
- 67 A. U. Nilekar, Y. Xu, J. Zhang, M. B. Vukmirovic, K. Sasaki, R. R. Adzic and M. Mavrikakis, *Top. Catal.*, 2007, **46**, 276–284.
- 68 L. Liu, G. Samjeské, S. Takao, K. Nagasawa and Y. Iwasawa, *J. Power Sources*, 2014, **253**, 1–8.
- 69 Y. Zhou and D. Zhang, *J. Power Sources*, 2015, **278**, 396–403.
- 70 X. X. Wang, S. Hwang, Y.-T. Pan, K. Chen, Y. He, S. Karakalos, H. Zhang, J. S. Spendelow, D. Su and G. Wu, *Nano Lett.*, 2018, **18**, 4163–4171.
- 71 H. Zhang, M. Jin and Y. Xia, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2012, **41**, 8035–8049.
- 72 Y.-W. Lee, A. R. Ko, D.-Y. Kim, S.-B. Han and K.-W. Park, *RSC Adv.*, 2012, **2**, 1119–1125.
- 73 H. M. An, Z. L. Zhao, Q. Wang, L. Y. Zhang, M. Gu and C. M. Li, *ChemElectroChem*, 2018, **5**, 1345–1349.
- 74 S. Lankiang, M. Chiwata, S. Baranton, H. Uchida and C. Coutanceau, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2015, **182**, 131–142.
- 75 S. Woo, I. Kim, J. K. Lee, S. Bong, J. Lee and H. Kim, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2011, **56**, 3036–3041.
- 76 A. Schenk, C. Grimmer, M. Perchthaler, S. Weinberger, B. Pichler, C. Heinzl, C. Scheu, F.-A. Mautner, B. Bitschnau and V. Hacker, *J. Power Sources*, 2014, **266**, 313–322.
- 77 V. Mazumder, M. Chi, K. L. More and S. Sun, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2010, **49**, 9368–9372.
- 78 V. Mazumder, M. F. Chi, K. L. More and S. H. Sun, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2010, **132**, 7848–7849.
- 79 C. Wang, D. van der Vliet, K. L. More, N. J. Zaluzec, S. Peng, S. Sun, H. Daimon, G. Wang, J. Greeley, J. Pearson, A. P. Paulikas, G. Karapetrov, D. Strmcnik, N. M. Markovic and V. R. Stamenkovic, *Nano Lett.*, 2011, **11**, 919–926.
- 80 D. Takimoto, T. Ohnishi, J. Nutariya, Z. Shen, Y. Ayato, D. Mochizuki, A. Demortière, A. Boulineau and W. Sugimoto, *J. Catal.*, 2017, **345**, 207–215.
- 81 V. Mazumder, M. Chi, K. L. More and S. Sun, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2010, **49**, 9368–9372.
- 82 M. A. Matin, J. Lee, G. W. Kim, H.-U. Park, B. J. Cha, S. Shastri, G. Kim, Y.-D. Kim, Y.-U. Kwon and V. Petkov, *Appl. Catal., B*, 2020, **267**, 118727.
- 83 M. Shao, G. He, A. Peles, J. H. Odell, J. Zeng, D. Su, J. Tao, T. Yu, Y. Zhu and Y. Xia, *Chem. Commun.*, 2013, **49**, 9030–9032.
- 84 P. Strasser, S. Koh, T. Anniyev, J. Greeley, K. More, C. Yu, Z. Liu, S. Kaya, D. Nordlund, H. Ogasawara, M. F. Toney and A. Nilsson, *Nat. Chem.*, 2010, **2**, 454–460.
- 85 F. Calle-Vallejo, M. T. M. Koper and A. S. Bandarenka, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2013, **42**, 5210–5230.
- 86 D. Nordlund, H. Ogasawara, M. F. Toney and A. Nilsson, *Nat. Chem.*, 2010, **2**, 454–460.
- 87 L. Bu, N. Zhang, S. Guo, X. Zhang, J. Li, J. Yao, T. Wu, G. Lu, J.-Y. Ma, D. Su and X. Huang, *Science*, 2016, **354**, 1410–1414.
- 88 X. Zhang and G. Lu, *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.*, 2014, **5**, 292–297.
- 89 J. Zhang, M. B. Vukmirovic, Y. Xu, M. Mavrikakis and R. R. Adzic, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2005, **44**, 2132–2135.
- 90 S. Sato and K. Yahikozawa, *Electrochim. Acta*, 1996, **41**, 2595–2600.
