

MINIREVIEW

[View Article Online](#)
[View Journal](#) | [View Issue](#)

Cite this: *Polym. Chem.*, 2021, **12**, 3740

Recent progress in the construction of polymers with advanced chain structures *via* hybrid, switchable, and cascade chain-growth polymerizations

Guang Chen,^a Lei Xia,^a Fei Wang,^b Ze Zhang^a and Ye-Zi You^a

The construction of artificial polymers with a comparative degree of structural and compositional diversity/complexity similar to the biomacromolecules created by nature is a great challenge, especially for those prepared by chain-growth polymerizations. Since the development of some novel catalysts and polymerization technologies in the past decade, one-pot chain-growth polymerization strategies have been developed to polymerize structurally distinct monomers, as a result, producing block, multiblock, random, and gradient chain structures with diverse compositions. In this minireview, the recent progress on the synthesis of polymers with advanced chain structures *via* chain-growth polymerization has been summarized. The main part of this article is divided into three sections according to the different polymerization strategies: hybrid copolymerization, switchable polymerization, and cascade polymerization. It is anticipated that this minireview will provide a unique view for the fabrication of polymers with a high degree of structural and compositional diversity/complexity.

Received 1st March 2021,
Accepted 17th May 2021

DOI: 10.1039/d1py00274k

rsc.li/polymers


Introduction

Synthetic polymers have much extended diversity/complexity of compositions and chain structures, benefiting from the continuous development of polymerization methods and chemical

reactions in the past century. As a result, over 300 M tons of synthetic polymers are produced every year for applications ranging from commodity to specialty products with ever-increasing social benefits.¹ However, considering that nature has created complex but precise biomacromolecules for millions of years,² we believe that the progress made on synthetic polymers is still in its infancy.^{3,4} The construction of artificial polymers with a high degree of structural and compositional diversity/complexity *via* simple synthetic approaches is a persistent pursuit for polymer chemists. Recently, multicomponent polymerization, segment assembly polymerization and

^aCAS Key Laboratory of Soft Matter Chemistry, Department of Polymer Science and Engineering, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, Anhui 230026, People's Republic of China. E-mail: yzyou@ustc.edu.cn, zze320@mail.ustc.edu.cn

^bNeurosurgical Department, The First Affiliated Hospital of USTC, Division of Life Sciences and Medicine, Hefei, Anhui, 230026, China



Guang Chen

Guang Chen received his B.S. degree in polymer science and engineering from the University of Science and Technology of China in 2016. Currently, he is a PhD student at the University of Science and Technology of China under the supervision of Prof. Ye-Zi You. His research focuses on photopolymerization and photocatalysis.



Lei Xia

Lei Xia received his bachelor's degree from Hefei University of Technology, China (2015) and his Ph.D. from the University of Science and Technology of China (2020). He then joined Prof. Chunyan Hong's group at the University of Science and Technology of China as a post-doctoral fellow. His scientific interest includes the development of visible-light-induced (co) polymerization.

other methods could contribute to design periodic chain structures with sufficient diversity/complexity and interesting properties during step-growth polymerizations,^{5–11} but broadening the diversity/complexity of chain structures and compositions during chain-growth polymerizations is still a great challenge.

Unlike step-growth polymerizations, a chain-growth polymerization often proceeds *via* a specific propagation species, such as a radical, anion, or cation, using an appropriate initiator or catalyst, thus producing the desired polymer chain through repetitive reactions between the propagation species and suitable monomers. Generally, different types of monomers have different polymerization mechanisms, catalysts and propagation species.¹² For example, vinyl monomers are generally polymerized by a radical or ion vinyl-addition mechanism while cyclic monomers undergo a ring-opening mechanism. Among the cyclic monomers, lactones are generally polymerized *via* an anionic mechanism while tetrahydrofuran can only be polymerized *via* a cationic mechanism. Among the vinyl monomers, ethylene can be polymerized *via* radical or coordination processes; however, isobutylene can only be polymerized *via* a cationic process. The restricted match between monomer structures and polymerization processes resulted in the fact that the complexity/diversity of chain structures and compositions produced from a single chain-growth polymerization, such as addition polymerization, ring-opening polymerization, metathesis polymerization, and coordination polymerization, was very limited.

One possible strategy to lessen this inherent restriction is the presence of two or more distinct propagation species and polymerization mechanisms in a single chain formation process, either concurrent propagation species or the conversion of a propagation species into another one during the polymerization. This alteration would enable the synthesis of novel polymers with advanced chain structures that cannot be easily accessible using a single chain-growth polymerization mechanism. However, different propagation species may be

incompatible and may interfere with each other when they coexist in a system. The conversion of a propagation species into another one is also generally disfavored. Excitingly, since some novel catalysts and polymerization technologies have been explored, gradually increasing reports highlight the one-pot strategies to polymerize structurally distinct monomers in the presence of two or more distinct propagation species and polymerization mechanisms, as a result, forming block, multi-block, random, and gradient chain structures with diverse compositions.¹³ On the other hand, besides the above-mentioned various chain structures, introducing organic cascade reactions in chain propagating processes could produce novel polymers with complex repeat unit structures.^{14,15} We try to summarize these strategies into three categories: hybridization of control/living polymerizations, switchable polymerization, and cascade polymerization. Therefore, the aim of this minireview is to clearly overview the recent progress in the construction of polymers with advanced chain structures *via* hybrid copolymerization, switchable polymerization, and cascade polymerization strategies, especially regarding the design principles, mechanistic analyses and polymer structures. Since this contribution is not a comprehensive review, we attempted to include only the most typical scientific reports; thus, the polymerization strategies that only contribute to simple chain structures are carefully excluded. On the other hand, some seminal works on the combination of chain-growth and step-growth polymerizations are also highlighted.

Hybrid copolymerization

The development of novel catalysts and polymerization technologies has broken the barriers of various polymerization mechanisms, resulting in the fact that some distinct control/living polymerization mechanisms could be involved in a single polymerization process concurrently. During these hybridization systems of control/living polymerizations, the



Fei Wang

Fei Wang is working as an associate chief physician at the Department of Neurosurgery, The First Affiliated Hospital of USTC. He obtained his Ph.D. degree from Capital Medical University in 2014. He became a postdoctoral researcher at the Division of Life Sciences and Medicine of USTC to study the application of nanomedicine in cancer treatment in 2019. His research interests include the development of polymeric

materials and treatment of malignant glioma with functional materials.



Ze Zhang

Ze Zhang is currently an associate research fellow at the University of Science and Technology of China. He received his B.Sc. (2012) and Ph.D. (2017) from Anhui University and the University of Science and Technology of China, respectively. He then worked in Prof. Chun-Yan Hong's group as a postdoctoral fellow from July 2017 to January 2020. His scientific interest includes the development of novel polymerization methods.

different propagation species can coexist and, in most cases, can interconvert into each other many times. As a result, the monomer selectivity can be constantly changed during the polymerization process to synthesize polymers with more complex chain structures.

Hybrid addition/ring-opening copolymerization

Zhang *et al.* developed a hybridization system of anionic addition and anionic ring-opening polymerizations. Under the catalysis of the superbase *t*-BuP₄, polymerization of methyl methacrylate and that of ϵ -caprolactone could proceed concurrently.¹⁶ They found that *t*-BuP₄ could initiate anionic addition polymerization of MMA. During the polymerization of MMA, the carbon anion could alternatively attack ϵ -caprolactone in a nucleophilic manner. Thus, the system is interconverted to ring-opening polymerization of ϵ -caprolactone. The oxygen anion during ring-opening polymerization could also alternatively attack MMA. As a result, anionic addition polymerization of MMA and anionic ring-opening polymerization of ϵ -caprolactone could interconvert into each other catalyzed by *t*-BuP₄ to produce an interesting copolymer with a random chain structure (Fig. 1). For a single propagating chain, the anionic addition propagation of MMA and anionic ring-opening propagation of ϵ -caprolactone proceeded alternatively, while for the whole polymerization system, the anionic addition polymerization and anionic ring-opening polymerization proceeded concurrently. Further studies have demonstrated that this hybrid copolymerization system is suitable for several different monomer pairs, such as lactide or cyclic carbonate ester with methyl acrylate, and CL with butyl acrylate.^{17,18}

Besides the anionic hybrid copolymerization, the cationic hybrid systems between cationic addition polymerization of vinyl monomers and cationic ring-opening polymerization of alkylene oxide have also been developed. Aoshima *et al.* first reported the concurrent copolymerization of vinyl ether and alkylene oxide (Fig. 2). Generally, the cationic copolymeriza-



Fig. 1 Hybridization of anionic addition polymerization of MMA and anionic ring-opening polymerization of ϵ -caprolactone. Reproduced from ref. 16 with permission from the American Chemical Society, copyright 2012.



Ye-Zi You

Ye-Zi You is currently a professor of polymer chemistry at the University of Science and Technology of China. He received his B.Sc. (1996) and Ph.D. (2003) from Hefei University of Technology and the University of Science and Technology of China, respectively. He then worked as a visiting scholar and postdoctoral fellow at the Tokyo Institute of Technology and Wayne State University, respectively. He joined the University of

Science and Technology of China in 2008. His scientific interests include the controlled release of polymer nanomedicine and tumor treatment.




Fig. 2 Hybridization of cationic addition polymerization of vinyl ether and cationic ring-opening polymerization of alkylene oxide. Reproduced from ref. 19 with permission from the American Chemical Society, copyright 2013.

tion of vinyl monomers and alkylene oxide is very difficult since a vinyl group cannot nucleophilically attack a stable oxonium ion and an oxonium ion is unfavorable to be transformed into a ring-opened carbocation for addition to a vinyl monomer. The key to reaching the crossover between cationic vinyl-addition polymerization of vinyl ether and cationic ring-opening polymerization of alkylene oxide is the rational design of monomer structures and initiation systems. In the copolymerization of isopropyl vinyl ether (IPVE) and isobutylene oxide (IBO), the strong Lewis acid catalyst $B(C_6F_5)_3$ may form a weakly coordinating counteranion at the IBO-derived growing chain end. The IBO-derived end thus showed a moderate crossover to IPVE from a direct addition reaction between IPVE and the IBO carbocation or an addition after carbocation isomerization, resulting in favorable copolymerization to obtain multi-block-like poly(IBO-co-IPVE)s with several blocks. It is worth noting that although the copolymers obtained under the optimized reaction conditions had relatively controlled molecular weights, the molecular weight distributions were generally broad ($\bar{D} > 1.6$).¹⁹ The authors further expanded the monomer and catalyst libraries for this novel cationic hybrid copolymerization system, such as styrene and cyclic acetal.^{20,21}

Barner-Kowollik, Boydston and coworkers introduced a visible-light-induced hybridization of radical addition polymerization of MMA and ROMP of ring-strained cyclic olefins. In this system, radical polymerization of MMA and ROMP of norbornene could proceed concurrently. During chain formation, propagation could interconvert between ROMP of norbornene and radical polymerization of MMA using 2,4,6-tris(4-methoxyphenyl) pyrylium tetrafluoroborate ($MeOTPP^+BF_4^-$) as the photocatalyst²² (Fig. 3). When using ethyl-1-propenyl ether as

the initiator, the polymerization commences with the initiation of norbornene and subsequent ROMP under visible light irradiation. Then, a metathesis of MMA with the active chain end and the release of an α -vinyl ether result in an intermediate that can initiate the radical propagation of MMA. Thus, the propagation interconverted from ROMP to a radical process. The released α -vinyl ether does not initiate new chains but can perform nucleophilic addition to the radical cation of the active chain end, leading to the regeneration of a vinyl ether end group allowing for further ROMP of norbornene. As a result, random copolymers of poly(norbornene-co-MMA) containing a high proportion of the norbornene repeating unit were formed.

Although the above-mentioned hybrid polymerization strategies can realize the copolymerization of many distinct monomers to prepare various polymers with complex chain structures, the interconversion behavior of these hybrid systems and the molecular weight and \bar{D} value of most of the prepared polymers are uncontrollable. You and Zhang developed the hybridization of radical addition polymerization of acrylamide monomers and anionic ring-opening polymerization of episulfides. Besides being involved in radical RAFT polymerization, it was found that trithiocarbonate could also act as the initiator for the anionic ring-opening polymerization (AROP) of thiirane monomers in the presence of a quaternary onium salt.²³ Thus, new interconvertible RAFT polymerization and AROP had been achieved, in which the trithiocarbonate acts as both a chain transfer agent for radical RAFT polymerization of vinyl monomers and an initiator of AROP simultaneously and independently. The interconversion of radical polymerization and AROP can be achieved (Fig. 4A). The driving force for this interesting crossover propagation was the chain transfer process of RAFT (Fig. 4B). As a result, the copolymers with diblock, triblock, multiblock and gradient chain structures could be produced by adjusting the concentration ratio of the initiator, catalyst and monomers. This hybrid system has a moderate monomer and trithiocarbonate scope and the resulting copolymers have controlled molecular weights and narrow \bar{D} values.²⁴ It is worth noting that the prepared copolymers contain both vinyl segments and thioether segments, which are very difficult to obtain by any other methods. The interconversion times during this novel hybrid polymerization reached up to ~ 5 and the copolymerization kinetics was easily affected by many factors.

Hybrid radical/anionic copolymerization

The copolymerization of acrylate and vinyl ether *via* the radical mechanism could only produce acrylate-dominant polymers due to the huge activity difference between these two kinds of monomers. On the other hand, the copolymerization of acrylate and vinyl ether *via* the cationic mechanism could only produce a homopolymer of vinyl ether. No efficient method can synthesize poly(acrylate-co-vinyl ether) with tunable compositions until Kamigaito, Satoh, *et al.* reported an interconvertible controlled/living radical and cationic hybrid copolymerization through reversible activation of common dormant species in 2014.¹² Trithiocarbonate and dithiocarbamate can act as effective chain transfer agents for RAFT radical polymer-



Fig. 3 Visible-light-induced hybridization of radical addition polymerization of MMA and ROMP of ring-strained cyclic olefins. Reproduced from ref. 22 with permission from the American Chemical Society, copyright 2019.