- 91 Z. Xu, H. Zhang, H. Zhong, Q. Lu, Y. Wang and D. Su, *Appl. Catal., B*, 2012, **111**, 264–270.
- 92 L. Gan, S. Rudi, C. Cui, M. Heggen and P. Strasser, *Small*, 2016, **12**, 3189–3196.
- 93 M. Shao, A. Peles and K. Shoemaker, *Nano Lett.*, 2011, **11**, 3714–3719.
- 94 H. Yano, J. Inukai, H. Uchida, M. Watanabe, P. K. Babu, T. Kobayashi, J. H. Chung, E. Oldfield and A. Wieckowski, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2006, **8**, 4932–4939.
- 95 K. Yamamoto, T. Imaoka, W.-J. Chun, O. Enoki, H. Katoh, M. Takenaga and A. Sonoi, *Nat. Chem.*, 2009, **1**, 397–402.



- 96 I. N. Leontyev, S. V. Belenov, V. E. Guterman, P. Haghi-Ashtiani, A. P. Shaganov and B. Dkhil, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2011, **115**, 5429–5434.
- 97 D. Li, C. Wang, D. S. Strmcnik, D. V. Tripkovic, X. Sun, Y. Kang, M. Chi, J. D. Snyder, D. van der Vliet, Y. Tsai, V. R. Stamenkovic, S. Sun and N. M. Markovic, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2014, **7**, 4061–4069.
- 98 M.-K. Min, J. Cho, K. Cho and H. Kim, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2000, **45**, 4211–4217.
- 99 K. J. J. Mayrhofer, B. B. Blizanac, M. Arenz, V. R. Stamenkovic, P. N. Ross and N. M. Markovic, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2005, **109**, 14433–14440.
- 100 S. Mukerjee, *J. Appl. Electrochem.*, 1990, **20**, 537–548.
- 101 M. Nesselberger, S. Ashton, J. C. Meier, I. Katsounaros, K. J. J. Mayrhofer and M. Arenz, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2011, **133**, 17428–17433.
- 102 C. Zhang, S. Y. Hwang and Z. Peng, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2014, **2**, 19778–19787.
- 103 M. Gummalla, S. C. Ball, D. A. Condit, S. Rasouli, K. Yu, P. J. Ferreira, D. J. Myers and Z. Yang, *Catalysts*, 2015, **5**, 926–948.
- 104 Z. Yanga, S. Ballb, D. Condit and M. Gummalla, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, 2011, **158**, B1439–B1445.
- 105 Z. Qiao, S. Hwang, X. Li, C. Wang, W. Samarakoon, S. Karakalos, D. Li, M. Chen, Y. He, M. Wang, Z. Liu, G. Wang, H. Zhou, Z. Feng, D. Su, J. S. Spendelow and G. Wu, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2019, **12**, 2830–2841.
- 106 B. Xie, Y. Zhang and R. Zhang, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2017, **5**, 17544–17548.
- 107 J. Zhu, G. He, Z. Tian, L. Liang and P. K. Shen, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2016, **194**, 276–282.
- 108 B. P. Vinayan, R. Nagar, N. Rajalakshmi and S. Ramaprabhu, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2012, **22**, 3519–3526.
- 109 K. E. Fritz, P. A. Beaucage, F. Matsuoka, U. Wiesner and J. Suntivich, *Chem. Commun.*, 2017, **53**, 7250–7253.
- 110 Y.-R. Liu, Y.-C. Hsueh and T.-P. Perng, *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy*, 2017, **42**, 10175–10183.
- 111 K. Sasaki, F. Takasaki, Z. Noda, S. Hayashi, Y. Shiratori and K. Ito, *ECS Trans.*, 2010, **33**, 473–482.
- 112 Y. Ji, Y. I. Cho, Y. Jeon, C. Lee, D.-H. Park and Y.-G. Shul, *Appl. Catal., B*, 2017, **204**, 421–429.
- 113 S. L. Gojković, B. M. Babić, V. R. Radmilović and N. V. Krstajić, *J. Electroanal. Chem.*, 2010, **639**, 161–166.
- 114 L. Wei, J. Shi, G. Cheng, L. Lu, H. Xu and Y. Li, *J. Power Sources*, 2020, **454**, 227934.
- 115 K. Shen, X. Chen, J. Chen and Y. Li, *ACS Catal.*, 2016, **6**, 5887–5903.