Fig. 5 Hybridization of radical and cationic addition polymerizations through reversible activation of common dormant species. Reproduced from ref. 12 with permission from John Wiley and Sons, copyright 2014.

Hybrid cationic/anionic ring-opening copolymerization

Generally, the coexistence of cationic and anionic propagation species in a single polymerization system is very difficult since they react with each other to produce dead products. In 2014, Ling *et al.* provided a concept of “Janus polymerization”, which refers to polymer chain propagation on both ends by cationic and anionic polymerizations simultaneously.²⁵ In the presence of lutetium triflate/propylene oxide (Lu (OTf)₃/PO) and two monomers, ϵ -caprolactone (CL) and tetrahydrofuran (THF), THF nucleophilically attacked the compound Lu (OTf)₃/PO, generating two ends containing alkyltetrahydrofuranium and the coordinated structure of Lu (OTf)₃ with alkoxide respectively. The former can initiate the cationic ROP of THF and CL, and the latter can solely initiate the anionic ROP of CL (Fig. 6). Most importantly, the cationic ROP of THF and the anionic ROP of CL have no interference up to 90% of CL conversion. This copolymerization kept a living manner, producing diblock copolymers of PCL-*b*-P(THF-*co*-CL) with narrow *D* values (<1.2). It is interesting that a step-growth polymerization affords a multiblock polymer of (PCL-*b*-P(THF-*co*-CL))_{*m*} by an intermolecular coupling reaction of two chain ends after the complete conversion of CL. Additionally, this strategy also provided a facile one-step approach to synthesize copolymers with advanced structures like 3-miktoarm star terpolymers and branched polymers.²⁶

Hybrid chain/step-growth copolymerization

Besides the hybridization of different chain-growth polymerizations, in 2018, Jiang and Yang *et al.* found that a weaker superbase, *t*-BuP₂, could rapidly catalyze the oxa-Michael addition polymerizations of diacrylates and diols *via* attacking the double bonds of acrylate with the alkoxide at room temperature. By combining this polymerization with *t*-BuP₂ catalyzed anionic ring-opening polymerization of lactone initiated by alkoxide, they reported a hybrid polymerization of either ethylene glycol, neopentyl glycol diacrylate and CL or 2-hydroxyethyl acrylate and CL by concurrent oxa-Michael addition and ROP to synthesize copolymers with good hydrophilicity and degradability.²⁷

As a well-known click reaction, the thiol-ene reaction is robust in the synthesis of anti-Markovnikov adduct polymers *via* step-growth polymerization under radical conditions.

Fig. 4 Interconvertible hybrid copolymerizations of AROP and RAFT. Reproduced from ref. 23 with permission from the Royal Society of Chemistry, copyright 2019.

ization of various vinyl monomers. Also, the authors found that they could also be activated by Lewis acids to generate carbocation species to control the cationic polymerization of vinyl ether *via* a similar process to that in RAFT radical polymerization. They carefully designed the structures of trithiocarbonate or dithiocarbamate to make sure that these two mechanisms can be compatible with each other. A radical initiator and a Lewis acid were used to activate the common trithiocarbonate or dithiocarbamate into radical and cationic species. The radical propagating chain of acrylate was dormant after transferring onto one chain transfer agent and can alternatively convert to a cationic propagating species for further cationic polymerization of vinyl ether. Similarly, the cationic propagating chain of vinyl ether was dormant after transferring onto one chain transfer agent and can alternatively convert to a radical propagating species for further polymerization of acrylate. Therefore, this interconvertible radical and cationic copolymerization of acrylate and vinyl ether produced a copolymer chain that consists of radically and cationically polymerized segments (Fig. 5). In their research, the interconversion times could reach up to ~8; as a result, multiblock poly(acrylate-*co*-vinyl ether)s were obtained in one shot with controlled molecular weights and narrow *D* values (<1.4).




Fig. 6 Hybridization of cationic and anionic copolymerizations catalyzed by $\text{Lu}(\text{OTf})_3/\text{PO}$. Reproduced from ref. 26 with permission from the American Chemical Society, copyright 2014.

Alternatively, Kamigaito *et al.* found that this thiol–ene reaction can be quantitatively and efficiently induced by organic acids, such as *p*-toluenesulfonic acid (PTSA) as the Markovnikov adduct mechanism (cationic process), and can be applied to synthesize novel polymers. Therefore, the thiol–ene click polymerization of dithiol and divinyl ether would produce poly(thioether) by the radical process but led to poly(thioacetal) by the cationic process.²⁸ Thus, they developed a hybrid step-growth polymerization in which the two polymerizations could occur concurrently and construct copolymer structures consisting of both thioacetal and thioether linkages. Interestingly, some products like 16- and 18-membered cyclic thioacetals and thioethers obtained under high-dilution conditions by this system, having a similar structure to crown ether, can be used as host or ligand molecules.

Switchable polymerization

Switchable polymerization allows the consecutive formation of two or more distinct chain blocks *via* the controlled switch of one propagating mechanism to others triggered by external stimuli or the depletion of one of the monomers. In a hybridiz-

ation system, different polymerization mechanisms and propagating species are involved concurrently; their interconversion was realized by an internal kinetic process. However, in a switchable polymerization system, the switch of the propagating mechanism and the monomer selectivity was controlled by external stimuli or the depletion of one monomer. This means that there is only one propagating mechanism involved at any moment of the polymerization system (before or after applying external stimuli), and different polymerization mechanisms are implemented sequentially rather than concurrently. As a result, the compositions, molecular weights and chain structures of polymers *via* switchable polymerizations could be regulated more precisely than those in hybridization systems. Switchable polymerization has been excellently summarized by Bielawski at 2016.²⁹ In this minireview, we tried to highlight some representative studies, especially very recent reports.

Switchable polymerization triggered by external stimuli

Although α -olefins and polar vinyl compounds are all alkene monomers, controlled polymerization of α -olefins primarily relies on coordination–insertion polymerization as radicals and other intermediates are not stabilized enough. In contrast, polymerization of polar monomers is difficult to achieve *via* a coordination–insertion method due to the poisoning of the catalysts induced by the interaction with polar monomers.³⁰ Polar monomers are usually polymerized through radical, cationic, anionic and ring-opening metathesis polymerization. A switchable polymerization strategy has the potential to switch the mechanism between coordination–insertion polymerization and other methods to produce complex polymer chain architectures of both monomer classes. Harth, Beezer, and co-workers have reported a novel switchable polymerization strategy, in which coordination–insertion polymerization of hexene switched to radical polymerization of methacrylate in one shot under visible light irradiation³¹ (Fig. 7). The key to carrying out this strategy is the design and use of a cationic palladium diimine catalyst. Under darkness, the catalyst performed the insertion polymerization of hexene. Visible light irradiation resulted in metal–carbon bond homolysis of the catalyst to generate a carbon radical *in situ* so as to facilitate radical



Fig. 7 The switchable copolymerization converting from coordination–insertion polymerization of hexene to radical polymerization of methacrylate in one shot under visible light irradiation. Reproduced from ref. 31 with permission from the American Chemical Society, copyright 2018.

propagation. Thus, in a mixture of hexene and methacrylate, the polymerization was started from the coordination-insertion polymerization of hexene to the radical polymerization of methacrylate only using blue light irradiation to trigger the conversion. As a result, a large variety of diblocks were synthesized with molecular weights up to $17\,400\text{ g mol}^{-1}$ and $D < 1.6$. This switchable copolymerization approach contributes to controllably prepare block copolymers of α -olefin blocks and polar olefin blocks in a one-shot process without the need for multiple steps and purification.

In the above report of Kamigaito and Satoh, the interconversion behavior between the radical and cationic mechanisms during the hybridization of radical and cationic addition polymerization systems cannot be controlled artificially. Fors and coworkers found that an excited photocatalyst (2,4,6-tris(*p*-methoxyphenyl)pyrylium tetrafluoroborate) under green light could oxidize a special trithiocarbonate, resulting in a carbocation for cationic RAFT polymerization.³² On the other hand, using $\text{Ir}(\text{ppy})_3$ as a photocatalyst could regulate radical RAFT polymerization of MA under blue light with an ON/OFF switch (PET-RAFT). Therefore, the authors demonstrated the effective control of the switch behavior by simply changing the wavelength of stimulus light to selectively polymerize specific monomers by the radical or cationic mechanism so as to produce radically and cationically polymerized segments on demand (Fig. 8A).³³ Thereafter, they found that this cationic RAFT polymerization of vinyl ethers could be activated by the addition of ferrocenium salts (FcX) to oxidize the trithiocarbonate and be halted by the addition of a dithiocarbamate anion to reduce FcX and chain-end carbocation. Also, this temporal control over the initiation and reversible termination of polymerization could be achieved by the addition of ferrocenium salts and dithiocarbamate anions alternately and repeatedly.³⁴ This chemical-induced cationic polymerization is orthogonal with visible-light-induced radical polymerization, and the two mechanisms could be switched with each other by modulating the corresponding stimulus (Fig. 8B).

Based on the above study, Fors *et al.* found that ferrocene can also be electrochemically oxidized into ferrocenium for cationic RAFT polymerization of IBVE. Additionally, this electrochemically controlled cationic polymerization could also be reversibly terminated by applying a cathodic current. By pairing this electrochemical cationic polymerization of IBVE with PET-RAFT polymerization of MA in one shot, a switchable copolymerization can also be performed.³⁵ Yan and coworkers further reported dually electrochemical conversion of cationic and radical RAFT polymerizations.³⁶ In the presence of 2,3-dichloro-5,6-dicyanodiphenol (DDQ^{2-}) as a catalyst precursor, applying an external oxidizing potential (+1.2 V) could oxidize DDQ^{2-} into DDQ, which could oxidize the chain transfer agent to give a carbocation for cationic polymerization of IBVE. Additionally, the polymerization would be halted when the applied potential was removed. On the other hand, the external reducing potential could reduce nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide (NAD^+) to nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide (NADH) that could promote the reduction of a chain transfer



Fig. 8 The switchable controlled/living radical and cationic copolymerizations with orthogonal stimuli. Reproduced from ref. 33 and 34 with permission from the American Chemical Society, copyright 2017 and 2018.

agent, triggering the generation of radicals for the RAFT polymerization of MA. Thus, they constructed an electrochemically controlled copolymerization that enabled switching between living cationic and radical RAFT polymerizations by alternative electrochemical stimuli in one shot. Varying the period or phases of voltage stimulation enables control over the polymer chain structure, including diblock, multiblock, random, and tapered copolymers.

Zhao *et al.* constructed a controlled/living anionic ring-opening switchable copolymerization of epoxides and lactones using a biased Lewis pair as a metal-free catalytic system that consists of a Lewis acid, triethylborane (Et_3B) and a Lewis base, phosphazene base ($t\text{-BuP}_2$).³⁷ This system allows selective anionic polymerization of either epoxides or lactones from mixed-monomer feedstock for the preparation of multiblock copolymers with both ether segments and ester segments as well as on-demand block number and length (Fig. 9). The key to achieving the monomer selectivity and effective switch was the amount of Et_3B and $t\text{-BuP}_2$. In detail, when $t\text{-BuP}_2$ is used in excess relative to Et_3B , the ROP of lactone is only selectively turned “on” due to poor nucleophilicity of the alkoxide capped




Fig. 9 Illustration of one-pot synthesis of a multiblock copolymer from a mixture of VL and PO after several successive switches in selectivity. Reproduced from ref. 37 with permission from John Wiley and Sons, copyright 2019.

by one equivalent of Et_3B and the absence of excess Et_3B , as well as higher base sensitivity of lactone than that of epoxide and appropriate basicity of $t\text{-BuP}_2$. Then, upon adding an excess amount of Et_3B relative to $t\text{-BuP}_2$, the ROP of cyclic ester is turned “off” and the ROP of epoxide is turned “on” as a result of which the alkoxide capped by two equivalents of Et_3B is the actual active center, which selectively reacts with epoxides. Also, the ROP of cyclic ester would be restarted, if a catalytic amount of $t\text{-BuP}_2$ was further added. Therefore, the switch between polymerization of lactone and that of epoxide during this system could be easily regulated by the amount of Et_3B and $t\text{-BuP}_2$. The obtained multiblock copolymers, such as $(\text{PPO-}b\text{-PVL})_n$, have well-controlled and relatively high molecular weights and low distributions.

You and Zhang developed the hybridization of radical addition polymerization of acrylamide monomers and anionic ring-opening polymerization of episulfides. The interconversion times during this hybrid polymerization could only reach up to ~ 5 and the copolymerization kinetics was easily affected by many factors.^{24,38,39} To further regulate the switch behavior precisely, the authors introduced external stimuli to control this propagating process. They found that this AROP of thiiranes can proceed successfully only at high temperatures ($>50^\circ\text{C}$), while no monomer conversion was observed at lower temperatures ($<20^\circ\text{C}$). Therefore, the polymerization could be switched ON/OFF by varying the temperature. On the other hand, the PET-RAFT polymerization could be switched ON/OFF by light irradiation (Fig. 10). Therefore, a dually switchable and controlled interconvertible polymerization system can be constructed. In this system, PET-RAFT polymerization and AROP can be selectively switched ON/OFF independent of each other, and they can be interconverted artificially and promptly by changing the external stimuli so as to insert distinct monomers into the resulting copolymer chains temporally, allowing on-demand precise arrangement of chain structures in the resulting copolymers.⁴⁰ By applying various well-designed programs of heating and irradiation, copolymers with common and advanced chain structures like multiblock copolymers (pentablock, heptablock, nonablock, and undecablock copolymers) and gradient copolymers with tailored compositions and chain length would be precisely produced. All the obtained complex copolymers have controlled molecular weights and narrow D values.