- 116 Z. Song, N. Cheng, A. Lushington and X. Sun, *Catalysts*, 2016, **6**, 116.
- 117 V. Prabhakaran, C. G. Arges and V. Ramani, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2012, **109**, 1029–1034.
- 118 S. Hanif, X. Shi, N. Iqbal, T. Noor, R. Anwar and A. M. Kannan, *Appl. Catal., B*, 2019, **258**, 117947.
- 119 L. Chong, J. Wen, J. Kubal, F. G. Sen, J. Zou, J. Greeley, M. Chan, H. Barkholtz, W. Ding and D.-J. Liu, *Science*, 2018, **362**, 1276–1281.
- 120 Y. Li, F. Quan, L. Chen, J. W. Zhang, H. Yu and C. Chen, *RSC Adv.*, 2014, **4**, 1895–1899.
- 121 X. Huang, Z. Zhao, L. Cao, Y. Chen, E. Zhu, Z. Lin, M. Li, A. Yan, A. Zettl, Y. M. Wang, X. Duan, T. Mueller and Y. Huang, *Science*, 2015, **348**, 1230–1234.
- 122 J. Choi, J. Cho, C.-W. Roh, B.-S. Kim, M. S. Choi, H. Jeong, H. C. Ham and H. Lee, *Appl. Catal., B*, 2019, **247**, 142–149.
- 123 K. Gong, F. Du, Z. Xia, M. Durstock and L. Dai, *Science*, 2009, **323**, 760–764.
- 124 Y. Zheng, Y. Jiao, M. Jaroniec, Y. Jin and S. Z. Qiao, *Small*, 2012, **8**, 3550–3566.
- 125 D. S. Yu, E. Nagelli, F. Du and L. M. Dai, *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.*, 2010, **1**, 2165–2173.
- 126 Z. Liu, Q. Shi, R. Zhang, Q. Wang, G. Kang and F. Peng, *J. Power Sources*, 2014, **268**, 171–175.
- 127 S. Zhang and S. Chen, *J. Power Sources*, 2013, **240**, 60–65.
- 128 X. Shi, N. Iqbal, S. S. Kunwar, G. Wahab, H. A. Kasat and A. M. Kannan, *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy*, 2018, **43**, 3520–3526.
- 129 S. Lee, J.-H. Jang, I. Jang, D. Choi, K.-S. Lee, D. Ahn, Y. S. Kang, H.-Y. Park and S. J. Yoo, *J. Catal.*, 2019, **379**, 112–120.
- 130 Y. S. Kang, K.-H. Choi, D. Ahn, M. J. Lee, J. Baik, D. Y. Chung, M.-J. Kim, S. Y. Lee, M. Kim, H. Shin, K.-S. Lee and Y.-E. Sung, *J. Power Sources*, 2016, **303**, 234–242.
- 131 M. Mazurek, N. Benker, C. Roth and H. Fuess, *Fuel Cells*, 2006, **6**, 208–213.
- 132 M. Tsyppkin, J. L. G. de la Fuente, S. García Rodríguez, Y. Yu, P. Ochal, F. Seland, O. Safonova, N. Muthuswamy, M. Rønning, D. Chen and S. Sunde, *J. Electroanal. Chem.*, 2013, **704**, 57–66.
- 133 S. Hussain, H. Erikson, N. Kongi, M. Merisalu, P. Ritslaid, V. Sammelselg and K. Tammeveski, *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy*, 2017, **42**, 5958–5970.
- 134 X. Jiang, T. Shen, H. Li, L. Wang, Q. Yue and J. Liu, *J. Electroanal. Chem.*, 2014, **729**, 53–60.
- 135 K. S. Han, Y.-S. Moon, O. H. Han, K. J. Hwang, I. Kim and H. Kim, *Electrochem. Commun.*, 2007, **9**, 317–324.
- 136 L. G. R. A. Santos, K. S. Freitas and E. A. Ticianelli, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2009, **54**, 5246–5251.
- 137 Y. Zhao, C. Wang, J. Liu and F. Wang, *Nanoscale*, 2018, **10**, 9038–9043.
- 138 A. Uzunoglu, A. S. Ahsen, F. Dundar, A. Ata and O. Ozturk, *J. Appl. Electrochem.*, 2017, **47**, 139–155.
- 139 D. Kaewsai, S. Yeamdee, S. Supajaron and M. Hunsom, *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy*, 2018, **43**, 5133–5144.