Controlled conversion between the polymerization of vinyl monomers and that of cyclic monomers has been further



Fig. 10 Dually switchable and controlled interconvertible copolymerizations of AROP and PET-RAFT switched ON/OFF and interconverted in response to stimuli. Reproduced from ref. 40 with permission from the Nature Publishing Group, copyright 2018.

explored by Xie, Wang and coworkers. They reported two interesting works, in which a facile switch from radical polymerization of polar vinyl monomers to ROCOP of propylene oxide and the switch from ROCOP of propylene oxide to radical polymerization of polar vinyl monomers were successfully achieved^{41,42} (Fig. 11). The key to carrying out these two conversions is based on the $\text{Co}^{\text{III}}(\text{salen})$ catalyst. $\text{Co}^{\text{III}}(\text{salen})$ could serve as the Lewis acid to initiate ring-opening copolymerization of propylene oxide with CO_2 upon activation with a Lewis base.⁴³ Meanwhile, it can also control the radical polymerization of various vinyl monomers, such as acrylate, vinyl acetate and ethylene (OMRP).^{44,45} It seems that the conversion between ROCOP and radical polymerization could be conducted using a single $\text{Co}^{\text{III}}(\text{salen})$ compound, but the barrier is that the active species for ROCOP are difficult to generate from the $(\text{salen})\text{Co}^{\text{III}}\text{-C-R}$ chain end of radical polymerization and the active species for radical polymerization are also difficult to generate from the $(\text{salen})\text{Co}^{\text{III}}\text{-O-R}$ chain end of ROCOP. The authors found that a single oxygen atom can be inserted into $(\text{salen})\text{Co}^{\text{III}}\text{-C-R}$ to form $(\text{salen})\text{Co}^{\text{III}}\text{-O-R}$ using O_2 as a trigger, thereby switching the active species for OMRP to the active species for ROCOP. Thus, the authors first used a Co compound to mediate the radical polymerization of VAc under the initiation of AIBN to obtain PVAc with the $(\text{salen})\text{Co}^{\text{III}}\text{-C-R}$ chain end. Then, after treating with O_2 , the formed $(\text{salen})\text{Co}^{\text{III}}\text{-O-R}$ chain end further initiated the copolymerization of propylene oxide with CO_2 to produce diblock PVAc-*b*-PPC in



Fig. 11 (A) O₂-triggered copolymerization switched from cobalt-mediated OMRP to ROCOP for the synthesis of CO₂-based diblock copolymers. (B) CO-triggered copolymerization switched from cobalt-mediated ROCOP to OMRP for the one-pot synthesis of polyester-*b*-polycarbonate.

one pot. The diblock copolymers possessed well-defined structures, controlled molecular weights and low dispersities (<1.2). Furthermore, the PVAc-*b*-PMA-*b*-PPC triblock copolymer could also be successfully produced. Very excitingly, the authors further expanded the Co-based switchable copolymerization from the opposite reaction direction. They found that a C=O group can be inserted into (salen)Co^{III}-O-R to form (salen)Co^{III}-C-(C=O)-R using CO gas as a trigger, thereby switching the active species for ROCOP to the active species for OMRP. The author synthesized poly(propylene phthalate) (PPE) with the complete alternation structure of epoxides and anhydrides and then converted (salen)Co^{III}-O-R to form (salen)Co^{III}-C-(C=O)-R completely by CO insertion. The (salen)Co^{III}-C-(C=O)-R chain end further acted as a successful OMRP photo-initiator for the OMRP of methacrylate. As a result, a series of diblock PPE-*b*-PMA with tailored block lengths, controlled molecular weights and low dispersities (<1.2) could be easily prepared. It showed that on-demand monomer incorporation with the adjustment of CO and light could be used for the one-pot terpolymerization of epoxides, anhydrides and acrylates with a precise programmed chain structure. The importance of these results lies in the use of gas molecules as triggers to achieve switchable copolymerization between different types of monomers. Further advancement may focus on the design and production of multiblock copolymers using alternate O₂ and CO treatment.

Switchable polymerization converting from chain-growth to step-growth polymerization could also be achieved. Zhao and coworkers designed the one-pot sequential ring-opening polymerization of ethylene oxide and step-growth polymerization of hydroxy and isocyanate by a base-acid catalyst switch,




Fig. 12 One-pot switchable ring-opening polymerization of ethylene oxide and step-growth polymerization of hydroxy and isocyanate. Reproduced from ref. 46 with permission from the American Chemical Society, copyright 2018.

producing multiblock-like amphiphilic polyurethanes constituted by poly(ethylene oxide) and biosourced betulin for anti-fouling application (Fig. 12).⁴⁶

Self-switchable polymerization

Besides being triggered by external stimuli, switchable polymerization could also be performed by the depletion of one of the monomers. These polymerization systems are known as self-switchable polymerization in the previous literature. The ring-opening copolymerization (ROCOP) of epoxides with anhydrides produces polyesters with alternative epoxide/anhydride structures.⁴⁷ The ROCOP of epoxides with CO₂ could produce well-defined polycarbonates.^{48,49} Coates and coworkers reported the one-shot switchable copolymerization of an epoxide, anhydride and CO₂ mixture, obtaining polyester-*b*-polycarbonate block copolymers by sequential ROCOP of epoxides/anhydrides and ROCOP of epoxides/CO₂.⁵⁰ The conversion from ROCOP of epoxides/anhydrides to ROCOP of epoxides/CO₂ relied on the depletion of anhydride monomers. With a β-diiminate (bdi) zinc catalyst, insertion of anhydride into a zinc alkoxide intermediate is much faster than the insertion of CO₂ (Fig. 13, *k_a* ≫ *k_b*), and insertion of the epoxide monomer is likely the rate-determining step for both polyester and polycarbonate formation. This feature promotes the polymerization system to only carry out ROCOP of epoxides/anhydrides before the anhydride is fully consumed. Once the anhydride is consumed, the polymerization mechanism will spontaneously change to ROCOP of epoxides/CO₂. Williams *et al.* further developed the one-shot switchable copolymerization of epoxide, anhydride (CO₂) and lactone using a dizinc catalyst, obtaining polyester (polycarbonate)-*b*-polyester block copolymers by sequential ROCOP of epoxides/anhydrides (CO₂) and ROP of lactones^{51,52} (Fig. 14). The conversion from ROCOP of epoxides/anhydrides (CO₂) to ROP of lactones also relied on the depletion of the anhydride (CO₂) monomer. Insertion of anhydride (CO₂) into a zinc alkoxide intermediate is much faster than the insertion of lactone, coupled with the inability of zinc carbonate or zinc ester to initiate ROP of lactone. Then, a series of metal catalysts, such as a heterodinuclear Zn(II)/Mg(II) catalyst, a [Salphen^FAlCl] catalyst and a commercial Cr-salen catalyst [SaleCrCl], were further devel-




Fig. 13 One-shot switchable copolymerization of an epoxide, anhydride and CO_2 mixture, obtaining polyester-*b*-polycarbonate block copolymers by sequential ROCOP of epoxides/anhydrides and ROCOP of epoxides/ CO_2 . Reproduced from ref. 50 with permission from John Wiley and Sons, copyright 2008.




Fig. 14 One-shot switchable copolymerization of epoxide, anhydride (CO_2) and lactone using a dizinc catalyst, obtaining polyester (polycarbonate)-*b*-polyester block copolymers by sequential ROCOP of epoxides/anhydrides (CO_2) and ROP of lactones.

oped to catalyze these copolymerizations.^{53–57} Despite the high activity and selectivity of these developed metal catalysts, the metal residual in the produced polymers are believed to have an adverse effect on polymer properties and degradation. Li and coworkers⁵⁸ and Zhao and coworkers⁵⁹ independently reported the organic base tBuP_1 as the catalyst to mediate the switchable copolymerization, involving ROCOP of epoxides/anhydrides and ROP of lactide, to produce block copolyesters. The control ability of this organic catalyst is very close with that of metal-based catalysts, resulting in polymers with well-defined structures, designed DP, and narrow \bar{D} (<1.2). By using multifunctional initiators, pentablock, three- and six-arm star block copolyesters have also been easily prepared. Besides the mechanism conversion from ROCOP of epoxides with anhydrides (CO_2) to ROP of lactone, the switchable copolymerization between ROCOP of epoxides and anhydrides and ROP of

epoxides can be achieved by both metal and organic catalysts and the switchable copolymerization between ROP of epoxide and ROP of lactide, resulting in polyester-*b*-polyether block copolymers.^{60–62} Furthermore, based on the above developments, one-shot sequential ROCOP of epoxides with anhydrides, ROP of lactone and ROP of epoxides *via* twice mechanism conversions could be achieved by using triethylborane and DBU pairs, resulting in polyester-*b*-polyester-*b*-polyether triblock copolymers.⁶³ Both systems were controlled and chemoselective, and were especially free of transesterification. These methods simplify the preparation of multiblock polyester-based materials and is expected to be of value for the preparation of new types of thermoplastic elastomers and other materials.^{64–66}

Cascade polymerization

Cascade polymerization is a polymerization in which the repeat unit is formed *via* an organic cascade reaction, as a result obtaining novel polymers with complex repeat unit structures. The chain-growth polymerization triggered by the cascade reaction is very important for the synthesis of polymers with complex repeat unit structures. The recent advances mainly focus on the radical and metathesis mechanisms.

The radical polymerization of vinyl monomers produces various polymers with an all-carbon backbone, which means the absence of a functionality and which is not easy to degrade. Hawker *et al.* developed a radical-driven ring-opening cascade polymerization that allows the introduction of functional groups into the backbone to synthesize main chain degradable polymers.⁶⁷ However, the propagating sulfur radicals generated by ring opening cannot be reversibly inactivated/controlled, resulting in low reactivity and poor control of polymerization. In 2018, Niu *et al.* developed a novel approach to access controlled radical-driven ring-opening cascade polymerization of low-strain macrocyclic monomers by introducing an allylic sulfone structure⁶⁸ (Fig. 15A). During this radical cascade reaction, SO_2 gas was extruded by β -elimination of alkyl sulfone and subsequent rapid α -fracture, thereby producing secondary alkyl radicals stabilized by adjacent carbonyl groups. Since the secondary alkyl radicals gener-




Fig. 15 (A) Radical-driven ring-opening cascade polymerization enabled by the allylic sulfone trigger. (B) Radical-driven ring-closing/ring-opening cascade polymerization of macrocyclic monomers consisting of 1,6-diene-fused allylic sulfide and sulfone.

ated are similar to the chain growth radicals of acrylic monomers, the copolymerization of macrocyclic monomers with acrylate can proceed smoothly and be well controlled by performing the RAFT process. Then the authors further developed a radical-induced ring-closing/ring-opening cascade polymerization for more complex main chain structures⁶⁹ (Fig. 15B). Incorporation of 1,6-diene fused allyl sulfone motifs into macrocyclic monomers could achieve cascade radical ring-closing/ring-opening processes, in which the addition of radicals to the terminal vinyl will promote five-membered cyclization of 1,6-diene and β -elimination of alkyl sulfone groups. In this process, with the opening of the macrocyclic monomer and the exhaust of SO₂ gas, stable secondary carbon radicals are generated for chain growth. This ring-closing/ring-opening cascade polymerization strategy also exhibits controllable polymerization characteristics and could synthesize block polymers with low dispersities. During the polymerization process, the unsubstituted and unconjugated pendant vinyl group remains unreactive and the five-membered ring is formed in the backbone, which provides the possibility to synthesize polymers with more complex structures and degradability. All in all, the reported radical cascade reactions enable the copolymerization of vinyl monomers and designed macrocyclic monomers to degradable polymers with various functionalities in the backbone.

Besides radical cascade reactions, the polymerization triggered by cascade metathesis reactions can also produce complex polymer structures, especially with unsaturated repeat units. Choi *et al.* developed a ring-opening/ring-closing cascade metathesis reaction by using a third-generation Grubbs catalyst to synthesize a polymer with many alkenyl groups and cycloolefins in the backbone (Fig. 16). In this cascade polymerization system, low-activity cyclohexene and terminal alkyne functional groups are integrated into one monomer to form enyne *via* a sulfonamide spacer. The author proposes that the Grubbs catalyst may preferentially react with

terminal alkynyl groups to irreversibly form a diene. Then, the newly formed ruthenium carbene undergoes a ring-closing/ring-opening metathesis reaction with the neighboring cyclohexene. As a result, the synthesized polymer backbone has 1,3-diene units, which could be post-modified by the Diels–Alder reaction to synthesize polymers with more complex structures.^{70,71}

The authors further developed a new cascade polymerization containing three types of olefin metathesis transformations: ring-opening, ring-closing, and cross metathesis.⁷² By using the first-generation Grubbs catalyst, the monomer containing two cyclopentene moieties undergoes a ring-opening/ring-closing metathesis reaction to form a polymer with cyclopentene in the main chain. Then, the synthesized polymer was cross-metathesized with diacrylate using the second-generation Hoveyda–Grubbs catalyst to produce a completely alternating copolymer containing degradable groups (Fig. 17). Then, the authors further expanded the monomer scope of this cascade metathesis polymerization method and improved the polymerization efficiency through smart design of the monomer structure. Since cyclohexene has a lower strain energy than cyclopentene, by changing the position of the double bond in the monomer, the formation of cyclohexene after the cascade metathesis reaction could increase the polymerization efficiency. The use of these new monomers



Fig. 17 Cascade metathesis polymerization containing ring-opening, ring-closing, and cross metathesis. (A) Cyclopentene in the main chain. (B) Cyclohexene in the main chain.




Fig. 16 Mechanisms for cascade metathesis polymerization of monomers containing cyclohexene and a terminal alkyne.



Fig. 18 Cascade olefin metathesis/metallotropic 1,3-shift (M&M) polymerization.

could efficiently and selectively carry out one-shot multiple olefin metathesis polymerizations to generate AB-alternating copolymers with minimum side reactions.

The cascade olefin metathesis and metallotropic 1,3-shift reactions were also used to synthesize unique polyenyne. A reasonable design of the monomer structure is very important for the successful realization of this cascade polymerization (Fig. 18). A symmetrical monomer, tetradeca-1,6,8,13-tetrayne, has an internal diacetylene structure that can successfully undergo cascade polymerization. The synthesized polymer has a special conjugated structure, including three alkenyl groups and one alkynyl group. By further tuning the monomer structure, it is possible to control the composition and sequence of alkenyl and alkynyl groups in the backbone.^{73,74} This strategy provides an opportunity to synthesize conjugated polymers with well-defined, complex and special electronic structures.

Besides polymerizations triggered by cascade radical reactions and metathesis reactions, those systems triggered by ionic, nucleophilic and coordination-insertion cascade reactions could also produce novel polymers with complex repeat unit architectures, which cannot be accessed by any other methods. These parts have been reviewed in several literature studies.^{14,15}

Conclusions and outlook

In this minireview, we discussed the construction of polymers with a high degree of structural and compositional diversity/complexity *via* chain-growth polymerizations. Three main approaches, including hybrid copolymerization, switchable polymerization, and cascade polymerization, have been used to get rid of the inherent limitation of the single chain-growth polymerization process and polymerize structurally distinct monomers in the presence of two or more distinct propagation species and polymerization mechanisms, as a result, obtaining block, multiblock, random, and gradient chain structures with diverse compositions. Although significant advancements have been made, new and efficient methods are still needed for constructing novel polymers with sufficient diversity/complexity. Furthermore, the assembly, properties and functionalities of the produced polymers should still be explored and investigated to expand the existing applications and, more importantly, develop new and highly specialized materials.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

The financial supports from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (21525420, 21801234, 22071232, 52073269) and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (WK3450000005) are gratefully acknowledged.

Notes and references

- 1 D. J. Walsh, M. G. Hyatt, S. A. Miller and D. Guironnet, *ACS Catal.*, 2019, **9**, 11153–11188.
- 2 J. F. Lutz, M. Ouchi, D. R. Liu and M. Sawamoto, *Science*, 2013, **341**, 6146.
- 3 J. F. Lutz, J. M. Lehn, E. W. Meijer and K. Matyjaszewski, *Nat. Rev. Mater.*, 2016, **1**, 1–14.
- 4 R. B. Grubbs and R. H. Grubbs, *Macromolecules*, 2017, **50**, 6979–6997.
- 5 J. Li, S. N. Rothstein, S. R. Little, H. M. Edenborn and T. Y. Meyer, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2012, **134**, 16352–16359.
- 6 T. Han, H. Q. Deng, Z. J. Qiu, Z. Zhao, H. K. Zhang, H. Zou, N. L. C. Leung, G. G. Shan, M. R. J. Elsegood, J. W. Y. Lam and B. Tang, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2018, **140**, 5588–5598.
- 7 T. F. Mao, G. Q. Liu, H. B. Wu, Y. Wei, Y. Z. Gou, J. Wang and L. Tao, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2018, **140**, 6865–6872.
- 8 Z. Zhang, Y. Z. You and C. Y. Hong, *Macromol. Rapid Commun.*, 2018, **39**, 1800362.
- 9 T. Tian, R. R. Hu and B. Z. Tang, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2018, **140**, 6156–6163.
- 10 H. Kim, K. T. Bang, I. Choi, J. K. Lee and T. L. Choi, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2016, **138**, 8612–8622.
- 11 Z. Zhang, Y. Z. You, D. C. Wu and C. Y. Hong, *Macromolecules*, 2015, **48**, 3414–3421.
- 12 H. Aoshima, M. Uchiyama, K. Satoh and M. Kamigaito, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2014, **53**, 10932–10936.
- 13 Q. L. Song, S. Y. Hu, J. P. Zhao and G. Z. Zhang, *Chin. J. Polym. Sci.*, 2017, **35**, 581–601.
- 14 G. I. Peterson and T. L. Choi, *Chem. Sci.*, 2020, **11**, 4843–4854.
- 15 J. S. Yuan, W. Q. Wang, Z. F. Zhou and J. Niu, *Macromolecules*, 2020, **53**, 5655–5673.
- 16 H. J. Yang, J. B. Xu, S. Pispas and G. Z. Zhang, *Macromolecules*, 2012, **45**, 3312–3317.
- 17 H. J. Yang, J. B. Xu and G. Z. Zhang, *Sci. China: Chem.*, 2013, **56**, 1101–1104.
- 18 H. J. Yang, X. L. Qian, T. Bai, W. Y. Huang, X. Q. Xue and B. B. Jiang, *Acta. Polym. Sin.*, 2014, 356–360, DOI: 10.3724/SpJ.1105.2014.13255.
- 19 A. Kanazawa, S. Kanaoka and S. Aoshima, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, **135**, 9330–9333.
- 20 A. Kanazawa, S. Kanaoka and S. Aoshima, *Macromolecules*, 2014, **47**, 6635–6644.
- 21 D. Hotta, A. Kanazawa and S. Aoshima, *Macromolecules*, 2018, **51**, 7983–7992.
- 22 T. Krappitz, K. Jovic, F. Feist, H. Frisch, V. P. Rigoglioso, J. P. Blinco, A. J. Boydston and C. Barner-Kowollik, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2019, **141**, 16605–16609.
- 23 A. Nagai, N. Koike, H. Kudo and T. Nishikubo, *Macromolecules*, 2007, **40**, 8129–8131.
- 24 Z. Zhang, L. Xia, T. Y. Zeng, D. C. Wu, W. J. Zhang, C. Y. Hong and Y. Z. You, *Polym. Chem.*, 2019, **10**, 2117–2125.
- 25 L. X. You and J. Ling, *Macromolecules*, 2014, **47**, 2219–2225.
- 26 Y. Li, M. von der Luhe, F. H. Schacher and J. Ling, *Macromolecules*, 2018, **51**, 4938–4944.

- 27 H. J. Yang, C. Q. Chai, Y. K. Zuo, J. F. Huang, Y. Y. Song, L. Jiang, W. Y. Huang, Q. M. Jiang, X. Q. Xue and B. B. Jiang, *Chin. J. Polym. Sci.*, 2020, **38**, 231–239.
- 28 M. Uchiyama, M. Osumi, K. Satoh and M. Kamigaito, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2020, **59**, 6832–6838.
- 29 A. J. Teator, D. N. Lastovickova and C. W. Bielawski, *Chem. Rev.*, 2016, **116**, 1969–1992.
- 30 A. Keyes, H. E. B. Alhan, E. Ordonez, U. Ha, D. B. Beezer, H. Dau, Y. S. Liu, E. Tsogtgerel, G. R. Jones and E. Harth, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2019, **58**, 12370–12391.
- 31 A. Keyes, H. E. B. Alhan, U. Ha, Y. S. Liu, S. K. Smith, T. S. Teets, D. B. Beezer and E. Harth, *Macromolecules*, 2018, **51**, 7224–7232.
- 32 V. Kottisch, Q. Michaudel and B. P. Fors, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2016, **138**, 15535–15538.
- 33 V. Kottisch, Q. Michaudel and B. P. Fors, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2017, **139**, 10665–10668.
- 34 B. M. Peterson, V. Kottisch, M. J. Supej and B. P. Fors, *ACS Cent. Sci.*, 2018, **4**, 1228–1234.
- 35 M. J. Supej, B. M. Peterson and B. P. Fors, *Chem*, 2020, **6**, 1794–1803.
- 36 J. N. Zhu, X. Hao and Q. Yan, *Sci. China: Chem.*, 2019, **62**, 1023–1029.
- 37 S. Liu, T. W. Bai, K. Ni, Y. Chen, J. P. Zhao, J. Ling, X. D. Ye and G. Z. Zhang, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2019, **58**, 15478–15487.
- 38 C. H. Wang, Y. S. Fan, Z. Zhang, Q. B. Chen, T. Y. Zeng, Q. Y. Meng and Y. Z. You, *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 2019, **475**, 639–644.
- 39 Z. Zhang, X. Nie, F. Wang, G. Chen, W. Q. Huang, L. Xia, W. J. Zhang, Z. Y. Hao, C. Y. Hong, L. H. Wang and Y. Z. You, *Nat. Commun.*, 2020, **11**, 3654.
- 40 Z. Zhang, T. Y. Zeng, L. Xia, C. Y. Hong, D. C. Wu and Y. Z. You, *Nat. Commun.*, 2018, **9**, 2577.
- 41 F. Ouhib, B. Grignard, E. Van den Broeck, A. Luxen, K. Robeyns, V. Van Speybroeck, C. Jerome and C. Detrembleur, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2019, **58**, 11768–11773.
- 42 Y. J. Zhao, Y. Wang, X. P. Zhou, Z. G. Xue, X. H. Wang, X. L. Xie and R. Poli, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2019, **58**, 14311–14318.
- 43 J. M. Longo, A. M. DiCiccio and G. W. Coates, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2014, **136**, 15897–15900.
- 44 C. M. Liao, C. C. Hsu, F. S. Wang, B. B. Wayland and C. H. Peng, *Polym. Chem.*, 2013, **4**, 3098–3104.
- 45 F. S. Wang, T. Y. Yang, C. C. Hsu, Y. J. Chen, M. H. Li, Y. J. Hsu, M. C. Chuang and C. H. Peng, *Macromol. Chem. Phys.*, 2016, **217**, 422–432.
- 46 Y. Chen, Q. L. Song, J. P. Zhao, X. J. Gong, H. Schlaad and G. Z. Zhang, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2018, **10**, 6593–6600.
- 47 J. M. Longo, M. J. Sanford and G. W. Coates, *Chem. Rev.*, 2016, **116**, 15167–15197.
- 48 D. J. Darensbourg, *Chem. Rev.*, 2007, **107**, 2388–2410.
- 49 S. Paul, Y. Q. Zhu, C. Romain, R. Brooks, P. K. Saini and C. K. Williams, *Chem. Commun.*, 2015, **51**, 6459–6479.
- 50 R. C. Jeske, J. M. Rowley and G. W. Coates, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2008, **47**, 6041–6044.
- 51 C. Romain and C. K. Williams, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2014, **53**, 1607–1610.
- 52 Y. Q. Zhu, C. Romain and C. K. Williams, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2015, **137**, 12179–12182.
- 53 C. Romain, Y. Q. Zhu, P. Dingwall, S. Paul, H. S. Rzepa, A. Buchard and C. K. Williams, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2016, **138**, 4120–4131.
- 54 S. Paul, C. Romain, J. Shaw and C. K. Williams, *Macromolecules*, 2015, **48**, 6047–6056.
- 55 T. Stosser and C. K. Williams, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2018, **57**, 6337–6341.
- 56 T. Stoesser, D. Mulryan and C. K. Williams, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2018, **57**, 16893–16897.
- 57 S. Kernbichl, M. Reiter, F. Adams, S. Vagin and B. Rieger, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2017, **139**, 6787–6790.
- 58 H. Y. Ji, B. Wang, L. Pan and Y. S. Li, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2018, **57**, 16888–16892.
- 59 H. Li, H. T. Luo, J. P. Zhao and G. Z. Zhang, *ACS Macro Lett.*, 2018, **7**, 1420–1425.
- 60 T. Stosser, G. S. Sulley, G. L. Gregory and C. K. Williams, *Nat. Commun.*, 2019, **10**, 2668.
- 61 H. Li, G. C. He, Y. Chen, J. P. Zhao and G. Z. Zhang, *ACS Macro Lett.*, 2019, **8**, 973–978.
- 62 A. B. Biernesser, K. R. Chiaie, J. B. Curley and J. A. Byers, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2016, **55**, 5251–5254.
- 63 S. S. Zhu, Y. Wang, W. Z. Ding, X. P. Zhou, Y. G. Liao and X. L. Xie, *Polym. Chem.*, 2020, **11**, 1691–1695.
- 64 G. S. Sulley, G. L. Gregory, T. T. D. Chen, L. P. Carrodeguas, G. Trott, A. Santmarti, K. Y. Lee, N. J. Terrill and C. K. Williams, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2020, **142**, 4367–4378.
- 65 S. Kernbichl, M. Reiter, J. Mock and B. Rieger, *Macromolecules*, 2019, **52**, 8476–8483.
- 66 Y. Chen, S. Liu and J. P. Zhao, *Acta. Polym. Sin.*, 2020, **51**, 1067–1082.
- 67 J. M. J. Paulusse, R. J. Amir, R. A. Evans and C. J. Hawker, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2009, **131**, 9805–9812.
- 68 H. C. Huang, B. H. Sun, Y. Z. Huang and J. Niu, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2018, **140**, 10402–10406.
- 69 H. C. Huang, W. Q. Wang, Z. F. Zhou, B. H. Sun, M. R. An, F. Haefner and J. Niu, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2019, **141**, 12493–12497.
- 70 H. Park and T. L. Choi, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2012, **134**, 7270–7273.
- 71 H. Park, H. K. Lee and T. L. Choi, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, **135**, 10769–10775.
- 72 H. K. Lee, J. Lee, J. Kockelmann, T. Herrmann, M. Sarif and T. L. Choi, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2018, **140**, 10536–10545.
- 73 C. Kang, H. Park, J. K. Lee and T. L. Choi, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2017, **139**, 11309–11312.
- 74 C. Kang, S. Kwon, J. C. Sung, J. Kim, M. H. Baik and T. L. Choi, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2018, **140**, 16320–16329.